

This is a repository copy of *Tuning*, interference and false shallow gas signatures in geohazard interpretations: Beyond the  $\lambda/4$  rule.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/118451/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Barrett, BJ, Huws, DG, Booth, AD orcid.org/0000-0002-8166-9608 et al. (2 more authors) (2017) Tuning, interference and false shallow gas signatures in geohazard interpretations: Beyond the ' $\lambda$ /4' rule. Near Surface Geophysics, 15 (4). pp. 359-366. ISSN 1873-0604

https://doi.org/10.3997/1873-0604.2017023

This is an author produced version of a paper published in Near Surface Geophysics. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

3	2017
4	
5	Bonita J. Barrett
6	School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
7	Dei G. Huws
8	School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, UK.
9	Adam D. Booth
10	School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

- 11 Øystein Wergeland
- 12 Statoil ASA, Harstad, Norway.
- 13 J.A. Mattias Green
- 14 School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, UK.

# 1 TUNING, INTERFERENCE AND FALSE SHALLOW GAS SIGNATURES IN

GEOHAZARD INTERPRETATIONS: BEYOND THE '\u03b2/4' RULE

#### 24 ABSTRACT

25 Shallow gas presents a significant geohazard for drilling operations, with implications 26 for costly well deviations and inherent blow-out risks. The archetypal seismic signature of shallow gas - a 'bright spot' – can be falsely induced by tuning, whereby reflections 27 from closely-separated horizons stack and constructively interfere. 28 According to 29 established guidelines, maximum constructive interference is typically expected where horizons are separated by one-quarter wavelength ( $\lambda/4$ ) of the seismic wavelet. Here, 30 31 we test the circumstances in which false gas signatures can be induced from tuning, and the conditions in which the ' $\lambda/4$ ' guidelines for interference become problematic. We 32 simulate normal-incidence seismic data for a variety of reflectivity models, 33 34 incorporating different contrasts in reflectivity magnitude and polarity. We simulate acoustic impedance by supplying initial geological parameters to Gassmann's rock 35 physics equations, allowing bulk density and compressional (P-) wave velocity to vary 36 between ~1200-2100 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and ~1460-1670 m/s, respectively for non-gassy sediments 37 and 1160 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 170-200 m/s for gassy sediments. Tuning is considered for a 38 39 Ricker wavelet source pulse, having both peak frequency and effective bandwidth of 60 40 Hz. Tuning effects are able to mask a gas pocket, corresponding to a 'false negative' signature which represents a significant hazard for drilling operations. Furthermore, the 41 42 widely adopted ' $\lambda/4$ ' assumption for constructive interference is not always valid, as the brightest seismic responses can appear for thicker ( $\langle \lambda/2' \rangle$ ) and thinner ( $\geq \lambda/16'$ ) beds, 43 depending upon the stratigraphy. Similar observations are made both qualitatively and 44 45 quantitatively for real seismic responses, in which reflections from a series of dipping clinoforms interfere with those from an overlying unconformity. We conclude that 46 greater attention should be paid to the interpretation of shallow gas risk; specifically, the 47

effect of reflector geometries should not be overlooked as a means of producing ormasking seismic amplitudes that could be indicative of a hazardous gas accumulation.

50

51

## 52 INTRODUCTION

Gas is widely distributed as a pore fluid in the shallow sediment layers of most of the 53 world's basins. In hydrocarbon exploration, the presence of shallow gas can be a useful 54 indicator of deeper economic reserve of gas, or it can be present as a significant gas 55 reservoir in its own right (e.g. the Peon gas field in the North Sea). For most purposes, 56 however, it is considered as an engineering hazard, and presents a significant risk in 57 58 hydrocarbon production and sea-bed engineering. Blow-outs from drilling into a pressurised gas pocket are of particular concern, and the West Vanguard blowout that 59 60 occurred at 523m RKB on the Halten Bank, Norwegian Sea serves as a prime example of the tragic consequences (human, economic and environmental) that can arise from 61 such an event (Nørstebø et al., 1986; Grinrod, Haaland & Ellingsen, 1988). 62

63

The risk posed by shallow gas can be mitigated by undertaking a risk assessment prior to the commencement of drilling operations, whereby the analysis of shallow seismic data is a key component. In such data, shallow accumulations of gas are often clearly visible as a high-amplitude anomaly (Judd and Hovland, 1992). Gas-charged sediment typically has a high acoustic impedance contrast with overlying and underlying strata, since seismic velocity is significantly reduced in the presence of small gas concentrations (Gardner, 1988), whilst the bulk density is much less affected. The undrained strength of sediment is reduced by 25% with a total gas volume of only 1-2%
(Thomas, 1987; Sham, 1989).

73

Mapping the location of shallow amplitude anomalies is an effective means of 74 75 constructing a risk map, to mitigate against disastrous blow-outs. However, a significant fraction of anomalies may present as 'false positives' or 'false negatives'. In the former 76 77 case, seismic amplitudes may exceed some critical threshold for a gas anomaly, but no gas is encountered upon drilling. The consequence is that optimal drilling strategies are 78 79 unnecessarily ruled out. Less common, although more hazardous, are false negative gas 80 signatures - where no evidence of gas is perceived in the seismic record but a gas accumulation is observed upon drilling. With no warning from the seismic hazard map, 81 a hidden anomaly of this kind could be disastrous. A comprehensive seismic hazard 82 map, therefore, not only delineates the extent of amplitude anomalies, but also 83 incorporates the potential origins of the amplitude anomaly. False gas signatures, 84 85 whether positive or negative, can arise because of tuning effects that are caused by interference between reflected wavelets. Understanding the origins of such responses is 86 the key focus of this paper. 87

88

Tuning phenomena are commonplace in the shallow section of seismic data, particularly in the previously glaciated regions of the North Sea and Norwegian Sea, since the glacial deposits are often dominated by thin sediment beds, with inherently closelyspaced horizons (Van der Meer, Menzies and Rose, 2003). Wavelet interference is therefore widespread although seldom predictable; constructive interference causes an anomalously high amplitude response that resembles shallow gas, whereas destructive
interference may mask a genuine gas response. Similar interpretative dilemmas are, of
course, encountered in seismic reservoir characterisation, but the availability of
borehole logs removes much of the ambiguity from the analysis of the seismic response.
However, such datasets are seldom available for the shallow marine case, and the extent
to which the risk of false signature misinterpretation of shallow gas are appreciated in
the geotechnical industry is unclear.

101

To generalise tuning effects, a 'rule-of-thumb' has arisen that implies that maximum 102 103 constructive interference occurs where horizons are separated by <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the incident wavelength ( $\lambda/4$ ). This generalisation follows from the seminal work of Widess (1973), 104 who model-tested the tuning response of a single thin bed surrounded by homogenous 105 106 sediment (using two equal but opposite-polarity reflection coefficients as top and basal reflection interfaces). The study established the limit of vertical resolution as  $\lambda/8$  in 107 noise-free data, but  $\lambda/4$  as a realistic threshold – a conclusion that has since been widely 108 reported (Ashcroft, 2011; Avseth, Mukerji, and Mavko, 2005; Chopra and Marfurt, 109 2007; Li et al., 2015) regardless of the match between the genuine subsurface 110 reflectivity and the simplified Widess (1973) model. 111

112

113 Modest variations to this simple ground model can yield significant deviations from the 114 ' $\lambda$ /4 rule'. Chung and Lawton (1990) considered the resolution of reflectivity models 115 that had unequal, yet opposite-polarity reflection coefficients. They showed that the 116 maximum amplitude of the composite waveform resulting from the two interfaces 117 increases linearly with layer thickness for equal and opposite reflection coefficients up to  $\lambda/4$ , but that there is a non-linear relationship between thickness and amplitude for 118 119 unequal reflection coefficients. Additional to the underlying reflectivity, wavelet shape 120 also plays a complicating role in resolution and interference. The observations of Widess (1973) were defined for a zero-phase wavelet. Lee et al. (2009) developed this 121 122 by considering both the resolution characteristics of not only zero-phase, but also minimum-phase Ormsby wavelets. They concluded that the nominal tuning thickness 123 124 can be less than  $\lambda/4$  in both cases. While minimum-phase wavelets would unlikely to be used in shallow hazard assessment, the scope for deviation from the  $\lambda/4$  assumption 125 is noteworthy. 126

127

In this paper, we conduct an investigation of the circumstances in which seismic 128 129 amplitude anomalies may specifically be falsely interpreted in terms of the presence or absence of shallow gas accumulations. We show situations arising from reasonable 130 variations in stratigraphy alone which, when treated simply with the ' $\lambda/4$  rule', would be 131 vulnerable to misinterpretation. We conduct tests to assess the variability of tuning 132 responses with varying geological sequences, using a synthetic seismic model and real 133 data from the Norwegian Sea, and show that each ground model has a unique tuning 134 response. In addition, we show that in cases where gas is present in the stratigraphy, 135 there is the potential to mask the amplitude anomaly from the destructive effects of 136 tuning. 137

138

139

140

## 141 METHODS

## 142 Synthetic Modelling

143 Tuning effects were studied using 1D models of normal-incidence seismic reflection data. These models are derived by supplying representative values of sediment and fluid 144 145 properties into Gassman's equations (1951), a set of relationships used to derive the 146 compressional (P-) wave velocity (and bulk density) from fluid and matrix components. 147 Modelled quantities are validated against literature values (Anderson and Hampton, 1980) of bulk modulus, bulk density and P-wave velocity. The capacity of a model to 148 149 produce a 'gas-like' anomaly is validated against seismic data from the Peon gas field, North Sea. On defining bulk density and P-wave velocity, models are converted to 150 151 acoustic impedance and, thereafter, to reflection coefficient. Representative seismic 152 traces are then produced by convolving the reflectivity series with a Ricker wavelet; the convolutional model incorporates reflection and transmission losses across each 153 154 interface, but neither noise nor the effects of noise-suppression algorithms are 155 considered. The following sections describe the detail of our simulation approach.

156

## 157 Model inputs

Gassmann's equations (1951) use simple mixing models for sediment components to derive a compressional velocity and bulk density of each sediment layer. The use of Gassmann's equations to calculate the effect of saturating fluids on the compressional velocity in unconsolidated sediments is supported by Gardner, Gardner and Gregory (1974). It is assumed that each layer is composed of three principal components: the

8

frame (dry framework drained of pore fluid), matrix (grains) and pore space 163 164 constituents. These components are characterised by individual properties of density, 165 velocity, water saturation and elastic moduli, here assigned using values established in previous studies (Table 1; Stoll and Bryan, 1970; Stoll, 2001; Al-Khateb, 2013). The 166 equations assume that i) the sediment is homogeneous, elastic and isotropic, ii) the 167 168 porosity is constant and in pressure equilibrium, iii) there is no movement of pore fluid across boundaries, and iv) there are no chemical reactions between the fluids and the 169 170 grains, i.e. shear modulus is constant (Al-Khateb, 2013).

171

172 Since we simulate normal incidence reflections, only the P-wave velocity,  $v_P$ , is derived 173 as:

174 
$$v_p = \sqrt{\frac{K + \frac{4}{s} + \mu}{\rho_B}}$$
(1)

where K is sediment bulk modulus (Pa),  $\mu$  is shear modulus (Pa) and  $\rho_{\rm B}$  is bulk density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Compressional velocities between ~1460-1670 m/s and bulk densities of ~1200-2100 kg/m<sup>3</sup> are calculated for sediments with varying proportions of clay and sand.

179

Bulk density is a composite of grain density ( $\rho_g$ ), pore fluid density ( $\rho_f$ ) and the porosity ( $\phi$ ) of the sediment (using the proportional average of the sand and clay fractions), given by:

183 
$$\rho_B = \rho_g (1 - \varphi) + \rho_{fl} \varphi.$$
 (2)

184 Fluid density is given by:

185 
$$\rho_{fl} = S_w \rho_w + (1 - S_w) \rho_{hc}$$
 (3)

where Sw is fractional water saturation, and  $\rho_w$  and  $\rho_{hc}$  are densities of the water and hydrocarbon (here, gas) fractions respectively. A value of Sw = 0.7 implies that 70% of pore space is occupied by water, the remainder by gas.

189

190 We fix porosity at 0.34 and 0.61 for unconsolidated sand and clay respectively 191 (following Terzhagi and Peck, 1967). Void ratio (e) is established in order to calculate 192 porosity ( $\phi$ ) using:

193 
$$e = \frac{G_s \rho_W - \rho_{sat}}{\rho_{sat} - \rho_W}$$
(4)

194 
$$\phi = \frac{e}{1+e} \times 100 \tag{5}$$

195 where  $G_s$  is specific gravity and  $\rho_{sat}$  is the saturated bulk density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>).

196

197 For small additions of gas, v<sub>P</sub> drops significantly (Anderson and Hampton, 1980), due mostly to the effect of gas on the bulk compressibility of the sediment volume. Velocity 198 199 values of ~170-200 m/s are calculated with the addition of gas, consistent with Anderson and Hampton (1980) for gas saturations greater than 1%, and Konstanaki et 200 201 al. (2016) and Angioni et al. (2013) at shallow onshore sites. Of course, higher velocities than this are plausible, attributable (Wilkens and Richardson, 1998) to local 202 203 velocity averaging in gassy and non-gassy sediment, and/or a prevalence of smaller-204 sized bubbles in the total gas volume. However, by including the slower velocities, our models simulate the strongest likely reflectivity – the motivation being that if the
potential exists for geometric effects to mask strong reflectivity, it also exists for weaker
contrasts.

208

A fundamental concept in Gassmann's equation is that the bulk modulus is affected by fluid substitution, but the shear modulus is not. The gas presence will inherently reduce sediment density too (to  $\sim$ 1160 kg/m<sup>3</sup> in sand) but a reduced density, of itself, would increase the velocity (Equation 1); it is the effect on bulk modulus reduction that is the main cause of the velocity drop (Lee, 2004).

214

215 Densities and velocities are combined to define acoustic impedance (Z, =  $\rho_{\rm B}v_{\rm P}$ ), and a 216 reflection coefficient series. The normal incidence reflectivity, R, of each interface is

217 
$$R = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \tag{6}$$

where subscripts 1 and 2 respectively denote material properties above and below the 218 interface. The reflectivity series is convolved with a broadband Ricker wavelet (Figure 219 220 1) of 60 Hz peak frequency, with effective bandwidth (i.e., bandwidth measured at the 50% amplitude threshold) spanning 30-95 Hz. This frequency conforms with the 221 recommendation of Bulat & Long (2006), who suggest 60 Hz as the lowest frequency 222 223 that would make exploration data suitable for site investigation purposes. While they also concede 60 Hz is somewhat high for typical exploration data, our study highlights 224 the range of tuning responses that could be anticipated if the guidance of Bulat & Long 225 226 (2006) was followed.

228

229 Transmission loss and amplitude gain

230 At each interface, a certain proportion of wavelet amplitude energy is reflected back to 231 the surface, implying that a reduced fraction is transmitted. The available wavelet amplitude therefore decreases with depth, irrespective of interference effects. Such 232 233 transmission losses are included in the model, but loss due to scattering and/or absorption is omitted (their effects are in any case negligible for the short intervals we 234 235 consider). Other authors neglect interface transmissivity (e.g. Lee, Lee and Kim, 2009; 236 Chung & Lawton, 1995), but we consider it sufficiently important to include because it will materially affect the results of a study such as this, in which closely spaced reflector 237 238 responses are interfering with each other.

239

240

241 **RESULTS** 

```
242 Synthetic Results
```

243 Tuning with horizon couplets

Twelve different reflectivity models with two successive horizons of varying polarities and magnitudes, were simulated to test tuning responses (Figure 2). The layer thickness is reduced in each test from  $1\lambda$  to  $\lambda/24$  and the leading trough amplitude (maximum negative amplitude) is measured. This attribute is used since strong negative amplitudes would often be considered to be the least ambiguous diagnostic of a shallow gas accumulation. While it should be noted that not all of our layer configurations are able to trap gas (i.e., a potential physical seal is absent), these hypothetical layer configurations are simply synthetic models to show the range of tuning characteristics that they could produce.

253

254 Figure 3 shows the maximum negative amplitude attribute for the all reflectivity models considered. Reflectivity models that exhibit a large amplitude change are of interest as 255 these could represent constructive or destructive interference of a potential gas 256 signature. Note that tuning responses for beds thinner than  $\lambda/8$  are not resolved in real 257 258 data, therefore Figure 3 emphasises thicknesses in the range of  $\lambda/2$  and  $\lambda/8$ . Test 4 represents a validation model since its equal-and-opposite reflectivity conforms with the 259 configuration defined by Widess (1973). For this case, the ' $\lambda/4$ ' characteristic is 260 261 evidently valid; however, it is immediately obvious that the ' $\lambda/4$ ' does not describe tuning for other cases, thereby highlighting the need for cautious interpretation. 262 Maximum constructive interference occurs at  $\lambda/4$  for six of the twelve tests (4, 5, 6, 7, 8) 263 264 and 12) and, of these, only three construct by greater than 20% (Tests 4, 5 and 12). Test 4 is an 'equal and opposite' scenario with a positive reflection preceding the negative 265 266 reflection and Test 12 is the opposite. Test 5 is a small negative reflection coefficient followed by a large positive reflection coefficient. In these examples, the  $\lambda/4$  rule may 267 268 be valid. Otherwise, the remaining tests reveal maximum constructive interference at bed thickness other than  $\lambda/4$ . Tests 1, 2 and 3 comprise positive reflection coefficient 269 combinations and reveal maximum constructive interference at  $\lambda/2$ . The remaining 270

271 reflection coefficient patterns (9, 10 and 11) reveal maximum constructive interference 272 at bed thicknesses  $< \lambda/8$ , unlikely to be resolved in real seismic data (Widess, 1973).

Amplitude destruction is encountered at  $\lambda/4$  in Tests 9, 10 and 11 of 49%, 15% and 7%, 273 respectively. Test 9 consists of two equal negative reflection coefficients and reveals 274 275 maximum destructive interference at  $\lambda/4$  when the negative peak of the first reflection is 276 suppressed by the leading positive trough of the second. In this scenario, if there is no gas in the ground model and the negative reflection coefficients represent a simple 277 278 transition into sediments of lower acoustic impedance (e.g. sand to silt, clay), false positive gas signatures are induced at bed thicknesses of  $\lambda/8$  and thinner. If the negative 279 reflection coefficients are a result of gas, the gas response is visible at  $\lambda/8$  (but likely to 280 281 be below seismic resolution) and where we would expect it according to the  $\lambda/4$  rule of thumb, the gas signature becomes hidden. This could lead to a false negative 282 283 interpretation of shallow gas.

284

285 Tuning with multiple thin beds

The more likely scenario for a real case is that the observed reflectivity is the result of 286 287 interference across several closely-separated horizons. When a ground model of alternating sand and clay layers of the same thickness is tested, maximum constructive 288 interference indeed occurs at  $\lambda/4$  and a false positive gas signature is induced. A typical 289 290 example of beds thinning in this manner is the pinch-out of sediment infill onto the margin of a channel or of a syn-rift depositional wedge. However, when gas was 291 introduced into the third layer (sand) of the same model, the interference pattern is very 292 293 different (Figure 4). The 'gassy' signature is of a similar amplitude to the seabed reflection. The leading trough of the gas trace constructs at a bed thickness of  $\lambda/2$  by 38%. Crucially, at bed thickness of  $\lambda/4$ , the gas signature is hidden (destructed by 233%) and a false negative gas signature is induced. For a 60 Hz wavelet and a velocity of 2000 m/s,  $\lambda/4$  implies that 10 m thick layers are vulnerable to this effect. For the higher frequencies (e.g., 120 Hz; Atkins, 2004) typical of dedicated site-survey data,  $\lambda/4$ may be closer to 4 m, hence gassy beds of this thickness are vulnerable to being hidden.

300

301 It is also worth noting that variations in gas saturation above 3% cause relatively little 302 change in  $v_P$  (Domenico, 1977; Murphy, 1984; Lee, 2004). As such, tuning effects can 303 mask a layer even with very high gas saturation.

304

305

#### **306 DISCUSSION**

307 Gas signatures in real data

308 Figures 5 and 6 highlight interference effects in real data, from 3D seismic data from the Norwegian continental shelf. The Naust Formation is a Late Pliocene (3.60-2.58 Ma) 309 unit, in which the high amplitude Upper Regional Unconformity (URU) truncates a 310 311 series of clinoform wedges. A clinoform is a depositional surface expressed in seismic with a sloping morphology (Mitchum et al., 1977). The URU truncates the clinoforms 312 313 such that only the foresets are apparent and it separates them from flat-lying till units above. Unfortunately, there are no wells that penetrate these particular clinoforms, but 314 315 local wells penetrating through others within the Naust Formation reveal sand with

hemipelagic mud between. Different interference patterns are anticipated between the URU and the underlying clinoforms, given the change in the vertical separation of reflectivity as the clinoforms converge on the URU. Figure 5 shows a seismic crosssection through a series of clinoforms, extracted along the profile included in Figure 6. Figure 6 itself is a plan-view image of URU reflectivity, shown as a combined variance (i.e. trace-to-trace variability over a given sample interval) and minimum amplitude map.

323

The amplitudes in Figure 6 show a characteristic tuning response, in which the 324 325 maximum negative amplitude brightens (yellow) and then dims (grey) towards the truncation of a clinoform. It is evident that each clinoform truncation expresses this 326 response in a unique manner, with some producing brighter amplitudes over a larger 327 328 distance than others. For example, the approach of clinoforms 4 and 5 (upper row of Figure 5) to the truncation are not as bright as those of clinoforms 6 and 7 (lower row of 329 Figure 5), i.e. the degree of constructive interference is reduced. Since this dataset is 330 acquired and processed to maintain a consistent waveform, and each clinoform 331 converges on the URU at a similar angle, the differing tuning responses can be 332 attributed only to the different lithology of each specific clinoform. 333

334

The relationships we simulated in our synthetic analysis, where only lithology was varied, are therefore likely to be appropriate for this real dataset. Furthermore, it is possible that the quantitative relationships we observed between amplitude and layer thickness could be replicated for this real data setting. Figure 7 shows the detail of 339 clinoform 7 (lower row, Figure 5), showing the seismic profile as wiggle traces, with 340 'red-blue-red' (trough-peak-trough) polarity giving a 'hard' response (i.e., the response 341 generated at an interface across which there is an increase in acoustic impedance, such 342 as clay to sand). Both the URU and the clinoform exhibit hard responses and hence show positive reflection coefficients that are similar in magnitude. Our modelling 343 suggests that this combination of reflectivity experiences maximum constructive 344 interference at  $\lambda/2$ , which is consistent with the results observed in synthetic Test 1 345 346 (Figure 3). The bed thickness (time between peaks) and amplitude curve (of the leading trough of the clinoform reflection) are presented above the seismic cross-section. Bed 347 thickness decreases from left to right and maximum constructive interference occurs 348 349 when the bed thickness is 20 ms. Here, wavelet period is a suitable proxy for wavelength as we do not expect large lateral contrasts in velocity. We measure wavelet 350 351 period at 35 ms (28 Hz dominant frequency) hence it appears that maximum constructive interference occurs at approximately  $\lambda/2$  (i.e., one half-period). In addition, 352 models suggested amplitude boosting of 70%, comparable to the observed increase of 353 354 73%.

355

## 356 Current industrial practice

While the effects of interference may be familiar with regards to their potential for producing false-positive gas anomalies, there is less recognition that interference can obscure a genuine gas signature. We have shown a range of geological models for which this is possible.

361

362 The hydrocarbon industry uses a rigorous procedure for shallow gas assessment in order 363 to avoid gas in drilling activity, using both quantitative (seismic amplitude) and 364 qualitative (contextual geological and previous experience) evidence. Commonly, a risk 365 matrix will be used that incorporates amplitude and the type of evidence (i.e. bright spot, turbidity, blanking, in some cases AVO class), although such matrices vary 366 between companies. Furthermore, the nature of the assessment is subtle and a whole 367 variety of evidence accompanies each anomaly, hence the classification is very 368 369 subjective: effective communication is required to integrate the opinions of the 370 contractor, consultant and operator.

371

AVO (amplitude vs. offset) analysis has been considered to aid this assessment, 372 combining acoustic impedance with the shear wave velocity of sediments. As such, a 373 374 richer set of quantities (e.g. Poisson's ratio) can be obtained to describe sea bed 375 sediments. The added value can be used to distinguish, for example, a shallow gas accumulation from a shallow coal layer; both of these would give strongly negative 376 reflections in normal-incidence seismic data, but would be distinct in AVO given the 377 different shear wave velocities and resulting Poisson's ratios (Thore and Spindler, 378 2013). 379

380

However, AVO analysis is vulnerable to poor data quality and normal seismic processing (i.e., that priorities imaging over amplitude preservation) can introduce further false signatures (Paternoster and Des Vallières, 2008). In its usual application in reservoir analysis, AVO requires multi-channel seismic data and offsets that are 385 sufficiently large to give incident angles up to 30 degrees. For a typical geotechnical 386 survey of an offshore target, the usual procedure is to acquire single-channel data for 387 geology less than 100 m below the seabed, therefore multi-channel requirement for AVO characterisation is moot (IOGP, 2015). In any case, even where multi-channel 388 data are available, industry tests have shown that any AVO anomaly from a typical 389 390 geohazard is also present in full-stack amplitudes and as such, often is not pursued as a useful method for characterizing gas hazards. Hence, normal-incidence seismic 391 392 amplitude and phase are still the main means of detecting shallow gas and the problem 393 of false positive and negative responses then remains an ambiguity that relies heavily on experience and geological knowledge to ameliorate. As such, we emphasise caution in 394 395 interpretations where tuning is likely to be present and suggest consideration of tuning scenarios beyond the ' $\lambda/4$  rule', whereby maximum constructive interference could 396 397 occur at alternative bed thicknesses. Where data are available, we suggest that AVO should be considered to aid shallow gas assessment, particularly in regard to false 398 positive interpretations. 399

400

401

## 402 CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that wavelet interference and its tuning response is highly dependent on the inherent geology and hence the nature of the reflection coefficients, as well as bed thickness and wavelet shape. Although widespread, we emphasise that the ' $\lambda$ /4-rule' should be applied cautiously, as constructive interference can peak at thicker ( $\lambda$ /2) and thinner ( $\langle \lambda / 8 \rangle$ ) bed separations. Real examples of tuning at clinoform truncations in the

408	Norwegian Sea support the lithological influence on tuning response. Significantly, the
409	tuning response has the capacity to hide gas as well as induce a gas-like signature, hence
410	careful consideration of thin beds and the tuning response during seismic interpretation
411	is suggested, which could include the support of AVO analysis.
412	
413	
414	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
415	Statoil and PGS Exploration granted permission for the use of data. Technical advice of
416	Dag Lundqvist (Statoil) is greatly appreciated.
417	
418	
419	REFERENCES
420	Al-Khateb, N., 2013. A look into Gassmann's equations. Geoconvention 2013:
421	Integration, conference proceedings.
422	Anderson, A.L. and Hampton, L.D., 1980. Acoustics of gas-bearing sediments I.
423	Background. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 67, 1865-1889.
424	Angioni, T., Rechtien, R.D., Cardimona, S.J. and Luna, R., 2003. Crosshole seismic
425	tomography and borehole logging for engineering site characterizationin Sikeston, MO,
426	USA. Tectonophysics 368, 119-137.
427	Ashcroft, W., 2011. A petroleum geologist's guide to seismic reflection. John Wiley &
428	Sons, Chichester, UK, p. 176.

- 429 Atkins, W.S. Consultants Ltd., 2004. Risk implications in site characterisation and430 analysis for offshore engineering and design. HSE Research Report 286.
- 431 Avseth, P., Mukerji, T. and Mavko, G., 2005. Quantitative seismic interpretation.
- 432 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, p. 373.
- Bulat, J. and Long, D., 2006. Use of 3D seismic data as a substitute for high-resolution
- 434 seismic surveys for site investigation. HSE Research Report 459.
- 435 Chopra, S. and Marfurt, K.J., 2007. Seismic attributes for prospect identification and
- 436 reservoir characterisation. SEG geophysical developments: no 11. Society of
- 437 Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, USA, p. 464.
- Chung, H. and Lawton, D., 1990. Some properties of thin beds, CREWES ResearchReport, 393-429.
- Chung, H. and Lawton, D., 1995. Amplitude responses of thin beds: Sinusoidal
  approximation versus Ricker approximation. Geophysics, 60, 223-230.
- 442 Domenico, S. N., 1977. Elastic properties of unconsolidated porous sand reservoirs.
  443 Geophysics 42, 1339-1368.
- Gardner, T.N., 1988. The acoustic properties of gassy soil. D. Phil. Thesis, OxfordUniversity, p. 251.
- 446 Gassmann, F., 1951. Über die elastizität poröser medien. Vierteljahrsschrift der
  447 Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zurich 96, 1-23.
- 448 Grinrod, M., Haaland, O. and Ellingsen, B., 1988. A shallow gas research program.
- 449 Society of Petroleum Engineers, SPE/IADC Drilling Conference, 28 February-2 March,
- 450 Dalls, Texas.

- 451 IOGP. (2015). International Association of Oil & Gas Producers. Report no. 373-18-2.
  452 London, UK.
- Judd, A.G., Hovland, M., 1992. The evidence of shallow gas in marine sediments.
  Continental Shelf Research 12, 1081-1095.
- 455 Konstantaki, L.A., Ghose, R., Draganov, D. and Heimovaara, T., 2016. Wet and gassy
- zones in a municipal landfill from P- and S-wave velocity fields. Geophysics 81, EN75-86.
- Lee, G., Lee, K. and Kim, H., 2009. Tuning effects' impact on seismic. AAPG Searchand Discovery Article #40474.
- Lee, M.W., 2004. Elastic properties of partially gas-saturated unconsolidated sediments.
  Marine and Petroleum Geology 21, 641-650.
- 462 Li, J., Shillington, D.J., Bécel, A., Nedimović, M.R., Webb, S.C., Saffer, D.M., Keranen, K.M. and Kuehn, H., 2015. Downdip variations in seismic reflection 463 character: Implications for fault structure and seismogenic behavior in the Alaska 464 465 subduction zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 120. doi:10.1002/2015JB012338. 466
- Mitchum, R.M., Vail, JR.P.R. and Sangree, J.B. Seismic stratigraphy and global
  changes of sea level, part 6: stratigraphic interpretation of seismic reflection patterns in
  depositional sequences. In: M 26: Seismic stratigraphy applications to hydrocarbon
  exploration, AAPG Special Volumes, 117-133.
- 471 Murphy, W. F., 1984. Acoustic measures of partial gas saturation in tight sandstones.
- 472 Journal of Geophysical Research 89, 549-559.

- 473 Nørstebø, O., Kleppe, J., Bjørness, H., Klavenes, T. and Fjelldal, T., 1986. Ukontrollert
- 474 utblåsing på boreplattformen West Vanguard 6 oktober 1985. NOU report 1986:16,
- 475 Justis og Politidepartementet, Oslo, Norway.
- 476 Paternoster, B. and Des Vallières, T., 2008. Robust use of AVO to geohazard
- 477 assessment. 70th EAGE Conference & Exhibition: Rome 2008, conference proceedings.
- 478 Sham, W.K., 1989. The undrained shear strength of soils containing large gas bubbles.
- 479 PhD thesis, Queen's University of Belfast.
- 480 Stoll, R.D., 2001. Velocity dispersion in water-saturated granular sediment. Journal of
- the Acoustical Society of America 111, 785-793.
- 482 Stoll, R.D. and Bryan, G.M., 1970. Wave attenuation in saturated sediments. Journal of
  483 the Acoustical Society of America 47, 1440-1447
- 484 Terzhagi, K. and Peck, R.B., 1967. Soil mechanics in engineering practice. John Wiley
- 485 & Sons, Oxford, UK, p. 729.
- 486 Thomas, S.D., 1987. The consolidation behaviour of gassy soil. D. Phil. Thesis, Oxford
- 487 University, UK, p. 264.
- Thore, P. and Spindler, P., 2013. Breaking the limit of seismic resolution: a synthetic
  example based on Tunu shallow gas development. The Leading Edge 32, 1318-1326.
- 490 Van der Meer, J.J.M., Menzies, J. and Rose, J., 2003. Subglacial till: the deforming
- 491 glacier bed. Quaternary Science Reviews, 22, 1659-1685.
- 492 Widess, M.B., 1973. How thin is a thin bed? Geophysics 38, 1176-1180.
- 493

## **TABLES**

	Property	Value	Citation
	Bulk modulus of frame (K*)	26.3 GPa	Stoll (2001)
	Bulk modulus of clay (K <sub>clay</sub> )	14.9 GPa	Al-Khateb (2013)
	Bulk modulus of sand	36.0 GPa	Stoll (2001)
	Bulk modulus of water $(K_w)$	2.27 GPa	Stoll (2001)
	Density of sand ( $\rho_{sand}$ )	2650 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Stoll (2001); Stoll and Bryan (1970)
	Density of clay ( $\rho_{clay}$ )	2300 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Stoll (2001); Stoll and Bryan (1970)
	Density of water ( $\rho_w$ )	1026 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Stoll (2001)
496			
497			
498			
499			
500			
501			
502			
503			

495 Table 1: Geological properties used in modeling, and their sources in the literature.

## 506 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Source pulse utilised in model. Top: frequency spectrum with peak frequencyat 60Hz. Bottom: amplitude form with time.

509

Figure 2. Reflection coefficient couplet tests. Test number, description, example groundmodel and schematic representation is included.

512

513 Figure 3. Tuning response as a percentage change of trough amplitude as bed thickness

is reduced, according to descriptions in Figure 2. Bed thicknesses thinner than  $\lambda/8$  are

515 faded as these would be below seismic resolution.

516

Figure 4. Synthetic wedge model. A gas signature is masked as a result of tuning, givinga false-negative seismic response.

519

Figure 5. Seismic profile to show clinoform truncations against URU. White boxes
correspond to clinoform truncations indicated on the map in Figure 5. Profile is split
into two rows for clarity.

523

524 Figure 6. Minimum amplitude map (with variance) of URU to show subcropping

525 clinoform truncations and corresponding seismic profile (indicated by yellow line).

526 White boxes on the map correspond to clinoform truncations indicated on the seismic

profile in Figure 4. The figure clearly reveals the typical tuning response of 'brightening
(yellow) –dimming (grey)' as each clinoform horizon tunes with URU horizon above.
Since the wavelet shape is uniform throughout and thickness changes similarly for each
clinoform, tuning differences at each truncation are attributed to lithology. This
highlights the sensitivity of tuning to specific geological sequences.

533	Figure 7. Tuning in real data. A: seismic profile revealing two clinoform truncations
534	against URU ('6' and '7' from Figures 5 and 6). Black box indicates tuning truncation
535	of interest ('7') that is enlarged in 'B'. B: Clinoform truncation with wiggle traces
536	(enlarged); the effect of interference on amplitude is clearly visible. A schematic
537	representation of the reflection coefficients of the tuning horizons is presented in red.
538	Graph above shows percentage change of trough amplitude (blue) and bed thickness
539	(orange). Guidelines are provided to indicate the positions of 1T, T/2 and T/4 on the
540	seismic profile, where T is the time period for one wavelength. Maximum amplitude
541	constructive interference of 73% occurs at $\sim$ T/2; consistent with our model results.
542	