Energy Research Institute

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

51st UKELG meeting, 1st April 2014

The influence of obstacle separation distance on explosion severity. Is our design database conservative enough?

Phylaktou, H.N., Na'inna, A.M. and Andrews, G.E.
Energy Research Institute
University of Leeds, UK

Turbulent explosion enhancement as a gas dynamic feed-back loop



Much work has been done with multi- obstacles investigating this mechanism to understand

- increased explosion severity in congested areas
- transition to detonation

Limited work on the effect of **obstacle separation distance**

Cold flow turbulence

Baines and Peterson (1951)



$(u'/U)_{max} = 0.225\ K^{0.5}$

Phylaktou & Andrews (1994)

Position of maximum cold flow turbulence



Explosion & Detonation studies with variable obstacle spacing



Objectives

To systematically vary the obstacle separation distance in gas explosions in order to

- identify the worst case interaction distance and
- relate this to the cold flow turbulence generation and decay profile.
- Relate findings to other explosion studies and explosion safety

Experimental – test rig



162 mm i.d., 8x0.5m + 1 x 0.25m sections, V_t=0.092m^3

Experimental – obstacles

Hole grid plates

Flat bars

Results – General - Pressure



Results – General – Flame speed



Flame speed generated pressure



Pressure development with separation distance



Maximum overpressure and flame speed as a function of dimensionless separation distance



Comparison with Cold Flow Turbulence



Effect of blockage ratio



Optimum separation distance compared to position of maximum cold flow turbulence






CH_4 10% by vol.
1-hole obstacles
Moen *et al.* (1982)
Five orifice plates
spaced at 2 m
50 m^3 tube
Present work
Two orifice plates
spaced at x_{max}
Maximum overpressure, P_{max} (bar)
Obstacle blockage ratio, BR (-)
4.5
4
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0
0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6

Effect of obstacle scale, (flat-bars)




Baines and Peterson (1951)
22% BR
1 flat-bar
2 flat-bar
4 flat-bar
CH4 10% by vol.
Double obstacle , 0.2 BR
Intensity of turbulence, u'/U (-)
Maximum overpressure, Pmax (bar)
Dimensionless distance, x/b (-)
0.12
0.1
0.08
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
1.4
1.2
1.0
0.8
0.6
0
40
80
120
160

Explosion & Detonation studies with variable obstacle spacing



Harrison & Eyre (1987)



Conclusions

Importance of the obstacle separation distance in a simple double obstacle configuration clearly demonstrated.

- Profile of influence of separation distance consistent with cold flow turbulence profile
  - Position of maximum effect shifted further downstream in the explosion tests aproximately by a factor of 3. This may be dependent on freedom of expansion directions
- Characteristic obstacle scale shown to be an appropriate scaling parameter.
- In practical applications the worst case separation distance needs to be avoided and in designing suitable experiments the worst case has to be incorporated.
- The results would suggest that in many previous studies of repeated obstacles the separation distance investigated may not have included the worst case set up, and therefore existing explosion protection guidelines may not account for worst case scenarios.
- Findings also have application in the critical separation distance between congested areas.

Fuel type	**Conc.(v/v)**	∅	**S_L**	**E**	**Le**	**Ma**
(-)	(%)	(-)	(m/s)	(-)	(-)	(-)
CH_4	10	1.06	0.45	7.49	1.0	3.5
CH_4	7	0.72	0.24	6.26	1.0	-0.2
C_3H_8	4.5	1.12	0.53	8.10	0.8	2.6
C_3H_8	3	0.74	0.25	6.37	1.8	6.0
C_2H_4	4.3	0.65	0.30	5.82	1.3	3.0
H_2	18	0.52	0.97	5.09	0.5	-0.8
H_2	15	0.42	0.41	4.65	0.7	-1.2


44% BR grid plate
Baines and Peterson
(1951)
4.5% C_3H_8
Double obstacle
0.3 BR, 1-hole
22% BR grid plate
Baines and Peterson
(1951)
15% H_2
10% CH_4
4.3% C_2H_4
7% CH_4
3% C_3H_8
Intensity of Turbulence, u'/U (-)
Max. Overpressure, P_{max}(bar)
Dimensionless distance, x/b (-)
1
0.1
0.01
9.5
9.0
8.5
8.0
7.5
7.0
4
3
2
1
0
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140