



This is a repository copy of *Attitudes towards social robots: A protocol for a systematic review*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/136793/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Proceedings Paper:**

Gou, M.S., Naneva, S., Webb, T.L. [orcid.org/0000-0001-9320-0068](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9320-0068) et al. (1 more author) (2018) Attitudes towards social robots: A protocol for a systematic review. In: Giuliani, M., Assaf, T. and Giannaccini, M., (eds.) Towards Autonomous Robotic Systems: 19th Annual Conference, TAROS 2018, Bristol, UK July 25-27, 2018, Proceedings. 9th Annual Conference, TAROS 2018, 25-27 Jul 2018, Bristol, UK. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, 10965 . Springer Verlag , pp. 484-485. ISBN 978-3-319-96728-8

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96728-8>

---

The final publication is available at Springer via  
<https://www.springer.com/us/book/9783319967271>

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# Attitudes towards social robots: a protocol for a systematic review

Marina Sarda Gou<sup>1</sup>, Stanislava Naneva<sup>1</sup>, Thomas L. Webb<sup>1</sup> and Tony J. Prescott<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK

<sup>2</sup> Sheffield Robotics, Sheffield, S1 3JD, UK

**Abstract.** People's attitudes likely play a significant role in the extent to which they are willing to accept and use new technologies, including social robots. Although a number of studies have sought to assess people's attitudes toward social robots, to date, there has been no attempt to integrate these insights. We therefore propose to conduct a systematic review to summarize existing evidence on people's attitudes toward social robots and understand the factors that influence these attitudes. Research measuring people's attitudes and anxiety toward, trust in, and acceptance of social robots will be identified via a systematic search of four electronic databases. The proposed review will consider attitudes toward social robots from different domains, and whether and how the nature of the sample, intended use of the robot and so on influence outcomes.

**Keywords:** Attitudes, Social Robots, Anxiety, Trust, Acceptance.

## 1 Introduction

Whilst the use of robotics in fields such manufacturing is well established, people's attitudes towards the application of robotics in typically human-dominated fields (e.g., healthcare) are somewhat negative [1]. It is necessary that we gain an understanding of the factors likely to influence the uptake of social robotics and their potential impact because attitudes towards new technologies, for example social robots, may affect people's acceptance and use of such. The insights from previous research analyzing attitudes towards robots have not been yet integrated. In addition, the reviews published up until now focused primarily on healthcare and education [2, 3]. Their aim was not to analyze systematically the factors that influence people's attitudes towards robots. Therefore, a systematic review on this topic would be relevant to synthesize all this knowledge and provide a clear and organized interpretation on people's attitudes towards robots.

### 1.1 The Proposed Review

The increasing application of social robotics means that it is crucial to identify the factors that influence people's attitudes toward and interactions with robots in a variety of settings and thus allow us to anticipate the impact of such technology. Therefore, this review has three primary objectives: To (1) synthesize existing research on

2

people's attitudes toward, trust in, acceptance of, and anxiety toward social robots and (2) identify factors (time, age, robot design, etc.) that influence people's attitudes toward social robots.

## **2 Method**

Research that measures people's attitudes and anxiety toward, trust in, and acceptance of social robots will be identified via a systematic search of four electronic databases. The social robots' design characteristics, capabilities, and domain of application, along with the type of exposure to the robot (e.g., direct human-robot interaction) and the primary outcomes (e.g., affective attitudes) will be extracted and summarised. The full protocol of the proposed review has been registered and can be viewed on the PROSPERO database (CRD42017057331).

## **3 Discussion**

The proposed systematic review will provide a foundation for research on the social, moral, and philosophical implications of rapid developments in new technology, as well as the basis for research that investigates ways to ensure that people's beliefs about such technology are grounded in reality, rather than representations from science fiction that likely shape many people's attitudes.

## **References**

1. MacDorman, Karl F., Sandosh K. Vasudevan, and Chin-Chang Ho.: Does Japan really have robot mania? Comparing attitudes by implicit and explicit measures. *AI & society* 23(4), 485-510 (2009).
2. Broadbent, E., Stafford, R., & MacDonald, B.: Acceptance of healthcare robots for the older population: review and future directions. *International Journal of Social Robotics* 1(4), 319-330 (2009).
3. Benitti, F. B. V.: Exploring the educational potential of robotics in schools: A systematic review. *Computers & Education* 58(3), 978-988 (2012).