

This is a repository copy of *Robust theoretical modelling of core ionisation edges for quantitative electron energy loss spectroscopy of B- and N-doped graphene*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/115544/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hardcastle, TP, Seabourne, C, Kepaptsoglou, D et al. (5 more authors) (2017) Robust theoretical modelling of core ionisation edges for quantitative electron energy loss spectroscopy of B- and N-doped graphene. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 29 (22). ISSN 0953-8984

https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa6c4f

© 2017 IOP Publishing Ltd. This is an author-created, un-copyedited version of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter. The publisher is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it. The Version of Record is available online at https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa6c4f. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.







Fig. S.1. Convergence of the bond length between each dopant and its nearest carbon neighbour with supercell size, calculated using the PBE+TS functional. The 7x7 cases break the trend slightly due to the difference in k-point spacings.

bond	cell size	2x2	4x4	6x6	7x7	8x8
C(nearest) to N		1.42536 Å	1.42248 Å	1.41335 Å	1.40951 Å	1.41156 Å
C(nearest) to B		1.46275 Å	1.48544 Å	1.48885 Å	1.48497 Å	1.48782 Å

Table. S.1. Data from Fig. S.1.





Fig. S.2. Convergence of B K-edge with supercell size for ground state and core-hole calculations.



Fig. S.3. Convergence of C K-edge with supercell size for core-hole calculation.



Fig. S.4. Convergence of N K-edge with supercell size for ground state and core-hole calculations.

Convergence of K-edges with vacuum thickness: boron



Fig. S.5. Left panels: Convergence of B K-edge with vacuum thickness using 4×4 supercells. **Right panels:** The differences between each spectrum and the spectrum calculated with a 20Å vacuum. Note that since 4×4 supercells were used for the vacuum convergence tests, these spectra are not fully converged with respect to inplane supercell dimensions. All spectra were normalised relative to the cell volume of the 20Å cell.





Fig. S.6. Left panels: Convergence of N K-edge with vacuum thickness using 4×4 supercells. **Right panels:** The differences between each spectrum and the spectrum calculated with a 20Å vacuum. Note that since 4×4 supercells were used for the vacuum convergence tests, these spectra are not fully converged with respect to inplane supercell dimensions. All spectra were normalised relative to the cell volume of the 20Å cell.

k-points grids



Fig. S.7. Monkhorst Pack k-points grid used for the main EELS calculations, k-points used for the bandstructure calculations, reciprocal space lattice points, high symmetry points, Brillouin zone and Monkhorst Pack zone.

Defining the direct-space lattice vectors of the 7×7 supercell as

$$\vec{A}_{7\times7}^{(1)} = 7\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{3},1\right) \text{ and } \vec{A}_{7\times7}^{(2)} = 7\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{3},-1\right)$$

with the graphene unit cell lattice parameter $a = 2.46381 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ (PBE+TS functional), the corresponding reciprocalspace lattice vectors are

$$\vec{B}_{7\times7}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{7a\sqrt{3}} (1,\sqrt{3}) \text{ and } \vec{B}_{7\times7}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{7a\sqrt{3}} (1,-\sqrt{3}).$$

Using the high symmetry points $\vec{M}_{(7\times7)} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}_{7\times7}^{(1)}$ and $\vec{K}_{(7\times7)} = \frac{1}{3}(\vec{B}_{7\times7}^{(1)} - \vec{B}_{7\times7}^{(2)})$ which lie within the Monkhorst Pack zone, the k point spacings Δk in the bandstructure calculations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta k_{\Gamma \to M'} &= \frac{1}{4} \left| \vec{M}_{(7 \times 7)}' \right| = 8.369 \times 10^{-3} \text{\AA}^{-1} \text{ (3 d.p.).} \\ \Delta k_{M' \to K'} &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \vec{K}_{(7 \times 7)}' - \vec{M}_{(7 \times 7)}' \right| = 9.664 \times 10^{-3} \text{\AA}^{-1} \text{ (3 d.p.).} \\ \Delta k_{K' \to \Gamma} &= \frac{1}{5} \left| \vec{K}_{(7 \times 7)}' \right| = 7.731 \times 10^{-3} \text{\AA}^{-1} \text{ (3 d.p.).} \end{aligned}$$



Bandstructures comparison for 6x6 and 7x7 supercells

Fig. S.8 Bandstructures showing the contact or near-*contact made by the* π *and* π^* *bands at the* Γ *point in 6x6* cells and at the K point in 7x7 cells.



Fig. S. 9 The insignificant consequences of having to pick between the LDA and PBE(+TS) functionals as shown by the very similar spectra. One standout feature is the " π " peak straddling the Fermi energy in the B ground state case, which is noticeably less intense with the LDA, although still clearly present.



Slater transition state (half core-hole) calculations with PBE+TS functional

Fig. S. 10 The comparison of experimental results with half core-holes (Slater transition state) for the B-doped, N-doped and pure graphene cases, using a background potential and half extra Kohn Sham state for neutralisation. These can be compared with the ground-state and full core-hole calculations shown in Figure 1 in the main text.



Fig. S. 11 Calculated spectra using 4x4 supercells with fractional number of core holes from 0.1 to 2.0 in pure and doped graphene. (i) Boron K-edges, (ii) Carbon K-edges and (iii) Nitrogen K-edges. (iv) Mulliken charges vs. effective ionic charge of nucleus with fractional core-hole.



Figure S.12 Aluminium K-edge EEL spectrum compared with published experimentally-obtained X-ray absorption spectrum.⁹⁷ Calculation was carried out using identical calculation parameters (but without TS van der Waals corrections⁷⁰) to the main calculations. $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells were used.