

This is a repository copy of *Camping, climbing trees and marching to Parliament: spatial dimensions of environmental protest in New Zealand*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/140213/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

O'Brien, Thomas Anthony orcid.org/0000-0002-5031-736X (2015) Camping, climbing trees and marching to Parliament: spatial dimensions of environmental protest in New Zealand. *Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online*. pp. 11-22. ISSN 1177-083X

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1177083X.2015.1012170>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **Camping, Climbing Trees and Marching to Parliament: Spatial Dimensions of**
2 **Environmental Protest in New Zealand**

3

4

Thomas O'Brien

5

Cranfield University at the Defence Academy of the United Kingdom

6

t.obrien@cranfield.ac.uk

7

Environmental movements are key actors in challenging social and political

8

constructions of the physical environment. A wide variety of protest campaigns have

9

been undertaken in New Zealand, from local issues of pollution and road building

10

through to national opposition to native forest logging and genetic engineering (GE).

11

The aim of this paper is to examine the scales at which environmental protest in New

12

Zealand have taken place and the impact upon the actions and durability of

13

environmental campaigns. Through an analysis of a catalogue of protest events over

14

the 1997-2013 period, this paper describes patterns of actions, before examining the

15

campaigns against GE field trials and mineral extraction in more detail. The findings

16

point to the importance of cross-scale operations in enabling campaigns to capitalise

17

on and respond to changes in the external environment including governance

18

structures, resources, and countermovement actors.

19

20

Keywords: protest event analysis, genetic engineering, mining, scale

21

22

Introduction

23

24

On 11 April 2004, a group of 20 university students hiked three hours to the site of a

25

proposed mine site in upper Waimangaroa's Happy Valley on the West Coast of the

26

South Island and set up camp. The camp was broken five days later when mine

27 workers chased them out, but a sustained campaign against Solid Energy, the state-
28 owned enterprise behind the mine, had begun. Over the next five years, people linked
29 to the group Save Happy Valley (SHV) staged hunger strikes in trees, climbed Solid
30 Energy's headquarters and hung banners, disrupted meetings, and chained themselves
31 to earth moving equipment. They dressed as snails, native birds, and Santa Claus and
32 marched through Christchurch, Auckland and Wellington, to Parliament. After initial
33 occupations failed, the group established a camp near the mine site in April 2006,
34 remaining there until they were evicted in April 2009. Following the end of the
35 occupation the campers relocated to the Solid Energy headquarters in Christchurch for
36 a night, before breaking camp. Although the campaign generated significant publicity,
37 it was not welcomed by the local community and struggled to maintain impact
38 following the eviction.

39

40 Occupation and manipulation of particular places present a challenge to formal
41 authority and can potentially point to alternate ways of using space (see Pickerill and
42 Chatterton, 2006). Recent attempts by the Occupy movement to establish self-
43 governed spaces present a clear example of this challenge (Pickerill and Krinsky,
44 2012). The diffusion of this particular form of action during 2011 also demonstrates
45 the rapidity with which ideas and actions are able to spread (Tarrow, 2013). However,
46 protest campaigns remain rooted in social contexts and their likelihood of success
47 depends on support in this context in order to sustain action. The SHV campaign
48 generated substantial support and a national profile, but the regional economic
49 significance of mining (Conradson and Pawson, 2009) prevented the generation of a
50 local support base. Issues of scale and the ability of campaigns to operate across
51 scales or move between scales is important in their likely durability and chances of

52 success when faced with changes in the external environment.

53

54 This paper uses a protest event catalogue to examine patterns of environmental protest
55 in New Zealand over the 1997-2013 period. The aims are: (1) to examine the scales at
56 which environmental protest has taken place, and (2) to assess the ability of
57 campaigns to adapt to changes in the external environment by moving between scales.

58 The paper is divided into four sections. The first section outlines the core concepts of
59 space, place and scale and the role they can play in the formulation of contentious
60 politics, it also briefly outlines the character of environmental action in New Zealand.

61 In the second section, the protest event analysis methodology used in the paper is
62 detailed. The third section presents findings from the PEA catalogue over the 1997-
63 2013 period to identify broad patterns in environmentally focused protest. The final
64 section considers the campaigns against genetic engineering (GE) and mineral
65 exploration, considering the role of scale in their respective trajectories.

66

67 **Locating the New Zealand Environmental Movement**

68

69 Social movements emerge to challenge and upset the existing order by addressing
70 particular areas of concern. In order to advance their interests these movements are
71 characterised by significant diversity in form, action and goal, leading to the adoption
72 of varied repertoires (Tilly, 2008). Within the broader field of social movements, the
73 environmental movement has emerged as a key representative of issues concerning
74 the protection of the physical environment. Outlining the character of the movement,
75 Rootes (2007: 610) argues:

76 an environmental movement may be defined as a loose, noninstitutionalised network

77 of informal interactions that may include, as well as individuals and groups who have
78 no organizational affiliation, organizations of varying degrees of formality, that are
79 engaged in collective action motivated by shared identity of concern about
80 environmental issues.

81 The loose nature of the environmental movement means that actors associated with it
82 adopt tactics best suited to the issue and in ways that will maximise the likely impact.

83 The context in which social movement actors operate plays an important role, with the
84 potential to affect change being shaped by the institutional environment (Toke, 2011),
85 resource availability (O'Regan, 2001), and the presence and strength of
86 countermovement actors (Gale, 1986).

87

88 The character of the New Zealand environmental movement has changed
89 considerably since the 1960s when environmental issues first entered the national
90 political agenda in a consistent manner. Opposition to a hydroelectric scheme on Lake
91 Manapouri in the late 1960s and early 1970s led to a national campaign that resulted
92 in the project's cancellation (Mark et al, 2001). Campaigns at this time shared a focus
93 on protecting the natural environment and a reliance on large national campaigns.

94 This approach was taken as avenues for participation were limited and the relationship
95 between state and movement was highly adversarial (Bührs, 2003; Downes, 2000).

96 With the introduction of the Resource Management Act in 1991 and subsequent
97 institutional developments, governance of environmental issues have increasingly
98 been depoliticised and devolved. This shift away from top-down policy to a more
99 negotiated and local approach has "encouraged localised coalitions, grounding
100 movement groups in community concerns." (Downes, 2000: 487; see also Memon
101 and Weber, 2010). The result has seen the decline in the prominence of large national

102 organisationsⁱ and the emergence of small-scale, locally focused groups (see O'Brien,
103 2013a).

104

105 When taking action environmental movement actors need to be conscious of the the
106 role of space and place in ordering and determining what actions are possible and
107 effective. Space is socially constructed, as Tuan (1979: 389) argued, “The space that
108 we perceive and construct, the space that provides cues for our behaviour, varies with
109 the individual and cultural group.” The result is that understandings of social practices
110 in space are shaped by past events and norms of acceptable behaviour that regulate
111 and discipline and have developed over time (Endres and Senda-Cook, 2011). These
112 structures are significant for social movement actors who seek to “restructure the
113 meanings, uses, and strategic valance of space.” (Sewell, 2001: 55) By contesting
114 existing practices, such actors seek to question and challenge accepted behaviours or
115 threats to community values through transgressive behaviour (see Griffin, 2008; Seijo,
116 2006).

117

118 By contrast, place has a physical form, with Cheng et al (2003: 89) arguing that its
119 “meanings encompass instrumental or utilitarian values as well as intangible values
120 such as belonging, attachment, beauty and spirituality... [which] acknowledges the
121 subjectivity of people’s encounters with places.” This socially constructed perspective
122 of place builds on Tuan’s (1979: 412) distinction between places as public symbols
123 “that command attention” and fields of care that “evoke affection” by drawing on
124 lived experience. Social movement actors seek to appropriate these forms of place in
125 various ways. Endres and Senda-Cook (2011: 266) point to:

126 three ways in which social movements use place tactically: (1) building on a pre-

127 existing meaning of a place, (2) temporarily reconstructing the meaning of a place,
128 and (3) repeated reconstructions that result in new place meanings

129 The ability to challenge existing interpretations of specific places in these ways can be
130 seen as a measure of the resonance of a protest action.

131

132 Places that represent state or corporate power can serve as targets for protest actions
133 seeking to link grievances to particular actors. In this way “Social movements often
134 seek to strategically manipulate, subvert and resignify places that symbolise priorities
135 and imaginaries that they are contesting” (Leitner et al, 2008: 161). The effectiveness
136 of this strategy is shaped by the fact that the “material structure of a place is often the
137 result of decisions made by the very powerful to serve their ends.” (Cresswell, 2008:
138 136) This means that the ability of protest to challenge place meanings is shaped
139 significantly by the degree of organisation and the strength of the opposing force. The
140 recognition that places serve as the context and the stakes in social movement actions
141 (Sewell, 2001) is particularly pertinent in relation to environmental protest. A focus
142 on the physical environment means that success will result in a specific place being
143 saved or rehabilitated. While the immediate benefits may appear to be geographically
144 limited, they have the potential to reshape and shift accepted norms and associated
145 practices. As Cheng et al (2003: 101) argue:

146 the politics of place is not merely a battle between environmentalists and industry. It
147 is an evolving effort to create more equitable, democratic ways of defining,
148 expressing, and valuing places and, in the process, transforming how people form
149 group identities.

150 Fostering change in the use of a place enables a broader reflection on associated
151 identity, which in turn has the potential to reformulate broader understandings of
152 space within society.

153

154 Alongside considerations of place and space, scale plays an important role for social
155 movement actors in formulating challenges and determining what is possible.
156 Mamadouh et al (2004: 458) note that “In the process of formulating and enacting
157 scale strategies, actors frame the problems they want to address, the solutions they
158 propose, the actions of their opponents and their own at specific scales.” Scalar
159 relations are often concerned with the hierarchical relationship between levels of
160 interaction from the local through to the national and global. In seeking to control
161 scale MacKinnon (2011: 24) argues that “powerful social actors... seek to command
162 ‘higher’ scales such as the global and national and strive to disempower... [subaltern
163 groups] by confining them to ‘lower’ scales like the neighbourhood or locality”. The
164 ability to contain oppositional groups at lower scales is important as the material
165 resources and ability to frame issues will necessarily be constrained (Jonas, 2006;
166 Mamadouh, 2004).

167

168 The struggle to overcome containment at lower scales results in challenges being
169 presented through strategies focused around moving scales or reinterpreting
170 meanings. Leitner et al (2008: 159-60) argue that “social movements often engage in
171 scalar strategies. Some involve overcoming the limitations through scale jumping,
172 turning local into regional, national and global movements to expand their power.”
173 Moving between scales in this way enables social movement actors to maximise
174 opportunities for input where they exist (Marston, 2000). These attempts to overcome
175 constraints can also see actors down scale to seek refuge from unequal struggles in
176 response to closing opportunities at higher levels (Sewell, 2001). The possibility of
177 moving between scales points to their interconnected character, as scales are relational

178 and cannot exist without each other (Mamadouh et al, 2004). Moving between scales
179 is a complex process, involving mechanisms of diffusion, brokerage, emulation, and
180 attribution of similarity (Tilly and Tarrow, 2007). This is significant in the context of
181 social movement actors, as maintaining a presence at multiple scales suggests a
182 greater likelihood of being able to shift scales in response to changes in the external
183 environment. Campaigns and actors that are unable to shift scales may be less
184 sustainable and effective. These considerations of the role of scale and perceptions of
185 space and place in shaping environmental protest behaviour in New Zealand are
186 examined below.

187

188 **Methodology**

189

190 This paper uses protest event analysis (PEA) method to identify and categorise
191 environmental protest actions over the 1997-2013 period in New Zealand. PEA
192 involves an examination of newspaper stories for events that fit within set parameters,
193 with relevant events identified being coded and catalogued (Koopmans and Rucht,
194 2002). The benefit of this approach is that it provides “solid empirical ground for
195 observing protest activities in large geographical areas over considerable spans of
196 time” (Koopmans and Rucht, 2002: 231). The specificity of the search parameter also
197 allows for a more narrowly focused consideration of events in a particular field, in
198 this case environmental issues. Fillieule and Jiménez (2003) further argue that it can
199 allow for identification of change in form and frequency of protest events over time.
200 Reliance on newspaper sources can present a distorted view of the extent of activity.
201 However, as Rootes (2003) argues, such a source can provide the clearest overall
202 picture that is possible, provided the limitations are taken into consideration. The

203 stories that are presented in newspapers are shaped by reporting practices and editorial
204 policies as well as the broader social context in which the events are taking place
205 (Earl et al, 2004).

206

207 The analysis in this paper draws on a dataset collected through a PEA of all stories
208 reported in the main regional and national New Zealand newspapers from 1 January
209 1997 to 31 December 2013 (see Appendix for source details). The search parameters
210 selected were broad, in order to create a sensitive search strategy, these involved a
211 search of the sources using the terms “*environment**” and “*protest**”, with “*”
212 representing wildcard variations on the keywords. The search was validated using
213 alternative terms for the events; the additional terms did not result in any significant
214 variation (see O’Brien, 2012). The search returned 8492 stories in total, with sorting
215 and closer analysis of the stories resulting in 357 unique events. Each event was then
216 coded to capture information on location, issue and level of focus, actors involved,
217 and actions taken. The final event catalogue was analysed to identify patterns in
218 protest actions over the period.

219

220 **An Overview of Environmental Protest Patterns**

221

222 Levels of environmental protest varied over the period under consideration,
223 responding primarily to changes at the local or national scale. Issues of local concern
224 included protection of trees and animals, waste management, construction and
225 pollution. At the national level campaigns around native forest logging, GE field
226 trials, and mineral (coal, oil and gas) exploration dominated (O’Brien, 2012). Figure 1
227 shows the number of protest events that targeted local, national and international

228 issues over the 1997-2013 period. Local issues featured most strongly over the period
229 until 2009 when national protests increased in relative importance.

230

231

Figure 1 here

232

233 An examination of the relationship between issue area and scale enables identification
234 of patterns in the protests observed. Although the majority of the protest actions
235 captured occurred at the local or national scale, there is significant variation across the
236 issues (see Table 1). Issues that impact more clearly on local communities
237 (developmentⁱⁱ and pollution/waste/water) were more likely to see protests at this
238 scale.

239

240

Table 1 here

241

242 Actions recorded as being undertaken range from marches involving the display of
243 banners and costumes, through to clandestine attempts to infiltrate and occupy mine
244 sites and uproot GE field trials. Figure 2 shows the prevalence of different forms of
245 action over the period, with the four categories identified by Tarrow (2012) to capture
246 the distinct approaches.ⁱⁱⁱ The pattern of protest actions was relatively uniform across
247 the scales, as it could be argued that those involved adopted the methods that most
248 suited the available opportunities. The data also show that the places targeted varied
249 slightly depending on the scale. The main target of protest actions at all scales were
250 urban areas, with 45.3% of all events located here. Local protests showed a greater
251 likelihood of targeting official buildings (26.7%) than national protests (11.1%),
252 although this is possibly corrected in the higher number of national protests (11.1%)

253 targeting Parliament than those focused on local issues (1.2%). Actions at the local
254 and national scale involving coastal locations (10.3% and 13.0%) and workplaces
255 (10.9% and 13.0%) showed the importance of these settings. Finally, other settings
256 (such as farmland, forests and wetlands) featured more in local actions (17.0%).

257

258 *Figure 2 here*

259

260 The data presented suggests that protests operate across the three scales, with a
261 relatively even split between the local and national scale. Decisions made by actors
262 involved in protest about the scale at which to stage actions will be determined by the
263 nature of the issue and the targeted actor. Although Table 1 shows differing
264 approaches to scale adopted by issue area, the data does not provide any indication of
265 change over time and the willingness or ability of the actors involved to move
266 between scales. Examining changes in scale over time (scale jumping or dropping) is
267 important and may point to variations over time as movement actors are forced to
268 adapt to the external environment, capitalising on opening and closing opportunity
269 structures.

270

271 **Shifting Scales in Environmental Protest Campaigns**

272

273 Fluctuations in environmental protest actions outlined show the importance of scale.
274 In seeking to challenge actions by the state or other actors, protests have been targeted
275 where they will have the most impact. Governance structures in New Zealand give
276 regional and local institutions significant authority to manage environmental issues
277 (see Jackson and Dixon, 2007). Issues of development, pollution, and waste are more

278 immediately identifiable as tangible concerns to local communities, potentially aiding
279 the development of durable networks (Teo and Loosemore, 2011). This immediacy is
280 significant, as it enables the community to organise and use localised pressures to
281 challenge those seen as responsible, as they reside in the same community. By
282 contrast, issues that occur at the national or international scale are more likely to be
283 dominated by professionalised, technocratic decisions (see Bührs, 2003), with the
284 actors involved in opposing and supporting the issue more dispersed.

285

286 Protests organised by local people over local issues are likely to have a more
287 immediate impact on decision-makers. The ability to affect change in this way is
288 central in the notion of instrumentality and the use of protest to block certain actions.

289 However, as Kelloway et al (2010: 20) argue:

290 perceived instrumentality is not limited to the potential to right a wrong or necessarily
291 restore distributive justice in a tangible way. Rather, protest activities... can be viewed
292 as instrumental when they serve to express dissatisfaction with the current state of
293 affairs or draw attention to unjust practice.

294 Protest actions at the local scale are able to call more readily on this sense of
295 instrumentality, through obstructing planned construction or demolition activities and
296 through presenting more direct challenges to those making the decision, on the
297 understanding that their proximity makes them less able to neglect the concerns of the
298 community (see Klandermans, 2002).

299

300 The challenges of operating primarily at the national scale are illustrated by
301 campaigns over GE and mineral extraction. The potential economic importance of
302 new technologies and natural resources mean that they are under the control of the

303 national government when decisions about their application are being made. The
304 national scale of these policies also means that they are often less geographically
305 focused, requiring opponents to operate at this level.^{iv} There may also be cross-scale
306 challenges, as opposition at the national level may not be supported locally, as the
307 community may rely on the income generated in the absence of other options,
308 potentially setting up a conflict between locals and ‘greenies’ (see Walton, 2007). To
309 effectively understand the ways in which protest campaigns manage issues of scale
310 the paper now turns to consider opposition to the introduction of GE (primarily field
311 trials) and mineral extraction. These issue areas are significant, as they saw sustained
312 and widespread protest actions over the period and divergent approaches to issues of
313 scale.

314

315 *Genetic Engineering*

316 Public opposition to GE first emerged in 1998 with two protest gatherings at scientific
317 seminars being held on the new technology. The number of events increased
318 significantly in 1999 as the issue entered the political agenda and media, resulting in
319 the first crop trashing, marches and gatherings outside hearings into applications
320 being held by the Environmental Risk Management Agency (ERMA). This
321 expression of concern led to the establishment of a Royal Commission on Genetic
322 Modification (RCGM) in July 2000 and the imposition of a moratorium on field trials
323 (Wright and Kurian, 2010). Reporting back in July 2001, the RCGM recommended
324 allowing commercial field trials (Weaver, 2010), going against the majority of
325 submissions and public opinion (Henderson, 2005). To allow time to develop the
326 necessary regulatory frameworks, the government put in place a temporary
327 moratorium on field trials until October 2003 (Weaver, 2010). In the face of the drive

328 towards the likely resumption of field trials, protests continued through 2001 and into
329 2002.

330

331 In September 2003 there was a surge in protest events as activists sought to push the
332 government to make the moratorium permanent. This period also saw a change in the
333 character of the protests with organisations such as Greenpeace and Mothers Against
334 Genetic Engineering (MAdGE) taking the lead in staging large, colourful protests in
335 the major centres and at Parliament. Despite opposition, the moratorium was lifted at
336 the end of October. This event saw a fall in the number of protest events, as attention
337 shifted to ERMA and its responsibility for approving applications for field trials. The
338 change presented a challenge as the issue shifted from being political in nature
339 (maintaining or lifting the moratorium) to becoming a technical and regulatory matter.
340 Although sporadic protests continued, involving sporadic crop trashing and protests at
341 ERMA hearings, the number of protests declined substantially.

342

343 *Figure 3 here*

344

345 The form of protest across the local and national scale during the GE campaign can
346 provide some insight into why the number of protest events faded so quickly. As
347 Figure 3 shows, opposition to GE was primarily targeted at the national scale. Events
348 between 1998 and 2003 were centred on the establishment of a Royal Commission
349 and then making the moratorium permanent, actions that were decided by the national
350 government. When the moratorium was lifted the campaign was unable to move to the
351 local scale, as less attention had been focused here, preventing the application of
352 effective local networks. Although the character of the issue had changed, the

353 regulatory practices did not preclude operation at this scale, given the necessity for
354 hearings and notifications. From this perspective it could therefore be argued that the
355 fall in the opposition to GE resulted from the absence of a network (or potential to
356 build one) locally.

357

358 *Mineral Exploration and Extraction*

359 In contrast to the opposition to GE, protests over mineral exploration and extraction
360 show a more varied picture. The first major series of protest events recorded over this
361 period involved the actions of SHV in opposition to coal mining on the West Coast of
362 the South Island. This group engaged in a series of actions over the 2004-2009 period,
363 ranging from occupation of the proposed mine site to organised marches in the major
364 cities. This campaign was significant as it challenged a major state owned enterprise
365 and also resulted in accusations of infiltration by paid informers (see O'Brien, 2015).
366 Although the campaign focused on the preservation of an ecologically sensitive area,
367 it was also linked to groups and actors focused on issues of climate change. The
368 location of the mine on the remote West Coast meant that there was little local
369 support, as mining was seen as a necessary industry following the end of native forest
370 logging in the region (Conradson and Pawson, 2009; Memon and Wilson, 2007). The
371 inability of the campaign to generate local support coupled with the economic
372 importance of mining in the region in part explain why it was ultimately unsuccessful
373 in halting the operation.

374

375 With the exception of the SHV campaign, mineral exploration did not feature in
376 environmental protest actions until 2010. Plans by the National-led government
377 (elected in 2008) to prioritise mineral resources as a means of generating economic

378 growth resulted in some highly contentious policy positions being advanced,
379 generating widespread opposition (Rudzitis and Bird, 2011). One of the most
380 contested was the decision to open the national parks estate for mining, which saw
381 large-scale, widespread protests, including the largest protest gathering recorded in
382 the catalogue on May 5 2010 when 50, 000 people marched through Auckland
383 (NZPA, 2010). This reverence for protected areas echoes Tuan's (1979: 413)
384 observation that "Wilderness areas in the United States are sacred places with well-
385 defined boundaries, into which one enters with, metaphorically speaking, unshod
386 feet." The suggestion that the state could violate these protected places was seen as a
387 challenge to national identity.

388

389 *Figure 4 here*

390

391 The wave of protest actions that followed the proposed plans to mine in national parks
392 saw the government back down and refocus its attention on other forms of mineral
393 extraction. As Figure 4 notes, the level of opposition to exploration and extraction of
394 minerals, gas and oil was maintained at the national scale. However, there was also an
395 increase at the local and international scales. This was driven by the range of actions
396 the state was planning to undertake in relation to mineral extraction, involving off-
397 shore oil drilling (O'Brien 2013b), mining (Rudzitis and Bird, 2011), and fracking
398 (Walter, 2012). In contrast to the earlier protests involving SHV, the more recent
399 actions targeted planned projects that threatened to impact local livelihoods (farming,
400 tourism, fisheries) and involved large foreign companies seeking to bring new
401 technologies. The change in the nature of the protest actions should therefore be seen
402 as an attempt by the actors involved to shift scale (both up and down) in response to

403 changes in the external context.

404

405 The campaigns around GE and mineral exploration demonstrate the importance of
406 scale in environmental protest actions. Opposition to GE and the widespread
407 campaign this engendered was unable to withstand a change in the opportunity
408 structure. Opposition to GE field trials was more heavily focused on urban settings,
409 official buildings, Parliament and workplaces, due to the uncertain location of the
410 proposed future trials. The lack of places to target restricted the ability of those
411 involved to down-scale to engage in more localised campaigning after the moratorium
412 was lifted. By contrast, the opposition to mineral exploration and extraction was able
413 to operate across scales, shifting to localised protest actions as actions shifted from
414 planned operations to implementation. It also operated targeted a wider range of
415 places, staging a number of protests in coastal and rural settings, drawing on the
416 resonance of these places in terms of identity and also attempting to highlight the
417 specific places that would be damaged. The contrast between the actions of SHV and
418 the later protest actions is also illustrative, as SHV's inability to cultivate local
419 support prevented it establishing a base at that level, allowing it to be portrayed as a
420 group of outsiders unconnected to local issues.

421

422 Placing these protest campaigns in the context of environmental protest over the
423 period also adds to our understanding of the importance of changes in the political
424 opportunity structure. Protests became more pronounced at the national scale in 2009,
425 coinciding with a change to a right-of-centre government focused on economic
426 development. As Figure 1 shows, prior to this point the majority of protests were local
427 in scale, with the exception of 1999 and 2003 due to campaigns around native forest

428 logging and GE. The change in government led to a closing of avenues for
429 participation and consultation, as the focus changed to extraction of natural resources,
430 which is illustrated by the creation of the Ministry for Primary Industries in April
431 2012, merging the Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry, Ministry of Fisheries and
432 New Zealand Food Safety Authority (Duncan and Chapman, 2012). Faced with
433 closed opportunity structures in particular issue areas (GE and mineral extraction)
434 protest actions made use of demonstrational actions (Figure 2). The prevalence of this
435 form of action reinforces the importance of WUNC (worthiness, unity, numbers, and
436 commitment) as a means of generating legitimacy and support (Tilly and Wood,
437 2009).

438

439 **Conclusion**

440

441 The environmental movement in New Zealand has demonstrated a diversity of
442 approaches during the 1997-2013 period. Actors engaged in a wide range of activities,
443 ranging from large colourful marches through to clandestine acts involving
444 occupation and crop destruction. The control and determination of spatial
445 understandings by formal authorities makes transgressive actions more effective in
446 presenting a challenge to accepted understandings of social ordering.

447

448 Actions that fall within the prescribed boundaries can also be effective if they are able
449 to demonstrate WUNC, primarily in the form of marches and demonstrations. In
450 addition, issue areas saw variation in spatial scale (from the local to the international)
451 depending on the issue being pursued. Social movements engage with these issues of
452 scale in a variety of ways, all of which seek to advance the perceived significance of

453 and support for their claim. Scalar strategies play an important role in shaping the
454 ability of environmental campaigns to express claims and advance interests. The data
455 examined show that the level of local scale protests remained relatively stable, while
456 that of nationally focused actions was more erratic.

457

458 Although there was consistency in the form of actions undertaken across the issue
459 areas, there was more variation in the scale at which protests took place. To a large
460 extent this was governed by the character of the issue, with issues of pollution and
461 development operating overwhelmingly at the local scale, whereas protests around
462 climate change and GE were governed more clearly at the national scale. Where the
463 geographical focus was less obvious or where the site of the action was less hospitable
464 to the claims being made there was potential to shift scale to generate new allies and
465 modes of challenge.

466

467 The ability and willingness of campaigns to shift scales was clearly seen in the
468 different trajectories and experiences of campaigns over GE and mineral extraction.
469 Opposition to mineral extraction was initially focused at the national scale,
470 challenging government plans to open mining in particularly important places
471 (national parks), but was able to generate support at the local scale as exploration (for
472 gas and oil in particular) started to take place. This stands in direct contrast to the
473 experience of the campaign against GE, where the focused nature of the issue (lifting
474 of the moratorium) meant that it was more difficult to shift scales as the regulatory
475 environment changed. The national character of the GE campaign limited the ability
476 to move to the local scale to capitalise on opportunities at this scale by organising
477 challenges to ERMA hearings and field trials in diverse settings.

478

479 The findings of the analysis therefore suggest that scale is a significant consideration
480 in shaping environmental protest. Decisions made by actors on where to target claims,
481 how to express these claims, and what actions to take are conditioned by the external
482 environment. The ability of actors in a campaign to move between scales is essential
483 in enabling them to capitalise on opportunities and operate at the scale where their
484 impact will be greatest and opposition can be best managed.

485

ⁱ Grey and Sedgwick (2013) also note that funding for the non-profit sector generally has been severely curtailed by the political environment.

ⁱⁱ Development includes protest actions over planned subdivisions, cell towers, road building, and demolition.

ⁱⁱⁱ Up to four actions were coded for each protest event, resulting in 812 actions in total. The four categories are broken down into – Appeal – present, address; Demonstrational – gather, display, march, perform, costume, replant; Confrontational – disrupt, chant, enter, obstruct, occupy; Violent – damage.

^{iv} Although it could be argued that GE crops and mine sites have physical locations, their dispersed nature and distance from population centres means that they are less immediately accessible.

486 **References**

487

488 Bührs T 2003. From diffusion to defusion: The roots and effects of environmental
489 innovation in New Zealand. *Environmental Politics* 12(3), 83-101.

490

491 Cheng A, Kruger, L, Daniels S 2003. 'Place' as an integrating concept in natural
492 resource politics: Propositions for a social science research agenda. *Society and*
493 *Natural Resources* 16(2): 87-104.

494

495 Conradson D, Pawson E 2009. New cultural economies of marginality: Revisiting the
496 West Coast, South Island, New Zealand. *Journal of Rural Studies* 25(1): 77-86.

497

498 Cresswell T 2008. Place: Encountering geography as philosophy. *Geography* 6(3):
499 132-39.

500

501 Downes D 2000. The New Zealand environmental movement and the politics of
502 inclusion. *Australian Journal of Political Science* 35(3): 471-91.

503

504 Duncan G, Chapman J 2012. Better public services? Public management and the New
505 Zealand model. *Public Policy* 7: 151-66.

506

507 Earl J, Martin A, McCarthy J, Soule S 2004. The use of newspaper data in the study
508 of collective action. *Annual Review of Sociology* 30: 65-80.

509

510 Endres D, Senda-Cook S 2011. Location matters: The rhetoric of place in protest.

511 Quarterly Journal of Speech 97(3): 257-82.

512

513 Fillieule O, Jiménez M 2003. The methodology of protest event analysis and the

514 media politics of reporting environmental protest events. In: Rootes C ed.

515 Environmental Protest in Western Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Pp 258-

516 279.

517

518 Gale R 1986. Social movements and the state: The environmental movement,

519 countermovement, and government agencies. Sociological Perspectives 29(2): 202-

520 40.

521

522 Griffin C 2008. 'Cut down by some cowardly miscreants': Plant maiming, or the

523 malicious cutting of flora, as an act of protest in eighteenth- or nineteenth-century

524 rural England. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 33(1): 91-108.

525

526 Jackson T, Dixon J 2007. The New Zealand Resource Management Act: An exercise

527 in delivering sustainable development through an ecological modernisation agenda.

528 Environment and Planning B 34(1): 107-20.

529

530 Jonas A 2006. Pro scale: Further reflections on the 'scale debate' in human

531 geography. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 31(3): 399-406.

532

533 Kelloway E, Francis L, Prosser M, Cameron J 2010. Counterproductive work

534 behavior as protest. Human Resource Management Review 20(1): 18-25.

535

- 536 Klandermans B 2002. How group identification helps to overcome the dilemma of
537 collective action. *American Behavioral Scientist* 45(5): 887-900.
- 538
- 539 Koopmans R, Rucht D 2002. Protest event analysis. In: Klandermans B, Staggenborg
540 S ed. *Methods of Social Movement Research*. Minneapolis, MN: University of
541 Minnesota Press. Pp 231-59.
- 542
- 543 Leitner H, Sheppard E, Sziarto K 2008. The spatialities of contentious politics.
544 *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 33(2): 155-72.
- 545
- 546 MacKinnon, D. (2011) "Reconstructing Scale: Towards a New Scalar Politics",
547 *Progress in Human Geography*, 35(1): 21-36.
- 548
- 549 Mamadouh, V., Kramsch, O. and Van der Velde, M. (2004) "Articulating Local and
550 Global Scales", *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, 95(5): 455-66.
- 551
- 552 Mark A, Turner K, West C 2001. Integrating nature conservation with hydroelectric
553 development: Conflict resolution with lakes Manapouri and Te Anau, Fiordland
554 National Park, New Zealand. *Lake and Reservoir Management* 17(1): 1-16.
- 555
- 556 Marston S 2000. The social construction of scale. *Progress in Human Geography*
557 24(2): 219-42.
- 558
- 559 Memon A, Weber E 2010. Overcoming obstacles to collaborative water governance:
560 Moving toward sustainability in New Zealand. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy*

561 Research, 2(2): 103-16.

562

563 O'Brien T 2012. Environmental Protest in New Zealand (1997-2010). British Journal
564 of Sociology 63(4): 641-61.

565

566 O'Brien T 2013. Fragmentation or evolution? Understanding change within the New
567 Zealand environmental movement. Journal of Civil Society 9(3): 287-99.

568

569 O'Brien T 2013b. Fires and flotillas: Opposition to offshore oil exploration in New
570 Zealand. Social Movement Studies 12(2): 221-26.

571

572 O'Brien, T. 2015a. Social control and trust in the New Zealand environmental
573 movement. Journal of Sociology 51(4): 785-98.

574

575 O'Regan A 2001. Contexts and constraints for NPOs: The case of co-operation in
576 Ireland. Voluntas 12(3): 239-56.

577

578 Pickerill J, Chatterton P 2006. Notes towards autonomous geographies: Creation,
579 resistance and self-management as survival tactics. Progress in Human Geography
580 30(6): 730-46.

581

582 Pickerill J, Kirnsky J 2012. Why does occupy matter? Social Movement Studies 11(3-
583 4): 279-87.

584

585 Rootes C 2007. Environmental movements. In: Snow D, Soule S, Kriesi H ed. The

- 586 Blackwell Companion to Social Movements. Malden: Blackwell. Pp 608-640.
- 587
- 588 Rootes C 2003. The transformation of environmental activism: An introduction. In:
- 589 Rootes C ed. Environmental Protest in Western Europe. Oxford: Oxford University
- 590 Press. Pp 1-19.
- 591
- 592 Rudzitis G, Bird K 2011. The myth and reality of sustainable New Zealand: Mining in
- 593 a pristine land. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development* 53(6):
- 594 16-28.
- 595
- 596 Seijo F 2006. The politics of fire: Spanish forest policy and ritual resistance in
- 597 Galicia, Spain. *Environmental Politics* 14(3): 380-402.
- 598
- 599 Sewell W 2001. Space in contentious politics. In: Aminzade R, Goldstone J, McAdam
- 600 D, Perry E, Sewell W, Tarrow S, Tilly C ed. *Silence and Voice in the Study of*
- 601 *Contentious Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 89-125.
- 602
- 603 Tarrow S 2013. *The language of contention: Revolutions in words, 1688-2012*.
- 604 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 605
- 606 Tarrow S 2012. *Power in movement: Social movements and contentious politics*.
- 607 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 608
- 609 Teo M, Loosemore M 2011. Community-based protest against construction projects:
- 610 A case study of movement continuity. *Construction Management and Economics*

611 29(2): 131-44.

612

613 Tilly C 2008. Contentious performances. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

614

615 Tilly C, Tarrow S 2007. Contentious politics. Boulder: Paradigm Press.

616

617 Tilly C, Wood L 2009. Social movements, 1768-2008 (2nd ed). Boulder: Paradigm

618 Publishers Ltd.

619

620 Toke D 2011. Ecological modernisation, social movements and renewable energy.

621 Environmental Politics 20(1): 60-77.

622

623 Tuan Y 1979. Space and place: A humanistic perspective. In: Gale S, Olsson G ed.

624 Philosophy in Geography. Dordrecht: D. Riedel Publishing. Pp 387-427.

625

626 Walter S 2012. A fracking “nuisance”. Environmental Policy and Law 42(4-5): 268-

627 73.

628

629 Walton S 2007. Site the mine in our backyard! Discursive strategies of community

630 stakeholders in an environmental conflict in New Zealand. Organization and

631 Environment 20(2): 177-203.

632

633 **Figure 1** Annual protest events by scale

634 **Figure 2** Forms of protest¹

¹ The figure considers the combined actions at the local, national and international scales, as there was

635 **Figure 3** GE protest events by scale

636 **Figure 4** Mineral exploration protest events by scale

637

no significant difference between them.

638 **Table 1** Frequency of protest event by issue group and scale (1997-2013)

Issue	Local	National	International
Animal Rights	52.9 (9)	41.2 (7)	5.9 (1)
Climate Change	22.2 (6)	40.7 (11)	37.0 (10)
Development	91.2 (31)	8.8 (3)	0.0 (0)
GE	8.5 (5)	84.7 (50)	6.8 (4)
Mining/Oil/Gas	28.2 (22)	65.4 (51)	6.4 (5)
Pollution/Waste/Water	78.9 (45)	14.0 (8)	7.0 (4)
Forestry/Fishery/Conservation	55.3 (47)	28.2 (24)	16.5 (14)
Total	100 (165)	100 (154)	100 (38)

639

640

641 **Appendix** News source, availability, and circulation

642

Source	Start	End	Circulation (2012)†
The New Zealand Herald	Apr-94		162,181
The Evening Post*	Dec-95	Jul-02	..
The Dominion*	Dec-95	Jul-02	..
Waikato Times	Jul-96		34,843
Sunday Star Times	Jul-96		131,196
Taranaki Daily News	Jan-97		21,511
The Press	Jan-97		75,034
The Timaru Herald	Jan-97		13,147
Manawatu Standard	Feb-97		17,000
The Southland Times	Mar-97		26,765
NZPA§	May-97	Aug-11	..
Nelson Mail	Jul-97		14,197
The Dominion-Post	Jul-02		79,438
Otago Daily Times	Aug-02		38,064
Marlborough Express	Jan-05		7,969
Bay of Plenty Times	Jun-05		18,504
The Daily Post	Jun-05		9,343
Hawke's Bay Today	Jun-05		23,161
The Northern Advocate	Jun-05		12,777

643

644 † Source:

645 <http://newspaper.abc.org.nz/audit.html?org=npa&publicationid=%25&mode=embarg>

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Science on 16 April 2015, available online:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1177083X.2015.1012170>

646 o&npa_admin=1&publicationtype=19&memberid=%25&type=%25 [accessed 17

647 May 2013]

648 * Replaced by *Dominion-Post* in 2002

649 § *New Zealand Press Association* – closed August 2011

650