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α-Diazocarbonyl compounds are a versatile compound class able to undergo a variety of synthetic transformations to generate multiple products.1,2 Their diverse reactivity is well-known in the literature and is a consequence of the many reactive intermediates they can form, including carbenes, carbenoids, ylides and diazonium cations. An excellent review by Maguire, McKervey and co-workers details the importance of α-diazocarbonyl compounds in modern organic synthesis, and demonstrates their utility in a range of C-H insertion, cyclopropanation, cycloaddition and ylide-forming reactions.1d

The versatile reactivity of α-diazocarbonyl compounds means that they are well-suited for use in diversity-oriented synthesis,3 especially for research focused on the synthesis of multiple product classes from the same starting material.4,5 Such processes are particularly useful if the chemoselectivity can be controlled, for example, by variation of the reaction conditions or reagents. In our groups,5a,6 we are interested in developing divergent reaction systems in which the outcome is controlled by the choice of catalyst. Such ‘catalyst selective synthesis’7,8 has the power to significantly streamline the synthesis of diverse compounds, whilst also advancing our knowledge of the catalysis that underpins the divergent reactivity. An instructive example of the power of this approach was published by our groups in 2016, in which we demonstrated that by careful choice of catalyst and reaction conditions we could selectively generate six distinct products from single indolyl α-diazoketone precursors of the form **1** (Scheme 1A).5a To the best of our knowledge, this represents the highest number of distinct products selectively accessible from a single precursor by varying the catalyst and reaction conditions reported date.



Scheme 1. Catalyst selective synthesis using α-diazoketones.

In this manuscript, we describe efforts to extend this catalyst selective synthesis approach to phenol-/anisole-tethered α-diazoketones of the form **3** (i.e. ‘donor-acceptor’ diazoketones,9 Scheme 1B). There were no reports concerning the reactions of diazo compounds of this type prior to this study,10 although we drew inspiration from earlier studies detailing the reactivity of related classes of phenol-tethered α-diazoketones. For example, one of the first published intramolecular cyclisation reactions of such a compound was reported by Mander *et al*. in 1974, in which either Brønsted or Lewis acids were used to promote the displacement of nitrogen from simple α-diazoketones of the form **8**,leading to the formation of bridged tricyclic systems (*e.g.* **8** → **9**, Scheme 2A).11 Iwata and co-workers later reported that similar transformations could be promoted with copper(I) chloride to generate spirocycles (*e.g.* **10** → **11**, Scheme 2B);12 indeed, Mander *et al*. had previously shown that spirocycles of this type could be prepared using BF3·OEt2 to promote the reaction, albeit with competing dienone-phenol rearrangement products being formed in some cases.13 Apart from these works, surprisingly little is known about the reactions of phenol-tethered α-diazoketones, although Harada, Nemoto and co-workers recently published a powerful strategy for the conversion of structurally related α-diazoacetamides **12** into spirocyclic dienones **13** in high yield and enantiomeric excess using chiral silver(I) salts (Scheme 2C).14 Encouragingly for us, divergent reactivity was observed during initial catalyst screening in this study, with ring-annulated and C–H insertion products also observed to some degree when other catalysts were used.



Scheme 2. Use of related phenol-tethered α-diazocarbonyl compounds in the literature.

Compared to phenol-tethered systems, more is known about the reactivity of α-diazoketones tethered to anisoles, particularly in the well-established Buchner reaction (*e.g.* Scheme 3A).15 Various mechanistic and kinetic studies have been performed, most notably by McKervey and Maguire,16 with much of this work focused on the reactions of H-/Me-substituted α-diazoketones. More recently, related reactions on α-diazoketones substituted with electron-withdrawing substituents have also emerged17 (‘acceptor-acceptor’ diazo compounds), for example, the anisole annulation method developed by Doyle and co-workers, depicted in Scheme 3B.17a Notably, the reaction outcomes in these studies typically differ to those observed in the analogous phenol systems, in which spirocyclic products usually dominate.



Scheme 3. Use of related anisole-tethered α-diazocarbonyl compounds in the literature.

Our previous work in this area (Scheme 1A) focused on systems in which the diazo group is flanked on either side by both a ketone and an aromatic ring (see **1**, Scheme 1); controlling the reactivity of such ‘donor-acceptor’ diazo systems9 is often easier than in less stabilised diazo systems, and it was decided to retain this feature in the current study (*e.g.* **3**) in the hope that it would allow us to impart similar chemoselectivity to that achieved in our earlier work.5a Our interest in these systems was further piqued by the fact that, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports concerning the reactions of any phenol/anisole-tethered α-diazoketones of this type (**3**) prior to this study.10 Thus, herein, we describe our initial catalyst-screening, reaction optimisation and provide mechanistic proposals for a new, catalyst-driven divergent reaction series, that enables cyclopropane, tetralone, 1,2-dicarbonyl and spirocyclic products (**4–7**)to be selectively preparedfrom structurally related α-diazoketone precursors of the form **3**.

1. Results and discussion
	1. Anisole-tethered α-diazoketones

We initiated our study by treating anisole-tethered α-diazoketone **3a**18 with a range of metal-based catalysts. The expectation was that by forming different metal carbenoid species, different reactive pathways would be accessed, resulting in the preparation of multiple products. Selected screening results are shown in Table 1, with details of the full screen included in the Supporting Information. All catalysts were tested at 10 mol% loading in CH2Cl2 (0.1 M) at RT unless stated, with the product ratios determined by integration of their unpurified 1H NMR spectra. As expected, many of the conditions produced mixtures of products, although three major identifiable products were observed in most cases: these were subsequently isolated and the structures assigned as cyclopropane **4a**, tetralone **5a** and 1,2-dicarbonyl **6a**. Other minor products were also observable by 1H NMR spectroscopy in some cases, but these could not be obtained cleanly, hence the subsequent discussion is focused on the ratio of the three major products **4a–6a**. Cyclopropane **4a**, which was formed using the widest array of catalysts (via the Buchner reaction), was the major product produced using Rh(II), Cu(I) and Pd(II) catalysts (Table 1, entries 1–3), with Ag2O producing this product with the highest purity of the catalysts screened (entry 4). Conversely, more Lewis acidic catalysts Cu(OTf)2 and AgOTf furnished tetralone **5a** as the major product with good chemoselectivity (entries 5 and 6), whereas Pd(PhCN)2Cl2 unexpectedly formed 1,2-dicarbonyl **6a** as the major component (entry 7).

Table 1. Catalyst screening on diazoketone **3a***a*.



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Entry** | **Catalyst** | **3a** : **4a** : **5a** : **6a***b* |
| 1*c* | Rh2(OAc)4 | 15 : **65** : 0 : 20 |
| 2 | CuCl | 5 : **85** : 0 : 10 |
| 3 | Pd(OAc)2 | 0 : **80** : 0 : 20 |
| 4 | Ag2O | 0 : **95** : 0 : 5 |
| 5 | Cu(OTf)2 | 10 : 0 : **90** : 0 |
| 6 | AgOTf | 0 : 0 : **100** : 0 |
| 7 | Pd(PhCN)2Cl2 | 0 : 0 : 15 : **85**d |

*a* Reactions were performed with 0.1 mmol of diazoketone **3a** and 10 mol% catalyst in CH2Cl2 (0.1 M) under argon at RT for 16 h unless stated otherwise. *b* Product ratio was calculated using the 1H NMR spectrum of the unpurified reaction mixture, rounded to nearest 5%. *c* 5 mol% catalyst used. d Other minor impurities (unidentified) were observed in this case.

Thus, these initial screening reactions uncovered three complementary metal-catalysed processes to access three distinct products. It is likely that products **4a** and **5a** are mechanistically related; Scheme 3 shows a proposed mechanistic pathway through which cyclopropane **4a** could be converted into tetralone **5a**.Presumably, following metal-mediated diazo decomposition, Buchner cyclopropanation of the electron-rich anisole ring takes place to form cyclopropane **4a** which is in dynamic equilibrium with cyclohepatriene **4a'** arising from reversible electrocyclic ring opening.19 Under certain conditions (*e.g.* Table 1, entries 1–4) the cyclopropane/cyclohepatriene equilibrating mixture **4a**/**4a'** is isolable, but under more acidic conditions (for example, in the presence of comparatively Lewis acidic catalysts such as Cu(OTf)2 or AgOTf, see Table 1, entries 5 and 6)we propose that Lewis acid-mediated20 ring expansion and tautomerisation (**4a → 20 → 5a**) results in its conversion into tetralone **5a**.16b,21 In support of this, it was observed that a purified sample of cyclopropane **4a** can be converted into tetralone **5a** smoothly upon treatment under our standard Ag(I)-mediated conditions (c.f. Table 1, entry 6).



Scheme 3. Buchner cyclisation and rearrangement.

Attention next turned to further optimising each of the three individual processes. Pleasingly, the selective formation of cyclopropane **4a** and tetralone **5a** required little additional optimisation; changes to the reaction solvents and catalyst loadings were briefly examined, and optimal conditions were uncovered that enabled each product to be isolated in 83% and 79% yield respectively, using either 2 mol% Ag2O or 10 mol% AgOTf, both in CH2Cl2 at room temperature (Scheme 4). We were also able to perform a subsequent Diels–Alder reaction on cyclopropane product **4a** with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate **21** to generate compound **22a** in good yield. This adds support to the notion that compound **4a** is norcaradiene-like in character.



Scheme 4. Formation of cyclopropane **4a** and tetralone **5a**.

In our initial catalyst screen, the most effective catalyst for the preparation of 1,2-dicarbonyl **6a** was Ph(PhCN)2Cl2, but after further optimisation, we were unable to get full and clean conversion into this product, with unwanted side products (especially tetralone **5a**) contaminating the desired product in all cases. Of course, this reaction is a formal oxidation process, but with no obvious oxidant present in the reaction, we reasoned that adventitious impurities (in particular oxygen and water), may be required for this transformation. However, changes to the solvent and reagent quantities failed to deliver an improved procedure; the addition of 1 equivalent of water, performing the reaction open to air and purging the reaction solvent with oxygen failed to improve the yield of this oxidation process. Pleasingly however, we found that we could access this third product more reliably using conditions originally reported by Toste *et al*; thus, 1,2-diketone **6a** was isolated in 90% yield following treatment with a mixed Ag(I)/Au(I) catalyst system in the presence of diphenyl sulfoxide (Scheme 5)22



Scheme 5. Synthesis of 1,2-dicarbonyl **6a**.

* 1. Phenol-tethered α-diazoketones

Given that *para-*anisole derivatives have been successfully used23 in dearomatising spirocyclisation24 reactions to make spirocyclic dienones via other electrophilic activation modes, we were somewhat surprised that none of the catalysts tested on anisole **3a** delivered spirocycle **7**. However, based on precedent for the formation of spirocyclic dienones from related phenol derivatives,11-13, 25 we were optimistic that shifting focus to the analogous phenol-tethered α-diazoketone **3b** would facilitate access to this medicinally important compound class.26 Thus, the same metal catalysts previously used on anisole system **3a**, were tested on the new phenol substrate **3b**, with full screening results included in the Supporting Information. As we hoped, many of the catalysts screened delivered spirocycle **7** as the major product, along with 1,2-dicarbonyl **6a** and other unidentified minor impurities in some cases. The most effective catalyst at promoting spirocyclisation was Cu(OTf)2; thus, the treatment of α-diazoketone **3b** with 5 mol% Cu(OTf)2 for 3 h at RT in CH2Cl2 afforded spirocycle **7**, which was isolated in 70% yield (Scheme 6). The selective formation of this forth structural class nicely complements the anisole studies outlined above (Scheme 3–5).



Scheme 6. Synthesis of spirocyclic dienone **7**.

* 1. Silyl-protected α-diazoketones

As shown above, each of products **4a–6a** can be selectively obtained from anisole-tethered α-diazoketone **3a**, while phenol-tethered α-diazoketone **3b** delivers spirocycle **7** in good yield, but there is no crossover between the two series, meaning that the phenol analogues of anisole products **4a–6a**, (*i.e*. **4b–6b**) were inaccessible at this stage. To address this, it was decided to examine a third α-diazoketone starting material (**3c**) in which the tethered phenol is protected with a *t*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) group. The expectation here was that this compound **3c** would react similarly to its anisole analogue **3a** (to form TBS-protected products **4c–6c**), and that subsequent desilylation would enable phenol derivatives **4b–6b** to be isolated. Thus, TBS-protected α-diazoketone **3c** was reacted under the optimised conditions for the preparation of **4a–6a** (Scheme 7). First, the Ag2O-catalysed conditions delivered the expected Buchner cyclopropane product **4c** (as before, in dynamic equilibrium with its cyclohepatriene form) in good yield. Next, the AgOTf conditions also worked well, but proceeded with concomitant desilylation, affording phenol-tetralone **5b** directlyin 86% yield, with none of its TBS-protected analogue **5c** observable.Finally, the oxidative conditions proceeded as expected, to deliver 1,2-diketone **6c** in 61% yield, with the TBS group still in place.



Scheme 7. Divergent reactivity of α-diazoketone **3c**.

At this point, all that remained was to test whether desilylation of products **4c** and **6c** could be achieved. Interestingly, treating cyclopropane **4c** with TBAF in THF at –78 °C, did not lead to the formation of its phenol analogue **4b**, but instead promoted desilylation and rearrangement to form spirocycle **7** in 67% yield, presumably via the mechanism shown in Scheme 8. Thus, it appears that cyclopropane **4b** is unstable with respect to collapse to spirocycle **7**, which certainly helps to explain why we were unable to isolate any products other than **7** from the phenol-tethered α-diazoketone starting material **3b**. Compound **4c** is a good Diels–Alder substrate, reacting with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate **21** to form **22c** in high yield, and subsequent TBS-cleavage with TBAF afforded its ketone derivative **22b** in 85% yield.

The desilylation of **6c** was more challenging; a variety of deprotection conditions were tested on this substrate (TBAF at −78 °C and at RT, TFA, TiCl4) but decomposition of starting material **6c** into a mixture of uncharacterisable products was commonly observed. The best conditions we uncovered involved reacting **6c** with BF3·Et2O in CH2Cl2 at RT; deprotected diketone **6b** was successfully formed using this method, although several impurities were still obtained during this reaction, hence the isolated yield (21%) is relatively low.



Scheme 8. Desilylation of **4c** and **6c**.

1. Conclusion

In conclusion, the first study of the divergent reactivity of phenol/anisole-tethered donor-acceptor α-diazoketoneshas been performed. In total, four distinct products classes have been shown to be accessible, with the reaction outcome dependent on the nature of the aromatic oxygen substituent and the catalyst used to activate the diazo group. Anisole and TBS derivatives **3a** and **3c** were both able to selectively produce three products types (cyclopropanes, tetralones and 1,2-dicarbonyls **4**–**6**) while phenol derivative **3b** produced only spirocycle **7**, with this difference believed to be a consequence of the instability of the phenol Buchner cyclisation product **4b**. These results are likely to be useful from a synthetic standpoint, especially in diversity-oriented synthesis. Furthermore, the insight gleaned from studying a class of phenol/anisole-tethered α-diazoketones that has previously not been examined is expected to be of value to those interested in the study of diazo compounds and metal carbenoids, complementing the important studies of related systems summarised in the introduction.11-17

1. Experimental
	1. General aspects

Except where stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification and all experimental procedures were carried out under an atmosphere of argon unless stated otherwise. Anhydrous CH2Cl2, toluene, MeCN and DMF were obtained from an Innovative Technology Inc. PureSolv® solvent purification system. Anhydrous THF was obtained by distillation over sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately before use. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL ECX400 or JEOL ECS400 spectrometer, operating at 400 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively. All spectral data was acquired at 295 K. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm). The residual solvent peaks, δH 7.27 and δC 77.0 for CDCl3 and δH 3.31 and δc 49.1 for CD3OD were used as a reference. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz) to the nearest 0.5 Hz. The multiplicity abbreviations used are: s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, q quartet, m multiplet. Signal assignment was achieved by analysis of DEPT, COSY, HMBC and HSQC experiments where required. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer UATR 2 Spectrometer as a thin film dispersed from either CH2Cl2 or CDCl3. Mass spectra (high-resolution) were obtained by the University of York Mass Spectrometry Service, using Electrospray Ionisation (ESI) or Liquid Injection Field Desorption Ionisation (LIFDI) on a Bruker Daltonics, Micro-tof spectrometer. Melting points were determined using Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin layer chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel 60F254 pre-coated aluminium foil sheets and were visualised using UV light (254 nm) and stained with basic aqueous potassium permanganate. Flash column chromatography was carried out using slurry packed Fluka silica gel (SiO2), 35–70 μm, 60 Å, under a slight positive pressure, eluting with the specified solvent system.

* 1. General procedure A: Preparation of Weinreb amides

To a stirred solution of acid (1.00 mmol), MeNH(OMe)·HCl (107 mg, 1.10 mmol) and DIPEA (0.52 mL, 3.00 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (2.5 mL) was added T3P 50% in EtOAc (955 mg, 1.50 mmol). The solution was stirred at RT until completion was observed by TLC. The reaction mixture was poured into water (20 mL) and acidified using 10% aq. HCl (5 mL). The organics were collected and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The organics were combined, washed with aq. 2 M NaOH (20 mL), brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford the Weinreb amide product.

* 1. Experimental procedures
		1. N-Methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-methylpropanamide

Synthesised using general procedure A with 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid (7.00 g, 38.8 mmol), T3P 50% in EtOAc (37.0 g, 58.3 mmol), DIPEA (20.3 mL, 116 mmol) and MeNH(OMe)·HCl (4.20 g, 42.7 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (100 mL) at RT for 1 h. Afforded the title compound without further purification as a yellow oil (8.70 g, 100%); Rf 0.46 (1:1 hexane:EtOAc); δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.71 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 2.91 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.18 (3H, s), 3.61 (3H, s), 6.84 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0), 7.15 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 29.8, 32.1, 34.0, 55.2, 61.2, 113.8, 129.3, 133.4, 157.9, 173.7; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 246.1097; C12H17NNaO3 (MNa+) Requires 246.1101, Found: 224.1277; C12H18NO3 (MH+) Requires 224.1281. Spectroscopic data matched those previously reported in the literature.27

* + 1. 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one

To a solution of N-methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-methylpropanamide (2.00 g, 8.96 mmol) in THF (90 mL) at 0 °C under argon was added benzylmagnesium chloride (13.4 mL, 26.9 mmol, 2.0 M in THF) dropwise using a syringe pump. The resulting solution was warmed to RT and stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C, quenched with sat. aq. NH4Cl (20 mL), diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The organics were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc, then 8:2 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound as a clear and colourless oil (1.76 g, 77%); Rf 0.70 (7:3 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2908, 1712, 1512, 1245, 1178, 1033, 830, 735, 699; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.72–2.78 (2H, m), 2.79–2.86 (2H, m), 3.67 (2H, s), 3.79 (3H, s), 6.81 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.06 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 7.25–7.36 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 28.9, 43.7, 50.4, 55.2, 113.8, 127.0, 128.7, 129.2, 129.4, 132.9, 134.1, 157.9, 207.6; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 277.1189; C17H18NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 277.1199.

* + 1. 1-Diazo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one (**3a**)

To a solution of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one (977 mg, 3.84 mmol) and p-ABSA (1.11 g, 4.61 mmol) in MeCN (11.5 mL) at RT under argon was added DBU (0.8 mL, 5.38 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 50 min before being concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc then 7:3 hexane:EtOAc with 3% Et3N as a basic additive) to afford the title compound **3a** as a yellow solid (797 mg, 74%); mp 79–81 °C; Rf 0.73 (7:3 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3009, 2951, 2836, 2074, 1631, 1611, 1511, 1497, 1362, 1246, 1176, 1034, 821, 753; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.87 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 2.99 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.97 (3H, s), 6.84 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.13 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.27 (1H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.41 (2H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 7.5 Hz), 7.47 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 29.8, 41.1, 55.2, 72.3, 113.9, 125.4, 126.1, 127.0, 129.0, 129.4, 132.7, 158.1, 192.0; HRMS (LIFDI+): Found: 280.1211; C17H16N2O2 (M+) Requires 280.1212.

* + 1. 5-Methoxy-3a-phenyl-3a,3b-dihydro-1H-cyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzen-3(2H)-one(**4a**)

A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 1-diazo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3a** (100 mg, 0.357 mmol) and Ag2O (1.7 mg, 7.13 µmol) and purged with argon for 10 min. Anhydrous CH2Cl2 (3.6 mL) was degassed with argon for 20 min before adding to the diazo/catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RT for 22.5 h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **4a** as a pale yellow oil (74.5 mg, 83%); Rf 0.33 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3028, 2934, 2829, 1745, 1715, 1647, 1489, 1446, 1416, 1219, 1167, 1109, 1020, 816, 756; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.33–2.45 (1H, m), 2.55–2.67 (1H, m), 2.72–2.82 (1H, m), 2.83–2.95 (1H, m), 3.41 (3H, s), 5.07 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 5.60 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 5.87 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 6.38 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.14–7.24 (5H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 27.4, 34.8, 54.6, 109.1, 115.5, 123.3, 126.9, 127.7, 128.5, 136.6, 157.2, 215.7; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 275.1040; C17H16NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 275.1043, Found: 253.1222; C17H17O2 (MH+) Requires 253.1223. Note: 1 13C NMR signal was not observed, presumably due to peak broadening arising from the Buchner rearrangement.

* + 1. (3bR,4R,7R,7aR)-Dimethyl 8-methoxy-3-oxo-3a-phenyl-2,3,3a,3b,4,7-hexahydro-1H-4,7-ethenocyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzene-5,6-dicarboxylate(**22a**)

A round-bottomed flask was charged with cyclopropane **4a** (65 mg, 0.258 mmol) in toluene (0.5 mL) under argon. dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate **21** (63 µL, 0.515 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (7:3 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **22a** as a clear and colourless oil (83.4 mg, 82%); Rf 0.21 (7:3 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2952, 1713, 1653, 1626, 1435, 1265, 1211, 1111, 1058, 1007, 915, 728; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 1.83 (1H, d, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 2.17–2.31 (2H, m), 2.36–2.49 (5H, m), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.85 (3H, s), 4.09–4.13 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.0, 3.0 Hz), 6.89–6.93 (1H, m), 7.12 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.15–7.23 (2H, m), 7.29–7.34 (1H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 27.1, 33.6, 35.4, 44.0, 44.7, 52.3, 52.4, 52.8, 54.6, 60.2, 99.1, 126.5, 127.3, 128.1, 130.1, 130.5, 133.7, 144.0, 152.9, 160.6, 165.0, 167.2, 211.8; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 417.1316; C23H22NaO6 (MNa+) Requires 417.1309, Found: 395.1485; C23H23O6 (MH+) Requires 395.1489.

* + 1. 7-Methoxy-1-phenyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one(**5a**)

A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 1-diazo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3a** (100 mg, 0.357 mmol) and AgOTf (9.2 mg, 35.7 µmol) and purged with argon for 10 min. Anhydrous CH2Cl2 (3.6 mL) was degassed with argon for 20 min before adding to the diazo/catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RT for 16 h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **5a** as a yellow oil (71.1 mg, 79%); Rf 0.38 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2940, 2844, 1714, 1611, 1502, 1450, 1260, 1156, 1037, 729; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.52–2.61 (1H, m), 2.72 (1H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 6.5, 6.0 Hz), 2.93–3.11 (2H, m), 3.75 (3H, s), 4.72 (1H, s), 6.56 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.84 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.5 Hz), 7.12 (2H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.21 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.24–7.34 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 27.2, 37.2, 55.2, 59.9, 113.0, 114.6, 127.2, 128.56, 128.64, 128.8, 129.0, 137.3, 137.6, 158.7, 209.6; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 275.1044; C17H16NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 275.1043, Found: 253.1232; C17H17O2 (MH+) Requires 253.1223.

* + 1. 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,2-dione (**6a**)

To a solution of 1-diazo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3a** (30.0 mg, 0.107 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (0.5 mL) was added diphenyl sulfoxide (86.6 mg, 0.428 mmol). This solution was then added to a premixed solution of Ph3PAuCl (2.65 mg, 5.35 µmol) and AgSbF6 (1.84 mg, 5.35 µmol) in CH2Cl2 (0.5 mL) cooled to 0 °C, the vial containing diazo solution was also rinsed with CH2Cl2 (0.2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **6a** as a yellow oil (25.9 mg, 90%); Rf 0.32 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2934, 2836, 1711, 1670, 1596, 1449, 1245, 1177, 1033, 825, 689; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 3.00 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.21 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.79 (3H, s), 6.83 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.15 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.48 (2 H, dd, *J* = 7.5, 7.5 Hz), 7.64 (1 H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.91 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 28.0, 40.4, 55.2, 113.9, 128.7, 129.4, 130.2, 131.8, 132.1, 134.6, 158.1, 192.1, 202.4; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 291.0990; C17H16NaO3 (MNa+) Requires 291.0992.

* + 1. 3-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide

Synthesised using general procedure A with 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid (7.00 g, 42.1 mmol), T3P 50% in EtOAc (40.2 g, 63.2 mmol), DIPEA (22.0 mL, 126 mmol) and MeNH(OMe)·HCl (4.50 g, 46.3 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (105 mL) at RT for 1 h. Afforded the title compound without further purification as a yellow oil (7.61 g, 86%); Rf 0.21 (1:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3263, 2938, 1632, 1614, 1593, 1515, 1446, 1388, 1266, 1228, 1172, 987; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.72 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 2.90 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.19 (3H, s), 3.61 (3H, s), 5.85 (1H, br s), 6.77 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0), 7.08 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 29.8, 32.2, 34.0, 61.2, 115.3, 129.5, 133.0, 154.3, 173.9; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 232.09591; C11H15NNaO3 (MNa+) Requires 232.0944, Found: 210.1127; C11H16NO3 (MH+) Requires 210.1125.

* + 1. 4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one

To a solution of 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide (1.53 g, 7.31 mmol) in THF (70 mL) at 0 °C under argon was added benzylmagnesium chloride (14.6 mL, 29.2 mmol, 2.0 M in THF) dropwise using a syringe pump. The resulting solution was warmed to RT and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C, quenched with sat. aq. NH4Cl (20 mL), diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The organics were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc then 3:2 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound as a white solid (1.51 g, 86%); mp 112–114 °C; Rf 0.46 (6:4 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3387, 3027, 2929, 1707, 1614, 1515, 1451, 1362, 1221, 833, 741, 699; δH (400 MHz, CD3OD) 2.68–2.81 (4H, m), 3.68 (2H, s), 6.66 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.93 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.11–7.17 (2H, m), 7.19–7.33 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CD3OD) 30.2, 44.9, 51.0, 116.3, 128.0, 129.7, 130.4, 130.7, 133.2, 136.0, 156.8, 210.7; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 263.1034; C16H16NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 263.1043.

* + 1. 4-(4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one

To a solution of 4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one (1.39 g, 5.77 mmol) in anyhrous DMF (11.5 mL) was added imidazole (590 mg, 8.66 mmol) at 0 °C. TBSCl (1.30 g, 8.66 mmol) was then added at 0 °C and then the reaction was warmed to RT and stirred for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with Et2O (20 mL) and the organic layer was washed with water (3 x 30 mL). The organic layer was then washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc) afforded the title compound as a white solid (1.53 g, 75%); mp 64–66 °C; Rf 0.51 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2955, 2931, 2859, 1708, 1510, 1255, 910, 839, 779, 732; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 0.19 (6H, s), 0.99 (9H, s), 2.71–2.77 (2H, m), 2.78–2.84 (2H, m), 3.66 (2H, s), 6.74 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.99 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.17 (2H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.24–7.36 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) −4.5, 18.2, 25.7, 29.0, 43.7, 50.4, 120.0, 127.0, 128.7, 129.2, 129.4, 133.5, 134.1, 153.9, 207.7; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 377.1905; C22H30NaO2Si (MNa+) Requires 377.1907, Found: 355.2084; C22H31O2Si (MH+) Requires 355.2088.

* + 1. 4-(4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-diazo-1-phenylbutan-2-one(**3c**)

To a solution of 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one (150 mg, 0.423 mmol) and p-ABSA (122 mg, 0.508 mmol) in MeCN (1.5 mL) at RT under argon was added DBU (88.5 µL, 0.592 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h before being concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc with 3% Et3N as a basic additive) to afford the title compound **3c** as an orange oil (109 mg, 68%); Rf 0.49 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2955, 2929, 2857, 2067, 1648, 1509, 1497, 1252, 1204, 912, 838, 780, 1176, 1034, 821, 753; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 0.19 (6H, s), 0.98 (9H, s), 2.86 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 2.97 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 6.76 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.06 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.24–7.29 (1H, m), 7.41 (2H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 7.5 Hz), 7.46 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) −4.5, 18.2, 25.7, 30.1, 41.0, 72.4, 120.1, 124.1, 126.1, 127.1, 129.0, 129.3, 133.2, 154.1, 192.1; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 403.1816; C22H28N2NaO2Si (MNa+) Requires 403.1812.

* + 1. 1-Diazo-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one(**3b**)

To a solution of 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-diazo-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3c** (785 mg, 2.06 mmol) in THF (4 mL) at 0 °C was added TBAF (3.09 mL, 3.09 mmol, 1 M solution in THF). The resulting solution was warmed to RT and stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then diluted with Et2O (10 mL) and washed with water (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound **3b** without further purification as a yellow solid (509 mg, 93%); mp 77–79 °C; Rf 0.63 (6:4 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3361, 2077, 1612, 1515, 1497, 1448, 1370, 1205, 830, 756; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.86 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 2.97 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 4.70 (1H, br s), 6.76 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.08 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.24–7.29 (1H, m), 7.41 (2H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 7.5 Hz), 7.47 (2H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 30.0, 41.1, 72.6, 115.4, 125.4, 126.2, 127.2, 129.0, 129.5, 132.5, 154.2, 192.4; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 289.0951; C16H14N2NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 289.0947.

* + 1. 1-Phenylspiro[4.5]deca-6,9-diene-2,8-dione(**7**)

*Method 1*: A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 1-diazo-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3b** (38 mg, 0.143 mmol) and Cu(OTf)2 (2.6 mg, 7.14 µmol) and purged with argon for 10 min. Anhydrous CH2Cl2 (1.4 mL) was degassed with argon for 20 min before adding to the diazo/catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RT for 3 h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (1:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **7** as a yellow solid (23.4 mg, 70%); mp 135–137 °C; Rf 0.21 (6:4 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3035, 1746, 1663, 1622, 1499, 1135, 868, 700; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.17 (1H, ddd, *J* = 13.5, 8.5, 2.5 Hz), 2.32–2.42 (1H, m), 2.64–2.84 (2H, m), 3.75 (1H, s), 6.14 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz), 6.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz), 6.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.0, 3.0 Hz), 6.93–6.97 (2H, m), 7.00 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.0, 3.0 Hz), 7.21–7.29 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 31.4, 35.3, 51.4, 65.5, 127.9, 128.3, 129.4, 130.1, 130.5, 132.5, 147.5, 152.4, 185.3, 213.1; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 261.0875; C16H14NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 261.0886, Found: 239.1057; C16H15O2 (MH+) Requires 239.1067.

*Method 2*: To a solution of 5-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3a-phenyl-3a,3b-dihydro-1H-cyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzen-3(2H)-one **4c** (36.8 mg, 0.104 mmol) in THF (0.6 mL) at −78 °C was added TBAF (0.16 mL, 0.156 mmol, 1 M solution in THF) dropwise to afford an orange solution. The resulting solution was stirred at −78 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with water (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The organics were combined, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (7:3 hexane:EtOAc, then 1:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **7** as a yellow solid (16.6 mg, 67%).

* + 1. 5-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3a-phenyl-3a,3b-dihydro-1H-cyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzen-3(2H)-one(**4c**)

A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-diazo-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3c** (150 mg, 0.394 mmol) and Ag2O (4.57 mg, 19.7 µmol) and purged with argon for 10 min. Anhydrous CH2Cl2 (3.9 mL) was degassed with argon for 20 min before adding to the diazo/catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RT for 16 h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (10:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **4c** as a yellow oil (110 mg, 79%); Rf 0.42 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2955, 2929, 2857, 1747, 1622, 1407, 1252, 1202, 1183, 1110, 900, 873, 837, 781, 749; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) −0.36 (3H, s), −0.27 (3H, s), 0.77 (9H, s), 2.38 (1H, ddd, *J* = 18.0, 9.0, 6.5 Hz), 2.64 (1H, ddd, *J* = 18.0, 11.0, 7.0 Hz), 2.80–3.03 (2H, m), 5.41 (1H, d, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 5.76 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 5.98 (1H, d, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 6.41 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.11–7.21 (3H, m), 7.21–7.26 (2H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) −5.4, −5.1, 17.8, 25.4, 27.3, 35.3, 56.9, 114.0, 116.1, 122.4, 123.8, 127.1, 127.74, 127.79, 137.4, 153.2, 216.0; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 353.1939; C22H29O2Si (MH+) Requires 353.1931. Note: 1 13C NMR signal was not observed, presumably due to peak broadening arising from the Buchner rearrangement.

* + 1. 7-Hydroxy-1-phenyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one(**5b**)

A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-diazo-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3c** (150 mg, 0.394 mmol) and AgOTf (10.1 mg, 39.4 µmol) and purged with argon for 10 min. Anhydrous CH2Cl2 (3.9 mL) was degassed with argon for 20 min before adding to the diazo/catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RT for 16 h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (7:3 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **5b** as an orange oil (80.9 mg, 86%); Rf 0.38 (7:3 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3372, 3027, 1704, 1612, 1587, 1493, 1450, 1342, 1297, 1232, 1153, 821; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.57 (1H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 2.70 (1H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 2.91–3.09 (2H, m), 4.66 (1H, s), 5.63 (1H, br s), 6.46 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.76 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.5 Hz), 7.10 (2H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 7.14 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.25–7.33 (3H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 27.3, 37.3, 59.7, 114.5, 116.0, 127.3, 128.69, 128.71, 128.8, 129.1, 137.2, 137.7, 154.9, 210.5; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 261.0885; C16H14NaO2 (MNa+) Requires 261.0886.

* + 1. 4-(4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,2-dione(**6c**)

A heterogeneous solution of Ph3PAuCl (32.5 mg, 65.7 µmol) and AgSbF6 (22.5 mg, 65.7 µmol) in CH2Cl2 (6 mL) was stirred for 5 min under air and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-diazo-1-phenylbutan-2-one **3c** (500 mg, 1.31 mmol) and diphenyl sulfoxide (1.06 g, 5.24 mol) in CH2Cl2 (6 mL) was then added to the catalyst mixture at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred under air for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (20:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **6c** as a yellow oil (294 mg, 61%); Rf 0.70 (9:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2955, 2930, 2858, 1713, 1672, 1509, 1253, 912, 838, 781; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 0.18 (6H, s), 0.98 (9H, s), 2.98 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.21 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 6.76 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.08 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.48 (2H, dd, *J* = 7.5, 7.5 Hz), 7.64 (1H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.91 (2H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) −4.5, 18.2, 25.7, 28.1, 40.4, 120.1, 128.8, 129.3, 130.2, 131.8, 132.7, 134.6, 154.1, 192.1, 202.5; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 391.1705; C22H28NaO3Si (MNa+) Requires 391.1700.

* + 1. (3bR,4S,7R,7aR)-Dimethyl 9-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-oxo-3a-phenyl-2,3,3a,3b,4,7-hexahydro-1H-4,7-ethenocyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzene-5,6-dicarboxylate (**22c**)

A round-bottomed flask was charged with cyclopropane **4c** (191 mg, 0.541 mmol) in toluene (1.1 mL) under argon and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate **21** (0.13 mL, 1.08 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at 80 °C for 30 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (20:1 hexane:EtOAc, then 1:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **22c** as a clear and colourless oil (220 mg, 82%); Rf 0.58 (6:4 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2953, 2858, 1714, 1651, 1625, 1435, 1342, 1303, 1256, 1225, 1204, 1110, 1061, 913, 864, 839, 785; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) −0.32 (3H, s), −0.19 (3H, s), 0.75 (9H, s), 1.80 (1H, d, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 2.13–2.33 (2H, m), 2.34–2.49 (2H, m), 3.81 (3H, s), 3.83 (3H, s), 3.91–3.95 (1H, m), 4.07 (1H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 4.49 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.5, 3.0 Hz), 6.82 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.11–7.22 (3H, m), 7.24–7.29 (1H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) −5.4, −4.7, 17.9, 25.4, 27.2, 34.0, 35.4, 44.7, 47.0, 52.3, 52.4, 52.9, 60.4, 106.9, 126.5, 127.5, 128.2, 130.0, 130.6, 133.8, 146.1, 151.0, 156.7, 165.7, 166.8, 212.2; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 517.2017; C28H34NaO6Si (MNa+) Requires 517.2017, Found: 495.2195; C28H35O6Si (MH+) Requires 495.2197.

* + 1. (3bR,4S,7R,7aR)-Dimethyl 9-hydroxy-3-oxo-3a-phenyl-2,3,3a,3b,4,7-hexahydro-1H-4,7-ethenocyclopenta[1,3]cyclopropa[1,2]benzene-5,6-dicarboxylate(**22b**)

A round-bottomed flask was charged with Diels–Alder adduct **22c** (35 mg, 0.0708 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) at −78 °C and TBAF (0.11 mL, 0.106 mmol, 1 M solution in THF) was added dropwise leading to the formation of a pale yellow milky solution. The reaction mixture was then stirred at −78 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of water (2 mL) at −78 °C and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL). The organics were combined, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc, then 1:1 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **22b** as a clear and colourless oil (23 mg, 85%); Rf 0.66 (1:1 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 2953, 1718, 1626, 1435, 1333, 1270, 1218, 1115, 1064, 729, 718; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 1.82 (1H, dd, *J* = 19.0, 3.0 Hz), 2.06–2.14 (2H, m), 2.24–2.36 (2H, m), 2.36–2.49 (2H, m), 3.72–3.75 (1H, m), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.87 (3H, s), 4.16 (1H, d, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 7.09 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.0, 2.0 Hz), 7.22–7.37 (4H, m); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 27.5, 33.1, 34.1, 37.2, 41.0, 47.6, 51.8, 52.7, 52.8, 57.7, 128.3, 128.7, 128.8, 131.2, 131.3, 132.5, 136.9, 150.5, 164.0, 166.4, 204.8, 211.1; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 403.1158; C22H20NaO6 (MNa+) Requires 403.1152, Found: 381.1335; C22H21O6 (MH+) Requires 381.1333.

* + 1. 4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,2-dione (**6b**)

A flame-dried round-bottomed flask was charged with 4-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,2-dione **6c** (118 mg, 0.320 mmol) in anhydrous CH2Cl2 (3.2 mL) under argon. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and BF3·Et2O (0.4 mL, 3.20 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h before warming to RT and stirring for another 4.5 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of water (10 mL) and extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 x 10 mL). The organics were combined, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (8:2 hexane:EtOAc) to afford the title compound **6b** as a yellow oil (17.1 mg, 21%); Rf 0.27 (8:2 hexane:EtOAc); νmax (thin film)/cm-1 3416, 3027, 2932, 1712, 1669, 1596, 1515, 1449, 1254; δH (400 MHz, CDCl3) 2.98 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 4.90 (1H, br s), 6.76 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.10 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.48 (2H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 7.5 Hz), 7.64 (1H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.91 (2H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz); δC (100 MHz, CDCl3) 28.0, 40.4, 115.3, 128.8, 129.6, 130.2, 131.8, 132.3, 134.6, 154.0, 192.1, 202.5; HRMS (ESI+): Found: 277.0837; C16H14NaO3 (MNa+) Requires 277.0835.

1. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the University of York (A.K.C., W.P.U.) and the Leverhulme Trust (for an Early Career Fellowship, ECF-2015-013, W.P.U.) for financial support. Dr Michael J. James is thanked for useful advice.

1. Dedication

In recognition of the many contributions of Sir Derek Barton, not least his memorable lectures on ‘The Invention of Chemical Reactions’.

1. References
2. For excellent reviews, see: (a) Ye T, McKervey MA. *Chem. Rev*. 1994;94:1091; (b) Doyle M. P.; McKervey M. A.; Ye T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1998; (c) Davies HML, Hedley, SJ. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007;36;1109; (d) Ford A, Miel H, Ring A, Slattery CN, Maguire AR, McKervey MA. *Chem. Rev*. 2015;115:9981.
3. For selected recent examples relevant to this work that are not included within reference 1, see: (a) Lehner V, Davies HML, Reiser O. *Org. Lett.* 2017; 19; 4722; (b) Fleming GS, Beler, AB. *Org. Lett.* 2017;19;5268; (c) Wang H, Zhou C-Y, Che C-M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2017;359;2253
4. For general Diversity-Oriented Synthesis considerations, see: (a) Morton D, Leach S, Cordier C, Warriner S, Nelson A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2009;48:104. (b) Spandl RJ, Bender A, Spring DR. *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2008;6:1149. (c) Lipkus AR, Yuan Q, Lucas KA, Funk SA, Bartelt III WF, Schenck RJ, Trippe AJ. *J. Org. Chem*. 2008;73:4443. For synthetic approaches focused on the generation of scaffold diversity, see; (d) Mizoguchi H, Oikawa H, Oguri H. *Nat. Chem*. 2014;6:57; (e) Liu W, Khedkar V, Baskar B, Schürmann M, Kumar K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2011;50:6900; (f) Foley DJ, Doveston RG, Churcher I, Nelson A, Marsden SP. *Chem. Commun*. 2015;51:11174.
5. For a powerful example in activity directed synthesis, see; Karageorgis G, Warriner S, Nelson A. *Nat. Chem*. 2014;6:872.
6. For examples of procedures capable of selectively delivering multiple products from α-diazocarbonyl compounds, see: (a) James MJ, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2016;55:9671; (b) Padwa A, Austin DJ, Price AT, Semones MA, Doyle MP, Protopopova MN, Winchester WR, Tran A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 1993;115:8669.
7. (a) Liddon JTR, James MJ, Clarke AK, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Chem. Eur. J*. 2016;22:8777; (b) Liddon JTR, Clarke AK, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Lett*. 2016;18:6328; (c) Rossi-Ashton JA, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2017;15:7527.
8. For an excellent paper outlining the philosophy and value of catalyst selective synthesis, see: Mahatthananchai J, Dumas AM, Bode JW. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2012;51:10954.
9. For examples of catalyst selective synthesis, see references 5–7 and: (a) Dooley JD, Chidipudi SR, Lam HW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2013;135:10829; (b) Cheng Q-Q, Yedoyan J, Arman H, Doyle MP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2016;138:44; (c) Zhan G, Shi M-L, He Q, Lin W-J, Ouyang Q, Du W, Chen Y-C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2016;55:2147; (d) Liao J-Y; Ni, Q, Zhao, Y. *Org. Lett.* 2017;19:4074; (e) Mishra UK, Yadav S, Ramasastry, SSV. *J. Org. Chem.* 2017;82:6729; (f) Feng J-J, Zhang, J. *ACS Catal.* 2017;7:1533.
10. For an excellent review, including a detailed section on donor-acceptor diazo compounds, see Davies HML, Beckwith REJ. *Chem. Rev*. 2003;103:2861.
11. This refers to a tethered phenol or anisole group reacting with a diazo species that is α- to both a ketone and an aromatic ring.
12. Beames D, Klose TR, Mander L. *Aust. J. Chem*. 1974;27:1269.
13. Iwata C, Yamada M, Shinoo Y, Kobayashi K, Okada H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull*. 1980;28:1932.
14. Beames D, Mander L. *Aust. J. Chem*. 1974;27:1257.
15. Nakayama H, Harada S, Kono M, Nemoto. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2017;139:10188.
16. For the first report of Buchner ring expansion, see: (a) Buchner E, Curtius T, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges*. 1885;18:2377. For early reports on intramolecular Buchner reactions, see: (b) Ledon H, Cannic G, Linstrumelle G, Julia S. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1970;11:3971; (c) Scott LT. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. 1973:882.
17. (a) Mckervey MA, Tuladhar SM, Twohig MF. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. 1984:129; (b) Kennedy M, McKervey MA, Maguire AR, Tuladhar SM, Twohig MF. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*. 1990:1047; (c) Maguire AR, O’Leary P, Harrington F, Lawrence SE, Blake AJ. *J. Org. Chem*. 2001;66:7166; (d) McDowell PA, Foley DA, O’Leary P, Ford A, Maguire AR. *J. Org. Chem*. 2012;77:2035.
18. For an excellent review, including a detailed section on acceptor-acceptor diazo compounds, see reference 9. For recent examples of their use in synthesis, see: (a) Shanahan CS, Truong P, Mason SM, Leszczynski JS, Doyle MP. *Org. Lett*. 2013;15:3642; (b) Nani RR, Reisman SE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2013;135:7304; (c) Slattery C, Ford A, Eccles K, Lawrence S, Maguire AR. *Synlett*, 2014;25:591; (d) Liu Y, Liu Y, Shanahan CS, Xu X, Doyle MP, *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2014;12:5227; (e) Lloyd MG, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Lett*. 2014;16:2772; (f) Xu X, Wang X, Zavali JPY, Doyle MP. *Org. Lett*. 2015;17:790; (g) Lloyd MG, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2016;14:8971.
19. Starting material **3a** was prepared using a two-step procedure: Grignard addition into a Weinreb amide followed by diazo transfer reaction using *p*-ABSA, with the method based on a previous publication from our group, see reference 5(a).
20. (a) McNamara OA, Maguire AR. *Tetrahedron*. 2011;67:9; (b) Maguire AR, Buckley NR, O’Leary P, Ferguson G. *J. Chem. Soc Perkin Trans. 1*, 1998;4077; (c) Brawn RA, Zhu K, Panek JS. *Org. Lett.* 2014; 16;74.
21. It is also possible that the rearrangement may be catalysed by ‘hidden Bronsted acid catalysis’, see: (a) Dang TT, Boeck F, Hintermann L. *J. Org. Chem*. 2011;76:9353; (b) James MJ, Clubley RE, Palate KY, Procter TJ, Wyton AC, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Lett*. 2015;17:4372.
22. For other acid mediated ring expansions of Buchner cyclisation products, see: (a) Manitto P, Monti D, Zanzola S, Speranza G. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997;62;6658; (b) Manitto P, Monti D, Zanzola S, Speranza G. *Chem. Commun.* 1999;543.
23. (a) Witham CA, Mauleón P, Shapiro ND, Sherry BD, Toste FD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2007;129:5838. For a more recent related protocol, see: (b) O’Connor NR, Bolgar P, Stoltz BM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2016;57;849.
24. For dearomative processes leading to the formation of spirocyclic dienones from anisole precursors, see: (a) Unsworth WP, Cuthbertson JD, Taylor RJK. *Org. Lett*. 2013;15:3306; (b) James MJ, Cuthbertson JD, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2015;54:7640; (c) Clarke AK, Liddon JTR, Cuthbertson JD, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2017;15:233; (d) Hashmi SK, Schwarz L, Bolte M. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1998;39:8969; (e) Zhang X, Larock RC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2005;127:12230; (f) Dohi T, Takenaga N, Fukushima K-I, Uchiyama T, Kato D, Shiro M, Fujioka H, Kita Y. *Chem. Commun*. 2010;46:7697; (g) Dohi T, Nakae T, Ishikado Y, Kato D, Kita Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem*. 2011;9:6899.
25. For reviews of dearomatising spirocyclisation, see: (a) Zhuo C-X, Zhang W, You S-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2012;51:12662; (b) Roché SP, Tréguier J-JY. *Tetrahedron*, 2015;71:3549; (c) James MJ, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Chem. Eur. J*. 2016;22:2856; (d) Zheng C, You S-L, *Chem*. 2016;1:830.
26. For syntheses of spirocyclic dienones from phenol derivatives via other activations modes, see: (a) Nemoto T, Ishige Y, Yoshida M, Kohno Y, Kanematsa M, Hamada Y. *Org. Lett*. 2010;12:5020; (b) Wu Q-F, Liu W-B, Zhuo C-X, Rong Z-Q, Ye K-Y, You S-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2011;50:4455; (c) Yang L, Zheng H, Luo L, Nan J, Liu J, Wang Y, Luan X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2015;137:4876; (d) Cheng Q, Wang Y, You S-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016;55:3496; (e) Luo L, Zheng H, Liu J, Wang H, Wang Y, Luan X. *Org. Lett*. 2016:18;2082; (f) Shen D, Chen Q, Yan P, Zeng X, Zhong G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. 2017;56:3242.
27. For publications detailing the importance of spirocycles in medicinal chemistry, see: (a) Zheng Y-J, Tice CM, Singh SB. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014;24:3673; (b) Clarke AK, James MJ, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK, Unsworth WP. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016;55:13798; (c) Chambers SJ, Coulthard G, Unsworth WP, O’Brien P, Taylor RJK. *Chem. Eur. J*. 2016;22:6496; (d) Zheng Y-J, Tice CM. Expert Opin. Drug Discovery. 2016;11:831.
28. Rudzinski DM, Kelly CB, Leadbeater NE. *Chem. Commun*. 2012;48:9610.