UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Polar Ozone Response to Energetic Particle Precipitation Over Decadal Time Scales: The Role of Medium-Energy Electrons.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131553/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Andersson, ME, Verronen, PT, Marsh, DR orcid.org/0000-0001-6699-494X et al. (6 more authors) (2018) Polar Ozone Response to Energetic Particle Precipitation Over Decadal Time Scales: The Role of Medium-Energy Electrons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123 (1). pp. 607-622. ISSN 2169-897X

https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027605

(c) 2018. American Geophysical Union. An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Further reproduction or electronic distribution is not permitted. To view the published open abstract, go to https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027605

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Polar ozone response to energetic particle precipitation over decadal time scales: the role of medium-energy electrons

M. E. Andersson¹, P. T. Verronen¹, D. R. Marsh², A. Seppälä^{3,1}, S-M. Päivärinta¹, C. J. Rodger³, M. A. Clilverd⁴, N. Kalakoski¹, and M. van de Kamp¹

¹ Earth Observation, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland
² Atmospheric Chemistry Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA
³ Department of Physics, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
⁴ British Antarctic Survey (NERC), Cambridge, UK

Key Points: 9

1

2

3

4

15

10	• Simulations (147 years) with new medium-energy electron forcing analyzed for
11	chemical responses
12	- Middle mesospheric ozone is reduced by up to 20% on average by inclusion of
13	MEE forcing
14	+ Upper stratospheric ozone varies by up to 7% in the SH due to energetic particle
15	precipitation

Corresponding author: P. T. Verronen, pekka.verronen@fmi.fi

16 Abstract

One of the key challenges in polar middle atmosphere research is to quantify the total 17 forcing by energetic particle precipitation (EPP) and assess the related response over so-18 lar cycle time scales. This is especially true for electrons having energies between about 19 30 keV and 1 MeV, so-called medium-energy electrons (MEE), where there has been a 20 persistent lack of adequate description of MEE ionization in chemistry-climate simula-21 tions. Here we use the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) and 22 include EPP forcing by solar proton events, auroral electron precipitation, and a recently 23 developed model of MEE precipitation. We contrast our results from three ensemble sim-24 ulations (147 years) in total with those from the fifth phase of the Coupled Model Inter-25 comparison Project (CMIP5) in order to investigate the importance of a more complete 26 description of EPP to the middle atmospheric ozone, odd hydrogen, and odd nitrogen over 27 decadal time scales. Our results indicate average EPP-induced polar ozone variability of 28 12-24% in the mesosphere, and 5-7% in the middle and upper stratosphere. This vari-29 ability is in agreement with previously published observations. Analysis of the simulation 30 results indicate the importance of inclusion of MEE in the total EPP forcing: In addition 31 to the major impact on the mesosphere, MEE enhances the stratospheric ozone response 32 by a factor of two. In the Northern Hemisphere, where wintertime dynamical variability 33 is larger than in the Southern Hemisphere, longer simulations are needed in order to reach 34 more robust conclusions. 35

1 Introduction

Variation in solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation is considered to be the main source of 37 solar driven decadal variability in the stratosphere, influencing the ozone budget and radia-38 tive heating in the middle atmosphere [Gray et al., 2010]. There is now growing evidence 39 that solar driven energetic particle precipitation (EPP) is another important source for 40 stratospheric variability [Seppälä et al., 2014; Matthes et al., 2017]. Auroral electron pre-41 cipitation provides direct forcing at polar thermospheric altitudes (above about 100 km), 42 while solar proton events (SPE) and medium-energy electron (MEE) precipitation generate 43 excess ionization in the polar middle atmosphere (between about 30-80 km). This leads to 44 significant changes in the neutral atmosphere through the formation of odd nitrogen (NO_x) 45 and odd hydrogen (HO_x) [Jackman et al., 2001; Verronen et al., 2011; Funke et al., 2011; 46 Andersson et al., 2012; Fytterer et al., 2015; Arsenovic et al., 2016]. Enhanced production 47

of NO_x and HO_x affects stratospheric and mesospheric ozone (O₃) [Verronen et al., 2006; 48 Seppälä et al., 2007; Jackman et al., 2008; Andersson et al., 2014a], which then has the 49 potential to further influence atmospheric dynamics [Langematz et al., 2005; Baumgaert-50 ner et al., 2011]. Simulations and analysis of meteorological data have given indications 51 of chemical-dynamical coupling linking the initial EPP-induced response to changes in the 52 lower atmosphere, and ground-level climate variations on a regional scale [Lu et al., 2008; 53 Seppälä et al., 2009; Baumgaertner et al., 2011; Rozanov et al., 2012; Seppälä et al., 2013]. 54 It is possible that the impact of EPP on regional climate variability may be comparable or 55 even exceeds the effects arising from solar UV variations [Rozanov et al., 2005; Seppälä 56 and Clilverd, 2014]. 57

One of the outstanding challenges in understanding EPP impact on the atmosphere 58 is the role of MEE in the total EPP forcing and the related atmospheric and climate re-59 sponse. There has been a persistent lack of an adequate description of MEE ionization 60 in atmospheric simulations due to issues in the satellite-based precipitating flux observa-61 tions [Rodger et al., 2010a]. We know from satellite-based OH observations that there is 62 a direct mesospheric response to MEE at geomagnetic latitudes between about 55 and 75 63 degrees [Verronen et al., 2011; Andersson et al., 2012; Andersson et al., 2014b; Zawedde 64 et al., 2016]. Observations have further shown the resulting effect on mesospheric ozone, 65 both in day-to-day changes during MEE events, and in longer-term variability [Verronen 66 et al., 2013; Andersson et al., 2014a]. 67

A major open question concerns the magnitude of the EPP-driven response in strato-68 spheric ozone over decadal time scales [Sinnhuber et al., 2006]. In order to have an im-69 pact, NO_x produced in the mesosphere-lower-thermosphere (MLT) region must be trans-70 ported down to the upper stratosphere inside the polar vortex during wintertime when it 71 is not destroyed by photolysis. NO_x descent has been observed during many winters [Cal-72 lis and Lambeth, 1998; Siskind et al., 2000; Randall et al., 2009; Päivärinta et al., 2013] 73 and satellite data analysis has shown that NO_x descent occurs practically every winter, in 74 both hemispheres, with significant inter-annual variability seen especially in the Northern 75 Hemisphere (NH) [Seppälä et al., 2007; Funke et al., 2014a,b]. Capturing the observed 76 magnitude of the NO_x descent has been difficult to simulate in models due to incomplete 77 EPP forcing source producing the NO_x including, perhaps most importantly, the missing 78 MEE ionization. 79

-3-

80	On a year-to-year basis, understanding the response of stratospheric ozone to the de-
81	scending $NO_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ has been challenging because of the relatively large overall ozone variabil-
82	ity due to atmospheric dynamics [Päivärinta et al., 2013]. Nevertheless, from observations
83	we know that polar upper stratospheric ozone can be depleted locally by 40–60% during
84	winters of exceptionally strong NO _x descent [Randall et al., 1998; Randall et al., 2005].
85	A recent study using satellite data between 1979 and 2014 has revealed a long-term re-
86	sponse of Southern Hemispheric (SH) stratospheric ozone to EPP activity, with an aver-
87	age ozone depletion of about 10-15% at 30-45 km altitude in late winter [Damiani et al.,
88	2016]. Fytterer et al. [2015] used a shorter time period of observations (2005-2010) and
89	reported a $5-10\%$ depletion of SH polar ozone at $25-50$ km over the winter months.

⁹⁰ Up to now there are been few simulations including MEE in some form [*Codrescu* ⁹¹ *et al.*, 1997; *Semeniuk et al.*, 2011], but most recently *Arsenovic et al.* [2016] examined ⁹² the MEE effect on the polar atmosphere using a chemistry-climate model. Although their ⁹³ MEE ionization data set restricted the simulated time period to just eight years, they nev-⁹⁴ ertheless reported substantial MEE effects on polar stratospheric ozone and subsequently ⁹⁵ on atmospheric dynamics. However, for more general conclusions a multi-decadal time ⁹⁶ series of simulations is needed.

Here we use the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (CESM1(WACCM)) 97 to study the polar atmosphere response to EPP over decadal timescales. We present an ex-98 tended simulation time series of 147 years (3×49 years ensemble of runs) which gives our 99 results good statistical robustness. To complete the EPP forcing over the whole time se-100 ries, we introduce to WACCM the new state-of-the-art MEE precipitation model which 101 is part of solar forcing recommendation for the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Inter-102 comparison Project CMIP6 [van de Kamp et al., 2016; Matthes et al., 2017]. The big open 103 questions we wish to address concern the magnitude and detectability (e.g., statistical ro-104 bustness) of EPP-driven signals in multi-decadal time series. These signals are currently 105 unknown because most previous MEE studies have been restricted to time periods of ~ 10 106 years or less. Thus, our study is an important contribution to the MEE research, and EPP 107 research in general. 108

¹⁰⁹ Note that we contrast our results to the simulations from the fifth phase of the Cou-¹¹⁰ pled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) reported by *Marsh et al.* [2013] which were ¹¹¹ used for the fifth Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment Report.

-4-

The CMIP5 simulations, which include no MEE forcing, are freely available to the community and are widely used. It is very important to establish if a lack of MEE forcing in those simulations (and simulations by other modeling groups for CMIP5) leads to an error in determining the chemical response to external solar and geomagnetic forcing. Thus our results have great significance for any researcher analyzing the solar signal in the CMIP5 simulations.

118

2 Modeling and analysis methods

WACCM is a chemistry-climate general circulation model with vertical domain 119 extending from the surface to 5.9 x 10^{-6} hPa (~140 km geometric height). The stan-120 dard horizontal resolution used is 1.9° latitude by 2.5° longitude. The representation of 121 WACCM physics in the MLT and simulations of the atmospheric response to solar and 122 geomagnetic forcing variations are described by Marsh et al. [2007]. Details of recent 123 centennial-scale coupled simulations using the current version of WACCM (version 4) and 124 an overview of the model climate is presented by Marsh et al. [2013]. The chemistry mod-125 ule in WACCM is interactive with the dynamics through transport, radiative transfer and 126 exothermic heating. Photochemistry associated with ion species $(O^+, NO^+, O_2^+, N_2^+, N^+)$ 127 is part of the standard chemistry package. For EPP, the standard model uses a lookup ta-128 ble parameterization for ionization-driven HO_x production, based on the work of Solomon 129 et al. [1981]. For NO_x, it is assumed that 1.25 N atoms are produced per ion pair with 130 branching ratios of 0.55/0.7 for N(⁴S)/N(²D), respectively [Porter et al., 1976; Jackman 131 et al., 2005]. 132

Except for the inclusion of MEE in the EPP forcing (described in the next para-133 graph) the coupled model simulations presented here were set up identically to the CMIP5 134 simulations [for full details, see Marsh et al., 2013]. We utilize the free-running dynam-135 ics version of the model (compset "B55TRWCN") that includes active ocean and sea ice 136 components at 1° resolution. An ensemble set of three simulations was performed with all 137 observed forcings between 1955–2005. An ensemble of three was chosen to reduce the ef-138 fects from internal variability in the model in our analysis. The observed forcings include 139 changes in surface concentrations of radiatively active species, daily solar spectral irradi-140 ance, volcanic sulfate heating, and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO). The initial con-141 ditions for 1955 for all model components were taken from a single historical simulation 142 (1850-2005), in an identical manner to the CMIP5 simulations. Energetic particle forcing 143

-5-

due to solar proton events (SPE) and auroral electron (AE) precipitation was included in the original CMIP5 simulations, hence the difference between the CMIP5 and our simulations is the addition of the new MEE forcing, as described below. The three ensemble members of simulations (49 years each) result in a total of 147 years for our analysis.

The key feature in our simulations is that we have improved the EPP forcing in 148 WACCM by introducing 30-1000 keV radiation belt electron precipitation using the APEEP 149 model of van de Kamp et al. [2016]. Note that van de Kamp et al. [2016] presents two 150 versions of the MEE precipitation model depending on the geomagnetic activity index 151 used to determine the MEE variation. Here we utilize the version driven by the Ap index, 152 from now on referred to as the APEEP model for "Ap-driven Energetic Electron Precipi-153 tation". In the 30–1000 keV energy range, electrons provide a major ionization source at 154 60-90 km altitude, directly affecting mesospheric chemistry. APEEP is a proxy model, 155 driven solely by the observed geomagnetic Ap index. In the model, Ap defines the level of 156 magnetospheric disturbance and the location of the plasmapause, both of which are needed 157 to calculate precipitating electron fluxes in 16 geomagnetic latitude bins between 45° and 158 72° for each hemisphere. The daily, zonal mean fluxes of precipitating electrons from the 159 APEEP model were used to calculate atmospheric MEE driven ionization rates [see van de 160 Kamp et al., 2016, for details] which were then included in WACCM. The long-term ion-161 ization data sets from the APEEP model are available back to 1850 as an official part of 162 the solar forcing recommendation for the CMIP6 simulations [Matthes et al., 2017]. The 163 same ionization data set as described by Matthes et al. is used here. 164

Figure 1 (top panel) shows the time series of monthly mean APEEP ionization in 165 the NH at about 77 km altitude (1.7898×10^{-2} hPa). This correspond to the altitude where 166 HO_x production in the WACCM simulations maximizes when APEEP is included. Over-167 all, the APEEP ionization exhibits a considerable variability during all five solar cycles 168 (SC19–SC23) with the strongest and most frequent ionization increases occurring during 169 the declining phase of the solar cycle, in accordance with peaks in geomagnetic activity 170 levels (not shown). In the APEEP model the electron flux characteristics are identical in 171 the NH and SH, so that the ionization rates only have differences arising from different 172 atmospheric conditions. The largest observed NH/SH differences are related to the lon-173 gitudinal distribution of fluxes [Andersson et al., 2014b], due to variations in the strength 174 in the geomagnetic field. Those longitudinal variations are not considered when the zonal 175 mean APEEP model is used. For the MEE energy range, these differences primarily arise 176

-6-

during quiet geomagnetic conditions where weak diffusive scattering processes dominate, but the magnitude of electron precipitation is very low [e.g. *Rodger et al.*, 2013, Figure 4, upper panels]. During disturbed conditions, when the magnitudes are 1-2 orders higher, strong diffusion dominates [e.g. *Horne et al.*, 2009] and no significant differences are expected with longitude or hemisphere [e.g. *Rodger et al.*, 2013, Figure 4, lower panels]. As such we expect any error in the modeling caused by using the same fluxes for NH and SH to be small compared to the overall uncertainties in the APEEP flux model.

From now on the WACCM simulations with the APEEP ionization will be referred 184 to as "MEE_CMIP5" to highlight the addition of MEE forcing to simulations which are 185 otherwise identical to the CMIP5 simulations. We first contrast the MEE_CMIP5 with the 186 original CMIP5 simulations (from now on called "REF CMIP5") and calculate the dif-187 ference in HO_x , NO_x and O_3 concentrations. The purpose of this comparison is to get 188 an overall picture of the impact that including the APEEP ionization has. We will focus 189 this first part of the analysis on the SH, with the more detailed analysis for both hemi-190 spheres in the second part. A monthly mean analysis is made for three selected sets of 191 years: CASE 1 includes all years (147 altogether from all three 49-year ensemble mem-192 bers), CASE 2 includes only the years with high APEEP ionization (36 years in total), 193 CASE 3 includes only the years with low APEEP ionization (33 years in total). The selec-194 tions are based on annual mean APEEP ionization as shown in Table 1. In the top panel 195 of the Figure 1, red and blue indicate CASE 2 and CASE 3, respectively. The years are 196 also listed in Table 1. 197

In the second part of the analysis we focus on the decadal variability due to EPP 198 from SPE, AE, and MEE during winter (NH: December-January-February/DJF. SH: June-199 July-August/JJA) – this is when the EPP-driven in situ effects are expected to be the most 200 pronounced. We contrast winters of high and low EPP forcing in the MEE_CMIP5 and 201 REF_CMIP5 ensembles separately. The analysis is made for two selected sets of years: 202 1) high wintertime (DJF/NH and JJA/SH) APEEP ionization at 77 km altitude (51 years 203 in the NH, 48 in the SH), and 2) low wintertime APEEP ionization at 77 km altitude (51 204 years in the NH, 45 in the SH), based on three-month averages of APEEP ionization. In 205 Figure 1b (NH) and Figure 1c (SH), colors indicate the winter months of high (red) and 206 low (blue) APEEP ionization levels. The corresponding years are also listed in Table 2. 207

-7-

The above selections were made with the aim to simultaneously a) contrast the ex-208 tremes of the high and low APEEP ionization periods in order to identify potential re-209 sponses and b) keep the number of years in the sets as large as possible to allow for ro-210 bust statistical conclusions. Later, in Section 3, we will discuss how these selections affect 211 our results. Note that although our selections are based on the APEEP ionization levels, 212 using the geomagnetic Ap index (which drives both APEEP and AE in WACCM) instead 213 would lead to very similar year groups. As an indicator of statistical robustness, we have 214 included the 90% and 95% confidence levels in the figures. These were calculated using 215 Student's t-test. However, as pointed out e.g. by Ambaum [2010], this is not a quantitative 216 test of significance of our results: a low confidence level does not necessarily imply that 217 the results have no physical meaning. 218

219 3 Results

220

3.1 MEE direct effects in the mesosphere

The monthly mean impact of the APEEP ionization on SH polar mesospheric HO_x (OH + HO₂), NO_x (NO + NO₂) and O₃ is shown in Figure 2 (VMR, volume mixing ratio) and Figure 3 (corresponding %-changes). In Figure 3, the relative difference is expressed in percents of the REF_CMIP5 VMR. Both figures show results that were averaged zonally, and over the magnetic latitudes 60–90°S. The results are shown as functions of time (month) and altitude.

For each species, the month-altitude impact patterns are similar for the three sets 227 of years, while the magnitude of the response, and the extent of the 90% and 95% confi-228 dence regions clearly depend on the level of APEEP ionization and the number of years 229 included in the sets. As expected, these confidence regions are most extended for CASE 1, 230 which includes the largest number of years. For all the species, the magnitude of the re-231 sponse is largest for the high APEEP ionization years (CASE 2) and smallest for the low 232 APEEP ionization years (CASE 3), as expected. In CASE 3, there is a clearly different 233 NO_x response above 80 km during the summer months (Figure 2, mid-right panel). How-234 ever, this response is in the region of lesser statistical robustness and thus could be caused 235 by background variability. 236

For the high APEEP ionization years (CASE 2), HO_x enhancements of up to 0.6 ppbv (increase of 20% from REF_CMIP5) are seen during May–July at altitudes between 65

-8-

and 85 km. When considering CASE 1 (all years) and CASE 3 (low APEEP ionization 239 years), the VMR response is smaller than for CASE 2 but the magnitude of the changes 240 produced still exceeds 10%. Outside of these months, the HO_x increases between 60 and 241 90 km, where the largest concentrations of HO_x are observed in general, are very small. 242 At altitudes <60 km and >90 km, where the HO_x background is very small, MEE results 243 in a small reduction. Note that above 90 km there would be an HO_x increase, rather than 244 decrease, if we also included atomic hydrogen in HO_x (not shown). Thus, the decrease 245 seen in our plots at these altitudes indicates a change in HO_x partitioning towards H, 246 caused by the extra production of atomic oxygen by MEE and reactions such as O + OH 247 \rightarrow O₂ + H. 248

For NO_x, the APEEP-driven VMR increase peaks at 80-100 km, where it is seen 249 throughout all seasons. This is consistent with the APEEP ionization typically peaking 250 around 90 km [van de Kamp et al., 2016]. For the years of high APEEP ionization (CASE 2), 251 the VMR response reaches 200 ppbv in June–July and is smallest in December–January 252 (20-50 ppbv). At lower altitudes, there is a clear seasonal cycle with a 20-30 % increase 253 down to stratopause level focused on winter months when NO_x is descending inside the 254 polar vortex. Above 100 km, NO_x decreases but relatively this effect is very small and not 255 statistically significant. These effects are similar for the other sets of years, albeit smaller 256 in magnitude especially for low APEEP ionization (CASE 3). 257

As seen in Figure 3, the NO_x percentage response patterns are quite different from those of VMR shown in Figure 2. The relative increase is largest during the summer due to the lower natural NO_x background values, exceeding 200% for CASE 2. During midwinter, when NO_x is already enhanced due to AE and descent, the APEEP ionization leads to an increase of over 20% in the average mesospheric NO_x.

For O_3 , the VMR response pattern below 85 km is similar to that of HO_x inverted 263 (so that high HO_x correlates with low O_3), but shifted to lower altitudes and covering a 264 wider range of altitudes. From March to September, ozone decreases at 60–80 km by up 265 to 0.2–0.3 ppmv depending on the CASE, with strongest and most extended response seen 266 for years of high APEEP ionization (CASE 2). Around its secondary maximum (at 90-267 100 km), ozone has a response which during spring and autumn months reaches magni-268 tudes similar to those seen at lower altitudes. However, in the context of total O_x (O + 269 O_3) the magnitude of the effect is small because at these altitudes atomic oxygen concen-270

tration is several orders of magnitude larger than that of ozone. In fact, if we plotted O_x 271 instead of ozone, we would see an increase rather than a decrease at the secondary maxi-272 mum. This is caused by extra atomic oxygen production by MEE. Thus the decrease seen 273 in ozone indicates a change in the O_x partitioning towards O. In percentage, the meso-274 spheric O_3 response is seen during all but the mid summer months and it is strongest 275 in spring and autumn periods, varying between 10% and 30% in February–October in 276 CASE 2. The equinox pattern does not coincide with the HO_x increase, indicating that 277 the NO_x enhancements could have an additional effect on HO_x partitioning and ozone de-278 pletion [Verronen and Lehmann, 2015] and could modulate the formation of the tertiary 279 ozone maximum [Sofieva et al., 2009]. On the other hand, during mid winter the polar 280 night covers a larger area over the polar cap. Thus the effect of ozone-depleting catalytic 281 cycles, which depend on solar illumination, should be diminished leading to a smaller 282 MEE response. The percentage difference is also affected by the background amount of 283 ozone which is generally higher during winter and results in a smaller relative response. 284

Although not shown, the magnitude of the NH response of mesospheric HO_x and 285 ozone is very similar to that presented for the SH. For NO_x , the maximum wintertime en-286 hancement is somewhat smaller and less pronounced than in the SH, which corresponds to 287 larger dynamical variability in the NH, including the more frequent occurrence of Sudden 288 Stratospheric Warming events [Päivärinta et al., 2013]. For all the species, the month-289 altitude response patterns in the NH are very similar to those in the SH, except that the 290 maximum percentage change in ozone peaks in the mid winter instead of the autumn 291 months, possibly an indication of the earlier formation of the polar vortex in the SH. 292

293

3.2 MEE indirect effects in the stratosphere

Figure 4 shows the monthly mean APEEP impact on NO_x and O_3 in the SH polar 294 stratosphere and lower mesosphere (15-65 km) as %-change (like Figure 3, but lower al-295 titude range). The electron energy range used in the APEEP ionization model provides 296 direct forcing only at altitudes above 60 km, so the stratospheric response is entirely due 297 to a) transport of APEEP-NO_x from above, b) chemical-dynamical coupling, or c) combi-298 nation of a and b. A tongue-like structure of excess APEEP-NO_x descends from the lower 299 mesosphere starting in autumn, causing an ozone decrease in the stratosphere. The mag-300 nitude of the response is largest for the years of high APEEP ionization (CASE 2) and 301 smallest for the low APEEP years (CASE 3). Because there is no direct MEE effect in the 302

stratosphere, the early winter increase around 30 km must be related to descending NO_x , some of which remains over the summer months. Note that a similar early winter EPP effect also appears to be present in NO_x experimental observations [*Funke et al.*, 2014a, Figure 9].

The descending APEEP-NO_x reaches altitudes as low as 30 km by November with 307 the maximum increase being 10-20% depending on the CASE. Corresponding ozone 308 decreases of 5-8% are seen at altitudes between 30-50 km in all CASES. For CASE 1 309 and 2, part of the stratospheric ozone response (a decrease) is within the 90-95 % sig-310 nificance region. In CASE 3, none of the ozone response below 50 km is statistically ro-311 bust, which may indicate a larger variation in percentages for CASE 3, probably due to 312 the lower average background ionization in this case. Nevertheless, stratospheric NO_x and 313 ozone are affected in years of low APEEP ionization even though the direct APEEP forc-314 ing is restricted to altitudes above 60 km. Above 55 km, the direct effect of the ozone 315 response (see previous section) is influenced by both HO_x and NO_x increases. 316

To consider the robustness of the ozone response in the middle atmosphere, Figure 5 shows a statistical analysis of the wintertime APEEP impact on ozone, both in VMR and percentages, as a function of altitude. The responses were averaged over SH polar latitudes of 60–90°, and over the months of June to August in the ensembles. The month selection covers the period of strongest, most robust ozone response in the stratosphere (as seen in Figure 4). The graphs also include the standard error of the mean (SEM) of the difference, calculated as

$$SEM = \sqrt{\frac{STD_1^2 + STD_2^2}{n}} \tag{1}$$

where STD_1 and STD_2 are yearly standard deviations of the MEE_CMIP5 and REF_CMIP5 simulations, respectively, and *n* is the number of years.

At mesospheric altitudes, ozone loss is connected directly to APEEP ionization and the resulting HO_x increase, and this response is generally very robust. This is demonstrated through the SEM being clearly smaller than the magnitude of the response. In the stratosphere, the decrease in ozone is caused by the descent of APEEP-NO_x and is strongly affected by dynamical variability. At 30–50 km, the SEM becomes comparable to the magnitude of the response. The SEM increases with decreasing number of included years, thus the ozone response is clearly most robust for CASE 1 which includes all years.

-11-

For years of high and low APEEP ionization, the response exceeds the SEM above 40 km and at 30–40 km, respectively.

335

3.3 Decadal variability due to EPP in mesosphere and stratosphere

In this section, we will investigate the variability of HO_x , NO_x , and ozone by analyzing the differences between the responses for high and low EPP ionization winters as listed in Table 2. Figures 6 and 7 present the wintertime HO_x and ozone variability at altitudes between 70 and 80 km for the NH and the SH, respectively.

Results from MEE_CMIP5 (panels a and c) show clear differences between high 340 EPP and low EPP winters in both hemispheres. At geomagnetic latitudes directly affected 341 by radiation belt electrons (55–72°), there is up to 15% more HO_x in high EPP winters 342 (panel a). The zonal asymmetry seen in the HO_x distribution is caused by different illumi-343 nation conditions over the affected geomagnetic latitudes, i.e. at lower geographic latitudes 344 the higher level of solar-driven water vapor photodissociation leads to higher amounts of 345 background HO_x and smaller EPP response in relative terms. The strongest ozone vari-346 ation coincides with the largest HO_x variation, with ozone decreases of about 8% in the 347 NH and 10% in the SH. 348

On the other hand, the results from REF_CMIP5 (panels b and d), which does not 349 include direct APEEP ionization in the mesosphere, are clearly different. Here, the NH 350 HO_x and ozone generally lack a clear correlation pattern. In the SH in the REF_CMIP5, 351 around 10% increase in HO_x is seen at high geomagnetic latitudes, higher than the outer 352 radiation belt latitudes (panel 7b), during high EPP winters. This is likely caused by a 353 combination of production due to SPEs and changes in HO_x partitioning due to increased 354 NO_x [Verronen and Lehmann, 2015]. In this case the corresponding ozone decrease is less 355 than 5% and is outside of the 90% confidence limit (panel 7d). 356

Figures 8 and 9 present the NO_x and ozone variability (%) in the stratosphere–lower mesosphere at high polar latitudes in the NH (\geq 70°) and SH (\geq 60°), respectively. In the NH, a smaller latitude range was used because the area of the polar vortex (which we wanted to cover in wintertime) is typically smaller there than in the SH. Note, however, that the results for 70–90°N (shown in Figure 8) are very similar to those for 60–90°N (not shown). Both Figures 8 and 9 display the full 12 month progression, with winter months placed in the middle of the x-axis to ease comparison.

In the NH (Figure 8) the dynamical variability is much stronger than in the SH and 364 includes sudden stratospheric warmings [Päivärinta et al., 2013]. As a result the response 365 to MEE is less pronounced than in the SH (Figure 9) [Funke et al., 2014a,b]. Although 366 individual winters may show strong NO_x descent, the signal becomes less clear when av-367 eraged over decadal time scales, even when APEEP ionization is included. As a result of 368 the dominating dynamical variability in the NH the timing of the descent can also vary 369 from year-to-year much more than in the SH, which easily leads to smearing of the signal 370 when averaging. We note that the early winter NO_x enhancement signal in both experi-371 ments is due to the so-called Halloween SPEs in 2003. 372

In the SH (Figure 9), the NO_x difference between high and low EPP winters is clear 373 in both MEE CMIP5 and REF CMIP5 simulations. The difference shows a pattern of de-374 scending NO_x from early winter (April) to early summer (December) with and without the 375 APEEP ionization. The inclusion of the APEEP ionization significantly adds to this NO_x 376 variability - the highest variability goes from 50% to 70%. For the MEE_CMIP5 results 377 in Figure 9a, the NO_x increase during High EPP forcing at 30–50 km is between 40–70%. 378 The corresponding REF_CMIP5 signature (Figure 9b), which is due to the descent of AE-379 produced NO_x, is between 30% and 50%. 380

Stratospheric ozone loss coincides with the NO_x descent in both Figures 9c and 9d. 381 During high EPP and from early winter (April) to early summer (December), there is up 382 to 7% and 2% less ozone at 25–50 km with and without APEEP, respectively. Although 383 the response patterns are similar, in the MEE_CMIP5 results the effect is much stronger 384 and statistically significant. As a clear pattern in both simulations, the ozone depletion 385 persists throughout the summer, descending in altitude and decreasing in magnitude with 386 time, with final remnants seen until early next winter at about 25 km. The fact that the 387 late summer signal seems to be more robust in the REF_CMIP5 simulation could be sim-388 ply caused by internal model variability. The increase of ozone peaking at about 30 km in 389 August-October, is caused by the enhanced NO_x converting active chlorine and bromine 390 to their reservoir species, which leads to less ozone loss by catalytic reactions [Jackman 391 et al., 2009]. 392

³⁹³ When considering the difference between high and low EPP years in the MEE_CMIP5 ³⁹⁴ simulation, in the mesosphere the HO_x and ozone signal is strong and only weakly depen-³⁹⁵ dent on the number of years included in the analysis (not shown). By using stricter selec-

-13-

tion criteria, leading to a smaller number of winters with larger differences in EPP forcing, 396 the HO_x and ozone response gets consistently stronger for the latitudes affected by outer 397 radiation belt electrons. However, this is not the case when considering the stratospheric 398 difference. The selection criteria are much more a critical issue, e.g., as reducing the num-399 ber of years results in an ozone response which is not necessarily stronger but quickly be-400 comes statistically less robust (i.e. does not reach the 95% confidence level). For example, 401 this happens in the SH when the total number of years is reduced from 50 to about 30. 402 This indicates that a time series of considerable length, extending over several decades, is 403 needed to robustly identify the signal. 404

In our analysis, we are implicitly assuming the 147 individual years as samples of 405 the same population. If the response is not invariant over the timeseries, it would add to 406 the variance and lead to an underestimate of the statistical significance of the response to 407 MEE and EPP in general. And if there are any large trends, we could be overestimating 408 the background variability, which would in fact make the response harder to detect. The 409 fact that we still see a statistically significant response implies that the signal is probably 410 stronger and more robust rather than the other way around. It also shows that the signal 411 could be detectable in a real, observational timeseries rather than in an idealized constant 412 forcing scenario, for example. 413

414 **4 Discussion**

Our results can be compared to previous studies although it should be carefully noted that these typically consider only a portion of our 147-year (3×49 year ensemble) time series due to, e.g., limited availability of experimental data and/or forcing data for atmospheric simulations. Overall, there is a qualitative agreement with previous simulation studies and satellite-based observations which suggested a clear EPP-driven impact and an important role for MEE in the polar middle atmosphere.

Our results on the APEEP ionization impact on mesospheric HO_x and O_3 are in very good agreement with satellite observations. The magnitude of our simulated HO_x responses (0.3–0.6 ppmv) as well as their spatial distributions are similar to the results based on satellite data analysis [*Andersson et al.*, 2014b; *Zawedde et al.*, 2016]. Also the magnitude of our simulated mesospheric ozone variability over decadal time scales agrees well with observations [*Andersson et al.*, 2014a]. This seems to indicate that the level of

-14-

the APEEP forcing, which directly affects the mesosphere in our simulations, is reasonable
- at least in the middle and upper mesosphere where the APEEP ionization peaks.

In the SH upper stratosphere we found an EPP-driven decadal variability of up to 429 70% in NO_x and up to 7% in ozone. The magnitude of the ozone response is within but 430 at lower end of the 5–15% range of response obtained from satellite data analysis [Fyt-431 terer et al., 2015; Damiani et al., 2016] and the 3-20% range from previous simulations 432 [Baumgaertner et al., 2011; Semeniuk et al., 2011; Rozanov et al., 2012]. Compared to 433 previous work our study uses fully time-dependent EPP forcing and provides the longest 434 analyzed time series so far, extending almost five solar cycles, giving us better statistical 435 robustness and allowing for more general conclusions. 436

The MEE ionization, which directly affects the polar mesosphere, has been a major 437 source of uncertainty in the EPP forcing used in earlier simulations. As our results now 438 indicate, simulations using the APEEP model generally agree better with the observed 439 ozone response, in both the mesosphere and the stratosphere. As the comparison to the 440 earlier CMIP5 simulations (without MEE) shows, the decadal polar ozone response de-441 pends very much on MEE, and any analysis based on those CMIP5 simulations will sig-442 nificantly underestimate the EPP signal. In the forthcoming CMIP6 simulations, it is likely 443 that the situation will drastically improve as the APEEP model is part of the official solar 444 forcing recommendation. 445

The amount of the descending EPP-NO_x is clearly important for the magnitude of 446 the stratospheric ozone response. In WACCM, underestimation of polar mesospheric NO_x 447 has been reported, likely caused by some combination of missing *in-situ* production by 448 EPP and also weak transport of NO_x from the lower thermosphere [*Randall et al.*, 2015]. 449 Further model development is needed to better simulate dynamically perturbed winters 450 and improve the mesosphere-to-stratosphere descent in high-top models such as WACCM 451 [Funke et al., 2017]. MEE is included in our simulations through the APEEP model. This 452 work is therefore a significant contribution towards understanding the importance of the 453 missing MEE. It is likely that the production and transport of lower thermospheric NO_x 454 is the primary remaining issue leading to any NO_x underestimation. It should be noted 455 that in the WACCM simulations of Randall et al. [2015] and Funke et al. [2017] the model 456 dynamics were nudged to the MERRA reanalysis data, and these studies considered just 457 two individual, highly-disturbed NH winters. Therefore, as we are using WACCM with 458

free-running dynamics and consider a time series of 147 years for both hemispheres, those 459 previously reported NO_x issues should not be critically affecting our results. Additional 460 adjustment of EPP-NO_x may also be achieved by including the lower ionospheric (D-461 region) chemistry which is shown to increase the production in the mesosphere [Anders-462 son et al., 2016]. One might also consider the inclusion of relativistic electron precipita-463 tion (>1 MeV) which would be expected to directly impact stratopause altitudes. Finally, 464 enhanced eddy diffusion in the mesosphere-lower thermosphere region would increase 465 the transport of auroral NO_x into the mesosphere and below, which seems to yield bet-466 ter agreement with observations [Meraner and Schmidt, 2016][Matthes et al., 2017, Fig-467 ure 13]. 468

469 **5** Conclusions

Here we have introduced long-term MEE forcing to the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (CESM/WACCM). We simulated EPP-driven variability, including the new MEE forcing, in polar ozone over a period of 147 years (3-member ensemble of 479 49-year simulations). The results were compared with those from the CMIP5 climate simulations in order to study the contribution of the additional MEE forcing. The main results can be summarized as follows.

476	•	EPP-driven variability in mesospheric HO_x and ozone is clear in both hemispheres:
477		the ozone difference between high and low EPP winters varies from 8% to 10% at
478		70-80 km (less ozone when EPP is high).
479	•	Stratospheric ozone response is distinct in the SH: EPP-driven ozone variability of
480		2-7% is seen down to about 25-35 km.
481	•	The contribution of MEE is very important to the total EPP-driven response. In
482		the mesosphere, there is either a a small or no clear response in HO_x and ozone
483		without the inclusion of direct ionization by MEE. In the stratosphere, inclusion of
484		MEE enhances the response in NO_x and ozone by a factor of about two.
485	•	Our study indicates that in order to assess the indirect EPP effect in the strato-
486		sphere in a robust way, multi-decadal simulations are needed to overcome the levels
487		of dynamical variability in the model.

488 Acknowledgments

489	The work of M.E.A., P.T.V., A.S., SM.P., N.K. and M.v.d.K. was supported by the Academy
490	of Finland through the projects #276926 (SECTIC: Sun-Earth Connection Through Ion
491	Chemistry), #258165, and #265005 (CLASP: Climate and Solar Particle Forcing). D.R.M.
492	was supported in part by NASA grant NNX12AD04G. M.A.C. was supported by the Nat-
493	ural Environment Research Council. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is
494	operated by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research under sponsorship of the
495	National Science Foundation. All model data used are available from the corresponding
496	author by request. CESM source code is distributed through a public subversion repository
497	(http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm1.0/).

498 References

M II D (2010) C:

499	Ambaum, M. H. P. (2010), Significance tests in climate science, J. Climate, 23(22), 5927–
500	5932, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3746.1.
501	Andersson, M. E., P. T. Verronen, S. Wang, C. J. Rodger, M. A. Clilverd, and B. Carson
502	(2012), Precipitating radiation belt electrons and enhancements of mesospheric hydroxyl
503	during 2004-2009, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D09,304, doi:10.1029/2011JD017246.

. .

1.

.

(22(22) 5025

⁵⁰⁴ Andersson, M. E., P. T. Verronen, C. J. Rodger, M. A. Clilverd, and A. Seppälä (2014a),

⁵⁰⁵ Missing driver in the Sun-Earth connection from energetic electron precipitation im-

pacts mesospheric ozone, *Nature Commun.*, 5(5197), doi:10.1038/ncomms6197.

⁵⁰⁷ Andersson, M. E., P. T. Verronen, C. J. Rodger, M. A. Clilverd, and S. Wang (2014b),

Longitudinal hotspots in the mesospheric OH variations due to energetic electron pre-

⁵⁰⁹ cipitation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *14*, 1095–1105, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1095-2014.

Andersson, M. E., P. T. Verronen, D. R. Marsh, S.-M. Päivärinta, and J. M. C. Plane

511 (2016), WACCM-D – Improved modeling of nitric acid and active chlorine during

energetic particle precipitation, J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 121, 10,328–10,341, doi:
 10.1002/2015JD024173.

Arsenovic, P., E. Rozanov, A. Stenke, B. Funke, J. M. Wissing, K. Mursula, F. Tummon, and T. Peter (2016), The influence of middle range energy electrons on

atmospheric chemistry and regional climate, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys., doi:

- ⁵¹⁷ 10.1016/j.jastp.2016.04.008.
- ⁵¹⁸ Baumgaertner, A. J. G., A. Seppälä, P. Jöckel, and M. A. Clilverd (2011), Geomagnetic
- activity related NO_x enhancements and polar surface air temperature variability in

a chemistry climate model: modulation of the NAM index, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,

⁵²¹ 4521–4531, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4521-2011.

- ⁵²² Callis, L. B., and J. D. Lambeth (1998), NO_y formed by precipitating electron events in
 ⁵²³ 1991 and 1992: Descent into the stratosphere as observed by ISAMS, *Geophys. Res.*
- ⁵²⁴ Lett., 25, 1875–1878, doi:10.1029/98GL01219.
- ⁵²⁵ Codrescu, M. V., T. J. Fuller-Rowell, R. G. Roble, and D. S. Evans (1997), Medium en-
- ergy particle precipitation influences on the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, J.
- 527 Geophys. Res., 102, 19,977–19,988.
- Damiani, A., B. Funke, M. L. Santee, R. R. Cordero, and S. Watanabe (2016), Energetic
 particle precipitation: A major driver of the ozone budget in the Antarctic upper strato sphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 3554–3562, doi:10.1002/2016GL068279.
- ⁵³¹ Funke, B., A. Baumgaertner, M. Calisto, T. Egorova, C. H. Jackman, J. Kieser, A. Krivo-
- ⁵³² lutsky, M. López-Puertas, D. R. Marsh, T. Reddmann, E. Rozanov, S.-M. Salmi,
- M. Sinnhuber, G. P. Stiller, P. T. Verronen, S. Versick, T. von Clarmann, T. Y.
- ⁵³⁴ Vyushkova, N. Wieters, and J. M. Wissing (2011), Composition changes after the "Hal-
- ⁵³⁵ loween" solar proton event: the High-Energy Particle Precipitation in the Atmosphere
- (HEPPA) model versus MIPAS data intercomparison study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,
- ⁵³⁷ 9089–9139, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9089-2011.
- ⁵³⁸ Funke, B., M. López-Puertas, G. P. Stiller, and T. von Clarmann (2014a), Mesospheric
- and stratospheric NO_y produced by energetic particle precipitation during 2002–2012, J.

540 Geophys. Res., 119, 4429–4446, doi:10.1002/2013JD021404.

- Funke, B., M. López-Puertas, L. Holt, C. E. Randall, G. P. Stiller, and T. von Clarmann
 (2014b), Hemispheric distributions and interannual variability of NO_y produced by en ergetic particle precipitation in 2002–2012, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *119*, 13,565–13,582, doi:
 10.1002/2014JD022423.
- ⁵⁴⁵ Funke, B., W. Ball, S. Bender, A. Gardini, V. L. Harvey, A. Lambert, M. López-Puertas,
- D. R. Marsh, K. Meraner, H. Nieder, S.-M. Päivärinta, K. Pérot, C. E. Randall, T. Red-
- ⁵⁴⁷ dmann, E. Rozanov, H. Schmidt, A. Seppälä, M. Sinnhuber, T. Sukhodolov, G. P.
- 548 Stiller, N. D. Tsvetkova, P. T. Verronen, S. Versick, T. von Clarmann, K. A. Walker,
- and V. Yushkov (2017), HEPPA-II model-measurement intercomparison project: EPP
- indirect effects during the dynamically perturbed NH winter 2008–2009, Atmos. Chem.
- ⁵⁵¹ *Phys.*, *17*, 3573–3604, doi:10.5194/acp-17-3573-2017.

- Fytterer, T., M. G. Mlynczak, H. Nieder, K. Pérot, M. Sinnhuber, G. Stiller, and J. Urban 552 (2015), Energetic particle induced intra-seasonal variability of ozone inside the Antarc-553 tic polar vortex observed in satellite data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(6), 3327-3338, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-3327-2015. 555 Gray, L. J., J. Beer, M. Geller, J. D. Haigh, M. Lockwood, K. Matthes, U. Cubasch, 556 D. Fleitmann, G. Harrison, L. Hood, J. Luterbacher, G. A. Meehl, D. Shindell, B. van 557 Geel, and W. White1 (2010), Solar influences on climate, Rev. Geophys., 48, RG4001, 558 doi:10.1029/2009RG000282. 559 Horne, R. B., M. M. Lam, and J. C. Green (2009), Energetic electron precipitation from 560 the outer radiation belt during geomagnetic storms, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L19,104, 561 doi:10.1029/2009GL040236. 562 Jackman, C. H., R. D. McPeters, G. J. Labow, E. L. Fleming, C. J. Praderas, and J. M. 563 Russel (2001), Northern hemisphere atmospheric effects due to the July 2000 solar pro-564 ton events, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 2883-2886. 565 Jackman, C. H., M. T. DeLand, G. J. Labow, E. L. Fleming, D. K. Weisenstein, M. K. W. 566
 - Ko, M. Sinnhuber, and J. M. Russell (2005), Neutral atmospheric influences of the 567 solar proton events in October-November 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A09S27, doi: 568
 - 10.1029/2004JA010888. 569

573

- Jackman, C. H., D. R. Marsh, F. M. Vitt, R. R. Garcia, E. L. Fleming, G. J. Labow, C. E. 570
- Randall, M. López-Puertas, B. Funke, T. von Clarmann, and G. P. Stiller (2008), Short-571
- and medium-term atmospheric constituent effects of very large solar proton events, At-572 mos. Chem. Phys., 8, 765-785, doi:10.5194/acp-8-765-2008.
- Jackman, C. H., D. R. Marsh, F. M. Vitt, R. R. Garcia, C. E. Randall, E. L. Fleming, and 574 S. M. Frith (2009), Long-term middle atmospheric influence of very large solar proton 575

```
events, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D11,304, doi:10.1029/2008JD011415.
576
```

- Langematz, U., J. L. Grenfell, K. Matthes, P. M. nad M. Kunze, B. Steil, and C. Brühl 577
- (2005), Chemical effects in 11-year solar cycle simulations with the Freie Univer-578
- sität Berlin Climate Middle Atmosphere Model with online chemistry (FUB-CMAM-579
- CHEM), Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L13,803, doi:10.1029/2005GL022686. 580
- Lu, H., M. A. Clilverd, A. Seppälä, and L. L. Hood (2008), Geomagnetic perturbations 581
- on stratospheric circulation in late winter and spring, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16,106, 582
- doi:10.1029/2007JD008915. 583

584	Marsh, D. R., R. R. Garcia, D. E. Kinnison, B. A. Boville, F. Sassi, S. C. Solomon, and
585	K. Matthes (2007), Modeling the whole atmosphere response to solar cycle changes in
586	radiative and geomagnetic forcing, J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 112(D11), D23,306, doi:
587	10.1029/2006JD008306.
588	Marsh, D. R., M. Mills, D. Kinnison, JF. Lamarque, N. Calvo, and L. Polvani (2013),
589	Climate change from 1850 to 2005 simulated in CESM1(WACCM), J. Climate, 26(19),
590	7372–7391, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00558.1.
591	Matthes, K., B. Funke, M. E. Andersson, L. Barnard, J. Beer, P. Charbonneau, M. A. Clil-
592	verd, T. Dudok de Wit, M. Haberreiter, A. Hendry, C. H. Jackman, M. Kretschmar,
593	T. Kruschke, M. Kunze, U. Langematz, D. R. Marsh, A. Maycock, S. Misios,
594	C. J. Rodger, A. A. Scaife, A. Seppälä, M. Shangguan, M. Sinnhuber, K. Tourpali,
595	I. Usoskin, M. van de Kamp, P. T. Verronen, and S. Versick (2017), Solar forcing for
596	CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2247-2302, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017.
597	Meraner, K., and H. Schmidt (2016), Transport of nitrogen oxides through the
598	winter mesopause in HAMMONIA, J. Geophys. Res., 121(6), 2556-2570, doi:
599	10.1002/2015JD024136.
600	Päivärinta, SM., A. Seppälä, M. E. Andersson, P. T. Verronen, L. Thölix, and E. Kyrölä
601	(2013), Observed effects of solar proton events and sudden stratospheric warmings on
602	odd nitrogen and ozone in the polar middle atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 118,
603	6837–6848, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50486.
604	Porter, H. S., C. H. Jackman, and A. E. S. Green (1976), Efficiencies for production of
605	atomic nitrogen and oxygen by relativistic proton impact in air, J. Chem. Phys., 65,
606	154–167.
607	Randall, C. E., D. W. Rusch, R. M. Bevilacqua, K. W. Hoppel, and J. D. Lumpe (1998),
608	Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) II stratospheric NO ₂ , 1993–1996, J.
609	Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 103(D21), 28,361-28,371, doi:10.1029/98JD02092.
610	Randall, C. E., V. L. Harvey, G. L. Manney, Y. Orsolini, M. Codrescu, C. Sioris, S. Bro-
611	hede, C. S. Haley, L. L. Gordley, J. M. Zawodny, and J. M. Russell (2005), Strato-
612	spheric effects of energetic particle precipitation in 2003-2004, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32,
613	L05,802, doi:10.1029/2004GL022003.
614	Randall, C. E., V. L. Harvey, D. E. Siskind, J. France, P. F. Bernath, C. D. Boone, and
615	K. A. Walker (2009), NO_x descent in the Arctic middle atmosphere in early 2009, Geo-

⁶¹⁶ phys. Res. Lett., 36, L18,811, doi:10.1029/2009GL039706.

617	Randall, C. E., V. L. Harvey, L. A. Holt, D. R. Marsh, D. Kinnison, B. Funke, and
618	P. F. Bernath (2015), Simulation of energetic particle precipitation effects during
619	the 2003–2004 Arctic winter, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 120, 5035–5048, doi:
620	10.1002/2015JA021196.
621	Rodger, C. J., M. A. Clilverd, J. C. Green, and M. M. Lam (2010a), Use of POES
622	SEM-2 observations to examine radiation belt dynamics and energetic electron pre-
623	cipitation into the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 115, A04,202, doi:
624	10.1029/2008JA014023.
625	Rodger, C. J., A. J. Kavanagh, M. A. Clilverd, and S. R. Marple (2013), Comparison be-
626	tween POES energetic electron precipitation observations and riometer absorptions: Im-
627	plications for determining true precipitation fluxes, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 118,
628	7810-7821, doi:10.1002/2013JA019439.
629	Rozanov, E., L. Callis, M. Schlesinger, F. Yang, N. Andronova, and V. Zubov (2005), At-
630	mospheric response to NO_y source due to energetic electron precipitation, <i>Geophys. Res.</i>
631	Lett., 32, L14,811, doi:10.1029/2005GL023041.
632	Rozanov, E., M. Calisto, T. Egorova, T. Peter, and W. Schmutz (2012), The influence of
633	precipitating energetic particles on atmospheric chemistry and climate, Surveys in Geo-
634	phys., 33, 483-501, doi:10.1007/s10712-012-9192-0.
635	Semeniuk, K., V. I. Fomichev, J. C. McConnell, C. Fu, S. M. L. Melo, and I. G. Usoskin
636	(2011), Middle atmosphere response to the solar cycle in irradiance and ionizing parti-
637	cle precipitation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5045-5077, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5045-2011.
638	Seppälä, A., and M. A. Clilverd (2014), Energetic particle forcing of the northern hemi-
639	sphere winter stratosphere: Comparison to solar irradiance forcing., Front. Physics,
640	2:25, doi:10.3389/fphy.2014.00025.
641	Seppälä, A., P. T. Verronen, M. A. Clilverd, C. E. Randall, J. Tamminen, V. F. Sofieva,
642	L. Backman, and E. Kyrölä (2007), Arctic and Antarctic polar winter NO_x and en-
643	ergetic particle precipitation in 2002-2006, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L12,810, doi:
644	10.1029/2007GL029733.
645	Seppälä, A., C. E. Randall, M. A. Clilverd, E. Rozanov, and C. J. Rodger (2009), Geo-
646	magnetic activity and polar surface air temperature variability, J. Geophys. Res., 114,
647	A10,312, doi:10.1029/2008JA014029.
648	Seppälä, A., H. Lu, M. A. Clilverd, , and C. J. Rodger (2013), Geomagnetic activity sig-

natures in wintertime stratosphere wind, temperature, and wave response, *J. Geophys.*

Res., 118, 2169-2183, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50236. 650 Seppälä, A., K. Matthes, C. E. Randall, and I. A. Mironova (2014), What is the solar in-651 fluence on climate? Overview of activities during CAWSES-II, Prog. Earth Planet. Sci., 652 1:24, doi:10.1186/s40645-014-0024-3. 653 Sinnhuber, B.-M., P. von der Gathen, M. Sinnhuber, M. Rex, G. König-Langlo, and S. J. 654 Oltmans (2006), Large decadal scale changes of polar ozone suggest solar influence, 655 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1835-1841. 656 Siskind, D. E., G. E. Nedoluha, C. E. Randall, M. Fromm, and J. M. Russell III (2000), 657 An assessment of Southern Hemisphere stratospheric NO_x enhancements due to trans-658 port from the upper atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(3), 329-332. 659 Sofieva, V. F., E. Kyrölä, P. T. Verronen, A. Seppälä, J. Tamminen, D. R. Marsh, A. K. 660 Smith, J.-L. Bertaux, A. Hauchecorne, F. Dalaudier, D. Fussen, F. Vanhellemont, 661 O. Fanton d'Andon, G. Barrot, M. Guirlet, T. Fehr, and L. Saavedra (2009), Spatio-662 temporal observations of the tertiary ozone maximum, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4439-663 4445, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4439-2009. Solomon, S., D. W. Rusch, J.-C. Gérard, G. C. Reid, and P. J. Crutzen (1981), The effect 665 of particle precipitation events on the neutral and ion chemistry of the middle atmo-666 sphere: II. Odd hydrogen, Planet. Space Sci., 8, 885-893. 667 van de Kamp, M., A. Seppälä, M. A. Clilverd, C. J. Rodger, P. T. Verronen, and I. C. 668 Whittaker (2016), A model providing long-term datasets of energetic electron precipi-669 tation during geomagnetic storms, J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 121, 12,520-12,540, doi: 670 10.1002/2015JD024212. 671 Verronen, P. T., and R. Lehmann (2015), Enhancement of odd nitrogen modifies meso-672 spheric ozone chemistry during polar winter, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 10,445-10,452, 673 doi:10.1002/2015GL066703. 674 Verronen, P. T., A. Seppälä, E. Kyrölä, J. Tamminen, H. M. Pickett, and E. Turunen 675 (2006), Production of odd hydrogen in the mesosphere during the January 2005 solar 676 proton event, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L24,811, doi:10.1029/2006GL028115. 677 Verronen, P. T., C. J. Rodger, M. A. Clilverd, and S. Wang (2011), First evidence of 678 mesospheric hydroxyl response to electron precipitation from the radiation belts, J. Geo-679 phys. Res., 116, D07,307, doi:10.1029/2010JD014965. 680 Verronen, P. T., M. E. Andersson, C. J. Rodger, M. A. Clilverd, S. Wang, and E. Turunen 681 (2013), Comparison of modeled and observed effects of radiation belt electron precip-682

- itation on mesospheric hydroxyl and ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 11,419–11,428, doi:
- 684 10.1002/jgrd.50845.
- Zawedde, A. E., H. N. Tyssøy, R. Hibbins, P. J. Espy, L.-K. G. Ødegaard, M. I. Sandan-
- ger, and J. Stadsnes (2016), The impact of energetic electron precipitation on meso-
- spheric hydroxyl during a year of solar minimum, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 121,
- ⁶⁸⁸ 5914–5929, doi:10.1002/2016JA022371.

Table 1. Selected sets of years for the analysis of the impact due to the APEEP ionization. The selection criteria for CASE 2 and 3 are based on the annual mean ionization rate at \approx 77 km altitude (1.7898 × 10⁻² hPa). This produces two groups of years that are roughly the same size but have a clear separation in average ionization rate levels.

	Ionization rate			
Set	selection criteria	Years	# years	
	[ion pairs cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹ @77km]			
CASE 1	_	All years: 1957-2005	147	
CASE 2	annual mean > 75	1957-60, 1974, 1982-84, 1989, 1991, 1994, 2003	36	
CASE 3	annual mean < 40	1964-66, 1969-71, 1980, 1987, 1996-98	33	

Table 2. Selected sets of high and low EPP years for the analysis of EPP-driven variability in mesosphere

and stratosphere. The selection limits are set at the median of the APEEP ionization at \approx 77 km altitude

 $(1.7898 \times 10^{-2} \text{ hPa})$ over winter season ± 10 ion pairs/cm³/s, separately for the two hemispheres. For the NH,

the years listed correspond to the year of the December e.g. DJF 1974 = December 1974 – February 1975.

697	The number of	years is the total	from all ensemble	members, i.e. three	times the numb	per of years listed.
		2		,		2

	Ionization rate			
Set	selection criteria	Years	# years	
	[ion pairs $cm^{-3}s^{-1}@77km$]			
High NH	DJF > 55	1957-60, 1972, 1974, 1981-82, 1984-85, 1988-89, 1991-93, 2003, 2004	51	
High SH	JJA > 50	1957-61, 1974, 1978, 1981-84, 1989-91, 2000, 2003	48	
Low NH	DJF < 35	1961, 1964-65, 1968-71, 1976, 1979, 1986, 1990, 1995-98, 2000-01	51	
Low SH	JJA < 30	1964-67, 1969, 1971, 1976, 1986-88, 1995-97, 2001-02	45	



Figure 1. Monthly mean ionization rates at 77 km altitude and L-shell range 3.25–10 (magnetic latitude
55–72°) from the APEEP model. The black line is the annual mean sunspot number (values given on y-axis)
indicating the progression of the 11-year solar cycle. a) Red and blue bars indicate years of high MEE (CASE
2) and low MEE (CASE 3) as in Table 1, respectively. b) Red and blue bars indicate high and low MEE winters in the Northern Hemisphere (see Table 2), respectively. c) Same as b) but for the Southern Hemisphere
(see Table 2).



Figure 2. Monthly mean polar SH (60–90°S) HO_x (top, ppbv), NO_x (middle, ppbv) and O₃ (bottom, ppmv) composite difference "MEE_CMIP5 – REF_CMIP5". The data are from all ensemble members for CASE 1 (left panel, all years), CASE 2 (middle, High APEEP ionization), and CASE 3 (right, Low APEEP ionization). The gray and white contours represent the 90% and 95% confidence levels respectively. Note that winter months are in the middle of the x-axis.





Figure 3. Same as Figure 2 but in relative to the REF_CMIP5 results (%-change).



Figure 4. Monthly mean NO_x (top panels) and O₃ (bottom panels) response to the ionization from the APEEP model, calculated as percent of the composite difference "MEE_CMIP5 – REF_CMIP5". The data are from the SH, averaged over latitudinal range $60-90^{\circ}$ S and over all ensemble members for CASE 1 (left, all years), CASE 2 (middle, High APEEP ionization), and CASE 3 (right, Low APEEP ionization). The gray and white contours represent the 90% and 95% confidence levels respectively.



Figure 5. SH winter (June–August) zonal mean O₃ response to the ionization from the APEEP model,
calculated as difference between the MEE_CMIP5 and REF_CMIP5 simulations. The data were averaged
over the latitudinal range 60–90°S and over all ensemble members. Horizontal bars indicate the standard error
of the mean (SEM) of the difference (see text for details).



Figure 6. NH winter (December–January–February) "High EPP – Low EPP" composite HO_x (top) and O_3 (bottom)%-differences for the MEE_CMIP5 simulation (left) and REF_CMIP5 simulation (right) in the upper mesosphere at 70–80 km altitude. The gray and white contours represent the 90% and 95% confidence levels, respectively. For list of years in each composite group see Table 2.



Figure 7. As Figure 6, but for SH winter (June–July–August).



Figure 8. Monthly mean NH polar (70°–90°N) EPP-driven NO_{*x*} (top) and ozone (bottom) variability: "High EPP – Low EPP" (shown as %-difference). Left: MEE_CMIP5 simulation. Right: REF_CMIP5 simulation. The gray and white contours represent the 90% and 95% confidence levels, respectively. For list of years in each composite group see Table 2. The early winter NO_{*x*} enhancement visible on both experiments is a result of the Halloween 2003 SPEs being included in the total EPP forcing for "High EPP" years. Note that winter months are in the middle of the x-axis to ease comparison with Figure 9.



Figure 9. As Figure 8 but for the SH $(60^\circ - 90^\circ S)$. For list of years in each composite group see Table 2.