

This is a repository copy of *The definition, diagnosis and management of mild hemophilia A: communication from the SSC of the ISTH.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139878/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Makris, M. orcid.org/0000-0001-7622-7939, Oldenburg, J., Mauser-Bunschoten, E.P. et al. (4 more authors) (2018) The definition, diagnosis and management of mild hemophilia A: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 16 (12). pp. 2530-2533. ISSN 1538-7933

https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14315

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Makris M, Oldenburg J, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Peerlinck K, Castaman G, Fijnvandraat K, for the Subcommittee on Factor VIII, Factor IX and Rare Bleeding Disorders. The definition, diagnosis and management of mild hemophilia A: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2018; 16: 2530–3, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14315. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



The definition, diagnosis and management of mild haemophilia A:

Communication from the SSC of the ISTH

Makris M (1,2)

Oldenburg J (3)

Mauser-Bunschoten EP (4)

Peerlinck K (5)

Castaman G (6)

Fijnvandraat K (7)

For the subcommittee on Factor VIII, Factor IX and Rare Bleeding DIsorders

Addresses:

1. Sheffield Haemophilia and Thrombosis Centre, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK

2. Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, UK

3. Institute of Experimental Haematology and Transfusion Medicine, University Clinic Bonn, Bonn, Germany

4. van CreveldKliniek, UMC Utrecht, University Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

5. Department of Vascular Medicine and Haemostasis, Haemophilia Centre, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

6. Centre for Bleeding Disorders, Department of Oncology, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy

7. Department of Pediatric Hematology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to:

Professor Mike Makris, Sheffield Haemophilia and Thrombosis Centre, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield, S10 2JF, UK

Email: m.makris@sheffield.ac.uk

At first sight the diagnosis of haemophilia A is obvious and is defined as a deficiency of factor VIII activity. The internationally accepted definition from the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) has served us well, especially in separating severe from non-severe haemophilia [1]. This international definition considers mild haemophilia A to have FVIII:C >5IU/dL and <40IU/dL without specifying the type of assay to be used [1]. At the upper end, however, the situation is not so easy or clear since many mutations can result in a dysfunctional molecule and the different FVIII assays may yield different FVIII:C results [2]. Additional complexity arises from day to day variability in FVIII:C, from the increase in FVIII with age, inflammation and also the increase due to acute phase response [3], resulting in different inter- and intra-individual factor levels even among patients with the same F8 genotype [4]. Some patients can bleed more than normal with FVIII:C levels that are higher than 40IU/dL (ie 0.4IU/mL) [5]. Within families blood group differences can partially account for some of the variation in FVIII:C level. Whilst we believe the initial ISTH definition should be maintained, it should be modified in accordance to the following proposals.

Definition of mild haemophilia A

- a) A patient can be defined as having mild haemophilia A if they have an isolated reduced FVIII:C level of <40IU/dL.
- b) Patients may be labelled as having mild haemophilia A if they have a FVIII:C of >40IU/dL provided that they also have a DNA change in the F8 gene and one of the following
 - a. A family member with the same DNA change and FVIII of <40IU/dL and the DNA change is found in <1% of the population
 - b. The international databases list the DNA change as being associated with haemophilia A and <40IU/dL FVIII:C

c) All tests should be confirmed on a repeat sample. In the presence of an inflammatory condition the repeat sample should be taken at the time of resolution of inflammation.

d) Female carriers with FVIII:C levels <40IU/dL should be considered as having haemophilia and be managed as such.

e) In the absence of a family history of haemophilia or pathogenic FVIII mutation, Von Willebrand Disease (VWD), including type 2N VWD should be excluded before diagnosing mild haemophilia.
Combined FV and FVIII deficiency can be ruled out by the presence of a normal prothrombin time.
f) The benefit of identification of haemophilic individuals with FVIII >40IU/dL is both the clinical management of that person in terms of treating or preventing bleeding and also in identifying other affected family members.

FVIII:C can be measured by 1-stage clotting or chromogenic assays [2]. In the past a 2-stage clotting assay was available but this has largely been superseded by the chromogenic assay, which is based on the same principle [2]. Whilst in severe and moderate haemophilia the 1-stage and chromogenic assays give equivalent results, in mild haemophilia approximately 30% of the patient yield discrepant results [6]. This discrepancy has been described in many different populations including Australia, Denmark, France, Germany, Spain and the UK [6-12]. Patients with the discrepancy, have mutations in the FVIII molecule domain interfaces reducing the stability of the molecule and this is more obvious in the chromogenic assay due to the longer incubation time [9, 13]. In most cases of

discrepancy both the 1-stage and chromogenic results will be below 40IU/dl, but there are welldescribed cases where the results of one of the assays is entirely normal and would thus fail to diagnose the haemophilia [11]. Whilst in many with discrepancy the 1-stage assay is the lower result, cases have been described where the chromogenic assay is the lowest [11,14]. The bleeding phenotype is not consistently related to the chromogenic or one stage assay and if the mutation affects a thrombin cleavage site, the chromogenic assay might display normal values [9,12,15]. Chromogenic assays vary in their capacity to detect the discrepancy with kits that have a longer initial incubation period performing best [16,17]. Our recommendations for discrepant mild haemophilia are:

Discrepant mild haemophilia A

- a) All patients with mild haemophilia A should have their FVIII:C measured with both the 1-stage and chromogenic assays
- b) When reporting discrepancy the results should be reported as the ratio of the 1-stage over the chromogenic assay
- c) Ratios of >2.0 and <0.5 indicate significant discrepancy
- d) All tests should be confirmed on a repeat sample. In the presence of an inflammatory condition, the repeat sample should be at the time of resolution of inflammation.
- e) A normal FVIII:C level with a single assay does not exclude mild haemophilia and where a bleeding disorder is being investigated both the 1-stage and chromogenic FVIII:C assays should be performed during the work-up
- f) A number of chromogenic assays are available on the market and their ability to detect discrepancy varies. An assay with a longer incubation period is preferable.
- g) Where the chromogenic assay is not routinely available outside normal working hours, it is often possible to manage discrepant patients with the 1-stage assay provided the relationship between the different assays is known in the individual patient.

The treatment of mild haemophilia A should be with desmopressin (DDAVP), irrespective of whether discrepancy is present [18]. It must be appreciated that the FVIII released from endothelial stores by desmopressin will be the abnormal molecule and will also show the discrepancy. These stores become depleted when DDAVP is used on consecutive days leading to a reduced response (tachyphylaxis). Where the response to desmopressin is inadequate, FVIII concentrate should be used. Our recommendations for the treatment of mild haemophilia A are:

Treatment of mild Haemophilia A

- a) Treatment should be based on the patient's bleeding history and the clinical situation requiring it.
- b) DDAVP is the treatment of choice of mild haemophilia A, unless the patient has been shown to be non-responsive or DDAVP is contraindicated.
- c) All patients with mild hemophilia A should have a trial of DDAVP. FVIII:C should be measured one hour and, if possible, 4 hour after administration. The FVIII:C should be measured with both the 1-stage and chromogenic assay during this trial.
- d) Monitoring of response following DDAVP should be with the assay with the lowest FVIII:C baseline level.

e) Patients in whom DDAVP is contraindicated are candidates for treatment with a FVIII concentrate

It is well established that severe haemophilia patients have a 20-40% risk of inhibitor development with most occurring in the first 20 exposure days [19]. The incidence of inhibitors in mild haemophilia is dependent on the mutation, with some defects leading to an inhibitor rate that exceed that of severe haemophilia A [20]. In contrast with severe haemophilia, the inhibitor rate in mild disease does not appear to plateau out after 50 exposure days and therefore patients are at lifelong risk of inhibitor development [20, 21]. The inhibitor rate in mild haemophilia may be mitigated by the fact that most mild haemophilic patients can be managed with desmopressin without the need for exogenous FVIII. The inhibitor appearing after FVIII concentrate use can be directed only towards the exogenous FVIII, but in some cases also against the endogenous FVIII, which can change the phenotype of a patient from mild to severe [21]. Inhibitors are extremely rare in females with mild haemophilia A in comparison to males with the same mutation. Our recommendations for inhibitor detection in mild haemophilia are:

Inhibitors and mild haemophilia A

- a) All patients with mild haemophilia should have their mutation identified
- b) Patients with mutations known to be associated with an increased inhibitor risk should be carefully evaluated for their need of replacement therapy
- c) All patients with mild haemophilia A exposed to FVIII concentrate should have an inhibitor test
 4-6 weeks later, or earlier if bleeding symptoms occur. Testing of female patients with mild
 haemophilia is not required.
- d) A FVIII inhibitor should be excluded before surgery or invasive procedures in mild haemophilia A patients
- e) The Nijmegen modified Bethesda assay should be used to detect inhibitors. A heat treatment stage is required in the presence of endogenous FVIII.

Addendum

All the authors developed the initial outline of the manuscript. MM wrote the first draft. All authors critically revised all the drafts and gave their final approval for the published version of the article.

Acknowledgement

The members of the ISTH SSC Mild Haemophilia A working group were: Mike Makris, Johannes Oldenburg, Evelien Mauser-Bunschoten, Karin Fijn van Draat, Giancarlo Castaman, Kathelijne Peerlinck, Thomas Sannie, Maria Elisa Mancuso, Simon McRae, Lisa Boggio.

Declaration of interests

MM has no conflicts of interest related to this specific manuscript.

EP M-B received unrestricted research/ educational support from CSL Behring, Bayer, Baxter, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Biotest and Sanquin.

JO reports grants and personal fees from Baxalta now Shire, grants and personal fees from Bayer, grants and personal fees from Biotest, grants and personal fees from CSL Behring, grants and personal fees from Grifols, grants and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, grants and personal fees from Octapharma, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Biogen Idec, personal fees from Chugai, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Swedish Orphan Biovitrium, grants and personal fees from Baxet, outside the submitted work.

KP has no conflict of interest related to the content of this manuscript.

GC participated in advisory boards or as speaker for CSL Behring, Baxalta/Shire, Bayer, Kedrion, NovoNordisk, Roche, SOBI and UniQure. The institution of GC received unrestricted research grants from Pfizer, CSL Behring and SOBI.

The institution of KF has received unrestricted research grants from CSL Behring and NovoNordisk and her institution received consultancy fees from Shire, Novo Nordisk and Bayer.

References

1. White GC, Rosendaal FR, Aledort LM, Lusher JM, Rothchild C, Ingerslev J. Definitions in Hemophilia. Recommendation of the scientific and subcommittee of factor VIII and factor IX of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Thromb Haemost 2001; 85:560

2. Peyvandi F, Oldenburg J, Friedman KD. A critical appraisal of one-stage and chromogenic assays of factor VIII activity. J Thromb Haemost 2016; 14:248-261

3. Rumley A, Emberson JR, Wannamethee SG, Lennon L, Whincup PH, Lowe GDO. Effects of older age on fibrin D-dimer, C-reactive protein and other hemostatic and inflammatory variables in men aged 60-79 years. J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4:982-987

4. Loomans JI, van Velzen AS, Eckhardt CL, Peters M, Makipernaa A, Holmstrom M, Brons PP, Dors N, Haya S, Voorberg J, van der Bom JG, Fijnvandraat K. Variation in baseline factor VIII concentration in a retrospective cohort of mild/moderate hemophilia A patients carrying identical F8 mutations. J Thromb Haemost 2017; 15:246-254

 Plug I, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Brocker-Vriends AHJT, Van Amstel HK, van der Bom JG, van Diemen-Homan JE, Willemse J, Rosendaal FR. Bleeding in carriers of hemophilia. Blood 2006; 108:52-56 6. Poulsen AL, Pedersen LH, Hvas AM, Poulsen LH, Thykjaer H, Ingerslev J. Assay discrepancy in mild haemophilia A: entire population study in a National Haemophilia Centre. Haemophilia 2009; 15:285-289

7. Duncan EM, Duncan BM, Tunbridge LJ, Lloyd JV. Familial discrepancy between one-stage and two stage factor VIII methods in a subgroup of patients with haemophilia A. Br J Haematol 1994; 87:846-848

8. Trossaert M, Lienhart A, Nougier C, Fretigny M, Sigaud M, Meunier S, Fouassier M, Ternisien C, Negrier C, Dargaud Y. Diagnosis and management challenges in patients with mild haemophilia A and discrepant FVIII measurements. Haemophilia 2014; 20:550-558

9. Pavlova A, Delev D, Pezeshkpoor B, Muller J, Oldenburg J. Haemophilia A mutations in patients with non-severe phenotype associated with a discrepancy between one-stage and chromogenic FVIII assays. Thromb Haemost 2014; 111; 851-861

10. Cid AR, Calabuig M, Cortina V, Casana P, Haya S, Moret A, Cabrera N, Aznar JA. One-stage and chromogenic FVIII:C assay discrepancy in mild haemophilia A and the relationship with the mutation and bleeding phenotype. Haemophilia 2008; 14:1049-1054

11. Bowyer AE, van Veen JJ, Goodeve AC, Kitchen S, Makris M. Specific and global assays in the diagnosis of discrepant hemophilia A. Haematologica 2013; 98:1980-1987

12. Bowyer AE, Goodeve A, Liesner R, Mumford AD, Kitchen S, Makris M. p.Tyr365Cys change in FVIII: haemophilia A, but not as we know it. Brit J Haematol 2011; 154:618-625

13. Pipe SW, Eickhorst AN, Mckinley SH, Saenko EL, Kaufman RJ. Mild hemophilia A caused by increased rate of factor VIII A2 subunit dissociation: Evidence for nonproteolytic inactivation factor VIIIa in vivo. Blood 1999; 93:176-183

14. Trossaert M, Boisseau P, Quemener A, Sigaud M, Fouassier M, Ternisien C, Lefrancois-Bettembourg A, Tesson C, Thomas C Bezieau S. Prevalence, biological phenotype and genotype in moderate/mild hemophilia with discrepancy between one-stage and chromogenic factor VIII activity. J Thromb Haemost 2011; 9:524-530

15. Trossaert M, Regnault V, Sigaud M, Boisseau P, Fressinaud E, Lecompte T. Mild hemophilia A with factor VIII assay discrepancy: using thrombin generation assay to assess the bleeding phenotype. J Thromb Haemost 2008; 6:486-493

16. Rodgers SE, Duncan EM, Barbulescu DM, Quinn DM, Lloyd JV. In vitro kinetics of factor VIII activity in patients with mild haemophilia A and a discrepancy between one-stage and two-stage factor VIII assay results. Brit J Haematol 2007; 136:138-145

 Rodgers SE, Duncan EM, Sobieraj-Teague M, Lloyd JV. Evaluation of three automated chromogenic FVIII kits for the diagnosis of mild discrepant haemophilia A. Int J Lab Hem 2009; 31:180-188 18. Srivastava A, Brewer AK, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Key NS, Kitchen S, Llinas A, Ludlam CA, Mahlangu JN, Mulder K, Poon MC, Street A. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia A. Haemophilia 2013; 19:e1-47

19. Peyvandi F, Mannucci PM, Garagiola I, El-Beshlawy A, Elalfy M, Ramanan V, Eshghi P, Hanagavadi S, Varadarajan R, Karimi M, Manglani MV, Ross C, Young G, Seth T, Apte S, Nayak DM, Santagostino E, Mancuso ME, Sandoval Gonzalez AC, Mahlangu JN, et al. A randomized trial of factor VIII and neutralizing antibodies in hemophilia A. NEJM 2016; 374:2054-2064

20. Eckhardt CL, van Velzen AS, Peters M, Astermark J, Brons PP, Castaman G, Cbossen MH, Dors N, Escuriola-Ettinghausen C, Hamulyak K, Hart DP, Hay CR, Haya S, van Heerde WL, Hermans C, Holmstrom M, Jimenez-Yuste V, Keenan RD, Klamroth R, Laros-van Gorkom BA. Factor VIII gene (F8) mutation and risk of inhibitor development in nonsevere hemophilia A. Blood 2013; 122:1954-1962

21. van Velzen AS, Eckhardt CL, Streefkerk N, Peters M, Hart DP, Hamulyak K, Klamroth R, Meijer K, Nijziel M, Schinco P, Yee TT, van der Bom JG, Fijnvandraat K, INSIGHT study group. The incidence and treatment of bleeding episodes in non-severe haemophilia A patients with inhibitors. Thromb Haemost 2016; 115:543-550