



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *La Littérature en suspens. Écritures de la Shoah: le témoignage et les œuvres. Par Catherine Coquio.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/133600/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Silverman, M (2017) *La Littérature en suspens. Écritures de la Shoah: le témoignage et les œuvres. Par Catherine Coquio.* French Studies, 71 (1). pp. 149-150. ISSN 0016-1128

<https://doi.org/10.1093/fs/knw297>

(c) 2016, The Author. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for French Studies. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com. This is an author produced version of a paper published in French Studies. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

La Littérature en suspens. Écritures de la Shoah: le témoignage et les oeuvres. By CATHERINE COQUIO. Paris: L'Arachnéen, 2015. 512 pp.

In his acceptance speech in Stockholm in 2002 for the award of the Nobel Prize for literature, the Hungarian Holocaust survivor Imre Kertész stated that 'Auschwitz a mis la littérature en suspens' (p. 9). Kertész is, of course, reworking Theodor Adorno's famous remark that 'to write poetry after Auschwitz is barbaric' (which Adorno himself later modified). Catherine Coquio makes Kertész's statement the guiding principle of this excellent book on literature's response to Auschwitz. To 'suspend' literature after Auschwitz means to ask fundamental questions of its purpose and nature, 'de sa pertinence, de son contenu, de son *héritage*' (p. 13): what type of literature is now appropriate, what is the relation between literature and experience, who is speaking and to whom, what is the relation between literature, politics, memory and ethics, and so on. Coquio's book is a vast survey of post-war reflections on these questions. The central question that runs through all these debates – that Coquio considers in an extremely balanced and nuanced way – is the relationship between testimony and fiction. How do witnesses remain 'true' to the event (or 'truthful', in Charlotte Delbo's terms) while being, at the same time, acutely aware of the incommensurable gap between words and the real (Antelme) and the dangers of fictionalisation and aestheticisation (Wiesel)? Should the 'false testimony' of Binjamin Wilkomirski (whose 'experience' as a Holocaust survivor was exposed as a fiction) be a warning against all literary versions of the Holocaust? The book is divided into two parts, the first on the theories and paradigms developed in Germany, America, France and the USSR after the Second World War, and the second a series of in-depth analyses of different writers, mostly French (Rousset,

Cayrol, Delbo, Rawicz, Améry and Kertész, amongst others). This is probably the most comprehensive *tour d'horizon* yet produced in French of the different theories of art and the camps (from the post-war period to the present day) and some of the most significant writers of the Holocaust. Although Coquio's approach is occasionally schematic - especially in the first part where the argument takes in philosophy, ethics, politics and history as well as literature – she nevertheless maintains a clear grasp of all the intellectual debates and is very well versed in the American critical literature on trauma, memory and the Holocaust (Caruth, Felman, LaCapra, Friedlander, Langer and so on). She is also a fine critical reader of different types of work - fiction, testimony and everything in between. Coquio has previously made a major contribution to the field of Holocaust and genocide studies in her earlier work on Cayrol, Delbo and others, and in her co-edited book *Parler des camps, penser les génocides* (1999), which was a bold attempt to put discussions of the concentration and extermination camps of the Second World War into dialogue with other camp experiences. *La Littérature en suspens* is a worthy successor to this output and establishes Coquio as one of the major French theorists of art and the extreme.

Max Silverman

University of Leeds

494 words