eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to 'A farewell to brake reaction times? Kinematics-dependent brake response in naturalistic rear-end emergencies'
 [Accident Analysis & Prevention 95 (2016) 209–226]

Gustav Markkula[a,*], Johan Engström[b], Johan Lodin[c], Jonas Bärgman[d], Trent Victor[e,d]

[a] Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, Leeds, United Kingdom
[b] Center for Truck and Bus Safety, Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, VA 24061, Blacksburg, US
[c] Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 419 96, Göteborg, Sweden
[d] Department of Applied Mechanics, Chalmers University of Technology, 419 96 Göteborg, Sweden
[e] Volvo Car Corporation, Safety Centre, 418 78 Göteborg, Sweden

The authors regret to inform the Accident Analysis & Prevention readership of the following mistake in the published version of the paper 'A farewell to brake reaction times? Kinematics-dependent brake response in naturalistic rear-end emergencies':

In the text, values of the quantity j_B (rate of change of acceleration) are reported as having the unit m/s^3, whereas the values provided are actually in the unit g/s. In full detail:

- Page 211, second column, first paragraph, line 10 should read "$j_B \in \{-7, -6.75, \ldots, 0\}$ g/s"
- Page 216, Fig. 8, y axes of all panels should read "Brake jerk (g/s)"
- Page 216, Fig. 8, caption should read "($j_B = -0.25$ g/s)" and "(j_B values of −4.25 g/s and −3.50 g/s)"
- Page 217, second column, first paragraph, line 15 should read "intercept of about 1 g/s"
- Page 225, first column, first paragraph, line 4 should read "$j_B \leq 8$ g/s"

This mistake also affected the parameter k_B in the expression $j_B = k_B \tau_B^{-1}$ (Eqn. 3), reported as having the unit m/s^2, whereas the provided values are actually in the unit g. In full detail:

- Page 218, Fig. 10, x axes of third column of panels should read "k_B (g)"
- Page 224, Fig. B2, y axes of all panels should read "k_B (g)"

The incorrect unit denominations described above do not affect any of the conclusions drawn in the paper.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.