

This is a repository copy of *Tracking thoughts::Exploring the neural architecture of mental time travel during mind-wandering*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/111403/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Karapanagiotidis, Theodoros [orcid.org/0000-0002-0813-1019](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0813-1019), Bernhardt, Boris C., Jefferies, Elizabeth [orcid.org/0000-0002-3826-4330](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3826-4330) et al. (1 more author) (2017) Tracking thoughts::Exploring the neural architecture of mental time travel during mind-wandering. *Neuroimage*. pp. 272-281. ISSN 1053-8119

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.12.031>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Tracking thoughts: Exploring the neural architecture of mental time travel during mind-wandering

Theodoros Karapanagiotidis<sup>a,\*</sup>, Boris C. Bernhardt<sup>b</sup>, Elizabeth Jefferies<sup>a</sup>,  
Jonathan Smallwood<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Psychology and York Neuroimaging Centre, University of York, York,  
United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup>*Multimodal Imaging and Connectome Analysis Laboratory, Montreal Neurological  
Institute and Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC Canada*

---

## Abstract

The capacity to imagine situations that have already happened or fictitious events that may take place in the future is known as mental time travel (MTT). Studies have shown that MTT is an important aspect of spontaneous thought, yet we lack a clear understanding of how the neurocognitive architecture of the brain constrains this element of human cognition. Previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have shown that MTT involves the coordination between multiple regions that include mesiotemporal structures such as the hippocampus, as well as prefrontal and parietal regions commonly associated with the default mode network (DMN). The current study used a multimodal neuroimaging approach to identify the structural and functional brain organisation that underlies individual differences in the capacity to spontaneously engage in MTT. Using regionally unconstrained diffusion tractography analysis, we found increased diffusion anisotropy in right lateralised temporo-limbic, corticospinal, inferior fronto-occipital tracts in participants who reported greater MTT. Probabilistic connectivity mapping revealed a significantly higher connection probability of the right hippocampus with these tracts. Resting-state functional MRI connectivity analysis using the right hippocampus as a seed region revealed greater functional coupling to the anterior regions of the DMN with increasing levels of MTT. These findings demonstrate that the interactions between

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* tk710@york.ac.uk (Theodoros Karapanagiotidis)

the hippocampus and regions of the cortex underlie the capacity to engage in MTT, and support contemporary theoretical accounts that suggest that the integration of the hippocampus with the DMN provides the neurocognitive landscape that allows us to imagine distant times and places.

*Keywords:* Mental time travel, Spontaneous thought, Diffusion MRI, Hippocampus, Mesiotemporal lobe, Default mode network

---

## 1. Introduction

Conscious experience is not restricted to events in the here and now. The prominence of states such as daydreaming and mind-wandering in our mental lives illustrates that we often mentally escape from the constraints of the moment and generate thoughts regarding people, places and situations other than those in the immediate environment (Killingsworth and Gilbert, 2010). It is now known that these states are accompanied by a measurable reduction in the processing of external events, indicating a disengagement, or decoupling, of attention from the external environment (Smallwood et al., 2008). Instead of relying on perceptual input for their mental content, it is hypothesised that these experiences are built almost exclusively from representations in semantic and episodic memory (see Smallwood and Schooler 2015 for a review).

Evidence from functional neuroimaging is consistent with the view that memory retrieval is a core process with which we generate spontaneous thought. Prior work has demonstrated that a large-scale brain system known as the default mode network (DMN) is important for the thoughts that are generated during the mind-wandering state (Mason et al., 2007; Christoff et al., 2009). The DMN is generally identified as a distributed regional assembly anchored by hubs in the medial prefrontal cortex, the posterior cingulate cortex and the angular gyrus (Raichle et al., 2001; Raichle, 2015). During tasks that involve retrieving information from memory, the DMN often integrates information from medial and lateral temporal regions known to play a pivotal role in episodic and semantic memory, such as the hippocampus (Sestieri et al., 2011) and the anterior temporal lobe (Patterson et al., 2007). Several studies have linked the DMN, as well as related structures such as the hippocampus, to processes such as imagining events from the future or past (Schacter et al., 2007), which are collectively known as mental time travel (MTT). Studies have shown that MTT is an important element

30 of the mind-wandering state (Smallwood et al., 2009b; Baird et al., 2011)  
31 and a meta analytic study has shown similarities between the neural activa-  
32 tion during mind-wandering and episodic future thinking (Stawarczyk and  
33 D’Argembeau, 2015). A recent study (Ellamil et al., 2016) has also indicated  
34 that the hippocampus is activated early during the spontaneous generation  
35 of thoughts while mind-wandering.

36 Although previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies  
37 have established a functional role of the DMN in the mind-wandering state  
38 (Mason et al., 2007; Allen et al., 2013; Ellamil et al., 2016), the extent to  
39 which the neural architecture of this network and associated regions of cor-  
40 tex constrain naturally occurring spontaneous thought remains uncertain.  
41 In the current study, we tested the hypothesis that variations in sponta-  
42 neous thoughts across participants emerge as a consequence of the large-scale  
43 structural network organisation of the brain. We applied regionally uncon-  
44 strained tractography analysis to diffusion magnetic resonance imaging data  
45 in a cohort of healthy adults and assessed whether individual variability in  
46 the contents of their thoughts related to markers of structural connectiv-  
47 ity. Our analysis approach was complemented with probabilistic connectiv-  
48 ity mapping, to identify the cortical grey matter with the highest connection  
49 probability to these tracts. Finally, we used seed-based resting-state func-  
50 tional MRI connectivity mapping to identify associated functional networks.  
51 Based on functional studies of the role of the DMN and regions in the medial  
52 and lateral temporal lobe in thoughts generated during the mind-wandering  
53 state (Christoff et al., 2009; Stawarczyk et al., 2011), we anticipated our  
54 structural analysis to highlight a constellation of regions, including the me-  
55 dial prefrontal, posterior cingulate, as well as lateral and medial temporal  
56 cortices, including the hippocampus.

## 57 **2. Methods**

### 58 *2.1. Participants*

59 A total of 86 healthy participants were recruited by advert from the De-  
60 partment of Psychology at the University of York (51 women, age range 18 -  
61 31). They were offered either a payment of £20 or a commensurate amount  
62 of course credits. Written consent was obtained for all participants and the  
63 study was approved by the York Neuroimaging Centre Ethics Committee.  
64 Participants were recruited in two cohorts (Sample A,  $n = 47$ ; Sample B,  $n$   
65  $= 39$ ) in different time periods, although there were no differences relevant

66 to the study. While both samples participated in the behavioural session  
67 and underwent a resting state (rs) functional MRI scan, we acquired diffu-  
68 sion MRI data only for sample A. We excluded 8 out of 39 participants from  
69 Sample B due to incomplete brain coverage (whole-brain coverage < 94%).  
70 Having two samples gave us the opportunity to treat them separately in our  
71 analyses and investigate the robustness of our behavioural and functional  
72 MRI results. The behavioural and functional MRI data in this study are the  
73 same as those reported in Smallwood et al. (2016).

#### 74 *2.1.1. Independent sample*

75 We also used an independent dataset to provide independent confirmation  
76 of functional connectivity results. These data were obtained from a publicly  
77 available dataset: the Nathan Kline Institute (NKI)/Rockland Enhanced  
78 Sample and contained 141 subjects. Full details of this sample can be found  
79 in Gorgolewski et al. (2014).

### 80 *2.2. Behavioural Methods*

#### 81 *2.2.1. Choice Reaction Time Task*

82 To acquire information about the content of spontaneous thought in a  
83 situation conducive to the mind-wandering state, participants performed a  
84 simple non-demanding choice reaction time task. This task is routinely used  
85 in studies of spontaneous thought because it creates periods when sponta-  
86 neous thoughts are generated with as similar a frequency as when participants  
87 are not engaging in a task (Smallwood et al., 2009b). Participants sat in a  
88 testing booth and were asked to make a parity judgement to numerals that  
89 were coloured red. These stimuli were presented in a stream of non-coloured  
90 numerals, to which no response was required. Stimuli were presented with a  
91 slow inter-stimulus interval (2200-4400 ms) and remained on screen for 1000  
92 ms. The task lasted 15 min and participants performed a single run. The  
93 occurrence of the target and non-target stimuli was randomly determined  
94 with a mean target number of  $M = 25.2$ ,  $SE = 0.6$  and a mean non-target  
95 number of  $M = 109.6$ ,  $SE = 1.1$ . Participants responded by using the mouse  
96 button. Accuracy was high (mean $\pm$ SD =  $0.93\pm 0.08$ ), with a mean response  
97 time of 900 ms (SD = 161). Participants performed this task in a laboratory  
98 testing session, scheduled one day after the scanning.

99 *2.2.2. Multi-Dimensional Experience Sampling (MDES)*

100 At unpredictable moments while performing the laboratory task, partici-  
101 pants were interrupted and asked to rate different aspects of their experience.  
102 They were asked to focus their answers on the contents of their experience  
103 the moment immediately prior to the interruption, thereby reducing demands  
104 on memory. They responded using a continuous Likert scale. The specific  
105 questions used are described in Table 1 and they were all asked during each  
106 probing. They were selected from prior studies (Smallwood et al., 2016) and  
107 examined the content of thoughts (e.g. temporal content relating to the past  
108 or future, referent of thought - themselves or another person - and emotional  
109 valence), as well as the form these thoughts took (whether the thoughts were  
110 in words or images, the level of detail and intrusiveness, etc.).

111 Whenever experience sampling occurred, the questions were administered  
112 in a quasi-random order. The first question was always about task focus,  
113 followed by blocks of questions about the content and form of thoughts.  
114 On each occasion, the order of each block, as well as the order of questions  
115 within each block, was randomised. Participants were probed an average of 8  
116 times during the fifteen-minute task. We used a fully randomised sequence of  
117 experience sampling probes to ensure that regularities in our probing schedule  
118 did not bias the results of our experiment (Seli et al., 2013).

119 As in previous studies, data from each individual was concatenated into  
120 a single matrix. We z-scored the data from each sample separately to min-  
121 imise differences between them, and fed them into a principal component  
122 analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation, allowing patterns of covariance that  
123 broadly correspond to different types of thought to be identified. The decom-  
124 position of these data, as well as a replication sample, has previously been  
125 reported by Smallwood et al. (2016). The decomposition was performed in  
126 separate analyses, one for the content of the experience and one for the form.  
127 We chose to decompose the components of content and form separately be-  
128 cause our method of experience sampling presented them in these conceptual  
129 groups, reflecting our a priori interest in decomposing them as separate fac-  
130 tors. In addition, we have successfully employed this technique of experience  
131 sampling in several prior studies (Ruby et al., 2013a,b; Engert et al., 2014;  
132 Medea et al., 2016) and applying the same procedure in the current study  
133 provided the chance to relate our data to these prior investigations. Table  
134 S1 in the supplementary materials presents the eigenvalues for the first four  
135 components generated from the decomposition of the content and the form

136 questions, where it can be seen that the scores change rapidly after the third  
137 component. For this reason, and to remain consistent with these previous  
138 studies, we opted for a three-component solution.

### 139 *2.3. Neuroimaging Methods*

#### 140 *2.3.1. MRI data acquisition*

141 MRI data were acquired on a GE 3 Tesla Signa Excite HDxMRI scanner,  
142 equipped with an eight-channel phased array head coil at York Neuroimaging  
143 Centre, University of York. For each participant, we acquired a sagittal  
144 isotropic 3D fast spoiled gradient-recalled echo T1-weighted scan (TR = 7.8  
145 ms, TE = minimum full, flip angle = 20°, matrix = 256x256, voxel size =  
146 1.13x1.13x1 mm<sup>3</sup>, FOV = 289x289 mm<sup>2</sup>). Resting-state functional MRI data  
147 based on blood oxygen level-dependent contrast images with fat saturation  
148 were acquired using a gradient single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence (TE  
149 = minimum full ( $\approx 19$  ms), flip angle = 90°, matrix = 64x64, FOV = 192x192  
150 mm<sup>2</sup>, voxel size = 3x3x3 mm<sup>3</sup>). Sample A had a scan duration of 9 min  
151 and the following additional parameters TR = 3000 ms, 180 volumes, slice  
152 thickness 3 mm, no gap, 60 slices. Sample B had a scan duration of 7 min,  
153 TR = 2000 ms, 210 volumes, slice thickness 3 mm, 0.5 mm gap and 32  
154 slices. The duration of the diffusion MRI scan was 13 minutes. A single-shot  
155 pulsed gradient spin-echo echo-planar imaging sequence was used with the  
156 following parameters: b = 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, 45 directions, 7 T2-weighted EPI  
157 baseline scans (b<sub>0</sub>), 59 slices, FOV = 192x192 mm<sup>2</sup>, TR = 15 s, TE = 86 ms  
158 (minimum full), voxel size = 2x2x2 mm<sup>3</sup>, matrix = 96x96.

#### 159 *2.3.2. Structural connectivity analysis*

160 Diffusion MRI data pre-processing involved eddy-current distortion cor-  
161 rection and motion correction using FDT v3.0, part of FSL (Smith et al.,  
162 2004). The fractional anisotropy (FA) was calculated by fitting a tensor  
163 model at each voxel of the pre-processed diffusion data and the resulting  
164 images were brain-extracted using BET (Smith, 2002). Voxel-wise FA maps  
165 were analysed using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) (Smith et al.,  
166 2006). No advanced options were used. After subjects' FA data were non-  
167 linearly aligned to the FMRIB58 template in MNI152 space, the mean FA im-  
168 age was created and thinned to create a mean FA skeleton, which represents  
169 the centres of all tracts common to the group. Using a generalised model,  
170 we assessed correlations between measured FA values across the skeleton and  
171 the mind-wandering PCA scores of each participant. T-statistics maps for

172 contrasts of interest were calculated using FSL’s Randomize (a nonparamet-  
173 ric permutation inference tool) with 5000 permutations (Nichols and Holmes,  
174 2002). Resulting maps were thresholded at a Family-Wise Error (FWE) cor-  
175 rected  $p < 0.05$  using Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement (TFCE) (Smith  
176 and Nichols, 2009).

177 We fitted voxel-wise probabilistic diffusion models using BEDPOSTX  
178 (Behrens et al., 2003) with 2 fibres modelled per voxel and 1000 itera-  
179 tions. Subsequent to BEDPOSTX, probabilistic tractography was performed  
180 using PROBTRACKX (Behrens et al., 2007) to reconstruct fibres pass-  
181 ing through a single-mask or connecting two masks at a time. Tractogra-  
182 phy was performed in native diffusion space. To this end, we transformed  
183 our seed masks from standard space back to diffusion space using the in-  
184 verse of the nonlinear registration calculated in the TBSS pipeline. PROB-  
185 TRACKX was used with standard parameters (5000 samples/voxel, cur-  
186 vature threshold 0.2, step length 0.5 mm, samples terminated after 2000  
187 steps or when they reached the surface as defined by a 40% probabilistic  
188 whole-brain WM mask). In the single-mask case, the connectivity maps of  
189 each individual were thresholded at 1% of total samples sent from the seed  
190 mask, mapped back to standard space using nonlinear registration, and con-  
191 catenated into a single 4D file. Nonparametric voxelwise statistical testing  
192 with 25000 permutations was then performed to obtain a group-level prob-  
193 abilistic tractography map, thresholded using TFCE at  $p < 0.05$ , FWE-  
194 corrected as above. In the dual-mask case, we performed seed-to-target  
195 analyses, with atlas volumes as the seeds and clusters of significant find-  
196 ings from our analyses as the targets. We also ran seed-to-target analyses  
197 using diffusion imaging data ( $b = 1500$  s/mm<sup>2</sup>, 127 directions plus 9 in-  
198 terspersed b0 images, voxel size = 2x2x2 mm<sup>3</sup>) from a subset ( $n = 9$ , 4  
199 women, age range 21 - 48) of the Test-Retest Pilot Dataset/enhanced NKI  
200 sample. Full details of this sample, as well as all the parameters of the  
201 diffusion-weighted imaging sequence used, can be found online here: [http://fcon\\_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/pro/eNKI\\_RS\\_TRT/FrontPage.html](http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/pro/eNKI_RS_TRT/FrontPage.html).  
202

### 203 *2.3.3. Functional connectivity analysis*

204 Functional MRI pre-processing and analyses were performed using FSL.  
205 Following the co-registration of functional and structural data, we extracted  
206 the brain using BET and linearly registered them to MNI152 space. Prior to  
207 functional connectivity analysis, resting state data underwent motion correc-  
208 tion using MCFLIRT, slice-timing correction using Fourier-space time-series



209 phase shifting, brain extraction using BET, spatial smoothing using a Gaus-  
210 sian kernel with a full width at half maximum of 6 mm, grand-mean intensity  
211 normalisation followed by high-pass (sigma = 100 s) and low-pass temporal  
212 filtering (sigma = 2.8 s).

213 In each subject, we extracted the time series from seed regions of interest  
214 (i.e. atlas volumes or significant clusters identified in previous steps) and used  
215 them as explanatory variables in separate functional connectivity analyses  
216 that also included 11 nuisance regressors: the top five principal components  
217 extracted from WM and cerebrospinal fluid masks in accordance with the  
218 CompCor method (Behzadi et al., 2007) and six motion parameters. No  
219 global signal regression was performed (Murphy et al., 2009).

220 Group-level statistical modelling was carried out using FEAT/FLAME  
221 stage 1 (Woolrich et al., 2004) with automatic outlier detection (Woolrich,  
222 2008). A 50% probabilistic GM mask was applied and results were thresh-  
223 olded at the whole-brain level using cluster-based Gaussian random field  
224 theory, with a cluster-forming threshold of  $z > 3.1$  (and  $z > 2.3$  when  
225 the two samples were analysed independently) and a FWE corrected clus-  
226 ter significance level of  $p < 0.05$ . To further confirm our group-level find-  
227 ings we also ran the analysis using permutation testing with the obtained  
228 maps thresholded using TFCE at  $p < 0.05$ , FWE-corrected. Unthresh-  
229 olded maps were uploaded onto Neurovault and can be found here: [http:  
230 //neurovault.org/collections/1448](http://neurovault.org/collections/1448).

### 231 **3. Results**

#### 232 *3.1. Analysis aims*

233 The goal of this experiment was to identify connections between the struc-  
234 tural and functional organisation of the brain and variations in different types  
235 of spontaneous thought. We first calculated the principal components of  
236 the type of spontaneous thoughts as reported in a laboratory session. Next  
237 we determined whether there was any relationship between the fractional  
238 anisotropy of tractography-derived white matter tracts and inter-individual  
239 variation in the content and form of spontaneous thought. Finally, we ex-  
240 plored the functional connectivity of grey matter regions that received pro-  
241 jections from the tracts identified in the previous step, with the aim of iden-  
242 tifying whether the functional connectivity of these regions is modulated by  
243 the same aspects of spontaneous thought. The analysis pipeline is outlined  
244 in Figure 1.

245 *3.2. Components of spontaneous thought*

246 For the decomposition of content, we focused on questions relating to  
247 temporal focus, referent of thought, task focus, and emotional content. Con-  
248 sistent with prior investigations (Ruby et al., 2013a,b; Engert et al., 2014;  
249 Medea et al., 2016), we found three orthogonal factors: i) Future and self-  
250 focused thoughts: individuals with high weighting on this component were  
251 often thinking about themselves in the future, accounting for 29% of the  
252 observed variance; ii) Past-focused social thoughts: individuals with high  
253 weighting were often thinking about self and others in the past, accounting  
254 for 19% variance; iii) Task-related thoughts: individuals with high weighting  
255 were often thinking about the task itself and experienced fewer negatively  
256 valenced off-task thoughts, accounting for 18% variance. The average of the  
257 future and past components, which we refer to as MTT, accounted for 48%  
258 of the overall variance.

259 Our next step was to decompose the questions regarding the form of  
260 thoughts - such as whether these were experienced as images or words, if they  
261 were detailed and whether they were intrusive - following a similar procedure.  
262 This yielded three components: i) The modality of the thoughts (images or  
263 words): individuals with high weighting often described their thoughts as  
264 containing words rather than images and this reflected 33% of the variance;  
265 ii) The level of intrusiveness of the thoughts: individuals with high weighting  
266 often described their thoughts as intrusive, accounting for 26%; iii) The level  
267 of detail in the thoughts: individuals with low weighting on this reported  
268 more detail in their thoughts accounting for 23%. These patterns of the form  
269 of cognition are consistent with prior investigations (Medea et al., 2016; see  
270 also the replication sample in Smallwood et al., 2016).

271 *3.3. Identifying the relationship between white matter fractional anisotropy*  
272 *and the contents of spontaneous thought*

273 Relating component weighting of the mind-wandering PCA scores to  
274 skeleton-wide FA values derived from the TBSS analysis revealed a spe-  
275 cific increase in the fractional anisotropy of a temporo-limbic white mat-  
276 ter region for people engaging more in MTT (Figure 2i-top, Table 2). No  
277 other components showed any significant association. In order to describe  
278 the whole-brain structural connectivity profile of this region, we performed  
279 a probabilistic tractography analysis using it as a seed (Figure 2i-bottom).  
280 This analysis showed (Figure 2ii) that the clusters' structural connectogram  
281 closely overlapped with the right fornix, the right corticospinal tract, and

282 the right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, as defined by the Johns Hopkins  
283 University DTI-based white-matter atlases (Mori et al., 2005).

284 As this region falls in an area with a high degree of crossing fibres, we  
285 also performed an additional analysis using a model that incorporates fibre-  
286 specific measurements (tbss\_x) (Jbabdi et al., 2010). We estimated the  
287 primary and secondary fibre orientations, together with their partial volume  
288 fractions, and found a significant increase in the partial volume fraction of  
289 the primary orientation for people engaging more in MTT. No other compo-  
290 nent was significant for any of the two orientations. The identified regions,  
291 presented in the supplementary materials, include the areas discovered in our  
292 original analysis, but being more widespread did not improve their structural  
293 classification. For this reason and due to the potential limitations of our dif-  
294 fusion imaging sequence in regard to probabilistic analyses and crossing fibres  
295 (see Discussion), we did not consider them any further.

296 To identify those grey matter regions most likely to be connected to the  
297 temporo-limbic white matter substrate of MTT, we used the seeds-to-target  
298 mode of PROBTRACKX with no advanced options and calculated proba-  
299 bilistic streamline counts that reach our target mask when seeding from 116  
300 regions-of-interest, as defined by the automated anatomical labelling (AAL)  
301 (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) for a given participant. This generated a 116  
302 x 47 connectivity matrix, in which streamline counts were normalised by  
303 the total number of generated tracts (waytotal), thus translated to connec-  
304 tion probabilities. The results demonstrate that the most likely grey matter  
305 region connected with the MTT substrate is the right hippocampus (Fig-  
306 ure 3). To quantitatively assess this, we calculated the difference between  
307 the hippocampus connection probability to our target mask and the second  
308 highest connection probability, per participant. The generated distribution  
309 was significantly greater than 0 (one-sample Wilcoxon Signed Ranked test,  
310  $p < 0.0001$ ). Arguably, the TBSS-derived cluster was situated in a white-  
311 matter region that may harbour extensive fibre crossing, which may challenge  
312 tractography-based fibre reconstruction, particularly when a low number of  
313 diffusion directions is used. We therefore repeated the diffusion tractography  
314 analyses based on data from the NKI Enhanced repository (see Structural  
315 connectivity analysis), which were acquired using a sequence with a substan-  
316 tially increased number of diffusion directions. Theoretically, such sequences  
317 should better resolve crossing-fibres and thus minimise the risk of erroneous  
318 tractography results (see Discussion). Our analyses at this higher angular  
319 resolution confirmed that the right hippocampus was the grey matter region

320 most likely to be connected to the temporo-limbic white matter substrate of  
321 MTT, supporting our initial findings.

322 *3.4. Determining the link between the functional connectivity of the hip-*  
323 *pocampus and the content of spontaneous thought*

324 Having identified the hippocampus as the region with the highest connec-  
325 tion probability to our white matter MTT substrate, we assessed its func-  
326 tional connectivity profile and the modulation of these patterns by inter-  
327 individual differences in the propensity to engage in MTT. Our motivation  
328 for performing this analysis was two-fold. First, we wanted to test the ro-  
329 bustness of the diffusion imaging results by investigating whether the selected  
330 region had a specific relationship to MTT using data from another scanning  
331 modality. Second, we wished to understand whether the mechanism that un-  
332 derlies the role of the hippocampus in MTT was related to its integration into  
333 the DMN. To assess these two questions we took advantage of the fact that  
334 we had two cohorts of participants for whom resting state functional MRI  
335 data were available and who also had MDES descriptions of their thoughts.  
336 We calculated the functional connectivity of the AAL mask of the right hip-  
337 pocampus for each participant in each cohort. These maps were used as the  
338 dependent variables in a multiple regression analysis with the 6 dimensions  
339 from the PCA decomposition of MDES scores used as independent variables,  
340 all in the same model.

341 Group-level functional connectivity of the right hippocampus indicated  
342 extensive connections to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, the retrosplenial  
343 cortex, the brain stem and the cerebellum (Figure 4i). Next, we assessed re-  
344 gions whose connectivity with the right hippocampus correlated with individ-  
345 ual differences in the content and form of participants' thoughts. This anal-  
346 ysis revealed a region of dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/medial pre-frontal  
347 cortex as can be seen in Figure 4i (cluster: size 646 voxels, volume 5168  
348 mm<sup>3</sup>, centre of gravity -4, 48, 11 mm), whose connectivity to the hippocam-  
349 pus increased for individuals with higher MTT scores (MTT+) compared  
350 to those with lower scores. These results were thresholded at the whole-  
351 brain level with a cluster-forming threshold of  $z > 3.1$  and a FWE corrected  
352 cluster significance level of  $p < 0.05$ . The same regions (Figure S3 in suppl-  
353 ementary materials) were also highlighted for the MTT+ comparison from our  
354 group-level permutation testing analysis. Finally, we observed two significant  
355 clusters of functional connectivity that were associated with the modality of

356 thoughts and their relative levels of detail. These did not survive more strin-  
357 gent analyses, so we do not consider them further, however we present their  
358 spatial maps in the supplementary materials, and the unthresholded maps  
359 can be found in Neurovault.

360 We also repeated these analyses separately in both datasets to investi-  
361 gate whether idiosyncratic features of one sample may have contributed to  
362 our findings. Comparing each group separately, only Sample B passed a clus-  
363 ter forming threshold of  $z > 3.1$ , however both groups produced significant  
364 cluster corrected regions at a more lenient value of  $z > 2.3$ . In both cases  
365 these regions fell in the mPFC and are shown in Figure 4ii, alongside their  
366 overlap (cluster: size 82 voxels, volume 656 mm<sup>3</sup>, centre of gravity -4, 47,  
367 11 mm) and separate scatter plots from each sample. These analyses show  
368 a robust pattern of strengthened correlation between the right hippocampus  
369 and the medial prefrontal cortex for individuals who engage in greater MTT  
370 when the sample is treated as a group; however, we also find a converging  
371 pattern when both groups are treated as separate populations. These two  
372 features of our data suggest that our findings are statistically robust and are  
373 reasonably consistent across both groups of participants.

374 The observation that coupling between the right hippocampus and the  
375 medial prefrontal cortex was greater for participants who engaged in increas-  
376 ing levels of MTT suggests that this experience may depend upon integration  
377 between the medial temporal lobe and the DMN. To quantitatively assess  
378 this possibility, we used the overlap region identified in the previous step as  
379 a seed in a functional connectivity analysis of an independent dataset (see  
380 2.1.1 "Independent sample"). This analysis confirmed a pattern of connec-  
381 tivity focused on the posterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex, which  
382 reflects the canonical DMN (Figure 4iii).

#### 383 4. Discussion

384 We demonstrated that the content and form of spontaneous thought is  
385 partly constrained by structural and functional brain network organisation.  
386 Structural connectivity analyses identified a temporo-limbic white matter  
387 region, highly connected to the right hippocampus, for people who spon-  
388 taneously engaged in more mental time travel (MTT). Using resting state  
389 functional connectivity, we found that the temporal correlation of the right  
390 hippocampus with the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, a core region of the  
391 default mode network (DMN), was also modulated by inter-individual vari-

392 ation in MTT. These converging lines of evidence provide unambiguous sup-  
393 port that the spontaneous thoughts experienced during the mind-wandering  
394 state are in fact reliant upon the hippocampus and its integration into the  
395 DMN.

396 Component process accounts of the mind-wandering state suggest that  
397 this class of experience depends upon distinct neurocognitive components.  
398 These include the ability to disengage attention from external input, known  
399 as perceptual decoupling, and processes more directly related to the genera-  
400 tion and coordination of the experiential content (Smallwood and Schooler,  
401 2015). Among them, episodic memory processes have been considered to play  
402 a key role in the generation of the mental content during mind-wandering,  
403 particularly those episodes that entail imagining distant times and places  
404 (MTT). People frequently use MTT to consider autobiographical goals (Baird  
405 et al., 2011), solve personal problems (Ruby et al., 2013b), reduce social stress  
406 (Engert et al., 2014) and to generate creative solutions to problems (Baird  
407 et al., 2012): all tasks that draw on multiple types of memory and involve the  
408 hippocampus. The hippocampus has been linked to a broad range of cogni-  
409 tive processes, including episodic or autobiographical memory (Eichenbaum,  
410 1993; Aggleton and Brown, 1999), spatial navigation (O’keefe and Nadel,  
411 1978; O’Keefe et al., 1996) and the binding of temporally extended events  
412 into a sequence (for a review see Eichenbaum 2013). These distinct accounts  
413 have led to the proposal that the hippocampus may serve an integrative  
414 function in cognition by combining information from different domains to  
415 form coherent scenes (Hassabis and Maguire, 2007; Maguire et al., 2015),  
416 allowing autobiographical information to be placed in a temporal and spatial  
417 context (Eichenbaum and Cohen, 2014). Building on this view, it is possible  
418 that the hippocampus integrates different aspects of knowledge from mem-  
419 ory into an ongoing train of thought. It could do so by its dense structural  
420 and functional connectivity profile to multiple areas of cortex (Squire et al.,  
421 2004; Moscovitch et al., 2016; Bernhardt et al., 2016; Strange et al., 2014).  
422 Our demonstration that the structural and functional connectivity of the  
423 hippocampus is important in MTT may reflect a hippocampal contribution  
424 to the process through which we use our memory to consciously organise our  
425 life goals and evaluate our past experiences. It is worth noting that, although  
426 our main diffusion tractography analysis targeted the right hippocampus, our  
427 supplementary analysis after accounting for crossing fibres highlighted tracts  
428 more symmetrical across hemispheres (see supplementary Figure S1), sug-  
429 gesting an involvement of both left and right hippocampi. Thus, while prior

430 studies have found that the right hippocampus is important in mental time  
431 travel especially when imagining events (see Arzy et al. 2009, Experiment  
432 2, see also Addis and Schacter 2012 for further consideration of this issue),  
433 further work is needed to identify the role of the hippocampus in different  
434 hemispheres in spontaneous mental time travel.

435 Our functional data showed that the region with heightened hippocampal  
436 coupling for increased mental time travel fell outside of the group con-  
437 nectivity map of the hippocampus (see Figure 4). This suggests that the  
438 contribution of the hippocampus to MTT involves integration with the me-  
439 dial prefrontal cortex, a core node of the DMN (Gusnard and Raichle, 2001;  
440 Greicius et al., 2003; Buckner et al., 2008). Contemporary accounts of this  
441 network (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014a) propose that the DMN consists of  
442 discrete subsystems, whose coupling to the medial core - the medial pre-  
443 frontal cortex and posterior cingulate cortex - influences ongoing cognitive  
444 processing (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014b). In concordance with this view,  
445 it has been shown that, during memory retrieval, the hippocampus couples  
446 with other DMN regions more strongly than it does at rest (Huijbers et al.,  
447 2011), while hippocampal - DMN interactions have also been highlighted in  
448 conceptual processing (Constantinescu et al., 2016). Our demonstration that  
449 increased functional connectivity between the hippocampus and the medial  
450 prefrontal cortex is associated with increased MTT supports this component  
451 process view of the DMN function, suggesting that engaging in spontaneous  
452 episodic thought is a situation when the hippocampus and the DMN act to-  
453 gether in an integrated fashion. Our data from the domain of spontaneous  
454 thought provides further evidence that the DMN is important in attending  
455 to distant times and places (Peer et al., 2015), perhaps because it functions  
456 to integrate information from across the cortex (Margulies et al., 2016). This  
457 hypothesis could be further explored by looking at the content of thought  
458 while ongoing measures of neural function are recorded (Tusche et al., 2014).  
459 In addition, as recent studies have demonstrated ways of measuring activity  
460 in white matter from a functional MRI acquisition (Gawryluk et al., 2014;  
461 Ding et al., 2016), it could be of interest to explore the temporal correla-  
462 tions along white matter tracts and how these might relate to spontaneous  
463 thoughts and DMN connectivity.

464 There are some limitations that should be borne in mind when considering  
465 our data. First, we only measured the functional and structural organisation  
466 of neural functioning in the participants on one occasion. Although the con-  
467 verging evidence produced by two independent imaging methodologies, and

468 especially the diffusion data, show that these data are most parsimoniously  
469 described as reflecting a trait, there remains a possibility that the experience  
470 sampling observations are partly influenced by state related changes. Fu-  
471 ture studies may gain greater power by measuring experience across several  
472 days, which would provide measures of the content of mind-wandering that  
473 are more closely tied to an individual’s trait. Also, our study explored the  
474 neural correlates of latent patterns within a multi dimensional experience  
475 sampling space by performing a data reduction using principal components  
476 analysis. This allows us to characterise the largest patterns within the expe-  
477 rience sampling data in a statistically robust manner; however, its weakness  
478 is that it does not provide the specificity to determine whether it is the self-  
479 relevant or temporal aspects of cognition, or a combination of both, that our  
480 data capture. Future work with a larger sample size could profitably explore  
481 this issue by modelling the interactions at the level of each question. In addi-  
482 tion, our whole-brain tractographic findings did not survive a superordinate  
483 Bonferonni correction additionally adjusting family-wise error levels for the  
484 number of different contrasts included in our model. We believe that this is  
485 quite possibly due to the sample size of our diffusion MRI data and unlikely  
486 to be a Type 1 error, as the MTT score was found to be a significant predictor  
487 of a fractional anisotropy increase in a white matter region highly connected  
488 to the hippocampus, a region for which there are strong a priori reasons to  
489 expect it to play an important role in the mind-wandering state (Schacter  
490 et al., 2007). Moreover, the functional connectivity of the hippocampus to  
491 core regions of the DMN showed a similar pattern of modulation by MTT  
492 across two datasets. Finally, it is also important to note that the current  
493 study was carried out using a conventional diffusion imaging sequence with  
494 45 diffusion directions and only one b-value shell. While it has been argued  
495 previously (Jones, 2004; Jones et al., 2013) that 30 or more unique orienta-  
496 tions allow to obtain robust estimates of tensor-derived properties (fractional  
497 anisotropy and principal eigenvector orientation), tractographic analysis and  
498 estimation of tensor parameters may generally be challenged when different  
499 fibre populations cross in a given voxel. These limitations motivate more  
500 targeted follow-up diffusion MRI studies on the observed relation, that can  
501 take advantage of increased angular resolution by moving to higher fields, us-  
502 ing longer scans, and/or by utilizing accelerated image acquisition techniques  
503 (Feinberg et al., 2010).

504 In conclusion, our study highlights that although spontaneous thoughts  
505 seem to emerge independently of external input, they are nonetheless con-



506 strained by the structure of the cortex. Our results provide evidence that  
507 the connections between the hippocampus and other areas of the cortex sup-  
508 port the contribution of episodic content during spontaneous thought. These  
509 findings complement prior studies linking individual differences in sponta-  
510 neous thought to (i) neural measures such as cortical thickness (Bernhardt  
511 et al., 2014), functional connectivity (Smallwood et al., 2013a; Tusche et al.,  
512 2014; Smallwood et al., 2016), and (ii) psychological measures such as ex-  
513 ecutive control (Smallwood et al., 2013b; Kane et al., 2007; Levinson et al.,  
514 2012; McVay and Kane, 2009) and personality (McVay et al., 2009; Diaz  
515 et al., 2014; Golchert et al., 2016). Together, these complimentary lines of  
516 research demonstrate that although the specific content our thoughts take is  
517 doubtlessly influenced by our current concerns (Klinger and Cox, 1987) or  
518 our mood (Smallwood et al., 2009a; Killingsworth and Gilbert, 2010; Poerio  
519 et al., 2013), aspects of how they emerge may be determined by more stable  
520 aspects of a person. Thus, even though our clear sense is that spontaneous  
521 thoughts emerge from nowhere (Schooler, 2002), the manner in which this  
522 process occurs is likely influenced by the organisation of our neurocognitive  
523 system.

## 524 **Acknowledgements**

525 TK was supported by a doctoral studentship of the department of Psy-  
526 chology of the University of York. BB was supported by the Montreal Neu-  
527 rological Institute and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. EJ was  
528 supported by grants from BBSRC (BB/J006963/1) and the European Re-  
529 search Council (SEMBIND - 283530) and JS was supported by European  
530 Research Council (WANDERINGMINDS - 646927). This publication was  
531 also made possible through the support of a grant from the John Templeton  
532 Foundation, "Prospective Psychology Stage 2: A Research Competition" to  
533 Martin Seligman. The opinions expressed in this publication are those of  
534 the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton  
535 Foundation.

## 536 **References**

537 Addis, D. R., Schacter, D., 2012. The hippocampus and imagining the future:  
538 where do we stand? *Frontiers in human neuroscience* 5, 173.

- 539 Aggleton, J. P., Brown, M. W., 1999. Episodic memory, amnesia, and the  
540 hippocampal–anterior thalamic axis. *Behavioral and brain sciences* 22 (03),  
541 425–444.
- 542 Allen, M., Smallwood, J., Christensen, J., Gramm, D., Rasmussen, B.,  
543 Gaden Jensen, C., Roepstorff, A., Lutz, A., 2013. The balanced mind: the  
544 variability of task-unrelated thoughts predicts error-monitoring. *Frontiers*  
545 *in human neuroscience* 7, 743.
- 546 Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Saxe, R., Yarkoni, T., 2014a. Contributions of  
547 episodic retrieval and mentalizing to autobiographical thought: evidence  
548 from functional neuroimaging, resting-state connectivity, and fmri meta-  
549 analyses. *Neuroimage* 91, 324–335.
- 550 Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Smallwood, J., Spreng, R. N., 2014b. The default  
551 network and self-generated thought: component processes, dynamic con-  
552 trol, and clinical relevance. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*  
553 1316 (1), 29–52.
- 554 Arzy, S., Collette, S., Ionta, S., Fornari, E., Blanke, O., 2009. Subjective  
555 mental time: the functional architecture of projecting the self to past and  
556 future. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 30 (10).
- 557 Baird, B., Smallwood, J., Mrazek, M. D., Kam, J. W., Franklin, M. S.,  
558 Schooler, J. W., 2012. Inspired by distraction mind wandering facilitates  
559 creative incubation. *Psychological Science*, 0956797612446024.
- 560 Baird, B., Smallwood, J., Schooler, J. W., 2011. Back to the future: autobio-  
561 graphical planning and the functionality of mind-wandering. *Consciousness*  
562 *and cognition* 20 (4), 1604–1611.
- 563 Behrens, T., Berg, H. J., Jbabdi, S., Rushworth, M., Woolrich, M., 2007.  
564 Probabilistic diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What  
565 can we gain? *Neuroimage* 34 (1), 144–155.
- 566 Behrens, T., Woolrich, M., Jenkinson, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Nunes, R.,  
567 Clare, S., Matthews, P., Brady, J., Smith, S., 2003. Characterization and  
568 propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted mr imaging. *Magnetic res-*  
569 *onance in medicine* 50 (5), 1077–1088.

- 570 Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liao, J., Liu, T. T., 2007. A component based noise  
571 correction method (compcor) for bold and perfusion based fmri. *Neuroim-*  
572 *age* 37 (1), 90–101.
- 573 Bernhardt, B. C., Bernasconi, A., Liu, M., Hong, S.-J., Caldarrou, B.,  
574 Goubran, M., Guiot, M. C., Hall, J., Bernasconi, N., 2016. The spec-  
575 trum of structural and functional imaging abnormalities in temporal lobe  
576 epilepsy. *Annals of neurology*.
- 577 Bernhardt, B. C., Smallwood, J., Tusche, A., Ruby, F. J., Engen, H. G.,  
578 Steinbeis, N., Singer, T., 2014. Medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate  
579 cortical thickness predicts shared individual differences in self-generated  
580 thought and temporal discounting. *Neuroimage* 90, 290–297.
- 581 Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Schacter, D. L., 2008. The brain’s  
582 default network. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1124 (1),  
583 1–38.
- 584 Christoff, K., Gordon, A. M., Smallwood, J., Smith, R., Schooler, J. W.,  
585 2009. Experience sampling during fmri reveals default network and execu-  
586 tive system contributions to mind wandering. *Proceedings of the National*  
587 *Academy of Sciences* 106 (21), 8719–8724.
- 588 Constantinescu, A. O., O’Reilly, J. X., Behrens, T. E., 2016. Organizing  
589 conceptual knowledge in humans with a gridlike code. *Science* 352 (6292),  
590 1464–1468.
- 591 Diaz, B. A., Van Der Sluis, S., Benjamins, J. S., Stoffers, D., Hardstone, R.,  
592 Mansvelder, H. D., Van Someren, E. J., Linkenkaer-Hansen, K., 2014. The  
593 arsq 2.0 reveals age and personality effects on mind-wandering experiences.  
594 *Frontiers in psychology* 5.
- 595 Ding, Z., Xu, R., Bailey, S. K., Wu, T.-L., Morgan, V. L., Cutting, L. E.,  
596 Anderson, A. W., Gore, J. C., 2016. Visualizing functional pathways in the  
597 human brain using correlation tensors and magnetic resonance imaging.  
598 *Magnetic resonance imaging* 34 (1), 8–17.
- 599 Eichenbaum, H., 1993. *Memory, amnesia, and the hippocampal system*. MIT  
600 press.

- 601 Eichenbaum, H., 2013. Memory on time. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 17 (2),  
602 81–88.
- 603 Eichenbaum, H., Cohen, N. J., 2014. Can we reconcile the declarative mem-  
604 ory and spatial navigation views on hippocampal function? *Neuron* 83 (4),  
605 764–770.
- 606 Ellamil, M., Fox, K. C., Dixon, M. L., Pritchard, S., Todd, R. M., Thompson,  
607 E., Christoff, K., 2016. Dynamics of neural recruitment surrounding the  
608 spontaneous arising of thoughts in experienced mindfulness practitioners.  
609 *NeuroImage*.
- 610 Engert, V., Smallwood, J., Singer, T., 2014. Mind your thoughts: Asso-  
611 ciations between self-generated thoughts and stress-induced and baseline  
612 levels of cortisol and alpha-amylase. *Biological psychology* 103, 283–291.
- 613 Feinberg, D. A., Moeller, S., Smith, S. M., Auerbach, E., Ramanna, S.,  
614 Glasser, M. F., Miller, K. L., Ugurbil, K., Yacoub, E., 2010. Multiplexed  
615 echo planar imaging for sub-second whole brain fmri and fast diffusion  
616 imaging. *PloS one* 5 (12), e15710.
- 617 Gawryluk, J. R., Mazerolle, E. L., D’Arcy, R. C., 2014. Does functional mri  
618 detect activation in white matter? a review of emerging evidence, issues,  
619 and future directions. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 8, 239.
- 620 Golchert, J., Smallwood, J., Jefferies, E., Seli, P., Huntenburg, J. M., Liem,  
621 F., Lauckner, M. E., Oligschläger, S., Bernhardt, B. C., Villringer, A.,  
622 et al., 2016. Individual variation in intentionality in the mind-wandering  
623 state is reflected in the integration of the default-mode, fronto-parietal,  
624 and limbic networks. *NeuroImage*.
- 625 Gorgolewski, K. J., Lurie, D., Urchs, S., Kipping, J. A., Craddock, R. C.,  
626 Milham, M. P., Margulies, D. S., Smallwood, J., 2014. A correspondence  
627 between individual differences in the brain’s intrinsic functional architec-  
628 ture and the content and form of self-generated thoughts. *PloS one* 9 (5),  
629 e97176.
- 630 Greicius, M. D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A. L., Menon, V., 2003. Functional  
631 connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode  
632 hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100 (1), 253–  
633 258.

- 634 Gusnard, D. A., Raichle, M. E., 2001. Searching for a baseline: functional  
635 imaging and the resting human brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2 (10),  
636 685–694.
- 637 Hassabis, D., Maguire, E. A., 2007. Deconstructing episodic memory with  
638 construction. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 11 (7), 299–306.
- 639 Huijbers, W., Pennartz, C. M., Cabeza, R., Daselaar, S. M., 2011. The  
640 hippocampus is coupled with the default network during memory retrieval  
641 but not during memory encoding. *PLoS One* 6 (4), e17463.
- 642 Jbabdi, S., Behrens, T. E., Smith, S. M., 2010. Crossing fibres in tract-based  
643 spatial statistics. *Neuroimage* 49 (1), 249–256.
- 644 Jones, D. K., 2004. The effect of gradient sampling schemes on measures de-  
645 rived from diffusion tensor mri: a monte carlo study†. *Magnetic Resonance*  
646 *in Medicine* 51 (4), 807–815.
- 647 Jones, D. K., Knösche, T. R., Turner, R., 2013. White matter integrity, fiber  
648 count, and other fallacies: the do’s and don’ts of diffusion mri. *Neuroimage*  
649 73, 239–254.
- 650 Kane, M. J., Brown, L. H., McVay, J. C., Silvia, P. J., Myin-Germeys, I.,  
651 Kwapil, T. R., 2007. For whom the mind wanders, and when an experience-  
652 sampling study of working memory and executive control in daily life.  
653 *Psychological science* 18 (7), 614–621.
- 654 Killingsworth, M. A., Gilbert, D. T., 2010. A wandering mind is an unhappy  
655 mind. *Science* 330 (6006), 932–932.
- 656 Klinger, E., Cox, W. M., 1987. Dimensions of thought flow in everyday life.  
657 *Imagination, Cognition and Personality* 7 (2), 105–128.
- 658 Levinson, D. B., Smallwood, J., Davidson, R. J., 2012. The persistence of  
659 thought evidence for a role of working memory in the maintenance of task-  
660 unrelated thinking. *Psychological Science* 23 (4), 375–380.
- 661 Maguire, E. A., Intraub, H., Mullally, S. L., 2015. Scenes, spaces, and  
662 memory traces what does the hippocampus do? *The Neuroscientist*,  
663 1073858415600389.

- 664 Margulies, D. S., Ghosh, S. S., Goulas, A., Falkiewicz, M., Huntenburg, J. M.,  
665 Langs, G., Bezgin, G., Eickhoff, S. B., Castellanos, F. X., Petrides, M.,  
666 et al., 2016. Situating the default-mode network along a principal gradient  
667 of macroscale cortical organization. *Proceedings of the National Academy  
668 of Sciences*, 201608282.
- 669 Mason, M. F., Norton, M. I., Van Horn, J. D., Wegner, D. M., Grafton,  
670 S. T., Macrae, C. N., 2007. Wandering minds: the default network and  
671 stimulus-independent thought. *Science* 315 (5810), 393–395.
- 672 McVay, J. C., Kane, M. J., 2009. Conducting the train of thought: working  
673 memory capacity, goal neglect, and mind wandering in an executive-control  
674 task. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cogni-  
675 tion* 35 (1), 196.
- 676 McVay, J. C., Kane, M. J., Kwapil, T. R., 2009. Tracking the train of thought  
677 from the laboratory into everyday life: An experience-sampling study of  
678 mind wandering across controlled and ecological contexts. *Psychonomic  
679 bulletin & review* 16 (5), 857–863.
- 680 Medea, B., Karapanagiotidis, T., Konishi, M., Ottaviani, C., Margulies, D.,  
681 Bernasconi, A., Bernasconi, N., Bernhardt, B. C., Jefferies, E., Smallwood,  
682 J., 2016. How do we decide what to do? resting-state connectivity patterns  
683 and components of self-generated thought linked to the development of  
684 more concrete personal goals. *Experimental brain research*, 1–13.
- 685 Mori, S., Wakana, S., Van Zijl, P. C., Nagae-Poetscher, L., 2005. MRI atlas  
686 of human white matter. Vol. 16. *Am Soc Neuroradiology*.
- 687 Moscovitch, M., Cabeza, R., Winocur, G., Nadel, L., 2016. Episodic memory  
688 and beyond: the hippocampus and neocortex in transformation. *Annual  
689 review of psychology* 67, 105–134.
- 690 Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., Bandettini, P. A.,  
691 2009. The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations:  
692 are anti-correlated networks introduced? *Neuroimage* 44 (3), 893–905.
- 693 Nichols, T. E., Holmes, A. P., 2002. Nonparametric permutation tests for  
694 functional neuroimaging: a primer with examples. *Human brain mapping*  
695 15 (1), 1–25.

- 696 O’Keefe, J., Burgess, N., et al., 1996. Geometric determinants of the place  
697 fields of hippocampal neurons. *Nature* 381 (6581), 425–428.
- 698 O’keefe, J., Nadel, L., 1978. *The hippocampus as a cognitive map*. Vol. 3.  
699 Clarendon Press Oxford.
- 700 Patterson, K., Nestor, P. J., Rogers, T. T., 2007. Where do you know what  
701 you know? the representation of semantic knowledge in the human brain.  
702 *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 8 (12), 976–987.
- 703 Peer, M., Salomon, R., Goldberg, I., Blanke, O., Arzy, S., 2015. Brain sys-  
704 tem for mental orientation in space, time, and person. *Proceedings of the*  
705 *National Academy of Sciences* 112 (35), 11072–11077.
- 706 Poerio, G. L., Totterdell, P., Miles, E., 2013. Mind-wandering and negative  
707 mood: Does one thing really lead to another? *Consciousness and cognition*  
708 22 (4), 1412–1421.
- 709 Raichle, M. E., 2015. The brain’s default mode network. *Annual review of*  
710 *neuroscience* 38, 433–447.
- 711 Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard,  
712 D. A., Shulman, G. L., 2001. A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings*  
713 *of the National Academy of Sciences* 98 (2), 676–682.
- 714 Ruby, F. J., Smallwood, J., Engen, H., Singer, T., 2013a. How self-generated  
715 thought shapes mood—the relation between mind-wandering and mood de-  
716 pends on the socio-temporal content of thoughts. *PLoS One* 8 (10), e77554.
- 717 Ruby, F. J., Smallwood, J., Sackur, J., Singer, T., 2013b. Is self-generated  
718 thought a means of social problem solving? *Frontiers in psychology* 4.
- 719 Schacter, D. L., Addis, D. R., Buckner, R. L., 2007. Remembering the past  
720 to imagine the future: the prospective brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*  
721 8 (9), 657–661.
- 722 Schooler, J. W., 2002. Re-representing consciousness: Dissociations between  
723 experience and meta-consciousness. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 6 (8), 339–  
724 344.

- 725 Seli, P., Carriere, J. S., Levene, M., Smilek, D., 2013. How few and far  
726 between? examining the effects of probe rate on self-reported mind wan-  
727 dering. *Frontiers in psychology* 4, 430.
- 728 Sestieri, C., Corbetta, M., Romani, G. L., Shulman, G. L., 2011. Episodic  
729 memory retrieval, parietal cortex, and the default mode network: func-  
730 tional and topographic analyses. *The Journal of neuroscience* 31 (12),  
731 4407–4420.
- 732 Smallwood, J., Beach, E., Schooler, J. W., Handy, T. C., 2008. Going awol  
733 in the brain: Mind wandering reduces cortical analysis of external events.  
734 *Journal of cognitive neuroscience* 20 (3), 458–469.
- 735 Smallwood, J., Fitzgerald, A., Miles, L. K., Phillips, L. H., 2009a. Shift-  
736 ing moods, wandering minds: negative moods lead the mind to wander.  
737 *Emotion* 9 (2), 271.
- 738 Smallwood, J., Gorgolewski, K. J., Golchert, J., Ruby, F. J., Engen, H. G.,  
739 Baird, B., Vinski, M. T., Schooler, J. W., Margulies, D. S., 2013a. The  
740 default modes of reading: modulation of posterior cingulate and medial  
741 prefrontal cortex connectivity associated with comprehension and task fo-  
742 cus while reading. *Frontiers in human neuroscience* 7.
- 743 Smallwood, J., Karapanagiotidis, T., Ruby, F., Medea, B., de Caso, I., Kon-  
744 ishi, M., Wang, H.-T., Hallam, G., Margulies, D. S., Jefferies, E., 2016.  
745 Representing representation: Integration between the temporal lobe and  
746 the posterior cingulate influences the content and form of spontaneous  
747 thought. *PloS One* 11 (4), e0152272.
- 748 Smallwood, J., Nind, L., O’Connor, R. C., 2009b. When is your head at?  
749 an exploration of the factors associated with the temporal focus of the  
750 wandering mind. *Consciousness and cognition* 18 (1), 118–125.
- 751 Smallwood, J., Ruby, F. J., Singer, T., 2013b. Letting go of the present:  
752 mind-wandering is associated with reduced delay discounting. *Conscious-  
753 ness and cognition* 22 (1), 1–7.
- 754 Smallwood, J., Schooler, J. W., 2015. The science of mind wandering: empir-  
755 ically navigating the stream of consciousness. *Annual review of psychology*  
756 66, 487–518.



- 757 Smith, S. M., 2002. Fast robust automated brain extraction. *Human brain*  
758 *mapping* 17 (3), 143–155.
- 759 Smith, S. M., Jenkinson, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Rueckert, D., Nichols, T. E.,  
760 Mackay, C. E., Watkins, K. E., Ciccarelli, O., Cader, M. Z., Matthews,  
761 P. M., et al., 2006. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of  
762 multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage* 31 (4), 1487–1505.
- 763 Smith, S. M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M. W., Beckmann, C. F., Behrens,  
764 T. E., Johansen-Berg, H., Bannister, P. R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I.,  
765 Flitney, D. E., et al., 2004. Advances in functional and structural mr image  
766 analysis and implementation as fsl. *Neuroimage* 23, S208–S219.
- 767 Smith, S. M., Nichols, T. E., 2009. Threshold-free cluster enhancement: ad-  
768 dressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in  
769 cluster inference. *Neuroimage* 44 (1), 83–98.
- 770 Squire, L. R., Stark, C. E., Clark, R. E., 2004. The medial temporal lobe\*.  
771 *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 27, 279–306.
- 772 Stawarczyk, D., D’Argembeau, A., 2015. Neural correlates of personal goal  
773 processing during episodic future thinking and mind-wandering: An ale  
774 meta-analysis. *Human brain mapping* 36 (8), 2928–2947.
- 775 Stawarczyk, D., Majerus, S., Maquet, P., D’Argembeau, A., 2011. Neural  
776 correlates of ongoing conscious experience: both task-unrelatedness and  
777 stimulus-independence are related to default network activity. *PloS one*  
778 6 (2), e16997.
- 779 Strange, B. A., Witter, M. P., Lein, E. S., Moser, E. I., 2014. Functional  
780 organization of the hippocampal longitudinal axis. *Nature Reviews Neu-*  
781 *roscience* 15 (10), 655–669.
- 782 Tusche, A., Smallwood, J., Bernhardt, B. C., Singer, T., 2014. Classifying  
783 the wandering mind: revealing the affective content of thoughts during  
784 task-free rest periods. *Neuroimage* 97, 107–116.
- 785 Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard,  
786 O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., Joliot, M., 2002. Automated anatomical  
787 labeling of activations in spm using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation  
788 of the mni mri single-subject brain. *Neuroimage* 15 (1), 273–289.

- 789 Woolrich, M., 2008. Robust group analysis using outlier inference. *Neuroim-*  
790 *age* 41 (2), 286–301.
- 791 Woolrich, M. W., Behrens, T. E., Beckmann, C. F., Jenkinson, M., Smith,  
792 S. M., 2004. Multilevel linear modelling for fmri group analysis using  
793 bayesian inference. *Neuroimage* 21 (4), 1732–1747.
- 794 Yeo, B. T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D.,  
795 Hollinshead, M., Roffman, J. L., Smoller, J. W., Zöllei, L., Polimeni, J. R.,  
796 et al., 2011. The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by  
797 intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of neurophysiology* 106 (3), 1125–  
798 1165.

799 **Figure captions**

800 **Figure 1.** *Overview of analysis pipeline.*

801 The upper panel describes the analysis steps which allow the dimensions that  
802 underlie the trial level experience sampling data to be calculated. The mid-  
803 dle panel describes how the functional connectivity maps for our regions of  
804 interest are calculated from the resting state functional Magnetic Resonance  
805 Imaging data (MRI). The lower panel describes how the fractional anisotropy  
806 maps are calculated from the whole-brain diffusion MRI data.

807

808 **Figure 2.** *Identifying the relationship between structural connectivity and  
809 the contents of spontaneous thought.*

810 **i:** The upper panel shows the results of the whole-brain diffusion MRI anal-  
811 ysis. The clusters where a significant increase in fractional anisotropy was  
812 found for participants engaging more in mental time travel are indicated in  
813 red and are overlaid on the mean fractional anisotropy skeleton. Results  
814 were thresholded at a Family-Wise Error (FWE) corrected  $p < 0.05$  using  
815 Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement. The lower panel presents these signif-  
816 icant clusters along with the probabilistic streamline that was found to pass  
817 through them. The streamline was thresholded using Threshold-Free Cluster  
818 Enhancement at  $p < 0.05$ , FWE-corrected.

819 **ii:** This panel demonstrates the overlap of the probabilistic streamline with  
820 the right fornix, the right corticospinal tract, and the right inferior fronto-  
821 occipital fasciculus.

822 **Acronyms:** FA - fractional anisotropy , MTT+ - increased mental time  
823 travel, PS - probabilistic streamline, rFX - right Fornix, rCST - right corti-  
824 cospinal tract, riFOF - right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.

825

826 **Figure 3.** *Identifying the grey matter regions connected to the temporo-  
827 limbic white matter substrate of mental time travel.*

828 The box plots in the upper panel show the connection probability of each one  
829 of the 116 grey matter volumes of the Automated Anatomical Labelling atlas  
830 with the white matter substrate of mental time travel found in our whole-  
831 brain tractography analysis. In the lower panel, the volumes are presented  
832 with each region coloured according to its average connection probability  
833 among participants. It is clear in both panels that the right hippocampus  
834 has the highest number of streamlines connecting it to the cluster obtained  
835 in the prior step of our analysis.

836

837 **Figure 4.** *Determining the link between the functional connectivity of the*  
838 *hippocampus and the content of spontaneous thought.*

839 **i:** The group-level functional connectivity of the right hippocampus (left),  
840 the region showing a stronger temporal connectivity with it for individuals  
841 with higher MTT (middle) and the scatter plot (right) showing the average  
842 beta values extracted from this region plotted against the mental time travel  
843 scores for each participant. Maps were thresholded at the whole-brain level  
844 with a cluster-forming threshold of  $z > 3.1$  and a FWE corrected cluster  
845 significance level of  $p < 0.05$ .

846 **ii.** The upper panel shows the regions with increased functional connectivity  
847 with the right hippocampus for individuals with higher MTT, when each  
848 sample is analysed separately (top left and top middle), as well as their  
849 overlap (top right). The lower panel presents an axial slice of the overlap  
850 cluster and the two scatter plots from each sample that illustrate the average  
851 beta values extracted from this region plotted against the mental time travel  
852 scores for each participant. It is apparent that this relationship is consistent  
853 across both datasets. Maps were thresholded at the whole-brain level with a  
854 cluster-forming threshold of  $z > 2.3$  and a FWE corrected cluster significance  
855 level of  $p < 0.05$ .

856 **iii:** The relationship between the default mode network as defined in the  
857 Yeo et al. (2011) study and the group-level functional connectivity of the  
858 mental time travel conjunction cluster produced through the analysis of an  
859 independent dataset. Maps were thresholded at the whole-brain level with a  
860 cluster-forming threshold of  $z > 3.1$  and a FWE corrected cluster significance  
861 level of  $p < 0.05$ .

862 **Acronyms:** MTT - mental time travel, DMN - default mode network.

Table 1: Experience sampling questions used in this experiment.

Dimension	Question (My thoughts ...)	Left	Right
Task	... were focused on the task I was performing	Not at all	Completely
Future	... involved future events	Not at all	Completely
Past	... involved past events	Not at all	Completely
Self	... involved myself	Not at all	Completely
Other	... involved other people	Not at all	Completely
Emotion	The content of ... was	Negative	Positive
Images	... were in the form of images	Not at all	Completely
Words	... were in the form of words	Not at all	Completely
Intrusive	... were intrusive	Not at all	Completely
Detail	... were vague and non-specific	Not at all	Completely

Table 2: Clusters showing a significant association between the MTT weights and the FA of the whole-brain, corrected for multiple comparisons with a family-wise error rate of  $p < 0.05$ .

Cluster size (voxels)	Cluster centre of gravity X,Y,Z (mm)
481	25, -18, 9
172	32, -22, -5
8	27, -31, -3