

This is a repository copy of Constitutional courts and citizens' perceptions of judicial systems in Europe.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/141341/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Navarrete, R. and Castillo Ortiz, P.J. orcid.org/0000-0003-4540-1855 (2020) Constitutional courts and citizens' perceptions of judicial systems in Europe. Comparative European Politics, 18 (2). pp. 128-150. ISSN 1472-4790

https://doi.org/10.1057/s41295-019-00154-9

The final publication is available at Springer via https://doi.org/10.1057/s41295-019-00154-9

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Tables

Table 1. Systems of review in countries of the sample

Constitutional court	Hybrid models	Diffuse review	Parliamentary Sovereignty
Belgium (73)	Cyprus (43)	Denmark (72)	United Kingdom (*)
Bulgaria (27)	Estonia (26)	Finland (73)	The Netherlands
Croatia (26)	Ireland (95)	Norway (72)	(73)
Czech Republic (28)	Greece (43)	Sweden (*)	
France (73)		Switzerland (*)	
Germany (72)			
Hungary (28)			
Lithuania (27)			
Poland (28)			
Portugal (43)			
Russia (26)			
Slovakia (28)			
Slovenia (27)			
Spain (40)			
Ukraine (27)			

In parenthesis: age of democracy. Countries marked with (*) are the eldest democracies, they follow a slow political evolution in which it is difficult to determine when modern democracy starts. In bold: romano-germanic systems.

Source: Ferreres (2009: 4, 23) for model or review. Own elaboration.

Table 2. Logistic multilevel models for 'Justice not influenced by politics'

Justice not influenced by politics					
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3		
Constitutional court	-0.82***	-0.62**	-0.58**		
	(0.17)	(0.23)	(0.19)		
Lower court independence	0.23^{**}	0.23^{**}	0.17^{*}		
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)		
Romano - Germanic		-0.31			
		(0.24)			
Age of democracy			0.01^{*}		
			(0.00)		
Education	0.13***	0.13***	0.13***		
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)		
Political trust	0.21***	0.21***	0.21***		
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)		
Ideological extremism	0.01	0.01	0.01		
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)		
Political winner	0.15***	0.15***	0.15***		
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)		
Economic loser	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07		
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)		
(Intercept)	-2.78***	-2.67***	-3.24***		
	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.30)		
AIC	20516.17	20516.55	20513.12		
BIC	20588.02	20596.38	20592.95		
Log Likelihood	-10249.09	-10248.28	-10246.56		
Num. obs.	21645	21645	21645		
Num. groups: Country	26	26	26		
Var: Country (Intercept)	0.15	0.14	0.12		

^{****}p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, *p < 0.1

Table 3. Linear multilevel models for 'Fairness of justice'

Fairn	ess of Justi	ce	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Constitutional court	-0.67***	-0.37+	-0.56**
	(0.15)	(0.20)	(0.18)
Lower court independence	0.28^{***}	0.28^{***}	0.25^{**}
	(0.07)	(0.07)	(80.0)
Romano - Germanic		-0.47*	
		(0.21)	
Age of democracy			0.00
			(0.00)
Education	0.08^{***}	0.08^{***}	0.08^{***}
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Political trust	0.21***	0.21***	0.21***
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Ideological extremism	0.01	0.01	0.01
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Political winner	0.04	0.04	0.04^{+}
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Economic loser	-0.14***	-0.15***	-0.14***
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
(Intercept)	4.96***	5.13***	4.75***
	(0.22)	(0.22)	(0.29)
AIC	86887.93	86886.56	86898.15
BIC	86967.79	86974.40	86985.99
Log Likelihood	-43433.96	-43432.28	-43438.07
Num. obs.	21714	21714	21714
Num. groups: Country	26	26	26
Var: Country (Intercept)	0.13	0.11	0.13
Var: Residual	3.18	3.18	3.18

^{***}p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, *p < 0.1