

This is a repository copy of Hyposmia, symptoms of REM sleep behavior disorder and Parkinsonian motor signs suggests prodromal neurodegeneration in 22q11 deletion syndrome.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/116239/</u>

Version: Submitted Version

Article:

Buckley, E., Siddique, A. and McNeill, A. (2017) Hyposmia, symptoms of REM sleep behavior disorder and Parkinsonian motor signs suggests prodromal neurodegeneration in 22q11 deletion syndrome. NeuroReport, 28 (11). pp. 677-681. ISSN 0959-4965

https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.000000000000815

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Submitted as a Research Article to NeuroReport		
2	Hyposmia, symptoms of REM sleep behavior disorder and Parkinsonian moto		
3	signs suggests prodromal neurodegeneration in 22q11 deletion syndrome		
4	Running heads: Prodromal signs in 22q11 deletion syndrome.		
5	Ellen Buckley ¹ , Azeem Siddique ¹ , Alisdair McNeill ^{1,2,3} .		
6	1. Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience, The University of Sheffield		
7	385a Glossop Road. Sheffield.		
8	2. Sheffield Diagnostic Clinical Genetics Service, Sheffield Children's Hospital.		
9	3. INSIGNEO institute for <i>in silico</i> medicine, The University of Sheffield.		
10	Correspondence:		
11	Dr Alisdair McNeill MRCP (UK) DCH PhD,		
12	Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience		
13	385a Glossop Road		
14	Sheffield		
15	United Kingdom		
16	S10 2HQ		
17	T: +44 (0)114 222 2230		
18	F: +44 (0)114 222 2290		
19	a.mcneill@sheffield.ac.uk		

20 <u>Character count:</u> 14 700. Plus one table and one figure.

<u>Conflict of interest and source of funding:</u> No conflicts of interest to declare, funded
 by grants from Baily Thomas charitable fund and Royal College of Physicians of
 Edinburgh

24

ABSTRACT

25 Objective: The 22q11 deletion syndrome is one of the most common genomic disorders in man. There is an increased risk of Parkinson's disease in people 26 with 22g11 deletion syndrome. The characteristic motor features of 27 Parkinson's disease begin when more than 50% of dopaminergic neurons in 28 the substantia nigra have degenerated. Prior to this there is a prodromal 29 30 period, of up to 20 years, in which non-motor features such as hyposmia, autonomic dysfunction, REM sleep behavior disorder and subtle motor 31 dysfunction can occur. Methods: We used validated clinical tools to 32 investigate the presence of prodromal markers of Parkinsons disease in 50 33 adults with 22g11 deletion syndrome and 143 matched deletion negative 34 35 controls. Results: The median score on the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test was significantly lower in the 22q11 deletion group, and 36 44% scored in the hyposmic range (p=0.024). Individuals with 22q11 deletion 37 syndrome were significantly more likely to report automomic symptoms 38 (urinary dysfunction or constipation, p=0.016). Twenty-eight percent of 22q11 39 deletion syndrome participants scored above the threshold for REM sleep 40 behavior disorder on a screening questionnaire (p=0.022). Four 22g11 deletion 41 syndrome participants had Parkinsonian motor signs on examination, which 42 did not meet diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease. Conclusion: We 43 report prodromal markers of Parkinson's disease in 22q11 deletion syndrome. 44 45 These may help identify people with 22q11 deletion at risk of neurological

disease. However, the significance of these signs needs to be confirmed by 47 longitudinal studies of development of Parkinson's disease.

Key words: Parkinson's disease, movement disorder, 22q11 deletion syndrome, 48

hyposmia, REM sleep behavior disorder. 49

- 50
- 51

INTRODUCTION

52 The 22q11 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) (OMIM 611867) is caused by deletion of a 1.5 – 3 Mb segment of the long arm of chromosome 22 at band 11 [1]. 53 It is one of the most common genomic disorders in man, affecting around 1/2 -3 000 54 people. The majority of people with 22q11DS have mild to moderate intellectual 55 disability [1]. Other frequent features of 22q11DS include congenital heart disease, 56 57 cleft lip or palate, thyroid dysfunction and hypoparathyroidism with associated hypocalcaemia [1]. 58 Recent studies have indicated an association between 22g11DS and 59 Parkinson's disease (PD) [2, 3]. In a cohort of 159 adults with 22q11DS a 60 standardized morbidity ratio for PD of 69.7 was reported [2]. The age of motor 61 symptom onset was 39-48 years. In a study of over 9 000 cases of PD, 8 were 62 63 found to carry a 22q11 deletion with a median age of onset of PD symptoms of 37 years [3]. 64 The classic motor triad of PD (bradykinesia, rest tremor and postural 65 instability) develops once more than 50% of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia 66

nigra have degenerated [4]. Preceding this there is a long prodromal period in which 67

it is non-motor features of PD that predominate [4]. This prodromal period is 68

69 proposed to last up to 20 years [4]. Non-motor features that occur in this period are

termed *prodromal markers of neurodegeneration*. Prodromal markers include
hyposmia [5], autonomic dysfunction [6], REM sleep behavior disorder [7], and subtle
motor impairment [8]. These can be assessed using a range of validated clinical
rating scales. Here we report a multicenter, observational study of the presence of
prodromal markers of neurodegeneration in adults with 22q11DS.

75

METHODS

76 **22q11DS participants and controls**

Individuals over the age of 18 years old with a 22q11 deletion were recruited 77 from Regional Clinical Genetics Centers across the United Kingdom (UK) through 78 the National Institute of Healthcare Research (NIHR) "Musketeers memorandum". 79 This memorandum permits nationwide recruitment of participants for a rare disease 80 study run by a single center. Ethical approval was granted by South West - Central 81 Bristol Research Ethics Committee (15/SW/0272). Eligible participants had a 22q11 82 deletion identified by a standard clinical diagnostic technique (Karyotype, fluorescent 83 in situ hybridization or comparative genomic hybridization). Age and sex matched 84 85 controls were recruited from parents or siblings who were negative for the 22g11 deletion. All participants gave written informed consent. 86

87 Clinical evaluation

All procedures were performed identically in 22q11DS participants and controls by a Consultant Clinical Geneticist with a special interest in Neurogenetics (AM). The clinical features of 22q11DS in 22q11DS participants were assessed with a structured medical interview (drug history included current use of anti-depressant medication and both current and previous use of anti-psychotics) and the Sinonasal outcome test (SNOT-22) for upper airways symptoms [9]. Participants were

evaluated using the Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease
Rating Scale activities of daily living and motor subscale (UPDRS parts I, II and
III)[10]. Strict criteria were applied for the definition of PD: at least 2 of asymmetry,
bradykinetic-rigid syndrome, and resting tremor, with excellent response to
dopaminergic therapy (if treated).

99 Odor identification was assessed with the 40-item University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT - Sensonics Inc, Haddon Heights, New Jersey, 100 101 USA), which has been used in several published UK cohorts. Hyposmia was defined 102 using age and sex adjusted centiles. Individuals with anatomical lesions of their upper airways, upper respiratory infections, or who were smokers were excluded. 103 Cognitive function was assessed with the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). 104 The MoCA is more sensitive in detecting cognitive deficits in PD compared to the 105 106 MMSE[11], with a score of <26 signifying mild cognitive impairment and <24 107 dementia [11]. Features of RBD were screened for with the RBD Questionnaire (using a cut off of 5 or more as indicting possible RBD [12]) and daytime sleepiness 108 was assessed with the Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS)[13]. Depression was 109 screened for with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), using a cut off score of 10 for 110 depression [14]. Symptoms of autonomic dysfunction (urinary, constipation, postural 111 112 symptoms) were assessed using UPDRS part I. The presence or absence of an autonomic symptom was summed to give a score out-of 0-3 for each participant. 113

114 Statistical analysis

All analysis was performed using SPSS (version 23, IBM computing). Raw
 UPSIT scores, MoCA, RBD, SNOT-22, BDI and UPDRS I, II and III scores are not
 normally distributed. Differences between group<u>s medians</u>-were assessed using the

Mann-Whitney U-test. Student *t* test and the chi squared test were used to check
differences in age and sex between groups. Correlations between variables were
assessed with a bivariate analysis using Spearman's correlation. Significance was
defined at the 5% level.

122

RESULTS

123 Baseline characteristics of 22q11DS cohort

124 Fifty individuals with 22g11DS were recruited (19/50 male, mean age 32 years <u>+/- standard deviation of 11 years</u>, range 18-57) along with 143 matched 125 healthy controls (4/143 male, mean age 3940 years +/- standard deviation of 19 126 years, range 18-70). Neither age (students t-test. t=1.74 p=0.086) nor sex (chi 127 squared p=0.7) differed between cases and controls. All cases and controls were of 128 white British ethnicity. None of the cases or controls smoked or drank_alcohol 129 130 beyond recommended limits or smoked. All 22q11DS participants had typical features of the condition (table 1). All 22g11DS participants had apparent mild 131 intellectual disability (defined as requiring additional help in a mainstream school). 132 133 As expected, the median SNOT-22 score was significantly higher in 22g11DS participants than controls (median 2 [IQR (interquartile range) 0-17] vs median 0 134 [IQR_-0-0] p=0.003). 135

136 Potential clinical markers of prodromal neurodegeneration in 22q11DS

Figure 1 summarizes median scores for non-motor prodromal markers. The median UPSIT score was significantly lower in 22q11DS than matched controls (median 27 [IQR 22-29] vs median 34 [IQR 31-36], U=44, Z = -4.9, p<0.01) and significantly more 22q11DS participants scored in the hyposmic range (22/50 [44%] vs 0/13, Fischers exact test, p=0.0024). The UPSIT score did not correlate with the

142	SNOT-22 score (Spearman's rho1, p=0.46). The RBD sleep disorder questionnair			
143	score was significantly higher in 22q11 DS (median 3 [IQR 1-6] vs median 0 [IQF			
144	4], <u>U=163, Z= -3.0</u> p=0.004). Significantly more participants with 22q11DS scored			
145	above the threshold for REM sleep disorder on the screening questionnaire (14/50			
146	[28%] vs 0/13, chi squared $p = 0.022$). There was no significant difference for th			
147	Epworth sleepiness score (median 4 [IQR 0-9] vs median 0 [IQR 0-7], U=282, Z=-			
148	<u>1.1</u> , $p=0.29$). As expected, the median score on the MoCA was significantly lower in			
149	22q11DS (median 22 [IQR21 -26] vs median 29 [IQR 28-30]), <u>U=37, Z=-5.0, </u> p<0.01).			
150	Both the UPDRS part 1 (median 4 [IQR 1 – 7] vs median 0 [IQR 0 – 0], <u>U=83, Z=-</u>			
151	4.4, p<0.01) and UPDRS part 2 (median 2 (IQR 0-3) vs median 0 [IQR0-0], U=140,			
152	<u>Z=-3.7</u> , p<0.01) scores were significantly higher in 22q11DS. The median BDI score			
153	was significantly higher in 22q11DS (median 1 [IQR 0-7] vs median 0 [IQR 0-0.5],			
154	<u>U=226, Z=-2.0, p</u> =0.04). Autonomic symptoms were more common in the 22q11			
155	group (median 0 [IQR 0-1] vs median 0 [IQR 0-0], <u>U=272, Z=-2.5, p</u> =0.016).			

156 **Parkinsonian motor signs in adults with 22q11DS**

The UPDRS part III score was significantly higher in 22q11DS than controls 157 (median 1 [IQR 0-6] vs median 0 [IQR 0-0], <u>U=158, Z=-3.3</u>, p=0.01). Four 22q11DS 158 participants had motor features, which were distinct from normal but did not meet 159 diagnostic criteria for motor Parkinsonism. DGS1 had right sided rigidity with 160 activation maneuver, slight decrementing of amplitude of finger tapping of the right 161 hand and right sided postural tremor. DGS30 had rigidity of the right arm with 162 activation maneuver, and slow and irregular finger tapping with reduced arm swing 163 when walking. DGS46 manifested bilateral upper limb rigidity with activation 164 maneuver, hunched posture and reduced left arm swing when walking. DGS47 165 166 displayed masked facies (reduced blinking and few spontaneous lip movements),

decrementing amplitude of hand opening-closing with several freezing episodes, and 167 slowness of gait. None of these 4 individuals had used anti-psychotic medication. 168 169 No participant met diagnostic criteria for PD. However, participant DGS02 and 170 DGS11 had generalized myoclonus, DGS06 had facial motor tics and DGS12 had nocturnal restless legs. DGS17 had minimal masked facies, upper limb rigidity with 171 172 activation maneuver, mild unilateral slowing of finger tapping and bilateral reduced arm swing when walking associated with anti-psychotic use. Even wWith these 9 173 individuals excluded the median UPDRS part III score remained higher in the 174 175 22q11DS group (median 1 [IQR 0-5], U=158, Z=-2.8, P=0.01). 176 Correlations Co-occurrence of between prodromal markers in 22q11DS 177 Given that hyposmia, REM sleep behavior disorder and abnormal motor findings are reported to be the prodromal markers with greatest predictive 178 power we examined for co-occurrence of these, Several individuals manifested 179 multiple motor and non-motor prodromal markers. One participant had hyposmia, 180 abnormal motor examination and scored above the cut-off for REM sleep behavior 181 182 disorder. Five participants had hyposmia and scored above the cut-off for REM sleep behavior disorder. Two participants had hyposmia and an abnormal motor 183 examination. 184

185

DISCUSSION

Here we describe the presence of clinical features of potential prodromal neurodegeneration in a cohort of adults with 22q11DS. The clinical characteristics of our cohort were similar to those previously reported for adults with 22q11DS [15], but only 8% of our cohort had schizophrenia which is lower than generally reported. To the best of our knowledge, no similar studies have been reported. At the group level,

participants with 22q11DS had impaired olfaction, symptoms of REM sleep behavior
disorder and had subtle motor signs. These may represent the earliest phases of a
neurodegenerative condition such as PD.

Hyposmia is a widely accepted marker of prodromal neurodegeneration in PD 194 195 [5]. However, in the general population, only a minority of hyposmic individuals 196 develop PD. We found that 44% of our cohort scored in the hyposmic range on the 197 SIT. Given the high prevalence of hyposmia in our cohort, not all hyposmic individuals can be in the prodrome of a neurodegenerative disorder, and other 198 199 factors must be involved. However, we did not observe a correlation between SIT and SNOT-22 scores, suggesting that upper airways disease or the sequelae of cleft 200 palate are not the major determinants of olfactory function. This is in keeping with a 201 202 study of children with 22q11DS, which identified that 68% had hyposmia, and concluded that velopharyngeal insufficiency was not a major causal factor [16]. We 203 204 excluded smokers and individuals with upper respiratory tract infections to minimize these confounding variables. The pathophysiological explanation for hyposmia in 205 206 our cohort remains unclear, but it seems likely that hyposmia in 22q11DS is due to prodromal neurodegeneration in only a minority. 207

208 Symptoms of REM sleep behavior disorder occurred more frequently in participants with 22g11DS than controls. REM sleep behavior disorder is a strong 209 210 prodromal marker of neurodegeneration, being highly predictive of development of dementia or a Parkinsonian disorder [17]. However, 22q11DS is associated with 211 obstructive sleep apnea [18]. It is possible that this could mimic symptoms of REM 212 sleep behavior disorder. We contend that it is unlikely that this explains the 213 214 association between 22q11DS and symptoms of REM sleep behavior disorder, since 215 there was no correlation between RBD questionnaire score and symptoms of upper

airway obstruction on the SNOT-22. In addition, the REM sleep behavior disorder
questionnaire is both sensitive and specific for symptoms of REM sleep behavior
disorder [12]. If REM sleep behavior disorder in 22q11DS is confirmed by formal
sleep studies then it could play an important role in identifying prodromal
neurodegeneration in this cohort.

Autonomic symptoms were significantly more common in people with 222 Autonomic symptoms were significantly more common in people with 222 22q11DS, with 16/50 participants (32%) complaining of constipation and/or urinary 223 dysfunction. Constipation is a well-accepted marker of increased PD risk, with 224 increasing risk of developing PD correlating with decreasing frequency of bowel 225 motions, and may begin 10-20 years before motor presentation [4].

226 Motor signs such as rigidity or bradykinesia, which do not meet diagnostic criteria for PD, occur in 30-40% of community dwelling older adults [19]. These are 227 termed "mild parkinsonian signs", and may be a precursor of PD in a subset of 228 individuals. In our cohort, four individuals displayed motor signs that were possibly 229 230 parkinsonian in nature, but that did not meet diagnostic criteria for PD. These 22q11DS participants had upper limb rigidity with activation maneuver; this can 231 robustly distinguish PD subjects from controls [20]. These individuals also had 232 asymmetrical/reduced arm swing when walking, which is proposed as a prodromal 233 marker of PD [21]. We do not suggest that all individuals with 22g11DS and motor 234 235 signs will develop PD, but that those with combinations of motor signs and prodromal markers such as hyposmia will be at greatest risk. 236

lt is instructive to compare the results of the current study with other
investigations of the PD prodrome. Both individuals with Gaucher disease, and
heterozygous carriers of *GBA* mutations, are at increased risk of PD, and these

groups have been shown to exhibit hyposmia and motor signs of subclinical 240 241 Parkinsonism [22]. Individuals with LRRK2 mutations, who have not developed 242 motor PD, have subtle motor signs, such as reduced arm swing when walking [21], 243 and hyposmia [23]. The PREDICT-PD study is investigating over 1,000 adults aged 60-80 years for prodromal markers of PD [24]. In this cohort, individuals classified 244 as being at higher risk of PD using epidemiological criteria had an increased 245 prevalence of prodromal markers: 31% were hyposmic and 24% scored over the cut-246 247 off for REM sleep disorder [24]. The spectrum and prevalence of prodromal markers reported in other groups at increased risk of PD is similar to what we describe in 248 249 22q11DS. This provides a degree of cross-study validation for our findings.

The mechanism by which 22q11DS might predispose to PD is unknown. A recent imaging study suggests increased dopaminergic signaling in 22q11DS [25], which might be neurotoxic and predispose to PD. Here we demonstrate that adults with 22q11DS manifest clinical markers of potential prodromal PD. Longitudinal studies will be required to identify conversion to PD, and validate the clinical significances of these prodromal markers.

256 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by grants from the Baily-Thomas Charitable Fund and RoyalCollege of Physicians of Edinburgh.

259 **References**

- 1. Fung WL, Butcher NJ, Costain G, Andrade DM, Boot E, Chow EW, et al.
- Practical guidelines for managing adults with the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.
 Genet Med. 2015; 17:599-609.

263	2.	Butcher NJ, Kiehl TR, Hazrati LN, Chow EW, Rogaeva E, Lang AE, Bassett		
264		AS. Association between early-onset Parkinson disease and 22q11.2		
265		deletion syndrome: identification of a novel genetic form of Parkinson di		
266		and its clinical implications. JAMA Neurology. 2014; 70: 1359-1366.		
267	3.	Mok KY, Sheerin U, Simon-Sanchez J, Salaka A, Chester L, Escott-Price V,		
268		et al. Deletions at 22q11 in idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a combined		
269		analysis of genome wide association data. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15: 585-596.		
270	4.	Schapira AH, Tolosa E. Molecular and clinical prodrome of Parkinson's		
271		disease: implications for treatment. Nat Rev Neurol 2010; 6:309-317		
272	5.	Ross GW, Petrovitch H, Abbott RD, Tanner CM, Popper J, Masaki K, et al.		
273		Association of olfactory dysfunction with risk for future Parkinson's		
274		disease. Ann Neurol 2008; 63:167–173.		
275	6.	Singer C, Weiner WJ, Sanchez-Ramos JR. Autonomic dysfunction in men		
276		with Parkinson's disease. Eur Neurol. 1992; 32: 134-140.		
277	7.	Schenck CH, Bundlie SR, Mahowald MW. Delayed emergence of a		
278		parkinsonian disorder in 38% of 29 older men initially diagnosed with		
279		idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder. Neurology. 1996;		
280		46; 388-393.		
281	8.	Adler CH, Hentz JG, Joyce JN, Beach T, Caviness JN. Motor impairment in		
282		normal ageing, clinically possible Parkinson's disease, and clinically probable		
283		Parkinson's disease: longitudinal evaluation of a cohort of prospective brain		
284		donors. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2002; 9:103–110.		
285	9.	. Hopkins C, Gillett S, Slack R, Lund VJ, Browne JP. Psychometric validity of		
286		the 22 item Sinonasal outcome test. Clin Otolaryngol. 2009; 34: 447-454.		

287	10. Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, Stebbins GT, Fahn S, Martinez-Martin P,
288	et al. Movement disorder society sponsored revision of the unified
289	parkinson's disease rating scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and
290	clinimetric testing results. Mov Disord. 2008; 23: 2129-2170.
291	11. Dalyrmple-Alford JC, MacAskill MR, Nakas CT, Livingston L, Graham C,
292	Crucian GP et al. The MoCA: well-suited screen for cognitive impairment in
293	Parkinson disease. Neurology. 2010; 75:1717–1725.
294	12. Stiasny-Kolster K, Mayer G, Schäfer S, Möller JC, Heinzel-Guten-brunner M,
295	Oertel WH. The REM sleep behavior disorder screening questionnaire—a
296	new diagnostic instrument. Mov Disord. 2007; 22:2386-2393
297	13. Bennett LS, Stradling JR, Davies RJ. A behavioural test to assess daytime
298	sleepiness in obstructive sleep apnoea. J Sleep Res. 1997; 6: 142-145.
299	14. Johnson DA, Heather BB. The sensitivity of the Beck depression inventory to
300	changes of symptomatology. Br J Psychiatry 1974; 125: 184-185.
301	15. Bassett AS, Chow EWC, Husted J, Weksberg R, Caluseriu O, Webb GD,
302	Gatzoulis MA. 2005. Clinical features of 78 adults with 22q11 deletion
303	syndrome. Am J Med Genet Part A 138A: 307-313.
304	16. Sobin C, Kiley-Brabeck K, Dale K, Monk SH, Khuri J, Karayiorgou M.
305	Olfactory disorder in children with 22q11 deletion syndrome. Pediatrics 2006;
306	118: e697-e703
307	17. Iranzo A, Santamaria J, Tolosa E. Idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep
308	behavior disorder: diagnosis, management and the need for neuroprotective
309	interventions. Lancet Neurol. 2016; 15: 405-419.
310	18. Crockett DJ, Goudy SL, Chinnadurai S, Wootten CT. Obstructive sleep apnea
311	syndrome in children with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome after operative
312	intervention for velopharyngeal insufficiency. 2014. Front Pediatr 2: 84.

515	
314	2066.
315	19. Bennett DA, Beckett LA, Murray AM, et al. Prevalence of parkinsonian signs
316	and associated mortality in a community population of older people. N Engl J
317	Med. 1996; 334: 71-76.
318	20. Powell D, Hanson N, Threlkeld AJ, Fang X, Xia R. Enhancement of
319	parkinsonian rigidity with contralateral hand activation. Clin Neurophysiol
320	2011; 122: 1595-1601.
321	21. Mirelman A, Bernad-Elazari H, Haler A, Giladi-Yacobi E, Gurevich T, Gana-
322	Weisz M, et al. Arm swing as a potential new prodromal marker of Parkinson's
323	disease. Mov Disord. 2016; 31: 1527-1534.
324	22. McNeill A, Duran R, Proukakis C, Bras J, Hughes D, Mehta A, et al. Hyposmia
325	and cognitive impairment in Gaucher disease patients and carriers. Mov
326	Disord. 2012; 27:526–532.
327	23. Saunders-Pullman R, Stanley K, Wang C, San Luciano M, Shanker V, Severt
328	L, et al. Olfactory dysfunction in LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers.
329	Neurology. 2011; 77: 319-24.
330	24. Noyce AJ, R'bibo L, Peress L, Bestwick JP, Adams-Carr KL, Mencacci NE, et
331	al. PREDICT-PD: an online approach to prospectively identify risk indicators
332	of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2017; 88: 212-217.
333	25. Butcher NJ, Marras C, Pondal M, Rusjan P, Boot E, Christopher L, et al.
334	Neuroimaging and clinical features in adults with a 22q11.2 deletion at risk of
335	Parkinson's disease. Brain 2017. Epub.
336	

Lees AJ, Hardy J, Revesz T. Parkinson's disease. 2009. Lancet 373:2055-

338		
339		
340		
341		
342		
343		
344		
345		
346		
540		
347	Figure 1. Non-motor potential prodromal markers.	
348	Box plots demonstrate median (heavy horizontal line), 1 st to 3 rd quartiles (box)	Formatted: Superscript
349	and range (whiskers). The outliers (clear circles) were defined automatically	Formatted: Superscript
350	by SPSS.	
351	A. The median Smell Identification Test score was significantly lower in 22q11	
352	deletion participants than in controls. B. The median Montreal Cognitive	
353	Assessment score was significantly lower in 22q11 deletion participants. C.	
354	The median REM sleep behavior disorder questionnaire score was	
355	significantly higher in 22q11 deletion participants. D. The median Beck	
356	Depression Inventory score was significantly higher in 22q11 deletion	
357	participants.	
358		