



This is a repository copy of *Comparing Dietitian-led Group Clinics to Individual Appointments For Newly Diagnosed Patients with Coeliac Disease (CD)*..

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/113912/>

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Trott, N., Kurien, M., Branchi, F. et al. (1 more author) (2016) Comparing Dietitian-led Group Clinics to Individual Appointments For Newly Diagnosed Patients with Coeliac Disease (CD). In: GUT. BSG 2016, 20-23 Jun 2016, Liverpool, UK. BMJ Publishing Group , A292-A292.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312388.551>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

BSG 2016 - Abstract Submission

Gastroenterology service: development, delivery, IT

BSG16-ABS-1317

COMPARING DIETITIAN-LED GROUP CLINICS TO INDIVIDUAL APPOINTMENTS FOR NEWLY DIAGNOSED PATIENTS WITH COELIAC DISEASE (CD)

N. Trott¹, M. Kurien*¹, F. Branchi¹, D. S. Sanders¹

¹Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, ROYAL HALLAMSHIRE HOSPITAL, Sheffield, United Kingdom

Does this abstract contain original data?: Yes

Will this abstract be published/presented prior to June 2016?: No

This abstract is: Authored by other AHP

Does your Endoscopy abstract include a video?: No

Preferred presentation type: Oral or Poster

Introduction: Newly diagnosed CD patients should have a nutritional assessment and gluten-free diet (GFD) education by registered dietitians, in accordance with guidelines. Achieving these standards is problematic due to increased CD diagnosis and limited trained dietitians available to deliver these services. Locally, we have shown that patients often wait four to six weeks for a dietetic consultation following CD diagnosis, with patient feedback suggesting this is unacceptably long. This study aimed to address this concern by comparing whether dietetic consultations provided in group clinics was non-inferior to an individual appointment for newly diagnosed CD patients.

Methods: Between January 2015 and December 2015 newly diagnosed CD patients were seen either by a dietitian in a group clinic or in an individual appointment as part of a local service evaluation project. Group clinics were defined as having a minimum of 6 individuals, and covered the same topics as individual appointments (education on gluten-free diets, prescriptions, travelling and information on Coeliac UK). All patients had nutritional assessments at baseline and bloods performed in accordance with BSG guidelines. These were reassessed at 3-month follow-up appointments, alongside evaluation forms for clinics and assessment of GFD adherence using the Biagi score (A validated 5 point adherence score (0-4), with the highest score indicating strict GFD adherence). Comparisons between groups were made using a student t-test, with a p-value < 0.05 considered significant.

Results: 56 new CD patients were initially referred for a dietetic consultation. Eight patients (14%) did not attend first appointments and 8 failed to attend follow-up. Of the remaining 40 patients (25F:15M, mean age 48 years), 30 were seen in group clinics and 10 had individual appointments. There was no statistically significant difference in baseline BMI (p=0.57), age (p=0.10) or tissue transglutaminase antibody levels (p=0.54) between group patients and individual clinic patients. At follow-up mean GFD adherence scores were similar in both groups (3.3 vs 3.1, p=0.51), with paired t-tests showing significant reduction in both groups in serological markers and haematinics (p<0.001). Evaluation forms supported the merits of group clinics, with 97% (29/30) of patients stating that group clinics met expectations, enhanced understanding and that they would recommend to other patients.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates how group dietetic clinics for newly diagnosed patients may be a resource saving intervention, which derives no detriment to patient education and GFD adherence. Findings from this work provide proof of concept for undertaking a future randomised controlled trial in this area, including health economic analysis.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared