

This is a repository copy of Geographic variation in the treatment of non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a national cohort study.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/113306/

Version: Accepted Version

# **Proceedings Paper:**

Dondo, TB, Hall, M orcid.org/0000-0003-1246-2627, Timmis, AD et al. (9 more authors) (2016) Geographic variation in the treatment of non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a national cohort study. In: European Heart Journal. European Society of Cardiology Congress 2016, 27-31 Aug 2016, Rome, Italy. Oxford University Press , pp. 1177-1178.

https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw434

© The Authors 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of a conference abstract published in European Heart Journal following peer review. The version of record, Dondo, et al., European Society of Cardiology Congress 2016, Rome, Italy, 27 Aug 2016 - 31 Aug 2016. European Heart Journal. Oxford University Press. 37: 1177-1178. 01 Aug 2016; is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw434.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



**Title**: Geographic variation in the treatment of non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a national cohort study.

### Authors:

<sup>1</sup>T.B. Dondo, <sup>1</sup>M. Hall, <sup>2</sup>A. D. Timmis, <sup>10</sup>A.T. Yan, <sup>3</sup>P.D. Batin, <sup>4</sup>G. Oliver, <sup>1</sup>O.A. Alabas, <sup>5</sup>P.D. Norman, <sup>6</sup>J.E. Deanfield, <sup>7</sup>K. Bloor, <sup>8</sup>H. Hemingway, <sup>1,9</sup>C.P. Gale.

# Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK.

<sup>2</sup> The National Institute for Health Biomedical Research Unit, Barts Health, London, EC1A 7BE, UK.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Cardiology, The Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Wakefield, WF1 4DG, UK.

<sup>4</sup> National Health Service cardiac service user, West Yorkshire, UK

<sup>5</sup> School of Geography, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>6</sup> National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research, University College London, London, W1T 7HA, UK.

<sup>7</sup> Department of Health Sciences, University of York, YOrk, YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>8</sup> The Farr Institute, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK.

<sup>9</sup> York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, York, YO31 8HE, UK.

<sup>10</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

**Background:** Between country investigations suggest variation in guideline-indicated treatment and outcomes for non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). Yet, little is known about within country variation in NSTEMI care.

**Purpose:** To investigate within country variation in provision of guideline-indicated treatments for NSTEMI.

**Methods:** National cohort study (232 hospitals, 357,228 NSTEMI in England, 2003-13) using data from the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP). We used a four level hierarchical Poisson model adjusted for patient baseline characteristics to quantify variation amongst Clinical Commissioning Group, CCG (n= 211) in the provision of ESC guideline-indicated treatments according to their date of publication.

**Results:** The proportion of NSTEMI who received all care opportunities for which they were eligible was low (13.5% (48,257)) and varied between CCGs (median 12.8%, IQR 0.7 to 18.1%) (Figure 1). The greatest variation was for provision of aldosterone antagonists (16.7%, 0.0 to 40.0%) and least for use of an ECG (96.7%, 92.5 to 98.7%). The highest rates of care were for acute aspirin (median 92.8%, IQR 88.6 to 97.1%), as well as aspirin (90.1%, 85.1 to 93.3%) and statins (86.4%, 82.3 to 91.2%) at hospital discharge. The lowest rates were for smoking cessation advice (median 11.6%, IQR 8.7 to 16.6%), dietary advice (32.4%, 23.9 to 41.7%) and the prescription of P2Y12 inhibitors (39.7%, 32.4 to 46.9%). After adjustment for case mix, nearly all (99.6%) of the remaining variation in provision of care was due to between hospitals differences (median 64.7%, IQR 57.4% to 70.0%; between hospital variance: 1.92, 95% confidence interval 1.51 to 2.44; ICC 0.996, 0.976 to 0.999).

**Conclusions:** Despite international recommendation for the management of NSTEMI, there is evidence for wide within country variation in the use of guideline-indicated treatments for NSTEMI. Improved adherence to guidelines will help reduce premature cardiovascular death across Europe.