

This is a repository copy of A Simple Route to Strong Carbon-13 NMR Signals Detectable for Several Minutes.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/117890/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Roy, Soumya S. orcid.org/0000-0002-9193-9712, Norcott, Philip orcid.org/0000-0003-4082-2079, Rayner, Peter J. orcid.org/0000-0002-6577-4117 et al. (2 more authors) (2017) A Simple Route to Strong Carbon-13 NMR Signals Detectable for Several Minutes. Chemistry: A European Journal. pp. 10496-10500. ISSN 1521-3765

https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201702767

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





NMR Methods

A Simple Route to Strong Carbon-13 NMR Signals Detectable for Several Minutes

Soumya S. Roy,^[a] Philip Norcott,^[a] Peter J. Rayner,^[a] Gary G. R. Green,^[b] and Simon B. Duckett^{*[a]}

Abstract: Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) suffer from low sensitivity and limited nuclear spin memory lifetimes. Although hyperpolarization techniques increase sensitivity, there is also a desire to increase relaxation times to expand the range of applications addressable by these methods. Here, we demonstrate a route to create hyperpolarized magnetization in ¹³C nuclear spin pairs that last much longer than normal lifetimes by storage in a singlet state. By combining molecular design and low-field storage with para-hydrogen derived hyperpolarization, we achieve more than three orders of signal amplification relative to equilibrium Zeeman polarization and an order of magnitude extension in state lifetime. These studies use a range of specifically synthesized pyridazine derivatives and dimethyl p-tolyl phenyl pyridazine is the most successful, achieving a lifetime of about 190 s in low-field, which leads to a ¹³Csignal that is visible for 10 minutes.

Although carbon is one of the most abundant elements in nature, its NMR-active form carbon-13 is present at only about a 1.1% level which, when coupled with its low magnetogyric ratio, results in low detectability. Consequently, ¹³C magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) produces a negligible response when compared to proton measurement in the body, which is facile due to high water content and high sensitivity. ¹³C detection does, however, benefit from potentially long relaxation times when compared to those of the proton.

A number of methods, commonly known as hyperpolarization, exist that can increase NMR sensitivity in nuclei such as ¹³C and are being used to overcome these issues. ^[1,2] These approaches artificially increase the associated spin population differences between the energy levels that are probed. For example, Golman et al. reported a *para*-hydrogen (*p*-H₂) induced nu-


[a] Dr. S. S. Roy, Dr. P. Norcott, Dr. P. J. Rayner, Prof. Dr. S. B. Duckett Department of Chemistry, University of York Heslington, York, YO10 5DD (UK) E-mail: simon.duckett@york.ac.uk

[b] Prof. Dr. G. G. R. Green York Neuroimaging Centre The Biocentre, York Science Park Innovation Way, Heslington York, YOLD SNY (IJK)

Supporting information and the ORCID number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201702767.

clear polarization (PHIP) study,^[3,4] which achieved the rapid in vivo detection of a ¹³C-MRI response in 2001.^[5] Two years later, they described the results of a similar study using dissolution dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP),^[6] in which a normally inaccessible response was seen in vivo. Bhattacharya et al. have since incorporated *p*-H₂ into sodium 1–¹³C acetylene dicarboxylate to facilitate the collection of an arterial ¹³C-MRI image of a rat brain.^[7] More recently, a DNP-derived ¹³C-MRI response with chemical shift resolution has been shown to distinguish different metabolic flux between normal and tumor cells in humans.^[8–11] These studies illustrate the potential benefits to human health if such methods were to become widely accessible and hence establish the need for a rapid and low-cost delivery method for long-lived ¹³C hyperpolarization.

In this article, we demonstrate that the goal of rapidly producing a long-lived 13 C hyperpolarized response can be met by applying the signal amplification by reversible exchange (SABRE) effect. ^[12–14] In SABRE, a catalyst reversibly binds p- H_2 and the substrate to transfer dormant spin order from p- H_2 into the substrate through the scalar-coupling framework, as shown in Scheme 1. We use this approach here to hyperpolar-



Scheme 1. Schematic depiction of the SABRE hyperpolarization technique. IMes = 1.3-bis(2.4.6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene.

ize a series of coupled ¹³C spin-pairs in a range of pyridazine derivatives, a motif that exhibits pharmacological activity. ^[15–16] Polarization is then stored in specially created singlet spin order to enable a response to be seen several minutes later. Although a range of nicotinamide- and pyridazine-based substrates have been shown to deliver long-lived ¹H hyperpolarization, ^[17,18] and analogous ¹⁵N-based singlets have been created by Warren and co-workers, ^[19] we believe the ¹³C responses reported here are significant due to the growing use of ¹³C-MRI for in vivo study.

The term singlet $(|S_0\rangle = (|\alpha\beta\rangle - |\beta\alpha\rangle)\sqrt{2})$ that is used here represents the spin-zero magnetic alignment of a coupled



spin-1/2 system, the conversion of which into the associated triplet states $(|T_0\rangle = (|\alpha\beta\rangle + |\beta\alpha\rangle)\sqrt{2}; |T_1\rangle = |\alpha\alpha\rangle; |T_{-1}\rangle = |\beta\beta\rangle)$ is symmetry-forbidden. Consequently, any population difference that can be created between these singlet and triplet forms is expected to relax more slowly than the usual time constant T_1 . [20] The symmetry properties that make such states long-lived also make them challenging to generate and probe.[20,21] Levitt and co-workers have demonstrated a number of strategies to do this in a range of chemically inequivalent spin systems^[22-26] and have achieved a lifetime of over one hour in an optimized chemical system at low field. [27] However, when a substantial chemical shift difference exists between these spin-pairs, the application of a spin-lock, or sample-shuttling to low field, is necessary to extend state lifetime. [22, 28, 29] This effect has recently been illustrated by monitoring the effect of solvent-dependent chemical-shift changes.[17,18] Warren and co-workers have reported a parallel approach that exploits magnetic inequivalence to create related singlet states.^[21,30-33] Thus, whereas SABRE has been shown to create hyperpolarized ¹H- and ¹⁵N-derived singlets, there is a need to expand these methods to ¹³C given the success of DNP.[8-11] However, 13C-SABRE itself has currently seen limited application^[34] and reported efficiency gains are relatively low. We have now developed a molecular design strategy for use with SABRE and radio frequency (rf) excitation to achieve greater than 2% net ¹³C polarization in a long-lived form.

In this study, we employ magnetic and chemical inequivalence effects through the synthesis of specific substrates in which their carbon-4 and carbon-5 sites are ¹³C-enriched, as detailed in Scheme 2 (full synthetic strategy and characteriza-



Scheme 2. The molecular systems studied here are of *Type-1*, which reflect a chemically equivalent but magnetically distinct ¹³C spin-pair (black and red dots), or *Type-2a* and *Type-2b*, which reflect chemically inequivalent ¹³C spin-pairs ($R^1 \neq R^2 \neq R^3$).

tion data are available in the Supporting Information, Section S1–3). The *Type-1* form agents exhibit chemically equivalent but magnetically inequivalent ^{13}C spin-pairs $(\triangle\delta\!=\!0)$ and have local C_2 symmetry. The *Type-2a* form is constructed such that R^1 and R^2 are chemically different and a small chemicalshift difference results between the ^{13}C spin-pair $(\triangle\delta\!\neq\!0)$. Chemical inequivalence is also derived by remote substitution at R^2 and R^3 , in the *Type-2b* agents of Scheme 2. Although these synthetic strategies allow access to two distinct classes of molecular system, our results illustrate that both are equally viable.

To explore the singlet states of these systems, their NMR properties must first be analyzed. The *Type-1* substrate, **1**, of Table 1 reflects an AA'XX'-type spin system (Figure 1a) and produces the ¹³C NMR spectrum shown in Figure 1 b. This trace illustrates the effect of magnetic inequivalence, but does not

Table 1. 13 C (red/white dots) SABRE signal enhancement (ε) over the corresponding thermal measurement at 9.4 T after transfer at the indicated field (G), net polarization (P) and T_1 and T_5 lifetimes (s) of substrate 1–8 in high field (HF: 9.4 T) and low field (LF: \approx 10 mT). The J-coupling between the 13 C spin-pair was found to be about 58.5 \pm 2.0 Hz in all cases. The ΔJ_{CH} values for Type-1 substrates, and the chemical shift difference (Δv) for Type-2 substrates are noted.


for Type-2 substrates are noted.				
Agent	Substrate structure	Enhancement (ϵ), transfer field, net polarization level P [%]		ΔJ_{CH}^* or Δv @9.4 T [Hz]
1	d_5 d_5 H $N-N$	ε: 2500 ± 300 @30 G P≈2.0	T_1 : 9.7 ± 0.3 $T_{S(HF)}$: 75 ± 5.5 $T_{S(LF)}$: 115 ± 12	3.1 ± 0.2*
2	d_5 d_5 d_5 $N-N$	ε: 1600±280 @150 G P≈1.3	T_1 : 12.4 ± 0.9 $T_{S(HF/LF)}$: –	$^2J_{CD} \approx 0.4^*$
3	d_5 d_5 CI $N-N$	ε : 600 ± 50 @20 mG P \approx 0.5	T_1 : 16.0 \pm 1.5 $T_{\text{S(HF/LF)}}$: No access	0
4	CD_3 CD_3 D D D D	ε: 1600±300 @150 G P≈1.3	T_1 : 10.2 ± 0.6 $T_{S(HF)}$: 22 ± 3.0 $T_{S(LF)}$: 28 ± 6.5	11.0 ± 0.1
5	CD ₃	ε : 550 \pm 50 @5 mG P \approx 0.45	T_1 : 15.5 ± 1.2 $T_{S(HF)}$: 90 ± 3.0 $T_{S(LF)}$: 165 ± 18	10.4±0.1
6	D_3CO D_3CO D_3CO D_3CO D_3CO D_3CO	ε: 350±40 @10 mG P≈0.35	T_1 : 10.4 ± 0.3 $T_{S(HF)}$: 115 ± 5.5 $T_{S(LF)}$: 148 ± 20	14.5 ± 0.4
7	CD_3 CD_3 D_3C CD_3	ε : 600 \pm 50 @1 mG P \approx 0.50	T_1 : 15.2 ± 0.3 $T_{S(HF)}$: 145 ± 6.0 $T_{S(LF)}$: 186 ± 18	4.4±0.3
8	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ D_3CD_2C \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	ε : 800 ± 150 @10 mG P \approx 0.65	T_1 : 7.5 ± 0.5 $T_{S(HF)}$: < 5 $T_{S(LF)}$: 45 ± 6.0	78.8±0.5


immediately yield the individual carbon–proton couplings (${}^2J_{\text{CH}}$ and ${}^3J_{\text{CH}}$) necessary to create a singlet state by the method of Warren, [21] because the peak-to-peak separations reflect the mean value of the ${}^{13}\text{C-}{}^{1}\text{H}$ J-couplings (5.25 Hz = [${}^2J_{\text{CH}} + {}^3J_{\text{CH}}$]/2). By employing a J-synchronized experiment, [23,30,32] it is possible to show that the difference in these J-couplings is 3.1 Hz (see Section S5 in the Supporting Information). We harness this difference in coupling (ΔJ_{CH}) to populate the singlet state through rf pulse-sequencing, as detailed in Figure 1 c. Table 1 details the chemical structures of Type-1 agents 1–3 that are examined here. A value of zero for ΔJ_{CH} means that it is not possible to induce interconversion between the singlet and triplets forms through rf pulsing (e.g., agent 3, see Section S5). [20]

We also prepared agents **4–8**, which reflect a series of *Type-2* molecular systems. Their spin system is illustrated in Figure 1 d, whereas Figure 1 e shows the ¹³C NMR spectrum of agent **5** in

2










Figure 1. (a) Spin topology of the *Type-1* agent 1, showing the *J*-couplings that exist between the ${}^{1}\text{H}$ and ${}^{13}\text{C}$ nuclei, in which $\text{R}^{1} =$ deuterated phenyl group; (b) corresponding ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectrum of agent 1 in [D₄]MeOH; (c) M2S-S2M pulse sequence used here; (d) spin topology of *Type-2* substrate 5 and corresponding ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectrum in [D₄]MeOH (e).

[D₄]MeOH. In this case, the partially resolved 1.05 Hz ($\triangle \delta^2 \nu^2 / 2J_{CC}$) splitting signifies that a strongly coupled ¹³C spin-pair results when R¹ and R² are deuterated phenyl and *para*-tolyl groups, respectively.

The pulse sequence that is used to create and examine the lifetime of the singlet state in these Type-1 and -2 molecules consists of three parts, as detailed in Figure 1 c. Part I converts longitudinal magnetization into singlet order (M2S), part II preserves this singlet order, and part III converts it back into a visible form. The first and last steps are realized experimentally by a train of n 180° pulses that are separated by delay (τ) , which is a molecule-specific parameter. For the Type-1 system, 1 in which $J_{CC} \gg J_{HH}$, Equations (1)–(3) provide τ and $n.^{[30,32]}$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{(J_{CC} + J_{HH})^2 + (\Delta J_{CH})^2})}$$
(1)

$$n_1 = \frac{\pi}{(2\tan^{-1}[\Delta J_{\text{CH}}/(J_{\text{CC}} + J_{\text{HH}})])}$$
 (2)

$$n_2 \simeq n_1/2 \tag{3}$$

In contrast, in the case of the *Type-2* spin systems (agents **4–8**), these parameters come from Equations (3)–(5) shown above and below.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{(4\sqrt{J^2 + \Delta\delta^2 v^2})} \tag{4}$$

$$n_1 = \frac{\pi}{(2\tan^{-1}[\Delta\delta \cdot v/J)])} \tag{5}$$

Section S7 in the Supporting Information details these values for 1–8. The resulting singlet states were then stored either in high field or in low field (after sample transfer). For 1, the singlet state lifetimes ($T_{\rm S}$) were measured to be 75 ± 5.5 and 115 ± 12 s at high and low field, respectively. We therefore see about a 10-fold increase over the 9.4 T $T_{\rm 1}$ relaxation time of 9.7 s. The effect of a spin-lock during high-field storage proved to be minimal, increasing the $T_{\rm S}$ by only about 10%. In the case of agent 5, we achieved a $T_{\rm S}$ of 90 ± 3 s in high field, which increases to 165 ± 18 s in low-field. Table 1 summarizes these values for agents 1–8 and confirms that this strategy allows the creation of long-lived singlet states in these molecules. 2 H-labeled 7 contained the optimal molecular environment of the series, delivering a low-field $T_{\rm S}$ of 186 ± 18 s.

For **2**, the ¹³C-²H couplings are too small to exploit the M2S sequence to prepare the singlet. For **4**, the singlet-state lifetime proved low due to the ¹³C-deuterium coupling, which provides a route to scalar relaxation. ^[35] In **8**, the chemical shift difference between the ¹³C pairs is similar to the *J*-coupling constant in high field and a low lifetime results but in low field this extends to 45 s. In contrast, agents **5**, **6** and **7** operate well in both low and high field, exhibiting lifetimes in excess of 150 s in low field.

A series of SABRE experiments were then undertaken to see if it was possible to create hyperpolarized longitudinal spin order within the ¹³C manifold of agents **1–8** (Table 1). This involved taking [D₄]MeOH solutions that contained 20 mm of the substrate, and 5 mm of the IMes catalyst. *p*-H₂ gas was bubbled through the solution for 20 s in low field and the sample transferred into the NMR spectrometer for further analysis. Figure 2 highlights the results of this process, with the level of ¹³C polarization reaching about 2% as compared to the corresponding thermal polarization of only 0.0008% at 9.4 T in the case of agent **1** after relayed transfer from ¹H–¹³C at 30 G (see Section S6 in the Supporting Information). No H/D-exchange is observable on the timescale of the SABRE experiment. The relayed transfer process was then examined as a function of the magnetic field experienced by the sample, and three maxima




Figure 2. ¹³C NMR spectra of **1** after (a) SABRE at a mixing field 5 mG and corresponding thermally equilibrated signal of 1000 transients. (c) Similar SABRE studies of **7** at a mixing field of 1 mG and (d) its thermal equilibrium spectra acquired by 600 transients.





were observed, at about 10 mG (using μ -metal shield), 30 and 100 G. Simulation revealed the about 10 mG maxima is associated with direct hydride–carbon spin-spin transfer by the ${}^4J_{^1H^{-13}C}$ and ${}^5J_{^1H^{-13}C}$ couplings in the catalyst. The remaining maxima appear to result from relayed transfer by the agents 1H response (see Section S4).

When agent 2 is examined, the ²H labels should prevent the relayed response that is operating and restrict its transfer to the approximate 10 mG field range. Under these conditions, a strong ¹³C signal is seen. However, upon moving from 10-150 G, ¹³C and ¹H SABRE enhanced signals are observed in the ¹H and ¹³C frequency ranges. These results reveal readily detectable contributions from the ²H-¹H isotopologue, which is present at 1%, through the observation of a ¹³C response that contains a $J_{^{1}H^{-13}C}$ splitting of 5.4 Hz. This reflects one of the challenges faced when working with hyperpolarization in so far as low-concentration species can be readily detected. Agents 3 and 5-8 also require direct polarization transfer because there is no suitable relayed transfer pathway and they once again work well between 1 and 20 mG. These ¹³C hyperpolarization data are summarized in Table 1 (and Section S5). Polarization levels approaching 2% are readily achieved, which would be expected to increase further through catalyst optimization. [36] We then transferred the resulting 13C-hyperpolarization into singlet order using the methods described earlier. The efficiency of singlet conversion in all successful cases was found to be in the range of 50-80%.

Figure 3 shows the decay of the resulting hyperpolarized 13 C singlet derived signals for agents 1, 5–8 as a function of their storage time (T_s) in low field. The 13 C lifetimes proved to be directly comparable to those measured without hyperpolarization and signals can be readily observed for several minutes after creation when stored in a low-field region. In the case of 7, hyperpolarized signals were detectable for well over 10 mins.

In summary, we have demonstrated that a series of novel agents can be prepared that contain two adjacent ¹³C labels in addition to two nitrogen-based lone pairs, which make them suitable for SABRE. Despite the weak *J*-coupling that exists between the hydride ligands and the targeted ¹³C sites, we achieve a hyperpolarized response at the 2% level. This hyperpo-




Figure 3. Hyperpolarized ^{13}C singlet state decay (log10 scale) as a function of low-field storage time (τ_{delay}) for agents 1, 5–8. Results are summarized in Table 1

Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1-6

larization has then been efficiently converted into singlet spin order within the two ¹³C labels by *rf* excitation with a low-field relaxation time of about 190 s being the result for deuterated dimethyl *p*-tolyl phenyl pyridazine. This process has been exemplified for both magnetic and chemical inequivalence. Our method provides a fast and low-cost technique to create ¹³C hyperpolarization in a reversible fashion with very little waste. Because of the simplicity of this approach, we envisage that this strategy will be adopted more widely to hyperpolarize related tracers. We are currently seeking to improve on the purity of these states to test the in vivo detection of these agents.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Wellcome Trust (092506 and 098335) for funding. We are grateful to discussions with Prof. H. Perry. Supporting information via: DOI: 10.151244a012088-7b46-4dcb-96eb-a1a78e8ffa96.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: hyperpolarization \cdot long-lived singlet states \cdot NMR spectroscopy \cdot *para*-hydrogen \cdot structure elucidation

- [1] J. H. Lee, Y. Okuno, S. Cavagnero, J. Magn. Reson. 2014, 241, 18-31.
- [2] J.-H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9162-9185; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 9292-9317.
- [3] J. Natterer, J. Bargon, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1997, 31, 293 315
- [4] C. R. Bowers, D. P. Weitekamp, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1986, 57, 2645 2648.
- [5] K. Golman, O. Axelsson, H. Johannesson, S. Mansson, C. Olofsson, J. S. Petersson, Magn. Reson. Med. 2001, 46, 1–5.
- [6] K. Golman, J. H. Ardenaer-Larsen, J. S. Petersson, S. Mansson, I. Leunbach, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2003, 100, 10435 10439.
- [7] P. Bhattacharya, E. Y. Chekmenev, W. H. Perman, K. C. Harris, A. P. Lin, V. A. Norton, C. T. Tan, B. D. Ross, D. P. Weitekamp, J. Magn. Reson. 2007, 186, 150 – 155.
- [8] S. J. Nelson, Science Translational Medicine 2013, 5.
- [9] K. Golman, R. in't Zandt, M. Lerche, R. Pehrson, J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, Cancer Res. 2006, 66, 10855 – 10860.
- [10] K. Golman, R. in' Zandt, M. Thaning, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 11270 – 11275.
- [11] S. E. Day, M. I. Kettunen, F. A. Gallagher, D.-E. Hu, M. Lerche, J. Wolber, K. Golman, J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, K. M. Brindle, Nat. Med. 2007, 13, 1382 1387.
- [12] R. W. Adams, J. A. Aguilar, K. D. Atkinson, M. J. Cowley, P. I. P. Elliott, S. B. Duckett, G. G. R. Green, I. G. Khazal, J. Lopez-Serrano, D. C. Williamson, Science 2009, 323, 1708–1711.
- [13] N. Eshuis, B. J. A. van Weerdenburg, M. C. Feiters, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, S. S. Wijmenga, M. Tessari, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1481–1484; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1501–1504.
- [14] A. N. Pravdivtsev, A. V. Yurkovskaya, H.-M. Vieth, K. L. Ivanov, J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 13619–13629.
- [15] G. Heinisch, H. Frank, Prog Med Chem. 1990, 27, 1-49.
- [16] M. Asif, Curr. Med. Chem. 2012, 19, 2984-2991.
- [17] S. S. Roy, P. J. Rayner, P. Norcott, G. G. R. Green, S. B. Duckett, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 18, 24905–24911.
- [18] S. S. Roy, P. Norcott, P. J. Rayner, G. G. Green, S. B. Duckett, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15642 – 15645; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 15871 – 15874.





- [19] T. Theis, Sci. Adv. 2016, 2, e1501438.
- [20] M. H. Levitt, in Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., Vol. 63 (Eds.: M. A. Johnson, T. J. Martinez), 2012, pp. 89 105.
- [21] W. S. Warren, E. Jenista, R. T. Branca, X. Chen, Science 2009, 323, 1711 1714.
- [22] M. Carravetta, M. H. Levitt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6228-6229.
- [23] M. C. D. Tayler, M. H. Levitt, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 5556– 5560.
- [24] M. C. D. Tayler, I. Marco-Rius, M. I. Kettunen, K. M. Brindle, M. H. Levitt, G. Pileio, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7668 – 7671.
- [25] G. Pileio, J. T. Hill-Cousins, S. Mitchell, I. Kuprov, L. J. Brown, R. C. D. Brown, M. H. Levitt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17494–17497.
- [26] G. Stevanato, S. S. Roy, J. Hill-Cousins, I. Kuprov, L. J. Brown, R. C. D. Brown, G. Pileio, M. H. Levitt, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, 17, 5913 5922.
- [27] G. Stevanato, J. T. Hill-Cousins, P. Hakansson, S. S. Roy, L. J. Brown, R. C. D. Brown, G. Pileio, M. H. Levitt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3740 3743; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 3811 3814.
- [28] G. Pileio, M. Carravetta, M. H. Levitt, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 17135 – 17139.

- [29] Y. Zhang, P. C. Soon, A. Jerschow, J. W. Canary, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3396-3399; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3464-3467.
- [30] Y. Feng, T. Theis, T.-L. Wu, K. Claytor, W. S. Warren, J. Chem. Phys. 2014, 141, 134307.
- [31] K. Claytor, T. Theis, Y. Feng, W. Warren, J. Magn. Reson. **2014**, 239, 81 –
- [32] Y. Feng, R. M. Davis, W. S. Warren, Nat. Phys. 2012, 8, 831 837.
- [33] J. F. P. Colell, J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 121, 6626-6634.
- [34] J.-B. Hövener, Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 1767 1774.
- [35] G. Pileio, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 2010, 56, 217-231.
- [36] P. J. Rayner, M. J. Burns, A. M. Olaru, P. Norcott, M. Fekete, G. G. R. Green, L. A. R. Highton, R. E. Mewis, S. B. Duckett, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, E3188–E3194.

Manuscript received: June 16, 2017 Accepted manuscript online: June 19, 2017

Version of record online: $\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare 10000$






COMMUNICATION

NMR Methods

S. S. Roy, P. Norcott, P. J. Rayner, G. G. R. Green, S. B. Duckett*



A Simple Route to Strong Carbon-13 NMR Signals Detectable for Several Minutes



Only time will tell: A fast and cost-effective method to increase carbon-13 NMR sensitivity by three orders of magnitude is detailed for a series of pyridazine derivatives. When the resulting polarization is stored as long-lived singlet order, the resulting hyperpolarized ¹³C NMR signals remain detectable for up to 10 minutes.