

This is a repository copy of *Corrections: The disagreeable behaviour of the kappa statistic.*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/109074/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Flight, L. orcid.org/0000-0002-9569-8290 and Julious, S.A. (2017) Corrections: The disagreeable behaviour of the kappa statistic. Pharmaceutical Statistics, 16 (1). p. 95. ISSN 1539-1604

https://doi.org/10.1002/pst.1795

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Flight, L., and Julious, S. A. (2016) Corrections: The disagreeable behaviour of the kappa statistic. Pharmaceutical Statistics, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/pst.1795. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Corrections: The disagreeable behaviour of the kappa statistic

Laura Flight and Steven A Julious

Ref: Pharmaceut Statist 2015, 14, 74-78

A number of typographic issues have been drawn to our attention which we would like to raise as an erratrum . In Section 5.1 on p76 in the second column a value for κ =0.038 should be 0.036 while P_e=0.360 should be 0.670. In the same Section on p77 in the first column κ =0.189 should be 0.199. While again in the same section on p77 in the second column κ =0.391 should be 0.305