UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Indian monsoon variability in response to orbital forcing during the late Pliocene.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/140196/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Prescott, C, Haywood, A orcid.org/0000-0001-7008-0534, Dolan, A et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Indian monsoon variability in response to orbital forcing during the late Pliocene. Global and Planetary Change, 173. pp. 33-46. ISSN 0921-8181

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.12.002

(c) 2018, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

INDIAN MONSOON VARIABILITY IN RESPONSE TO ORBITAL FORCING DURING THE LATE PLIOCENE

3 4

5

¹*Prescott, C.L., ¹Haywood, A.M., ¹Dolan, A.M., ¹Hunter, S.J. and ¹Tindall, J.C.

⁶ ¹School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, UK, LS2 9JT

7 *Corresponding author: C.L. Prescott (js07c2lp@leeds.ac.uk).

8 Abstract

9 The Asian monsoon is a major component of the global climate system and can be divided into two 10 subsystems, the Indian monsoon and the East Asian monsoon. Insights into monsoon behaviour and 11 dynamics can be gained through studying past warm intervals in Earth's history. One such interval is the Pliocene epoch, specifically the mid-Piacenzian Warm Period (mPWP; 3.264 - 3.025 Ma). This 12 time is characterised as a period of sustained warmth, with annual mean temperatures 2 to 3°C higher 13 than the pre-industrial era. Studies have examined the East Asian monsoon during the mPWP from 14 both a geological data and climate modelling perspective. However, there has been little investigation 15 into the behaviour of the Indian monsoon. Using a coupled atmosphere-ocean global climate model 16 (HadCM3), the Indian summer monsoon response to orbital forcing during the mPWP is studied. 17

18

Of the simulated interglacial events (Marine Isotope Stages KM5c, KM3, K1 and G17), MIS KM5c 19 is the only one with a near-modern orbital forcing. This experiment is compared to a pre-industrial 20 simulation to determine the nature of the mPWP Indian summer monsoon in the absence of a different 21 pattern if insolation forcing. The monsoon at MIS KM5c, is simulated to be stronger than pre-22 industrial, with higher surface air temperatures and precipitation over land due to higher levels of 23 24 CO₂. MIS G17, K1, and KM3 represent interglacial events of similar magnitude with different insolation forcing than MIS KM5c. The Indian summer monsoon is simulated to be significantly 25 26 stronger for the interglacials K1 and KM3, compared to KM5c. This is due to stronger precession forcing causing an increase in summer surface air temperature and precipitation. When combined 27 28 with Pliocene geological boundary conditions, these results highlight the significant effect of orbital forcing on the strength of the Indian summer monsoon. The sensitivity of the Indian monsoon to 29 30 orbital forcing has important implications for any parallels drawn between Pliocene and future 31 monsoon behaviour.

- 32
- 33
- 34

35 1. Introduction

36

37 1.1 The Asian monsoon and the Pliocene

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) defines monsoons as a seasonal 38 39 phenomenon responsible for producing the majority of wet-season rainfall within the tropics (Christensen et al., 2013). Monsoon circulation is driven by the difference in temperature between 40 41 land and sea, which varies seasonally with the distribution of solar heating (Christensen et al., 2013). 42 The duration and amount of rainfall depends on the moisture content of the air and on the configuration and strength of atmospheric circulation (Christensen et al., 2013). The Asian monsoon 43 represents a major component of the global climate system and influences societal and economic 44 activity for almost two thirds of the world's population (Webster et al., 1998; Ao et al., 2016). The 45 strength and variability of the Asian monsoon has been, and continues to be, crucial to the prosperity 46 of the region (Clift and Plumb, 2008). The Asian monsoon includes at least two subsystems: The 47 Indian monsoon (or South Asian monsoon) and the East Asian monsoon roughly divided at ~105°E 48 (Wang et al., 2005). The two systems are linked and respond to the strength of the continental high 49 and low-pressure cells. However, they also have significant differences due to different land-sea 50 51 distributions (Wang et al., 2005).

52

Valuable insights into future monsoon behaviour may be gained by investigating monsoon behaviour 53 during past warm intervals. One of these past warm intervals is the Pliocene epoch (5.33-2.58 Ma). 54 The Pliocene maybe particularly useful for understanding future climate dynamics due to similar 55 continental configurations, land elevations and ocean bathymetries to the present day (Haywood et 56 al., 2016) and warming being related, at least in part to CO₂ forcing. The mPWP is characterised as 57 a period of sustained warmth in Earth's history with annual mean temperatures thought to be 2-3°C 58 higher than pre-industrial (Haywood & Valdes, 2004; Haywood et al., 2013). A considerable effort 59 has been made to understand the climate of the mPWP through a combination of modelling and 60 61 geological data reconstruction.

62

There are a number of studies of the East Asian monsoon behaviour in the Pliocene from both a data and modelling perspective. Published work on the Chinese Loess Plateau indicates an enhanced East Asian Summer Monsoon (EASM) during the Piacenzian Stage (Ding et al., 2001; Ao et al., 2016), and a relatively weak East Asian Winter Monsoon (EAWM; Chen et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007). Yan et al. (2012) found a stronger than present EASM using the Community Atmosphere Model version 3.1 (CAM3.1) but could not reproduce the weakened EAWM seen in the proxy data. A multi69 model comparison of the East Asian monsoon from the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project 70 (PlioMIP) (Zhang et al., 2013) found that East Asian Summer Winds largely strengthen in monsoonal 71 China which qualitatively agrees with geological reconstructions, a discrepancy between the different 72 models was noted when simulating the East Asian Winter Winds. However, six models simulated a weakened mid-Pliocene East Asian winter winds and nine models more intense (Zhang et al., 2013). 73 74 The weakened East Asian winter winds were caused by larger decreases in the sea-level pressure gradient in the boreal winter due to stronger winter warming over China than the multi-model mean. 75 76 These different responses to the same radiative forcing in the PlioMIP ensemble are speculated to be related to independent changes in boundary conditions (e.g. land-cover/vegetation) and/or physical 77 78 processes and parameterisation in the models (Zhang et al., 2013).

79

80 1.2 Indian Monsoon

There has been less investigation into the behaviour of the Indian monsoon in the Pliocene and this 81 study focuses on that sub-system of the Asian monsoon (Fig. 1). The present day Indian monsoon is 82 83 driven by large seasonal variations in wind direction over the Indian subcontinent and surrounding oceans (Gadgil et al., 2003). Due to the seasonal cycle of solar heating during boreal spring, the south 84 Asian landmass is warmed faster than the ocean, owing to differences in heat capacity (Turner & 85 86 Annamalai, 2012). This results in the formation of a surface heat low over northern India and winds are driven from the southwest to northeast towards the continent. In contrast in winter (November to 87 88 February), the pressure cells reverse and winds flow from northeast to southwest (Gupta & Anderson, 2005). This pattern of seasonally reversing winds transports moisture from over the warm Indian 89 90 Ocean and ultimately contributes 80% of annual rainfall to south Asia between June and September 91 (Turner & Annamalai, 2012). In contrast, during winter the dry continental air blows from the 92 northeast which results in very low rainfall.

93

94 1.2.1 The Pliocene Indian Monsoon

95 Due to limited availability of high temporal resolution marine sediments and few terrestrial records 96 describing the history of the Indian monsoon variability, the nature of Indian monsoon variability in the Pliocene remains largely unknown. A terrestrial sedimentary sequence from the Yanmou Basin 97 in Southwest China, where the climate is thought to be controlled by the Indian monsoon in summer 98 bringing rainfall from the tropical Indian Ocean to the Basin, was presented by Chang et al. (2010). 99 A general trend of increased clay and clay plus fine silt fractions accompanied by an increase in 100 sedimentation rate was found. This suggested a gradual intensification of the Indian summer monsoon 101 from 3.57 to 2.78 Ma. A terrestrial study using the leaf physiognomic spectrum examined the late 102

Pliocene Longmen flora on the eastern side of Mt Gaoligong and Mt Nu in western Yunnan (Western China) (Su et al., 2013). The results indicated that the Asian monsoon during the late Pliocene was not as strong as present day in western Yunnan. There was however, an amplification from the late Miocene to the late Pliocene (Su et al., 2013). However, since the western Yunnan experiences both the East Asian monsoon and the Indian monsoon (Wang, 2006) this study could not robustly distinguish the two.

109

Sediments deposited in the Himalayas foreland of early Miocene to Pliocene age are known as the Siwalik group. Carbon and oxygen isotope ratios of soil carbonate nodules, and carbon isotope ratio of associated organic matter, were measured from three Indian Siwalik successions. Variations in soil carbonate δ^{18} O suggest a clear onset of the monsoon system at 6 Ma, with a peak of intensity at 5.5 Ma, followed by a gradual decrease in monsoon strength until it attained modern-like conditions with minor fluctuations (Sanyal et al., 2004).

116

Mohan and Gupta (2011) analysed a 5.6-million-year proxy record of surface dwelling planktic 117 foraminifera from Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 219. They suggest that the monsoon regime over 118 Site 219 in the southeast Arabian Sea switched between summer (southwest) and winter (northeast) 119 monsoons on glacial-interglacial timescales, with more influence of the summer monsoon during 120 warm periods and the winter monsoon during cold periods. A major shift in the physical character of 121 the surface ocean in the southeast Arabian Sea was observed at ~3.4 Ma, indicating a change in 122 monsoon wind intensities, and a switch to surface productivity being driven by winter monsoon winds 123 124 linked to the expansion of the Northern Hemisphere glaciation (Mohan & Gupta, 2011). Gupta and 125 Thomas (2003) found an important change in monsoon behaviour between 3.2 and 2.5 Ma in their analysis of benthic foraminifera from Site 758 in the northern Indian Ocean. They found indications 126 127 of high seasonality, demonstrated by a faunal change between 3.2 and 2.5 Ma consisting of a change from overall high-productivity, non-opportunistic species-dominated biofacies, to biofacies 128 dominated by opportunist species (Gupta & Thomas, 2003). 129

130

In a multi-proxy organic geochemical record from Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 231 in the Gulf of Aden spanning 5.3 – 2 Ma, warm subsurface ocean temperatures were found in the earliest Pliocene with ocean temperatures cooling after 5 Ma (Liddy et al., 2016). A transition to arid conditions on land was found at 4.3 Ma appearing to be due to an atmospheric response to cooling ocean temperatures. The authors suggest this may reflect changes in tropical ocean circulation or the intensification of Indian Monsoon winds (Liddy et al., 2016). Another multiproxy study of a sediment core from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 722 in the Arabian Sea found an alkenone sea surface temperature (SST) record with similar trends to the global benthic foraminifera δ^{18} O record over the past 11 Ma showing low amplitude variations from 11 to 5 Ma, a slight decrease in temperature from 5 to 4 Ma, followed by high amplitude variability from 4 to 0.7 Ma (Huang et al., 2007).

141

Given the ambiguity or lack of consistency of proxy-based interpretations listed above, the nature of the Indian monsoon and its variability during the Pliocene is unclear and can be interpreted several ways. Overall the proxy reconstructions indicate high variability in the Indian monsoon throughout the Pliocene with some proxies suggesting an intensification from the Miocene while others indicate a decrease in strength.

147

In the first climate modelling study of the Indian monsoon during the Pliocene, the atmosphere only 148 149 Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4) was used to investigate the effects of different PRISM3 boundary conditions on the simulation of the summer monsoon (Zhang & Zhang, 2017). 150 The impact of altered mid-Piacenzian topography, land cover and combined CO₂ and SSTs were 151 compared to each other and to a pre-industrial simulation. The study found the combined CO₂ 152 concentration (405ppm), and PRISM3 SSTs, to be the most important factor responsible for 153 simulating the largest difference between the mid-Piacenzian and pre-industrial summer monsoons 154 (Zhang & Zhang, 2017). In comparison, the changes in vegetation and topography had a limited effect 155 on the intensification of the Indian monsoon (Zhang & Zhang, 2017). The simulations analysed in 156 this study all had a modern orbit and, in the concluding, remarks the authors suggest that further 157 investigation into the effect of orbital forcing on the predicted monsoon is necessary. 158

159

160 1.2.2 The effect of orbital forcing on the Indian monsoon

There is a wide range of evidence from different environmental indicators over land, ice and ocean 161 that the Asian monsoon varies depending on insolation (Wang et al., 2005; Braconnot et al., 2008), 162 and that orbital forcing has affected the long term evolution of the Asian monsoon (Liu & Shi, 2009). 163 Variations in the Earth's orbit cause shifts in the distribution of incoming solar radiation to Earth 164 (Hays et al., 1976; Berger, 1978). Precession, obliquity and eccentricity are three parameters of the 165 earth's orbit controlling this distribution of solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere (Liu & Shi, 166 2009). Precession controls the amount of insolation that reaches Earth specifically as a function of 167 seasons (Overpeck et al., 1996). It is the key parameter that determines at which time in the year 168 maximum or minimum insolation occurs, as well as length of the seasons (Berger, 1988). Summer 169 insolation is largest for periods where the Earth is near the perihelion of its orbit in summer. The 170 resulting continental heating over the Northern Hemisphere cause an intensification of monsoon flow 171

(Prell & Kutzbach, 1987). There is a clear link between orbital forcing, specifically the precession 172 cycle and the strength of monsoons (Clemens & Prell, 1990; Braconnot & Marti, 2003). Changes in 173 climate boundary conditions, such as ice volume, snow cover in the Himalayas, sea surface 174 175 temperatures (SSTs), albedo, and atmospheric gas concentrations, modulate the response of the monsoon to solar insolation (Prell & Kutzbach, 1992; deMenocal & Rind, 1993; Overpeck et al., 176 177 1996). It is therefore an oversimplification to assume that all summer monsoons during interglacials 178 are strong in the same way that not all summer monsoons during glacial times are weak (Prell & 179 Kutzbach, 1992).

180

181 In general, efforts in modelling and reconstructing the Piacenzian stage (including the PlioMIP project) have predominantly focused on reconstructing an average Pliocene climate. This includes 182 183 Pliocene modelling studies looking at the behaviour of monsoon systems (such as Zhang et al., 2013). Recently however, efforts have been made to try to understand how the climate varies, even within 184 this 'stable' warm period. In Prescott et al. (2014) large seasonal temperature differences were seen 185 186 between simulations of two interglacials with different orbital forcings. Building on this, the monsoonal variation within the Piacenzian Stage was investigated by simulating and comparing the 187 Indian monsoon in four Late Pliocene Interglacial time slices with different orbits. An aspect of this 188 study that differs from the majority of current Pliocene literature is that instead of analysing idealised 189 190 orbital forcing experiments or hypothetical scenarios, actual orbital forcing parameters corresponding to the four largest interglacial peaks seen in the LR04 benthic oxygen isotope stack (Lisiecki & 191 Raymo, 2005) within the Piacenzian stage have been used. While it might be expected that interglacial 192 193 periods would display strong monsoons, as has been shown in the Quaternary (Prell & Campo, 1986), 194 the orbital forcing study of Prescott et al. (2014) found that interglacials within the Piacenzian stage vary in magnitude. More specifically, a recent vegetation modelling study looking at the same four 195 196 largest interglacial peaks (Prescott et al., 2018) found particularly large seasonal changes in surface air temperatures (SAT) and vegetation over the Asian continent. 197

198

The four Pliocene interglacials are simulated using the Met Office Hadley Centre Global Coupled 199 model, HadCM3; Marine Isotope Stages (MIS) KM5c (3.205 Ma), KM3 (3.155 Ma), K1 (3.060 Ma) 200 201 and G17 (2.950 Ma) (Fig. 2). These are the four most negative benthic oxygen isotope excursions 202 seen in the LR04 benthic oxygen isotope stack (Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005) during the Piacenzian Stage. The specific orbit used in the simulations represents the peak of each interglacial event. 203 204 Haywood et al. (2013) show that the peak of MIS KM5c is characterised by a near modern orbital forcing within a period of low eccentricity and low precession (Laskar et al., 2004; Prescott et al., 205 2014). In this study, therefore, when examining changes in the climatology in the simulations of the 206

four interglacials, KM5c is considered as the control Pliocene experiment. Here, the Indian monsoon
in KM5c is compared to the pre-industrial and then KM5c is compared to the other three interglacials
(G17, K1, and KM3) that display a different pattern of orbital forcing.

210

211 1.3 Specific research questions:

- 1) How does HadCM3 simulate the Indian Monsoon in the Pliocene for MIS KM5c (an
 interglacial with a near modern orbit) compared to the pre-industrial era?
- 214 2) How does the simulation of the Indian monsoon change when simulating three further215 Pliocene interglacials with orbital forcing substantially different to modern?
- 3) What does the modelled variability in the Indian monsoon behaviour imply about interpreting
 discrete and often time specific proxy records of Indian monsoon behaviour?
- 218

219 **2. Methods**

- 220
- 221 2.1 The climate model

222 The simulations were all carried out using HadCM3. HadCM3 is a dynamically and thermodynamically coupled atmosphere, ocean and sea ice model. The resolution of the atmosphere 223 is 2.5° in latitude by 3.75° in longitude and contains 19 layers with a time step of 30 minutes. The 224 225 ocean model has a resolution of 1.25 by 1.25 with 20 layers. A full description of the model can be 226 found in Gordon et al. (2000), Cox et al. (1999) and Valdes et al. (2017). HadCM3 has been widely used for palaeoclimate modelling, with simulations of the Last Glacial Maximum and Mid-Holocene 227 228 climates as well as the mPWP and deeper time. The model represents the seasonal cycle of the Indian monsoon well for the modern compared to other similarly complex models. (Turner et al., 2007). The 229 experiments were run for 500 years with the final 100 years used to calculate the required 230 231 climatological averages.

232

In this version of HadCM3, the Met Office Surface Exchange Scheme version 2.1 (MOSES2.1) was 233 used coupled to a dynamic vegetation model (Top-down Representation of Interactive Foliage and 234 Flora Including Dynamics; TRIFFID). TRIFFID computes the structure and distribution of six plant 235 functional types and can be run in both equilibrium and dynamic mode. The equilibrium mode is 236 237 coupled asynchronously to the atmosphere model, with accumulated carbon fluxes passing through MOSES2.1 (Cox 2001). The experiments were run using equilibrium mode for the first 50 years and 238 239 then run with dynamic mode for the remainder of the simulation. Previous modelling studies have demonstrated that the inclusion of dynamic vegetation could contribute to the enhancement of the 240

orbitally-induced monsoon change for both the Holocene and modern (Li et al., 2009). Similarly,
Braconnot et al. (1999) determined the importance of including vegetation feedbacks in simulations
of the African monsoon, yielding model results in better agreement with observations.

244

245 2.2 Boundary conditions

Results are presented from five climate model simulations (Table 1.). Four experiments were run with 246 247 HadCM3 based on the experimental design from the PlioMIP project (Haywood et al., 2010; Bragg et al., 2012), that used PRISM3D boundary conditions (Dowsett et al., 2010) but with the addition of 248 dynamic vegetation and the MOSES2.1 land surface scheme. An experiment with pre-industrial 249 boundary conditions was also run for comparison purposes. As in the PlioMIP project the Pliocene 250 experiments CO₂ concentration is set to 405 ppmv, with other trace gases and aerosols consistent at 251 pre-industrial levels. The PRISM3D ice sheet reconstruction includes a much reduced Greenland ice 252 sheet with East Antarctica showing little change and significant retreat in the Wilkes and Aurora sub-253 glacial basins compared to modern (Haywood et al., 2010). The PRISM3D topographic 254 reconstruction was used to provide palaeogeographic boundary conditions (Sohl et al., 2009). This is 255 256 quite similar to modern apart from the coastline adjusted for the 25m higher than modern sea level, the Hudson Bay filled to low elevation and an absent West Antarctic ice. Most relevant to this study, 257 258 the elevations for the Tibetan Plateau were made roughly consistent with modern day due to uncertainty over the timing of plateau uplift (Sohl et al., 2009). 259

260

261 2.3 Orbital Forcing

While the PlioMIP project specified a modern orbital configuration, here simulations for Marine 262 Isotope Stages (MIS) G17, K1, KM3 and KM5c have been performed using orbital parameters 263 derived from the Laskar et al. (2004) astronomical solution. In order to take into account the changes 264 in the length of the seasons determined by variations in the date of perihelion along a precession 265 cycle, a calendar correction from the modern day calendar is applied, as discussed in Marzocchi et 266 267 al. (2015) using the method documented in Pollard & Reusch (2002). This conversion method estimates angular monthly calendar means from mean model output on a modern calendar, therefore 268 reducing incorrect calendar effects (Pollard & Reusch, 2002). A modification is included from the 269 Pollard and Reush (2002) method, detailed in Marzocchi et al. (2015), to take the 360-day model year 270 simulated in HadCM3 into account. 271

- 272
- 273
- 274

275 2.4 Monsoon Indices

276 To compare the five experiments beyond their climatological patterns, monsoon indices have been 277 calculated (Table 1). The Extended Indian Monsoon Rainfall (EIMR) index, rather than solely looking at rainfall over the Indian subcontinent, includes precipitation over the neighbouring land and 278 279 seas as affected by the Indian monsoon (Goswami et al., 1999). The EIMR index is the average precipitation per day over the area 70°E - 110°E, 10°N - 30°N (shown by the shaded area in Fig. 1). 280 As well as the precipitation-based index, Goswami et al. (1999) proposed that the Hadley circulation 281 represents the strength of the Indian summer monsoon circulation. The shear of meridional wind 282 between the lower and upper troposphere (between 850 and 200 hPa) was found to be a good measure 283 of the Hadley circulation averaged over the same region as the EIMR index (70°E - 110°E, 10°N -284 30°N), therefore the Monsoon Hadley Index (MHI) is also used here: 285

- 286
- 287
- 288

 $MHI = V_{850} - V_{200}$

Where V_{850} and V_{200} are the meridional wind anomalies at 850 hPa and 200 hPa averaged over the summer months (June – September) and over the monsoon region (70°E - 110°E, 10°N - 30°N) (Goswami et al., 1999).

292

293 **3. Results**

294

295 3.1 Comparing the KM5c and pre-industrial simulation

The simulated climate over the Indian monsoon region during summer (JJAS) for KM5c and pre-296 industrial share similar patterns in predicted climate variables albeit with different intensities (Fig. 297 298 3). The simulated seasonal surface air temperature (SAT) for KM5c is higher for both land and ocean than pre-industrial (Fig. 3a). Simulated temperatures reached 43.7°C in KM5c and 43.0°C in pre-299 industrial over northwest India and 49.1°C in KM5c and 45.5°C in pre-industrial over the Middle 300 East (Fig. 3a). When looking at the SAT difference between KM5c and pre-industrial there are 301 individual grid boxes showing SAT differences of up to 8°C higher in KM5c than the pre-industrial 302 (Fig. 3a). The highest SATs are predominantly seen north of 30°N in KM5c, when compared to pre-303 304 industrial, whereas there are similar temperatures over India (31.0°C in KM5c compared to 29.6°C in pre-industrial) with some areas to the northwest of India simulating cooler temperatures than pre-305 industrial (up to $\sim 4^{\circ}$ C) (Fig. 3a). 306

For both KM5c and pre-industrial the highest precipitation occurs in the South China Sea (reaching 308 309 a maximum of 26.4 mm/day in KM5c and 22.9 mm/day in pre-industrial) and the Bay of Bengal (18.8 310 mm/day in KM5c and 15.6 mm/day in pre-industrial) (Fig. 3b). There is also a band of high rainfall 311 between $2 - 10^{\circ}$ N across the Indian Ocean (Fig. 3b). A similar pattern of precipitation over terrestrial areas is predicted in both experiments with high rainfall over most of South and South-East Asia, on 312 313 average 10.3 mm/day for pre-industrial and 10.8 mm/day for KM5c (Fig. 3b). For KM5c however, the model simulates more precipitation (up to ~5 mm/day) across India, into Southern China and the 314 315 northern Bay of Bengal with further increases in the Indian Ocean. The simulated precipitation in KM5c is on average 2.0 mm/day less than pre-industrial across Thailand, Cambodia and into the 316 317 South China Sea (Fig. 3b). The precipitation differences between the two experiments is driven by 318 differences in convective rainfall (Suppl Fig. 3d).

319

The lowest mean sea level pressure (MSLP) in summer occurs over the high topographic area of 320 western China (between $30 - 35^{\circ}$ N) for the KM5c (985 hPa) and the pre-industrial (990 hPa), with 321 322 surrounding areas characterised by higher pressure (on average 1011 hPa over Indian Ocean in preindustrial with KM5c simulating 1008 hPa for the same area) (Fig. 3c). KM5c has lower mean sea 323 level pressure than pre-industrial throughout the whole monsoon area with the largest difference 324 between 32°N and 45°N over continental Asia where the pressure is on average 6.4 hPa lower than 325 the pre-industrial simulation. Whereas between 5°S and 5°N over the Indian Ocean the simulated 326 MSLP for KM5c is only 3.0 hPa lower than the pre-industrial simulation (Fig. 3c). 327

328

Both KM5c and the pre-industrial simulate the same pattern of surface winds blowing inland from the equatorial Indian Ocean, across the Horn of Africa and into continental Asia blowing eastwards across the Arabian Sea into India and across the Bay of Bengal (Fig. 3c). In KM5c the winds are simulated to be weaker than those simulated in the pre-industrial across India and Bay of Bengal but stronger across the Horn of Africa and the Arabian Sea (Fig. 3c) following a pattern of decreased pressure in this area in KM5c compared to pre-industrial.

335

The sea surface temperatures (SSTs) are ~2°C higher in KM5c than pre-industrial with the temperature increase mainly focussed in the Indian Ocean and Bay of Bengal (Fig. 3e). Both KM5c and pre-industrial are most saline in the Arabian Sea and Western Indian Ocean (both approximately 37 PSU) and least saline in the Yellow Sea and the South East Asian Seas (KM5c on average 26.3 PSU and pre-industrial 27.6 PSU) (Suppl. Fig. 3b). The salinity simulated in KM5c is very similar to pre-industrial throughout the monsoon area, apart from in the Yellow Sea which is up to 5 PSUs less saline (Suppl. Fig. 4b). The simulated runoff for both KM5c and pre-industrial predicts high runoff

in areas with the highest simulated precipitation, Southern China, Northeast India and South-East 343 Asia (Suppl. Fig. 3c). For KM5c the model simulates on average 4.7 mm/day over these areas (on 344 345 average 1.8 mm/day more than pre-industrial) and reaches a maximum of 11mm/day (Suppl. Fig. 2c 346 and 3c). High percentage cloud cover is simulated over south and south-east Asia (on average $\sim 80\%$) for both KM5c and pre-industrial with little cloud simulated to western Asia and western Indian 347 348 Ocean, approximately 24% for both (Fig. 3d). The model simulates more cloud cover over India (6% 349 more) for KM5c but in general simulates minimal differences from the pre-industrial simulation (Fig. 350 3d).

351

352 3.2 Comparing G17, K1 and KM3 with the KM5c control

Superficially the patterns of summer SAT are very similar between all simulated interglacials as seen 353 in the figures of absolute model results (Fig. 4). There are however some changes in temperature 354 between KM5c and the other three interglacials. G17, K1 and KM3 simulate higher temperatures 355 over continental Asia than KM5c (average increases of 2.9°C in G17, 6.6°C in K1 and 5.9°C in KM3), 356 357 especially over west Asia/Middle East and 35°N and above over the rest of Asia (Fig. 4). The largest predicted temperature differences are simulated in K1 and KM3 compared to KM5c with a maximum 358 SAT change of 9.3°C in K1 and 10.4°C in KM3 over Asia (Fig. 4). The model simulates some 359 360 warming for all three interglacials over the ocean compared to KM5c, on average 1.2°C warmer in G17, 2.2°C warmer in K1 and 2.0°C warmer in KM3 (averaged over 10°S to 5°N) (Fig. 4). Whereas, 361 362 for all three interglacials the SATs predicted over latitudes 20°N to 30°N show little change from the KM5c control (Fig. 4). There are also areas showing simulated cooling compared to KM5c. The north 363 364 west of India (maximum decrease of 4.7°C in G17, 5.3°C in K1 and 7.1°C in KM3) the southern tip of India (increase of 0.3°C in G17 but up to 4.9°C cooler in K1 and 4.4°C in KM3), and Oman and 365 366 Yemen (maximum decrease of 4.4°C in G17, 5.3°C in K1 and 6.3°C in KM3) (Fig. 4).

367

The model predicts lower MSLP than in KM5c for all three interglacials from 25°N and above, with 368 average decreases of 1.9 hPa in G17, 5.2 hPa in K1 and 4.5 hPa in KM3 (Fig. 5). Slightly lower 369 pressure than KM5c is also predicted over the Indian Ocean (10°S to 5°N), 0.5 hPa in G17, 0.8 hPa 370 in K1 and 0.9 hPa in KM3 (Fig. 5). There is, however, an area of higher pressure compared to KM5c 371 reaching 5.6 hPa in G17, 7.1 hPa in K1 and 7.0 hPa in KM3 (Fig. 5). This area of higher pressure is 372 largest between 25°N and 40°N in the West Pacific Ocean and extends latitudinally across eastern 373 Asia to northern India. There is lower pressure than KM5c across the Middle East but higher pressure 374 across northern and eastern India (Fig. 5). Due to this, there is a decrease in surface wind strength 375 moving from the Arabian Sea into the Indian subcontinent in the interglacials compared to KM5c in 376

addition to the weaker winds that flow across the Bay of Bengal into East Asia (Fig. 5). The lower
pressure compared to KM5c over the Middle East results in increased wind strength from the Gulf of
Aden into Yemen, Oman and Saudi Arabia (Fig. 5).

380

The precipitation changes simulated between the three interglacials and KM5c show greater 381 382 differences than between the Pliocene KM5c control and pre-industrial simulation (Fig. 6). In contrast to the SAT results (Fig. 4), the largest increases in summer precipitation compared to KM5c are seen 383 across northern India and between 20°N and 30°N across the south Asian terrestrial areas reaching 384 385 maximum increases of 4.3 mm/day in G17, 13.0 mm/day in K1 and 11.4 mm/day in KM3 (Fig. 6). The area over India (the All Indian Rainfall (AIR) Lat 7-30°N, Lon 65 - 95°E) simulates on average 386 increases from KM5c of 1.0 mm/day in G17, 2.9 mm/day in K1 and 2.4 mm/day in KM3 (Fig. 6). 387 388 With some decreases in precipitation over the Bay of Bengal (approximately 0.8 mm/day less than KM5c in K1 and KM3) and the East and South China Seas (decrease from KM5c of up to 5.2 mm/day 389 in G17, 8.7 mm/day in K1 and 9.0 mm/day in KM3) and to the east of equatorial Indian Ocean (Fig. 390 6). There are also increases of up to 3.5 mm/day in G17, 4.4 mm/day in K1 and 5.3 mm/day in KM3 391 in the northern Indian Ocean (Fig. 6). 392

393

The increases in precipitation compared to KM5c are driven by a combination of convective rainfall 394 and largescale rainfall, with the changes in largescale rainfall mainly driving the increased band of 395 precipitation across northern India and the decreases of precipitation over oceanic areas due to less 396 convective rainfall in these areas (Suppl. Fig. 4d and 4e). The increased precipitation across terrestrial 397 398 southern Asia is strongly matched by increases in runoff throughout northern India and across China reaching the eastern coast in all three interglacials predicting average runoff increases from KM5c of 399 400 1.5 mm/day in G17, 4.0 mm/day in K1 and 3.7 mm/day in KM3 (Suppl. Fig. 4c). These increases in precipitation and runoff cause localised decreases in salinity just off the coast of the Bay of Bengal 401 and Arabian Sea of up to 4.5 PSUs in G17, 8.9 PSUs in K1 and 8.5 PSUs in KM3 less than KM5c 402 (Suppl. Fig. 4b). There is also decreased salinity of on average 0.9 PSU in G17, 3.0 PSU in K1 and 403 2.2 PSU in the Indian Ocean (largest between 3°N and 6°N) (Suppl. Fig. 4b). Increases in salinity are 404 observed in the south Asian seas, on average 0.6 PSUs in G17, 1.7 PSUs in K1 and 1.5 PSUs in KM3 405 more than KM5c, in the areas where a decrease of precipitation is seen (Fig. 6 and Suppl. Fig. 4b. 406 407 The interglacials all show increased cloud cover compared to KM5c over terrestrial areas, reaching 408 increases of 19% in G17, 33% in K1 and 32% in KM3 over Northern India and the Middle East, with 409 increases in the Arabian Sea and western Indian Ocean (increases of 28% in K1 and 24% in KM3 compared to KM5c) (Fig. 7). There is also a decrease in cloud cover in the south-East Asia region in 410 the interglacials compared to KM5c (Fig. 7). 411

Higher SSTs are observed in the three interglacials compared to KM5c (Fig. 8). This increase of SSTs
is on average 1°C in G17, 1.4°C in K1 and 1.5°C in KM3 higher than KM5c over the Indian Ocean
between 10°S and 5°N (Fig. 8). There are larger difference in SSTs over the Arabian Sea with the
interglacials reaching up to 2.2°C in G17, 2.8°C in K1 and 2.4°C in KM3 higher than KM5c (Fig. 8).

418 4. Discussion

419

4.1 How does HadCM3 simulate the Indian Monsoon in the Pliocene for MIS KM5c (an interglacialwith a near modern orbit) compared to the pre-industrial era?

422 Rather than just looking at the rainfall over the Indian subcontinent, the Extended Indian Monsoon 423 Rainfall (EIMR) index includes precipitation over the neighbouring oceans and land that are affected by the Indian monsoon (Goswami et al., 1999). The EIMR index is the average precipitation per day 424 over the area 70°E - 110°E, 10°N - 30°N. As well as the precipitation-based index, the Monsoon 425 Hadley Index (MHI) represents the strength of the Indian summer monsoon circulation by measuring 426 427 the shear of meridional wind between the lower and upper troposphere averaged over the same region as the EIMR index (70°E - 110°E, 10°N - 30°N). Large positive values of MHI indicate a strong 428 429 monsoon with negative values corresponding to a weak monsoon (Fig 9).

430

In Fig. 9b and c the points indicate the average index for the summer months for each interglacial for 431 the last 100 years of the simulation. The bars either side show the summer minimum and maximum 432 433 indices throughout the 100 simulated years. Both the EIMR and MHI indices are higher in KM5c than the pre-industrial simulation for the summer months, indicating a stronger summer monsoon in 434 the simulation for KM5c than the pre-industrial. Looking to Fig. 3 and 4, the terrestrial areas have 435 overall higher SATs and precipitation in the JJAS summer months. Although the Hadley circulation 436 is predicted to be stronger in KM5c than the pre-industrial (as seen in the MHI (Fig. 9c), the simulated 437 changes in surface winds are small between KM5c and pre-industrial, with slight decreases in wind 438 439 strength across the eastern Arabian Sea and India simulated in summer months. The increased surface winds moving from Somalia into the Middle East in KM5c, compared to pre-industrial, is the only 440 area where increased summer monsoon winds are simulated in KM5c (Fig 3c). The higher SAT 441 simulated over terrestrial areas in summer is not seen over India where increased cloud cover 442 counteracts the increased insolation in this area (Fig 3a). This, combined with an increase in SSTs in 443 KM5c summer compared to pre-industrial, decreases the pressure gradient between ocean and land 444

causing weaker winds moving from the Arabian Sea into India, despite higher precipitation stillsimulated over most of the Indian sub-continent in summer.

447

448 As KM5c has an orbital forcing very close to modern, any changes between the Indian monsoon in KM5c and the pre-industrial simulation are largely due to the implementation of other boundary 449 450 conditions. The difference in the simulated Indian monsoon is likely due to the higher CO_2 forcing in 451 the Pliocene simulations. It has been well established in the literature that increases in greenhouse 452 gas concentrations intensify the Asian summer monsoon due to enhanced moisture transport into the Asian monsoon region (Kitoh et al., 1997; Annamalai et al., 2007; Kripalani et al., 2007). The higher 453 454 moisture capacity of warmer air (a rate of 6-7% increase per degree) as defined by the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, is responsible for the observed intensified precipitation (Xie et al., 2014). This 455 456 increase in seasonal precipitation, even in regions of weaker flow, has been noted in the literature since Kitoh et al. (1997) described weakening of the low level monsoon winds over the Arabian sea 457 despite an increase in summer monsoon rainfall over India. Increased summer precipitation over 458 459 India, with no change or weakened surface winds, has been reproduced in other modelling studies for future climate change (May, 2002; Ueda et al., 2006), and has been shown using HadCM3 with 460 461 doubled CO_2 (Turner et al., 2007).

462

The main difference forcing a stronger Indian monsoon in the KM5c experiment compared to the 463 pre-industrial, is higher CO₂ causing high temperatures and enhanced moisture transport and 464 therefore higher levels of precipitation. To keep this investigation consistent with previous modelling 465 466 studies such as Prescott et al. (2014) and the PlioMIP project, the CO₂ value was chosen to be 405ppm. Estimates of CO₂ for the Piacenzian have been in the range 305-415ppm (Pagani et al., 467 2009) with a more recent estimate suggesting a range of 280 – 420 ppm (Martínez-Botí et al., 2015). 468 469 The CO_2 concentration is kept at 405ppm for all the experiments in this study. While it has been demonstrated that the CO₂ levels varied throughout the Pliocene and would have had an influence on 470 the intensity of the Indian Monsoon. These variations have not been accounted for in this study as 471 CO₂ records for the Pliocene capable of resolving variability over orbital timescales are still 472 emerging. 473

474

4.2 How does the simulation of the Indian monsoon change when simulating three further Plioceneinterglacials with orbital forcing substantially different to modern?

G17, K1 and KM3 are negative isotope excursions of similar magnitude, however when compared to
KM5c, the magnitude of temperature, precipitation and pressure differences over the monsoon area

in G17 is much smaller in JJAS than K1 and KM3. The differences between the interglacials are due 479 to orbital forcing; K1 and KM3 display very similar summer signals, and both have stronger 480 481 precession forcing than G17. In K1 and KM3, the northern hemisphere receives 10% and 6% 482 respectively more summer insolation than the pre-industrial simulation compared to 1% in G17 and only 0.1% in KM5c. The EIMR and MHI follow the same pattern of distribution with the indices for 483 484 the pre-industrial being consistently lowest, as an average as well as minimum and maximum (Table 1; Fig. 9). The pattern of average EIMR and MHI follow the same pattern as the average Northern 485 Hemisphere insolation. The interglacials with the highest average EIMR index (K1 and KM3), and 486 therefore strongest average monsoon, also result in the largest difference between the maximum and 487 488 minimum EIMR, with the opposite being the case for the pre-industrial simulation and KM5c interglacial, which indicates a weaker monsoon signal. This suggests the stronger precession forcing 489 490 causes, on average, higher rainfall and a stronger monsoon, but also a larger spread of possible monsoon strengths. The MHI similarly shows this pattern with the difference between minimum and 491 maximum MHI in K1 and KM3 larger than G17 and KM5c. The minimum summer MHI for pre-492 industrial, however is lower than the other simulations at -1.8 ms⁻¹ which corresponds to a weak 493 summer monsoon (Fig. 9). However, direct comparison of maximum and minimum values between 494 the MHI and EMIR indices is difficult given the different methodological approaches to monsoon 495 496 estimation that each technique employs.

497

G17, K1 and KM3 are all simulated to have higher precipitation over both terrestrial areas and the surrounding ocean, with northern Indian summer precipitation levels in K1 and KM3 reaching 13 and 11mm/day more than KM5c respectively. While strong increases in summer SAT are simulated over the terrestrial areas particularly in K1 and KM3, these temperature increases are not seen over India specifically. Increases in cloud cover reduce the amount of solar radiation reaching the surface in this area and enhanced precipitation increases evaporative cooling.

504

The reduced temperature and pressure gradients over India cause a reduction in wind speed across 505 the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal in the interglacials, as also discussed above between KM5c and 506 pre-industrial. The increases in precipitation over the Indian ocean during summer follow a 'warmer-507 gets-wetter' pattern (Xie et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013), whereby SST patterns are the dominant 508 509 mechanism for tropical precipitation response in areas where local warming in SSTs exceeds the tropical average (Xie et al., 2014). The results presented for orbital configurations specific to the 510 individual interglacial events studied are supported by more idealised orbital forcing experiments 511 completed by Bosmans et al. (2018). 512

All the interglacials display higher summer SSTs over the Indian Ocean than pre-industrial by ~2°C 514 515 in KM5c and 3°C and higher in G17, K1 and KM3. Proxy data from monsoon areas can be used to 516 compare these average changes; Dowsett et al. (2013) compared SSTs between the PlioMIP ensemble 517 and the PRISM3 reconstruction. The PRISM SSTs used in the comparison have undergone a warm peak average method (Dowsett & Poore, 1991) to develop an 'average interglacial' for the mPWP. 518 519 ODP sites 709, 716, 722 and 758 in the Indian Monsoon area have been assigned 'high confidence' using the λ -confidence scheme (Dowsett et al., 2012), and despite representing an average warm 520 521 interglacial still reconstruct lower SSTs than the multi-model mean (MMM) at all sites, suggesting that the models in the PlioMIP1 ensemble may overestimate SSTs in this area. HadCM3 specifically, 522 523 simulates the highest SSTs out of the MMM at all these sites, simulating approximate 2°C higher than the PRISM SST reconstruction. The 2°C and 3°C SST warming simulated in the interglacials in 524 525 this study therefore may be too high due to a warm bias in HadCM3 over this area. Overly warm SSTs would influence the simulation of the monsoon such as reducing the pressure and temperature 526 gradient between the land and ocean and reducing wind speed. However, caution should be applied 527 528 when interpreting this as due to the time slab nature of the PRISM SSTs, there is the potential that the PRISM data does not capture the interglacial peaks that have been simulated in this study. 529

530

531 The data-model discord in SSTs is not solely seen in the Indian monsoon area but has also been noted 532 throughout the low latitudes. In line with current understanding, the higher CO₂ concentration in the Pliocene would be expected to cause warmer tropical SSTs, which is the temperature pattern 533 simulated by climate models. Data reconstructions find tropical SSTs to be little or no warmer than 534 535 present day (Haywood et al., 2016). However, interpretation of the proxy data in the Pliocene is 536 evolving. Recent work by O'Brien et al. (2014) and Evans et al. (2016) detailed the impact of changing seawater chemistry on Mg/Ca derived SST estimates and found that the previous SST 537 538 reconstructions to be underestimated. The alkenone proxy reaches saturation at about 28°C which inhibits its use for producing records from the warmest locations. Faunal assemblage techniques 539 (used to determine the SST estimates in the Indian monsoon area) can be affected by increased 540 dissolution in the warm end members of assemblages which result in cooler SST estimates. 541 Overall, these uncertainties in SST reconstructions highlight the need for further study before 542 543 concrete conclusions can be drawn about the models ability to simulate SST in this area.

544

Here, the simulated differences in SAT, precipitation, MSLP and salinity are larger between K1 and
KM3, and KM5c, where the only difference is orbital forcing, than they are between the pre-industrial
simulation and KM5c, where Pliocene boundary conditions have been implemented. This shows the
high potential for orbital forcing to affect the strength of the Indian summer monsoon, especially in

addition to Pliocene boundary conditions that already cause intensified Indian summer monsoon due to increased CO₂. This is in line with previous work as far back as Prell and Kutzbach (1992) in a study to identify the sensitivity of the Indian monsoon to various boundary conditions showed the monsoon is most sensitive to elevation and orbital changes. As the simulations for the mPWP interglacials in this manuscript use a topography not dissimilar to modern it would follow that the interglacials with very different orbital forcing caused a more significant change in Indian monsoon than the rest of the changed Pliocene boundary conditions combined.

556

4.3 What does the modelled variability in the Indian monsoon behaviour imply about interpretingdiscrete and often time specific proxy records of Indian monsoon behaviour?

It is not currently possible to compare the specific interglacial time slices with geological data due to insufficient dating and chronological control. In general, the trends seen in the proxy data suggest that the Pliocene simulations should show a stronger monsoon than modern, and this is reflected in the Indian monsoon EIMR and MHI indices for all simulated interglacials.

563

KM5c does have a stronger summer Indian monsoon than pre-industrial but this signal is surpassed 564 by the signals in G17, K1 and KM3 due to the strong precession especially in K1 and KM3. This 565 566 could be important for proxy reconstructions with a large signal of increased monsoon strength in the Pliocene but without the temporal resolution to pinpoint when in time that was. Such records could 567 568 incorrectly interpret the large signal as relevant for the whole Pliocene and potentially, future climate change. In contrast, this study finds that orbital forcing has a large effect on the Pliocene Indian 569 570 monsoon, and therefore any assumptions about future monsoon behaviour based on the Pliocene need 571 to concentrate on interglacials when the pattern of orbital was the same, or very similar, to today. An 572 obvious target for this is KM5c that has a very near modern orbit and HadCM3 simulates a stronger summer Indian monsoon than the pre-industrial. KM5c (3.205 Ma) is now the focus for modelling 573 and data efforts within PlioMIP2. 574

575

A caveat of this study is the uncertainty surrounding the topography of the Tibetan Plateau. Model simulations by Prell and Kutzbach (1992) showed clearly that the uplift of the Tibetan Plateau had had dramatic effects on the Indian monsoon. The PRISM3D topography used as the boundary condition for the experiments presented in this study has the elevation of the Tibetan Plateau kept at approximately modern values (Sohl et al., 2009). However, there is some uncertainty about whether the Tibetan Plateau had reached near modern elevation by the Miocene or Pliocene. Most evidence seems to suggest the Tibetan Plateau reached its modern height by ~3.6 Ma, which is before the

interglacials simulated in this study. A recent high resolution ostracod record from Lake Qinghai of 583 the northeast margin of the Tibetan Plateau found that the deep lacustrine ostracod fauna disappeared 584 585 abruptly at ~3.6 Ma (Lu et al., 2017), and the sediment lithology from Lake Qinghai changed from 586 deep lacustrine sub-facies to a shallower facies also at this time (Fu et al., 2013). The authors attribute these changes to uplift of the Qinghai Nanshan, indicating an overall extension of north-eastern 587 Tibetan Plateau at ~3.6 Ma (Lu et al., 2017). Therefore, if the uplift did occur after 3.6 Ma the 588 589 elevation of the Tibetan Plateau used in the simulations may be too high and model could therefore 590 be simulating stronger monsoons than is realistic. However, the overall effect of the uncertainty 591 created by a partially constrained uplift history would highly depend on the specific character of the 592 true uplift itself (Boos et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015).

593

594 4.4 Future work

595 Here, the Indian monsoon is simulated for four interglacials in the Piacenzian. To understand a more 596 complete picture of monsoon variability throughout this time, it would be informative to also simulate 597 the Indian monsoon in cooler or glacial events in the Piacenzian, as well as interglacial events with different orbital configurations than those used here. In particular a simulation using an extreme 598 precession maximum would be a useful addition to the experiments performed. While this study has 599 600 looked at interglacial monsoon variability on orbital timescales, there are also short-term changes in monsoon intensity on sub-orbital timescales. For example, variability due to oscillations in the 601 602 thermohaline circulation, atmospheric energy and moisture transfer all happen on decadal timescales (Wang et al., 2005) and would create a more complete picture of potential Pliocene monsoon 603 604 variability. More proxy reconstructions from the monsoon area with the temporal resolution necessary to be able to compare to these simulations would further this analysis. The PlioMIP2 605 606 project, which is performing simulations with the orbital forcing for KM5c, is a further opportunity for the investigation of the mid-Piacenzian monsoons with an ensemble of models and would also 607 reduce potential for model bias. 608

609

610 5. Conclusions

611

This paper presents climatological outputs for four interglacials in the mPWP (MIS G17, K1, KM3, KM5c) for the summer Indian monsoon using HadCM3. MIS KM5c has a near modern orbit (Fig. 2) and monsoon indices indicate a slightly stronger Indian summer monsoon and increased SAT and precipitation over terrestrial areas. These changes are due to a higher CO₂ concentration of 405ppm in the simulation for KM5c. The very different-from-modern orbital forcing in the other three

interglacials, especially MIS K1 and KM3, triggers a stronger climate signal and resulting change in 617 the simulated nature of the Indian summer monsoon. The results from this paper suggest that the 618 orbital forcing during MIS K1 and KM3 may force a more variable summer monsoon, as well as, on 619 620 average, a stronger summer monsoon. This shows the significant potential for orbital forcing (especially precession), to affect the Indian summer monsoon, particularly when simulated with 621 mPWP boundary conditions and higher CO₂. To be robust, assertions of analogous behaviour 622 between Pliocene and future monsoons must first account for time specific orbital forced variations 623 in monsoon behaviour during the Pliocene. If the focus of geological reconstructions from the mPWP 624 is to understand the Indian summer monsoon in a warmer, higher CO₂ world of relevance to future 625 626 climate change, our results indicate a strong influence of insolation in simulating the Indian monsoon during the mPWP. Therefore, great care should be taken when interpreting Pliocene geological 627 628 records in terms of understanding future monsoon behaviour and should concentrate on interglacial events in the Pliocene when orbital forcing was the same or very similar to today, such as MIS KM5c. 629

630

631 Acknowledgements

All authors acknowledge receipt of funding from the European Research Council under the European
Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 278636. The
authors also gratefully acknowledge comments made by the two anonymous reviewers and by Dr
Samantha R Cook.

636

637 References

- Annamalai, H., Hamilton, K., Sperber, K.R., Annamalai, H., Hamilton, K. & Sperber, K.R. (2007).
 The South Asian Summer Monsoon and Its Relationship with ENSO in the IPCC AR4
 Simulations. Journal of Climate, 20, (6). 1071–1092.
- Ao, H., Roberts, A.P., Dekkers, M.J., Liu, X., Rohling, E.J., Shi, Z., An, Z. & Zhao, X. (2016). Late
 Miocene–Pliocene Asian monsoon intensification linked to Antarctic ice-sheet growth. Earth
 and Planetary Science Letters, 444, 75-87.
- Berger, A. (1988). Milankovitch Theory and climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 26, (4). p.p. 624.
- Berger, A.L. (1978). Long-Term Variations of Caloric Insolation Resulting from the Earth's Orbital
 Elements. Quaternary Research, 9, (2), 139–167.
- Boos, W. R., and Kuang, Z. M. (2010). Dominant control of the South Asian monsoon by orographic
 insulation versus plateau heating. Nature, 463, 218–222.
- Bosmans, J.H.C., Erb, M. P., Dolan, A.M., Drijfhout, S.S., Tuenter, E., Hilgen, F.J., Edge, D., Pope,

- J. O. & Lourens, L.J. (2018). Response of the Asian summer monsoons to idealized precession
 and obliquity forcing in a set of GCMs. Quaternary Science Reviews, 188, 121-135.
- Braconnot, P., Joussaume, S., Marti, O. & de Noblet, N. (1999). Synergistic feedbacks from ocean
 and vegetation on the African Monsoon response to Mid-Holocene insolation. Geophysical
 Research Letters, 26, (16), 2481–2484.
- Braconnot, P. & Marti, O. (2003). Impact of precession on monsoon characteristics from coupled
 ocean atmosphere experiments: changes in Indian monsoon and Indian ocean climatology.
 Marine Geology, 201, (1). 23–34.
- Braconnot, P., Marzin, C., Grégoire, L., Mosquet, E. & Marti, O. (2008). Monsoon response to
 changes in Earth's orbital parameters: comparisons between simulations of the Eemian and of
 the Holocene. Clim. Past, 4, 281–294.
- Bragg, F.J., Lunt, D.J. & Haywood, A.M. (2012). Mid-Pliocene climate modelled using the UK
 Hadley Centre Model: PlioMIP Experiments 1 and 2. Geoscientific Model Development
 Discussions, 5, (2), 837–871.
- Chang, Z., Xiao, J., Lü, L. & Yao, H. (2010). Abrupt shifts in the Indian monsoon during the Pliocene
 marked by high-resolution terrestrial records from the Yuanmou Basin in southwest China.
 Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 37, (2). 166–175.
- Chen, J., Chen, Y., Liu, L., Ji, J., Balsam, W., Sun, Y. & Lu, H. (2006). Zr/Rb ratio in the Chinese
 loess sequences and its implication for changes in the East Asian winter monsoon strength.
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70, (6). 1471–1482.
- 670 Christensen, J.H., Kumar, K.K., Aldrian, E., An, S.-I., Cavalcanti, I.F.A., De Castro, M., Dong, W.,
 671 Goswami, P., Hall, A., Kanyanga, J.K., Kitoh, A., Kossin, J., Lau, N.-C., Renwick, J.,
- 672 Stephenson, D.B., Xie, S.-P. & Zhou, T. (2013). Climate Phenomena and their Relevance for
- Future Regional Climate Change. In: T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K.
- Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley (eds.). Climate Change 2013 -
- The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment Report of
- the International Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
 USA: Cambridge University Press, 1217–1308.
- Clemens, S.C. & Prell, W.L. (1990). Late Pleistocene variability of Arabian Sea summer monsoon
 winds and continental aridity: Eolian records from the lithogenic component of deep-sea
 sediments. Paleoceanography, 5, (2), 109–145.
- Clift, P.D. & Plumb, R.A. (2008). The Asian Monsoon, Causes History and Effects. Cambridge
 University Press.
- Cox, P.M., Betts, R.A., Bunton, C.B., Essery, R.L.H., Rowntree, P.R. & Smith, J. (1999). The impact
 of new land surface physics on the GCM simulation of climate and climate sensitivity. Climate

- 685 Dynamics, 15, (3), 183–203.
- Cox, P.M. (2001). Description of the "TRIFFID" Dynamic Global Vegetation Model. Hadley Centre
 Technical Note, 24, 1-17.
- deMenocal, P.B. & Rind, D. (1993). Sensitivity of Asian and African climate to variations in seasonal
 insolation, glacial ice cover, sea surface temperature, and Asian orography. Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 98 (D4), 7265.
- Ding, Z.L., Yang, S.L., Sun, J.M. & Liu, T.S. (2001). Iron geochemistry of loess and red clay deposits
 in the Chinese Loess Plateau and implications for long-term Asian monsoon evolution in the last
 7.0 Ma. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 185, (1), 99–109.
- Dowsett, H., Robinson, M., Haywood, A.M., Salzmann, U., Hill, D., Sohl, L., Chandler, M.,
 Williams, M., Foley, K. & Stoll, D. (2010). The PRISM3D paleoenvironmental reconstruction.
 Stratigraphy. 7, (2-3), 123-139.
- Dowsett, H.J., Foley, K.M., Stoll, D.K., Chandler, M.A., Sohl, L.E., Bentsen, M., Otto-Bliesner, B.L.,
 Bragg, F.J., Chan, W.-L., Contoux, C., Dolan, A.M., Haywood, A.M., Jonas, J.A., Jost, A.,
 Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D.J., Nisancioglu, K.H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ramstein, G.,
- Riesselman, C.R., Robinson, M.M., Rosenbloom, N.A., Salzmann, U., Stepanek, C., Strother,
- S.L., Ueda, H., Yan, Q. & Zhang, Z. (2013). Sea surface temperature of the mid-Piacenzian
 ocean: a data-model comparison. Scientific reports, 3.
- Dowsett, H.J. & Poore, R.Z. (1991). Pliocene sea surface temperatures of the north atlantic ocean at
 3.0 Ma. Quaternary Science Reviews, 10, (2–3), 189–204.
- Dowsett, H.J., Robinson, M.M., Haywood, A.M., Hill, D.J., Dolan, A.M., Stoll, D.K., Chan, W.-L.,
- Abe-Ouchi, A., Chandler, M.A., Rosenbloom, N.A., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Bragg, F.J., Lunt, D.J.,
 Foley, K.M. & Riesselman, C.R. (2012). Assessing confidence in Pliocene sea surface
 temperatures to evaluate predictive models. Nature Climate Change, 2 (5), 365–371.
- Evans, D., Brierley, C., Raymo, M.E. & Wuller, W. (2016). Planktic foraminifera shell chemistry
 response to seawater chemistry: Pliocene-Pleistocene seawater Mg/Ca, temperature and sea
 level change. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 438, 139-148.
- Fu, C., An, Z., Qiang, X., Bloemendal, J., Song, Y. & Chang, H. (2013). Magnetostratigraphic
 determination of the age of ancient Lake Qinghai, and record of the East Asian monsoon since
 4.63 Ma. Geology, 41, (8), 875–878.
- Gadgil, S., Vinayachandran, P. & Francis, P. (2003). Droughts of the Indian summer monsoon: Role
 of clouds over the Indian Ocean. Current Science, 85, (12), 1713-1719.
- Gordon, C., Cooper, C., Senior, C.A., Banks, H., Gregory, J.M., Johns, T.C., Mitchell, J.F.B. &

Wood, R.A. (2000). The simulation of SST, sea ice extents and ocean heat transports in a version

of the Hadley Centre coupled model without flux adjustments. Climate Dynamics, 16, (2–3),

720 147–168.

- Goswami, B.N., Krishnamurthy, V. & Annmalai, H. (1999). A broad-scale circulation index for the
 interannual variability of the Indian summer monsoon. Quarterly Journal of the Royal
 Meteorological Society, 125, (554), 611–633.
- Gupta, A.K. & Anderson, D.M. (2005). Mysteries of the Indian Ocean Monsoon System. Geological
 Society of India, 65, (1). 54–60.
- Gupta, A.K. & Thomas, E. (2003). Initiation of Northern Hemisphere glaciation and strengthening
 of the northeast Indian monsoon: Ocean drilling program site 758, eastern equatorial Indian
 Ocean, Geology, 31, (1), 47–50.
- Hays, J.D., Imbrie, J. & Shackleton, N.J. (1976). Variations in the Earth's Orbit: Pacemaker of the
 Ice Ages. Science, 194, (4270), 1121–1132.
- Haywood, A.M., Dowsett, H.J. & Dolan, A.M. (2016). Integrating geological archives and climate
 models for the mid-Pliocene warm period. Nature Communications. 7.
- Haywood, A.M., Dowsett, H.J., Otto-Bliesner, B., Chandler, M.A., Dolan, A.M., Hill, D.J., Lunt,
 D.J., Robinson, M.M., Rosenbloom, N., Salzmann, U. & Sohl, L.E. (2010). Pliocene Model
 Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP): experimental design and boundary conditions (Experiment
 1). Geoscientific Model Development, 3, (1), 227–242.
- Haywood, A.M., Hill, D.J., Dolan, A.M., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Bragg, F., Chan, W.-L., Chandler,
 M.A., Contoux, C., Dowsett, H.J., Jost, A., Kamae, Y., Lohmann, G., Lunt, D.J., Abe-Ouchi,
 A., Pickering, S.J., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N.A., Salzmann, U., Sohl, L., Stepanek, C.,
- Ueda, H., Yan, Q. & Zhang, Z. (2013). Large-scale features of Pliocene climate: results from
 the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project. Climate of the Past, 9, (1), 191–209.
- Haywood, A.M. & Valdes, P.J. (2004). Modelling Pliocene warmth: contribution of atmosphere,
 oceans and cryosphere. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 218, (3–4), 363–377.
- Huang, P., Xie, S.-P., Hu, K., Huang, G. & Huang, R. (2013). Patterns of the seasonal response of
 tropical rainfall to global warming. Nature Geoscience, 6, (5), 357–361.
- Huang, Y., Clemens, S.C., Liu, W., Wang, Y. & Prell, W.L. (2007). Large-scale hydrological change
 drove the late Miocene C4 plant expansion in the Himalayan foreland and Arabian Peninsula.
 Geology, 35, (6), 531-534,
- Kitoh, A., Yukimoto, S., Noda, A. & Motoi, T. (1997). Simulated Changes in the Asian Summer
 Monsoon at Times of Increased Atmospheric CO₂. Journal of the Meteorological Society of
 Japan. Ser. II, 75, (6), 1019–1031.
- Kripalani, R.H., Oh, J.H., Kulkarni, A., Sabade, S.S. & Chaudhari, H.S. (2007). South Asian summer
 monsoon precipitation variability: Coupled climate model simulations and projections under
 IPCC AR4. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 90, (3–4), 133–159.

- Laskar, J., Robutel, P., Joutel, F., Gastineau, M., Correia, A.C.M. & Levrard, B. (2004). A long-term
 numerical solution for the insolation quantities of the Earth. A&A, 428, 261–285.
- Li, Y., Harrison, S.P., Zhao, P. & Ju, J. (2009). Simulations of the impacts of dynamic vegetation on
 interannual and interdecadal variability of Asian summer monsoon with modern and midHolocene orbital forcings. Global and Planetary Change, 66, (3–4), 235–252.
- Liddy, H.M., Feakins, S.J. & Tierney, J.E. (2016). Cooling and drying in northeast Africa across the
 Pliocene. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 449, 430–438.
- Lisiecki, L.E. & Raymo, M.E. (2005). A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic
 δ 18 O records. Paleoceanography, 20, (1), 1003
- Liu, X. & Shi, Z. (2009). Effect of precession on the Asian summer monsoon evolution: A systematic
 review. Chinese Science Bulletin, 54, (20), 3720–3730.
- Lu, F., An, Z., Chang, H., Dodson, J., Qiang, X., Yan, H., Dong, J., Song, Y., Fu, C. & Li, X. (2017).
 Climate change and tectonic activity during the early Pliocene Warm Period from the ostracod
 record at Lake Qinghai, northeastern Tibetan Plateau. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 138,
 466–476.
- Martínez-Botí, M.A., Foster, G.L., Chalk, T.B., Rohling, E.J., Sexton, P.F., Lunt, D.J., Pancost, R.D.,
 Badger, M.P.S. & Schmidt, D.N. (2015). Plio-Pleistocene climate sensitivity evaluated using
 high-resolution CO₂ records. Nature, 518, (7537), 49–54.
- Marzocchi, A., Lunt, D.J., Flecker, R., Bradshaw, C.D., Farnsworth, A. & Hilgen, F.J. (2015). Orbital
 control on late Miocene climate and the North African monsoon: insight from an ensemble of
 sub-precessional simulations. Clim. Past, 11, 1271–1295.
- May, W. (2002). Simulated changes of the Indian summer monsoon under enhanced greenhouse gas
 conditions in a global time-slice experiment. Geophysical Research Letters, 29, (7). 22–24.
- Mohan, K. & Gupta, A.K. (2011). Intense deep convective mixing in the Southeast Arabian Sea
 linked to strengthening of the Northeast Indian monsoon during the middle Pliocene (3.4 Ma).
 Current Science, 101, (4), 1-5.
- 781 O'Brien, C.L., Foster, G.L., Martínez-Botí, M.A., Abell, R., Rae, J.W.B. & Pancost, R.D. (2014).
- High sea surface temperatures in tropical warm pools during the Pliocene. Nature Geoscience,
 7, (8), 606.
- Overpeck, J., Anderson, D., Trumbore, S. & Prell, W. (1996). The southwest Indian Monsoon over
 the last 18 000 years. Climate Dynamics, 12, (3), 213–225.
- Pagani, M., Liu, Z., LaRiviere, J. & Ravelo, A.C. (2009). High Earth-system climate sensitivity
 determined from Pliocene carbon dioxide concentrations. Nature Geoscience, 3, (1), 27–30.
- Pollard, D. & Reusch, D.B. (2002). A calendar conversion method for monthly mean paleoclimate
 model output with orbital forcing. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 107, (22),

790 4615.

- Prell, W.L. & Campo, E. Van (1986). Coherent response of Arabian Sea upwelling and pollen
 transport to late Quaternary monsoonal winds. Nature, 323, (6088), 526–528.
- Prell, W.L. & Kutzbach, J.E. (1987). Monsoon variability over the past 150,000 years. Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 92 (D7), 8411-8425.
- Prell, W.L. & Kutzbach, J.E. (1992). Sensitivity of the Indian monsoon to forcing parameters and
 implications for its evolution. Nature, 360, (6405), 647–652.
- Prescott, C.L., Haywood, A.M., Dolan, A.M., Hunter, S.J., Pope, J.O. & Pickering, S.J. (2014).
 Assessing orbitally-forced interglacial climate variability during the mid-Pliocene Warm Period.
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 400, 261–271.
- Prescott, C.L., Dolan, A.M., Haywood, A.M., Hunter, S.J. & Tindall, J.C. (2018). Regional climate
 and vegetation response to orbital forcing within the mid-Pliocene Warm Period: A study using
 HadCM3. Global and Planetary Change, 161, 231-243.
- Sanyal, P., Bhattacharya, S., Kumar, R., Ghosh, S., & Sangode, S. (2004). Mio–Pliocene monsoonal
 record from Himalayan foreland basin (Indian Siwalik) and its relation to vegetational change.
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 205, (1). 23–41.
- Sohl, L.E., Chandler, M.A., Schmunk, R.B., Mankoff, K., Jonas, J.A., Foley, K.M. & Dowsett, H.J.
 (2009). PRISM3/GISS Topographic Reconstruction. US Geological Survey Data Series, 419.
- Su, T., Jacques, F.M.B., Spicer, R.A., Liu, Y.-S., Huang, Y.-J., Xing, Y.-W. & Zhou, Z.-K. (2013).
 Post-Pliocene establishment of the present monsoonal climate in SW China: evidence from the
 late Pliocene Longmen megaflora. Climate of the Past, 9 ,(4), 1911–1920.
- Turner, A.G. & Annamalai, H. (2012). Climate change and the South Asian summer monsoon. Nature
 Climate Change, 2, (8), 587–595.
- Turner, A.G., Inness, P.M. & Slingo, J.M. (2007). The effect of doubled CO₂ and model basic state
 biases on the monsoon-ENSO system. I: Mean response and interannual variability. Quarterly
 Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 133, (626), 1143–1157.
- Ueda, H., Iwai, A., Kuwako, K. & Hori, M.E. (2006). Impact of anthropogenic forcing on the Asian
 summer monsoon as simulated by eight GCMs. Geophysical Research Letters, 33, (6), L06703.
- Valdes, P.J., Armstrong, E., Badger, M.P.S., Bradshaw, C.D., Bragg, F., Davies-Barnard, T., Day,
- J.J., Farnsworth, A., Hopcroft, P.O., Kennedy, A.T., Lord, N.S., Lunt, D.J., Marzocchi, A.,
- Parry, L.M., Roberts, W.H.G., Stone, E.J., Tourte, G.J.L. & Williams, J.H.T. (2017). The
- BRIDGE HadCM3 family of climate models: HadCM3@Bristol v1.0. Geoscientific Model
- 822 Development, 10, 3715-3743.
- 823 Wang, B. (2006) The Asian monsoon. Springer Praxis Books, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Wang, P., Clemens, S., Beaufort, L., Braconnot, P., Ganssen, G., Jian, Z., Kershaw, P. & Sarnthein,

- M. (2005). Evolution and variability of the Asian monsoon system: state of the art and outstanding issues. Quaternary Science Reviews, 24, (5), 595–629.
- Wang, Y.-X., Yang, J.-D., Chen, J., Zhang, K.-J. & Rao, W.-B. (2007). The Sr and Nd isotopic
 variations of the Chinese Loess Plateau during the past 7 Ma: Implications for the East Asian
 winter monsoon and source areas of loess. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
 Palaeoecology, 249, (3), 351–361.
- Webster, P.J., Magaña, V.O., Palmer, T.N., Shukla, J., Tomas, R.A., Yanai, M. & Yasunari, T.
 (1998). Monsoons: Processes, predictability, and the prospects for prediction. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 103, (C7), 14451–14510.
- Xie, S.-P., Deser, C., Vecchi, G.A., Ma, J., Teng, H., Wittenberg, A.T., Xie, S.-P., Deser, C., Vecchi,
 G.A., Ma, J., Teng, H. & Wittenberg, A.T. (2010). Global Warming Pattern Formation: Sea
 Surface Temperature and Rainfall. Journal of Climate, 23, (4), 966–986.
- Xie, S., Deser, C., Vecchi, G.A., Collins, M., Tom, L., Hall, A., Hawkins, E., Johnson, N.C. &
 Cassou, C. (2014). Towards predictive understanding of regional climate change: Issues and
 opportunities for progress. Nature Climate Change. 5, 921-930.
- Yan, Q., Zhang, Z.-S. & Gao, Y.-Q. (2012). An East Asian Monsoon in the Mid-Pliocene:
 Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters, 5, (6), 449-454.
- Zhang, R., Yan, Q., Zhang, Z.S., Jiang, D., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Haywood, A.M., Hill, D.J., Dolan,
 A.M., Stepanek, C., Lohmann, G., Contoux, C., Bragg, F., Chan, C.L., Chandler, M.A., Jost, A.,
 Kamae, Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ramstein, G., Rosenbloom, N.A., Sohl, L. & Ueda, H. (2013). MidPliocene East Asian monsoon climate simulated in the PlioMIP. Climate of the Past, 9, (5).
 2085–2099.
- Zhang, R. & Zhang, Q. (2017). Non-uniform spatial difference in the South Asian summer monsoon
 during the mid-Piacenzian. Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters, 10, (4), 269–275.
- Zhang, R., Jiang, D., Zhang, Z., and Yu, E. T. (2015). The impact of regional uplift of the Tibetan
 Plateau on the Asian monsoon climate. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology,
 417, 137–150.
- 852
- 853
- 854
- 855
- - -
- 856
- 857
- 858
- 859





- Figure 1. Map of the Indian Monsoon area. The shaded area indicating the geographical area used to
- area calculate the monsoon indices (described in section 2.3).



Figure 2. Marine Isotope stages (MIS) G17, K1, KM3 and KM5c plotted on (a) the benthic isotope
record of Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). (b) Obliquity, (c) eccentricity, (d) precession as derived from
the astronomical solution of Laskar et al. (2004). Black horizontal lines show modern orbit with blue
horizontal line showing the Holocene oxygen isotope average. (e) Incoming short wave radiation flux
derived from HadCM3 (Wm⁻²) for MIS G17 minus modern; MIS K1 minus modern, MIS KM3 minus
modern; MIS KM5c minus modern.



Figure 3. Left column: Average absolute JJAS (June – September) results for the MIS KM5c simulation. Middle column: Average absolute JJAS results for pre-industrial simulation. Right column: Average anomaly JJAS results for MIS KM5c minus pre-industrial, showing (a) surface air temperature (SAT) (°C), (b) precipitation (mm/day), (c) mean sea level pressure (MSLP) (hPa) and arrows showing surface winds (ms⁻¹), (d) cloud cover (%) and (e) sea surface temperatures (SSTs: °C).

889

(e) SST

(°C)

8

6 4

2 0

-2 -4 -6 -8

8 6

4

2

0

6

4

2

0

-2

-4

-6

0.3

0.2

0.1

-0.1 -0.2 -0.3

0

321

0

-2

5 ms⁻¹

-2 -4 -6 -8



Figure 4. HadCM3 surface air temperature for JJAS (June – September) (°C). Left column: three

- 892 Piacenzian interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3) absolute results. Right column: MIS G17, K1 and KM3
 - 893 minus the MIS KM5c control.



Figure 5. HadCM3 mean sea level pressure (MSLP) (hPa) for JJAS (June – September) and arrows
indicating surface wind direction and strength (ms⁻¹). Left column: three Piacenzian interglacials
(MIS G17, K1, KM3) absolute results. Right column: MIS G17, K1 and KM3 minus the MIS KM5c
control.



Figure 6. HadCM3 precipitation for JJAS (June – September) (mm/day). Left column: three
 Piacenzian interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3) absolute results. Right column: MIS G17, K1 and KM3
 minute the MIS KM5 constant.

902 minus the MIS KM5c control.



Figure 7. HadCM3 cloud cover for JJAS (June – September) (%). Left column: three Piacenzian
 interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3) absolute results. Right column: MIS G17, K1 and KM3 minus the

908 MIS KM5c control.



- Figure 8. HadCM3 sea surface temperatures (SSTs) for JJAS (June September) (°C). Left column: three Piacenzian interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3) absolute results. Right column: MIS G17, K1
- and KM3 minus the MIS KM5c control.



Figure 9. HadCM3 results for the four Piacenzian interglacials MIS G17, K1, KM3, KM5c and a preindustrial simulation (PI) showing (a) the average northern hemisphere insolation (Wm⁻²) for JJAS,
(b) The Extended Indian Monsoon Rainfall (EIMR) Index (mm/day) and (c) The Monsoon Hadley
Index (MHI) (ms⁻¹). In (b) and (c) diamonds indicate the 100 year average monsoon index during
JJAS (June, July, August September). Bars show the minimum and maximum index throughout the
100 years for the EIMR and Monsoon Hadley Index for JJAS.

Experiment	Orbit	CO2	Eccentricity	Precession	Obliquity	JJAS NH	EIMR	MHI
	(kyr)	(ppmv)				Insolation	Index	(m/s)
						(Wm ⁻²)	(mm/day)	
G17	2950	405	0.04	-0.01776	23.96	422.00	9.20	2.34
К1	3060	405	0.05	-0.05086	23.01	459.50	11.01	4.15
КМЗ	3155	405	0.05	-0.04350	23.76	443.40	10.44	3.69
KM5c	3205	405	0.01	0.00605	23.47	419.50	8.42	1.78
Pre-Ind	Modern	280	0.02	0.01670	23.44	419.10	7.28	1.44

Table 1. Summary of experiments including orbital parameters implemented in HadCM3 (Laskar et al., 2004), also showing average summer (June, July, August, September; JJAS) Northern
Hemisphere insolation, Extended Indian Monsoon Rainfall (EIMR) index and Monsoon Hadley
Index (MHI).

929

MIS G17 - Modern MIS K1 - Modern MIS KM3 - Modern MIS KM5 - Modern Original 0

930 Supplementary Figures

931



minus a pre-industrial simulation (modern orbit), each plot showing changing incoming insolation by

month and latitude. Top row showing the original results with no calendar correction applied andbottom row showing calendar corrected incoming insolation.





Figure S2. HadCM3 SAT anomaly (°C) for JJAS for three Piacenzian interglacials (MIS G17, K1
and KM3) minus the MIS KM5c. Far right column shows MIS KM5c minus a pre-industrial
simulation. Top row indicates the original SAT results with no calendar correction applied and the
bottom row the calendar corrected SAT anomalies.



Figure S3. HadCM3 absolute results for JJAS (June, July, August, September) for four Piacenzian
interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3 and KM5c) and a pre-industrial simulation, showing (a) Latent
heat (Wm⁻²), (b) Salinity (PSU), (c) run off (mm day⁻¹), (d) convective rainfall (mm day⁻¹), (e)
large scale rainfall (mm day⁻¹) and (f) mixed layer depth (m).



Figure S4. HadCM3 anomaly results for JJAS (June, July, August, September) for three Piacenzian
interglacials (MIS G17, K1, KM3) minus the MIS KM5c and the far right column MIS KM5c minus
the pre-industrial simulation showing (a) Latent heat (Wm⁻²), (b) Salinity (PSU), (c) run off (mm day⁻¹), (d) convective rainfall (mm day⁻¹), (e) large scale rainfall (mm day⁻¹) and (f) mixed layer depth
(m).