



# The BIG protein distinguishes the process of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure from the inhibition of stomatal opening by CO<sub>2</sub>

Jingjing He<sup>1</sup>\*, Ruo-Xi Zhang<sup>1</sup>\*, Kai Peng<sup>2</sup>, Cecilia Tagliavia<sup>3</sup>, Siwen Li<sup>1</sup>, Shaowu Xue<sup>4</sup>, Amy Liu<sup>5</sup>, Honghong Hu<sup>4,5</sup>, Jingbo Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Katharine E. Hubbard<sup>5,6</sup>, Katrin Held<sup>7</sup>, Martin R. McAinsh<sup>3</sup>, Julie E. Gray<sup>8</sup>, Jörg Kudla<sup>7</sup>, Julian I. Schroeder<sup>5</sup>, Yun-Kuan Liang<sup>1</sup> and Alistair M. Hetherington<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Department of Plant Sciences, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; <sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Life Sciences Building, 24 Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TQ, UK; <sup>3</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK; <sup>4</sup>College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; <sup>5</sup>Cell and Developmental Biology Section, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA; <sup>6</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, University of Hull, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK; <sup>7</sup>Institut für Biologie und Biotechnologie der Pflanzen, Universität Münster, Schlossplatz 7, Münster 48149, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK

#### Summary

Authors for correspondence Yun-Kuan Liang Tel: +86 27 68752363 Email: ykliang@whu.edu.cn

Alistair M. Hetherington Tel: +44 117 3941188 Email: Alistair.Hetherington@bristol.ac.uk

Received: 1 July 2017 Accepted: 12 November 2017

*New Phytologist* (2018) **doi**: 10.1111/nph.14957

**Key words:** abscisic acid (ABA), *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *BIG* gene, CO<sub>2</sub> signaling, stomatal function, S-type anion channel.

We conducted an infrared thermal imaging-based genetic screen to identify Arabidopsis mutants displaying aberrant stomatal behavior in response to elevated concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>.
This approach resulted in the isolation of a novel allele of the Arabidopsis *BIG* locus (*At3g02260*) that we have called CO<sub>2</sub> insensitive 1 (cis1).

• *BIG* mutants are compromised in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure and bicarbonate activation of S-type anion channel currents. In contrast with the wild-type, they fail to exhibit reductions in stomatal density and index when grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. However, like the wild-type, *BIG* mutants display inhibition of stomatal opening when exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *BIG* mutants also display wild-type stomatal aperture responses to the closure-inducing stimulus abscisic acid (ABA).

• Our results indicate that BIG is a signaling component involved in the elevated  $CO_2$ mediated control of stomatal development. In the control of stomatal aperture by  $CO_2$ , BIG is only required in elevated  $CO_2$ -induced closure and not in the inhibition of stomatal opening by this environmental signal. These data show that, at the molecular level, the  $CO_2$ -mediated inhibition of opening and promotion of stomatal closure signaling pathways are separable and BIG represents a distinguishing element in these two  $CO_2$ -mediated responses.

#### Introduction

© 2018 The Authors

Stomata consist of a pair of guard cells that surround a central pore and serve to regulate water loss and the uptake of  $CO_2$ . Both the aperture of the stomatal pore and the number of stomata that develop on the leaf surface are controlled by environmental signals. By integrating external signals and local cues, stomata 'set' gas exchange to suit the prevailing environmental conditions (Hetherington & Woodward, 2003). One of the signals that controls stomatal aperture and influences stomatal development, in both the short and long term, is the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide ([ $CO_2$ ]) (Kim *et al.*, 2010; Franks *et al.*, 2012). In response to an increase in [ $CO_2$ ], stomatal aperture reduces, as, in general, do the number of stomata that develop on the surface of the leaves (Vavasseur & Raghavendra, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2010; Franks *et al.*, 2012). Understanding how the plant perceives changes in [ $CO_2$ ] and integrates this information with other

internal and external signals, resulting in the adjustments of stomatal aperture and density, is of key importance in the context of understanding the impact of global environmental change on plants (Assmann & Jegla, 2016).

Recently, we have begun to understand more about the underlying cellular mechanisms responsible for coupling increased  $[CO_2]$  to reduced stomatal conductance (Kim *et al.*, 2010; Assmann & Jegla, 2016; Engineer *et al.*, 2016). In this context, it is important to recognize that elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced reductions in stomatal conductance are the net result of two processes: the promotion of stomatal closure and the inhibition of stomatal opening (Assmann, 1993). These processes are separable; abscisic acid (ABA)-induced stomatal closure is distinct from ABA-inhibited stomatal opening (Allen *et al.*, 1999; Wang *et al.*, 2001; Mishra *et al.*, 2006). However, before the current work, it was not known whether this also applied to  $[CO_2]$ -induced changes in stomatal aperture.

There is evidence that the guard cell ABA and CO<sub>2</sub> signaling responsible for the inhibition of light-induced stomatal opening

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

pathways converge (Webb & Hetherington, 1997). It has been suggested that elevated [CO2] brings about its effects on stomatal aperture and development by accessing the ABA signaling pathway, because there is a requirement for both ABA and the ABA receptors of the PYR/RCAR family in these responses (Chater et al., 2015). There are other data suggesting that the early steps in CO2-mediated closure converge with ABA signaling downstream of ABA receptors and the two pathways influence each other on convergence (Xue et al., 2011; Merilo et al., 2013; Horak et al., 2016; Jakobson et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2016). Obviously, these processes are not mutually exclusive. Although the mechanism(s) through which the guard cell ABA signaling pathway is accessed is not fully understood, it has been possible to distinguish, on a genetic basis, components that function in CO2-mediated closure, but not in guard cell ABA signaling. In Arabidopsis, these include β-carbonic anhydrases which are encoded by the CA1 and CA4 genes (Hu et al., 2010), the protein kinase HT1 (HIGH LEAF TEMPERATURE 1) (Hashimoto et al., 2006), RHC1, a MATE transporter (Tian et al., 2015), and the MAP kinase MPK4 (Horak et al., 2016; Jakobson et al., 2016). Loss of the CAs, RHC1 and MPK4 impairs CO2-induced closure (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2015; Horak et al., 2016; Jakobson et al., 2016), whereas recessive ht1 alleles show a constitutive high CO<sub>2</sub> response (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Hashimoto-Sugimoto et al., 2016).

In 1987, Woodward discovered an inverse relationship between atmospheric  $[CO_2]$  and stomatal density (Woodward, 1987). We know less about the operation of this developmental signaling pathway, but the putative  $\beta$ -keto acyl CoA synthase encoded by the *HIC* gene is involved, as are the CO<sub>2</sub> Response Secreted Protease (CRSP), the  $\beta$ -carbonic anhydrases CA1 and CA4, and the peptide Epidermal Patterning Factor 2 (EPF2) (Gray *et al.*, 2000; Doheny-Adams *et al.*, 2012; Engineer *et al.*, 2014). Most recently, it has been shown that the activity of the reactive oxygen species (ROS)-producing NADPH oxidases, encoded by the *RBOHD* and *RBOHF* genes, is involved in the CO<sub>2</sub>-mediated reduction in stomatal density, as is ABA and the ABA receptors encoded by the PYR/RCAR family (Chater *et al.*, 2015).

During an infrared thermal imaging genetic screen in Arabidopsis (Wang et al., 2004), we isolated a novel allele of the BIG locus (At3g02260) that we name CO<sub>2</sub> insensitive 1 (cis1), which is compromised in both elevated [CO2]-induced closure and reduction in stomatal density. However, when challenged with ABA, cis1 displays reductions in stomatal aperture that are indistinguishable from the wild-type (WT), suggesting that BIG (CIS1) functions upstream of ABA or in an ABA-independent signaling pathway responsible for the control of stomatal aperture by CO<sub>2</sub>. We also found that the activation of the guard cell S-type anion channel by bicarbonate is compromised by the loss of BIG function. Furthermore, in contrast with elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]-mediated closure, the ability of elevated [CO2] to inhibit stomatal opening was not affected in this mutant. In summary, we have identified BIG as a new component in the signaling pathway responsible for the control of stomatal development by elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. We also show that BIG features in the signaling pathway through

which elevated  $[CO_2]$  controls stomatal aperture. Importantly, we show that BIG is only involved in elevated  $[CO_2]$ -induced stomatal closure and is not involved in the inhibition of stomatal opening by this environmental signal or in stomatal responses to ABA. These results show that, at the molecular level, these pathways are separable, with BIG representing a component that distinguishes these two  $CO_2$ -mediated responses.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant growth

All Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana* L.) lines used were in the Columbia background (Col-0). Seeds of *doc1-1* and *big-1* were obtained from NASC (the European Arabidopsis Stock Centre, http://arabidopsis.org.uk). Seed germination and plant growth were performed as described previously (Liang *et al.*, 2010).

#### Mutant screen

To identify genes required for stomatal CO<sub>2</sub> responses, we screened 20 000 seeds from an Arabidopsis EMS (ethyl methanesulfonate) M2 population representing 40 independent pools (each pool corresponding to c. 1000 M1 plants) by infrared thermal imaging (Wang et al., 2004; Xie et al., 2006). Screening was carried out on 3-4-wk-old plants in a purpose-built chamber  $(84 \times 68 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3)$ , located inside a controlled environment room. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the chamber was controlled externally from CO<sub>2</sub> cylinders. Air flow in the chamber was maintained at  $0.03 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  using fans. Relative humidity inside the chamber was c. 60%, temperature was 22°C and light intensity was 120  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Plants were placed in the chamber and exposed to 360 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>] (360 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>] cylinder (balanced air mixture)). After 40 min, thermal images were captured and the plants were then exposed to 1500 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>] (1500 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>] cylinder (balanced air mixture)) for a further 40 min, and thermal images were captured. Pairs of images were compared to identify putative CO<sub>2</sub> response mutants. Infrared thermal imaging was performed using an Inframetrics middle infrared (3.4-5 µm) camera model SC1000E (FLIR Systems Inc., Wilsonville, OR, USA). Images were stored in a ThermaCam Image file format (IMG) and analysed with the ThermaCam<sup>TM</sup> RESEARCHER 2001 software (FLIR Systems). Mutants exhibiting altered leaf thermal profiles compared with WT were selected, self-pollinated, and seeds (M3) were collected for further investigation. Backcross seeds (F1s) were obtained using mutant lines as female and Col-0 as male. F2 was used for segregation analysis. Mutants segregating in F<sub>2</sub> were backcrossed to WT Col-0 for another two generations before being used for fine mapping and phenotyping.

#### Map-based mutant gene cloning

*cis1* mutants were outcrossed to WT plants in the Landberg *erecta* background (L*er*) and the segregating  $F_2$  seedlings were screened using infrared thermography. A total of 868 *cis1* mutants were used for mapping. Twenty-two simple sequence length

polymorphism (SSLP) markers were used for bulked segregant analysis as described previously (Lukowitz et al., 2000). The Arabidopsis single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) collections (http://www.arabidopsis.org/) were used to design SSLP, cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) and derived CAPS (dCAPS) markers for fine mapping. The mutation was narrowed down to a c. 100-kb region at the top arm of chromosome III between SSLP marker nga172 and CAPS marker CA1, and is adjacent to SSLP marker nga32. T-DNA insertion lines representing all the annotated genes within this region were obtained from NASC and screened using infrared thermal imaging. A T-DNA insertion line (SALK\_105495) of At3g02260 which also showed morphological similarity to the mutant 'cis1' was identified. We performed an allelism test using the F<sub>1</sub> progeny of the *cis1* and big-1 (SALK\_105495) cross using thermal imaging. This confirmed that cis1 and big-1 are allelic to each other. We used PCRbased genotyping and gene sequencing to confirm the presence of a T-DNA insertion in gene At3g02260 of the SALK\_105495 line and a single point mutation in gene At3g02260 of the cis1 mutant.

### Measurements of stomatal density, index, aperture and cell viability

Stomatal density and index were measured on leaf abaxial surfaces as described previously (Chater *et al.*, 2015). The effect of  $CO_2$  on stomatal aperture was measured using the isolated epidermal strip bioassay technique as described previously (Chater *et al.*, 2015). Forty stomatal pores were measured per treatment in three separate replicated tests. To avoid experimenter bias, all the aperture measurements were performed blind. Cell viability was assessed as described in Chater *et al.* (2015). Experiments on independently grown plant material were carried out three times and data were analysed by SIGMAPLOT 10 (Systat Software Inc. San Jose, CA, USA).

#### Gas exchange measurements

Time-resolved stomatal conductance analyses of intact leaves of 5-wk-old plants were conducted using a Li-6400 gas exchange analyzer with a fluorometer chamber (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA), as described by Hu *et al.* (2010). The photon flux density was set at 150 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; temperature and relative humidity were held at 21°C and *c.* 60–70%, respectively. Stomatal conductance was stabilized at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> (as ambient concentration) for 30 min and then shifted to 800 ppm for another 30 min before being shifted to 100 ppm for 1.5 h. Data shown are the means  $\pm$  SE, n=4 leaves for each genotype.

#### Patch clamp experiments

Arabidopsis guard cell protoplasts were isolated according to the procedure described previously (Siegel *et al.*, 2009). The whole-cell currents were recorded using a patch clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B) and a digitizer (Digidata 1550) (Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). CO<sub>2</sub>/bicarbonate-activated S-type anion currents were recorded as described previously (Xue *et al.*, 2011). The bath solution contained 30 mM CsCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM

CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 10 mM Mes/Tris, pH 5.6. The pipette solution contained 150 mM CsCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 6.7 mM ethyleneglycol-bis ( $\beta$ -aminoethylether)-*N*,*N*-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 6.03 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2  $\mu$ M free Ca<sup>2+</sup>), 5 mM Mg-ATP, 10 mM HEPES/Tris, pH 7.1. Bicarbonate was freshly added to the pipette solution before patching the protoplasts each day. At pH 7.1, 11.5 mM free bicarbonate was balanced with 2 mM free CO<sub>2</sub> in the pipette solution. For more details, please consult Xue *et al.* (2011).

### Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA from aerial parts of the plants was prepared using an RNeasy total RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and digested with RNase-free DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. Waltham, MA, USA); the absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by PCR using RNA as template without reverse transcription. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using Superscript II<sup>®</sup> reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and oligo  $d(T)_{15-18}$  (Promega (Beijing) Biotech Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) mRNA primer with 1 µg of total RNA as the template. cDNA corresponding to 20 ng of total RNA and 300 nM of each primer were used in PCRs. The primers for RT-PCR amplification of BIG fragments were: primer pair1, F1 (5'-CAGCAAGCTCTATACCTTCAG-3') and R1 (5'-TCCATCC ATCCACTCAACTC-3'); primer pair 2, F2 (5'-GTCTTCT ACTTCACTGACCAACTCC-3') and R2 (5'-TCCATCTTC TTCTTCCTCTACATCC-3'); Actin7 was amplified with forward primer (5'-TGTTCCCAAGTATTGTTGGTCGTC-3') and reverse primer (5'-TGCTGAGGGATGCAAGGA TTGA TC-3') as a loading control. The PCR conditions were as follows: one cycle (94°C, 5 min), 35 cycles (94°C, 30 s; 62°C, 30 s; 72°C, 1 min), one cycle (72°C, 7 min). Quantitative PCR was carried out on an Mx3005P (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) or an ECO (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) real-time PCR thermal cycler in a total reaction volume of 20 µl using the SYBR green dye PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the conditions 95°C for 10 min, 40 two-step cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, followed by dissociation melting curve analysis to determine the PCR specificity. The gene-specific primers used for BIG were: F, 5'-GAATGGGAAGGAGCTATGTTG-3'; R, 5'-GATACTGTG CTAAGGGAACTG-3'; for Actin3 (At3g53750), the primers were: F, 5'-GGCAGAATATGAT GAGTCAGG-3'; R, 5'-AAAGAAGAGCAGAGAACGAAG-3'. The relative RNA levels were calculated from cycle threshold  $(C_{\rm T})$  values according to the  $\Delta C_{\rm T}$  method, and relative target mRNA levels were normalized to Actin3 mRNA levels. Reactions were repeated independently three times with similar results.

#### Results

### The *cis1* mutant is involved in the response of stomatal conductance to elevated $CO_2$

To understand the underlying cellular basis of the effect of elevated  $CO_2$  on stomatal development and function, we carried

out a forward genetic screen using infrared thermography. We reasoned that mutants failing to exhibit reductions in aperture, in this case induced by exposure to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], would be visible because they would exhibit reduced leaf temperature as a result of increased leaf evapotranspiration relative to WT (Darwin, 1904). Infrared thermography has been used previously to isolate mutants carrying lesions in stomatal responses to ABA (Raskin & Ladyman, 1988; Merlot et al., 2002), reduced atmospheric relative humidity (Xie et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2010) and CO<sub>2</sub> (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Negi et al., 2008). Using this approach, we screened M2 plants from an EMS-mutagenized population of Arabidopsis and identified *cis1* that displayed significantly lower leaf surface temperature (0.68°C) relative to WT when challenged for 40 min with 1500 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 1a,b). Genetic analysis revealed that this phenotype was caused by a single recessive Mendelian mutation (data not shown). To investigate the lesion in the *cis1* mutant further, we measured the stomatal conductance (gs). Figure 1(c,d) shows that, in WT, challenge with 800 ppm  $CO_2$  results in a reduction in  $g_s$ , whereas the response is attenuated in cis1. By contrast, both cis1 and WT display an increase in gs when exposed to low (100 ppm) CO2. We confirmed this response in big-1, a second independent allele of cis1 (Supporting Information Fig. S1). These data suggest that the cis1 mutant is compromised in the stomatal response to elevated  $[CO_2].$ 

#### Identification of the CIS1 gene locus

We performed map-based gene cloning to identify the *CIS1* locus, and mapped the mutation to a 107-kb region of chromosome III close to the *doc1* mutations (data not shown; Gil *et al.*, 2001). Seeds for T-DNA insertion lines of all annotated genes within this region were obtained from NASC and screened using infrared thermal imaging. A T-DNA insertion line (SALK\_105495) of *At3g02260* was identified that displayed similar thermal behavior to the *cis1* mutant. Sequencing of *cis1* revealed a single point mutation (G to A substitution) in locus *At3g02260* localized at a splicing acceptor site at position +8542 (GT...AG to GT...AA) (Fig. 2a), which resulted in alternative spliced mRNAs as shown in Fig. S2. Real-time quantitative PCR revealed that, compared with WT, *cis1* (*At3g02260*) gene transcript abundance was reduced to a third (Fig. 2b).

At3g02260 has previously been named *BIG* and is annotated as encoding a large protein of 5098 amino acids, containing multiple conserved functional domains including three putative Znfinger domains (Kanyuka *et al.*, 2003; Kasajima *et al.*, 2007). Our sequencing revealed that the original annotation is incorrect, as the open reading frame of *BIG* is 63 bp shorter than predicted, because 30 bp of the sequence of intron 1, 21 bp of intron 5 and 12 bp of intron 7 had been annotated as part of the respective neighboring exons (Notes S1). Hence, the *BIG* open reading frame (ORF) is 15 234 bp long, encoding a putative 5077amino-acid peptide, as predicted by Gil *et al.* (2001).

Many alleles of *big* mutants, for example *ga6*, *tir3*, *doc1*, *asr1*, *lpr1*, *elk1*, *asa1*, *umb1*, *crm1* and *rao3*, have been independently

isolated. All mutants are characterized by deficient organ elongation (dwarfism) and have altered root architecture, reduced apical dominance, defects in light responses and aberrant auxin transport. They also show altered sensitivities to GA, cytokinin, ethylene, low phosphate and water withholding treatments (Li *et al.*, 1994; Ruegger *et al.*, 1997; Sponsel *et al.*, 1997; Gil *et al.*, 2001; Lease *et al.*, 2001; Kanyuka *et al.*, 2003; López-Bucio *et al.*, 2005; Kasajima *et al.*, 2007; Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2007; Ivanova *et al.*, 2014). Interestingly, insects and mammals possess homologs of the BIG protein and these are involved in signaling. Calossin/Pushover in *Drosophila melanogaster* and mammalian p600/UBR4 are homologs of BIG, both of which have a calmodulin (CaM) -binding domain and are probably involved in Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling (Xu *et al.*, 1998; Parsons *et al.*, 2015).

To confirm the identity of *cis1*, we obtained two additional mutant alleles of *BIG. doc1-1* was originally isolated in a genetic screen for components of light signaling and harbors a single base change from G to A at position +5514 (Fig. 2a), resulting in a change from a conserved cysteine (Cys) residue to tyrosine (Tyr). This missense *BIG* mutation perturbs auxin transport and plant growth (Gil *et al.*, 2001) but, in our quantitative PCR analysis, no change to the transcript abundance of *BIG* was detected (Fig. 2b). *big-1* harbors a T-DNA insertion in exon 9 before position +13 617 of the *BIG* gene (Kasajima *et al.*, 2007) (Fig. 2a). We detected no *BIG* transcript in this mutant by quantitative PCR (Fig. 2b).

### BIG is also involved in the control of stomatal development by elevated $\mathrm{CO}_2$

The data in Fig. 3(a) show that stomatal and epidermal pavement cell densities are greater in the BIG mutant alleles than in WT  $(P \le 0.001)$ . This reflects the fact that both guard cells and epidermal cells were significantly smaller than in WT (data not shown). Stomatal development is controlled by CO<sub>2</sub>, with stomatal density and index typically reduced in plants grown under elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Woodward, 1987; Woodward & Kelly, 1995). We next investigated whether BIG has a role to play in the control of stomatal development by elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. In WT, growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] resulted in a decrease in stomatal density and index (Fig. 3b,c). In marked contrast, under the same conditions, growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] resulted in significant increases in both stomatal density and index in the BIG mutants (Fig. 3b,c). These data suggest that, in addition to controlling stomatal aperture, BIG is also required for the reduction in stomatal density and index caused by higher than ambient  $[CO_2]$ .

The *BIG* protein is involved in the signaling pathway by which elevated  $[CO_2]$  induces stomatal closure, but not in the pathway through which elevated  $[CO_2]$  inhibits stomatal opening.

The results from the gas exchange experiments (Fig. 1c,d) prompted us to make direct measurements of stomatal responsiveness by quantifying changes in stomatal aperture (Chater *et al.*, 2015). Figure 4(a) shows that, in contrast with WT, the stomata of *cis1*, *big-1* and *doc1-1* mutants failed to close when subjected to 700 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. These data indicate that BIG is required for elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure. Elevated



**Fig. 1** The  $CO_2$  insensitive 1 (*cis1*) mutant displays a lower leaf surface temperature under elevated  $CO_2$  than wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis. (a) Infrared thermograms showing that the leaf surface temperature of the *cis1* mutant is lower than that of WT when the plants are exposed to 1500 ppm  $CO_2$ . (b) The average leaf surface temperature of the *cis1* mutant is *c*. 0.68°C lower than that of the WT plant when both are exposed to 1500 ppm  $CO_2$ . Bars are mean  $\pm$  SE (Student's *t*-test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n = 20). (c) In contrast with WT, the *cis1* mutant fails to display elevated (800 ppm)  $CO_2$ -induced reduction in stomatal conductance, but exhibits a WT response when exposed to low (100 ppm)  $CO_2$ . Bars are mean  $\pm$  SE (representative data, n = 4). (d) Relative stomatal conductance in (c). Bars are mean  $\pm$  SE (representative data are presented, n = 4).



**Fig. 2**  $CO_2$  insensitive 1 (*cis1*) is a new allele of the *BIG* gene in Arabidopsis. (a) Schematic structure of the *BIG* gene. The intron and exon organization of the *BIG* gene shown was determined by comparison of the cDNAs obtained by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and genomic sequences from the Arabidopsis wild-type (WT) Col-0. Boxes indicate exons and lines between boxes indicate introns. The locations of the single base mutations and T-DNA insertion of *cis1*, *doc1-1* and *big-1* are indicated. Diagram not to scale. \* Indicates the site of point mutation. (b) The relative mRNA levels of *BIG* mutant alleles obtained by quantitative PCR with a pair of primers (F and R) with binding sites shown in (a). Values are mean  $\pm$  SE, n = 3.\*\* Indicates the difference is statistically significant ,  $P \le 0.001$ .

 $[CO_2]$  is also known to inhibit light-induced stomatal opening (Mansfield *et al.*, 1990). In contrast with  $CO_2$ -induced stomatal closure, the inhibition of light-induced stomatal opening of the

BIG mutants was similar to that of WT (Fig. 4b). The specific role of the *BIG* gene in the pathway by which elevated  $[CO_2]$ brings about stomatal closure is highlighted by our observation that the series of allelic mutants all display WT behavior in response to ABA. This holds for both ABA-induced stomatal closure and the inhibition by ABA of light-induced stomatal opening (Fig. 4c,d). The intact stomatal ABA response as well as the impaired CO<sub>2</sub> response were both observed in more than one of our laboratories, underlining the robustness of the CO<sub>2</sub> specificity of the stomatal phenotype in *big* mutant alleles.

### BIG is required for the activation of S-type anion channels by elevated bicarbonates

S-type anion channels are recognized as one of the main players in guard cell signaling. They mediate the release of anions from guard cells and promote stomatal closure in response to diverse stimuli, including increased  $[CO_2]$  (Kollist *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2016). An increase in the cytoplasmic bicarbonate concentration activates S-type anion channels in guard cells and correlates with elevated  $[CO_2]$ -induced stomatal closure in diverse mutant backgrounds (Vahisalu *et al.*, 2008; Xue *et al.*, 2011; Merilo *et al.*, 2013). To understand the role of BIG in guard cell signaling further, we investigated whether the activation of S-type anion channels by applied bicarbonate was impaired by mutations in *BIG*. In WT guard cell protoplasts, large anion currents were recorded when the pipette solution contained 11.5 mM free bicarbonate (Fig. 5b). However, in guard cell protoplasts of the *doc1-1* and *big-1* mutant alleles, lower anion currents were



**Fig. 3** *BIG* gene mutants have higher stomatal density than wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis. (a) Compared with WT, *BIG* mutants exhibit increased stomata and epidermal pavement cells ('Epidermis') density when grown at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Mann–Whitney rank sum test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n = 72). (b) Stomatal density of WT and *BIG* mutant seedlings grown at ambient (450 ppm) and elevated (1000 ppm) [CO<sub>2</sub>]. When grown at 1000 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>], the mean stomatal density of WT was significantly reduced compared with growth at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] (error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Mann–Whitney rank sum test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n > 20)), whereas, in the *BIG* gene alleles, stomatal density increased in these conditions (error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Student's *t*-test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n > 20)). (c) Stomatal index of WT and *BIG* mutant seedlings grown at 450 ppm and 1000 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>]. When grown at 1000 ppm, the mean stomatal index of WT was significantly reduced compared with growth at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] (error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Student's *t*-test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n > 20)), whereas, in the *BIG* gene mutants eedlings grown at 450 ppm and 1000 ppm [CO<sub>2</sub>]. When grown at 1000 ppm, the mean stomatal index of WT was significantly reduced compared with growth at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] (error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Student's *t*-test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n > 20)), whereas, in the *BIG* gene mutants, the stomatal index increased in these conditions (error bars represent  $\pm$  SE (Student's *t*-test, or Mann–Whitney rank sum test, \*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ , n > 20)).

activated by the same concentration of bicarbonate in the pipette solution (Fig. 5e,h). At a voltage of -145 mV, the average activated currents were  $-39.7 \pm 4.6$  pA for WT (Fig. 5c),  $-20.0 \pm 2.0$  pA for the *doc1-1* mutant (Fig. 5f) and  $-16.8 \pm 1.8$  pA for the *big-1* mutant (Fig. 5i). The differences between WT and each mutant allele of *BIG* were statistically significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ). These results demonstrate that the BIG protein is required for elevated intracellular bicarbonate-induced activation of guard cell plasma membrane S-type anion channel currents that function in CO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure and further reinforce the importance of BIG in stomatal closure.

#### Discussion

## BIG is involved in stomatal closure induced by elevated $CO_2$ , but not in elevated $CO_2$ -induced inhibition of stomatal opening

We conducted a genetic screen that resulted in the identification of a novel allele of the *BIG* gene that we call *CIS1*, which plays a regulatory role in stomatal function and development. Our phenotypic analyses revealed that *CIS1* is involved in the reduction in stomatal conductance induced by elevated  $CO_2$  (Figs 1b,c, S1). On the surface of a leaf, during the day, stomata are exposed to frequently conflicting signals from the environment. Guard cells integrate these signals and

closely, in the case of stomatal closure, it is necessary to stimulate the processes associated with the loss of guard cell turgor, whilst simultaneously inhibiting the cellular reactions involved in solute accumulation and stomatal opening. The opening and closure responses are physiologically distinct and are not the reverse of each other (Assmann, 1993; Li et al., 2000). When we investigated the role of BIG in these processes, we found, intriguingly, that it was only involved in elevated CO2-induced stomatal closure. In marked contrast, all of the BIG mutants exhibited WT behavior in our bioassay of CO<sub>2</sub> inhibition of light-stimulated stomatal opening (Fig. 4a,b). To extend our investigation of the role of BIG in the regulation of stomatal aperture, we also investigated whether it played a role in stomatal closure induced by ABA. The data in Fig. 4(c,d) clearly indicate that BIG is not involved in ABA-promoted closure or in ABA-inhibited lightinduced opening. Because BIG encodes a protein which, in guard cells, is only involved in CO2-induced closure and not CO<sub>2</sub>-inhibited opening, this makes it possible, at the molecular level, to distinguish, and to start to define, these different processes. In this sense, these data fit well with the observation that, in molecular terms, ABA-induced stomatal closure is distinct from the inhibition of opening by ABA. Examples include GPA1, which is involved in ABA inhibition of

the overall result is the optimization of gas exchange under

the prevailing environmental conditions. Looking at this more

Fig. 4 Stomatal responses of BIG gene mutants to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or exogenous abscisic acid (ABA) in Arabidopsis. (a) Elevated CO2-induced stomatal closure is impaired in BIG gene mutants. Values are mean  $\pm$  SE (Mann–Whitney rank sum test, \*\*, *P* ≤ 0.001, *n* = 40). (b) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>induced inhibition of stomatal opening is not compromised in BIG gene mutants. Error bars represent SE (n = 40). (c) ABA-induced stomatal closure is not compromised in BIG gene mutants. Bars are mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 40). (d) The inhibition of light-induced stomatal opening by ABA is not compromised in BIG gene mutants. Values are mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 40). WT, wild-type.



opening, but not in closure (Wang et al., 2001), a sphingosine-1-phosphate phosphatase, long-chain base phosphate lyase double mutant (sppasedpl1), which displays WT behavior during ABA-induced closure, but is slightly impaired in the ABA inhibition of stomatal opening response (Worrall et al., 2008), PI-phospholipase C, which is involved in the ABAinhibition of opening, but not closure (Mills et al., 2004), and the observation that some members of the PYR/PYL ABA receptor family involved in stomatal opening inhibition are different from those involved in stomatal closure induction (Yin et al., 2013). The second striking result to emerge from these experiments is that BIG is not involved in ABA-induced reductions in stomatal aperture (Fig. 4c,d). This suggests that the BIG protein lies upstream of the point of convergence of the guard cell CO<sub>2</sub> and ABA signaling pathways (Webb & Hetherington, 1997; Xue et al., 2011; Merilo et al., 2013; Chater et al., 2015; Jakobson et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2016). Looking downstream of the point of convergence, it is well known that both ABA- and CO2-induced stomatal closure involve the activation of slow anion channels (Kim et al., 2010; Assmann & Jegla, 2016; Engineer et al., 2016). Our data reveal that mutations in BIG depressed the activation of S-type anion channels by bicarbonate (Fig. 5), in line with the impaired elevated [CO2]-induced stomatal closure. A recent study by Yamamoto et al. (2016) has provided evidence that different parts of SLAC1 are separately responsible for sensing ABA and CO2 signals. It is the transmembrane domain of SLAC1 channels that perceives CO<sub>2</sub> signals, in contrast with the N- and C-terminal ends of SLAC1 which are responsible for ABA signaling in Arabidopsis (Brandt et al., 2015;

Yamamoto *et al.*, 2016). Further investigation is needed to determine whether the activation of S-type anion channels by ABA is affected by the loss of *BIG* gene function.

### BIG is also involved in the control of stomatal development by elevated $\mbox{CO}_2$

Figure 3(a) shows that mutations in BIG result in significant increases in guard and epidermal pavement cell densities, consistent with the findings of Guo et al. (2013). Growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] typically results in a reduction in stomatal index and density (Hetherington & Woodward, 2003; Assmann & Jegla, 2016; Engineer et al., 2016). The results in Fig. 3(b,c) clearly show that, in marked contrast with WT, the stomatal indices and density of BIG mutants increased when the plants were grown at 1000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. The epidermal cell densities in the mutants remained significantly higher than those of WT at this elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. S3). It is likely, as with *ßca1ca4*, epf2 and hic mutants (Gray et al., 2000; Engineer et al., 2014), that loss of BIG function relieves the elevated [CO2]-mediated repression of stomatal development. How might BIG bring about an effect on CO<sub>2</sub>mediated stomatal development? One possibility that would merit future investigation is that this is an auxin-related response. The BIG gene has been reported to encode a protein associated with auxin transport (Gil et al., 2001; Kanyuka et al., 2003) and is specifically required in the process by which auxin inhibits endocytosis and promotes its own efflux from cells (Paciorek et al., 2005). In this context, it is worth noting that evidence is emerging that auxin inhibits stomatal development. Mutants disrupted in the TAA1/TAR auxin biosynthesis or polar auxin



**Fig. 5** Bicarbonate-activated S-type anion currents were suppressed in *BIG* mutant guard cell protoplasts. (a) Typical recording in wild-type (WT) guard cell protoplasts without bicarbonate. (b) Typical recording of 11.5 mM [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]<sub>1</sub>-activated S-type anion currents in WT guard cell protoplasts. (c) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in (a) (open circles, n = 5) and (b) (closed circles, n = 7). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE. (d) Representative recording in *doc1-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts without bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (e) Representative whole-cell current recording in *doc1-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts with 11.5 mM [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]<sub>1</sub> added to the pipette solution. (f) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in (d) (open circles, n = 5) and (e) (closed circles, n = 8). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE. (g) Representative recording in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts without bicarbonate added to the pipette solution in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts without bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (i) Representative recording in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts without bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (ii) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts with 11.5 mM [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]<sub>1</sub> bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (i) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts with 11.5 mM [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]<sub>1</sub> bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (i) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in *big-1* mutant guard cell protoplasts with 11.5 mM [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]<sub>1</sub> bicarbonate added to the pipette solution. (ii) Average current–voltage relationships of whole-cell currents as recording in (g) (open circles, n = 6) and (h) (closed circles, n = 8). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE.

transport and auxin signaling, as observed in multiple *tir1/afb* auxin receptor mutants, cause stomatal clustering (Balcerowicz *et al.*, 2014; Le *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2014). However, we observed no stomatal clustering in the *cis1* and related mutants. Further work will be required to reveal whether disruptions to auxin signaling underlie the BIG stomatal mutant phenotype.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that, in Arabidopsis, the BIG protein is involved in the elevated  $[CO_2]$ -mediated control of stomatal closure and density. Our results reveal that we have identified a component which is involved in the signaling pathway by which elevated  $CO_2$  promotes stomatal closure. However, BIG is not involved in the elevated  $[CO_2]$ -mediated inhibition of light-induced opening or in stomatal closure initiated by ABA. These data indicate that elevated  $[CO_2]$ -mediated closure and inhibition of opening are, in molecular terms, distinguishable. Our data suggest that BIG lies upstream of the point of

convergence of ABA and  $CO_2$ , or resides in an as yet undefined parallel signaling pathway that converges at or above the SLAC1 ion channel.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Professor H.M.O. Leyser (University of Cambridge, UK) for the gift of the EMS-mutagenized Arabidopsis population. Y-K.L. acknowledges the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFD0100600) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31171356, 31470360) for providing research funding. A.M.H. and J.E.G. acknowledge the support of the UK Biotechnological and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/J002364/1). Research in J.I.S.'s laboratory was supported by the National Science Foundation (MCB-16162360 and National Institutes of Health (NIH) (GM060396) grants. Research in J.K.'s laboratory was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). S.X. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31670267, 31770283). J.K., A.M.H. and J.I.S. acknowledge support from Human Frontier Science Program (HFSP). H.H. received support from the 1000-talents Plan for young researchers from China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2662017PY034).

#### **Author contributions**

A.M.H. conceived the study. Y-K.L. and A.M.H. designed the research. Y-K.L., J.H., R-X.Z., K.P., C.T., S.L., S.X., A.L., H.H., J.Z., K.E.H. and K.H. conducted the experiments. J.H., J.K., M.R.M., J.E.G., J.I.S., Y-K.L. and A.M.H. analyzed the data. A.M.H., Y-K.L. and J.E.G. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

#### ORCID

Yun-Kuan Liang D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5869-5931 Alistair M. Hetherington D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6060-9203

#### References

- Allen GJ, Kwak JM, Chu SP, Llopis J, Tsien RY, Harper JF, Schroeder JI. 1999. Cameleon calcium indicator reports cytoplasmic calcium dynamics in Arabidopsis guard cells. *Plant Journal* 19: 735–747.
- Assmann SM. 1993. Signal transduction in guard cells. Annual Review of Cell Biology 9: 345–375.
- Assmann SM, Jegla, T. 2016. Guard cell sensory systems: recent insights on stomatal responses to light, abscisic acid, and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 33: 157–167.
- Balcerowicz M, Ranjan A, Rupprecht L, Fiene G, Hoecker U. 2014. Auxin represses stomatal development in dark-grown seedlings via Aux/IAA proteins. *Development* 141: 3165–3176.
- Brandt B, Munemasa S, Wang C, Nguyen D, Yong T, Yang PG, Poretsky E, Belknap TF, Waadt R, Aleman F *et al.* 2015. Calcium specificity signaling mechanisms in abscisic acid signal transduction in Arabidopsis guard cells. *eLife* 4: 03599.
- Chater C, Peng K, Movahedi M, Dunn JA, Walker HJ, Liang Y-K, McLachlan DH, Casson S, Isner JC, Wilson I *et al.* 2015. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced responses in stomata require ABA and ABA signaling. *Current Biology* 25: 2709–2716.
- Darwin F. 1904. On a self-recording method applied to the movements of stomata. *Botanical Gazette* 37: 81–105.
- Doheny-Adams T, Hunt L, Franks PJ, Beerling DJ, Gray JE. 2012. Genetic manipulation of stomatal density influences stomatal size, plant growth and tolerance to restricted water supply across a growth carbon dioxide gradient. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 367: 547–555.
- Engineer CB, Ghassemian M, Anderson JC, Peck SC, Hu H, Schroeder JI. 2014. Carbonic anhydrases, EPF2 and a novel protease mediate CO<sub>2</sub> control of stomatal development. *Nature* 513: 246–250.
- Engineer CB, Hashimoto-Sugimoto M, Negi J, Israelsson-Nordström M, Azoulay-Shemer T, Rappel WJ, Iba K, Schroeder JI. 2016. CO<sub>2</sub> sensing and CO<sub>2</sub> regulation of stomatal conductance: advances and open questions. *Trends in Plant Science* 21: 16–30.

- **Franks PJ, Leitch IL, Ruszala EM, Hetherington AM, Beerling DJ. 2012.** Physiological framework for adaptation of stomata to CO<sub>2</sub> from glacial to future concentrations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **36**7: 537–546.
- Gil P, Dewey E, Friml J, Zhao Y, Snowden KC, Putterill J, Palme K, Estelle M, Chory J. 2001. BIG: a calossin-like protein required for polar auxin transport in *Arabidopsis. Genes Development* 15: 1985–1997.
- Gray JE, Holroyd GH, Van Der Lee FM, Baharmi AR, Sijmons PC, Woodward FI, Schuch W, Hetherington AM. 2000. The HIC signalling pathway links CO<sub>2</sub> perception to stomatal development. *Nature* 408: 713–716.
- Guo X, Lu W, Ma Y, Qin Q, Hou S. 2013. The BIG gene is required for auxinmediated organ growth in Arabidopsis. *Planta* 237: 1135–1147.
- Hashimoto M, Negi J, Young J, Israelsson M, Schroeder JI, Iba K. 2006. Arabidopsis HT1 kinase controls stomatal movements in response to CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature Cell Biology* 8: 391–397.
- Hashimoto-Sugimoto M, Negi J, Monda K, Higaki T, Isogai Y, Nakano T, Hasezawa S, Iba K. 2016. Dominant and recessive mutations in the Raf-like kinase HT1 gene completely disrupt stomatal responses to CO<sub>2</sub> in *Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany* 67: 3251–3261.
- Hetherington AM, Woodward FI. 2003. The role of stomata in sensing and driving environmental change. *Nature* 424: 901–908.
- Hõrak H, Sierla M, Töldsepp K, Wang C, Wang Y-S, Nuhkat M, Valk E, Pechter P, Merilo E, Salojärvi J *et al.* 2016. A dominant mutation in the HT1 kinase uncovers roles of MAP kinases and GHR1 in CO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure. *Plant Cell* 28: 2493–2509.
- Hu HH, Boisson-Dernier A, Israelsson-Nordstrom M, Böhmer M, Xue S, Ries A, Godoski J, Kuhn JM, Schroeder JI. 2010. Carbonic anhydrases are upstream regulators of CO<sub>2</sub>-controlled stomatal movements in guard cells. *Nature Cell Biology* 12: 87–93.
- Ivanova A, Law SR, Narsai R, Duncan O, Lee JH, Zhang B, Van Aken O, Radomiljac JD, Van Der Merwe M, Yi K *et al.* 2014. A functional antagonistic relationship between auxin and mitochondrial retrograde signaling regulates ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiology* 165: 1233–1254.
- Jakobson L, Vaahtera L, Tõldsepp K, Nuhkat M, Wang C, Wan YS, Hõrak H, Valk E, Pechter P, Sindarovska Y *et al.* 2016. Natural variation in *Arabidopsis* Cvi-0 accession reveals an important role of MPK12 in guard cell CO<sub>2</sub> signaling. *PLoS Biology* 14: e2000322.
- Kanyuka K, Praekelt U, Franklin KA, Billingham OE, Hooley R, Whitelam GC, Halliday KJ. 2003. Mutations in the huge Arabidopsis gene BIG affect a range of hormone and light responses. *Plant Journal* 35: 57–70.
- Kasajima I, Ohkama-Ohtsun N, Ide Y, Hayashi H, Yoneyama T, Suzuki Y, Naito S, Fujiwara T. 2007. The *BIG* gene is involved in regulation of sulfur deficiency-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Physiologia Plantarum* 129: 351–363.
- Kim TH, Böhmer M, Hu HH, Nishimura N, Schroeder JI. 2010. Guard cell signal transduction network: advances in understanding abscisic acid, CO<sub>2</sub>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **61**: 561–591.
- Kollist H, Jossier M, Laanemets K, Thomine S. 2011. Anion channels in plant cells. *FEBS Journal* 278: 4277–4292.
- Le J, Liu XG, Yang KZ, Chen XL, Zou JJ, Wang HZ, Wang M, Vanneste S, Morita M, Tasaka M et al. 2014. Auxin transport and activity regulate stomatal patterning and development. *Nature Communications* 5: 3090.
- Lease KA, Wen JQ, Li J, Doke JT, Liscum E, Walker JC. 2001. A mutant Arabidopsis heterotrimeric G-protein  $\beta$  subunit affects leaf, flower, and fruit development. *Plant Cell* 13: 2631–2641.
- Li H-M, Altschmied L, Chory J. 1994. Arabidopsis mutants define downstream branches in the phototransduction pathway. *Genes Development* 8: 339–349.
- Li J, Wang XQ, Watson MB, Assmann SM. 2000. Regulation of abscisic acidinduced stomatal closure and anion channels by guard cell AAPK kinase. *Science* 287: 300–303.
- Liang Y-K, Xie XD, Lindsay SE, Wang YB, Masle J, Williamson L, Leyser O, Hetherington AM. 2010. Cell wall composition contributes to the control of transpiration efficiency in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Journal* 64: 679–686.
- López-Bucio J, Hernández-Abreu E, Sánchez-Calderón L, Pérez-Torres A, Rampey RA, Bartel B, Herrera-Estrella L. 2005. An auxin transport

independent pathway is involved in phosphate stress-induced root architectural alterations in Arabidopsis. Identification of BIG as a mediator of auxin in pericycle cell activation. *Plant Physiology* **137**: 681–691.

Lukowitz W, Gillmor CS, Scheibel W-R. 2000. Positional cloning in Arabidopsis. Why it feels good to have a genome initiative working for you. Plant Physiology 123: 795–806.

Mansfield TA, Hetherington AM, Atkinson CJ. 1990. Some current aspects of stomatal physiology. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 41: 55–75.

Merilo E, Laanemets K, Hu HH, Xue S, Jakobson L, Tulva I, Gonzalez-Guzman M, Rodriguez PL, Schroeder JI, Broschè M *et al.* 2013. PYR/RCAR receptors contribute to ozone-, reduced air humidity-, darkness-, and CO<sub>2</sub>induced stomatal regulation. *Plant Physiology* 162: 1652–1668.

Merlot S, Mustilli AC, Gently B, North H, Lefebvre V, Sotta B, Vavasseur A, Giraudat J. 2002. Use of infrared thermal imaging to isolate Arabidopsis mutants defective in stomatal regulation. *Plant Journal* 30: 601–609.

Mills LN, Hunt L, Leckie CP, Aitken FL, Wentworth M, McAinsh MR, Gray JE, Hetherington AM. 2004. The effects of manipulating phospholipase C on guard cell ABA-signalling. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**: 199–204.

Mishra G, Zhang W, Deng F, Zhao J, Wang X. 2006. A bifurcating pathway directs abscisic acid effects on stomatal closure and opening in Arabidopsis. *Science* **312**: 264–266.

Negi J, Matsuda O, Nagasawa T, Oba Y, Takahashi H, Kawai-Yamada M, Uchimiya H, Hashimoto M, Iba K. 2008. CO<sub>2</sub> regulator SLAC1 and its homologues are essential for anion homeostasis in plant cells. *Nature* 452: 483– 486.

Paciorek T, Zazimalova E, Ruthardt N, Petrášek J, Stierhof Y-D, Kleine-Vehn J, Morris DA, Emans N, Jürgens G, Geldner N *et al.* 2005. Auxin inhibits endocytosis and promotes its own efflux from cells. *Nature* 435: 1251–1256.

Parsons K, Nakatani Y, Nguyen MD. 2015. p600/UBR4 in the central nervous system. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 72: 1149–1160.

Raskin I, Ladyman JA. 1988. Isolation and characterization of a barley mutant with abscisic-acid-insensitive stomata. *Planta* 173: 73–78.

Ruegger M, Dewey E, Hobbie L, Brown D, Bernasconi P, Turner J, Muday G, Estelle M. 1997. Reduced naphthylphthalamic acid binding in the *tir3* mutant of Arabidopsis is associated with a reduction in polar auxin transport and diverse morphological defects. *Plant Cell* 9: 745–757.

Siegel RS, Xue S, Murata Y, Yang Y, Nishimura N, Wang A, Schroeder JI. 2009. Calcium elevation-dependent and attenuated resting calcium-dependent abscisic acid induction of stomatal closure and abscisic acid-induced enhancement of calcium sensitivities of S-type anion and inward-rectifying K\* channels in Arabidopsis guard cells. *Plant Journal* 59: 207–220.

Sponsel VM, Schmidt FW, Porter SG, Nakayama M, Kohlstruk S, Estelle M. 1997. Characterization of new gibberellin-responsive semidwarf mutants of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 115: 1009–1020.

Tian W, Hou C, Ren Z, Pan Y, Jia J, Zhang H, Bai F, Zhang P, Zhu H, He Y *et al.* 2015. A molecular pathway for CO<sub>2</sub> response in Arabidopsis guard cells. *Nature Communications* 6: 6057.

Vahisalu T, Kollist H, Wang YF, Nishimura N, Chan WY, Valerio G, Lamminmäki A, Brosché M, Moldau H, Desikan R *et al.* 2008. SLAC1 is required for plant guard cell S-type anion channel function in stomatal signalling. *Nature* 452: 487–491.

Vavasseur A, Raghavendra AS. 2005. Guard cell metabolism and CO<sub>2</sub> sensing. *New Physiologist* 165: 665–682.

Wang C, Hu H, Qin X, Zeise B, Xu D, Rappel WJ, Boron WF, Schroeder JI. 2016. Reconstitution of CO<sub>2</sub> regulation of SLAC1 anion channel and function of CO<sub>2</sub>-permeable PIP2; 1 aquaporin as CARBONIC ANHYDRASE4 interactor. *Plant Cell* 28: 568–582.

Wang XQ, Ullah H, Jones AM, Assmann SM. 2001. G protein regulation of ion channels and abscisic acid signaling in Arabidopsis guard cells. *Science* 292: 2070–2072.

Wang YB, Holroyd G, Hetherington AM, Ng CKY. 2004. Seeing 'cool' and 'hot'-infrared thermography as a tool for non-invasive, high-throughput

screening of Arabidopsis guard cell signalling mutants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**: 1187–1193.

- Webb AAR, Hetherington AM. 1997. Convergence of the abscisic acid, CO<sub>2</sub>, and extracellular calcium signal transduction pathways in stomatal guard cells. *Plant Physiology* 114: 1557–1560.
- Woodward FI. 1987. Stomatal numbers are sensitive to increases in CO<sub>2</sub> from pre-industrial levels. *Nature* 327: 617–618.

**Woodward FI, Kelly CK. 1995.** The influence of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on stomatal density. *New Phytologist* **131**: 311–327.

Worrall D, Liang Y-K, Alvarez S, Holroyd GH, Spiegel S, Panagopulos M, Gray JE, Hetherington AM. 2008. Involvement of sphingosine kinase in plant cell signalling. *Plant Journal* 56: 64–72.

Xie XD, Wang YB, William L, Holroyd GH, Tagliavia C, Murchie E, Theobald J, Knight MR, Davies WJ, Leyser HM *et al.* 2006. The identification of genes involved in stomatal response to reduced atmospheric relative humidity. *Current Biology* 16: 882–887.

Xu X-ZS, Wes PD, Chen H, Li HS, Yu M, Morgan S, Liu Y, Montell C. 1998. Retinal targets for calmodulin include proteins implicated in synaptic transmission. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273: 31297–31307.

Xue S, Hu H, Ries A, Merilo E, Kollist H, Schroeder JI. 2011. Central function of bicarbonate in S-type anion channel activation and OST1 protein kinase in CO<sub>2</sub> signal transduction in guard cell. *EMBO Journal* **30**: 1645–1658.

Yamaguchi N, Suzuki M, Fukaki H, Morita-Terao M, Tasaka M, Komeda Y. 2007. CRM1/BIG-mediated auxin action regulates Arabidopsis inflorescence development. *Plant Cell Physiology* 48: 1275–1290.

Yamamoto Y, Negi J, Wang C, Isogai Y, Schroeder JI, Iba K. 2016. The transmembrane region of guard cell SLAC1 channels perceives CO<sub>2</sub> signals via an ABA-independent pathway in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 28: 557–567.

Yin Y, Adachi Y, Ye W, Hayashi M, Nakamura Y, Kinoshita T, Mori IC, Murata Y. 2013. Difference in abscisic acid perception mechanisms between closure induction and opening inhibition of stomata. *Plant Physiology* 163: 600–610.

Zhang JY, He SB, Li L, Yang HQ. 2014. Auxin inhibits stomatal development through MONOPTEROS repression of a mobile peptide gene *STOMAGEN* in mesophyll. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 111: E3015–E3023.

#### **Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information tab for this article:

Fig. S1 The *big-1* mutant fails to display elevated (800 ppm)  $CO_2$ -induced reduction in stomatal conductance.

Fig. S2 PCR amplification of the *BIG* fragment from cDNAs of wild-type (WT) and mutant plants.

**Fig. S3** Epidermal cell density of wild-type (WT) and *BIG* gene mutant seedlings grown at elevated (1000 ppm) [CO<sub>2</sub>].

**Notes S1** Determination of the intron–exon structure of *BIG* by DNA sequencing.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.