

This is a repository copy of *The influence of emotional cues on prospective memory:* A *systematic review with meta-analyses*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/125612/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Hostler, T., Wood, C. orcid.org/0000-0001-6451-7859 and Armitage, C. (2018) The influence of emotional cues on prospective memory: A systematic review with meta-analyses. Cognition and Emotion, 32 (8). pp. 1578-1596. ISSN 0269-9931

https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2017.1423280

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ This is an Accepted Manuscript of an IN PRESS article published by Taylor & Francis in COGNITION AND EMOTION, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/02699931.2017.1423280

## The Influence of Emotional Cues on Prospective Memory: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analyses.

Thomas J. Hostler<sup>a</sup>\*, Chantelle Wood<sup>b</sup> and Christopher J. Armitage<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

<sup>c</sup>Manchester Centre for Health Psychology and NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.

#### First Author [Corresponding Author]:

Thomas J. Hostler Department of Psychology Manchester Metropolitan University 53 Bonsall St, Manchester M15 6GX Tel: 0161 247 2080 Email: t.hostler@mmu.ac.uk

#### Second Author:

Chantelle Wood Department of Psychology University of Sheffield Cathedral Court 1 Vicar Lane Sheffield S1 2LT Tel: +44 (0)114 2226615 Email: chantelle.wood@sheffield.ac.uk

### Last Author:

Christopher J. Armitage

Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester and NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre

Coupland Street, Oxford Road

Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

Tel: +44 (0) 161 275 2556

E-mail: chris.armitage@manchester.ac.uk

# The Influence of Emotional Cues on Prospective Memory: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analyses.

#### Abstract

4 Remembering to perform a behaviour in the future, prospective memory, is essential to 5 ensuring that people fulfil their intentions. Prospective memory involves committing to memory a cue 6 to action (encoding), and later recognising and acting upon the cue in the environment (retrieval). 7 Prospective memory performance is believed to be influenced by the emotionality of the cues, 8 however the literature is fragmented and inconsistent. We conducted a systematic search to synthesise 9 research on the influence of emotion on prospective memory. Sixty-seven effect sizes were extracted 10 from 17 articles and hypothesised effects tested using three meta-analyses. Overall, prospective memory was enhanced when positively-valenced rather than neutral cues were presented (d = 0.32). 11 In contrast, negatively-valenced cues did not enhance prospective memory overall (d = 0.07), but this 12 13 effect was moderated by the timing of the emotional manipulation. Prospective memory performance was improved when negatively-valenced cues were presented during both encoding and retrieval (d =14 15 0.40), but undermined when presented only during encoding (d = -0.25). Moderating effects were also found for cue-focality and whether studies controlled for the arousal level of the cues. The principal 16 17 finding is that positively-valenced cues improve prospective memory performance and that timing of the manipulation can moderate emotional effects on prospective memory. We offer a new agenda for 18 19 future empirical work and theorising in this area.

20 Key words: prospective memory, cues, emotion, affect, review, meta-analysis

21 Article word count: 8,913

3

The term prospective memory is used to describe a person's ability to remember to perform a 22 behaviour in the future (McDaniel & Einstein, 2000). The successful application of 23 24 prospective memory involves encoding a cue to action, and later detecting this cue to retrieve and execute the planned intention. These actions require the use of several cognitive 25 processes, including attention (to detect the cue) and retrospective memory (to retrieve the 26 27 intention). The prevailing view in the wider literature of emotion and cognition is that 28 emotion enhances both attention and memory (Brosch, Pourtois, & Sander, 2010; Hamann, 2001; N. A. Murphy & Isaacowitz, 2008; Yiend, 2010), meaning that emotional cues are 29 30 likely to improve prospective memory performance. However, the current literature is contradictory and it is not clear when or how emotion influences prospective memory. 31 Providing clarity to the possible effects of emotion on prospective memory performance is 32 important for elucidating prospective memory processes and for informing practical 33 applications to improve prospective memory. The present research takes the approach of 34 35 conducting a systematic review and a series of meta-analyses to establish for the first time what are the effects of emotion on prospective memory. 36

#### **37** The Influence of Emotion on Cognition

The feedback theory of emotion (Baumeister, Vohs, DeWall, & Zhang, 2007) describes two 38 ways in which emotion influences our cognition: Through full-blown conscious moods, and 39 40 brief 'twinges' of emotional appraisal that arise automatically when a stimulus is perceived. The latter of these two mechanisms - the brief 'affective responses' - have been shown to 41 influence our behaviour indirectly through higher-level cognitive processes (Baumeister et 42 al., 2007; Robinson, Watkins, & Harmon-Jones, 2013), such as prospective memory. 43 Accordingly, affective responses to stimuli used as cues to trigger prospective memory can 44 have an influence on the cognitive processes underlying prospective memory most likely 45

46 during 'encoding' and 'retrieval' (Ellis & Freeman, 2012; Hannon & Daneman, 2007;

Kvavilashvili & Ellis, 1996). The encoding process represents the formation of a prospective
memory intention. It is the act of encoding in memory the cue or stimulus that will trigger the
intended behavioural response, and the response itself, and cognitively linking them.
Retrieval refers to the act of later encountering the prospective memory cue and recognising
it as the pre-defined opportunity to enact the response.

52 Emotional stimuli may influence prospective memory through encoding or retrieval processes, or synergistically through both. The findings from the more general literature of 53 emotion and cognition suggests that emotion is likely to improve the encoding process 54 through enhanced attention and visual processing (Calvo & Lang, 2004; Dolan, 2002; 55 Nummenmaa, Hyona, & Calvo, 2006; Phelps, Ling, & Carrasco, 2006; Pilarczyk & 56 Kuniecki, 2014). Emotion can also enhance the memory consolidation of stimuli (Mather, 57 2007) by acitvating the amygdala (Hamann, 2001) and resulting in enhanced long-term 58 59 (Hamann, Ely, Grafton, & Kilts, 1999) and short-term memory (Hamann & Mao, 2001, cited in Hamann, 2001). Emotion may also enhance retrieval processes such as cue detection, as 60 emotional stimuli have been shown to attract attention compared to neutral stimuli (see 61 Brosch et al., 2010; Yiend, 2010 for reviews). This occurs both when stimuli are being 62 consciously attended to in visual search tasks (Frischen, Eastwood, & Smilek, 2008; Ohman, 63 Flykt, & Esteves, 2001; Williams, Moss, Bradshaw, & Mattingley, 2005) and involuntarily 64 when stimuli are presented subliminally (Brosch et al., 2010; Carretie, Hinojosa, Martin-65 Loeches, Mercado, & Tapia, 2004; Nummenmaa et al., 2006). This is pertinent as both 66 67 conscious monitoring and automatic spontaneous retrieval processes may underlie prospective memory retrieval (McDaniel & Einstein, 2000). 68

However, the influence of emotion on encoding and retrieval processes may be 69 valence-specific, due to differences in the mode of processing. Negative stimuli are thought 70 71 to promote perceptual processing, whereas positive stimuli prioritise the encoding of 'gist' and conceptual information (Kensinger, 2009; Kensinger & Schacter, 2008; Mickley & 72 Kensinger, 2008). For example, people tend to remember more intrinsic details of negative 73 pictures compared to positive (Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2007), whereas when 74 75 emotional words are presented alongside other semantically-related words, there is a memory benefit for positive compared to negative words (Kamp, Potts, & Donchin, 2015). More 76 77 generally, negative moods tend to narrow focus onto perceptual details, whereas positive moods promote broader and more conceptual thinking (Clore & Huntsinger, 2007). Both 78 perceptual and semantic processing can underlie the detection of prospective memory cues 79 (McGann, Ellis, & Milne, 2003). Therefore, either benefits or impairments to prospective 80 memory performance could be expected when emotional cues are encoded, depending on the 81 82 valence of the prospective memory cues and the type of processing utilised during the retrieval process. For example, if perceptual processing is primarily used to detect 83 prospective memory cues, then encoding negative cues should be beneficial to detection, 84 85 whereas encoding positive cues should be detrimental.

#### 86 Empirical research on emotional cues and prospective memory

Despite the established benefits of emotional stimuli on attention and memory in the broader
literature of emotion and cognition, direct empirical evidence for a benefit of emotional cues
on prospective memory is mixed. Specifically, there is research reporting a benefit of
emotional cues (Altgassen, Phillips, Henry, Rendell, & Kliegel, 2010; May et al., 2015;
Rummel, Hepp, Klein, & Silberleitner, 2012); a detrimental effect of emotional cues
(Ballhausen, Rendell, Henry, Joeffry, & Kliegel, 2015; Graf & Yu, 2015; Walter & Bayen,

2016); a beneficial effect, but only for one particular valence (Altgassen, Henry, Burgler, &
Kliegel, 2011; Mioni et al., 2015; Rendell et al., 2011) and no difference between emotional
and neutral cues (Cona, Kliegel, & Bisiacchi, 2015; Marsh et al., 2009).

The present systematic review and meta-analyses seek to address these contradictions 96 and clarify the issue of whether emotional cues influence prospective memory. In addition, in 97 98 order to investigate the likely mechanisms through which emotional cues influence 99 prospective memory, the timing of the emotional manipulation (i.e., whether the valence of the cues is manipulated during the encoding or retrieval phase) is coded and tested as a 100 101 moderator in the present meta-analyses. Calculating separate effect sizes for the influence of emotional cues on the separate processes of prospective memory can help determine the 102 103 likely mechanisms underlying any overall effect on prospective memory performance.

#### **104 Potential Moderators**

#### 105 Methodological

Differences in the methodologies used in prospective memory experiments may explain the 106 diverse findings of studies investigating prospective memory and emotion. One such 107 difference among experiments investigating the influence of emotion is the type of cues used 108 (words or pictures) in the prospective memory task. Research has shown that when valence is 109 110 not controlled for, prospective memory is better when pictures compared to words are used as cues (McDaniel, Robinson-Riegler, & Einstein, 1998). Relatedly, De Houwer and Hermans 111 (1994) found that emotional pictures received preferential affective processing compared to 112 113 emotional words. In their experiment, the affective categorisation of words was influenced by incongruent affective pictures, but the reverse effect was not observed. These results suggest 114 that any potential benefit of emotional cues in prospective memory may be stronger for 115 pictures rather than words. However, there is not yet any research that tests directly how the 116

superior effect of pictures in prospective memory may interact with affective valence, when
focusing specifically on behavioural outcomes (cf. neuroimaging research, e.g. Flaisch et al.,
2015; Leclerc & Kensinger, 2011).

The results from the aforementioned studies suggest a more complex interaction than 120 an enhanced emotional effect for picture cues. Altgassen et al.'s (2011) study employed 121 122 words (e.g., "happiness") but found a benefit only for positive but not negative cues, whereas May et al. (2015) found benefits for both positive and negative word cues. Graf and Yu 123 (2015) used picture cues (e.g., a picture of a puppy) but found a detrimental effect of 124 emotional cues, whereas other studies (e.g., Altgassen et al., 2010) found benefits for 125 emotional cues in older adults using pictures. Quantitative investigation using meta-analytic 126 127 techniques should help to clarify the effects of cue type.

#### 128 Cue Focality

Another methodological consideration that may influence the effect of emotion on 129 prospective memory is whether the prospective memory experiment employs a focal or non-130 focal paradigm. Prospective memory experiments typically involve an 'ongoing task', such as 131 a lexical decision task (e.g., May et al., 2015) in which the prospective memory cues are 132 embedded. Whether such cues are 'focal' or 'non-focal' refers to whether the prospective 133 memory cues are processed in a way during the ongoing task that should automatically 134 activate the representation of the prospective memory task to be performed, or whether 135 detection of the cues requires extra cognitive resources outside of those used in the ongoing 136 task. The two types of task are thought to reflect the two types of cue detection behaviour 137 specified in McDaniel and Einstein's (2000) multiprocess theory. According to multiprocess 138 theory, focal cues are thought to use spontaneous retrieval processes to detect, and non-focal 139 cues are thought to require conscious monitoring. As the effect of emotion on prospective 140

141 memory may work at least in part through attention-based mechanisms, the focality of the142 cue may be an important moderating factor.

143 Age

Age moderates both prospective memory ability and emotional effects on cognition such that 144 prospective memory ability is poorer in older adults (Henry, MacLeod, Phillips, & Crawford, 145 2004), yet older adults show enhanced memory and attention for positive stimuli (Mather & 146 Carstensen, 2005). In addition, age differences in prospective memory can be influenced by 147 148 properties of the prospective memory cues and tasks (Ihle, Hering, Mahy, Bisiacchi, & Kliegel, 2013; Kliegel, Phillips, & Jager, 2008). Several studies have provided direct tests of 149 the moderating effect of age on the influence of emotion on prospective memory (May et al., 150 151 2015; Schnitzspahn, Horn, Bayen, and Kliegel, 2012; Altgassen et al., 2010) though results are conflicting. For example, Schnitzspahn et al. (2012) found a benefit for emotional cues in 152 older adults only. However, May et al. (2015) found benefits for emotional cues in both 153 younger and older adults. The overall effect of manipulating the valence of cues on younger 154 and older adults is not clear due to the conflicting results in the literature, and as such, a test 155 of this moderator would be valuable. 156

#### 157 Arousal

Variance in the extent to which prospective memory studies have controlled for the arousal level of the emotional cues may also explain discrepant findings in the literature. Arousal refers to the intensity of the emotional stimulus and the effects of this variable on cognition can be dissociated from those of the valence of the stimulus (Hamann, 2001). Several influential theories suggest that it is the arousing nature of emotional stimuli, rather than the relative valence, that underlies the beneficial effects of emotion on perception and memory (e.g. Kensinger, 2009; Mather & Sutherland, 2011, c.f. Adelman & Estes, 2013). The

implications of this are that studies that have not adequately controlled for the level of arousal
between emotional prospective memory cues may inadvertently be measuring the effect of
arousal on prospective memory, rather than the valence of the cues as intended. The present
study will code for whether studies adequately controlled for the arousal level of emotional
cues in order to investigate whether the effect of arousal and valence can be dissociated.

#### 170 The Present Research

Overall, the wider literature on emotion and cognition indicates that emotional stimuli have 171 172 beneficial effects on memory and attention. The extent to which these cognitive processes are used in prospective memory suggests that the use of emotional cues may enhance prospective 173 memory. Enhanced memory effects are likely to come from employing emotional cues in the 174 prospective memory encoding phase, whereas enhanced attention to emotional cues is likely 175 to benefit the retrieval phase. The valence of the cues may also be important in determining 176 177 their influence on cognitive processes (Kensinger, 2009). However, the literature that has directly investigated emotional cues and prospective memory has produced conflicting 178 179 findings. The present research employs systematic review and three meta-analyses to 180 aggregate and provide structure to the fragmented literature on prospective memory and emotion, and to identify unresolved issues regarding the way in which emotions influence the 181 operation of prospective memory. Furthermore, the use of moderator analysis allows the 182 identification of potential variables that can limit or increase the effectiveness of emotion at 183 improving prospective memory. 184

185

#### Method

#### 186 Eligibility Criteria

187 The inclusion criteria were: Any empirical study that had tested prospective memory188 performance as a dependent variable (i.e. the proportion of prospective memory cues

correctly responded to) and had manipulated the affective valence of prospective memory
cues. Both between-participants and within-participants experimental designs were eligible
for inclusion<sup>1</sup>. Between-participants designs required that participants were randomly
assigned to a condition, and within-participants designs (92.6% of included studies) required
that the order of cue valence was randomised or counterbalanced.

194 The following exclusion criteria were also applied: First, any studies in which the data did not allow a comparison between the different emotional valences. The primary way in 195 which the effect of emotion on prospective memory has been conceptualised in the literature 196 thus far has been as a comparison between positive, negative and neutral cues. The 197 aggregated effect sizes calculated in the present meta-analysis followed this position and so 198 199 cues from at least two of these valence conditions needed to be utilised in each included study in order to calculate an effect size. Therefore, studies that only compared between levels of 200 the same valence of affect (Hallam et al., 2015) or looked only at the level of arousal 201 202 regardless of valence (Burkard, Rochat, & Van der Linden, 2013) were excluded. Second, any studies in which the participants were solely from clinical samples (for example, 203 diagnosed with anxiety or depression, e.g., Rude, Hertel, Jarrold, Covich, & Hedlund, 1999) 204 were excluded, as these conditions have been shown to influence prospective memory ability 205 (Chen, Zhou, Cui, & Chen, 2013; Rude et al., 1999) and susceptibility to emotional 206 manipulations (Gotlib, Jonides, Buschkuehl, & Joormann, 2011). If sufficient data were 207 available to allow calculation of effect sizes from non-clinical control groups in these studies, 208 then these were included. Studies that measured the speed of response to prospective 209

<sup>1</sup> Although there is some debate over whether including both types in a meta-analysis is suitable (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001), other authors state that it is not a problem (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein, 2009; Lakens, 2013). Because of the relatively few studies in the current meta-analyses, it was decided that including these studies would be more beneficial than detrimental.

memory cues, rather than the proportion of prospective memory cues successfully responded
to (i.e. prospective memory performance) were also excluded (Maglio, Gollwitzer, &
Oettingen, 2014; Scholz et al., 2009) as this is not a typical measure of prospective memory
performance. Studies not reported in English (Lu, Sun, & Liu, 2008; Yin & Huang, 2016)
were also excluded.

#### 215 Information Sources

The online databases of Ovid PsychINFO, Web of Science, EthOS, ProQuest Dissertations
and Theses Global, Google Scholar and the Journal of Articles In Support of The Null
Hypothesis were used for the literature search.

#### 219 Literature Search

220 The databases listed above were searched using pre-specified key terms. In order to capture studies published in different research fields, several different terms were used to search for 221 222 concepts relating to both prospective memory and emotion. The keywords relating to emotion were: emotion, valence, affect\*, positiv\*, negativ\*, fear, disgust, and anger. The keywords 223 relating to prospective memory were: "prospective memory", "implementation intention\*", 224 "action plan\*", "future memory" and "delayed intention\*". Each possible combination of 225 emotion and prospective memory key words were used as search terms in databases with the 226 227 AND operator. The ancestor and descendant approaches (DeCoster, 2009) were then employed to identify further literature that may not have been picked up by the search terms 228 used in the database searches. Finally, all lead authors of the included papers were contacted 229 230 via email to ask for any unpublished research related to the topic, an approach that yielded one additional set of data. The initial literature search returned 61 possible papers to include 231 based on the title and abstract. The ascendancy approach returned 21 papers, and the 232

descendancy approach returned 1 paper for a total of 74 after duplicates had been removed

234 (see Figure 1 for PRISMA flow diagram of review).

235 [Figure 1 here]

#### 236 Study Selection

237 The results of the systematic search were assessed for further reading based on the relevance of the titles and abstracts. Following this, the full text for each of these papers was accessed 238 and reviewed in detail against the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the meta-analyses. In 239 total, 57 papers were excluded at this stage as they did not fit the inclusion criteria. The 240 breakdown of these exclusions was: 25 did not include a test of prospective memory, 15 did 241 not include emotion as an independent variable, 4 were review studies or experimental 242 protocols, 4 were not reported in English, 4 did not measure prospective memory accuracy as 243 a dependent variable, 3 only looked at a clinical sample, 1 measured only the arousal of the 244 emotional stimuli and not the valence, and 1 presented duplicate data. This left the results 245 from 17 articles to be analysed. 246

#### 247 Data Collection Process

All papers were read in detail to extract the required information. If the information was not presented in the paper, or if clarification was needed on a particular item, then the lead author of the paper was contacted to obtain it.

#### 251 Data Items

252 The following information was coded for each study by the first author: (1) participant

demographics; (2) study design (within- or between-participants); (3) the valences of the

emotional cues; (4) the timing of the emotional manipulation (i.e., whether the valence of the

cue had been manipulated during encoding only, retrieval only, or both); (5) the format of the

cues used (words or pictures); (6) the sample of participants (younger or older adults); (7) the
focality of the cue in the ongoing task (focal or non-focal); and (8) whether the study
adequately controlled for the arousal level of the emotional cues (yes or no).

To code for the timing of the manipulation, the instructions for the prospective memory task 259 given to participants were inspected. Studies that presented participants with only the 260 261 category of the prospective memory cue at encoding (e.g. "animals", Clark-Foos et al., 2009), 262 but later manipulated the valence of the actual prospective memory cues embedded in the ongoing task were coded as manipulating retrieval only. Studies that presented participants 263 with the exact (emotional or neutral) cues at encoding that they would later see embedded in 264 the ongoing task were coded as manipulating both encoding and retrieval. Studies classified 265 266 as 'encoding only' employed manipulations in which the valence of the prospective memory cues was manipulated during this phase only. For example, Henry et al. (2015) told 267 participants the semantic category to which the prospective memory cues belonged, and 268 269 presented a valenced exemplar of the category during encoding (e.g., a negatively valenced image from the category of 'insects'). However, the prospective memory cues presented 270 during the retrieval phase were neutral in valence. Age was coded using criteria employed by 271 previous meta-analyses in the field (Henry et al., 2004; Ihle et al., 2013; Kliegel et al., 2008) 272 et al., 2008) in which samples with a mean age of 60 or above are coded as older adults, and 273 samples with a mean age of between 18 and 59 are coded as younger adults. Samples for 274 which mean age was not reported but were described as undergraduate students were 275 classified as younger adults. In the moderator analysis, the overall mean ages for each group 276 were: Young adults (M=23.92, SD=8.22), older adults (M=70.73, SD=4.01). 277

Cue focality was coded by assessing the relationship between the prospective memory taskand the ongoing task, using the description of focal and non-focal tasks by McDaniel and

Einstein (2000). Tasks in which the ongoing task required cognitive processes similar to 280 those required to detect the prospective memory cue were classed as focal, and those in which 281 282 different processes were used coded as non-focal. Studies were also coded for whether they adequately controlled for the arousal level of the prospective memory cues employed. If a 283 suitable statistical test showing no significant difference between arousal levels of cues was 284 285 reported then this was taken as an adequate level of control. If no such tests were reported 286 then arousal was classed as uncontrolled. Separate codes were used to classify studies that 287 controlled the arousal levels between (a) only positive and negative cues, but not neutral, and 288 (b) all three types of cue.

#### **Summary Measures** 289

The effect size of  $d_{unb}$  was calculated for each experiment<sup>2</sup>. Separate effect sizes were 290 calculated for each emotional valence comparison possible for each study (positive versus 291 negative, positive versus neutral, negative versus neutral). For the positive versus neutral and 292 negative versus neutral comparisons, effect sizes representing a benefit for valenced 293 manipulations (e.g., a greater number of successful prospective memory task responses) were 294 coded as positive (+ve). Effect sizes representing a detrimental effect for valenced 295 manipulations compared to neutral were coded as negative (-ve). For the positive versus 296 negative comparisons, effect sizes representing a benefit for positively-valenced 297 manipulations were coded as +ve and benefits for negatively-valenced manipulations as -ve. 298 Effect sizes were primarily calculated using means and standard deviations reported in the 299 papers or obtained from the authors. If this was not possible then the data were extracted

<sup>2</sup> This notation is used on the advice of Cumming (2012) to avoid confusion over the inconsistent and contradictory use of the terms "Hedges' g" and "Cohen's d". Following the guidelines of Cumming (2012), the equations used to calculate the effect sizes are also reported in Appendix A.

from figures using image editing software or were calculated from the reported inferential test statistics if available. Confounding effects of other variables manipulated in a study were minimised by calculating effect sizes using control conditions<sup>3</sup>. When a paper included separate studies in which different samples of participants were tested, separate effect sizes were calculated for each sample allowed by the inclusion criteria.

#### **306** Synthesis of Results

Three separate meta-analyses were conducted for the different valence comparisons, in order 307 308 to investigate whether positive or negative emotional manipulations had differential influences on prospective memory. This was partly based on the distinct theoretical 309 310 differences of the influence of valence (Clore & Huntsinger, 2007) but also the practical limitations of meta-analysis, which requires independence of effect sizes. Valence was 311 manipulated as a within-participants variable in the majority of the studies, meaning that only 312 one emotion effect could be included from each experiment in the same meta-analysis. Thus, 313 separate meta-analyses were conducted for the effect sizes calculated for the comparison of 314 negatively-valenced emotional influences compared to neutral, positively-valenced emotional 315 influences compared to neutral, and positively-valenced emotional influences compared to 316 negatively-valenced emotional influences. 317

The distinct influences of valenced cues on the separate process of prospective memory discussed in the introduction were investigated with the use of a meta-ANOVA. A separate effect size for the influence of emotional cues on each process (encoding, retrieval, encoding and retrieval) was calculated for each valence comparison. Therefore, nine different

<sup>3</sup> For example, Rummel et al. (2012) manipulated both the affective valence of the prospective memory cues as well as the mood of the participant, and therefore the effect sizes were calculated using the neutral condition of the mood variable to retain consistency with the other studies included in the same meta-analysis.

sub-meta-analyses were performed in total to calculate the unique effect of either negative or
positive cues on each prospective memory process, including a comparison between negative
and positive cues.

325 Meta-Analytic Procedure

A random-effects model was used for each meta-analysis to allow for between-studies 326 variance (Cumming, 2012). Following the advice of Hunter and Schmidt (2004), a correction 327 for measurement error in the dependent variable was applied to the meta-analyses where 328 329 possible. The correction is based on the reliability of the measurement, and was applied individually to each effect size before the meta-analysis. Mioni, Rendell, Stablum, 330 331 Gamberini, and Bisiacchi (2014) provided data on the reliability of the virtual week task used in three of the studies and Kelemen, Weinberg, Alford, Mulvey, and Kaeochinda (2006) 332 provided data on the reliability of the dual task paradigm used in the remaining studies. The 333 results of the corrected analyses are referred to in the text in the present paper, but the 334 uncorrected results are also presented alongside the corrected results in Table 2. Cohen's 335 power primer (Cohen, 1988) was used to help interpret the importance of the effects, with d's 336 of 0.2 considered "small", 0.5 "medium", and 0.8 "large". A 95% confidence interval for 337 each effect size was calculated, and each effect size was tested for statistical significance 338 using the lower-confidence limit (LCL) test (Hedges, Cooper, & Bushman, 1992). On the 339 340 advice of Cumming (2012), interpretation of the results will focus primarily on the magnitude of the effect sizes and confidence intervals rather than the statistical significance. 341

#### 342 Heterogeneity

A measure of heterogeneity was calculated for each separate meta-analysis. Although tests
using Q-values are commonly used to assess heterogeneity, these are often underpowered
when the number of studies in the meta-analysis is low, and in these situations the use of the

346  $I^2$  statistic is preferred (Higgins, Thompson, Deeks, & Altman, 2003). The  $I^2$  value represents 347 the proportion of heterogeneity between studies that cannot be put down to chance, and 348 should be interpreted as a percentage. Values of  $I^2$  can be classified into low (.25), moderate 349 (.50) and high (.75) inconsistency among studies (Higgins et al., 2003).

#### 350 Additional Analyses

- 351 Meta-one-way ANOVAs were planned to investigate any moderating effects on the influence
- of emotion on prospective memory and were executed based on Borenstein et al.'s (2009)
- recommendation of a minimum of 10 cases for each meta-ANOVA. The moderating
- variables were the age of the sample and the type of cue employed (picture or word).

All meta-analyses and meta-ANOVAs were conducted using the SPSS Macros developed by D.B. Wilson (2005), which simplify the process of conducting such analyses in SPSS and correct for some minor wrong assumptions that are present when usual statistical operations are performed on a meta-analytic dataset (Cooper, Hedges, & Valentine, 2009).

359

360

#### Results

#### 361 Study Characteristics

362 From the 17 articles identified from the literature search, 67 different effect sizes were

extracted from 27 studies (Table 1). Eight out of 27 studies (30%) manipulated the valence of

the cue during encoding only, 7/27 (26%) manipulated the valence of the cue during retrieval

only, and 12/27 (44%) manipulated the valence of the cue during both encoding and retrieval.

Fourteen out of 27 (52%) studies used words as cues and 13/27 (48%) used images as cues.

367 In terms of age, studies typically sampled younger and older participants separately which

368 meant that age was tested as a categorical rather than continuous moderator. Within these

studies, 10/27 (37%) sampled older adults, and 17/27 (63%) sampled younger adults. Nine 369 out of 27 (33%) studies utilised focal cues and 18/27 (67%) utilised non-focal cues. With 370 371 regards to control for the level of arousal of prospective memory cues, only 4/27 (15%) studies controlled for arousal across all three valences (positive, negative, and neutral), and 372 23/27 (85%) did not. However, 17/27 (63%) did control for the level of arousal between 373 positive and negative cues, compared to 10/27 (37%) that did not. Table 2 shows the results 374 375 of the series of meta-analyses, moderator analyses, along with the number of studies (k) and total N for each analysis, the measure of heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>) and the 95% Confidence Interval 376 377 for each effect size.

378 [Table 1 near here]

#### 379 Main Effects

The magnitude of the influence of emotional cues ranged from d = 0.07 to d = 0.32for the different valence comparisons. There were small significant effects of the influence of positive cues (versus neutral: d = 0.32 [0.10, 0.54] p < .01; versus negative: d = 0.29 [0.11, 0.48] p <.01): Positively-valenced cues resulted in small improvements in prospective memory compared to either neutral or negative cues. In contrast, negative cues did not have a significant effect on prospective memory compared to neutral (d = 0.07 [-0.10, 0.24] p =.408).

387 [Table 2 near here]

#### 388 Moderator Analyses

#### 389 Timing of emotional manipulation

Each valence comparison for the influence of emotional cues was tested to see if the timingof the emotional manipulation, i.e., manipulating the valence during either the encoding

phase only, the retrieval phase only, or during both encoding and retrieval, differentially affected prospective memory. There were significant moderating effects of the timing of the manipulation for both the negative versus neutral (p < .01) and positive versus negative (p < .01) comparisons. The moderating effect of timing of the manipulation for positive versus neutral comparisons was not significant (p = .506), suggesting that that the influence of positive cues is relatively more consistent, regardless of which processes are affected.

When negatively-valenced cues were presented during encoding only, they produced 398 a detrimental effect on prospective memory compared to neutral cues (d = -0.25 [-0.57, 0.06] 399 p = .108). However, when negatively-valenced cues were presented during both encoding and 400 retrieval, they improved prospective memory performance  $(d = 0.35 [0.08, 0.62] p = .012)^4$ . 401 402 Presenting negative cues during retrieval only did not appear to influence prospective memory significantly when compared to neutral cues (d = -0.12 [-0.56, 0.32] p = .602). In 403 contrast, the effect of positive cues was similar regardless of which prospective memory 404 405 process they influenced. Positive cues presented only during the encoding phase improved prospective memory (d = 0.34 [-0.05, 0.73] p = .080) to a similar extent as presenting them 406

<sup>4</sup> When performing the meta-analysis and meta-ANOVAs of negative versus neutral cue valence, one effect size (Rea et al., 2011) was identified as an outlier using a funnel plot and was subsequently excluded from the analysis. As a random-effects model was being used, studies with small sample sizes can have a disproportionately large influence on the overall effect size (Borenstein et al., 2009). In this case, the sample size was 13, and the effect size was d<sub>unb</sub> = -1.82 (after correction for measurement error), meaning that including it would have an undue influence on the calculation of the combined effect size. Separate meta-analyses were conducted both including and excluding the study in question. Although the overall effect size for negative versus neutral cues did not change dramatically when including this study (0.07 without compared to 0.12 with), the effect size of negative versus neutral cues at encoding only did. Including the effect size from the Rea et al. (2011) study resulted in an overall effect size d = -0.36, but without including this study, the overall effect size was d = -0.25. Due to the large influence of this study's effect size in comparison to its small sample size (N = 13), the decision was taken to exclude it from this and all other analyses to retain consistency.

during both encoding and retrieval (d = 0.33 [-0.03, 0.69] p = .072). However, presenting 407 positive cues during retrieval only did not improve prospective memory compared to neutral 408 409 cues (d = 0.01 [-0.62, 0.64] p = .978). When comparing positive to negative cues, the timing of the emotional manipulation also moderated the effects. Due to the clear difference between 410 the effects of negative and positive cues compared to neutral when presented during encoding 411 only, positive cues unsurprisingly showed a large benefit when compared to negative cues 412 413 when presented during encoding only (d = 0.62 [0.30, 0.95] p < .001). When the affective 414 valence of cues was manipulated during both encoding and retrieval, the difference between 415 positive compared to negative cues was small (d = -0.06 [-0.35, 0.23] p = .686). Studies presenting emotional cues only during the retrieval phase found a benefit for positive over 416 negative cues (d = 0.39 [0.02, 0.75] p = .039). 417

#### 418 Influence of age and cue type

The moderators of sample age and cue type (pictures or words) were also tested to see 419 whether the influence of emotional cues differed between the levels of these variables. These 420 moderator analyses were, like the analyses above, also performed on the three separate meta-421 analyses of the influence of emotional cues for the different valence comparisons. There was 422 no moderating effect of age for the influence of negative cues on prospective memory 423 compared to neutral cues (p = .872). Negative cues showed no overall influence for either 424 425 older adults (d = 0.09 [-0.23, 0.41] p = .590) or younger adults (d = 0.05 [-0.23, 0.34] p = .719). However, for the overall significant influence of positive cues compared to neutral, 426 there appeared to be stronger benefits for older adults (d = 0.41 [0.07, 0.74] p = .019) than 427 younger adults (d = 0.25 [-0.05, 0.55] p = .105), although this difference was not statistically 428 significant (p = .502). This pattern was repeated for the benefit of positive cues over negative 429 cues (older: d = 0.34 [-0.02, 0.70] p = .061; younger: d = 0.28 [0.00, 0.56] p = .052). 430

There were no significant differences for the moderator of cue type for any of the 431 valence comparisons. Negative cues showed no overall influence compared to neutral 432 433 regardless of whether they were words (d = 0.11 [-0.20, 0.42], p = .491) or images (d = 0.01)[-0.25, 0.32] p = .822). Similarly, the significant overall benefit of positive cues compared to 434 neutral did not differ depending on whether words (d = 0.33 [-0.03, 0.69] p = .068) or images 435 (d = 0.31 [0.01, 0.60] p = .041) were used as the cues. The benefit of positive over negative 436 437 cues was also similar regardless of cue type (words: d = 0.32 [0.00, 0.63] p = .049; images: d = 0.29 [-0.02, 0.60] p = .066). 438

#### 439 Influence of control for arousal

Two types of control for arousal were recorded during coding: Studies that had controlled for the arousal level of cues only between positive and negative cues, and studies that had controlled for arousal level across positive, negative, and neutral cues. The first type of coding was relevant only for the meta-analysis of the valence comparison of positive versus negative cues. The moderator analysis showed that there was no difference between the overall effect size of positive versus negative cues from studies that had controlled for arousal (d = 0.30 [0.03, 0.56], p = .027) and those than had not (d = 0.31 [-0.09, 0.71], p = .126).

The coding of whether studies controlled for arousal across all three types of cues was used 447 when considering the positive versus neutral and negative versus neutral analyses, although 448 only a small number of studies (k = 4) employed adequate controls. When arousal was not 449 450 controlled for, negative cues produced a small non-significant benefit compared to neutral cues, (d = 0.13 [-0.10, 0.36], p = .271), however when arousal was controlled, negative cues 451 produced a small non-significant decrease in performance compared to neutral (d = -0.20 [-452 (0.69, 0.28), p = .410). The difference between these effect sizes was not significant. For the 453 positive versus neutral effect size, controlling for arousal eliminated any benefit of positive 454

455 cues (d = 0.01 [-0.48, 0.50], p = .976) compared to when arousal was not controlled for (d = 456 0.40 [0.15, 0.64], p = .001), although this difference was also non-significant.

#### 457 **Influence of cue focality**

Cue focality (whether prospective memory cues were 'focal' and able to be detected using 458 similar cognitive processes to those required for the ongoing task) was also tested as a 459 moderating variable. For the effect size of negative cues versus neutral cues, focality was 460 found to be a significant moderator (p < .01). Studies that employed focal cue paradigms 461 462 found that negative cues enhanced prospective memory compared to neutral (d = 0.49 [0.18, (0.80], p = .002), whereas studies that used non-focal cues found that negative cues impaired 463 464 prospective memory (d = -0.12 [-0.34, 0.09], p = .255). However, for positive versus neutral 465 cues, focality was not a significant moderator. Positive cues were beneficial compared to neutral regardless of whether they were focal (d = 0.50 [0.10, 0.90], p = .014) or non-focal (d 466 = 0.24 [-0.03, 0.50], p = .078), although focal cues showed a greater benefit. For the positive 467 versus negative comparison, focality was a significant moderator (p < .05), with positive cues 468 showing benefit compared to negative in non-focal designs (d = 0.42 [0.19, 0.66], p < .001), 469 but little difference between the cues when both were focal (d = -0.04 [-0.43, 0.35], p = .832). 470

471

#### Discussion

The present research represents the first attempt to review systematically the fragmented literature on the influence of positively- or negatively-valenced cues on prospective memory performance. Three separate meta-analyses were conducted to distinguish between the different valences of the emotional influence. Overall, prospective memory performance was better when positively-valenced cues were used compared to neutral cues (d = 0.32) and negative cues (d = 0.29). In contrast, there was no overall benefit for negative over neutral cues (d = 0.07). However, the effect of emotional cues was found to alter depending on the

phase of prospective memory in which the emotional manipulation was employed, i.e. during 479 the encoding phase only, the retrieval phase only, or both the encoding and retrieval phases. 480 481 During encoding, positively-valenced cues improved prospective memory compared to neutral cues, but negatively-valenced cues produced a detrimental effect on subsequent 482 prospective memory performance. In contrast, when manipulating the valence of the cues 483 during both encoding and retrieval, both positive cues and negative cues improved 484 485 prospective memory performance in comparison to neutral cues. Furthermore, manipulating the affective valence of the cues only during the retrieval phase showed much weaker effects 486 487 compared to neutral cues. The difference in the magnitude of the influences of emotional cues - especially negative cues - on the separate processes of prospective memory suggests 488 that multiple mechanisms may underlie the influence of emotional cues on prospective 489 memory. 490

Whilst the attention-grabbing nature of emotional stimuli (Frischen et al., 2008; 491 492 Nummenmaa et al., 2006) has been suggested as a possible mechanism underlying the benefit of cue valence on prospective memory (May et al., 2015), the present results do not fully 493 support this suggestion. Studies manipulating the valence of the prospective memory cues 494 only during the retrieval phase did not demonstrate substantial benefits to prospective 495 memory, suggesting that increased attention to prospective memory targets alone is not 496 497 sufficient to improve prospective memory. The process model of prospective memory (Kliegel, Martin, McDaniel & Einstein, 2002) states that although factors relating to the 498 prospective memory cue itself may influence prospective memory during the retrieval phase, 499 500 the primary executive processes required during this phase relate to working memory and cognitive flexibility. Thus, manipulating the emotionality of the cues during retrieval alone 501 may not have a strong enough influence to overcome other task demands that influence these 502 503 executive processes. However, it should be noted that the number of studies that manipulated

valence during retrieval only was very small. The analyses of positive versus neutral and
negative versus neutral effects contained only 4 and 3 effect sizes respectively. With such a
small number of studies, the overall effect size estimates are unlikely to be accurate, and this
is reflected in the large confidence intervals. Further research in which the emotional valence
of cues is manipulated only during retrieval is necessary to increase the accuracy of these
estimates.

510 Studies presenting emotional cues during both encoding and retrieval showed smallto-medium benefits for prospective memory (Cohen, 1988). One explanation for why effects 511 were found when manipulating valence during both encoding and retrieval, but not during 512 retrieval only, may be that it is necessary to have previously encoded the emotional cues to 513 514 reap the benefits of any enhanced attention-grabbing properties provided during the retrieval process. Studies that manipulated the valence of cues during retrieval only did so by 515 providing the category to which the cue belonged in the prospective memory instructions 516 517 (e.g. "pictures of animals", Ballhausen et al., 2015), whereas studies manipulating cues during both encoding and retrieval provided the exact cues that would later be seen in the 518 retrieval phase. Emotional stimuli are likely to grab attention during the retrieval phase but 519 may fail to trigger the prospective memory response if the stimuli themselves have not 520 previously been encoded and linked with the response. In contrast, encoding the exact 521 emotional stimuli as the prospective memory cue with the response means that not only is 522 attention drawn to the cue during the retrieval phase, but that the cue is subsequently likely to 523 be detected as relevant to the prospective memory intention, triggering the response. This 524 525 supports the encoding specificity principle (Tulving & Thomson, 1973) that states that recognition of a cue is improved when the retrieval cue is more similar to the cue that was 526 originally encoded. 527

The finding that emotional cues seem to enhance the encoding specificity effect is 528 consistent with the suggestion of Buchanan (2007) that the affective valence of the cue is one 529 530 of the variables that contribute to the similarity that prompts recognition. Encountering an emotional cue in the environment prompts an affective response, which means that memories 531 associated with the same affective response are more likely to be brought to mind. In this 532 533 case, the memories brought to mind are the encoding of the stimuli as a prospective memory 534 cue and the associated prospective memory response. This suggestion also explains why manipulating the valence of the cue during retrieval only did not produce reliable effects on 535 536 prospective memory: The affective response that occurs in reaction to encountering a prospective memory cue in the environment cannot prompt the retrieval of the prospective 537 memory response through the encoding specificity effect because the original prospective 538 memory cues encoded did not prompt a similar affective response. The present results are 539 also consistent with the findings of Hannon and Daneman (2007) who conducted the only 540 541 empirical study to date that explicitly manipulated the (perceptual) salience of cues during encoding, retrieval and both encoding and retrieval. They found that whilst manipulating the 542 salience of cues during retrieval can influence prospective memory, a stronger influence 543 544 comes from a direct match between encoded cue and that observed during the retrieval phase. These authors suggested that during encoding, one should consider multiple aspects of the 545 retrieval cue that are likely to occur during detection in order to maximise the similarity 546 between the encoding and retrieval contexts and prompt retrieval. The results of the present 547 research expand on this by suggesting that one should seek to encode a cue that prompts a 548 549 similar affective response to a cue that one expects to encounter in the environment.

Positive and negative cues showed similar benefits (compared to neutral) when
presented during both the encoding and retrieval phases. In contrast, positive and negative
cues showed differential effects when manipulated at encoding only. Presenting positive cues

at encoding improved prospective memory performance in comparison to neutral cues, 553 whereas presenting negative cues impaired it. There is evidence from the broader literature 554 555 that negatively-valenced stimuli receive enhanced perceptual processing and impaired semantic processing (Kensinger & Schacter, 2008; Mickley & Kensinger, 2008; Sakaki, 556 Gorlick, & Mather, 2011). This leads to a focus on and enhanced memory for the intrinsic 557 perceptual details of the negative item (Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2006; Pierce & 558 559 Kensinger, 2011). In the context of prospective memory cues, an enhanced focus on the perceptual details of a cue would likely enhance subsequent detection and recognition of the 560 561 same cues, a finding supported by the results of the present meta-analysis showing improved prospective memory performance for negative cues presented during both encoding and 562 retrieval. However, an enhanced focus on the perceptual details of a cue and diminished 563 processing of the semantic properties of a cue could also explain the detrimental effect of 564 negative stimuli presented at encoding only. If perceptual rather than semantic processing is 565 566 used to encode the cue, then subsequent cues that share the same semantic context as the encoded cue but are not perceptually similar may not be detected as easily. For example, if 567 one focused on the perceptual details of a picture of a negatively-valenced image of a rat at 568 569 encoding, but the later cues belonging to the category of animals are dogs, then their detection may be impaired. In contrast, presenting positively-valenced stimuli at encoding 570 improved prospective memory performance. 571

Processing positive stimuli has been shown to activate semantic and conceptual
processing to a greater extent than perceptual processing (Kensinger, 2009; Kensinger &
Schacter, 2008; Mickley & Kensinger, 2008). This enhanced conceptual processing may
facilitate the subsequent detection of cues that are semantically related to the encoded cues,
even if they are not perceptually similar. The differences between semantic and perceptual
processing in prospective memory cue detection have been investigated using neuroimaging

(Cousens et al., 2015), however there is little behavioural data available. Future research
should seek to explain the differences between positive and negative cues when valence is
manipulated during the encoding phase. Overall, the results of the present meta-analyses
suggest that the influence of valenced cues on prospective memory is underpinned by several
different mechanisms that result in different effects depending on the valence of the cues.
Presenting emotional cues at both encoding and detection improved prospective memory
performance for both negative and positive cues.

An alternative explanation for the observed differences between the effects of positive 585 and negative effects on prospective memory emerges from the moderator analyses of cue 586 focality. This variable significantly moderated the effect of negative cues on prospective 587 588 memory, but not positive cues. When negative cues were presented focally in the ongoing tasks (i.e. cue detection required similar cognitive processes as those used to perform the 589 ongoing task), they improved prospective memory performance compared to neutral, 590 591 however when presented non-focally they did not. In contrast, the benefit of positive cues compared to neutral was unaffected by whether they were presented focally or non-focally. 592 This pattern of findings suggests that beneficial effects of negative cues may be reliant on the 593 automatic spontaneous retrieval process that are thought to underlie cue detection (Einstein et 594 al, 2005; Scullin et al., 2010). Forcing more effortful cognitive monitoring for cues in non-595 focal tasks may therefore preclude such an effect from occurring. In contrast, the benefits of 596 positive cues may operate via mechanisms that are immune to increased levels of cognitive 597 demand. However, it should be noted that there was a significant overlap between the coding 598 599 outcomes of cue focality and the timing of the emotional manipulations. For example, all studies coded as being non-focal were necessarily also coded as affecting the retrieval 600 process only, and all studies coded as focal were necessarily coded as affecting both encoding 601 602 and retrieval. This makes it difficult to separate the relative influence of focality and the

603 influence of a match between the cue at encoding and retrieval previously discussed. Further604 empirical work would be necessary to disentangle these influences.

605 The moderator analyses performed also highlight another unresolved issue in the literature, namely the relative influences of valence and arousal of emotional cues. Arousal 606 has been postulated as a variable that may explain differences in emotional effects, as 607 608 opposed to valence (Kensinger, 2009; Mather & Sutherland, 2011). The analyses showed that 609 the benefit of positive cues compared to neutral was eliminated when considering only studies that employed strict controls for arousal, suggesting that arousal may indeed play an 610 important role. However, this finding must be considered in the context that only four studies 611 employed such controls. Many studies reported attempting to control for arousal but did not 612 report the necessary statistical tests to confirm that any differences between the arousal levels 613 of cues were non-significant. This ambiguity means it is still unclear whether differences in 614 arousal may explain any emotional effects. However, it is clear that more attention needs to 615 616 be paid to controlling more carefully for arousal in future research to resolve this issue.

The effects of two other potential moderators on the influence of valenced cues on 617 prospective memory were also tested. The first variable tested was cue type. There did not 618 appear to be any overall effect of whether the cues used were words or images, suggesting 619 that both have similar influences on prospective memory. However, it is unclear whether the 620 621 different types of cues may produce differential effects in the separate phases of prospective memory (encoding and retrieval). Insufficient numbers of studies were available to test 622 potential differential effects of words and pictures as a moderator in the sub-analyses, and so 623 the possibility that pictures and words differentially affect the encoding and retrieval phases 624 cannot be ruled out. The relationship between type of stimuli (word or picture) and the 625 default modality of processing (perceptual or semantic) is not straightforward, and instead 626

highly influenced by task demands (Miller, 2001). However, utilising different types of cues
may be a viable way of exploring the hypotheses suggested previously regarding differences
in modality of processing underlying emotional effects on prospective memory. More data
are needed in order to draw conclusions about how different types of cues affect prospective
memory, and also whether emotional effects can be extended to cues other than words or
pictures, for example auditory or olfactory stimuli.

The other moderating variable tested was age, which also showed no significant 633 moderating effects. The boost in prospective memory performance that positive cues gave 634 compared to neutral cues was similar for both older and younger adults. Although age 635 differences were observed in some individual studies (e.g. Altgassen et al., 2011; 636 637 Schnitzspahn et al., 2012), overall the present findings are consistent with those of a metaanalysis by N. A. Murphy and Isaacowitz (2008) who found that older adults did not show a 638 significantly different preference for positive stimuli compared to younger adults. One 639 640 potential reason for discrepancies amongst studies that found age differences and those that did not may be task difficulty. Prospective memory tasks that are more cognitively 641 demanding are associated with greater age differences (Henry et al., 2004) and it is plausible 642 that such an effect interacts also with any effects of emotion. Regrettably, not enough studies 643 were available to explore such a complex interaction in the present research but this line of 644 enquiry could be pursued with controlled experiments. 645

#### 646 Limitations and Avenues for Future Research

647 The results of the present set of meta-analyses should be interpreted with several caveats in 648 mind. First, the small number of studies in many of the sub-analyses and the range of 649 different prospective memory tasks used in the studies are likely to have contributed to the 650 high heterogeneity observed in each set of effect sizes. The small magnitude of these nonsignificant effect sizes suggest that many of the possible influences of emotional cues on
prospective memory lack any clear supporting evidence, or are at least highly influenced by
other moderating variables that could not be coded for.

Second, there are limitations within the body of studies analysed that are common to 654 many areas of emotion research. All the studies analysed in the current set of analyses 655 656 employed the trichotomy of 'positive, negative, neutral' and conceptualised the effect of emotion using the dimension of valence, whilst also acknowledging (and in some cases 657 controlling for) arousal. However, the use of these dimensions ignores the individual effects 658 that discrete emotions may have. For example, although anger and anxiety are both 659 'negative' emotions, they have been shown to have distinct effects on cognition (Lench, 660 661 Flores, & Bench, 2011). Furthermore, the reliance on arousal and valence measures to classify emotional stimuli may ignore the contribution of appraisal variables, such as novelty, 662 personal relevance and 'emotional impact' that have not been controlled for in the present set 663 664 of studies but have been shown to affect attention and recollection (F. C. Murphy, Hill, Ramponi, Calder, & Barnard, 2010) and so could also be expected to influence prospective 665 memory. Despite this, the evidence for the influence of valenced cues on prospective memory 666 from the present set of meta-analyses demonstrate that the dimensions of arousal and valence 667 have the ability to capture at least some of the influence of emotional stimuli on prospective 668 memory. 669

Third, limitations of the methodologies employed in the studies included in the metaanalysis may represent a source of bias in the results. Overall, of the 27 studies included in the analyses, only two employed between-participant designs with randomization to conditions. The remaining studies used a counterbalanced order of emotional cues. Counterbalancing can minimise the influence of serial order carryover effects associated with

repeated-measures designs, however some methods of counterbalancing do not cover all 675 possible carryover effects (Brooks, 2012). Carryover effects may be expected in the context 676 677 of presenting emotionally-valenced prospective memory cues, as affective responses to stimuli have been shown to persist after the presentation of the stimuli ends (Garrett & 678 Maddock, 2001). Although between-participants designs also have drawbacks when used in 679 emotion research, for example due to the influence of individual differences in emotion 680 681 perception (Okon-Singer, Lichtenstein-Vidne, & Cohen, 2013); a greater balance of betweenparticipants and within-participants designs in future research on the topic should minimise 682 683 any drawbacks associated with either design.

#### 684 Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analyses were conducted to help bring together a disparate 685 686 literature on the effect of emotion on prospective memory. The aim was to quantify the influence of emotional cues on prospective memory and to identify any sources of 687 inconsistency through moderator analyses. The results showed that whilst emotional cues can 688 improve prospective memory performance, the influence is dependent on the prospective 689 memory process affected by the manipulation. Manipulating the valence of the cues during 690 retrieval only does not improve prospective memory. In addition, manipulating the valence of 691 cues during encoding only produces differential effects for positive and negative cues: 692 693 Negative cues impair prospective memory whilst positive cues enhance it. However, manipulating the emotional valence of a cue during both encoding and retrieval produces 694 reliable increases in prospective memory performance and is a promising strategy to improve 695 696 intention realisation.

#### 697 Disclosure of Interest

698 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

699	Acknowledgements
700	This research was supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre.
701	
702	References
703	*Studies included in the meta-analyses are marked with an asterisk.
704	
705	Adelman, J. S., & Estes, Z. (2013). Emotion and memory: A recognition advantage for
706	positive and negative words independent of arousal. Cognition, 129, 530-535. doi:
707	10.1016/j.cognition.2013.08.014
708	*Altgassen, M., Henry, J. D., Burgler, S., & Kliegel, M. (2011). The influence of emotional
709	target cues on prospective memory performance in depression. Journal of Clinical
710	and Experimental Neuropsychology, 33, 910-916. doi: 0.1080/13803395.2011.574607
711	*Altgassen, M., Phillips, L. H., Henry, J. D., Rendell, P. G., & Kliegel, M. (2010). Emotional
712	target cues eliminate age differences in prospective memory. The Quarterly Journal
713	of Experimental Psychology, 63, 1057-1064. doi: 10.1080/17470211003770920.
714	*Ballhausen, N., Rendell, P. G., Henry, J. D., Joeffry, S., & Kliegel, M. (2015). Emotional
715	valence differentially affects encoding and retrieval of prospective memory in older
716	adults. Aging Neuropsychology and Cognition, 22, 544-559. doi:
717	10.1080/13825585.2014.1001316
718	Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., DeWall, C. N., & Zhang, L. (2007). How emotion shapes
719	behavior: Feedback, anticipation, and reflection, rather than direct causation.
720	Personality and Social Psychology Review, 11, 167-203. doi:
721	10.1177/1088868307301033.
722	Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). Introduction to
723	Meta-Analysis. Wiltshire: Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 33

- Brooks, J. L. (2012). Counterbalancing for serial order carryover effects in experimental
  condition orders. Psychological Methods, 17, 600-614. doi: 10.1037/a0029310
- 726 Brosch, T., Pourtois, G., & Sander, D. (2010). The perception and categorisation of emotional
- stimuli: A review. Cognition & Emotion, 24, 377-400. doi:
- 728 10.1080/02699930902975754
- Buchanan, T. W. (2007). Retrieval of emotional memories. Psychological Bulletin, 133, 761779. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.133.5.761
- 731 Burkard, C., Rochat, L., & Van der Linden, M. (2013). Enhancing inhibition: How
- impulsivity and emotional activation interact with different implementation
- 733 intentions. Acta Psychologica, 144, 291-297. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2013.07.008
- 734 Calvo, M. G., & Lang, P. J. (2004). Gaze patterns when looking at emotional pictures:
- 735 Motivationally biased attention. Motivation and Emotion, 28, 221-243. doi:
- 736 10.1023/B:MOEM.0000040153.26156.ed
- 737 Carretie, L., Hinojosa, J. A., Martin-Loeches, M., Mercado, F., & Tapia, M. (2004).
- Automatic attention to emotional stimuli: Neural correlates. Human Brain Mapping,
  22, 290-299. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20037
- 740 Chen, S., Zhou, R., Cui, H., & Chen, X. (2013). Deficits in cue detection underlie event-
- based prospective memory impairment in major depression: an eye tracking study.
- 742 Psychiatry Research, 209, 453-458. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.01.015
- 743 \*Clark-Foos, A., Brewer, G. A., Marsh, R. L., Meeks, J. T., & Cook, G. I. (2009). The
- valence of event-based prospective memory cues or the context in which they occur
  affects their detection. American Journal of Psychology, 122, 89-98.
- Clore, G. L., & Huntsinger, J. R. (2007). How emotions inform judgment and regulate
- thought. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11, 393-399. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.08.005

- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analyses for the behavioural sciences (2nd ed.). New
  York, NY: Academic Press.
- \*Cona, G., Kliegel, M., & Bisiacchi, P. S. (2015). Differential effects of emotional cues on
  components of prospective memory: an ERR study. Frontiers in Human
- 752 Neuroscience, 9, 1-15. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00010
- Cooper, H., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (2009). The Handbook of Research Synthesis
  and Meta-Analysis (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- 755 Cousens, R., Cutmore, T., Wang, Y., Wilson, J., Chan, R. C. K., & Shum, D. H. K. (2015).
- 756 Effects of perceptual and semantic cues on ERP modulations associated with
- prospective memory. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 98, 151-156. doi:
- 758 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.07.012
- Cumming, G. (2012). Understanding the new statistics. Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and
   meta-analysis. New York, NY: Routledge.
- De Houwer, J., & Hermans, D. (1994). Differences in the affective processing of words and
   pictures. Cognition & Emotion, 8, 1-20. doi: 10.1080/02699939408408925
- DeCoster, J. (2009). Meta-Analysis Notes. Retrieved October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015 from http://www.stat help.com/notes.html.
- Dolan, R. J. (2002). Emotion, cognition, and behavior. Science, 298, 1191-1194. doi:
- 766 10.1126/science.1076358
- 767 Ellis, J., & Freeman, J. E. (2012). Ten Years On: Realizing Delayed Intentions. In M.
- Kliegel, M. A. McDaniel, & G. O. Einstein (Eds.), Prospective Memory: Cognitive,
  Neuroscience, Developmental, and Applied Perspectives (pp. 1-27). New York:
  Routledge.
- 771 Flaisch, T., Imhof, M., Schmalzle, R., Wentz, K. U., Ibach, B., & Schupp, H. T. (2015).
- 772 Implicit and explicit attention to pictures and words: An fMRI-study of concurrent

emotional stimulus processing. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 1-16. doi:

#### 774 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01861

- Frischen, A., Eastwood, J. D., & Smilek, D. (2008). Visual search for faces with emotional
  expressions. Psychological Bulletin, 134, 662-676. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.134.5.662
- Garrett, A. S., & Maddock, R. J. (2001). Time course of the subjective emotional response to
   aversive pictures: relevance to fMRI studies. Psychiatry Research-Neuroimaging,

779 108, 39-48. doi: 10.1016/S0925-4927(01)00110-X

- Gotlib, I. H., Jonides, J., Buschkuehl, M., & Joormann, J. (2011). Memory for affectively
- valenced and neutral stimuli in depression: Evidence from a novel matching task.

782 Cognition & Emotion, 25, 1246-1254. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2010.538374

\*Graf, P., & Yu, M. (2015). Valenced cues and contexts have different effects on event-based

prospective memory. PLoS One, 10, e0116953. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116953

Hallam, G. P., Webb, T. L., Sheeran, P., Miles, E., Wilkinson, I. D., Hunter, M. D., . . .

Farrow, T. F. D. (2015). The neural correlates of emotion regulation by

- implementation intentions. PLoS One, 10, 21. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119500
- Hamann, S. B. (2001). Cognitive and neural mechanisms of emotional memory. Trends in

789 Cognitive Sciences, 5, 394-400. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01707-1

- Hamann, S. B., Ely, T. D., Grafton, S. T., & Kilts, C. D. (1999). Amygdala activity related to
  enhanced memory for pleasant and aversive stimuli. Nat Neurosci, 2(3), 289-293. doi:
  10.1038/6404
- Hannon, B., & Daneman, M. (2007). Prospective memory: The relative effects of encoding,
- retrieval, and the match between encoding and retrieval. Memory, 15(5), 572-604. doi:
- 795 10.1080/09658210701407281

796	Hedges, L. V., Cooper, H., & Bushman, B. J. (1992). Testing the null hypothesis in meta-
797	analysis: A comparison of combined probability and confidence interval procedures.
798	Psychological Bullein, 111, 188-194. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.111.1.188
799	*Henry, J. D., Joeffry, S., Terrett, G., Ballhausen, N., Kliegel, M., & Rendell, P. G. (2015).
800	Prospective memory function in late adulthood: Affect at encoding and resource
801	allocation costs. PLoS One, 10, 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125124
802	Henry, J. D., MacLeod, M. S., Phillips, L. H., & Crawford, J. R. (2004). A meta-analytic
803	review of prospective memory and aging. Psychology and Aging, 19, 27-39. doi:
804	10.1037/0882-7974.19.1.27
805	Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring
806	inconsistency in meta-analyses. British Medical Journal, 327, 557-560. doi:
807	10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557
808	Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). Methods of Meta-Analysis: Correcting Error and Bias
809	in Research Findings (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
810	Ihle, A., Hering, A., Mahy, C. E. V., Bisiacchi, P. S., & Kliegel, M. (2013). Adult age
811	differences, response management, and cue focality in event-based prospective
812	memory: A meta-analysis on the role of task order specificity. Psychology and Aging,
813	28, 714-720. doi: 10.1037/a0033653
814	Kamp, S-M., Potts, G. F., & Donchin, E. (2015). On the roles of distinctiveness and semantic
815	expectancies in episodic encoding of emotional words. Psychophysiology, 52, 1599-
816	1609. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12537
817	Kelemen, W. L., Weinberg, W. B., Alford, H. S., Mulvey, E. K., & Kaeochinda, K. F. (2006).
818	Improving the reliability of event-based laboratory tests of prospective memory.
819	Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 13, 1028-1032. Doi: 10.3758/Bf03213920

- Kensinger, E. A. (2009). Remembering the details: Effects of emotion. Emotion Review, 1,
  99-113. doi: 10.1177/1754073908100432
- Kensinger, E. A., Garoff-Eaton, R. J., & Schacter, D. L. (2006). Memory for specific visual
  details can be enhanced by negative arousing content. Journal of Memory and
- Language, 54, 99-112. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2005.05.005
- 825 Kensinger, E. A., Garoff-Eaton, R. J., & Schacter, D. L. (2007). Effects of emotion on
- memory specificity in young and older adults. Journal of Gerontology: Psychological
  Sciences, 62, P208-P215. doi: 10.1093/geronb/62.4.P208
- 828 Kensinger, E. A., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). Neural processes supporting young and older
- adults' emotional memories. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 20, 1161-1173. doi:
- 830 10.1162/jocn.2008.20080
- Kliegel, M., Martin, M., McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2002). Complex prospective
  memory and executive control of working memory: A process model. Psychologische
  Beiträge, 44, 303-318.
- Kliegel, M., Phillips, L. H., & Jager, T. (2008). Adult age differences in event-based
- 835 prospective memory: A meta-analysis on the role of focal versus nonfocal cues.

836 Psychology and Aging, 23, 203-208. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.23.1.203

- 837 Kvavilashvili, L., & Ellis, J. (1996). Varieties of Intention: Some Distinctions and
- 838 Classifications. In M. A. Brandimonte, G. O. Einstein, & M. A. McDaniel (Eds.),
- Prospective Memory: Theory and Applications (pp. 23-51). Mahwah, New Jersey:
- 840 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a
- 842 practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology, 4. doi:
- 843 10.3389/Fpsyg.2013.00863

844	Leclerc, C. M., & Kensinger, E. A. (2011). Neural processing of emotional pictures and
845	words: A comparison of young and older adults. Developmental Neuropsychology,
846	36, 519-538. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2010.549864

Lench, H. C., Flores, S. A., & Bench, S. W. (2011). Discrete emotions predict changes in
cognition, judgment, experience, behavior, and physiology: A meta-analysis of
experimental emotion elicitations. Psychological Bulletin, 137, 834-855. doi:

850 10.1037/a0024244

- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). Practical Meta-Analysis. Thousand Oaks, CA:
  SAGE.
- Lu, J., Sun, J., & Liu, W. (2008). The impacts of interpersonal and intrapersonal emotion
  regulation on negative-emotion-induced prospective memory. Acta Psychologica

855 Sinica, 40, 1258-1265. doi: 118.145.16.229:81/Jweb\_xlxb/EN/Y2008/V40/I12/1258

- Maglio, S. J., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Oettingen, G. (2014). Emotion and control in the planning
  of goals. Motivation and Emotion, 38, 620-634. doi: 10.1007/s11031-014-9407-4
- \*Marsh, R. L., Brewer, G. A., Jameson, J. P., Cook, G. I., Amir, N., & Hicks, J. L. (2009).
- Threat-related processing supports prospective memory retrieval for people with obsessive tendencies. Memory, 17, 679-686. doi: 10.1080/09658210903032762
- 861 Mather, M. (2007). Emotional arousal and memory binding: An object-based framework.

Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2, 33-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-

- 863 6916.2007.00028.x
- Mather, M., & Carstensen, L. L. (2005). Aging and motivated cognition: the positivity effect
- in attention and memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9, 496-502. doi:
- 866 10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.005

- 867 Mather, M., & Sutherland, M. R. (2011). Arousal-biased competition in perception and
- 868 memory. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6, 114-133. doi:

869 10.1177/1745691611400234

- \*May, C. P., Manning, M., Einstein, G. O., Becker, L., & Owens, M. (2015). The best of both
- 871 worlds: emotional cues improve prospective memory execution and reduce repetition
- errors. Aging Neuropsychology and Cognition, 22, 357-375. doi:
- 873 10.1080/13825585.2014.952263
- 874 McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2000). Strategic and automatic processes in prospective
- 875 memory retrieval: A multiprocess Framework. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 14,
- 876 S127-S144. doi: 10.1002/acp.775
- 877 McDaniel, M. A., Robinson-Riegler, B., & Einstein, G. O. (1998). Prospective remembering:
- Perceptually driven or conceptually driven processes? Memory & Cognition, 26, 121134. doi: 10.3758/bf03211375
- 880 McGann, D., Ellis, J., & Milne, A. (2003). Conceptual and perceptual processing in
- 881 prospective remembering. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 15, 19-41. doi:
- 882 10.1080/09541440244000049
- 883 Mickley, K. P., & Kensinger, E. A. (2008). Emotional valence influences the neural
- correlates associated with remembering and knowing. Cognitive Affective &
- 885 Behavioral Neuroscience, 8, 143-152. doi: 10.3758/Cabn.8.2.143
- Miller, P. (2001). The processing of pictures and written words: A perceptual and conceptual
  perspective. Psychology, 2, 713-720. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.27109
- \*Mioni, G., Meligrana, L., Rendell, P. G., Bartolomei, L., Perini, F., & Stablum, F. (2015).
- 889 Event-based prospective memory in patients with Parkinson's disease: the effect of
- emotional valence. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 9, 1-11. doi:
- 891 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00427

892	Mioni, G., Rendell, P. G., Stablum, F., Gamberini, L., & Bisiacchi, P. S. (2014). Test-retest
893	consistency of Virtual Week: A task to investigate prospective memory.
894	Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, 25, 419-447. doi: 0.1080/09602011.2014.941295
895	Murphy, F. C., Hill, E. L., Ramponi, C., Calder, A. J., & Barnard, P. J. (2010). Paying
896	attention to emotional images with impact. Emotion, 10, 605-614. doi:
897	10.1037/a0019681
898	Murphy, N. A., & Isaacowitz, D. M. (2008). Preferences for emotional information in older
899	and younger adults: A meta-analysis of memory and attention tasks. Psychology and
900	Aging, 23, 263-286. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.23.2.263
901	Nummenmaa, L., Hyona, J., & Calvo, M. G. (2006). Eye movement assessment of selective
902	attentional capture by emotional pictures. Emotion, 6, 257-268. doi: 10.1037/1528-
903	3542.6.2.257
904	Ohman, A., Flykt, A., & Esteves, F. (2001). Emotion drives attention: Detecting the snake in
905	the grass. Journal of Experimental Psychology-General, 130, 466-478. doi:
906	10.1037/0096-3445.130.3.466
907	Okon-Singer, H., Lichtenstein-Vidne, L., & Cohen, N. (2013). Dynamic modulation of
908	emotional processing. Biological Psychology, 92, 480-491. doi:
909	10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.05.010
910	Phelps, E. A., Ling, S., & Carrasco, M. (2006). Emotion facilitates perception and potentiates
911	the perceptual benefits of attention. Psychological Science, 17, 292-299. doi:
912	10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01701.x
913	Pierce, B. H., & Kensinger, E. A. (2011). Effects of emotion on associative recognition:
914	Valence and retention interval matter. Emotion, 11, 139-144. doi: 10.1037/a0021287

- Pilarczyk, J., & Kuniecki, M. (2014). Emotional content of an image attracts attention more
  than visually salient features in various signal-to-noise ratio conditions. Journal of
  Vision, 14, 1-19. doi: 10.1167/14.12.4
- \*Rea, M., Kullmann, S., Veit, R., Casile, A., Braun, C., Belardinelli, M. O., . . . Caria, A.
- 919 (2011). Effects of aversive stimuli on prospective memory. An event-related fMRI
  920 study. PLoS One, 6, 1-9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026290
- \*Rendell, P. G., Henry, J. D., Phillips, L. H., Garcia, X. D., Booth, P., Phillips, P., & Kliegel,

922 M. (2012). Prospective memory, emotional valence, and multiple sclerosis. Journal of

- 923 Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 34, 738-749. doi:
- 924 10.1080/13803395.2012.670388.
- 925 \*Rendell, P. G., Phillips, L. H., Henry, J. D., Brumby-Rendell, T., Garcia, X. D., Altgassen,
- 926 M., & Kliegel, M. (2011). Prospective memory, emotional valence, and ageing.

927 Cognition & Emotion, 25, 916-925. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2010.508610

928 Robinson, M. D., Watkins, E. R., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2013). Cognition and emotion: An

929 introduction. In M. D. Robinson, E. R. Watkins, & E. Harmen-Jones (Eds.),

- Handbook of Cognition and Emotion (pp. 3-18). Guildford Press: New York, NY.
- 931 Rude, S. S., Hertel, P. T., Jarrold, W., Covich, J., & Hedlund, S. (1999). Depression-related

932 impairments in prospective memory. Cognition & Emotion, 13, 267-276. doi:

- 933 10.1080/026999399379276
- \*Rummel, J., Hepp, J., Klein, S. A., & Silberleitner, N. (2012). Affective state and event-
- based prospective memory. Cognition & Emotion, 26(2), 351-361. doi:
- 936 10.1080/02699931.2011.574873
- 937 Sakaki, M., Gorlick, M. A., & Mather, M. (2011). Differential interference effects of negative
- emotional states on subsequent semantic and perceptual processing. Emotion, 11,
- 939 1263-1278. doi: 10.1037/a0026329

940	*Schnitzspahn, K. M., Horn, S. S., Bayen, U. J., & Kliegel, M. (2012). Age effects in
941	emotional prospective memory: Cue valence differentially affects the prospective and
942	retrospective component. Psychology & Aging, 27, 498-509. doi: 10.1037/a0025021
943	Scholz, U., La Marca, R., Nater, U. M., Aberle, I., Ehlert, U., Hornung, R., Kliegel, M.
944	(2009). Go no-go performance under psychosocial stress: Beneficial effects of
945	implementation intentions. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, 91, 89-92. doi:
946	10.1016/j.nlm.2008.09.002
947	*Singh, T., & Kashyap, N. (2016). Effect of cue characteristics on event based prospective
948	memory: Evaluating valence and presentation mode. Psychological Studies, 61, 13-
949	20. doi: 10.1007/s12646-015-0331-9
950	Tulving, E., & Thomson, D. M. (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in
951	episodic memory. Psychological Review, 80, 352-373. doi: 10.1037/h0020071
952	*Walter, N. T., & Bayen, U. J. (2016). Selective effects of acute alcohol intake on the
953	prospective and retrospective components of a prospective-memory task with
954	emotional targets. Psychopharmacology, 233, 325-339. doi: 10.1007/s00213-015-
955	4110-z
956	Williams, M. A., Moss, S. A., Bradshaw, J. L., & Mattingley, J. B. (2005). Look at me, I'm
957	smiling: Visual search for threatening and nonthreatening facial expressions. Visual
958	Cognition, 12, 29-50. doi: 10.1080/13506280444000193
959	Yiend, J. (2010). The effects of emotion on attention: A review of attentional processing of
960	emotional information. Cognition & Emotion, 24, 3-47. doi:
961	10.1080/02699930903205698
962	Yin, J., & Huang, X. (2016). The effects of emotion on prospective memory and its neural
963	bases. Advances in Psychology, 6, 465-471. doi:

964 http://dx/doi/org/10.12677/ap.2016.64062

#### Appendix A

966 Effect sizes for within-subjects studies were calculated using the following equation from967 Cumming (2012):

968 
$$d_{unb} = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4(n_1 + n_2) - 9}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{SD_1^2 + SD_2^2}{2}}}\right)$$

969 Effect sizes for between-subjects studies were calculated using the following equation from970 Cumming (2012):

971 
$$d_{unb} = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4(n_1 + n_2) - 9}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)SD_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)SD_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}}\right)$$

Where  $n_1$  is the number of participants in one of the emotional conditions,  $n_2$  is the number of participants in the comparison condition,  $\bar{X}_1$  is the mean prospective memory ability score for one of the emotional conditions,  $\bar{X}_2$  is the mean prospective memory ability score for the comparison condition, and  $SD_1$  and  $SD_2$  are the respective standard deviations associated with the means.

977

978

979

980

Table 1.	Characteri	stics of Studies	s Included	in the Meta-	Analyses

											Effect				
Study	Group	Emotions	Process affected	Study design	Cue type	Age	Focal	Control for arousal	N	Neg vs. Neut	Ν	Pos vs. Neut	N	Pos vs. Neg	
Altgassen, Henry, Burgler, & Kliegel (2011)	Non-depressed controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	F	Ν	29	-0.17	29	0.45	29	0.65	
Altgassen, Phillips, Henry, Rendell, & Kliegel (2010)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	Ι	Y	F	S	41	0.33	41	-0.12	41	-0.45	
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	Ι	0	F	S	41	0.95	41	0.82	41	-0.24	
Ballhausen, Rendell, Henry, Joeffry, & Kliegel (2015)	Experiment1	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	W	W	0	N	A	24	-0.80	24	-0.10	24	0.70	
	Experiment 2	Neg, Pos, Neut	Е	W	W	0	Ν	А	24	-0.81	24	-0.67	24	0.14	
Clark-Foos, Brewer, Marsh & Meeks (2009)	Experiment 1a	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	N	S					30	0.66	
	Experiment 1b	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	Ν	S					30	0.37	
	Experiment 1c	Pos, Neg	R	W	W	Y	Ν	S					30	0.45	

Cona (2015)		Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	Ι	Y	F	S	24	0.57	24	0.24	24	-0.43
Graf & Yu (2015)	Experiment 2	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	В	Ι	Y	N	N	130	-0.42	130	-0.46	130	-0.04
Henry et al. (2015)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	Е	W	Ι	Y	Ν	N	42	-0.15	42	-0.15	42	0.00
	Young-old adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	Ι	0	Ν	N	38	-0.10	38	0.03	38	0.13
Henry et al. (2015)	Old-old adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	Ι	0	N	N	29	0.09	29	-0.06	29	-0.15
Study	Group	Emotions	Process affected	Study design	Cue type	Age	Focal	Control for arousal	Ν	Neg vs. Neut	Ν	Pos vs. Neut	N	Pos vs. Neg
Marsh et al. (2009)	Non-anxious controls	Neg, Neut	R	W	W	Y	N	N	25	0.22				
May, Manning, Einstein, Becker & Owens (2015)	Experiment 1 (young adults)	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	F	S	40	0.69	40	0.87	40	0.23
	Experiment 1 (older adults)	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	0	F	S	32	0.67	32	0.77	32	0.06
	Experiment 2	Neg, Neut	E+R	W	W	0	F	S	24	0.04				
Mioni et al. (2015)	Healthy Controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	Е	W	Ι	0	Ν	N	25	-0.60	25	0.76	25	1.46
Rea et al. (2011)		Neg, Neut	E+R	W	Ι	Y	F	Ν	13	-1.82				

Rendell et al. (2012)		Neg, Pos, Neut	Е	W	Ι	Y	Ν	S	60	-0.40	60	0.38	60	0.83
Rendell et al. (2011)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E	W	Ι	Y	N	S	30	-0.44	30	1.12	30	1.54
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	Е	W	Ι	0	Ν	S	30	0.28	30	1.56	30	1.55
Rummel, Hepp, Klein & Silberleitner (2012)	Neutral mood only	Neg, Pos, Neut	R	W	W	Y	N	Ν	46	0.41	46	0.55	46	0.20
Schnitzspahn, Horn, Bayen & Kliegel (2012)	Young adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	Y	N	А	45	-0.07	45	0.10	45	0.16
	Older adults	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	W	0	Ν	А	41	0.74	41	0.63	41	-0.16
Singh & Kashyap (2016)		Pos, Neg	E+R	В	W	Y	F	N					40	0.94
Walter & Bayen (2016)	Non-alcohol controls	Neg, Pos, Neut	E+R	W	Ι	Y	Ν	S	38	-0.07	38	-0.10	38	-0.04

Note. Process affected: E = Encoding only; R = Retrieval only; E+R = Encoding and retrieval. Study design: W = Within participants; B = Between participants. Cue type: W = Words; I = Images. Age: Y = Young adults; O = Older adults. Focality: F = Focal cues; N = Non-focal cues. Control for arousal: A = Controlled for arousal across all cues; S = Controlled for arousal only between positive and negative cues; N = No adequate control for arousal. All effect sizes are corrected for measurement error.

Influence of Emotion	Emotional Contrast	k	Total N	Effect Size	95% CI	Corrected Effect Size	Corrected 95% CI	р	Q	Q sig.	I <sup>2</sup>
Cue (all)	Neg vs. Neut	22	857	0.06	(-0.07, 0.19)	0.07	(-0.10, 0.24)	.408	128.76	<.001	0.85
Cue (all)	Pos vs. Neut	20	808	0.24**	(0.07, 0.41)	0.32**	(0.10, 0.54)	<.01	114.40	<.001	0.86
Cue (all)	Pos vs. Neg	24	938	0.23**	(0.09, 0.37)	0.29**	(0.11, 0.48)	<.01	133.91	<.001	0.83
Cue (encoding only)	Neg vs. Neut	8	278	-0.19	(-0.41, 0.03)	-0.25	(-0.54, 0.03)	.082	18.11	.012	0.61
Cue (encoding only)	Pos vs. Neut	8	278	0.24	(-0.03, 0.51)	0.34	(-0.02, 0.69)	.061	59.92	<.001	0.88
Cue (encoding only)	Pos vs. Neg	8	278	0.45**	(0.21, 0.70)	0.62**	(0.30, 0.95)	<.001	64.54	<.001	0.89
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Neg vs. Neut	10	355	0.31**	(0.12, 0.51)	0.40**	(0.14, 0.65)	<.01	47.75	<.001	0.86
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Pos vs. Neut	9	331	0.31*	(0.07, 0.56)	0.40*	(0.07, 0.73)	.016	35.80	<.001	0.87
Cue (encoding & retrieval)	Pos vs. Neg	10	371	0.01	(-0.21, 0.23)	-0.04	(-0.32, 0.25)	.812	28.25	<.001	0.70
Cue (retrieval only)	Neg vs. Neut	4	224	-0.09	(-0.40, 0.23)	-0.11	(-0.52, 0.29)	.585	21.15	<.001	0.86
Cue (retrieval only)	Pos vs. Neut	3	199	0.01	(-0.42, 0.44)	0.01	(-0.56, 0.59)	.968	13.90	<.001	0.86
Cue (retrieval only)	Pos vs. Neg	6	289	0.30*	(0.02, 0.59)	0.39*	(0.02, 0.75)	.038	8.28	.142	0.40

Table 2. Results of the Meta-Analyses.

Note. k = number of effect sizes included in the analysis. Total N = number of participants included in the analysis. Q is a measure of heterogeneity and I<sup>2</sup> is a measure of inconsistency. \*p <.05 \*\*p <.01.