

This is a repository copy of *Lymphoedema management in podoconiosis*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/136937/

Version: Published Version

## Article:

Brooks, Jill, Ersser, Steven orcid.org/0000-0001-6995-6121, Matts, Paul et al. (1 more author) (2018) Lymphoedema management in podoconiosis. The Lancet Global Health. e962. ISSN 2214-109X

https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30328-0

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



## Lymphoedema management in podoconiosis

As researchers in the skin treatment of podoconiosis, we were interested to read the Article by Henok Negussie and colleagues on lymphoedema management to prevent acute dermatolymphangioadenitis in podoconiosis (July, 2018).<sup>1</sup>

We were, however, concerned about the interpretation of our cited trial on skin barrier function in those with podoconiosis in Ethiopia.2 Negussie and colleagues state that our trial was a "small study". In fact, it comprised 193 participants with podoconiosis. This number was established as follows: to achieve a power of 80% (two-sided t-test) with a 5% significance level, 64 participants were required, divided equally between the two treatment groups. To allow detection of possible smaller effects on other secondary outcome measures, a target sample size of 200 was chosen.

Negussie and colleagues also state that "small volumes of water with glycerol showed equivalent effects on skin barrier function to treatment with larger volumes of water". This was not the case. At all three points on the lower outer lea and on the top of the foot, measures of transepidermal water loss and stratum corneum hydration using 1 L of the 2% glycerine water soak (experimental group) compared with the 6 L water soak (control) indicated a statistically significant improvement over the 3-month trial. For example, on top of the foot, the estimated group difference in transepidermal water loss at the fourth visit was 1.751 (SE 0.0390) in favour of the experimental group (t=3.154; degrees of freedom [DF] 189.580; p=0.002), indicating a greater reduction in transepidermal water loss in the experimental group. Similarly, at the same site, the estimated group difference in stratum corneum hydration at the fourth visit was -2.041 (SE 0.572) in favour of the experimental group (t=-3.565; DF 186.739, p<0.001), indicating a greater increase in stratum corneum hydration in the experimental group.

Furthermore, Negussie and colleagues state "to our knowledge, GoLBeT is the first trial to assess the effects of a lymphoedema treatment package on the most important clinical consequence of lymphoedema: the incidence of acute dermatolymphangioadenitis". Our randomised controlled trial recorded work days lost due to adenolymphangitis (defined as an episode of inflammatory pain associated with the lymph nodes within a lymphoedematous leg which led patients to be bedridden or unable to work). At baseline, the mean number of work days lost in the previous month due to acute dermatolymphangioadenitis was 4.56 for the control group and 4.44 for the experimental group. At visit four, however, no participants in either group had lost any work days in the previous month due to acute dermatolymphangioadenitis.

Additionally, we propose that acute dermatolymphangioadenitis is only a surrogate of the primary failure point—stratum corneum barrier function—as one can address this profound systemic condition simply with topical treatment of water, soap, and petrolatum.

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

\*Jill Brooks, Steven Ersser, Paul Matts, Terence Ryan jb284@btinternet.com

Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK (JB, SE); Procter and Gamble, Greater London Innovation Centre, Egham, UK (PM); School of Pharmacy, University College London, London, UK (PM); London College of Fashion, University of the Arts London, London, UK (PM); and Green Templeton College, Oxford University, Oxford, UK (TR)

- Negussie H, Molla M, Ngari M, et al. Lymphoedema management to prevent acute dermatolymphangioadenitis in podoconiosis in northern Ethiopia (GoLBeT): a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 2018: 6: e795–803.
- Brooks J, Ersser SJ, Cowdell F, Gardiner E, Mengistu A, Matts PJ. A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effect of a new skin care regimen on skin barrier function in those with podoconiosis in Ethiopia. Br J Dermatol 2017; 177: 1423-21

