



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *What Is the Negative Predictive Value of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Excluding Prostate Cancer at Biopsy? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis from the European Association of Urology Prostate Cancer Guidelines Panel.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/114729/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Moldovan, PC, Van den Broeck, T, Sylvester, R et al. (21 more authors) (2017) What Is the Negative Predictive Value of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Excluding Prostate Cancer at Biopsy? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis from the European Association of Urology Prostate Cancer Guidelines Panel. *European Urology*, 72 (2). pp. 250-266. ISSN 0302-2838

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2017.02.026>

© 2017 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Junker 2013	53.4%	Patient	≥10/15: 21 ≥11/15: 28	≥10/15: 4 ≥11/15: 12	≥10/15: 35 ≥11/15: 27	≥10/15: 13 ≥11/15: 6	≥10/15: 84% ≥11/15: 70%	72.9% ≥11/15: 81.8%	GS ≥4 + 3	13.7%	≥13: 54	≥13: 2	≥13: 8	≥13: 9	≥13: 96.4%	≥13: 47%		
Busetto 2013	41.7%	Patient	59	7	61	36	89%	63%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Rais-Bahrami 2013	54.2%	Patient	≥2/4: 80	≥2/4: 275	≥2/4: 187	≥2/4: 275	≥2/4: 66%	≥2/4: 59%	GS ≥7: GS ≥8	31.7%	NR	NR	NR	NR	GS ≥7: 91% GS ≥8: 91%	GS ≥7: 38% GS ≥8: 18%		
Kuru 2013	57.6%	Patient	80	14	67	186	85.1%	73.5%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	GS ≥7: 75% GS ≥8: 91%	GS ≥7: 67% GS ≥8: 41%		
Ferda 2013	51.2%	Patient	52	2	82	28	96.3%	74.5%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Ganie 2013	74.7%	Patient	13	3	62	9	59.1%	95.4%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Vinet 2013	49.3%	Patient	≥3/5: 11	≥3/5: 6	≥3/5: 28	≥3/5: 24	≥3/5: 64.7%	≥3/5: 53.8%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
			≥4/5: 22	≥4/5: 11	≥4/5: 23	≥4/5: 13	≥4/5: 66.7%	≥4/5: 63.8%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Numao 2013	45%	Patient	137	57	101	56	70.6%	64.3%	Def 1: GS ≥4+3 and/or ≥20% positive cores and/or max CCL ≥5mm Def 2: GS ≥3+4 and/or ≥20% +cores and/or maxCCL ≥5mm Def 3: GS ≥3+4 and/or ≥20% +cores	Def 1: 33.3% Def 2: 37.8% Def 3: 35.8%	Def 1: 74 Def 2: 65 Def 3: 69	Def 1: 83 Def 2: 92 Def 3: 88	Def 1: 160 Def 2: 153 Def 3: 156	Def 1: 34 Def 2: 41 Def 3: 38	Def 1: 82.4% Def 2: 78.8% Def 3: 80.4%	Def 1: 58.8% Def 2: 58.5% Def 3: 56%		
Belas 2012	53.5%	Patient	22	12	23	13	64.7%	63.8%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Ibraheim 2012	73.9%	Patient	14	11	57	10	56%	85.1%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Sciarra 2012	34.5%	Patient	41	4	25	14	91.1%	64.1%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Portalez 2012	48.1%	Lesion	Likert: 357	Likert: 50	Likert: 81	Likert: 50	Likert: 95%	Likert: 38%	maxCCL >3mm and/or GG 4/5 PI-RADS: 404 PI-RADS: 47 PI-RADS: 34 PI-RADS: 47 PI-RADS: 95% PI-RADS: 58%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Watanabe 2012	48.1%	Patient	485	73	624	266	86.9%	70.1%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Tamada 2011	70%	Patient	12	6	29	3	67%	91%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Choi 2011	70.6%	Patient	9	5	31	6	64.2%	75.7%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Iwazawa 2011	40.5%	Region	887	86	232	219	91.1%	51.4%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Rouse 2011	33.7%	Sextant	145	11	74	22	92.9%	77.1%	Def 1 ≥3mm Def 2 ≥5mm	Def 1: 26.6% Def 2: 26.2%	Def 1: 153 Def 2: 153	Def 1: 3 Def 2: 3	Def 1: 64 Def 1: 63	Def 1: 32 Def 2: 33	Def 1: 98.1% Def 2: 98.1%	Def 1: 68.1% Def 2: 67%		

										Def 1: 41.2%	Def 1: 30	Def 1: 4	Def 1: 43	Def 1: 4	Def 1: 88.2%	Def 1: 53.8%
										Def 2: 36.8%	Def 2: 31	Def 2: 3	Def 2: 39	Def 2: 3	Def 2: 91.2%	Def 2: 48.8%
	59.6%	Patient	24	14	54	22	63.2%	71.1%								
Haffner 2011	54.4%	Patient	154	50	240	111	75.4%	68.3%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Panebianco 2010	42.7%	Patient	NR	NR	NR	NR	95.1%	88.2%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Roy 2010	55.9%	Patient	NR	NR	NR	NR	71%	75%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Testa 2010	40.7%	Patient	NR	NR	NR	NR	79.3%	64%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Sciarra 2010	50%	Patient	61	4	66	9	93.8%	88%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kitajima 2010	56.6%	Patient	311	19	80	14	92.2%	85.1%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Labanaris 2010	73.9%	Patient	17	73	96	74	81.11%	56.47%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kumar 2009	21.7%	Patient	39	3	10	8	92.8%	55%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Schmuecking 2009	NR	Lobe	NR	NR	NR	NR	96%	61%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ben Cheick 2009	24.7%	Patient	36	12	11	34	80%	22.9%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Cirillo 2008	31.5%	Patient	19	0	17	18	100%	48.6%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kumar 2007	13.3%	Patient	39	0	11	33	100%	25%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Squillaci 2005	50.8%	Patient	29%	8%	25%	3%	89%	80%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hara 2005	41.5%	Patient	≥2/3: 40 =3/3: 47	≥2/3: 4 =3/3: 8	≥2/3: 30 =3/3: 26	≥2/3: 8 =3/3: 1	≥2/3: 90% =3/3: 85%	≥2/3: 78.9% =3/3: 96.3%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 2: Diagnostic performance of pre-biopsy multiparametric MRI using biopsy findings as reference standard

PCa: prostate cancer; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; TN: true negatives; FN: false negatives; FP: false positives; TP: true positives; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; vol: volume; csPCa: clinically significant prostate cancer; max: maximum; CCL: cancer core length; PSAd: PSA density; GG: Gleason grade; GS: Gleason score; SBx: systematic biopsy; TBx: targeted biopsy; NR: not reported