



This is a repository copy of *High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein, Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in the EXAMINE (Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes With Alogliptin Versus Standard of Care) Trial*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/123284/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hwang, Y.-C., Morrow, D.A., Cannon, C.P. et al. (8 more authors) (2018) High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein, Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in the EXAMINE (Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes With Alogliptin Versus Standard of Care) Trial. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*, 20 (3). pp. 654-659. ISSN 1462-8902

<https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13136>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

High-sensitivity C-reactive Protein, Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in the EXAMINE (Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes With Alogliptin Versus Standard of Care) Trial

You-Cheol Hwang, MD¹ David A. Morrow, MD, MPH² Christopher P. Cannon, MD³ ,

Yuyin Liu,³ Richard Bergenstal, MD⁴ Simon Heller, MD⁵ Cyrus Mehta, PhD⁶

William Cushman, MD⁷ George L Bakris, MD⁸ , Faiez Zannad, MD⁹ and

William B White, MD¹⁰

¹Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kyung Hee University School of Medicine, Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong, Seoul, Korea

² Cardiovascular Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

³Baim Cardiovascular Institute, Boston, MA

⁴International Diabetes Center, Park-Nicollet Clinic, Minneapolis, MN

⁵University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

⁶Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA

⁷University of Tennessee College of Medicine, Memphis, TN

⁸University of Chicago Med, Chicago, IL

⁹Universite de Lorraine, Nancy, France

¹⁰University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT

Key words: acute coronary syndromes, cardiovascular outcomes, high sensitivity C-reactive protein, LDL-C, type 2 diabetes

Address correspondence to:

William B White, MD, Calhoun Cardiology Center,

University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT 06032-3940;

email: wwhite@uchc.edu

Tel: 1-860-679-2104

Abstract

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/dom.13136

Objective. We sought to assess the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) by utilizing high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) level and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome.

Research Design and Methods. Study participants enrolled in the EXAMINE trial, were stratified by baseline hsCRP levels (<1, 1–3, and >3 mg/l) and were also sub-divided into 4 groups according to baseline hsCRP (≤ 3 or > 3 mg/l) and achieved LDL-C (<70 or ≥ 70 mg/dl) levels. Among 5,380 patients, the MACE rate, a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal acute myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke, was evaluated during the 30 months of follow-up.

Results. Cumulative incidence of MACE was 11.5% (119 events), 14.6% (209 events), and 18.4% (287 events) in patients with hsCRP levels of <1, 1–3, and >3 mg/l, respectively ($P < 0.001$). In patients with hsCRP >3 mg/l, the adjusted hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) was 1.42 (1.13, 1.78; $P = 0.002$) for MACE compared with patients with hsCRP <1 mg/l. MACE cumulative incidences were 11.0% (128 events), 14.4% (100 events), 15.6% (194 events), and 21.3% (182 events) in patients with low LDL-C and low hsCRP, low LDL-C and high hsCRP, high LDL-C and low hsCRP, and high LDL-C and high hsCRP levels, respectively ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusions. Levels of hsCRP were associated with recurrent cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome, and this association appears to be independent of and additive to the achieved LDL-C level.

Clinical trials registration number: NCT00968708

Introduction

Inflammation plays a key role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (1). There are numerous diverse markers for systemic inflammation, but among them, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) is one of the best studied biomarkers for vascular risk in both primary and secondary prevention settings (2, 3). In primary prevention, cardiovascular risk predictions according to CRP concentration are comparable to those according to systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, and non-high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels (4). In a meta-analysis addressing secondary prevention, hsCRP concentrations measured within 72 hours from the onset of acute coronary syndrome were associated with a higher long-term risk of recurrent cardiovascular events (5). However, because hsCRP rises 5-8 times in the setting of ACS, the cutpoints used in the acute setting differ from a stable population.

To date, several prospective studies have examined the role of hsCRP in predicting future CV morbidity and mortality in stable patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with varying results (6-12). The aim of our study was to determine whether the baseline hsCRP level is predictive of the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and stroke, in high CV risk patients with type 2 diabetes and a recent acute coronary syndrome (ACS) enrolled in the Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin versus Standard of Care (EXAMINE) trial (13). In addition, we evaluated whether the associations between the hsCRP level and future CV outcomes were independent of achieved low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels.

Methods

Study design and patients

The design of the EXAMINE study has been published previously (13). EXAMINE was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind study that evaluated the efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitor alogliptin in 5,380 patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and an acute coronary syndrome within 15 to 90 days before randomization. Other inclusion criteria required a glycated hemoglobin level of 6.5%–11.0% at baseline or, if the antidiabetic regimen included insulin, a glycated hemoglobin level of 7.0%–10.0%. Major exclusion criteria were a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes; unstable cardiac disorders including New York Heart Association Functional Classification IV heart failure, refractory angina, uncontrolled arrhythmia, critical valvular heart disease, or severe uncontrolled hypertension; and dialysis within 14 days before screening.

Patients were randomly assigned to receive alogliptin or placebo, administered in a double-blind fashion, in addition to standard-of-care treatment for type 2 diabetes.

Throughout the study, patients were required to receive standard-of-care treatment for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors according to regional guidelines. Because alogliptin is cleared by the kidney, alogliptin and matching placebo doses were modified according to the estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR, MDRD) at baseline and after randomization.

Cardiovascular adjudication

The composite MACE endpoint consisted of cardiovascular death, non-fatal acute myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke. Cardiovascular death was defined as death from cardiac and cerebrovascular causes, and any death without another known cause. Urgent revascularization due to unstable angina, hospitalization for heart failure, and death from any cause also were adjudicated. Cardiovascular events and all deaths were adjudicated by members of an

independent cardiovascular endpoints committee who were blinded to treatment assignment (Cleveland Clinic Cardiovascular Endpoint Committee, Cleveland, OH).

Measurement of hsCRP

Venous blood samples were obtained in EDTA-treated tubes at study entry as part of the study protocol. Plasma samples were transported refrigerated overnight to the central laboratory, and stored at -80°C or colder until analyzed after a single freeze-thaw cycle. The hsCRP was measured at baseline in all available samples (n = 5,380) using a validated latex-enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay (Hitachi 747 analyzer). All assays were performed by laboratory personnel blinded to treatment allocation and clinical outcome.

Statistical analysis

Study participants were stratified by baseline hsCRP values using established decision limits (<1, 1-3, and >3 mg/l) for prediction of cardiovascular outcomes (3). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD or median and interquartile range for continuous measures, or as proportions for categorical variables. Differences between groups were tested by ANOVA or Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables and the χ^2 -test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Event rates through 30 months were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used to analyze the time to the occurrence of CV outcomes in association with baseline hsCRP levels. The covariates included in the adjusted model were treatment group, age, sex, body mass index, current smoking, total cholesterol, estimated GFR, blood pressure, glycated hemoglobin, and duration of diabetes. Assessment of the treatment effect of alogliptin was performed on an intention-to-treat basis. To determine potential shared effects, study participants were divided into 4 groups according to both baseline hsCRP (≤ 3 or > 3 mg/l) and achieved LDL-C (< 70 or ≥ 70 mg/dl). With this combination, we determined whether the hsCRP level has an independent and additional role to assess cardiovascular risk beyond that conveyed by the achieved LDL-C level, as defined

by current guidelines (14, 15). A two-sided p-value of 0.05 was considered significant for all tests. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and were performed by the biometrics group at the Baim Clinical Research Institute (Boston, MA).

Results

The baseline characteristics of study participants according to baseline hsCRP concentrations (<1, 1-3, and >3 mg/l) are shown in **Table 1**. Of the 5,380 subjects who had an hsCRP concentration measured at baseline, approximately 40% (n=2,139) had an hsCRP concentration of >3 mg/l. Patients with higher hsCRP levels (>3 mg/l) were more obese, and more likely to have higher blood pressure; higher fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin, LDL-C, and triglyceride levels; and lower HDL cholesterol levels than patients with average to lower hsCRP levels (≤ 3 mg/l). The high hsCRP patients were also more likely to be current smokers and have a history of hypertension, coronary bypass surgery, congestive heart failure, or peripheral artery disease and were less likely to have a history of percutaneous coronary intervention.

During a median duration of 18 months of follow-up, cumulative incidences of MACE were 11.5% (119 events), 14.6% (209 events), and 18.4% (287 events) in patients with baseline hsCRP <1, 1-3, and >3 mg/l, respectively ($P<0.001$) (**Figure 1**). Similarly, cumulative incidences of hospitalization for heart failure or death from any cause were related to both baseline hsCRP and achieved LDL-C levels (both $P<0.001$). No differences in the rates of urgent revascularization for unstable angina were observed across the hsCRP concentrations (**Supplemental Figure 1**).

In patients with baseline hsCRP >3 mg/l, the adjusted hazard ratio (HR) (95% confidence interval [CI]) was 1.42 (95% CI, 1.13, 1.78; $P=0.002$) for MACE, 1.40 (1.04, 1.89; $P=0.025$) for non-fatal myocardial infarction, 2.04 (1.34, 3.11; $P<0.001$) for hospitalization for heart failure, and 1.77 (1.29, 2.42; $P<0.001$) for death from any cause, compared to

patients with baseline hsCRP <1 mg/l, and were independent of treatment group, age, sex, body mass index, current smoking, total cholesterol, estimated GFR, blood pressure, glycosylated hemoglobin, and duration of diabetes. Baseline hsCRP concentrations did not show an independent association with the individual endpoints of death from cardiovascular causes, non-fatal stroke, or urgent revascularization due to unstable angina. In addition, patients with average concentrations of hsCRP (1–3 mg/l) had a CV risk comparable to patients with lower baseline hsCRP concentrations (<1 mg/l) (**Table 2**).

Results for the groups evaluated according to both baseline hsCRP (≤ 3 or > 3 mg/l) and achieved LDL-C (< 70 or > 70 mg/dl) are shown in **Figure 2**. Cumulative incidences of MACE were 11.0% (128 events), 14.4% (100 events), 15.6% (194 events), and 21.3% (182 events) in patients with low LDL-C and low hsCRP concentrations, low LDL-C and high hsCRP concentrations, high LDL-C and low hsCRP concentrations, and high LDL-C and high hsCRP concentrations, respectively ($P < 0.001$). Hospitalization for heart failure and death from any cause were also related to both baseline hsCRP and achieved LDL-C levels (both $P < 0.001$). Cumulative incidences of urgent revascularization for unstable angina were similar among the 4 groups (**Supplemental Figure 2**).

Discussion

In patients with type 2 diabetes and a recent acute coronary syndrome we have determined that baseline hsCRP levels are predictive for developing recurrent MACE. Patients with a higher baseline hsCRP level (> 3 mg/l) developed cardiovascular events regardless of the achieved LDL-C level and this association persisted even in patients with an achieved LDL-C level of < 70 mg/dl, a threshold value recommended by most current guidelines for patients with coronary disease (14, 15). Incorporating both hsCRP and LDL-C provided additional stratification of risk, with a more than 2 fold higher risk when both markers were elevated.

The patterns are similar to those seen in other patient populations who did not have type 2 diabetes, or a recent ACS. As such, use of these two simple and widely available tests could help to risk stratify this group of patients.

It has been suggested that the association between hsCRP level and CV disease risk is generally weaker in patients with type 2 diabetes compared with those without diabetes (16-18). Type 2 diabetes is characterized by diverse CV risk factors including high triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol levels, hypertension, and hyperglycemia per se, and these multiple risk factors may partially mask the role of hsCRP as a risk factor for CV morbidity and mortality (16-18). In an analysis from the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS) trial, the baseline CRP level was not predictive of future CV disease. Moreover, the efficacy of statins was not different according to achieved CRP levels, and thus, the authors did not support the use of CRP as an indicator for statin efficacy in patients with type 2 diabetes (10). Collectively, these data suggested that in populations with increased inflammatory and vascular burden, the measurement of hsCRP may have limited clinical relevance in the assessment of the future development of CV events.

In contrast, several prospective cohort studies have shown that individuals with higher CRP levels were at risk for future CV disease, including patients with type 2 diabetes (6-9). In a population-based Italian cohort followed up for 5 years, higher CRP values (>3 mg/l) were associated with increased overall and cardiovascular mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes after adjusting for conventional CV risk factors (6). Similarly, in a study performed with 878 Finnish subjects with type 2 diabetes who were free of myocardial infarction at baseline, coronary heart disease mortality was increased in subjects with a higher CRP level (>3 mg/l) (7). Therefore, there is still equipoise regarding the usefulness of measuring the hsCRP level to assess CV risk in patients with a high vascular risk, including those with type 2 diabetes and previous CV disease from the ADVANCE study (11) and those

with acute coronary syndromes (12).

While some of the above-referenced results are discrepant with those from EXAMINE, there are substantial differences in the patient populations. Our study was comprised of patients with an acute coronary syndrome on average 45 days before randomization, and most patients (>90%) were already receiving a statin at baseline; in ADVANCE, only one-third (34.8%) of patients had a history of previous CV disease and fewer patients had had statin treatment at baseline. Of note, in the 1,345 patients (34.8%) who had a history of CV disease at baseline in ADVANCE (11), the hsCRP level was not associated with recurrent vascular events [HR (95% CI), 1.09 (0.96, 1.23)] (11).

In addition, subjects from the ADVANCE trial had a median hsCRP level of 1.8 mg/l at baseline. Despite the well-known reduction in hsCRP after treatment with statins, the EXAMINE patients had a higher on-treatment median hsCRP level of 2.2 mg/l. Therefore, EXAMINE patients may have had a greater inflammatory burden than those in other study populations, that cannot be entirely captured by CV risk factors driven by type 2 diabetes and a history of cardiovascular disease. Our findings demonstrate that a higher hsCRP value can predict future secondary CV events in patients with established CV disease. In support of this notion is the finding that there was a graded increase in future CV risk across a full range of hsCRP values and risk scores from the Framingham study (19).

Another key finding of our analysis is that the hsCRP value was independent of, and additive to, the achieved LDL-C level in predicting future CV events. There has been controversy regarding whether there are non-lipid lowering pleomorphic benefits of statins. A meta-regression analysis showed a strong correlation between LDL-C reduction and hsCRP reduction ($r=0.80$, $P<0.001$), and at least 90% of the hsCRP reduction with lipid-lowering drugs may be explained by the reduction in LDL-C (20). This would lead to the conclusion that the potential non-lipid-lowering effects of statins on inflammation might be modest in

magnitude. In contrast, results from a secondary analysis from the JUPITER trial demonstrated that the correlation between the reduction in hsCRP and the reduction in LDL-C was relatively weak ($r=0.15$) and relative risk for vascular events with rosuvastatin, 20 mg daily, was 0.45 in those who achieved an LDL-C level of <70 mg/dl, 0.38 in those who achieved an hsCRP level of <2.0 mg/l, and 0.35 in those who achieved both LDL-C and hsCRP targets together. Thus, the authors concluded that not only LDL-C reduction, but also hsCRP reduction, could be induced by statin therapy (21). Finally, the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial demonstrated that hsCRP reduction is beneficial for preventing vascular events whether or not LDL-C levels were reduced to target value of <70 mg/dl with statin treatment (22).

In EXAMINE, the cumulative incidences of MACE, hospitalization for heart failure, and death from any cause were the lowest in patients achieving both an LDL-C <70 mg/dl and hsCRP <3.0 mg/l. However, there were mismatches in the LDL-C levels and hsCRP in EXAMINE. For example, low LDL-C (<70 mg/dl) but high hsCRP (>3.0 mg/l) values with statin treatment were observed in 47.1% (2,503/5,310) of the study patients. In addition, one-third of our patients (33.4%, 882/2,640) had an hsCRP level of >3.0 mg/l despite achieving an LDL-C target of <70 mg/dl. This suggests that both the achieved LDL-C and the hsCRP levels had independent as well as additive effects in predicting future CV risk, and support the non-lipid lowering benefits of statins, such as its anti-inflammatory properties.

Our analysis has some limitations. We only had a single measurement of hsCRP at the baseline period, and therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility of some variability in the hsCRP level from an acute-phase reaction. However, a non-CV inflammatory condition causing an hsCRP elevation is more likely to underestimate the true association between hsCRP value and CV outcomes and not falsely overestimate the risk relationship. We also did not have information regarding other risk factors possibly affecting future cardiovascular disease including socioeconomic status, physical activity, dietary factors, and family history

of cardiovascular disease.

In conclusion, in patients with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk, with a recent acute coronary syndrome but well treated with statins and with good glycemic control, we have found a significant association between on-treatment hsCRP values and future CV outcomes. The results indicate that patients achieving goal LDL-C targets of <70 mg/dl with statin therapy, may benefit from the measurement of both hsCRP and LDL-C to assess residual cardiovascular risk.

Acknowledgements

All authors take full responsibility for the work as a whole, including the study design, and the decision to submit and publish the manuscript. YCH wrote the initial draft of the manuscript and WBW provided additional writing to complete the present draft, DAM reviewed/edited the manuscript; CPC reviewed/edited the manuscript, YL performed analyses and assisted in drafting the statistical analysis section of the manuscript; RMB reviewed /edited the manuscript; SRH reviewed/edited the manuscript; CRM reviewed/edited the manuscript; WCC reviewed/edited the manuscript; GLB reviewed/edited the manuscript; FZ reviewed/edited the manuscript.

William B. White, M.D. is chair of the EXAMINE steering committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL; Faiez Zannad, M.D., Ph.D is a member of the EXAMINE steering committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL, Cyrus R. Mehta, Ph.D. is a member of the EXAMINE steering committee has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield IL, Yuyin Liu, M.S. is an employee of Baim Clinical Research Group, Boston, MA, George L Bakris, M.D. is a member of the EXAMINE steering Committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL; William C. Cushman, M.D. is a member of the EXAMINE steering Committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL; Simon R. Heller, M.D., is a member of the EXAMINE steering Committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL; Richard M. Bergenstal, M.D., is a member of the EXAMINE steering Committee and has received personal fees from Takeda Development Center, Deerfield, IL;

Christopher P. Cannon M.D. is an employee of the Baim Clinical Research Institute, Boston, MA

References

1. Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis. *Nature* 2002;420:868-874.
2. Yousuf O, Mohanty BD, Martin SS, Joshi PH, Blaha MJ, Nasir K, Blumenthal RS, et al. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and cardiovascular disease: a resolute belief or an elusive link? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:397-408.
3. Ridker PM. A Test in Context: High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:712-723.
4. Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Lowe G, Pepys MB, Thompson SG, Collins R, Danesh J. C-reactive protein concentration and risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and mortality: an individual participant meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2010;375:132-140.
5. He LP, Tang XY, Ling WH, Chen WQ, Chen YM. Early C-reactive protein in the prediction of long-term outcomes after acute coronary syndromes: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Heart* 2010;96:339-346.
6. Bruno G, Fornengo P, Novelli G, Panero F, Perotto M, Segre O, Zucco C, et al. C-reactive protein and 5-year survival in type 2 diabetes: the Casale Monferrato Study. *Diabetes* 2009;58:926-933.
7. Soinio M, Marniemi J, Laakso M, Lehto S, Ronnema T. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and coronary heart disease mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 7-year follow-up study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:329-333.
8. Kengne AP, Batty GD, Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Czernichow S. Association of C-reactive protein with cardiovascular disease mortality according to diabetes status: pooled analyses of 25,979 participants from four U.K. prospective cohort studies. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:396-403.
9. Schulze MB, Rimm EB, Li T, Rifai N, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. C-reactive protein and incident cardiovascular events among men with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:889-894.

10. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Livingstone SJ, Charlton-Menys V, Betteridge DJ, Hitman GA, Neil HA, Bao W, et al. Effect of atorvastatin on C-reactive protein and benefits for cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: analyses from the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Trial. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58:1494-1502.
11. Lowe G, Woodward M, Hillis G, Rumley A, Li Q, Harrap S, Marre M, et al. Circulating inflammatory markers and the risk of vascular complications and mortality in people with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease or risk factors: the ADVANCE study. *Diabetes*. 2014;63:1115-1123.
12. Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, Della Bona R, Leo M, Biasillo G, Angiolillo DJ, Abbate A, et al. Different apparent prognostic value of hsCRP in type 2 diabetic and nondiabetic patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Clin Chem*. 2009;55:365-368.
13. White WB, Bakris GL, Bergental RM, Cannon CP, Cushman WC, Fleck P, Heller S, et al. EXamination of cArteriovascular outcoMes with alogliptIN versus standard of carE in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and acute coronary syndrome (EXAMINE): a cardiovascular safety study of the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes with acute coronary syndrome. *Am Heart J*. 2011;162:620-626.e621.
14. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA*. 2001;285:2486-2497.
15. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, Bairey Merz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, Goldberg AC, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014;129:S1-45.
16. Sakkinen P, Abbott RD, Curb JD, Rodriguez BL, Yano K, Tracy RP. C-reactive

protein and myocardial infarction. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2002;55:445-451.

17. Jager A, van Hinsbergh VW, Kostense PJ, Emeis JJ, Yudkin JS, Nijpels G, Dekker JM, et al. von Willebrand factor, C-reactive protein, and 5-year mortality in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects: the Hoorn Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1999;19:3071-3078.

18. Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Pennells L, Wood AM, White IR, Gao P, Walker M, et al. C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, and cardiovascular disease prediction. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:1310-1320.

19. Ridker PM, Cook N. Clinical usefulness of very high and very low levels of C-reactive protein across the full range of Framingham Risk Scores. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1955-1959.

20. Kinlay S. Low-density lipoprotein-dependent and -independent effects of cholesterol-lowering therapies on C-reactive protein: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:2003-2009.

21. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM, Jr., Kastelein JJ, Koenig W, et al. Reduction in C-reactive protein and LDL-C and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1175-1182.

22. Ridker PM, Cannon CP, Morrow D, Rifai N, Rose LM, McCabe CH, Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E (PROVE IT-TIMI 22). C-reactive protein levels and outcomes after statin therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352:20-8.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Time to the primary endpoint (major adverse cardiovascular events) according to baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) in the EXAMINE trial.

Figure 2. Time to the primary endpoint (major adverse cardiovascular events) according to baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol in the EXAMINE trial.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics according to high-sensitivity C-reactive protein concentrations

	High-sensitivity C-reactive protein stratification			<i>P</i> -value
	<1 mg/dl (n=1,278)	1-3 mg/dl (n=1,963)	>3 mg/dl (n=2,139)	
High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (mg/l)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	1.7 (1.3–2.3)	6.2 (4.2–11.9)	<0.001
Age (years)	61.4 (9.7)	60.9 (10.0)	60.5 (10.0)	0.022
Male (%)	75.7 (968)	68.0 (1334)	63.1 (1349)	<0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.3 (4.5)	29.3 (5.0)	30.9 (6.2)	<0.001
Cardiovascular risk factors and history (%)				
Current smoker	11.0 (141)	12.2 (239)	16.5 (354)	<0.001
Hypertension	78.5 (1003)	82.9 (1628)	85.9 (1838)	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	28.1 (359)	27.7 (543)	25.7 (550)	0.22
Myocardial infarction	87.6 (1119)	88.1 (1729)	88.2 (1886)	0.86
Coronary bypass surgery	9.2 (118)	12.2 (240)	15.4 (330)	<0.001
Percutaneous coronary	67.0 (856)	61.7 (1211)	61.0 (1305)	0.001

intervention

Congestive heart failure	22.8 (292)	27.0 (530)	31.7 (679)	<0.001
Transient ischemic attack	1.8 (23)	2.8 (54)	3.2 (68)	0.054
Peripheral arterial disease	6.8 (87)	9.6 (188)	11.2 (239)	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	127.8 (16.9)	129.1 (16.2)	129.5 (16.8)	0.014
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75.5 (9.9)	76.5 (9.3)	76.8 (9.9)	<0.001
Glycated hemoglobin (%)	7.9 (1.1)	8.0 (1.1)	8.1 (1.1)	<0.001
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	140.0 (116.0–173.0)	146.0 (121.0–185.0)	148.0 (122.0–189.0)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	139.0 (119.0–166.0)	148.0 (125.0–178.0)	151.0 (126.0–184.0)	<0.001
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	43.0 (37.0–51.0)	42.0 (36.0–49.0)	41.0 (35.0–48.0)	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)	67.0 (50.0–88.0)	72.0 (55.0–97.0)	76.0 (57.0–102.0)	<0.001
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	127.0 (93.0–171.0)	145.0 (107.0–200.0)	146.0 (106.0–205.0)	<0.001
Estimated GFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	71.7 (20.4)	71.9 (21.2)	69.6 (22.1)	<0.001
Index ACS (%)				
Myocardial infarction	78.6 (1003)	76.3 (1494)	77.6 (1655)	0.31

Unstable angina	21.4 (273)	23.7 (463)	22.4 (478)	0.31
Time between index ACS and randomization (days)	48.0 (32.0–67.0)	44.0 (30.0–64.0)	43.0 (28.0–62.0)	<0.001

Data are expressed as percentage (number), mean (SD), or median (interquartile range).

LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; ACS, acute coronary syndrome

LDL-C levels were measured in 1,271, 1,928, and 2,111 patients, and index ACS cases were determined in 1,276, 1,957, and 2,133 in patients, with hsCRP levels of <1, 1-3, and >3 mg/l, respectively. In addition, body mass index was determined in 1,277 patients with hsCRP levels of <1 mg/l, and HDL cholesterol was measured in 1,962 patients with hsCRP levels of 1-3 mg/l.

Table 2 Cardiovascular outcomes according to baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein concentrations

	High-sensitivity C-reactive protein stratification			<i>P</i> -value
	<1 mg/dl (n=1,278)	1-3 mg/dl (n=1,963)	>3 mg/dl (n=2,139)	
Major adverse cardiovascular events	Reference	1.11 (0.88, 1.40)	1.42 (1.13, 1.78)	0.002
Death from cardiovascular causes		0.97 (0.67, 1.40)	1.40 (0.98, 2.00)	0.06
Non-fatal myocardial infarction		1.14 (0.85, 1.54)	1.40 (1.04, 1.89)	0.025
Non-fatal stroke		1.62 (0.81, 3.22)	1.57 (0.79, 3.13)	0.20
Urgent revascularization due to unstable angina		1.22 (0.72, 2.08)	0.91 (0.52, 1.61)	0.75
Hospitalization for heart failure		1.30 (0.83, 2.04)	2.04 (1.34, 3.11)	<0.001
Death from any cause		1.12 (0.80, 1.55)	1.77 (1.29, 2.42)	<0.001

Data are expressed as hazard ratio (95% confidence interval).

Data were adjusted for treatment group, age, sex, body mass index, current smoking, total cholesterol, estimated glomerular filtration rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, glycated hemoglobin, and diabetes duration.

