

A cross-sectional survey of cardiovascular health and lifestyle habits of hospital staff in the UK: Do we look after ourselves?

Tarun K Mittal¹, Christine L Cleghorn², Janet E Cade³,
Suzanne Barr⁴, Tim Grove⁴, Paul Bassett⁵, David A Wood⁴ and
Kornelia Kotseva^{4,6}

European Journal of Preventive
Cardiology

2018, Vol. 25(5) 543–550

© The European Society of
Cardiology 2017

Reprints and permissions:

sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav

DOI: 10.1177/2047487317746320

journals.sagepub.com/home/ejpc

Abstract

Background: A high prevalence of stress-related disorders is well known among healthcare professionals. We set out to assess the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and compliance with national dietary and physical activity recommendations in NHS staff in the UK with comparison between clinical and non-clinical staff, and national surveys.

Design: A multi-centre cross-sectional study.

Methods: A web-based questionnaire was developed to include anonymised data on demographics, job role, cardiovascular risk factors and diseases, dietary habits, physical activity and barriers towards healthy lifestyle. This was distributed to staff in four NHS hospitals via emails.

Results: A total of 1158 staff completed the survey (response rate 13%) with equal distribution between the clinical and non-clinical groups. Most staff were aged 26–60 years and 79% were women. Half of the staff were either overweight or obese (51%) with no difference between the groups ($P = 0.176$), but there was a lower prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors compared to the general population. The survey revealed a low compliance (17%) with the recommended intake of five-a-day portions of fruit and vegetables, and that of moderate or vigorous physical activity (56%), with no difference between the clinical and non-clinical staff ($P = 0.6$). However, more clinical staff were exceeding the alcohol recommendations ($P = 0.02$). Lack of fitness facilities and managerial support, coupled with long working hours, were the main reported barriers to a healthy lifestyle.

Conclusions: In this survey of UK NHS staff, half were found to be overweight or obese with a lower prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors compared to the general population. There was a low compliance with the five-a-day fruit and vegetables recommendation and physical activity guidelines, with no difference between the clinical and non-clinical staff.

Keywords

Health professional, lifestyle, cardiovascular, risk factors, diet, physical activity

Received 14 November 2017; accepted 11 July 2017

Introduction

Doctors and nurses are considered to be the guardians of public health. By nature of their training and profession, they advise people on healthy lifestyles, in addition to offering medical and surgical treatments. However, not much is known about the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyles of the healthcare professionals (HCPs) or other staff working in the health sector. It is recognised that doctors fail to take care of their physical and mental health and have higher levels of depression, anxiety, burnout and substance misuse than in other groups of workers.^{1–3} HCPs

¹Harefield Hospital, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, UK

²Department of Public Health, University of Otago, New Zealand

³Nutritional Epidemiology Group, University of Leeds, UK

⁴Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK

⁵Statsconsultancy Ltd., Amersham, UK

⁶Department of Public Health, University of Ghent, Belgium

Corresponding author:

Tarun K Mittal, Harefield Hospital, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, Hill End Road, London UB9 6JH, UK.

Email: t.mittal@imperial.ac.uk

Twitter: @Tarunmi1965T

often find it difficult to seek help for their health problems, because they feel they are letting down their patients or colleagues, or for practical reasons such as workload or because they fear stigma and adverse effects on their career.⁴

It is recognised that healthy and active HCPs are more likely to counsel their patients on a healthy lifestyle,^{5,6} be viewed by their patients as a role model,⁷ and have an impact on patient care and outcomes.^{8,9} Moreover, healthy HCPs are vital for employers for performance and financial reasons. A report commissioned by the UK Department of Health (DoH) to assess the health and wellbeing of the staff in the NHS identified that the current levels of sickness absence in the NHS mean that more than 10 million working days are lost every year, equivalent to 4.5% of the entire workforce (equivalent to 45,000 full-time staff), and at a direct cost of £1.7 billion every year.¹⁰ The report also recognised that healthcare in the future will have a stronger preventive emphasis than in the past, and if the NHS is to be seen to practise what it preaches it will be important that its own staff take action to reduce their own risk factors and are seen to champion lifestyle improvement. However, evidence on the current status of cardiovascular health and lifestyles in NHS staff is still lacking. A recent meeting of the International Commission on Occupational Health also recognised the importance of work-related health factors on cardiovascular outcomes.¹¹

The aims of this study were to assess the status of cardiovascular risk factors in NHS staff, measure their compliance with national dietary and physical activity (PA) guidelines, and perform a comparison between clinical and non-clinical staff with respect to these parameters. We also assessed the personal and organisational factors that the staff perceives to be barriers to a healthy lifestyle.

Methods

This is a multicentre cross-sectional study based on a self-reported questionnaire. Four hospitals based in and around London agreed to participate in the study. All staff directly employed by the trusts were included in the survey. Ethics approval or waiver was obtained from each of the hospital trusts.

The questionnaire was developed on a web-based platform (www.surveymonkey.com) to improve ease of completion and collection of data, and was pre-tested with 20 random staff members. The Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) was followed for development and reporting of the survey.¹² An email with explanation of research background, including the link to the survey questionnaire, was circulated four times within

a period of 6 weeks during June–July 2015 to all the eligible staff in the four hospitals, and they were encouraged to respond on a voluntary basis. The staff had to give their consent to the questionnaire before they could proceed further, which was duly recorded. The research project was advertised on the intranet website of the trusts to raise the awareness and encourage participation, but no incentives were offered. At the end of this period, the data from the on-line questionnaire were extracted for analysis.

Survey questionnaire design

The survey was completely anonymous, and no identifiable personal details were collected from the respondents. The questions were developed to test the compliance against the general health guidance published by the UK DoH^{13,14} or professional societies,¹⁵ which are in the public domain (Table 1). There were five sections in the questionnaire (Supplementary file A). All staff including doctors and nurses who would have studied about health as part of their professional education were included in the ‘clinical’ category, while other job roles were classified as being ‘non-clinical’. Body mass index (BMI) equal to or more than 25 kg/m² was categorised as overweight, while equal to or more than 30 kg/m² was categorized as obese.


The questions on diet were obtained from the Leeds short form food frequency questionnaire (SFFFQ),¹⁶ which has 20 food frequency questions, the responses to which are used to calculate food group or nutrient-specific daily intake and a diet quality score (DQS) in five domains (consumption of fruit, vegetables, oily fish, fats, and non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMESs)) and a total score (Supplementary file B). A DQS of 12 or more is considered to represent a healthy diet. The PA questions included the standard short international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ)¹⁷ to assess both leisure as well as work-related PA, and categorise total PA as moderate or more based on standard criteria (Supplementary file C). The last section of the survey consisted of questions related to work and barriers to a healthy lifestyle.

Statistical analysis

Survey response rates were assessed as view rate, participation rate and completion rate as per the CHERRIES checklist for the whole study cohort.¹² Proportions and percentages are used to describe all categorical data. The chi-square test was used to compare the gender of the clinical and non-clinical staff, while the Mann–Whitney test was used to compare the age category between groups. All questionnaire outcomes were converted to binary outcome measures.

Table 1. List of national standards/guidance on body mass index, diet and physical activity as outcome measures.

	Standard guidance/indicator
Body mass index ¹⁴	20–25 kg/m ²
Dietary intake including alcohol ^{12,14}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daily consumption of at least five portions of fruit and vegetables • Weekly intake of at least two portions of fish, one of which should be oily (one portion of oily fish = 140 g) • Fats to form not more than 30% of daily energy intake (≤ 85 g) • Less intake of added sugars or non-milk extrinsic sugars forming no more than 11% of daily energy intake (≤ 60 g) • Alcohol consumption of <14 units for per week for both men and women
Physical activity ¹³	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 150 Minutes of moderate intensity activity in bouts of 10 minutes or more, or 75 minutes of vigorous intensity activity each week, and • undertake physical activity to improve muscle strength on at least two days a week

**Figure 1.** Flow chart demonstrating staff recruitment in the study.

Logistic regression was used to compare the outcomes between clinical and non-clinical groups. The analyses were adjusted for age group and sex. All statistical analysis was performed using Stata v. 13.1 (Stata Corp LP, USA).

Results

A total of 1400 staff (out of approximately 9000 to whom the email was sent) gave consent to participate in the survey (view rate 15.6%). Of these, 1289 staff completed at least the first two sections (A and B) of the survey (participation rate 92.1%) while 1158 of them completed all five sections (completion rate 89.8%) (Figure 1). Nine members of staff did not give consent, while no response was obtained from the rest of the staff.

The results for demographics and risk factors along with medical conditions were analysed and reported for

all the participants ($n = 1289$), while the responses pertaining to diet, PA and barriers to a healthy lifestyle were analysed for those who completed the whole questionnaire ($n = 1158$).

General characteristics

Respondents were almost equally split between clinical ($n = 655$, 51%) and non-clinical groups ($n = 634$, 49.2%). The majority (89.5%) of participants were between the ages of 26 and 60 years. There were overall more women (79%) participants, consistent with the NHS workforce. The proportion of men and women did not differ between clinical and non-clinical groups ($P = 0.11$). The majority of staff (1042, 81%) responded that they belonged to white British or European ethnicity, with no difference between clinical and non-clinical groups ($P = 0.53$).

Table 2. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, medical conditions and compliance with recommendations of dietary and alcohol intake, and physical activity among clinical and non-clinical staff.

	All (%)	Clinical (%)	Non-clinical (%)	OR ^a (95% CI)	P value	National data ^b (%) ¹⁸
Cardiovascular risk factors						
Overweight or obese (<i>n</i> = 1141)	555 (48.6)	281 (46.5)	274 (51.0)	0.90 (0.70, 1.14)	0.61	60
Obese (<i>n</i> = 1141)	223 (19.5)	109 (18.1)	114 (21.2)	0.82 (0.60, 1.10)	0.18	26
Hypertension (<i>n</i> = 1197)	149 (12.5)	56 (9.1)	93 (16.0)	0.59 (0.41, 0.86)	0.006	19.3
Diabetes (<i>n</i> = 1214)	43 (3.5)	20 (3.3)	23 (3.9)	0.96 (0.51, 1.81)	0.91	5
Abnormal cholesterol (<i>n</i> = 1060)	153 (14.4)	68 (12.6)	85 (16.4)	0.81 (0.56, 1.15)	0.24	54
Current smoker (<i>n</i> = 1251)	123 (9.8)	48 (7.6)	75 (12.1)	0.61 (0.42, 0.90)	0.01	20.5
Cardiovascular medical conditions and stress (<i>n</i> = 1289)						
MI	7 (0.5)	3 (0.5)	4 (0.6)	0.72 (0.16, 3.24)	0.673	1.6
PVD	15 (1.2)	7 (1.1)	8 (1.3)	0.74 (0.25, 2.1)	0.747	NA
Stroke	9 (0.7)	3 (0.5)	6 (1.0)	0.47 (0.08, 2.57)	0.292	1.2
Stress	385 (29.9)	168 (25.7)	217 (34.2)	0.67 (0.52, 0.86)	0.001	1.5
Dietary and alcohol intake (<i>n</i> = 1158)						
Met 5-a-day F&V	194 (16.8)	96 (16.4)	98 (17.2)	0.93 (0.68, 1.28)	0.67	27
Oily fish \geq 1/week	549 (47.4)	299 (50.9)	250 (43.8)	1.41 (1.11, 1.78)	0.005	NA
Fat intake <85 g/day	129 (11.1)	69 (11.8)	60 (10.5)	1.19 (0.82, 1.73)	0.36	NA
NMESs <60 g/day	185 (16.0)	93 (15.8)	92 (16.1)	1.05 (0.76, 1.44)	0.78	NA
Alcohol above limit (<i>n</i> = 1153)	208 (18)	116(19.8)	92 (16.2)	1.45 (1.06, 1.98)	0.02	23.5
Physical activity (<i>n</i> = 1158)						
IPAQ category 2 or 3	652 (56.3)	335 (57.1)	317 (55.5)	1.09 (0.86, 1.38)	0.48	66
IPAQ category 3	266 (23.0)	149 (25.4)	117 (20.5)	1.33 (1.00, 1.76)	0.05	NA
Muscle strength exercise (<i>n</i> = 1118)	236 (21.1)	129 (22.8)	107 (19.4)	1.18 (0.88, 1.59)	0.27	NA
Attitudes and barriers (<i>n</i> = 1109)						
Responsible towards health	762 (68.7%)	412 (73.2%)	350 (64.1%)	1.55 (1.20, 2.02)	0.001	NA
Working hours as barrier	567(51.1%)	330 (58.6%)	237 (43.4%)	1.82 (1.43, 2.32)	<0.001	NA
Full time job as barrier	187 (16.9%)	110 (19.5%)	77 (14.1%)	1.51 (1.09, 2.09)	0.01	NA
Lack of healthy options in canteen	227 (25.0%)	180 (32.0%)	97 (17.8%)	2.08 (1.56, 2.77)	<0.001	NA
Lack of fitness facilities	756 (68.2%)	412 (73.2%)	344 (63.0%)	1.48 (1.14, 1.93)	0.003	NA
Lack of support from manager	480 (43.3%)	243 (43.2%)	237 (43.4%)	0.99 (0.78, 1.27)	0.96	NA

CI: confidence interval; MI: myocardial infarction; PVD: peripheral vascular disease; F&V: fruit and vegetables; NMESs: non-extrinsic milk sugars; IPAQ: International Physical Activity Questionnaire; NA: not available as proportions similar to the study.

^aOdds ratios are age and sex-adjusted and reported as odds in clinical group relative to odds in non-clinical group.

^bFor ages between 16 and 64 years and averaged for both sexes, except abnormal cholesterol, which includes all ages.

The mean BMI in both clinical and non-clinical staff was in the overweight range, being slightly lower in clinical staff (25.7 kg/m², 95% confidence interval (CI) 25.3–26.1) compared to non-clinical staff (26.6 kg/m², 95% CI 26.1–27.2) with adjustment for age and sex ($P=0.014$). There was no significant difference in the prevalence of overweight or obesity between the clinical and non-clinical staff irrespective of age or sex (Table 2).

Cardiovascular risk factors

The prevalence of hypertension and the number of staff smoking at the time of the survey was

significantly less in clinical compared to non-clinical staff (9.1% vs. 16% for hypertension, $P<0.001$, and 7.6% vs. 12.1% for current smoking, $P=0.008$) (Table 2). No significant difference was seen in the prevalence of diabetes, abnormal serum cholesterol, or family history of premature coronary heart disease (CHD) between the groups. Table 2 also gives the corresponding figures for prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in the general population from Health Surveys of England.¹⁸

A low prevalence of cardiovascular conditions ($\leq 1.2\%$) was reported among the staff, with no difference between the groups. However, a high proportion of staff reported suffering from stress-related conditions

(30%), particularly in the non-clinical group ($P=0.001$) (Table 2).

Dietary characteristics

Based on the SFFFQ, the recommendation for five portions of fruit or vegetables a day was met by 16.8% of the staff, with no difference in intake between the clinical and non-clinical staff ($P=0.67$) (Table 2). Just under half the respondents (47.4%) consumed one or more servings of oily fish per week, being higher in the clinical group ($P=0.005$, Table 2). The proportion of staff meeting the recommended intake for fat (≤ 85 g/day) and NMEs (≤ 60 g/day) was 11% and 16%, respectively, with no difference between the two groups. A total SFFFQ diet quality score of 12 or more was achieved by 8.5% and 10.9% of clinical and non-clinical staff, respectively ($P=0.18$). Almost 39% of staff reported not drinking any alcohol. However, 18% drank above the recommended limit of over 14 units per week, with a greater proportion of clinical staff exceeding the limit compared to non-clinical staff ($P=0.02$).

Physical activity

Sitting, as the main pattern of work, was described by 64% of the staff, with a much higher proportion in the non-clinical group (83% vs. 44.9% in clinical; $P<0.05$). Almost half of the staff in each group described performing some form of PA besides work. The main reasons described for not performing PA were: not enough time (23%), lack of motivation (11.1%), physical disability (11.4%), lack of facility at work (6.1%) or near home (3.5%), and other reasons (2.6%) including tiredness, child care issues, expense, etc. If facilities were to be provided at work, 52% of staff expressed their interest in performing PA.

The short IPAQ questionnaire revealed that approximately 56% of staff undertake moderate or vigorous PA including walking (IPAQ categories 2 and 3) (Table 2). However, there was no difference between clinical and non-clinical groups (odds ratio (OR) 1.09, 95% CI 0.86–1.38, $P=0.48$), even after age and sex adjustment.

Muscle strengthening exercises and yoga were being performed by 21% and 18%, respectively, of the staff, with no difference between the clinical groups.

Personal and workplace attitudes and barriers

Two-thirds of the staff (69%) felt responsible for their own health, particularly among the clinical group (Table 2). Most staff did not feel a full-time job was a barrier to a healthy lifestyle (83%) but half did express

that their working hours prevented them from staying fit (51%). Lack of fitness facilities (68%), managerial support (43%), and healthy food options in the canteen (25%) were also perceived as barriers to a healthy lifestyle. While lack of managerial support was felt equally in both groups, other barriers were perceived more significantly by the clinical staff ($P\leq 0.01$). Only one third (34%) of the managers were aware of the NHS health and wellbeing policy.

Discussion

Principal findings

This large cross-sectional study in four English NHS hospitals revealed the current status of cardiovascular risk factor prevalence and lifestyle factors in the form of dietary intake and PA among clinical and non-clinical staff. We found that half of the staff was overweight or obese with a low compliance with recommended dietary and PA guidelines, and there was no significant difference between the clinical and non-clinical staff. The prevalence of hypertension and smoking was less in the clinical staff, with no difference in other risk factors. The majority of staff felt that they enjoy and have a responsible attitude towards good health, but a large number find lack of fitness facilities, working hours and lack of support from their manager as barriers to a healthy lifestyle.

Comparison with national surveys and other studies

Our survey reveals that although the prevalence of diabetes and excess body weight in clinical staff is similar to that of non-clinical staff, it is lower than the UK adult general population.¹⁸ Excess body weight and diabetes are a growing national as well as international public health issue of grave concern.¹⁹ Only a few surveys on cardiovascular health and lifestyle from other countries have been reported, mostly performed by primary care physicians and two among cardiologists, which reveal a similar or slightly better pattern of risk factor and lifestyle patterns compared to their general population.^{20–25} The worst cardiovascular health profile has been shown in the survey of physicians in Bahrain, with a high prevalence of diabetes (11%) and overweight or obesity (72%).²⁵ The rates of BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² reported in studies from other countries are in the range of 40–50%, which is similar to our survey. The prevalence of smoking was lowest among cardiologists in the USA at 1.3%,²⁰ while it was highest in Catalonia, Spain, at 19.5%,²⁴ compared to 7.6% in the clinical staff in this study, and 20.5% in the UK general population.¹⁸ The lower prevalence of smoking in hospital staff could be explained by the no smoking

policy within hospital buildings in the UK as well as increased awareness of the harmful effects of smoking over the past few years.²⁶ The proportion of physicians drinking alcohol above recommended limits has been reported to vary from 3% to 30%,^{20,22} being 14% in our cohort. It is to be noted that different methods have been used to measure alcohol intake in these studies. Consistent with previous studies and surveys,^{27,28} our study revealed a high prevalence of stress and depression, which has been related to an increased prevalence of CHD and type 2 diabetes.²⁹

The compliance with an intake of five portions of fruit and vegetables in our survey (17%) in both staff groups is much lower than that reported in the national survey (27%) for a similar age group.³⁰ Similar to national data, our survey reveals a low compliance with the intake of total fat to less than 85 g/day and of NMESs to less than 60 g/day, with no significant difference between the clinical and non-clinical staff. Long working hours and lack of suitable fruit and vegetable options in the hospitals³¹ could be a contributory factor to the poor intake apart from personal dietary habits. The compliance with PA recommendations in our study is similar to that reported in the general population (67% for men and 53% for women),³² with clinical staff not faring any better than non-clinical staff. Other studies in HCPs have demonstrated a higher level of PA among physicians in North Ireland at 57%²¹ and worse among those in Bahrain, at 10%.²⁵ Both work and leisure time PA has been shown to have demonstrated cardiovascular benefits in a recent large study.³³ The recommendation of performing muscle strengthening exercises was low in our study and has not been assessed in other studies.

The number of barriers to a healthy lifestyle among HCPs has been recognised. Lack of time or being too busy has been identified as the main barrier previously.²⁷ Poor lifestyle and organisational behaviour may cause stress among employees,^{10,34} and at the same time improved lifestyle, including PA, could result in less stress and better cardiovascular health outcomes besides increased productivity and patient outcomes.

In UK, the NHS is the largest employer whose employees serve the rest of the population, but their own health goes unnoticed.³⁴ The survey reveals that despite a number of UK DoH policies in recent years, with several recommendations for improving the health of NHS staff,^{10,34,35} their implementation is suboptimal. While most hospitals have occupational health services catering for vaccinations and work-related injuries, there may be a lack of a proactive approach to prevention and health promotion. This could be due to a lack of acceptance of staff health as an important issue at the senior management level. A nurse-led

service can be introduced in hospitals to identify potential risk factors and provide advice on diet, weight loss, smoking cessation and stress management. Healthy lifestyle choices can be promoted by the availability of appropriate food choices in the hospital canteens, and on-site PA facilities supplemented with education and increased awareness at all staff levels.

Limitations of this study

A cross-sectional survey with self-reported data would have several limitations and sources of bias.³⁶ Because of the anonymous nature of this survey, comparison of respondents with non-respondents was not possible. A low response rate as is commonly found in postal or web-based surveys can introduce sampling error. Attempts were made to maximise the response rate by sending out four batches of emails with the link of the survey to all the staff over a period of 6 weeks and advertising the study on the trust intranet. We can be reasonably assured that the sample represents the distribution of staff in the trusts in clinical and non-clinical groups as it corresponds to the proportion provided by the human resources departments at the three sites. However, there may be a selection bias caused by lack of participation on the part of those staff who are less healthy or follow unhealthy lifestyles. This would be difficult to prevent as participation was completely voluntary and no attempt was made to approach the staff personally. Besides, as the survey data are self-reported, errors can arise from lack of accurate knowledge of personal body size and risk factors, and both under and over-reporting of questions related to diet and PA. To minimise such bias, validated questionnaires such as the SFFFQ for diet and short IPAQ for PA were used. Although the SFFFQ has been validated against the longer food frequency questionnaire, it still contains a fewer number of food items and hence is less robust for measuring the intake of NMESs and fat.¹⁶

Conclusion

In this survey of NHS staff, about half of the staff was found to be overweight or obese, with a lower prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors compared to the general population. There is a low compliance with the recommended intake of five-a-day portions of fruit and vegetables and other dietary components, with no difference between the clinical and non-clinical groups except a higher proportion of clinical staff exceeding the alcohol consumption limits. Similarly, just over half of the staff is meeting the PA guidelines. The majority of staff felt that they enjoy and have a responsible attitude towards good health, but a large

number identified lack of fitness facilities, working hours, and lack of support from their manager as the main barrier to a healthy lifestyle.

Author contribution

TKM conceived the research question with additional input from SB, TG, DAW and KK. The survey questionnaire was developed by TKM with input from CLC, JEC, SB, TG, DAW and KK. TKM and PB performed the statistical analysis. All authors provided additional intellectual content, contributed to critical revisions of the manuscript, and read and approved the final submitted version.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the staff of the hospitals for their participation and to senior managers in the hospitals for supporting and facilitating the survey. Also thanks to Deevya Chudasama of Accent-MR, UK for her help in developing the questionnaire.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Brooks SK, Gerada C and Chalder T. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: are specialist services needed? *J Ment Health* 2011; 20: 146–156.
- Firth-Cozens J. Doctors, their wellbeing, and their stress. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 670–671.
- Crawford J, Shafir A, Graveling R, et al. *A systematic review of the health of health practitioners*. Strategic Consulting Report: 603-00525.
- Brooks SK, Gerada C and Chalder T. The specific needs of doctors with mental health problems: qualitative analysis of doctor-patients' experiences with the Practitioner Health Programme. *J Ment Health* 2016; 26: 161–166.
- Cornuz J, Ghali WA, Di Carlantonio D, et al. Physicians' attitudes towards prevention: importance of intervention-specific barriers and physicians' health habits. *Fam Pract* 2000; 17: 535–540.
- Frank E, Segura C, Shen H, et al. Predictors of Canadian physicians' prevention counseling practices. *Can J Public Health* 2010; 101: 390–395.
- Hash RB, Munna RK, Vogel RL, et al. Does physician weight affect perception of health advice? *Prev Med* 2003; 36: 41–44.
- West M and Dawson J. *NHS staff management and health service quality*, 2010. www.dh.gov.uk (2010, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Royal College of Physicians. *Work and wellbeing in the NHS: why staff health matters to patient care*, 2014. www.rcplondon.ac.uk/resources/work-and-wellbeing-nhs-why-staff-health-matters-patient-care (2014, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Boorman S. *NHS Health and Well-being: Final review*, 2009. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_108799 (2009, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Ferrario MM, Landsbergis P, Tsutsumi A, et al. Work environment: an opportunity for ground-breaking collaborations in cardiovascular disease prevention. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2017; 24: 4–6.
- Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res* 2004; 6: e34.
- Department of Health. *NHS Choices: The Eatwell Plate*, 2015. www.nhs.uk/Livewell/Goodfood/Pages/eatwell-plate.aspx (2015, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Department of Health. *Start Active, Stay active*. A report on physical activity for health from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers, 2011. www.gov.uk/government/publications/start-active-stay-active-a-report-on-physical-activity-from-the-four-home-countries-chief-medical-officers (2011, accessed 19 November 2017).
- JBS2. Joint British Societies' guidelines on prevention of cardiovascular disease in clinical practice. By the British Cardiac Society, British Hypertension Society, Diabetes UK, HEART UK, Primary Care Cardiovascular Society, and the Stroke Association. *Heart* 2005; 91: v1–v52.
- Cleghorn CL, Harrison RA, Ransley JK, et al. Can a dietary quality score derived from a short-form FFQ assess dietary quality in UK adult population surveys? *Public Health Nutr* 2016; 19: 2915–2923.
- International Physical Activity Questionnaire, 2002. www.ipaq.ki.se (2002, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Health Survey for England, 2015. *Health, social care, and lifestyles*. 14 December 2016. www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22610/HSE2015-Sum-bklt.pdf (2016, accessed 19 November 2017).
- Howard SJ and Davies SC. Chief medical officer urges action to tackle overweight and obesity. *BMJ* 2014; 348: G2375.
- Abuissa H, Lavie C, Spertus J, et al. Personal health habits of American cardiologists. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 97: 1093–1096.
- McGrady FP, McGlade KJ, Cupples ME, et al. Questionnaire survey of physical activity in general practitioners (PHIT GP Study). *Ulster Med J* 2007; 76: 91–97.
- Sebo P, Bouvier Gallacchi M, Goehring C, et al. Use of tobacco and alcohol by Swiss primary care physicians: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Public Health* 2007; 7: 5–5.
- Temporelli PL, Zito G and Faggiano P. Cardiovascular risk profile and lifestyle habits in a cohort of Italian cardiologists (from the SOCRATES Survey). *Am J Cardiol* 2013; 112: 226–230.

24. Pardo A, McKenna J, Mitjans A, et al. Physical activity level and lifestyle-related risk factors from Catalan physicians. *J Phys Act Health* 2014; 11: 922–929.
25. Borgan SM, Jassim GA, Marhoon ZA, et al. The lifestyle habits and wellbeing of physicians in Bahrain: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2015; 15: 655.
26. Frazer K, McHugh J, Callinan JE, et al. Impact of institutional smoking bans on reducing harms and second-hand smoke exposure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; CD011856.
27. Ipsos MORI. *Fitness to practice: the health of health professionals*, 2009. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/@dh/@en/@ps/documents/digitalasset/dh_113549.pdf (2009, accessed 19 November 2017).
28. Health and Safety Executive. *Work related stress, anxiety and depression statistics in Great Britain*, 2016. www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/causdis/stress/ (2016, accessed 19 November 2017).
29. Kivimäki M, Batty GD, Ferrie JE, et al. Cumulative meta-analysis of job strain and CHD. *Epidemiology* 2014; 25: 464–465.
30. National Diet and Nutrition Survey. *NDNS: Results from Years 5 and 6 (combined) of the rolling programme (2012/2013–2013/2014)*. Public Health England and Food Standards Agency, 2016. www.gov.uk/government/statistics/ndns-results-from-years-5-and-6-combined (2016, accessed 19 November 2017).
31. Lemaire JB, Wallace JE, Dinsmore K, et al. Physician nutrition and cognition during work hours: effect of a nutrition based intervention. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2010; 10: 241.
32. Health Survey of England 2012. *Physical Activity in Adults*. 18/12/2013. www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB13218/HSE2012-Ch2-Phys-act-adults.pdf (2012, accessed 19 November 2017).
33. Lear SA, Hu W, Rangarajan S, et al. The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE study. *Lancet*. Epub ahead of print 21 September 2017. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31634-3.
34. Department of Health. *Invisible patients: Report of the Working Group on the health of health professionals*, 2010. www.dh.gov.uk/publications (2010, accessed 19 November 2017).
35. Department of Health. *Good doctors, safer patients*. A report by the Chief Medical Officer, 2006. www.dh.gov.uk (2006, accessed 19 November 2017).
36. Fowler FJ. *Survey Research Methods*. SAGE, 2014.