UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139498/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ní Leathlobhair, M, Perri, A R, Irving-Pease, E K et al. (47 more authors) (2018) The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas. Science. pp. 81-85. ISSN 0036-8075

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4776

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1 2

Title: The Evolutionary History of Dogs in the Americas

- Authors: Máire Ní Leathlobhair¹*, Angela R. Perri^{2,3}*, Evan K. Irving-Pease⁴*, Kelsey E. Witt⁵*, 3 Anna Linderholm^{4,6}*, James Haile^{4,7}, Ophelie Lebrasseur⁴, Carly Ameen⁸, Jeffrey Blick^{9,†}, Adam R. 4 Boyko¹⁰, Selina Brace¹¹, Yahaira Nunes Cortes¹², Susan J. Crockford¹³, Alison Devault¹⁴, 5 Evangelos A. Dimopoulos⁴, Morley Eldridge¹⁵, Jacob Enk¹⁴, Shyam Gopalakrishnan⁷, Kevin Gori¹, 6 Vaughan Grimes¹⁶, Eric Guiry¹⁷, Anders J. Hansen^{7,18}, Ardern Hulme-Beaman^{4,8}, John Johnson¹⁹, 7 Andrew Kitchen²⁰, Aleksei K. Kasparov²¹, Young-Mi Kwon¹, Pavel A. Nikolskiy^{21,22}, Carlos 8 Peraza Lope²³, Aurélie Manin^{24,25}, Terrance Martin²⁶, Michael Meyer²⁷, Kelsey Noack Myers²⁸, 9 Mark Omura²⁹, Jean-Marie Rouillard^{14,30}, Elena Y. Pavlova^{21,31}, Paul Sciulli³², Mikkel-Holger S. 10 Sinding^{7,18,33}, Andrea Strakova¹, Varvara V. Ivanova³⁴, Christopher Widga³⁵, Eske Willerslev⁷, 11 Vladimir V. Pitulko²¹, Ian Barnes¹¹, M. Thomas P. Gilbert^{7,36}, Keith M. Dobney⁸, Ripan S. 12 Malhi^{37,38}, Elizabeth P. Murchison^{1,a,§}, Greger Larson^{4,a,§} and Laurent A. F. Frantz^{4,39,a,§} 13 14 15 **Affiliations:** 1 Transmissible Cancer Group, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, 16 17 Cambridge, U.K. 18 2 Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, U.K. 3 Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, 19 20 Germany 21 4 The Palaeogenomics & Bio-Archaeology Research Network, Research Laboratory for 22 Archaeology and History of Art, The University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. 5 School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA 23 6 Department of Anthropology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA 24 7 Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, 25 26 Copenhagen, Denmark 27 8 Department of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK 9 Department of Government and Sociology, Georgia College and State University, USA 28 10 Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA 29 11 Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK 30 31 12 Department of Anthropology, University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, New York, USA 13 Pacific Identifications Inc., Victoria, Canada 32 14 Arbor Biosciences, Ann Arbor, USA. 33 34 15 Millennia Research Limited, Victoria, Canada 35 16 Department of Archaeology, Memorial University, Queen's College, St. John's, Canada 17 Department of Anthropology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada 36 37 18 The Qimmeg Project, University of Greenland, Nuussuag, Greenland 19 Department of Anthropology, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, USA 38 39 20 Department of Anthropology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA 40 21 Institute for the Material Culture History, Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, Russia 22 Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia 41 42 23 Centro INAH Yucatán, Mérida, Yucatán, México 43 24 Department of Archaeology, BioArCh, University of York, York, UK 44 25 UMR 7209, Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 45 France
- 46 26 Research and Collections Center, Illinois State Museum, Springfield, USA

- 47 27 Touray & Meyer Vet Clinic, Serrekunda, Gambia
- 48 28 Glenn A. Black Laboratory of Anthropology, Indiana University Bloomington, USA
- 49 29 Department of Mammalogy, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
- 50 MA, USA
- 51 30 Chemical Engineering Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.
- 52 31 Arctic & Antarctic Research Institute, St Petersburg, Russia
- 53 32 Department of Anthropology, Ohio State University, Columbus, USA
- 54 33 Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 55 34 VNIIOkeangeologia Research Institute, 1 Angliisky Ave., St Petersburg, 190021, Russia
- 56 35 Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Gray, USA
- 57 36 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, University Museum, Trondheim, Norway
- 58 37 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Anthropology, USA
- 59 38 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, USA
- 60 39 School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- 61
- 62 * These authors contributed equally to this work
- 63 ^a These authors co-supervised this work
- 64 [†] deceased
- 65 [§] Corresponding authors: Laurent A. F. Frantz <u>laurent.frantz@arch.ox.ac.uk</u>; Greger Larson –
- 66 greger.larson@arch.ox.ac.uk; Elizabeth P. Murchison epm27@cam.ac.uk
- 67 68

69 Abstract (129 words): Dogs were present in the Americas prior to the arrival of European colonists, but the origin and fate of these pre-contact dogs are largely unknown. We sequenced 71 70 71 mitochondrial and seven nuclear genomes from ancient North American and Siberian dogs 72 spanning ~9,000 years. Our analysis indicates that American dogs were not domesticated from 73 North American wolves. Instead, American dogs form a monophyletic lineage that likely originated 74 in Siberia and dispersed into the Americas alongside people. After the arrival of Europeans, native American dogs almost completely disappeared, leaving a minimal genetic legacy in modern dog 75 populations. Remarkably, the closest detectable extant lineage to pre-contact American dogs is the 76 77 canine transmissible venereal tumor, a contagious cancer clone derived from an individual dog that lived up to 8,000 years ago. 78

79

80 Main Text (2362 words): The history of the global dispersal of dogs remains contentious (1). In 81 North America, the earliest confirmed dog remains have been radiocarbon dated to ~9,900 82 calibrated years before present (cal BP) (Koster, Illinois; (2, 3)), approximately 6,000 years after the earliest unambiguous evidence of humans arriving in North America (4). While these early dogs 83 were most likely not domesticated *in situ* (5), the timing of their arrival and their geographic origins 84 are unknown. Studies of the control region of mitochondrial DNA have suggested that the pre-85 86 contact American dog population was largely replaced following the introduction of European dogs after the arrival of Europeans, and Eurasian Arctic dogs (e.g., Siberian huskies) during the Alaskan 87 gold rush (5-7). It remains possible, however, that some modern American dogs retain a degree of 88 ancestry from the pre-contact population (8, 9). 89

- 90
- 91 We sequenced complete mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) from 71 archaeological dog
- 92 remains collected in North America and Siberia (Fig. 1a; Table S1) and analyzed these with 145

93 mitogenomes derived from a global dataset of modern and ancient canids (*3*). A phylogenetic tree

- 94 constructed from the mitogenomes indicated that all sampled pre-contact dogs (spanning ~9,000
- 95 years) formed a monophyletic group within dog haplogroup A (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6), which we
- 96 refer to as pre-contact dogs (PCD). This analysis indicated that the most closely related
- 97 mitochondrial lineage to the PCD clade are ~9,000 year-old dogs from Zhokhov Island in Eastern
 98 Siberia (3) (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6). In addition, molecular clock analyses suggest that all PCD
- dogs shared a common ancestor ~14,600 years ago (95% high posterior density [HPD]: 16,484-
- 100 12,965; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6), which diverged from a shared ancestor with the Zhokhov Island dogs
- 101 ~1,000 years earlier (95% HPD:17,646-13,739; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6). Interestingly, these time frames
- 102 are broadly coincident with early migrations into the Americas (10-12).
- 103

104 To further investigate the evolutionary history of PCD, we generated low coverage nuclear genome sequences (~0.005-2.0x) from seven pre-contact dogs sampled in six locations in North America 105 106 spanning ~9,000 years (Table S1). We analyzed these nuclear data alongside publicly available datasets including 45 modern canid whole genomes sampled from Eurasia and the Americas (Table 107 S2)(13–16). A neighbor-joining tree constructed using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) 108 revealed that, like the mitogenome phylogeny, PCD individuals clustered in a distinct monophyletic 109 lineage that is more closely related to dogs than to either Eurasian or North American wolves (Fig. 110 1c). Furthermore, our nuclear genome analysis indicated that the closest-related sister clade to PCD 111 112 consists of modern Arctic dogs from the Americas (including Alaskan malamutes, Greenland dogs and Alaskan huskies) and Eurasia (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c). Treemix (3) (Fig. 1d), outgroup f3-113 114 statistics (Fig. S13) and D-statistics (Fig. S14; Fig. S15) also supported this phylogenetic structure. Combined, our mitochondrial and nuclear results indicate that PCD were not domesticated in situ 115 116 from North American wolves, but were instead introduced by people into the Americas via Beringia from a population that was related to modern Arctic dogs. 117

118

119 Studies of nuclear data have identified two modern clades of global dogs: an East Asian clade (including dingoes) and a Western Eurasian clade (including European, Indian, and African 120 121 dogs)(9, 14, 16). These analyses placed modern Arctic dogs with either Western Eurasian (16, 17) or East Asian dogs (9, 14). Our analyses of nuclear data revealed a close relationship between 122 123 Arctic dogs and PCD which together form a clade (PCD/Arctic) that is basal to both Western Eurasian and East Asian dogs and suggests the existence of a third monophyletic clade of dogs (Fig. 124 1c). Though all three clades are well-supported, the relationships between them are ambiguous. For 125 example, our outgroup f3-statistics analysis (Fig. S13) indicated that the PCD/Arctic clade is basal 126 127 to the two other Eurasian dog clades. However, when excluding specific East Asian dogs that possess evidence of gene flow from European dogs (Table S7; (14)), East Asian dogs became the 128 most basal clade in a neighbor joining tree, and the PCD/Arctic clade became the sister clade to 129 Western Eurasian dogs (Fig. S11). Conversely, admixture graphs ((3); Fig. S25) and TreeMix (18) 130 131 (Fig. 1d) suggested that the PCD/Arctic clade is closest to East Asian dogs and West Eurasian dogs 132 are the most basal. Conflicting phylogenies based on nuclear data have been reported on numerous occasions (1, 14, 16), and these inconsistent topologies could result either from substantial post-133 134 divergence gene flow among Eurasian dogs (Fig. 1c; Fig. S25; (3, 14)), or from a near simultaneous 135 divergence of all three lineages.

136

Our nuclear data indicates that modern Arctic dogs sampled from both Siberia and North America
cluster in a distinct phylogenetic group that forms a sister taxon to PCD (Fig. 1c). This close

3

phylogenetic relationship between modern American Arctic dogs (Alaskan malamutes, Alaskan 139 huskies and Greenland dogs) and modern Eurasian Arctic dogs (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c; Fig. S11; 140 141 Fig. S13) suggests that PCD are not the direct ancestor of modern American Arctic dogs. It is possible that modern American Arctic dogs are the descendants of dogs brought by the Paleo-142 143 Eskimo (~6,000 years ago) or by the Thule (~1,000 years ago)(19). However, both mitogenomic and low coverage nuclear data from a late Paleo-Eskimo dog from Kodiak Island, Alaska (Uyak: 144 AL3198: Fig. 1a: Table S1) indicate that this dog is more closely related to PCD than to modern 145 146 American Arctic dogs (Fig. S10; Fig. S4). This suggests that modern American Arctic dogs are not the descendants of Paleo-Eskimo dogs and that Paleo-Eskimos likely acquired local dogs in North 147 America or brought Siberian dogs that were genetically indistinguishable from PCD. Our sampling 148 did not include dogs from sites associated with the Thule culture, so it is plausible that the modern 149 American Arctic dogs included in our analysis, such as Alaskan malamutes and Greenland dogs, are 150 151 the descendants of dogs introduced by the Thule. Alternatively, the modern American Arctic dogs 152 that we sampled may be the descendants of recently introduced Eurasian Arctic dogs, many of which were introduced during the 19th-century Alaskan gold rush and as sled dog racing stock (6). 153 Regardless, modern American Arctic dog populations have complex histories with potential genetic 154 contributions from both American and Eurasian Arctic dogs (3). 155

156

157 Interestingly, genomic analyses of canine transmissible venereal tumor (CTVT) genomes indicated 158 a close affinity with modern Arctic dogs (20). CTVT is a contagious cancer clone that manifests as 159 genital tumors and spreads between dogs by the transfer of living cancer cells during mating. This 160 clone first originated from the cells of an individual dog, the "CTVT founder dog", which lived 161 several thousand years ago, and still carries the genome of this individual (20). To investigate the 162 relationship between the CTVT founder dog and PCD, we analyzed two CTVT genomes alongside 163 a panel of modern and ancient canid genomes.

164

165 In order to accomodate for the fact that CTVT is a cancer, and to limit the impact of somatic mutations, we confined our genotyping analysis to SNPs which mapped to genomic regions that 166 167 have retained both parental chromosomal copies in CTVT (20), and excluded singleton SNPs exclusively called in CTVT genomes. Remarkably, CTVT clustered with PCD on neighbor-joining 168 169 trees (Fig. 1c; Fig. S10; Fig. S11), a Bayesian tree (Fig. S12), Treemix (Fig. 1d) and admixture 170 graphs (Fig. S25). This result is further supported by both outgroup f3 (Fig. S13) and D-statistics (Fig. S14; Fig. S15). These findings indicate that the CTVT founder dog is more closely related to 171 172 PCD than to modern Arctic dogs. Multiple horizontal transfers of mitochondrial genomes from dog 173 hosts to CTVT tumors has led to the replacement of the founder dog's mitogenome (21, 22), thus 174 we could not determine the mitochondrial haplogroup of the CTVT founder dog and we limited our 175 analyses to the nuclear genome.

176

To assess whether the CTVT founder dog lived prior to, or after dogs entered North America, we re-estimated its temporal origin by sequencing the nuclear genomes of two CTVT tumors, 608T and 609T. 608T is a CTVT tumor from the skin of a ten-month-old puppy which was likely engrafted from its mother's vaginal tumor (609T) during birth. We identified mutations with a clock-like mutational process which were present in 608T, but not detectable in 609T, and used these to derive a lower bound for a somatic mutation rate for CTVT (*3*). Applying this rate to the total burden of clock-like somatic mutation in the CTVT lineage (*3*), we estimated that the CTVT founder dog

4

184 lived up to 8,225 years ago (*3*). This time frame postdates the initial arrival of dogs into the

185 Americas, raising the possibility that CTVT may have originated in a dog living in North America.

186

187 To further assess this scenario, we quantified the degree of introgression between North American 188 endemic canids (covotes and North American wolves), PCD dogs, modern Arctic dogs, and the CTVT founder dog. Our analyses indicated that, unlike Arctic dogs, PCD dogs share number of 189 derived alleles with covotes and North American wolves, indicative of admixture (Fig. S16: Fig. 190 191 S17). The CTVT founder dog also showed some weak evidence of coyote ancestry, but did not appear to possess admixture with North American wolves (Fig. S16; Fig. S17). Because covotes are 192 restricted to North America, this suggests that CTVT may have originated there. Since we did not 193 ascertain the degree of covote ancestry in ancient PCD-related dogs in Northern Siberia (such as the 194 Zhokov Island dogs, Fig 1), however, this analysis does not establish the location in which CTVT 195 196 originated. Furthermore, studies that used somatic mutations to reconstruct the phylogeography of 197 the CTVT clone indicated a deep divergence in Asia and a recent introduction to the Americas (21). Altogether, these results suggest a scenario in which CTVT originated in Asia from a dog that was 198 closely related to PCD, although we cannot exclude the possibility that the clone arose in America, 199 then dispersed early into Asia before being reintroduced to America. 200

201

The legacy of PCD in modern American dog populations is uncertain. It has been suggested that
some North American wolves obtained a mutation leading to black coat color possibly via
admixture with early American dogs (23). This allele was not present, however, in either of the two
higher coverage ancient PCD dogs in this study (3) or in CTVT (20). Additional ancient genomes
are necessary to determine if this allele was present in the PCD population.

207

In addition, previous studies have argued that some modern American dog populations possess a 208 209 genetic signature from indigenous American dogs (8, 9, 24). To test this hypothesis, we analyzed 210 nuclear data obtained from more than 5,000 modern dogs (including American village dogs) genotyped on a 180K SNP array (9). We found 7-20% PCD ancestry in modern American Arctic 211 212 dogs using f4 ratios (Alaskan husky, Alaskan malamute and Greenland dogs; Table S10&S11; Supplementary Material). This result, however, could reflect ancient population substructure in 213 214 Arctic dogs rather than genuine admixture (Supplementary Material). Our f4 ratio analysis did not 215 detect a significant admixture signal from PCD into any modern American dogs of European ancestry (Table S10). 216

217

Our ADMIXTURE analysis detected varying degrees of PCD/Arctic ancestry in three individual Carolina dogs (0-33%; Fig. S20). This analysis, however, could not distinguish between PCD and Arctic ancestry, and we cannot rule out that this was result of admixture from modern Arctic dogs and not from PCD (*3*). The majority of modern American dog populations, including 138 village dogs from South America and multiple "native" breeds (e.g., hairless dogs and Catahoulas), possess no detectable traces of PCD ancestry (Fig. S20; Table S10; Fig. 2a), though this analysis may suffer from ascertainment bias.

225

To further assess the contribution of PCD to modern American dog populations, we also analyzed 590 additional modern dog mitogenomes, including 169 village and breed dogs that were sampled in North and South America (*21*). We identified two modern American dogs (a chihuahua and a mixed breed dog from Nicaragua) that carried PCD mitochondrial haplotypes (Fig. S5); consistent with a limited degree of PCD ancestry (<2%) in modern American dogs. We also identified three

- East Asian dogs that carried a PCD haplotype, possibly as a result of ancient population
- substructure or recent dog dispersal (Fig. S5; (3)). Although greater degrees of PCD ancestry may
- remain in American dogs which have not yet been sampled, our results suggest that European dogs
- almost completely replaced native American dog lineages. This near disappearance of PCD likely
- resulted from the arrival of Europeans, which led to shifts in cultural preferences and the
- persecution of indigenous dogs (25). Introduced European dogs may also have brought infectiousdiseases to which PCD were susceptible.
- 238
- The first appearance of dogs in the North American archaeological record occurs ~6,000 years after
- the earliest evidence of human activity (4, 11). In addition, our molecular clock analysis indicates
- that the PCD lineage appeared \sim 6,500 years after North American human lineages (Fig. 1b)(*10*).
- 242 These discrepancies suggest that dogs may not have arrived into the Americas alongside the first
- human migration. A recent human genetic study suggests that Northern Native American
- populations admixed with an East Siberian population ~11,500 years ago(12). This timing is
 compatible with both the archaeological record and our PCD divergence time estimate and suggests
- a scenario in which dogs were brought to the Americas several thousand years after the first people
 arrived.
- 248

249 This initial dog population entered North America then dispersed throughout the Americas where it remained isolated for at least 9,000 years. Within the past 1,000 years, however, there have been at 250 251 least three independent re-introductions of dogs. The first may have consisted of Arctic dogs that arrived with the Thule culture \sim 1,000 years ago (6). Then, beginning in the 15th century, Europeans 252 brought a second wave of dogs that appear to have almost completely replaced indigenous dogs. 253 Lastly, Siberian huskies were introduced to the American Arctic during the Alaskan gold rush (25). 254 255 As a result of these more recent introductions, the modern American dog population is largely 256 derived from Eurasian breeds, and the closest known extant vestige of the first American dogs now

- 257 exists as a worldwide transmissible cancer.
- 258

259 References and Notes:

- G. Larson *et al.*, Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and
 biogeography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 8878–8883 (2012).
- 262 2. A. Perri *et al.*, New evidence of the earliest domestic dogs in the Americas, *Am. Antiq.*,
 263 under review (2018).
- 264 3. See supplementary materials.
- 4. T. Goebel, M. R. Waters, D. H. O'Rourke, The late Pleistocene dispersal of modern humans
 in the Americas. *Science*. **319**, 1497–1502 (2008).
- 267 5. J. A. Leonard *et al.*, Ancient DNA evidence for Old World origin of New World dogs.
 268 *Science*. 298, 1613–1616 (2002).
- S. K. Brown, C. M. Darwent, E. J. Wictum, B. N. Sacks, Using multiple markers to
 elucidate the ancient, historical and modern relationships among North American Arctic dog
 breeds. *Heredity*. 115, 488–495 (2015).
- 7. K. E. Witt *et al.*, DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible
 founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. *J. Hum. Evol.* **79**, 105–118 (2015).
- 8. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited
- replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280, 20131142

- 276 (2013).
- 277 9. L. M. Shannon *et al.*, Genetic structure in village dogs reveals a Central Asian
- 278 domestication origin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 13639–13644 (2015).
- 10. M. Raghavan *et al.*, Genomic evidence for the Pleistocene and recent population history of
 Native Americans. *Science*. 349, aab3884 (2015).
- 281 11. K. E. Graf, I. Buvit, Human Dispersal from Siberia to Beringia: Assessing a Beringian
- 282 Standstill in Light of the Archaeological Evidence. *Curr. Anthropol.* 58, S583–S603 (2017).
- 283 12. J. V. Moreno-Mayar *et al.*, Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding
 284 population of Native Americans. *Nature*. 553, 203–207 (2018).
- 285 13. Z. Fan *et al.*, Worldwide patterns of genomic variation and admixture in gray wolves.
- 286 *Genome Res.* 26, 163–173 (2016).
- 287 14. G.-D. Wang *et al.*, Out of southern East Asia: the natural history of domestic dogs across the
 288 world. *Cell Res.* 26 (2016), pp. 21–33.
- 289 15. A. H. Freedman *et al.*, Genome sequencing highlights the dynamic early history of dogs.
 290 *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004016 (2014).
- 16. L. A. F. Frantz *et al.*, Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
 domestic dogs. *Science*. 352, 1228–1231 (2016).
- 17. B. M. Vonholdt *et al.*, Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich history
 underlying dog domestication. *Nature*. 464, 898–902 (2010).
- 18. J. K. Pickrell, J. K. Pritchard, Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide
 allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet.* 8, e1002967 (2012).
- 19. M. Raghavan *et al.*, The genetic prehistory of the New World Arctic. *Science*. **345** (2014).
- 20. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible dog cancer genome reveals the origin and history of an
 ancient cell lineage. *Science*. 343, 437–440 (2014).
- A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
 transmissible cancer. *Elife*. 5 (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.
- 302 22. C. A. Rebbeck, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Mitochondrial capture by a transmissible cancer.
 303 *Science*. 331, 303 (2011).
- 304 23. T. M. Anderson *et al.*, Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
 305 gray wolves. *Science*. 323, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 306 24. H. G. Parker et al., Genomic Analyses Reveal the Influence of Geographic Origin,
- 307 Migration, and Hybridization on Modern Dog Breed Development. Cell Rep. 19, 697–708 (2017).
- 308 25. M. Derr, *A Dog's History of America: How Our Best Friend Explored, Conquered, and* Softlad a Continent (Former Strong and Circum 2005)
- 309 *Settled a Continent* (Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2005).
- E. J. Lee *et al.*, Ancient DNA analysis of the oldest canid species from the Siberian Arctic
 and genetic contribution to the domestic dog. *PLoS One*. 10, e0125759 (2015).
- 312 27. S. Peterson, thesis (2010).
- 313 28. G. Monaghan, C. Peebles, The construction, use, and abandonment of Angel Site Mound A:
 314 tracing the history of a Middle Mississippian town through its earthworks. *Am. Antiq.* 75, 935–953
 315 (2010).
- 316 29. E. A. Bluhm, A. Liss, in *Chicago Area Archaeology* (Illinois Archaeological Survey,
 317 Urbana, 1961).
- 30. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, Peoples occupying the Apple Creek Site,
 Illinois. *Illinois State Museum Reports of Investigations*. 23 (1972).
- 320 31. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, "Animals Utilized by Woodland Peoples
- 321 Occupying the Apple Creek Site, Illinois, Research Papers 5" (Illinois State Museum, Illinois

- 322 Valley Archaeological Program, Springfield, I, 1972).
- 32. J. B. Griffin, Late prehistory of the Ohio Valley. *Handbook of North American Indians*. 15,
 547–559 (1978).
- 325 33. F. Church, J. P. Nass Jr, J. P. Hart, C. B. Reith, Central Ohio Valley during the Late

Prehistoric Period: Subsistence-Settlement Systems' Responses to Risk. Northeast Subsistence Settlement Change: AD 700--1300, 11 (2002).

- 328 34. W. C. Mills, *Explorations of the Baum prehistoric village site* (FJ Heer, 1906).
- 329 35. J. B. Griffin, Fort Ancient Has No Class: The Absence of an Elite Group in Mississippian

330 Societies in the Central Ohio Valley. *Archeological Papers of the American Anthropological*331 *Association.* 3, 53–59 (1992).

- 36. E. G. Squier, E. H. Davis, Ancient Monuments of the Mississippi Valley: Comprising the
 Results of Extensive Original Surveys and Explorations (Smithsonian Institution, 1848).
- 334 37. F. W. Putnam, in Additional Mounds of Duval and Clay Counties, Florida., C. B. Moore,
- Ed. (Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, 1896), pp. 26–27.
- 336 38. D. F. Morey, Burying key evidence: the social bond between dogs and people. *J. Archaeol.*337 *Sci.* 33, 158–175 (2006/2).
- 338 39. K. Nolan, P. Sculli, Rejoinder to Sciulli and Purcell: Two Late Prehistoric Dogs from the
 339 Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County, Ohio. *Pa. Archaeol.* 84, 65–73 (2014).
- 340 40. C. B. Moore, Aboriginal sites on the Tennessee river. *Journal of the Academy for Natural*341 *Sciences of Philadelphia*. 16, 169–428 (1915).
- 342 41. T. C. Rick, J. M. Erlandson, R. L. Vellanoweth, T. J. Braje, From pleistocene mariners to
 343 complex hunter-gatherers: The archaeology of the california channel islands. *Journal of World*
- 344 *Prehistory*. **19**, 169–228 (2005).
- 345 42. T. C. Rick *et al.*, Dogs, humans and island ecosystems: the distribution, antiquity and
 a46 ecology of domestic dogs (Canis familiaris) on California's Channel Islands, USA. *Holocene*. 18,
 1077–1087 (2008).
- 348 43. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, "The Flint River Site, Museum Paper 23" (Geological
 349 Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 1948).
- 350 44. D. M. Warren, thesis (2004).
- 351 45. W. D. Lipe, J. N. Morris, T. A. Kohler, *Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi*
- *Communities at Dolores: Grass Mesa Village* (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and
 Research Center, Denver, 1988).
- J. M. Galloy, PART V : WOODLAND PERIOD The Janey B . Goode Site (11S1232):
 Highlights of Investigations at a Massive Late Prehistoric Site in the American Bottom. *Illinois*
- Archaeology. 22, 529–552 (2010).
- 47. Q. L. Borgic, J. M. Galloy, Domesticated Dog Remains from the Janey B. Goode Site(2004).
- 359 48. G. L. Houart, Koster: a stratified Archaic site in the Illinois valley (1971) (available at
 360 http://core.tdar.org/document/147855).
- 49. K. W. Butzer, Changing Holocene Environments at the Koster Site: A Geo-Archaeological
 Perspective. *Am. Antiq.* 43, 408–413 (1978).
- 363 50. J. E. Buikstra, in *The Archaeology of Death*, R. Chapman, I. Kinnes, K. Randsborg, Eds.
 364 (Cambridge University Press, 1981), pp. 123–132.
- 365 51. E. R. Hajic, *Koster site archaeology I: stratigraphy and landscape evolution* (Center for
 366 Amer Archeology Pr, 1990).
- 367 52. S. Struever, F. A. Holton, Koster: Americans in search of their prehistoric past (1979)

- 368 (available at http://core.tdar.org/document/117353/koster-americans-in-search-of-their-prehistoric-369 past).
- 370 53. J. A. Brown, R. K. Vierra, What happened in the Middle Archaic?: Introduction to an
- 371 ecological approach to Koster Site Archaeology (Academic Press Orlando, 1983).
- 372 54. R. J. Jeske, R. Lurie, THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL VISIBILITY OF BIPOLAR
- 373 TECHNOLOGY: AN EXAMPLE FROM THE KOSTER SITE. MidCont. J. Archaeol. 18, 131–
- **374** 160 (1993).
- 375 55. A. L. Boon, thesis, Indiana University of Pennsylvania (2013).
- 376 56. E. R. Hajic, in *Encyclopedia of Geoarchaeology*, A. S. Gilbert, Ed. (Springer Netherlands,
- 2017), *Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series*, pp. 457–458.
- 378 57. M. D. Wiant *et al.*, The archaic period in the lower Illinois river basin. *Archaic Societies:*
- 379 *Diversity and Complexity across the Midcontinent*, 229–286 (2009).
- 380 58. D. F. Morey, M. D. Wiant, Early Holocene Domestic Dog Burials From the North American
 381 Midwest. *Curr. Anthropol.* 33, 224–229 (1992).
- 382 59. F. C. Hill, *A Middle Archaic Dog Burial in Illinois* (Foundation for Illinois Archaeology,
 383 1972).
- 384 60. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, "Little Bear Creek Site, Museum Paper 26" (1948).
- 385 61. F. S. Barkalow Jr., Vertebrate Remains from Archaeological Sites in the Tennessee Valley
 386 of Alabama. *South. Indian Stud.* 24, 3–41 (1972).
- C. P. Lope, M. A. Masson, T. S. Hare, C. Pedro, P. C. Delgado Ku, The Late Postclassic
 chronology of Mayapan: new radiocarbon evidence. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 17, 153–176 (2006).
- 389 63. T. Proskouriakoff, in *Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington*
- 390 *Publication 619* (1962), vol. 619, p. 87.
- 391 64. A. L. Smith, in *Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington Publication*392 619 (1962), p. 619.
- 393 65. M. A. Masson, C. P. Lope, Animal use at the Postclassic Maya center of Mayapan. *Quat.*394 *Int.* 191, 170–183 (2008).
- 395 66. A. E. Kane, C. K. Robinson, *Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi Communities at*396 *Dolores: McPhee Village* (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center.,
 397 Denver, 1988).
- 398 67. M. L. Fowler, Modoc Rock Shelter: An Early Archaic Site in Southern Illinois. *Am. Antiq.*399 24, 257–270 (1959).
- 400 68. P. W. Parmalee, "Appendix II: animals remains from the Modoc Rock Shelter site,
- 401 Randolph County, Illinois" (Illinois State Museum, Springfield, Illinois, 1959), pp. 61–63.
- 402 69. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, The Perry Site, 1Lu25. *Geological Survey of Alabama*,
- 403 Alabama Natural History Museum Paper. 25 (1948).
- 404 70. E. Futato, Middle and Late Archaic Settlement at the Perry Site, 1LU25, Lauderdale
- 405 County, Alabama. *Journal of Alabama Archaeology*. **48**, 80–92 (2002).
- 406 71. J. A. Tuck, Archaic Indian Cemetery in Newfoundland. Sci. Am. 222, 112–121 (1970).
- 407 72. J. A. Tuck, An Archaic Cemetery at Port Au Choix, Newfoundland. *Am. Antiq.* 36, 343–358
 408 (1971).
- 409 73. J. A. Tuck, Ancient people of Port au Choix (1976).
- 410 74. B. C. Hood, The Maritime Archaic Indians of Labrador: Investigating Prehistoric Social
- 411 Organization. Newfoundland and Labrador Studies. 9 (1993) (available at
- 412 https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/940).
- 413 75. M. A. P. Renouf, Palaeoeskimo Seal Hunters at Port au Choix, Northwestern

- 414 Newfoundland. *Newfoundland and Labrador Studies*. 9 (1993) (available at
- 415 https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/941).
- 416 76. E. J. Guiry, V. Grimes, Domestic dog (Canis familiaris) diets among coastal Late Archaic
- groups of northeastern North America: A case study for the canine surrogacy approach. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 32, 732–745 (2013).
- 419 77. A. Perri, in *Economic Zooarchaeology: Studies in Hunting, Herding and Early Agriculture*,
 420 P. Rowley-Conwy, D. Serjeantson, P. Halstead, Eds. (Oxbow, 2017).
- 421 78. G. M. Allen, *Dogs of the American aborigines* (Museum [of Comparative Zoology], 1920), 422 vol. 63.
- 423 79. W. A. Ritchie, An Early Site in Cayug a County, New York. *Research Records*. 7 (1945).
- 424 80. M. Eldridge, A. Parker, C. Mueller, S. Crockford, "Archaeological investigations at Ya
- 425 asqalu'i/Kaien Siding, Prince Rupert Harbour" (Millennia Research Limited for CN, 2014).
- 426 81. A. Parker, M. Eldridge, in *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference on Computer*
- 427 Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, F. Giligny, F. Djindjian, L. Costa, P.
- 428 Moscati, S. Rober, Eds. (Archaeopress, Oxford, 2014), pp. 114–122.
- 429 82. S. J. Crockford, "Analysis of the vertebrate fauna from neighbouring Prince Rupert Harbour
- sites GbTo-54 and GbTo-13: prehistoric mountain goat capital of North America" (Pacific
 IDentification for Millenia Research Limited, 2014).
- 432 83. K. C. Nolan, Distributional Survey of the Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County,
- 433 Ohio: A Strategy for Deciphering the Community Structure of a Fort Ancient Village. *MidCont. J.*434 *Archaeol.* 36, 105–130 (2011).
- 435 84. K. C. Nolan, Archaeological Survey of the Reinhardt Tract Property through a Certified
- 436 Local Government (CLG) Grant on behalf of the City of Columbus in Harrison Township,
- 437 Pickaway County, Ohio, Volume I: Survey Results. *Report Submitted to the Ohio Historic*
- 438 Preservation Office, Columbus, OH (2009) (available at
- 439 http://www.academia.edu/download/464862/Reinhardt_Tract_CLG_reportVolI_Final-web.doc).
- 440 85. K. C. Nolan, R. A. Cook, An evolutionary model of social change in the Middle Ohio
- Valley: Was social complexity impossible during the late woodland but mandatory during the late
 prehistoric? *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 29, 62–79 (2010/3).
- 443 86. J. E. Kerber, NATIVE AMERICAN TREATMENT OF DOGS IN NORTHEASTERN
- 444 NORTH AMERICA: ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND ETHNOHISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES.
- 445 Archaeology of Eastern North America. 25, 81–95 (1997).
- 446 87. R. A. Cook, DOGS OF WAR: POTENTIAL SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS OF CONFLICT,
- 447 HEALING, AND DEATH IN A FORT ANCIENT VILLAGE. Am. Antiq. 77, 498–523 (2012).
- 448 88. M. A. Potter, R. S. Baby, Hopewellian Dogs. *Ohio J. Sci.* 64, 36–40 (1964).
- W. D. Frankforter, G. A. Agogino, The Simonsen Site: Report for the Summer of 1959. *Plains Anthropol.* 10, 65–70 (1960).
- 451 90. G. A. Agogino, W. D. Frankforter, A Paleo-Indian Bison-Kill in Northwestern Iowa. *Am.*452 *Antiq.* 25, 414–415 (1960).
- 453 91. J. C. Equihua, Proyecto Salvamento Arqueológico Tizayuca 2006. Informe. Secuencias
- 454 estratigráficas y conjuntos arquitectónicos, tomo I. Technical report submitted to the INAH (2008).
- 455 92. A. Manin, thesis, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (2015).
- 456 93. A. Hrdlička, *The Anthropology of Kodiak Island* (Wistar Institute of Anatomy and Biology,
 457 1944).
- 458 94. R. F. Heizer, G. W. Hewes, A. Hrdlicka, nd Archaeology of the Uyak Site, Kodiak Island,
- 459 Alaska (1956) (available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/277048.pdf).

- 460 95. A. F. Steffian, Fifty years after Hrdlicka: Further excavation of the Uyak site, Kodiak Island,
- 461 Alaska. Contributions to the anthropology of southcentral and southwestern Alaska, 141–164
- 462 (1992).
- 463 96. C. F. West, K. N. Jarvis, Osteometric Variation in Domestic Dogs (Canis familiaris) from
 464 the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 25, 289–298 (2015).
- 465 97. G. M. Allen, Dog Skulls from Uyak Bay, Kodiak Island. J. Mammal. 20, 336–340 (1939).
- 466 98. G. R. Scott, Affinities of Prehistoric and Modern Kodiak Islanders and the Question of
 467 Kachemak-Koniag Biological Continuity. *Arctic Anthropol.* 29, 150–166 (1992).
- 468 99. D. W. Clark, Contributions to the Later Prehistory of Kodiak Island, Alaska. National
- 469 Museum of Man Mercury Series, No. 20. *National Museums of Canada: Ottawa* (1974).
- 470 100. C. F. West, C. A. France, Human and Canid Dietary Relationships: Comparative Stable
- 471 Isotope Analysis From the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. *J. Ethnobiol.* **35**, 519–535 (2015).
- 472 101. C. P. Groves, The advantages and disadvantages of being domesticated. *Perspectives in*
- **473** *Human Biology*. **4**, 1–12 (1999).
- 474 102. P. Tacon, C. Pardoe, Dogs make us human (2002) (available at
- 475 http://www98.griffith.edu.au/dspace/handle/10072/16675).
- 476 103. F. J. Simoons, *Eat Not this Flesh: Food Avoidances from Prehistory to the Present* (Univ of
 477 Wisconsin Press, 1994).
- 478 104. L. B. Gregory, The Hatch site: A preliminary report. *Virginia Archeological Society*,
- 479 *Quarterly Bulletin.* **34**, 239–248 (1980).
- 480 105. E. S. Gregory, Weyanoke Old Town. *Archeological Society of Virginia Quarterly Bulletin*.
 481 41, 49–71 (1986).
- 482 106. J. P. Blick, The Archaeology and Ethnohistory of the Dog in Virginia Algonquian Culture as
- 483 Seen from Weyanoke Old Town. *Algonquian Papers-Archive*. **31** (2000) (available at
- 484 https://ojs3.library.carleton.ca/index.php/ALGQP/article/view/849).
- 485 107. C. F. Feest, *The Virginia Indian in Pictures*, 1612-1624 (Smithsonian Institution, 1967).
- 486 108. B. C. McCary, *Indians in Seventeenth-Century Virginia* (University Press of Virginia,
 487 Charlottesville, 1957).
- 488 109. J. P. Blick, A preliminary report on the osteometric analysis of some aboriginal dogs (Canis
 489 familiaris) from Weyanoke Old Town, 44 PG 51, Prince George County, Virginia. *Bull. Am. Assoc.*
- 490 *Hist. Nurs.* **43** (1988).
- 491 110. H. C. Rountree, Pocahontas's People: The Powhatan Indians of Virginia Through Four
- 492 *Centuries* (University of Oklahoma Press, 1990).
- 493 111. R. Beverley, *The History and Present State of Virginia* (The University of North Carolina
 494 Press, Chapel Hill, 1705).
- 495 112. C. F. Feest, Virginia Algonquians (Smithsonian Institution, 1978).
- 496 113. W. A. Ritchie, *The Archaeology of New York State* (Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group,497 1965).
- 498 114. J. A. Strong, Late Woodland dog ceremonialism on Long Island in comparative and
- temporal perspective. *The Bulletin of the Journal of the New York State Archaeological Association*.
 91, 32–38 (1985).
- 501 115. A. Perri, thesis, Durham University (2013).
- 502 116. W. D. Wallis, The Micmac Indians of Eastern Canada (U of Minnesota Press, 1955).
- 503 117. J. E. Kerber, A. D. Leveillee, R. L. Greenspan, AN UNUSUAL DOG BURIAL FEATURE
- 504 AT THE LAMBERT FARM SITE, WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND: PRELIMINARY
- 505 OBSERVATIONS. Archaeology of Eastern North America. 17, 165–174 (1989).

- 506 118. T. Harriot, A Brief and True Report of the New Found Land of Virginia
- 507 (digitalcommons.unl.edu, London, 1588).
- 508 119. W. Strachey, *The History of Travel into Virginia Britannia* (Hakluyt Society, London, 509 1612).
- 510 120. J. Smith, The Generall Historie of Virginia, New-England, and the Summer Isles (1624).
- 511 121. J. Brereton, True Relation of the Discoveries of the North Part of Virginia (1602).
- 512 122. P. Hulton, America 1585: The Complete Drawings of John White (1984) (available at
- 513 http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00377996.1985.9958273).
- 514 123. K. A. Kuckelman, The Archaeology of Yellow Jacket Pueblo (Site 5MT5): Excavations at a
- 515 Large Community Center in Southwestern Colorado (2003), (available at
- 516 http://www.crowcanyon.org/yellowjacket.).
- 517 124. V. V. Pitulko, A. Kasparov, Ancient Arctic Hunters: Material Culture and Survival Strategy.
 518 *Arctic Anthropol.* 33, 1–36 (1996).
- 519 125. V. V. Pitulko, A. K. Kasparov, Archaeological dogs from the Early Holocene Zhokhov site
- 520 in the Eastern Siberian Arctic. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports.* **13**, 491–515 (2017/6).
- 521 126. J. Dabney *et al.*, Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave
- bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 15758–
 15763 (2013).
- 524 127. P. B. Damgaard *et al.*, Improving access to endogenous DNA in ancient bones and teeth.
 525 *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11184 (2015).
- 526 128. M. Meyer, M. Kircher, Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target
 527 capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2010, db.prot5448 (2010).
- 528 129. K. E. Witt et al., DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible
- founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. J. Hum. Evol. 79, 105–118 (2015).
- 530 130. M. E. Allentoft *et al.*, Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. *Nature*. 522, 167–172
 531 (2015).
- 532 131. S. Lindgreen, AdapterRemoval: easy cleaning of next-generation sequencing reads. *BMC*533 *Res. Notes.* 5, 337 (2012).
- H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 536 133. M. Schubert et al., Improving ancient DNA read mapping against modern reference
- 537 genomes. *BMC Genomics*. **13**, 178 (2012).
- 538 134. M. Kircher, Analysis of high-throughput ancient DNA sequencing data. *Methods Mol. Biol.*539 840, 197–228 (2012).
- 135. R. E. Green *et al.*, A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science*. 328, 710–722
 (2010).
- 542 136. P. Skoglund *et al.*, Genomic insights into the peopling of the Southwest Pacific. *Nature*.
 543 538, 510–513 (2016).
- 544 137. W. Haak *et al.*, Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European
 545 languages in Europe. *Nature*. 522, 207–211 (2015).
- 546 138. L. A. F. Frantz et al., Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
- 547 domestic dogs. *Science*. **352**, 1228–1231 (2016).
- 548 139. H. Jónsson, A. Ginolhac, M. Schubert, P. L. F. Johnson, L. Orlando, mapDamage2.0: fast
- approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics*. 29, 1682–
 1684 (2013).
- 551 140. B. Bai et al., DoGSD: the dog and wolf genome SNP database. Nucleic Acids Res. 43,

- 552 D777–D783 (2014).
- 553 141. A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic 554 features. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 841–842 (2010).
- 555 142. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible [corrected] dog cancer genome reveals the origin and 556 history of an ancient cell lineage. *Science*. **343**, 437–440 (2014).
- 557 143. P. Skoglund, E. Ersmark, E. Palkopoulou, L. Dalén, Ancient Wolf Genome Reveals an
- 558 Early Divergence of Domestic Dog Ancestors and Admixture into High-Latitude Breeds. Curr.
- 559 *Biol.* 25, 1515–1519 (2015).
- H. Li *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment / Map (SAM) Format and SAMtools 1000 Genome
 Project Data Processing Subgroup. *Data Processing*, 1–2 (2009).
- 562 145. O. Thalmann *et al.*, Complete mitochondrial genomes of ancient canids suggest a European
 563 origin of domestic dogs. *Science*. 342, 871–874 (2013).
- 564 146. K. Katoh, G. Asimenos, H. Toh, in *Bioinformatics for DNA Sequence Analysis*, D. Posada,
 565 Ed. (Humana Press), *Methods in Molecular Biology*, pp. 39–64.
- 566 147. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
- improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- 568 148. A. Stamatakis, RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with
 569 thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*. 22, 2688–2690 (2006).
- 570 149. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited
 571 replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 280, 20131142
 572 (2013).
- 573 150. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
 574 transmissible cancer. *Elife*. 5 (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.
- 575 151. J.-F. Pang *et al.*, mtDNA data indicate a single origin for dogs south of Yangtze River, less
- than 16,300 years ago, from numerous wolves. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**, 2849–2864 (2009).
- 577 152. S. Björnerfeldt, M. T. Webster, C. Vilà, Relaxation of selective constraint on dog
- 578 mitochondrial DNA following domestication, 990–994 (2006).
- 579 153. Z. Hao, Q. Zhang, B. Qu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Chinese indigenous
 580 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* 27, 88–89 (2016).
- 581 154. K. M. Webb, M. W. Allard, Mitochondrial genome DNA analysis of the domestic dog:
- identifying informative SNPs outside of the control region. J. Forensic Sci. 54, 275–288 (2009).
- 583 155. J.-H. Zhao, W. Liu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Simao Chinese indigenous
 584 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* 27, 545–546 (2016).
- 585 156. M. W. Pedersen *et al.*, Postglacial viability and colonization in North America's ice-free 586 corridor. *Nature*. **537**, 45–49 (2016).
- 587 157. A. J. Drummond, M. A. Suchard, D. Xie, A. Rambaut, Bayesian Phylogenetics with
 588 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 1969–1973 (2012).
- BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 1969–1973 (2012).
 R. Lanfear, P. B. Frandsen, A. M. Wright, T. Senfeld, B. Calcott, PartitionFinder 2: New
- 500 Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological
- 591 Phylogenetic Analyses. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 772–773 (2017).
- 592 159. A. J. Drummond, A. Rambaut, B. Shapiro, O. G. Pybus, Bayesian coalescent inference of
- past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1185–1192 (2005).
- 160. C. Drögemüller *et al.*, A mutation in hairless dogs implicates FOXI3 in ectodermal
- 595 development. *Science*. **321**, 1462 (2008).
- 596 161. N. Patterson, A. L. Price, D. Reich, Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* 2,
 597 e190 (2006).

- 598 162. S. Purcell *et al.*, PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
- 599 linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559–575 (2007).
- E. Paradis, J. Claude, K. Strimmer, APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
 language. *Bioinformatics*. 20, 289–290 (2004).
- F. Ronquist, J. P. Huelsenbeck, MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
 models. *Bioinformatics*. 19, 1572–1574 (2003).
- 165. H. E. L. Lischer, L. Excoffier, PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for
- 605 connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*. 28, 298–299 (2012).
- 606 166. P. O. Lewis, A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological
 607 character data. *Syst. Biol.* 50, 913–925 (2001).
- 167. N. Patterson et al., Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics. 192, 1065–1093 (2012).
- 168. T. M. Anderson *et al.*, Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
 gray wolves. *Science*. 323, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 611 169. D. Reich, K. Thangaraj, N. Patterson, A. L. Price, L. Singh, Reconstructing Indian
- 612 population history. *Nature*. **461**, 489–494 (2009).
- D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
 unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* 19, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 615 171. G. Larson et al., Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and
- 616 biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 1203005109– (2012).
- 617 172. A. Eriksson, A. Manica, Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of
- polymorphism shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 13956–13960 (2012).
- 620 173. C. Murgia, J. K. Pritchard, S. Y. Kim, A. Fassati, R. A. Weiss, Clonal origin and evolution
 621 of a transmissible cancer. *Cell.* 126, 477–487 (2006).
- 622 174. C. A. Rebbeck, R. Thomas, M. Breen, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Origins and evolution of a
 623 transmissible cancer. *Evolution*. 63, 2340–2349 (2009).
- A. Rimmer *et al.*, Integrating mapping-, assembly- and haplotype-based approaches for
 calling variants in clinical sequencing applications. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 912–918 (2014).
- A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing
 next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 628 177. B. Decker et al., Comparison against 186 canid whole-genome sequences reveals survival
- 629 strategies of an ancient clonally transmissible canine tumor. *Genome Res.* 25, 1646–1655 (2015).
- 630 178. A. Vaysse *et al.*, Identification of genomic regions associated with phenotypic variation
- between dog breeds using selection mapping. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002316 (2011).
- K. Lindblad-Toh *et al.*, Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of
 the domestic dog. *Nature*. 438, 803–819 (2005).
- 634 180. G. Ha, A. Roth, D. Lai, A. Bashashati, J. Ding, Integrative analysis of genome-wide loss of
- heterozygosity and monoallelic expression at nucleotide resolution reveals disrupted pathways in (2012) ((2012)) ((111))
- 636 triple-negative breast Genome (2012) (available at
- 637 http://genome.cshlp.org/content/22/10/1995.short).
- 638 181. C. Fraley, A. E. Raftery, T. B. Murphy, L. Scrucca, mclust Version 4 for R: Normal Mixture
- Modeling for Model-Based Clustering, Classification, and Density Estimation. 2012. University of *Washington: Seattle.*
- 182. P. Concannon, S. Whaley, D. Lein, R. Wissler, Canine gestation length: variation related to time of mating and fertile life of sperm. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **44**, 1819–1821 (1983).
- 643 183. A. C. Okkens, T. W. Hekerman, J. W. de Vogel, B. van Haaften, Influence of litter size and

breed on variation in length of gestation in the dog. *Vet. Q.* **15**, 160–161 (1993).

645 184. B. B. Gavrilovic, K. Andersson, C. Linde Forsberg, Reproductive patterns in the domestic

- 646 dog--a retrospective study of the Drever breed. *Theriogenology*. **70**, 783–794 (2008).
- 185. L. B. Alexandrov *et al.*, Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat. Genet.*47, 1402–1407 (2015).
- 649 186. D. P. Blaine, A Domestic Treatise on the Diseases of Horses and Dogs (T. Boosey, 1810).
- 650 187. S. M. Waszak *et al.*, Germline determinants of the somatic mutation landscape in 2,642

651 cancer genomes. *bioRxiv* (2017), p. 208330.

652

653 Figure 1 Sample location and ancestry of pre-contact dogs a. A map depicting the location and 654 age of the archeological remains analyzed in this study. Each dot represents a single sample, and multiple samples per archeological site are grouped in boxes. Sites mentioned in the text are 655 labelled. **b.** A tip calibrated Bayesian mitochondrial phylogenetic tree of dogs, within haplogroup 656 657 A. This analysis was conducted with 71 novel ancient mitogenomes together with 145 publicly available mitogenomes from both modern and ancient canids (3) (Fig. S6). Red branches represent 658 659 modern dogs. Blue horizontal bars on nodes represent 95% High Density Posterior age. The grey shaded area represents the time frame during which people entered the Americas (10-12) c. A 660 neighbor-joining tree built with whole genomes (3). **d** An admixture graph constructed with 661 TreeMix (based on transversions; Supplementary Material) depicting the relationship between PCD 662 663 (including the Port Du Choix [AL3194] and Weyanoke Old Town [AL3223] samples) and other dog and wolf populations. We only used Greenland dogs and Malamute (American Arctic dogs) for 664 665 this analysis as these are the least admixed with Western Eurasian dogs (3).

666

Figure 2 Legacy of pre-contact dogs in modern american dogs a. A map showing the locations
of dog populations obtained from (9) and their degree of relatedness (D-statistics) with the ~4ky old
Port au Choix dog (AL3194; see (3) and Fig. S14). Higher values (in red) represent closer
relatedness. b. A map depicting the multiple introductions of dogs into the Americas.

671

672 Acknowledgement: We thank L. Orlando, R. K. Wayne and D. Meltzer for their valuable 673 comments, B. M. Kemp, M. Masson and J. Chupasko for support and J. Southon (Keck Carbon 674 Cycle Accelerator Mass Spectrometer, University of California, Irvine) for the radiocarbon date on the Port au Choix dog. We would like to acknowledge the use of the University of Oxford 675 Advanced Research Computing (ARC) facility for providing computing time. We thank the Illinois 676 677 State Museum, the Illinois State Archaeological Survey, the G. A. Black Laboratory of 678 Archaeology at Indiana University Bloomington, the Instituto Nacional de Antropologia e Historia 679 and the Ohio Historical Society for access to material. We thank The Rooms (Museum Division), Board Executive and Government of Newfoundland and Labrador for permission to access and 680 sample the Port au Choix material. We are grateful to M. Ptaszynska for useful information and to 681 S. Zhang for assistance with samples. Funding: L.A.F.F. was supported by the Wellcome Trust 682 (210119/Z/18/Z) and by Wolfson College (University of Oxford). L.A.F.F., J.H. A.L., A. H-B. 683 O.L., K.M.D. and G.L. were supported either by a European Research Council grant (ERC-2013-684 685 StG-337574-UNDEAD) or Natural Environmental Research Council grants (NE/K005243/1 and NE/K003259/1), or both. M.N.L. and E.P.M. were supported by the Wellcome Trust 686 (102942/Z/13/A). A.R.P. was supported by the Max Planck Society. E.K.I.P. was supported by a 687 Clarendon Fund Scholarship, University of Oxford. M.T.P.G was supported by European Research 688 Council grant (ERC-2015-CoG-681396 - Extinction Genomics). A.M. was supported by the 689

Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. K.E.W. and R.S.M were supported by a National Science 690 Foundation grant (BCS-1540336) and a Wenner-Gren grant. V.G. was supported by a Social 691 692 Sciences and Humanities Research Council Insight Grant. V.V.P., E.Y.P., and P.A.N. were supported by Russian Science Foundation project N16-18-10265-RNF. We thank the staff of the 693 694 Danish National High-Throughput Sequencing Centre for assistance in data generation and the Illinois State Museum Society for funding. Author contributions: L.A.F.F, G.L. and E.P.M 695 conceived the project and designed research: A.P., K.D. and G.L. coordinated the archaeological 696 697 analyses and sampling collection efforts with input from R.P.M, C.A., A.B-H and K.E.W.; A.P., C.A, J.B, E.G., A.J.H, M-H.S.S., S.J.S, M.E., V.G., J.J, A.K.K, P.A.N, C.P.L, A.M., T.M., K.N.M., 698 M.O., E.Y.P, P.S, V.V.I., C.W. and V.V.P provided/collected samples; K.W.E, A.L., J.H., O.L., 699 S.B., A.D. E.A.D., J.E., J-M. R., M-H.S.S. conducted the ancient laboratory work with input from 700 R.P.M., G.L., L.A.F.F, E.W., I.B., and M.T.P.G.; M.M., E.P.M., and A.S. provided/collected CTVT 701 702 samples; M.N.L. and Y-M.K. conducted the CTVT analyses with input from E.P.M., K.G., and 703 L.A.F.F.; M.N.L., L.A.F.F and E.K.I.P conducted the analyses of ancient data with input from S.G., A.K., A.B. and E.P.M.; L.A.F.F., G.L., E.P.M., M.N.L. and A.P., wrote the paper with input from 704 all other authors. Competing interests: A.D., J.E. and J-M.R. are employees of Arbor Biosciences 705 which provided target enrichment kits used in this study. J-M.R. is also a founder of Arbor 706 707 Biosciences. Data and materials availability: The reads for the ancient data have been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number PRJEB22026. Reads for new 708 709 CTVT genomes were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number 710 PRJEB22148. 711

- 712 Supplementary Materials:
- 713 Material and Methods
- 714 Figs. S1 to S28
- 715 Tables S3 to S8, and S12 to S16
- 716 Captions for Tables S1, S2, S9 to S11
- 717 References 26-187
- 718

719 Other Supporting Online Material for this manuscript includes the following:

720 Tables S1, S2, and S9 to S11 (Excel)