UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *The small G protein Arl8 contributes to lysosomal function and long-range axonal transport in Drosophila*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/134948/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Rosa-Ferreira, Cláudia, Sweeney, Sean orcid.org/0000-0003-2673-9578 and Munro, Sean (Accepted: 2018) The small G protein Arl8 contributes to lysosomal function and long-range axonal transport in Drosophila. Biology Open. (In Press)

https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.035964

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

The small G protein Arl8 contributes to lysosomal function and long-range

axonal transport in Drosophila

Cláudia Rosa-Ferreira¹, Sean T. Sweeney² and Sean Munro^{1*}

1: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology

Francis Crick Avenue

Cambridge CB2 0QH

UK

2: Department of Biology

University of York

York YO10 5DD

UK

*: Corresponding author. Email: sean@mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2018. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Abstract

The small GTPase Arl8 has emerged as a major regulatory GTPase on lysosomes. Studies in mammalian cells have shown that it regulates both fusion with late endosomes and also lysosomal motility. In its active, GTP-bound, state it recruits to lysosomes the HOPS endosomal tethering complex and also proteins that link lysosomes to microtubule motors such as the kinesin adaptor PLEKHM2. To gain further insights into Arl8 biology we examined the single *Drosophila* ortholog. Drosophila Arl8 is essential for viability, and mitotic clones of mutant cells are able to continue to divide but show perturbation of the late endocytic pathway. Progeny lacking Arl8 die as late larvae with movement paralysis characteristic of defects in neuronal function. This phenotype was rescued by expression of Arl8 in motor neurons. Examination of these neurons in the mutant larvae revealed smaller synapses and axons with elevated levels of carriers containing synaptic components. Affinity chromatography revealed binding of *Drosophila* Arl8 to the HOPS complex, and to the Drosophila ortholog of RILP, a protein that in mammals recruits dynein to late endosomes, with dynein being known to be required for neuronal transport. Thus Drosophila Arl8 controls late endocytic function and transport via at least two distinct effectors.

Summary statement

Drosophila Arl8 is essential for viability and is required for normal functioning of the late endocytic pathway and for long-range transport in axons.

Introduction

Small GTPases of the Rab and Arf families are major regulators of the function of all of the compartments of the secretory and endocytic pathways (Gillingham and Munro, 2007; Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). In the case of lysosomes the primary lysosome-specific GTPase is Arl8, a member of the Arf family that is conserved in most eukaryotic phyla with the exception of a few lineages such as budding yeasts (Hofmann and Munro, 2006; Khatter et al., 2015b). In vertebrates there are two closely related paralogs Arl8a and Arl8b, of which Arl8b is generally more abundant. Activation of Arl8a and Arl8b requires a large protein complex called BORC (biogenesis of lysosome-related organelles complex (BLOC)-one related complex), and it has been proposed that this acts as an Arl8 exchange factor (Niwa et al., 2017; Pu et al., 2015). Several effectors have been identified that are recruited to lysosomal membranes by the active, GTP-bound, form of Arl8a and Arl8b. A well established effector in mammalian cells is the HOPS (homotypic fusion and protein sorting) complex, a multisubunit complex that contains a member of the SM family of SNARE activating proteins and is known to be required for various fusion steps between compartments in the late endocytic pathway (Balderhaar and Ungermann, 2013; Garg et al., 2011; Khatter et al., 2015a). A second effector in mammals is SKIP/PLEKHM2, a linker protein that then recruits kinesin-1 to lysosomal membranes (Rosa-Ferreira and Munro, 2011). In addition, the C. elegans ortholog Arl-8 binds directly to kinesin-3 and

in mammals Arl8a and Arl8b act upstream of kinesin-3 (Guardia et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2013).

Thus Arl8 activity regulates both lysosome fusion and lysosome motility, and consistent with this Arl8 is required for a range of biological processes that require one or both of these activities. These include lysosome positioning and morphology, delivery of proteins to lysosomes, fusion of lysosomes to phagosomes, long-range transport in axons, and relocation of lysosome-related organelles in cytotoxic T cells (Dykes et al., 2016; Garg et al., 2011; Kaniuk et al., 2011; Klassen et al., 2010; Mrakovic et al., 2012; Nakae et al., 2010). This dual function in lysosome function and lysosome location is also consistent with recent reports indicating that these two properties of lysosomes are tightly linked (Bonifacino and Neefjes, 2017; Johnson et al., 2016).

Whilst Arl8 has emerged as a master regulator of lysosomal function in cells, it has also become clear that lysosomes themselves have a broader biological role than simply turning over cellular components. Lysosomes have been shown to be the site at which metabolic status is linked to cell growth, with the mTORC1 pathway being regulated on the lysosomal membrane (Efeyan et al., 2012; Perera and Zoncu, 2016). Indeed, the Ragulator complex that activates mTORC1 on lysosomal membranes also regulates BORC and thus controls Arl8 activity and hence lysosomal positioning (Filipek et al., 2017; Pu et al., 2017).

Given the importance of lysosomes for diverse cellular processes, and the key role that Arl8 plays in regulating lysosomal function it seems likely that there is more to be learned about the role of Arl8. In particular there have been relatively few genetic studies of Arl8 function. Mice lacking Arl8b develop abnormally and die around birth, with there being major defects in lysosomal processing of maternal proteins by the visceral yolk sac endoderm that takes up nutrients from the uterine fluid (Oka et al., 2017). In *C. elegans* the single Arl8 ortholog, ARL-8, is essential for viability. Homozygous mutant worms born to heterozygous mothers develop to adulthood but cannot produce viable embryos, and also show defects in endocytosis, phagolysosome formation, and long-range transport in axons (Klassen et al., 2010; Nakae et al., 2010; Sasaki et al., 2013). We have extended these genetic studies by examining the role of the single Arl8 ortholog in *Drosophila melanogaster*. The gene that encodes the protein is CG7891 and it was initially referred to as Gie (for "GTPase indispensable for equal segregation of chromosomes") based on an early report that mammalian and *Drosophila* Arl8 may be involved in chromosome segregation with the mammalian protein reported to be located on the mitotic spindle of PC12 cells (Okai et al., 2004). However, this location for Arl8 or such a role in chromosome segregation has not been observed in any subsequent study, including those from the authors of the original report (Nakae et al., 2010). Thus for the sake of clarity and consistency we will refer to the Drosophila gene as Arl8. The Drosophila Arl8 protein has been shown to localize to lysosomes in *Drosophila* S2 cultured cells, and when expressed in mammalian cells it is also recruited to lysosomes suggesting that it is functionally similar to the mammalian proteins (Hofmann and Munro, 2006). Here we examine the phenotypes of a *Drosophila* mutant lacking detectable Arl8 protein, and go on to use affinity chromatography to identify effectors for *Drosophila* Arl8. Our findings indicate that Arl8 has a similar role to that described in mammalis and *C. elegans*, and in addition provide evidence for a direct interaction with RILP, a linker to the dynein microtubule motor.

Results

Arl8 is essential for viability

To examine the role of Arl8 in *Drosophila* we initially investigated the consequence of removing Arl8 from flies. We examined a *Drosophila* stock that has a PiggyBac transposon PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} inserted in the second intron of *Arl8* (Fig. 1A). This insertion is a recessive lethal, with no homozygous mutants developing beyond late L3 larval/early pupal stages. The lethality is due to the perturbation of the *Arl8* locus as it was also seen in heterozygotes of the PiggyBac insertion allele over a genomic deficiency (Df(3R)D7, 84D3-5;84F1-2) that encompasses the *Arl8* gene. In addition, the lethality can be rescued by expression of Arl8 or Arl8-GFP as described below. Arl8 protein was undetectable in lysates prepared from homozygous PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} L3 larvae (Fig. 1B), and so for simplicity we shall refer to this apparent null allele as *Arl8^{KO1}*.

Loss of Arl8 affects late endocytic compartments

Arl8 is ubiquitously expressed in *Drosophila* as judged by there being comparable levels of Arl8 in various tissues and at various developmental stages (Fig. 1C). When FRT *Arl8^{KO1}*was used in combination with the germlinedependent dominant female sterile Ovo^{o} mutation (FRT ovo^{D}), to remove Arl8 from the germline, the *Arl8^{KO1}*mutant progeny did not reach larval stages and instead died at a late embryonic stage. This indicates that the maternal contribution of Arl8 accounts for the survival of the *Arl8^{KO1}*homozygous progeny until larval/early pupal stage and that Arl8 is required for *Drosophila* embryonic development. To examine the effect of removing Arl8 from patches of cells later in development we used the FRT system to generate mitotic clones of cells lacking Arl8 in a wild-type background. Thus we generated *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* mitotic clones in wing imaginal discs and fat body, with the absence of nuclear GFP in the mutant clones accompanied by the absence of endogenous Arl8 (Fig. 1D).

When we analysed the late endocytic compartment markers Rab7 and Lamp1 in *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* clones we found that these compartments appeared larger in the clones compared to the surrounding heterozygous cells in both wing discs and fat body (Fig. 1D,E). In addition, a marker for endocytic protein clearance, the extracellular domain of Notch which is continuously internalised and either recycled back to the plasma membrane or targeted for degradation in lysosomes (Fortini and Bilder, 2009), was found to accumulate in the cells in

Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1} clones in wing discs (Fig. 1D). These phenotypes are reminiscent of those reported for clones lacking the HOPS subunit car (Vps33a) which accumulate Rab7-positive compartments with internalised ligands and receptors such as Notch (Akbar et al., 2009). This would thus be consistent with Arl8 interacting with the HOPS complex to facilitate fusion between late endosomes and lysosomes with the result that in the mutant clones Notch flux to the lysosome, and hence its degradation in impaired. This reduced degradation does not appear to reflect loss of acidification or lysosomal hydrolase activity - the dye LysoTracker accumulates in acidified compartments and in wild-type tissue co-localizes well with Arl8 as expected (Fig. 1F). In mutant clones lacking Arl8, LysoTracker labelling is actually elevated compared to wild-type tissue, consistent with the findings described above for the late endocytic compartment markers Rab7 and Lamp1 (Fig. 1G). In addition, we could still observe staining with the reporter Magic Red that detects activity of the lysosomal hydrolase cathepsin B (Fig. 1G). This indicates that active hydrolases still accumulate in acidified compartments in the mutant clones. This would help maintain some digestive function, and could explain why the mutant clones are able to grow from the originating division to large patches of cells lacking Arl8, a process which could only occur if the cells are able to continue to grow and divide in the absence of Arl8.

Neuronal function is impaired in the absence of Arl8

Mutations in the *C. elegans* gene encoding the ortholog of Arl8 result in defects in long-range transport in axons (Klassen et al., 2010; Niwa et al., 2016). This led us to look for evidence that Drosophila Arl8 also plays a role in microtubulebased transport in vivo. Indeed we had noticed that in Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1} progeny the movement of L3 larvae was limited (Movie S1), with posterior paralysis resulting in a characteristic tail flipping phenotype that resembles that reported for kinesin-1, dynein and dynactin mutants (Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Martin et al., 1999; Saxton et al., 1991). We thus tested if movement could be rescued when expression of Arl8 or of Arl8-GFP was driven using the Gal4 UAS system. We found that the Gal4 drivers Act5C (ubiguitous), nSyb (pan neural) and C164 (motor neurons), rescued full movement, but the Mef2 (muscle) driver still had reduced movement (Movie S2). Larvae with rescued movement reached adulthood but could not fly. These results suggest that it is Arl8 function in motor neurons that is essential for homozygous Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1} progeny to reach adulthood.

Arl8 is required for normal axonal transport

Axons are believed to lack a functional secretory pathway and so synaptic membrane proteins and their associated factors are transported from the cell body along the axon in carrier vesicles. To determine if loss of Arl8 results in defects in this long-range axonal transport we stained axons for the synaptic vesicle marker Synaptotagmin 1 (Syt1) and for the presynaptic active zone protein Bruchpilot (BRP). In the *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* we observed elevated levels of these proteins in axons along with increased labelling with anti-HRP which detects neuronal membrane proteins (Fig. 2A). A similar accumulation of synaptic markers along axons has been reported for loss of function mutants in dynein heavy chain and kinesin-1, for dominant negative mutations in dynactin subunits, (Butzlaff et al., 2015; Füger et al., 2012; Gindhart et al., 1998; Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Martin et al., 1999). Another indication of defects in axonal transport of synaptic components was that synapses in axons of *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* larvae appeared smaller than in the wild-type. Quantification of the number of boutons per synapse, as marked by Synaptotagmin 1, revealed a 40% reduction in the number of synaptic boutons per synapse in the *Arl8* mutant (Fig. 2B,C). Taken together these results indicate that Arl8 is required for normal long-range axonal transport.

Arl8 moves along the axon to the synapse

If Arl8 is contributing to long-range transport in *Drosophila* axons then the protein should be present in axons. To address this question, we stained nerve terminals with anti-Arl8 or expressed Arl8-GFP in motor neurons with the C164-Gal4 driver in an *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* background. In both cases, Arl8 staining was relatively faint but it could be detected in synapses, where it is apparently enriched in the most distal boutons, the place where microtubule plus ends are

located (Fig. 3A-C). We observed a similar distribution for GFP-Lamp1 (Fig. 3D), consistent with previous evidence that lysosomes are present in *Drosophila* synapses (Dermaut et al., 2005; Shen and Ganetzky, 2009; Sweeney and Davis, 2002). This distal accumulation of GFP-Lamp1 is absent in the *Arl8* mutant, again consistent with Arl8 being required for long-range transport to this location (Fig. 3D).

Identification of interaction partners for *Drosophila* Arl8 using affinity chromatography.

The above phenotypes indicate that Arl8 is involved in both the late endocytic pathway and also long-range transport in neurons. This suggests that, as with other GTPases and with Arl8 in other systems, *Drosophila* Arl8 recruits several different effectors to lysosomal membranes which exert different roles. To characterise the binding partners for *Drosophila* Arl8 we performed affinity chromatography with recombinant GST-Arl8 carrying Q75L or T34N mutations. These mutations lock the protein in either the GTP-bound active conformation (Q75L) or an inactive conformation (T34N) (Garg et al., 2011; Hofmann and Munro, 2006; Nakae et al., 2010). Lysates were prepared from the S2 cell line with or without the detergent CHAPS, and applied to beads coated with GST-Arl8. After washing, bound proteins were eluted and identified by mass-spectrometry. Comparing the proteins that bound to the GTP and the GDP forms of Arl8 revealed several that were specific to the active GTP-bound form

(Fig. 4A and Table S1). These include Vps11, Vps16A, Deep orange (Vps18), Carnation (Vps33), and Vps39, *Drosophila* orthologs of five of the six subunits of the HOPS complex that is a known effector for Arl8b in mammalian cells (Garg et al., 2011; Khatter et al., 2015a). In contrast, we did not find spectra from CG6613, the *Drosophila* ortholog of PLEKHM1 that in mammals has been reported to interact with Arl8b, but not Arl8a (Marwaha et al., 2017). Mammalian PLEKHM1 also binds Rab7, and our previous affinity chromatography with *Drosophila* Rab7 readily detected an interaction with CG6613 (Gillingham et al., 2014; Tabata et al., 2010).

Of the other GTP-specific proteins several have been linked to membrane traffic in mammalian cells but not previously linked to Arl8. These include Rod, Zw10 and Zwilch that are subunits of the NRZ (NAG:RINT1:ZW10) complex that resides in the ER and has been proposed to act as a tether for both Golgi-to-ER vesicles and lipid droplets (Civril et al., 2010; Wainman et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2018). Another GTP-specific protein with a link to membrane traffic is CG11448, the single *Drosophila* ortholog of the mammalian protein RILP (Rab interacting lysosomal protein), and its paralogs RILPL1 and RILPL2. RILP is a Rab7 effector that recruits the dynein motor protein to late endosomes and lysosomes, whilst RILPL1 and RILPL2 are less well characterized but appear to act in ciliogenesis (Cantalupo et al., 2001; Jordens et al., 2001; Schaub and Stearns, 2013; Wang et al., 2004). GTP-specifc binding to Arl8 was seen for the NRZ subunits and CG11448 both with and without detergent used in lysate preparation (Fig. 4B).

Several other proteins appeared specific for the GTP-bound form of Arl8 but they are abundant cytosolic enzymes or nuclear proteins with no known link to membrane traffic and since they seem less likely to be physiological interactors they were not investigated further.

The Drosophila ortholog of RILP interacts with Arl8.

In order to investigate whether some of the putative interaction partners described above bind directly to *Drosophila* Arl8, we initially compared the localization of GFP-tagged versions of the protein in S2 cells with that of Arl8-RFP. CG11448, the *Drosophila* ortholog of RILP, co-localized with Arl8-RFP, with both proteins showing a striking concentration into clusters in the perinuclear region (Fig. 4C). This suggests that CG11448 is recruited to Arl8-containing membranes and causes them to cluster near the nucleus. In contrast to CG11448, the NRZ complex subunit Zw10 did not detectably accumulate on Arl8-RFP positive structures suggesting that if these proteins do interact in vivo, then the interaction is not strong enough to control the distribution of Zw10, or only occurs under particular circumstances (Fig. 4D). We thus decided to not pursue this putative interaction partner further in this study.

To investigate further the possible interaction between Arl8 and CG11448 we next compared GFP-CG11448 to both endogenous Arl8 and the late endosomal Rab, Rab7. Endogenous Arl8, but not endogenous Rab7, accumulated on the perinuclear clusters formed by GFP-CG11448 (Fig. 4E). Rab7 remained on the characteristic large late endosomes which are also positive for its known effector CG6613, the *Drosophila* ortholog of PLEKHM1 (Fig. 4F). Thus it appears that CG11448 interacts with Arl8-containing membranes but not those positive for Rab7.

CG11448 localisation is dependent on Arl8 and an intact microtubule network

To characterize further the role of Arl8 in CG11448 function, we investigated whether the localization of CG11448 was affected by knocking down endogenous Arl8 with RNAi. Two independent dsRNAs (dsRNA1 and dsRNA2) both decreased substantially the level of endogenous Arl8 (Fig. 5A). Knockdown of Arl8 resulted in GFP-CG11448 becoming diffuse, suggesting that Arl8 is the main means by which CG11448 is recruited to membranes (Fig. 5B). In contrast, reducing the levels of endogenous Arl8 with either of the dsRNAs, did not cause a detectable change in the distribution of the late endosomal marker GFP-CG6613. These results suggest that Arl8 recruits GFP-CG11448 to lysosomal membranes, and consistent with this being a direct interaction we found that CG11448 interacts with the GTP-bound form of Arl8 in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig. 5C).

As noted above, GFP-CG11448 not only co-localizes with Arl8 but also accumulates in clusters near the nucleus, suggesting that its over-expression results in relocation of Arl8-containing membranes, analogous to the relocation of lysosomes to the cell periphery seen in mammalian cells overexpressing the Arl8-binding kinesin adaptor SKIP/PLEKHM2 (Rosa-Ferreira and Munro, 2011). To test if this distribution requires not just Arl8 but also microtubule-based transport we treated S2 cells expressing either GFP-CG11448 or Arl8-RFP or both, with nocodazole to disrupt microtubules. We found that microtubule disruption caused GFP-CG11448 to become dispersed, but it still colocalized with Arl8-RFP (Fig. 4C). This indicates that the recruitment of extra CG11448 to lysosomes by Arl8 has the effect of directing their movement towards microtubule minus-ends at the perinuclear region.

Discussion

This initial characterisation of the function of *Drosophila* Arl8 indicates that the protein has similar roles to those played by the previously characterized orthologs from mammals and *C. elegans*, and thus *Drosophila* should be a useful tractable model to study Arl8 biology. In particular the *Drosophila* protein has a role in the function of the late endosomal pathway which is likely to reflect, at least in part, an interaction with the HOPS complex that acts as a tether in various fusion steps in this pathway. Our affinity chromatography with Arl8 also reproducibly found an interaction with a second tethering complex, the NRZ complex of the ER. Our preliminary analysis did not provide clear evidence

for a strong interaction in vivo, but it is not inconceivable that Arl8 could act to tether lysosomes to the ER as has been seen for the small GTPase, Rab18, that is able to tether lipid droplets to the ER via an interaction with the NRZ complex (Gillingham et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2018).

Our studies also provide evidence for a role for Drosophila Arl8 in longrange transport in axons, similar to the findings from studying mutants in the C. elegans ortholog of Arl8 (Klassen et al., 2010; Niwa et al., 2016). In mammals and C. elegans Arl8 has also been shown to be involved in controlling the intracellular distribution of lysosomes by linking them to microtubule-dependent motor proteins (Guardia et al., 2016; Klassen et al., 2010; Rosa-Ferreira and Munro, 2011). Consistent with this, we found evidence for Drosophila Arl8 binding to CG11448, the single *Drosophila* ortholog of the mammalian dynein adaptor RILP and its two paralogs RILPL1 and RILPL2 that are less well characterized. In mammals, RILP binds to Rab7 and also to the p150^{Glued} subunit of the dynactin complex that activates dynein (Cantalupo et al., 2001; Jordens et al., 2001). RILP has been reported to also interact with the HOPS complex, but our findings suggest that the interaction we see between Arl8 and CG11448 is direct, although of course this would not preclude CG11448 also binding to HOPS. Residues in mammalian RILP that bind to Rab7 have been identified by structural studies, and these comprise a unique region that is missing from both human RILPL1/2 and Drosophila CG11448 (Wang et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2005). This suggests that the ability of RILP to bind Rab7 is a vertebrate-specific

feature that was acquired by RILP after the gene family expanded in vertebrates. In contrast the p150^{Glued}-binding region in RILP is well conserved in both RILPL1 and CG11448 (Johansson et al., 2007). We did not detect dynein or dynactin amongst the proteins binding to Arl8 by affinity chromatography, but their binding to adaptors is known to be reversible and previous isolation of a known dynein adaptor found a similar lack of detectable dynein following affinity chromatography (Dienstbier et al., 2009). We tested the ability of human Arl8b to bind to all three members of the human RILP family by co-overexpression but saw no detectable enrichment of the RILP family members on Arl8b-positive lysosomes (unpublished observations).

Further work will be needed to determine if the requirement for Arl8 for normal neuronal transport reflects an interaction with CG11448, and indeed proving a requirement for a particular GTPase:effector interaction is not trivial. The phenotype we observed of synaptic membrane proteins accumulating in axons is similar to that observed in *Drosophila* mutants with perturbations in dynein function (Martin et al., 1999). Although it is possible that this accumulation reflects a defect in retrograde transport as microtubules are polarized in axons with their minus ends at the cell body, there is good evidence from many systems that plus-end and minus-end transport are tightly coupled (Encalada et al., 2011; Haghnia et al., 2007). Thus defects in dyneinbased transport could indirectly affect anterograde transport. In other systems Arl8 has also been observed to directly stimulate kinesin-based transport, by either binding kinesin directly or in mammals through the linker protein PLEKHM2 (Guardia et al., 2016; Rosa-Ferreira and Munro, 2011; Wu et al., 2013). *Drosophila* lack a clear ortholog or PLEKHM2, with the most closely related PHdomain containing protein, CG17360, lacking the RUN domain that binds Arl8 and kinesin light chain in PLEKHM2, and previous work has indicated that CG17630 instead binds Rab39 (Gillingham et al., 2014). *Drosophila* Arl8 could still recruit one or more kinesins by direct binding or via an as yet identified linker, but it is at least possible that the defects we observe in axons in the absence of Arl8 reflect defects in dynein-based transport mediated by RILP.

Clearly further work will be required to determine if there are further Arl8 effectors in *Drosophila*, and which of these effectors is responsible for which aspects of its function. However our studies do indicate that *Drosophila* should prove a tractable and relevant model system with which to gain new information about Arl8 that is also relevant to mammals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila stocks, mutagenesis and genetics

Fly stocks were kept at 25°C unless otherwise stated. A stock carrying PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} was obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (ref. 17846). A FRT82B PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} (*Arl8^{KOI}*) stock was created by meiotic recombination of the two alleles. A stock was recovered that was resistant to geneticin (G418, confirming the presence of the FRT element) and was lethal

over *Arl8^{KO1}*, and the absence of detectable Arl8 in homozygous larvae was then confirmed by immunoblotting. Mitotic recombination between FRT sites was achieved by expression of Flippase (hs FLP22), induced by heat shock at 37°C for 1-2 hours at different stages of *Drosophila* development. The transgenes used for this purpose, in addition to P{neoFRT}82B PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} (FRT82B *Arl8^{KO1}*) were P{neoFRT}82B, P{GFPnls}; P{neoFRT}82B, Ubi P{mRFPnls}, with P{neoFRT}82B P{w[+mC]=ovoD1-18}3R used for generation of germline clones.

pUAST Arl8 and pUAST Arl8-GFP transgenic lines were obtained by germline transformation (BestGene Inc.) and mapping with a double balancer line. The other transgenes used were P{W⁺ UAS-GFP Lamp1}/Cyo (Pulipparacharuvil et al., 2005), and P{w+, UASp-YFP.dRab7}/SM5 (Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center). The Arl8 RNAi line used was obtained from Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (line 26085). Immediately before imaging, wandering third instar larvae were selected based on their genotypes, quickly rinsed in ddH₂O to remove any debris and then moved to egg-laying petri dishes.

Cell Culture, Transfection and RNAi

Drosophila S2 cells (D.MEL-2, Life Technologies) were validated as being of *Drosophila* origin using species-specific antibodies. They were maintained in Express Five SFM medium supplemented with 1% L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and penicillin/streptomycin at 25°C. S2 cells were transfected with 1 µg of DNA plasmid, including a carrier plasmid (pAW empty vector), in 6-well plates using 5 µl of polyethylenimine (1µg/µl).

dsRNA against Arl8 or luciferase (control) were transcribed *in vitro* with T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RiboMAX Express RNAi System, Promega). The Arl8 dsRNA1 corresponded to residues 1-197 of the open reading frame (ATGTTG...TGTGAC), and dsRNA2 to residues 250-450 (CGCTATT...TCTATCG). S2 cells seeded in 6-well plates were transfected with 30 µg of dsRNA and 20 µl of TransFast (1 µg/µl, Promega), and cell analysed four days later.

Affinity chromatography

GST-Arl8 Δ 17 (lacking the first seventeen residues that comprise to the Nterminal amphipathic helix) with the mutations T34N and Q75L, that lock the protein in a GDP- or GTP-bound conformation were produced in *Escherichia coli* BL21-GOLD (DE3). Bacteria were dounce homogenised and sonicated in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH8, 110 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, protease inhibitors, with or without 1% CHAPS (lysis buffer with or without CHAPS) at 4°C, and bound to Glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare). For large-scale affinity chromatography, S2 cells were dounce homogenised in lysis buffer, with or without CHAPS at 4°C, passed through a 30 gauge needle and the clarified cytosol was incubated with GST-Arl8 coated beads in the presence of GDP or GTPγS (Sigma Aldrich). Samples were eluted with a high concentration of salt with the addition of the opposite nucleotide.

Yeast two-hybrid analysis

Bait plasmids were cloned into pDEST32 (Invitrogen) and transfected into the yeast strain PJ69-4a (James et al., 1996). Prey fragments were cloned into pDEST22 (Invitrogen) and transfected into the yeast strain PJ69-4α. Strains were mated, grown for 24 hr in YEPD, and replicates grown on selective medium at 30°C for 3 days.

Antibodies and immunoblotting

The antibodies used here were rabbit anti-β actin (ab8227, Abcam), mouse anti-GFP (11 814 460 001, Roche), rabbit anti-Rab7 (Tanaka and Nakamura, 2008), rabbit anti-Arl8 (Hofmann and Munro, 2006), Cy3-conjugated anti-HRP (Stratech Scientific), rabbit anti-Syt (West et al., 2015), mouse anti-BRP (nc82, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)), mouse anti-Notch extracellular domain (C458.2H, DSHB). Information on validation of the antibodies is available from the commercial source or the indicated publication. Primary antibodies were detected by secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa fluorochromes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or with HRP (DAKO). Whole flies, larvae or the indicated dissected fly tissues were lysed in equivalent amounts of SDS sample buffer and insoluble debris was removed by brief centrifugation. S2 cells growing in plates were dissolved directly in SDS sample buffer. Protein extracts were separated in 4–20% Tris-Glycine gels (Invitrogen), transferred to PVDF membranes and probed with primary and HRPconjugated secondary antibodies, detected by chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham).

Fluorescent imaging

S2 cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes, permeabilized and blocked with PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 10% FCS for one hour. L3 larval salivary glands, imaginal discs and the fat body were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30 minutes, before permeabilization and blocking in PBS, 0.3% Triton X-100, 20% FCS. Egg chambers were fixed in 8% formaldehyde and permeabilized and blocked in PBS, 0.1% Tween-20, 20% FCS. Dissection of larval motor neurons and neuromuscular junctions was performed in PBS on a Sylgard plate, fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 7 minutes, permeabilized in PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 10% FCS. Tissue culture cells or fly tissues were probed with primary antibodies, or conjugated anti-HRP, in the same solution used for blocking and permeabilization and then labelled with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). For LysoTracker or Magic Red staining, L3 imaginal discs or the fat body were quickly dissected out in Shields and Sang M3 medium (Sigma Aldrich), 2% FBS, stained with either 100 nM of LysoTracker Red DND-99 (1 mM stock, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or with a 1:10 dilution of Magic Red stock solution (Bio-Rad) in Shields and Sang M3 medium, 2% FBS for 5-10 minutes. After washing in Shields and Sang M3 and 2% FBS, the live tissues were transferred to 2.5% methyl cellulose in Shields and Sang M3 medium in 35 mm glassbottomed tissue culture dishes (FluoroDish, World Precision Instruments). Images were obtained with LSM710 or LSM780 confocal microscopes (Zeiss), and Fiji (ImageJ) was used for image analysis and quantitation procedures (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank Nadine Muschalik for comments on the manuscript, and Farida Begum for mass-spectrometric analysis.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

C.R.F. and S.M. conceived and designed the experiments. C.R.F. performed the experiments with assistance and training from S.S., C.R.F. and S.M. wrote the paper.

Funding

This work was supported by a PhD studentship from the Portuguese National Funding Agency for Science, Technology (FCT); and funding from the UK Medical Research Council [MRC reference numbers MC_U105178783 to SM and MR/M013596/1 to STS].

References

- Akbar, M. A., Ray, S. and Krämer, H. (2009). The SM protein Car/Vps33A regulates SNARE-mediated trafficking to lysosomes and lysosome-related organelles. *Mol Biol Cell* 20, 1705–1714.
- Balderhaar, H. J. K. and Ungermann, C. (2013). CORVET and HOPS tethering complexes - coordinators of endosome and lysosome fusion. J Cell Sci 126, 1307–1316.
- Bonifacino, J. S. and Neefjes, J. (2017). Moving and positioning the endolysosomal system. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **47**, 1–8.
- Butzlaff, M., Hannan, S. B., Karsten, P., Lenz, S., Ng, J., Voßfeldt, H., Prüßing, K., Pflanz, R., Schulz, J. B., Rasse, T., et al. (2015). Impaired retrograde transport by the Dynein/Dynactin complex contributes to Tau-induced toxicity. *Hum Mol Genet* 24, 3623–3637.
- Cantalupo, G., Alifano, P., Roberti, V., Bruni, C. B. and Bucci, C. (2001). Rabinteracting lysosomal protein (RILP): the Rab7 effector required for transport to lysosomes. *EMBO J* **20**, 683–693.
- Civril, F., Wehenkel, A., Giorgi, F. M., Santaguida, S., Di Fonzo, A., Grigorean, G., Ciccarelli, F. D. and Musacchio, A. (2010). Structural analysis of the RZZ complex reveals common ancestry with multisubunit vesicle tethering machinery. *Structure* 18, 616–626.
- Dermaut, B., Norga, K. K., Kania, A., Verstreken, P., Pan, H., Zhou, Y., Callaerts, P. and Bellen, H. J. (2005). Aberrant lysosomal carbohydrate storage accompanies endocytic defects and neurodegeneration in *Drosophila* benchwarmer. *J Cell Biol* **170**, 127–139.
- Dienstbier, M., Boehl, F., Li, X. and Bullock, S. L. (2009). Egalitarian is a selective RNA-binding protein linking mRNA localization signals to the dynein motor. *Gene Dev* 23, 1546–1558.

Dykes, S. S., Gray, A. L., Coleman, D. T., Saxena, M., Stephens, C. A., Carroll,

J. L., Pruitt, K. and Cardelli, J. A. (2016). The Arf-like GTPase Arl8b is essential for three-dimensional invasive growth of prostate cancer in vitro and xenograft formation and growth in vivo. *Oncotarget* **7**, 31037–31052.

- Efeyan, A., Zoncu, R. and Sabatini, D. M. (2012). Amino acids and mTORC1: from lysosomes to disease. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* **18**, 524–533.
- Encalada, S. E., Szpankowski, L., Xia, C.-H. and Goldstein, L. S. B. (2011). Stable kinesin and dynein assemblies drive the axonal transport of mammalian prion protein vesicles. *Cell* **144**, 551–565.
- Filipek, P. A., de Araujo, M. E. G., Vogel, G. F., De Smet, C. H., Eberharter, D., Rebsamen, M., Rudashevskaya, E. L., Kremser, L., Yordanov, T., Tschaikner, P., et al. (2017). LAMTOR/Ragulator is a negative regulator of Arl8b- and BORC-dependent late endosomal positioning. *J Cell Biol* 216, 4199–4215.
- Fortini, M. E. and Bilder, D. (2009). Endocytic regulation of Notch signaling. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **19**, 323–328.
- Füger, P., Sreekumar, V., Schüle, R., Kern, J. V., Stanchev, D. T., Schneider, C. D., Karle, K. N., Daub, K. J., Siegert, V. K., Flötenmeyer, M., et al. (2012). Spastic paraplegia mutation N256S in the neuronal microtubule motor KIF5A disrupts axonal transport in a *Drosophila* HSP model. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1003066.
- Garg, S., Sharma, M., Ung, C., Tuli, A., Barral, D. C., Hava, D. L., Veerapen, N., Besra, G. S., Hacohen, N. and Brenner, M. B. (2011). Lysosomal trafficking, antigen presentation, and microbial killing are controlled by the Arf-like GTPase Arl8b. *Immunity* 35, 182–193.
- Gillingham, A. K. and Munro, S. (2007). The small G proteins of the Arf family and their regulators. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 23, 579–611.
- Gillingham, A. K., Sinka, R., Torres, I. L., Lilley, K. S. and Munro, S. (2014). Toward a comprehensive map of the effectors of Rab GTPases. *Dev Cell* **31**, 358–373.

- Gindhart, J. G., Desai, C. J., Beushausen, S., Zinn, K. and Goldstein, L. S. (1998). Kinesin light chains are essential for axonal transport in *Drosophila*. *J Cell Biol* **141**, 443–454.
- Guardia, C. M., Farías, G. G., Jia, R., Pu, J. and Bonifacino, J. S. (2016). BORC Functions Upstream of Kinesins 1 and 3 to Coordinate Regional Movement of Lysosomes along Different Microtubule Tracks. *Cell Reports* **17**, 1950– 1961.
- Haghnia, M., Cavalli, V., Shah, S. B., Schimmelpfeng, K., Brusch, R., Yang, G., Herrera, C., Pilling, A. and Goldstein, L. S. B. (2007). Dynactin is required for coordinated bidirectional motility, but not for dynein membrane attachment. *Mol Biol Cell* 18, 2081–2089.
- Hofmann, I. and Munro, S. (2006). An N-terminally acetylated Arf-like GTPase is localised to lysosomes and affects their motility. *J Cell Sci* **119**, 1494–1503.
- Hurd, D. D. and Saxton, W. M. (1996). Kinesin mutations cause motor neuron disease phenotypes by disrupting fast axonal transport in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 144, 1075–1085.
- Hutagalung, A. H. and Novick, P. J. (2011). Role of Rab GTPases in membrane traffic and cell physiology. *Physiol Rev* **91**, 119–149.
- James, P., Halladay, J. and Craig, E. A. (1996). Genomic libraries and a host strain designed for highly efficient two-hybrid selection in yeast. *Genetics* 144, 1425–1436.
- Johansson, M., Rocha, N., Zwart, W., Jordens, I., Janssen, L., Kuijl, C., Olkkonen, V. M. and Neefjes, J. (2007). Activation of endosomal dynein motors by stepwise assembly of Rab7-RILP-p150Glued, ORP1L, and the receptor betalll spectrin. J Cell Biol 176, 459–471.
- Johnson, D. E., Ostrowski, P., Jaumouillé, V. and Grinstein, S. (2016). The position of lysosomes within the cell determines their luminal pH. *J Cell Biol* 212, 677–692.

- Jordens, I., Fernandez-Borja, M., Marsman, M., Dusseljee, S., Janssen, L., Calafat, J., Janssen, H., Wubbolts, R. and Neefjes, J. (2001). The Rab7 effector protein RILP controls lysosomal transport by inducing the recruitment of dynein-dynactin motors. *Curr Biol* **11**, 1680–1685.
- Kaniuk, N. A., Canadien, V., Bagshaw, R. D., Bakowski, M., Braun, V.,
 Landekic, M., Mitra, S., Huang, J., Heo, W. D., Meyer, T., et al. (2011).
 Salmonella exploits Arl8B-directed kinesin activity to promote endosome tubulation and cell-to-cell transfer. *Cell Microbiol* 13, 1812–1823.
- Khatter, D., Raina, V. B., Dwivedi, D., Sindhwani, A., Bahl, S. and Sharma, M. (2015a). The small GTPase Arl8b regulates assembly of the mammalian HOPS complex on lysosomes. *J Cell Sci* **128**, 1746–1761.
- Khatter, D., Sindhwani, A. and Sharma, M. (2015b). Arf-like GTPase Arl8: Moving from the periphery to the center of lysosomal biology. *Cell Logist* 5, e1086501.
- Klassen, M. P., Wu, Y. E., Maeder, C. I., Nakae, I., Cueva, J. G., Lehrman, E. K., Tada, M., Gengyo-Ando, K., Wang, G. J., Goodman, M., et al. (2010). An Arf-like small G protein, ARL-8, promotes the axonal transport of presynaptic cargoes by suppressing vesicle aggregation. *Neuron* **66**, 710– 723.
- Martin, M., Iyadurai, S. J., Gassman, A., Gindhart, J. G., Hays, T. S. and Saxton, W. M. (1999). Cytoplasmic dynein, the dynactin complex, and kinesin are interdependent and essential for fast axonal transport. *Mol Biol Cell* 10, 3717–3728.
- Marwaha, R., Arya, S. B., Jagga, D., Kaur, H., Tuli, A. and Sharma, M. (2017). The Rab7 effector PLEKHM1 binds Arl8b to promote cargo traffic to lysosomes. *J Cell Biol* **216**, 1051–1070.
- Mrakovic, A., Kay, J. G., Furuya, W., Brumell, J. H. and Botelho, R. J. (2012). Rab7 and Arl8 GTPases are necessary for lysosome tubulation in macrophages. *Traffic* **13**, 1667–1679.

- Nakae, I., Fujino, T., Kobayashi, T., Sasaki, A., Kikko, Y., Fukuyama, M., Gengyo-Ando, K., Mitani, S., Kontani, K. and Katada, T. (2010). The Arflike GTPase Arl8 mediates delivery of endocytosed macromolecules to lysosomes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Biol Cell* **21**, 2434–2442.
- Niwa, S., Lipton, D. M., Morikawa, M., Zhao, C., Hirokawa, N., Lu, H. and Shen, K. (2016). Autoinhibition of a Neuronal Kinesin UNC-104/KIF1A Regulates the Size and Density of Synapses. *Cell Reports* 16, 2129–2141.
- Niwa, S., Tao, L., Lu, S. Y., Liew, G. M., Feng, W., Nachury, M. V. and Shen, K. (2017). BORC Regulates the Axonal Transport of Synaptic Vesicle Precursors by Activating ARL-8. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 2569–2578.e4.
- Oka, M., Hashimoto, K., Yamaguchi, Y., Saitoh, S.-I., Sugiura, Y., Motoi, Y., Honda, K., Kikko, Y., Ohata, S., Suematsu, M., et al. (2017). Arl8b is required for lysosomal degradation of maternal proteins in the visceral yolk sac endoderm of mouse embryos. *J Cell Sci* **130**, 3568–3577.
- Okai, T., Araki, Y., Tada, M., Tateno, T., Kontani, K. and Katada, T. (2004). Novel small GTPase subfamily capable of associating with tubulin is required for chromosome segregation. *J Cell Sci* **117**, 4705–4715.
- Perera, R. M. and Zoncu, R. (2016). The lysosome as a regulatory hub. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **32**, 223–253.
- Pu, J., Keren-Kaplan, T. and Bonifacino, J. S. (2017). A Ragulator-BORC interaction controls lysosome positioning in response to amino acid availability. *J Cell Biol* 216, 4183–4197.
- Pu, J., Schindler, C., Jia, R., Jarnik, M., Backlund, P. and Bonifacino, J. S. (2015). BORC, a multisubunit complex that regulates lysosome positioning. *Dev Cell* 33, 176–188.
- Pulipparacharuvil, S., Akbar, M. A., Ray, S., Sevrioukov, E. A., Haberman, A. S., Rohrer, J. and Krämer, H. (2005). *Drosophila* Vps16A is required for trafficking to lysosomes and biogenesis of pigment granules. *J Cell Sci* 118, 3663–3673.

- Rosa-Ferreira, C. and Munro, S. (2011). Arl8 and SKIP act together to link lysosomes to kinesin-1. *Dev Cell* 21, 1171–1178.
- Sasaki, A., Nakae, I., Nagasawa, M., Hashimoto, K., Abe, F., Saito, K.,
 Fukuyama, M., Gengyo-Ando, K., Mitani, S., Katada, T., et al. (2013).
 Arl8/ARL-8 functions in apoptotic cell removal by mediating phagolysosome formation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 24, 1584–1592.
- Saxton, W. M., Hicks, J., Goldstein, L. S. and Raff, E. C. (1991). Kinesin heavy chain is essential for viability and neuromuscular functions in *Drosophila*, but mutants show no defects in mitosis. *Cell* 64, 1093–1102.
- Schaub, J. R. and Stearns, T. (2013). The Rilp-like proteins Rilpl1 and Rilpl2 regulate ciliary membrane content. *Mol Biol Cell* 24, 453–464.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch,
 T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Meth* 9, 676–682.
- Shen, W. and Ganetzky, B. (2009). Autophagy promotes synapse development in *Drosophila*. J Cell Biol 187, 71–79.
- Sweeney, S. T. and Davis, G. W. (2002). Unrestricted synaptic growth in spinster-a late endosomal protein implicated in TGF-beta-mediated synaptic growth regulation. *Neuron* 36, 403–416.
- Tabata, K., Matsunaga, K., Sakane, A., Sasaki, T., Noda, T. and Yoshimori, T. (2010). Rubicon and PLEKHM1 negatively regulate the endocytic/autophagic pathway via a novel Rab7-binding domain. *Mol Biol Cell* **21**, 4162–4172.
- **Tanaka, T. and Nakamura, A.** (2008). The endocytic pathway acts downstream of Oskar in *Drosophila* germ plasm assembly. *Development* **135**, 1107–1117.
- Wainman, A., Giansanti, M. G., Goldberg, M. L. and Gatti, M. (2012). The Drosophila RZZ complex - roles in membrane trafficking and cytokinesis. J Cell Sci 125, 4014–4025.

- Wang, T., Wong, K. K. and Hong, W. (2004). A unique region of RILP distinguishes it from its related proteins in its regulation of lysosomal morphology and interaction with Rab7 and Rab34. *Mol Biol Cell* 15, 815– 826.
- West, R. J. H., Lu, Y., Marie, B., Gao, F.-B. and Sweeney, S. T. (2015). Rab8, POSH, and TAK1 regulate synaptic growth in a *Drosophila* model of frontotemporal dementia. *J Cell Biol* 208, 931–947.
- Wu, M., Wang, T., Loh, E., Hong, W. and Song, H. (2005). Structural basis for recruitment of RILP by small GTPase Rab7. *EMBO J* 24, 1491–1501.
- Wu, Y. E., Huo, L., Maeder, C. I., Feng, W. and Shen, K. (2013). The balance between capture and dissociation of presynaptic proteins controls the spatial distribution of synapses. *Neuron* 78, 994–1011.
- Xu, D., Li, Y., Wu, L., Li, Y., Zhao, D., Yu, J., Huang, T., Ferguson, C., Parton, R.
 G., Yang, H., et al. (2018). Rab18 promotes lipid droplet (LD) growth by tethering the ER to LDs through SNARE and NRZ interactions. *J Cell Biol* 217, 975–995.

Figures




Fig. 1. Deletion of the *Drosophila* ortholog of Arl8 affects the late endocytic pathway.

(A) Schematic illustration of the *Arl8* gene (CG7891) showing the location of the PiggyBac element PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}. The grey areas indicate untranslated regions (UTR) and blue the coding region of *Arl8*.

(B) Immunoblot of lysates from L3 stage larvae from wild-type (wt, w¹¹¹⁸) and w¹¹¹⁸; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}, Tb¹ probed for Arl8 and for β -actin as a control.

(C) Immunoblot of lysates of a range of fly tissues and of various development stages. The blots were probed for Arl8 and for β -actin.

(D,E) Confocal micrographs of wing imaginal discs ((D), scale bars, 10 µm) or of fat body ((E) scale bar, 20 µm) from L3 larvae where mosaic clones were generated by mitotic recombination. The Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1} mutant clones are marked by the absence of nuclear GFP or RFP, which also indicates that each clone contains many cells of the tissue. Arl8, Rab7 and Notch extracellular domain were detected with specific antibodies, and the fly lines used to generate, were: P{hsFLP}22, y⁻w⁻; +/+; P{neoFRT}82B, P{GFPnls}/ TM6B, Hu Tb and P{hsFLP}22, y⁻w⁻; +/+; P{neoFRT}82B, PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B, e Hu Tb. GFP-Lamp1 was expressed under the control of the Act5C promoter using fly lines: P{hsFLP}22, y⁻w⁻; P{W⁺ UAS-GFP Lamp1}/Cyo; P{neoFRT}82B, PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B, e Hu Tb; and P{hsFLP}22, y⁻w⁻; act5C-GAL4/CyO; P{neoFRT}82B, Ubi P{mRFPnls}/ TM6B. In all cases where mitotic clones are shown at least 10 different clones were imaged and representative examples are shown. (F) Confocal micrographs of wing imaginal discs and of fat body from L3 larvae. On the first row, Arl8-GFP was expressed in the Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1} mutant, stained live with LysoTracker. The lines used were w⁻; P{W⁺ UAST-Arl8 GFP}/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B and w⁻; act5C-GAL4/CyO; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B. The

second row is as the first, except that Arl8 is expressed in flies where endogenous Arl8 is present.

(G) Clones in wing imaginal discs generated as in (D) and stained live with

LysoTracker or Magic Red as indicated. Scale bars for (D-G) 10 $\mu m.$




Fig. 2. Deletion of Arl8 affects long range transport in axons.

(A) Confocal micrographs of proximal (segments A2/A3) and distal axons (segments A5/A6) from w¹¹¹⁸ and *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* (w-; +/+; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336} larvae, stained with antibodies to HRP and to Syt1 (Synaptotagmin 1) or BRP (Bruchpilot) as indicated. Images are representative of those obtained from four different animals for each genotype, and in each case imaging was performed using the same settings for w1118 and mutant. Scale bars, 10 $\mu\text{m}.$

(B) Confocal micrographs of active zones at the neuromuscular junction of the muscle 6/7, abdominal segment A2, from w¹¹¹⁸ and *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* female larvae stained with anti-HRP and anti-Syt1 antibodies. Scale bars, 10 μ m.

(C) Quantification of the number of synaptic boutons (Syt1) from wild-type w¹¹¹⁸ (n=13) and *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* (n=10) female larvae (muscle 6/7, abdominal segment A2). Mean and standard deviations are shown, with the reduction in the mutant being statistically significant (p<0.0001, two-tailed nonparametric Mann-Whitney test).




Fig. 3. Arl8 is present in axons and synapses.

(A) Confocal micrographs of synapses from flies expressing Arl8-GFP under control of the motor-neuron-specific driver C164-Gal4, in an *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* mutant background, and stained with Bruchpilot specific antibodies (BRP) or with HRP antibodies to outline axons. A square in the HRP merge image indicates a region of the synapse that is shown enlarged in (C). The flies used were w-; C164-GAL4/ CyO; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B and w-; pUAST-Arl8GFP/ CyO; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B. In each case images were obtained from six animals and representative examples are show. Scale bars, 10 µm.

(B) Arl8 localisation in synapses is shown by costaining wild-type (w¹¹¹⁸) synapses for endogenous Arl8 and with anti-HRP. A square in the merge image indicates a region of the synapse shown enlarged in (C). Images are representative of those obtained from six animals. Scale bars, 10 μm.
(C) Enlarged region of the synapses, indicated by squares in the merge images in (A) and (B), showing the enrichment of endogenous Arl8 and of Arl8-GFP on the most terminal boutons.

(D) Confocal micrographs of synapses expressing GFP-Lamp1 driven by C164 and stained for HRP, from control and *Arl8^{KO}* mutant flies, heterozygous and homozygous for the *Arl8^{KO1}* mutation, respectively. The lines used were w-; C164-GAL4/ CyO; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B and w-; P{W⁺ UAS-GFP Lamp1}/CyO; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B. Images were obtained from five (*Arl8^{KO}*/TM6B) or three (*Arl8^{KO}*/*Arl8^{KO}*) animals, and representative images shown. Scale bars, 10 μm.




Fig. 4. Identification of Arl8 interacting proteins by affinity chromatography.

(A) Comparison of the spectral counts of proteins isolated by affinity
chromatography using GST-Arl8 with mutations that lock the protein in either a
GDP or GTP conformations. S2 cell lysates were prepared without detergent.
Abundant GTP-specific interactors are labelled, ochre triangles indicating
subunits of the NRZ complex, green triangles two subunits of the HOPS
complex (Carnation (Car) being Vps33. Further HOPS subunits (Deep Orange
(Vps18), Vps11 and Vps39) were present but with fewer spectra (two with GTP,

zero with GTP). CG11448 is the *Drosophila* ortholog of mammalian RILP. Cullin 1 (Cul1), Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), Suppressor of deltex (Su(dx), and Fatty acid synthase (FAS) are also shown. Not shown are hsp70, tubulin, Rme-8 and Arl8 itself, none of which were GTP-specific but whose spectral counts exceeded the axis scales. A full list of proteins and spectral counts from the purifications is in supplementary information Table S1.

(B) Comparison of the spectral counts for the proteins found exclusively with the GTP-locked form of Arl8 in both the purification in (A) and in a purification using S2 cell lysates prepared using the detergent CHAPS. See also Table S1.
(C) Confocal micrographs of *Drosophila* S2 cells coexpressing Arl8-RFP and GFP-CG11448. In the lower row the cells were treated with 10 µM nocodazole for two hours prior to fixation to depolymerize microtubules.

(D) Confocal micrograph of S2 cells coexpressing Arl8-RFP (arrows) and Zw10-GFP.

(E) Confocal micrographs of S2 cells expressing GFP-CG1148 and stained with antibodies against Arl8 or Rab7.

(F) Confocal micrograph of S2 cells expressing GFP-CG6613 and stained with antibodies against Rab7. For panels B-E, at least six images were obtained for each condition with representative examples shown. Scale bars 2 μm.




Fig. 5. Arl8 recruits the *Drosophila* ortholog of RILP to lysosomes.

(A) Immunoblots of lysates from cells treated with control dsRNA, Arl8-1

(dsRNA1) and Arl8-2 (dsRNA2). The blots were probed for Arl8 and for β -actin as an internal control.

(B) Confocal micrographs of *Drosophila* S2 cells expressing GFP-CG1148 or GFP-CG6613 and treated with control dsRNA or two different dsRNAs against Arl8 (dsRNA1 and dsRNA2) as indicated. GFP-CG11448 and GFP-CG6613 were

punctate in the majority of cells imaged (80% of 21 and 56% of 16 respectively) with higher expression levels giving a diffuse distribution. After RNAi the punctate distribution for CG11448 was reduced to 12% of 25 (dsRNA1) and 23% of 39 (dsRNA2), where are CG6613 was not substantially affected with punctate distribution seen in 40% of 15 (dsRNA1) and 63% of 11 (dsRNA2). Scale bars, 2 μ m.

(C) Yeast-two-hybrid interactions between the indicated forms of Arl8 and the proteins CG11448 and CG6613.

Supplementary information



Movie S1. Loss of Arl8 affects larval crawling.

A wandering third instar larva of wild-type (w¹¹¹⁸) and of the $Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}$ mutant, which presents posterior paralysis, visible by the upward curve its most distal segments.



Movie S2. Rescue of larval crawling by expression of Arl8 in motor neurons.

Movie of larvae expressing Arl8-GFP under the control of the indicated drivers, which, except for Mef2, fully rescue larval movement and the tail flipping phenotype of the *Arl8^{KO1}/Arl8^{KO1}* mutant. The fly lines used were: w⁻; P{W⁺ UAST-Arl8 GFP}/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B; w⁻; act5C GAL4/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B; w⁻; n-syb-GAL4/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B; w⁻; C164-GAL4/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B and w⁻; Mef2 GAL4/Cyo; PBac{RB}Gie^{e00336}/ TM6B. **Table S1.** Mass spectrometric analysis of proteins binding to Arl8 by affinity chromatography.

Click here to Download Table S1