



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Waste disposal in late Iron Age and early Roman Silchester: A geochemical comparison of pits, post holes, ditches and wells in Insula IX*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/118935/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

van Zwieten, C, Cook, SR, Voss, J orcid.org/0000-0002-2323-3814 et al. (3 more authors)
(2017) Waste disposal in late Iron Age and early Roman Silchester: A geochemical comparison of pits, post holes, ditches and wells in Insula IX. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 15. pp. 1-7. ISSN 2352-409X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.06.044>

(c) 2017, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 Waste disposal in late Iron Age and early Roman Silchester: a geochemical comparison
2 of pits, post holes, ditches and wells in Insula IX.

3 Cindy van Zwieten¹, Samantha R Cook^{*1}, Jochan Voss² and Michael G Fulford¹, Nicholas A
4 Pankhurst¹ and Catherine M. Barnett¹

5 ¹SAGES, The University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AB

6 ²The Department of Mathematics, The University of Leeds,

7 *Corresponding author s.r.cook@reading.ac.uk

8 **Abstract**

9 Bulk chemical analysis was undertaken on samples taken from 143 negative features
10 (wells, pits, post-holes, cess pits and ditches) across the area of excavation at Silchester
11 Hampshire in order to help us understand the disposal of waste during late Iron Age
12 and earliest Roman occupation. Results show that it is possible to split features into
13 waste disposal which included animal/human waste and those which probably did not.
14 It is also possible to identify post-holes based on organic matter content. This work
15 forms part of the larger Town Life project run by the University of Reading.

16 Keywords: Iron Age, geochemistry, waste disposal

17 **Introduction**

18 Since 2002 a sampling strategy using bulk geochemical analysis (x-ray fluorescence) has
19 been developed in the context of the Silchester Roman Town Life Project in Insula IX,
20 where excavation by the Department of Archaeology at the University of Reading began
21 in 1997 and was concluded in 2014. The aim of the strategy has been to enhance our
22 knowledge of the changing use of space and of occupational behaviour within the area
23 under investigation, some 3025m², which represents about one quarter of this entire
24 block (*insula*) of the Roman town. Using XRF as the principal technique of investigation,
25 research initially focused on the interior of one mid-Roman house (House 1) (Cook *et al.*,
26 *et al.*, 2005; 2011), then on the wider use of hearths across the excavated area (Cook *et al.*,
27 2010) and, more recently, on the differential use of space of the timber-framed
28 buildings occupying the area under excavation in the late 1st and early 2nd century AD
29 (Period 2) (Cook *et al.*, 2014).

30 As the excavation reached the earliest occupation layers, representing the initial
31 settlement of the late Iron Age from c. 20BC (Period 0) and the earliest post-Roman
32 conquest phase from the mid-40s to the last quarter of the 1st century AD (Period 1), a
33 much greater density of pitting and well digging was found than in subsequent phases.
34 It is generally assumed that the pits, even if originally dug in order to extract water or
35 building materials such as gravel or clay, were eventually used to receive waste of all
36 kinds. Even wells, once abandoned as a source of water, were used in a similar way.
37 Typically such features contain quantities of discarded pottery, ceramic building
38 material and animal bone as well as the macroscopic waste from metalworking. There
39 are also the rarer, items of material culture like metalwork, including items such as
40 coins and personal items. Programmes of environmental sampling use flotation to
41 recover the carbonised remains of seeds and wood charcoal, while the residues from
42 these processes produce finds not usually recovered in hand excavation such as small
43 mammal and fish bone, mineralised seed and plant remains and the microscopic
44 remains of metalworking. Waterlogged contexts producing well preserved seed and
45 plant remains, as well as perishable materials such as leather, textiles and wood give an
46 indication of the range of organic materials which do not normally survive.

47 The geochemistry of the soils reported here is designed to complement the comparative
48 analysis of the contents of pits and wells based largely on the macroscopic finds of
49 material culture and faunal remains and to investigate potential patterning that will
50 shed light on variations in occupational behaviour across the excavated area. It will also
51 help moderate initial interpretations made in the field, for example that certain pits
52 were used for cess disposal. Underlying the approach is an assumption that the pits and
53 wells will produce a distinctive geochemistry. In order to test this, the study has been
54 broadened to include samples from ditches, gullies and post-holes. The latter, for
55 example, are generally interpreted as such on the basis of their size, but the
56 geochemistry may help to distinguish small pits actually used for waste disposal and
57 holes dug to take the structural components of buildings.

58 **Methods**

59 Samples were taken from negative features across the excavation at Silchester Insula IX,
60 these features were characterised during the excavation as pits (sixty four features),

61 ditches/gullies (nineteen features) post-holes (sixty features) and wells (three
62 features). The features were classified as follows:

63 Pits: features excavated for a variety of purposes such as storage and disposal of human
64 and animal waste. Ditch/gully: features which have been used for drainage, either for
65 roads or buildings, enclosures or defences.

66 Posthole: features used to hold posts, either for a fence or building.

67 Well: a feature used to draw drinking water for human and/or animal consumption.

68 The samples were allowed to dry, then dis-aggregated and passed through a 1 mm
69 sieve. The number of sample analysed necessitated a technique that was both rapid and
70 relatively low cost, in this case x-ray fluorescence was chosen. The samples were then
71 ground and pressed into pellets with a KBr backing for analysis by X-ray fluorescence
72 (XRF) using a Philips PW1480 XRF with Philips X40 analytical software. Analytical
73 quality was determined by running multiple sub-samples and certified reference
74 material was used to check the accuracy of analysis. Organic matter content of selected
75 samples was determined using loss-on-ignition at 500°C.

76 The bulk (the XRF analysis providing total element concentrations) geochemistry of the
77 samples has then been compared both against each other and against background soil
78 samples collected from outside the Roman town wall at Silchester, The aim of the work
79 is to examine variability and elucidate any differences which may enhance the
80 interpretation of individual features and, more generally, of occupational behaviours
81 across the excavated area in the late Iron Age and earliest Roman period.

82 **Results**

83 In order to begin to understand the chemical fingerprints of each type of feature the
84 average concentrations of both major and trace elements were first considered in
85 relation to the mean background concentrations (Table 1a), these were then plotted to
86 obtain an “average chemical fingerprint” for each feature type (Fig. 2). At first glance
87 there are six elements which appear enriched within the samples from the
88 anthropogenic features; these are copper, zinc, strontium, phosphorus, calcium and
89 manganese.

90

91 Copper and zinc are found at highest concentrations in the cess and rubbish pit samples.
92 The samples from these features contained greater amounts of organic matter (Table
93 1b) than the well samples but less than the post-hole samples. Unsurprisingly given its
94 affinity for organic matter Cu has the largest correlation with organic matter content
95 (0.46). The explanation for the high organic matter but lower copper concentration in
96 the post holes may be due to the nature of the infilling and/or decay of the posts *in-situ*,
97 particularly if the post was charred, examples of charred posts were found in the forum
98 basilica excavations (Fulford and Timby 2000, 29). Pit 12462 was the only feature
99 analysed that was interpreted during excavation as a cess pit. However the chemical
100 signal from the samples analysed (Table1, Fig.2) demonstrates that this feature, whilst
101 contained elevated P concentrations, is not markedly different from the chemical
102 signature obtained from the pits. Cess and rubbish pits contain a variety of human and
103 animal waste which is likely to be higher in Cu (Oonk *et al.*, 2009), whereas the material
104 from the postholes is more likely to be packing for the post (rubble, soil) and soil infill
105 into the void left by the decayed post. Zinc is also found in highest concentrations in the
106 rubbish pits, in all probability for the same reason as Cu.

107

108 Strontium is also indicative of anthropogenic activity and has been shown to be
109 associated with food preparation, animal penning and burning (Middleton, 2004). The
110 highest concentrations of Sr were found in the cess and rubbish pit samples here.

111

112 Phosphorus is the most widely used anthropogenic indicator in archaeological
113 sediments and it is a key element in living systems (Middleton 2004, Oonk 2009),
114 phosphorus enrichment occurs most frequently as a result of disposing of excrement,
115 waste and organic decay (Gauss *et al.*, 2013). Phosphorus can enter the sediment and
116 soil system by a variety of human processes (Schlezingner and Howes, 2000; Holliday
117 and Gartner, 2007), and has been used as a general indicator of occupation intensity
118 (Schlezingner and Howes, 2000; Wells *et al.*, 2000; Marwick, 2005). It is not surprising,
119 therefore that all the negative features sampled contain P concentrations well above our
120 baseline (Table 1b), the highest concentrations of P are found in the pit samples with
121 the cess pit samples second. This is as one would expect given the contents of the cess
122 pit and the rubbish pits, both can be expected to have contained both animal and human

123 excrement as well as ash from fires and plant remains both of which are sources of P
124 (Middleton , 2004; Kanthilatha, Boyd & Chang, 2014).

125

126 Of the sampled features the wells contained the lowest levels of P, notably, of the
127 samples analysed using loss on ignition as a method of determining organic matter, the
128 wells had the lowest average organic matter content. In order to further investigate the
129 distribution of P across the site and within the samples bubble plots were used to show
130 varying concentrations (Fig. 3). Figure 3a shows the distribution of P concentrations in
131 pits across the site, several pits stand out as having comparatively elevated P. These
132 samples were from contexts 11970 and 11971, which were part of a cluster of Period 0
133 pits located towards the northern limit of excavation, while pits 12005 and 13539 were
134 located close to the centre of the excavated area and were likely associated with early
135 Roman activity. Feature 14322 was interpreted during excavation as a pit, yet was later
136 recognised as post hole forming part of the northern wall of a substantial late Iron Age
137 structure (Fig.1)

138

139 The samples from the ditches (Fig. 3) which run along the N-S street contain higher
140 concentrations of P than the samples from ditches in the middle of the site, this is
141 perhaps not surprising given that this road would have carried animal traffic and the
142 ditches would have received run-off from the road. The bubble plot for the post-hole
143 samples (Fig 3c) clearly shows that two samples contain far more P than the others.
144 These samples belong to post holes 13717 which were associated with a possible later
145 Iron Age structure and 12837 that truncated a construction trench associated with a
146 further later Iron Age structure. (Fig 1)

147

148 Calcium has also long been regarded as a good indicator of human activities (Middleton
149 and Price, 1996; Middleton, 2004; Oonk *et al.*, 2009b), and food production areas tend
150 to contain elevated concentrations of phosphorus as well as calcium (Middleton, 2004).
151 All the negative features sample contain high Ca concentrations with the pits and well
152 samples having highest Ca and the ditch/gully samples lowest.

153

154 Manganese behaves in a similar way to Ca (Middleton, 2004) and is associated with
155 plant remains. It is not surprising then that the cess pit and rubbish pit samples contain

156 the most Mn, with the ditch/gully samples also containing similar Mn concentrations,
157 perhaps indicative of vegetation washed or swept into the gullies.

158

159 Zirconium is depleted compared to background in all feature types but this is likely to
160 be due to different weathering rates of the background soils and sampled features.

161 Zirconium has no anthropogenic source and behaves in a conservative manner, thus as
162 weatherable minerals are removed from the profile Zr appears enriched (Whitfield *et*
163 *al.*, 2011).

164 **Analysis of results**

165 In order to further understand the differentiation between the different feature samples
166 we employed a variety of statistical techniques. Principal component analysis (PCA)
167 produced results which did not show much separation between the groups. An
168 approach which considered individual variables one-at-a time was then chosen and box
169 plots were produced (Fig. 4). A standard R boxplot function was used with outliers
170 labelled as circles, the box shows the upper, median and lower quartile and the
171 “whiskers” show the range of the (non-outlier) data. Therefore if the notches on two of
172 the boxes do not overlap there is strong evidence for the medians of the distributions
173 being different. In this way we can easily compare the different features.

174 Figure 4 shows the box plots for each type of feature, in this plot blue = well+cess,
175 yellow = pits, green = postholes and red = ditches/gullies. This plot shows that pits have
176 high P, Sr, Cu and Mn and wells are correspondingly low in these elements. It is difficult
177 to separate the ditches and post-holes using this technique, the post-holes contain more
178 Na Ti, and Zr than the ditches whilst the ditches are higher in P and Pb, perhaps a
179 reflection of the waste matter present in ditches but not in post-holes.

180 **Summary and conclusions**

181 The different negative features sampled at Silchester, post-holes, pits, ditches/gullies
182 and wells have been characterised according to their bulk chemistry in an attempt to
183 understand whether it is possible to determine their function using chemistry alone.

184 The results show that it is possible to split features into waste disposal which included
185 animal/human waste and those which probably did not. It is also possible to identify
186 post-holes based on organic matter content.

187 The samples analysed here are all taken from the earliest occupation associated with
188 the beginning of urban life in Southern Britain. The timespan ranges from the origin of
189 the late Iron Age *oppidium* at Calleva, c. 20BC, through the earliest phase of occupation
190 after the Roman conquest of SE Britain in AD 43-44, to c.AD70, about 90 years. Although
191 the results show higher concentrations of elements in some rubbish/cess pits, all the
192 analysed samples across the whole excavated area show significantly above-
193 background results for elements indicative of human and animal occupation. They do
194 not show any concentrations of elements indicative of metalworking or any other
195 specialised occupation, as for example identified in the later (Period 2) phase at Insula
196 IX, late 1st century/early 2nd century AD (Cook et al., 2014).

197 On-site interpretations can be ambiguous and it is here that further investigation using
198 techniques other than traditional archaeology can be of assistance. Features
199 interpreted as post-holes for example are found to contain elevated organic matter and
200 lower concentrations of phosphorus than pits and ditches.

201 Ditches that run along street fronts are higher in phosphorus than those away from
202 main thoroughfares. This highlights the importance of across-site variation and of
203 archaeological context, not all samples from each type of feature are the same but vary
204 according to the use of space.

205 The well samples are noticeably lower in anthropogenic elements notably again P, but
206 also in Cu, Mn and Sr.

207 While the statistical tests performed on the data did not produce a definitive separation
208 of feature type, we were able to extract some differences in sample characteristics,
209 particularly for ditches and pits (higher P in both these sample types) and post-holes
210 with lower P and higher organic matter. It is perhaps a reflection of the multi-purpose
211 use of pits, both household and animal/human waste that makes it hard to separate
212 them from cess pits, and indeed even post holes may have been backfilled with general
213 rubbish after use.

214 The samples analysed here are all from contexts stratified beneath those which were
215 analysed and interpreted in Cook et al., (2014). An important question is whether there
216 has been downward mobility of elements which may have influenced the results
217 presented here. While this cannot be completely discounted, it is reassuring that the

218 concentrations of elements individually and collectively are distinct. The samples taken
219 from Period 2 (Cook et., 2014) are considerably higher in metallic elements associated
220 with craft or industrial processes, this not evident in these earlier occupation levels.

221 At present there are no comparative data available from the earliest phases of other
222 Late Iron Age and earliest Roman urban communities in Britain or elsewhere, but it is
223 clearly desirable that this research be developed further to gain an insight into the
224 characterisation and comparative analysis of early urban settlements.

225 **Acknowledgements**

226 The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments and suggestions provided by an
227 anonymous reviewer and the editor of this journal. We are also grateful for funding
228 provided by the Headley Trust (CVZ).

229 **References**

- 230 **Bowen, H. C. & Wood, P. D. (1968)** Experimental storage of corn underground and its
231 implication for Iron age settlements. *Bulletin Institute of Archaeology*, 7, 1-4.
232
- 233 **Cook S.R., Clarke, A.S., Fulford M.G. and Voss J.(2014)** Characterising the use of urban
234 space: a geochemical case study from Calleva Atrebatum (Silchester, Hampshire, UK)
235 Insula IX during the late first/early second century AD. *J.Arch.Sci.* 50 108-116
236
- 237 **Fulford, M.G. and Timby, J., (2000)** *Late Iron Age and Roman Silchester: Excavations on*
238 *the Site of the Forum-Basilica, 1977, 1980-86*, Britannia Monograph 15, London
239
- 240 **Gauss, R.K., B_atora, J.,Nowaczinski, E.,Rassmann,K., Schukraft,G. (2013)** The Early
241 Bronze Age settlement of Fidv_ar, Vr_able (Slovakia): reconstructing prehistoric
242 settlement patterns using portable XR. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40, 2942-2960.
243
- 244 **Holliday, V.T., Gartner,W.G. (2007)** Methods of soil P analysis in archaeology. *Journal*
245 *of Archaeological Science* 34, 301-333.
- 246 **Kanthilatha, N., Boyd, W. & Chang, N. (2014)** Multi-element characterization of
247 archaeological floors at the prehistoric archaeological sites at Ban Non Wat and Nong
248 Hua Raet in Northeast Thailand. *Quaternary International* 08 1-13
- 249 **Marwick, B. (2005)** Element concentrations and magnetic susceptibility of anthrosols:
250 indicators of prehistoric human occupation in the inland Pilbara, Western Australia.
251 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32, 1357-1368.
- 252 **Nielsen N.H & Kristiansen S. M (2014)** Identifying ancient manuring: traditional
253 phosphate vs. multi-element analysis of archaeological soil. *J.Arch.Sci* 42 390-398

254 **Nelum Kanthilatha, William Boyd & Nigel Chang (2014)** Multi-element
255 characterization of archaeological floors at the prehistoric archaeological sites at Ban
256 Non Wat and Nong Hua Raet in Northeast Thailand. *Quaternary International*.
257 **Middleton, W.D. (2004)** Identifying chemical activity residues on prehistoric house
258 floors: a methodology and rationale for multi-elemental characterization of a mild acid
259 extract of anthropogenic sediments. *Archaeometry* 46, 47-65.
260
261 **Middleton, W.D., Price, T.D. (1996)** Identification of activity areas by multi-element
262 characterization of sediments from modern and archaeological house floors using
263 inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological*
264 *Science* 23, 673-687.
265
266 **Oonk, S., Slomp, C.P., Huisman, J. (2009)** Geochemistry as an aid in Archaeological
267 prospection and site interpretation: current issues and research directions.
268 *Archaeological Prospection* 16, 35-51.
269
270 **Oonk, S., Slomp, C.P., Huisman, D.J., Vriend, S.P. (2009b)** Effects of site lithology on
271 geochemical signatures of human occupation in archaeological house plans in
272 the Netherlands. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36, 1215-1228.
273
274 **Schlezing, D.R., Howes, B.L. (2000)** Organic phosphorus and elemental ratios as
275 indicators of prehistoric human occupation. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27, 479-
276 492.
277
278 **Smith D.N (20 13)** Defining an indicator package to allow identification of 'cesspits' in
279 the archaeological record. *40 (1)*, 526-543
280 **Wells, E.C., Terry, R.E., Hardin, P.J., Parnell, J.J., Houston, S.D., Jackson, M.W. (2000)**
281 Chemical analysis of ancient anthrosols at Piedras Negras, Guatemala. *Journal of*
282 *Archaeological Science* 27, 449-462.

283 **Whitfield C, Watmore A & Aherne J (2011)** Evaluation of elemental depletion
284 weathering rate estimation methods on acid-sensitive soils of north-eastern Alberta,
285 Canada. *Geoderma* 166 (1) 189-197

286

287 **Figure headings:**

288

289 **Figure 1 Plan of excavation site at Silchester showing location of features**
290 **sampled.**

291

292 **Figure 2 Elemental fingerprints for samples taken from negative features at**
293 **Silchester compared to the average background sample. Concentrations in mg/kg**
294 **dry weight.**

295 **Figure 3 Bubble diagram showing relative concentrations of phosphorus found in**
296 **samples from pits, ditches and post-holes. Grid shown with eastings and**
297 **northings.**

298

299 **Figure 4 Standard R boxplot function plots with outliers labelled as circles, the**
300 **box shows the upper, median and lower quartile and the “whiskers” show the**
301 **range of the (non-outlier) data. Blue = well+cess, yellow = pits, green = postholes**
302 **and red = ditches/gullies**

303

304

305