



This is a repository copy of *Rational equivariant cohomology theories with toral support*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/109066/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Greenlees, J. orcid.org/0000-0002-9855-3337 (2016) Rational equivariant cohomology theories with toral support. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 16. pp. 1953-2019. ISSN 1472-2747

<https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2016.16.1953>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Rational equivariant cohomology theories with toral support

J.P.C.GREENLEES

For an arbitrary compact Lie group G , we describe a model for rational G -spectra with toral geometric isotropy and show that there is a convergent Adams spectral sequence based on it. The contribution from geometric isotropy at a subgroup K of the maximal torus of G is captured by a module over $H^*(BW_G^e(K))$ with an action of $\pi_0(W_G(K))$, where $W_G^e(K)$ is the identity component of $W_G(K) = N_G(K)/K$.

1 Introduction

1.A Main result

For any compact Lie group G , rational G -equivariant cohomology theories are represented by rational G -spectra. Furthermore, the category of G -equivariant cohomology theories is the homotopy category of the category of rational G -spectra. This category breaks up into mutually orthogonal parts, the most important of which is the toral part: the cohomology theories are those with toral support, and the G -spectra are those whose geometric isotropy is a set of subgroups of the maximal torus \mathbb{T} .

In this paper we provide an effective method for calculating with toral G -spectra. More precisely, we construct an abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ of injective dimension equal to the rank of G and a homology functor

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} : G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}),$$

so that (Theorem 12.1) there is an Adams spectral sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}^{*,*}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X), \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y)) \Rightarrow [X, Y]_*^G$$

convergent for arbitrary rational toral G -spectra X and Y . The special cases when G is a torus, $O(2)$ and $SO(3)$ follow from earlier work [8, 7, 9].

In all cases, the model is assembled from data at individual subgroups K . The contribution from K comes from the geometric K -fixed point spectrum; this spectrum has an action of the Weyl group $W_G(K) = N_G(K)/K$, with identity component $W_G^e(K)$ and discrete quotient $W_G^d(K) = \pi_0(W_G(K))$. When the spectrum is finite the piece of data amounts to taking the $W_G^e(K)$ -equivariant Borel cohomology of its dual and viewing it as a module over $H^*(BW_G^e(K))$ with an action of $W_G^d(K)$ (see Proposition 11.5 for a complete statement).

1.B Background

If G is a compact Lie group, the author has conjectured [11] that one may describe the homotopy theory of rational G -spectra in algebraic terms. There are now a good number of examples where this has been proved, including finite groups, tori [21], $O(2)$ [1, 2, 3] and $SO(3)$ [22]. The results show that there is a Quillen equivalence between the category of rational G -spectra and differential graded objects in a certain abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

The category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ takes the form of a category of sheaves of modules over a sheaf of rings on the space of closed subgroups of G : the stalk over a subgroup H captures the geometric isotropy information at H . Many of the most interesting cohomology theories (including K -theory and elliptic cohomology) have the property that the geometric isotropy comes entirely from subgroups of the maximal torus. For example, it is apparent from the groups $O(2)$ and $SO(3)$ that the part of the model corresponding to isotropy in the maximal torus \mathbb{T} is the most significant and interesting part. The present paper is about this toral part for an arbitrary compact Lie group G .

To be more precise, the endomorphism ring of the rational sphere spectrum (the rational Burnside ring $A(G)$) acts on the category of G -spectra, and in fact it consists of the equivariant sections of the constant sheaf \mathbb{Q} over the space $\mathcal{F}G$ of subgroups of finite index in their normalizer. This means that $A(G) = C_G(\mathcal{F}G, \mathbb{Q})$ is the ring of equivariant continuous functions, where $\mathcal{F}G$ has the Hausdorff metric topology. Any open, closed, G -invariant subset S of $\mathcal{F}G$ specifies an idempotent $e_S \in A(G)$, and the category of rational G -spectra and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ both split into two pieces corresponding to the decomposition $1 = e_S + e_{S^c}$. The part corresponding to e_S consists of spectra whose geometric isotropy consists of subgroups L cotoral in elements of S (i.e., L is normal in a subgroup K in S with K/L a torus). In particular, we may take S to consist of the single conjugacy class (\mathbb{T}) of maximal tori, and consider the category

$$\text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} := e_{(\mathbb{T})} [G\text{-spectra}/\mathbb{Q}]$$

consisting of G -spectra whose geometric isotropy lies inside a maximal torus.

In general we may break up the category of rational G -spectra by choosing a finite orthogonal decomposition of the unit of $A(G)$. When G is a torus, $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is indecomposable and $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. For $G = O(2)$ the category breaks up into the toral (or cyclic) part $\mathcal{A}(O(2), \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(SO(2))[W]$ as described here, and a piece corresponding to dihedral groups which is simply a graded equivariant sheaf over a compact totally disconnected space with $O(2)$ as an accumulation point [7, 1, 2, 3]. For $G = SO(3)$ the category again breaks up into the toral (or cyclic) part $\mathcal{A}(SO(3), \text{toral})$ as described here, and a piece which is simply a graded equivariant sheaf [9, 22]; the graded sheaf piece also breaks up into a piece corresponding to dihedral groups (of order 4 or more) which have $O(2)$ as an accumulation point, and a piece for a number of isolated exceptional subgroups (tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral). One should not expect that the non-toral part is always a plain graded sheaf; for example, if G is the product of a circle T and a group of order 2, $\mathcal{A}(G)$ splits into a the toral part $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ (as here) and a second part (corresponding to subgroups not inside the maximal torus) which is similar in character to $\mathcal{A}(T)$. The category of toral chains described in [6] gives an indication of the expected pattern in general.

1.C Restriction to the maximal torus

In a pattern familiar from elsewhere in the theory of transformation groups, we will prove that restricting from G -spectra to \mathbb{T} -spectra is faithful on the homotopy category of toral G -spectra provided we remember the action of the Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G$. This suggests that the putative algebraic model $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) = e_{(\mathbb{T})}\mathcal{A}(G)$ for toral G -spectra could be described in terms of the category $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ defined in [12]. This idea will lead us to the construction of a category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ and a homology theory on G -spectra with values in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. We will show that this is a good invariant in the sense that it is calculable and gives a convergent Adams spectral sequence for maps between toral spectra.

Consideration of the torus-normalizer $\mathbb{N} = N_G(\mathbb{T})$ is central to the analysis. The general theory simplifies for \mathbb{N} , since the identity component is itself the maximal torus, and we find $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ (the category of $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant objects of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ in a sense made precise below). We show that restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{N} -spectra is full and faithful on homotopy categories. In summary, we construct a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G} & \text{toral-}\mathbb{N}\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{N}}} & \mathbb{T}\text{-spectra} \\
 \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} \downarrow & & \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})} \downarrow & & \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})} \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}) \\
 & & \parallel & & \\
 & & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] & &
 \end{array}$$

with convergent Adams spectral sequences based on each of the vertical homology functors. Taken together with the examples mentioned above, this is strong evidence for the conjecture that the category of toral G -spectra is Quillen equivalent to the category of differential graded objects in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$.

1.D The form of the model of toral G -spectra

As suggested by the known examples, we expect the stalk of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ over a subgroup K to be a module over $H^*(BW_G^e K)$, where $W_G^e(K)$ is the identity component of the Weyl group $W_G K = N_G K / K$, and we expect an action of the discrete quotient $W_G^d K = \pi_0(W_G K)$. More precisely, we expect a module over the twisted group ring

$$\mathbb{R}_{fw}^G(K) = H^*(BW_G^e K)[W_G^d K].$$

Understanding the restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{N} -spectra involves some rather interesting pieces of invariant theory.

The relationship between the stalks is given by the localization theorem for cotoral inclusions. If $G = \mathbb{T}$ is a torus and L is cotoral in K then we have a group homomorphism $W_G L = \mathbb{T}/L \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}/K = W_G K$ which forms the basis of this. For a general group G we cannot expect a map $N_G L \longrightarrow N_G K$ (consider $L = 1$), so we think of rings and modules associated to cotoral *flags* of subgroups, and this restores functoriality. We recall how this works for tori in the next subsection.

1.E The model for \mathbb{T} -spectra

We sketch the construction of the model $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ for rational \mathbb{T} -spectra, referring to [14] for fuller details (the model described here is the (a, f) -model, based on flags involving **all** closed subgroups). The starting point of the discussion is the poset Σ_a consisting of **all** closed subgroups. The partial order is given by cotoral inclusion, so that $K \supseteq L$ if L is a subgroup of K and K/L is a torus. We then consider the poset $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$ consisting of flags $(K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$ in Σ_a . We may define a Σ_a -diagram \mathbb{R}_a of rings by

$$\mathbb{R}_a(K) := H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K).$$

If $K \supseteq L$ then the projection map $\mathbb{T}/K \leftarrow \mathbb{T}/L$ induces the inflation map $\mathbb{R}_a(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_a(L)$, making \mathbb{R}_a into a contravariant functor on Σ_a .

Using Euler classes, we may form a $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$ -diagram of rings. Since the values on flags of length 0 agree with those of \mathbb{R}_a , we continue to use \mathbb{R}_a for the functor on flags. First, if $K \supseteq L$ we may consider the set

$$\mathcal{E}_{K/L} := \{e(W) \mid W \in \text{Rep}(\mathbb{T}/L), W^K = 0\}$$

of Euler classes of K -essential representations of \mathbb{T}/L . Here $e(W) \in H^{|\mathbb{W}|}(B\mathbb{T}/L)$ is the Euler class of W . Now we may define the flag diagram \mathbb{R}_a by

$$\mathbb{R}_a(K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s) := \mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s}^{-1} H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K_s).$$

We note that this only depends on the first and last term in the flag and it is a *covariant* functor on $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$. It is also important to note that if $K \supset L$ the values at K and L are not linked directly in $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$, but rather through the zig-zag induced by the inclusions $(K) \rightarrow (K \supset L) \leftarrow (L)$.

The category $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ is a category of modules M over the $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$ -diagram \mathbb{R}_a : thus M is a diagram of abelian groups so that if $E \subseteq F$, the map $M(E) \rightarrow M(F)$ is a map of modules over $\mathbb{R}_a(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_a(F)$. The modules in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ are required to be quasicohherent (*qc*), extended (*e*) and \mathcal{F} -continuous. A module is *quasi-coherent* if the value is determined by the last term in the flag by extensions of scalars: if $F = (K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$ then the inclusion $(K_s) \rightarrow F$ induces an isomorphism

$$M(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(K_s)} M(K_s).$$

A module is *extended* if the value is determined by the first term in the flag by extensions of scalars: if $F = (K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$ then the inclusion $(K_0) \rightarrow F$ induces an isomorphism

$$M(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(K_0)} M(K_0).$$

The \mathcal{F} -continuity condition is a finiteness condition described in Subsection 6.E below.

Since the values of both \mathbb{R}_a and M are determined by the first and last term in any flag we will sometimes simplify the notation by giving the value only on cotoral pairs $(K \supset L)$. The point of defining \mathbb{R}_a on flags is to give functoriality and control automorphisms.

Our approach to constructing $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ is to take into account the action of the Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G = N_G(\mathbb{T})/\mathbb{T}$ on the poset $\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ of all subgroups of the maximal torus. Indeed, $\mathbb{W}G$ acts on the diagram \mathbb{R}_a of polynomial rings, and it turns out that by descent this gives us the model $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. We develop the appropriate machinery, and finally give the definition in Subsection 6.F

1.F Layout of the paper

The paper is divided into two parts. The first (“Algebra”) develops the algebraic framework and second (“Topology”) applies it to calculations with G -spectra.

In Section 2 we introduce notation from the theory of compact Lie groups and make some elementary observations, and in Section 3 we recall facts about the cohomology of classifying spaces of compact Lie groups.

We then spend several sections developing machinery to discuss categories of modules over a diagram of rings on which a finite group acts. In Section 4 the categorical setup is described and in Section 5 this is specialised to categories of modules over an equivariant diagram of rings and the fundamental descent adjunction is proved. Finally, Section 6 specializes to the example arising from compact Lie groups, and gives the definition of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. The fact that the descent adjunction respects quasi-coherent extended modules contains information on which \mathbb{N} -equivariant objects are restrictions of G -equivariant objects; this is surprisingly subtle and treated in Section 7. As a first step towards homotopy theory, we then consider the homological algebra of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$, identifying enough injectives and showing its injective dimension is equal to the rank of G .

We then turn to topology. The fundamental result proved in Section 9 is that toral phenomena are detected on restriction to the maximal torus. In preparation for work on the Adams spectral sequence we then reformulate some well known properties of Borel cohomology in Section 10; this is the route by which the classical theory of characteristic classes of principal bundles enters the model.

Section 11 explains the relationship between $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ and thereby allows us to construct the functor $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}$ from G -spectra to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. This is then used in Section 12 to construct the Adams spectral sequence, with the hard work deferred to Section 13 where enough injectives are realized, and Section 14 where it is shown that maps into the resulting spectra are detected in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. The Adams spectral sequence lets one calculate maps, and this is complemented in Section 15 by a proof that the functor $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}$ is essentially surjective: all objects of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ do occur as $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X)$ for a toral G -spectrum X . Finally, Section 16 explains how restriction, induction and coinduction are reflected at the level of algebraic models.

1.G Conventions

All groups will be compact Lie groups, and if connectedness is required this will be stated explicitly. All subgroups will be required to be closed. Generally, containment of subgroups follows the alphabet, as in $G \supseteq H$.

Cohomology is unreduced unless indicated, and always has rational coefficients.

Part I

Algebra

2 Weyl groups

The algebraic input to our results is the classical structure and representation theory of compact Lie groups. Although this is well-known, the recollection of standard facts gives an opportunity to introduce notation. Readers have found the list of standard notation in Subsection 2.C valuable. We recommend consideration of the rotation group $G = SO(3)$ as an example to illustrate results.

2.A Two types of Weyl groups

For a compact Lie group G we write G_e for its identity component and $G_d = G/G_e = \pi_0(G)$ for the discrete quotient. A closed subgroup K of G has normalizer $NK = N_G K$, and Weyl group $WK = W_G K = N_G K/K$. More generally given a flag $F = (K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$ of subgroups of G we write

$$N_G(F) = N_G(K_0) \cap \cdots \cap N_G(K_s)$$

for the subgroup normalizing all terms in the flag and

$$W_G(F) = N_G(F)/K_s$$

for its Weyl group.

Moving on to the theory of compact Lie groups, we write $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}G$ for the maximal torus of G , $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}G = N_G(\mathbb{T})$ for its normalizer. The Weyl group $W_G(\mathbb{T}) = N_G(\mathbb{T})/\mathbb{T}$ of the maximal torus is a finite group that plays a central role in the theory, so we use the notation

$$\mathbb{W}G = W_G \mathbb{T}.$$

Since $W_G(G) = 1$, there is little danger of confusion as long as the reader bears in mind there are two meanings of the phrase ‘Weyl group’.

For most of this paper we will suppose K is a subgroup of \mathbb{T} , so that $\mathbb{T} \subseteq N_G K$.

2.B Weyl groups of Weyl groups

We will need to consider WK as a Lie group in its own right, with maximal torus $\mathbb{T}WK$ and Weyl group $\mathbb{W}WK = W_{WK}(\mathbb{T}WK) = N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}WK)/\mathbb{T}WK$. We may simplify this notation slightly.

Lemma 2.1 *The maximal torus of WK is given by $\mathbb{T}WK = \mathbb{T}/K$.*

Proof: Certainly \mathbb{T}/K is a torus in WK . If there were a bigger one it would have the form T'/K for some subgroup T' of NK containing \mathbb{T} . Then we would have a chain $T' \supseteq \mathbb{T} \supseteq K$. The group T'/\mathbb{T} is a quotient of the torus T'/K and hence is itself a torus. Thus T' is itself a torus; by maximality of \mathbb{T} we have $\mathbb{T} = T'$. \square

Lemma 2.2 *The normalizer of the maximal torus of WK is given by*

$$N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}WK) = N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}/K) = N_G(\mathbb{T} \supseteq K)/K = (N_G\mathbb{T} \cap N_GK)/K.$$

It follows that the toral Weyl group of W_GK ,

$$\mathbb{W}W_GK = (N_G\mathbb{T} \cap N_GK)/\mathbb{T} \subseteq N_G\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{W}G$$

is the subgroup of $\mathbb{W}G$ normalizing K . With the usual notation for the isotropy group of the action of $\mathbb{W}G$ on the set of subgroups of \mathbb{T} we have

$$\mathbb{W}(W_GK) = (\mathbb{W}G)_K.$$

Proof: The first equality is the previous lemma. Now any element g of G normalizing $\mathbb{T} \supseteq K$ is in NK and hence defines an element gK of WK . We then have $(gK)(tK)(gK)^{-1} = gtg^{-1}K$. This is in \mathbb{T} by hypothesis, and hence we have a homomorphism

$$N_G(\mathbb{T} \supseteq K) \longrightarrow N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}/K).$$

Evidently K is in the kernel, and since $N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}/K) \subseteq WK$ we have a monomorphism

$$N_G(\mathbb{T} \supseteq K)/K \longrightarrow N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}/K).$$

Now suppose $gK \in WK$ normalizes \mathbb{T}/K , which is to say that for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\mathbb{T}/K \ni (gK)(tK)(gK)^{-1} = gtg^{-1}K$$

It follows that $gtg^{-1} \in \mathbb{T}$ and g normalizes \mathbb{T} . □

2.C Summary of Notation

Associated to a subgroup K of \mathbb{T} we have

- G , the ambient compact Lie group
- G_e the identity component of G
- $G_d = G/G_e = \pi_0(G)$ the group of components of G
- $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}G$ the maximal torus of G
- $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}G = N_G(\mathbb{T})$
- $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}G = \mathbb{N}G/\mathbb{T}G$ the toral Weyl group of G
- K a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{T}G$
- $NK = N_GK$
- $WK = W_GK$; with identity component $W^eK = W_G^eK = (W_GK)_e$ and component group $W^dK = W_G^dK = (W_GK)_d$
- $\mathbb{T}WK = \mathbb{T}/K$
- $\mathbb{N}WK = N_{WK}(\mathbb{T}WK) = (N\mathbb{T} \cap NK)/K$
- $\mathbb{W}WK = (N\mathbb{T} \cap NK)/\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{W}G)_K$ acting on $\mathbb{T}WK = \mathbb{T}/K$

3 Cohomology of classifying spaces of compact Lie groups

The relationship between the rational cohomology of classifying spaces of G , \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{T} proved by Borel is fundamental to our entire analysis.

3.A Cohomology of classifying spaces and free spectra

Borel's calculation of the rational cohomology of classifying spaces is as follows.

Lemma 3.1 (Borel) *For a compact Lie group G with maximal torus \mathbb{T} , $\mathbb{N} = N_G(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathbb{W} = N_G(\mathbb{T})/\mathbb{T}$ we have*

$$H^*(BG) = H^*(B\mathbb{N}) = H^*(B\mathbb{T})^{\mathbb{W}}. \quad \square$$

We may apply this to Weyl groups to see

$$H^*(BWK) = H^*(B\mathbb{N}WK) = H^*(B\mathbb{T}WK)^{\mathbb{W}WK} = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)^{\mathbb{W}WK}.$$

Cohomology of classifying spaces plays a fundamental role in equivariant stable homotopy theory.

Theorem 3.2 (Greenlees-Shiplay [20]) *The category of free rational $W_G K$ -spectra is Quillen equivalent to the category of torsion modules over the twisted group ring*

$$H^*(BW_G^e K)[W_G^d K] = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e K}[W_G^d K]. \quad \square$$

This embodies the role of the cohomology of classifying spaces in modelling rational stable equivariant homotopy theory.

3.B Identity components 1

The maximal torus only depends on the identity component of a group, so $\mathbb{T}(G_e) = \mathbb{T}G$.

Lemma 3.3 *There are short exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow N_{G_e}\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow N_G\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow G_d \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{W}G_e \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}G \longrightarrow G_d \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: G_e acts transitively on maximal tori. So for any $\gamma \in G_d$ represented by $\tilde{\gamma} \in G$ there is an $x \in G_e$ with $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{T}^{\tilde{\gamma}})^x$ and $\tilde{\gamma}x \in N_G(\mathbb{T})$. Since $N_{G_e}(\mathbb{T}) = N_G(\mathbb{T}) \cap G_e$, this gives the exact sequence. \square

This fits well with the following picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G = G_e \cdot G_d & H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(BG_e)^{G_d} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ N_G\mathbb{T} = N_{G_e}\mathbb{T} \cdot G_d & H^*(BN_G\mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(BN_{G_e}\mathbb{T})^{G_d} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ W_G\mathbb{T} = W_{G_e}\mathbb{T} \cdot G_d & H^*(B\mathbb{T})^{\mathbb{W}G} \xrightarrow{\cong} & (H^*(B\mathbb{T})^{\mathbb{W}G_e})^{G_d} \end{array}$$

3.C Identity components 2

We note that \mathbb{N} acts on the set subgroups of \mathbb{T} by conjugation, and that this passes to an action of \mathbb{W} . Recall that

$$\mathbb{W}W_GK = (N_GK \cap \mathbb{N})/\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{W}G)_K.$$

Lemma 3.4 *There is a short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}(W_G^eK) \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}(W_GK) \longrightarrow W_G^dK \longrightarrow 1.$$

Under the identification $\mathbb{W}(W_GK) = (\mathbb{W}G)_K$, the subgroup $\mathbb{W}(W_G^eK)$ corresponds to the set of elements of the toral Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G$ represented by the identity component of $N_G(K)$.

$$(K \cdot (N_GK)_e \cap \mathbb{N})/\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{W}(W_G^eK)$$

Remark 3.5 The Weyl group $\mathbb{W}W_G^eK$ can be very small or very large. At one extreme, if $K = \mathbb{T}$ it is trivial. At the other, if $K = 1$ then $N_G(K) = G$ and if G is connected we obtain the entire Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G$.

Proof: The short exact sequence is obtained by applying Lemma 3.3 to WK . Now note $\mathbb{W}(W_G^eK) = N_{W_G^eK}(\mathbb{T}/K)/(\mathbb{T}/K)$ and $W_G^eK = K \cdot (N_GK)_e/K$. \square

4 Equivariant diagrams

We are going to discuss diagrams of rings and modules with group action. The basic examples arise from the algebraic models of rational \mathbb{T} -spectra [14], recalled briefly in Subsection 1.E. The diagram shapes Σ come from the set $\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ of all closed subgroups of \mathbb{T} under cotoral inclusion (in the present context, simply inclusions with connected quotient). One such poset Σ is Σ_a itself, but we also need to consider the poset $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$ of flags in Σ_a . Accordingly, we discuss the relevant structures with Σ unspecified, which has the added benefit of clarifying the structure.

4.A Diagrams with an action

We need to consider the general setup of a group W acting on the right of a poset Σ . We want a notion of equivariant Σ -diagrams in a category \mathbb{C} . We start by considering the functor category \mathbb{C}^Σ . This admits an action of W , where the image of a functor $F : \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ under $w \in W$ is the functor w_*F defined by

$$(w_*F)(\sigma) := F(\sigma^w).$$

One quickly verifies $v_*w_*F = (vw)_*F$ and $e_*F = F$.

An equivariant diagram is then a diagram F with additional structure. We specify an action by W on F by giving maps

$$w_m : F \longrightarrow w_*F$$

with $e_m = 1$ and $v_m w_m = (vw)_m$. It is more flexible to give an alternative point of view in which an equivariant Σ -diagram is just a diagram of a more complicated shape.

4.B Orbifold posets

We want to consider a class of categories A that are based on a poset Σ , but with automorphisms added.

Definition 4.1 A Σ -orbifold is a category A with the same objects as Σ equipped with functors $\Sigma \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Sigma$ which are the identity on objects so that

- (1) A has finitely many morphisms between any two objects,
- (2) the morphisms of A are generated by those of Σ together with the automorphisms, and
- (3) every endomorphism in A is an isomorphism

The *trivial* Σ -orbifold associated to the finite group W is $A = \Sigma \times W$ with structure maps coming from $1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1$.

4.C The transport category

Starting with a poset Σ with a right action of a finite group W , we may form the transport category $\Sigma \rtimes W$. This is a Σ -orbifold with morphism set $\Sigma \times W$ and structure maps induced by $1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1$. In giving formulae for composition we are following through the convention that the action of W is on the right, so that functions also operate on the right.

If $i : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $v \in W$ the morphism (i, v) has domain σ and codomain τ^v . The composite of (i, v) and (j, w) where $j : \tau^v \rightarrow \phi^v$ is given by the formula

$$(i, v)(j, w) = (ij^{v^{-1}}, vw).$$

Note that (i, v) is the composite $\sigma \xrightarrow{i} \tau \xrightarrow{v} \tau^v$. Since W acts on Σ as a poset, we may find a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau & \xrightarrow{v} & \tau^v \\ i \uparrow & & \uparrow i^v \\ \sigma & \xrightarrow{v} & \tau^v \end{array}$$

and

$$iv = (i, v) = vi^v.$$

In particular the self-maps of σ as an object of $\Sigma \rtimes W$ is the isotropy group W_σ .

It is clear we can repackage the notion of a W -equivariant diagram in terms of $\Sigma \rtimes W$.

Lemma 4.2 . The category of W -equivariant Σ -diagrams in \mathbb{C} is equivalent to the category of functors $\Sigma \rtimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof Equivariant diagrams $(F, \{w_m\}_{w \in W})$ are related to functors $F' : \Sigma \rtimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by taking $F'(\sigma) = F(\sigma)$ and $F'(w) = w_m$. □

4.D Component structures

The purpose of the formulation in terms of the transport category $\Sigma \rtimes W$ is to let us to capture the behaviour of the identity components of Weyl groups.

Definition 4.3 A *component structure* on $\Sigma \rtimes W$ is a sub- Σ -orbifold W_\bullet^e . Given a component structure, the endomorphism object of σ is written W_σ^e .

A component structure is *normal* if W_σ^e is normal in W_σ .

Lemma 4.4 If the component structure is normal the discrete residual is the Σ -orbifold W_\bullet^d with a sequence maps

$$W_\bullet^e \longrightarrow \Sigma \rtimes W \longrightarrow W_\bullet^d$$

of Σ orbifolds which is exact in the sense that it defines an isomorphism $W_\sigma^d \cong W_\sigma / W_\sigma^e$.

Proof: The morphisms in W_\bullet^d are pairs $(i, [v])$ where $i : \sigma \longrightarrow \tau$ and $[v]$ is the equivalence class of $v \in W$ under precomposition by W_τ^e and postcomposition by $W_{\tau v}^e$. The composition is induced from the composition of $\Sigma \rtimes W$. The normality condition enables one to check that this is well defined. \square

Example 4.5 (i) For any W we may define the *connected* component structure by $W_\sigma^e = W_\sigma$ giving $W_\sigma^d = 1$.

(ii) For any W we may define the *discrete* component structure by $W_\sigma^e = 1$ giving $W_\sigma^d = W_\sigma$.

We devote a separate subsection to the motivating example that will concern us for most of the paper.

4.E The compact Lie group component structure

The motivating example comes from a compact Lie group G . We take $\Sigma = \Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ and the Weyl group $W = \mathbb{W}G$ acts by conjugation in the usual way.

The component structure corresponds to the identity components of the Weyl groups

$$W_K^e = (\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = \mathbb{W}W_G^e K$$

Accordingly, by Lemma 3.3, the discrete residual is

$$W_K^d = (\mathbb{W}G)_K^d = W_G^d K.$$

Example 4.6 If the identity component of G is the maximal torus \mathbb{T} , so that $G = \mathbb{N}$ we have $W_G^e K = \mathbb{T}/K$ which has trivial toral Weyl group, and the component structure is the discrete component structure

$$(\mathbb{W}\mathbb{N})_K^e = 1 \text{ and } (\mathbb{W}\mathbb{N})_K^d = (\mathbb{W}\mathbb{N})_K.$$

Example 4.7 If $G = SO(3)$ we have $\mathbb{T} = SO(2)$, $\mathbb{N} = O(2)$ and $\mathbb{W} = C_2$. The subgroups of \mathbb{T} are the cyclic subgroups C_n of finite order n , and \mathbb{T} itself. All these subgroups are characteristic and hence

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K = \mathbb{W}G \text{ for all } K \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

The trivial subgroup C_1 has normalizer G and Weyl group $W_G(C_1) = G$. The other subgroups of \mathbb{T} have normalizer \mathbb{N} . Thus the finite subgroups have Weyl group isomorphic to $O(2)$ and \mathbb{T} has Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G$. The associated component structure thus has

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = \begin{cases} \mathbb{W}G & \text{if } K = C_1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and discrete residual

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K^d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } K = C_1 \\ \mathbb{W}G & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example 4.8 The group $G = SU(3)$ has maximal torus \mathbb{T} of rank 2 consisting of diagonal matrices. There are three (conjugate) subgroups isomorphic to $SU(2)$ which fix the first, second or third complex coordinate. The Weyl group is the symmetric group of degree 3 generated by the three corresponding reflections.

We have

$$1 = (\mathbb{W}G)_{\mathbb{T}}^e \subset (\mathbb{W}G)_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{W}G.$$

For subgroups $K \subset \mathbb{T}$ of dimension 1, we consider the identity component K_e . If it is one of the three circles fixed by the three reflections in $\mathbb{W}G$ then the normalizer contains the corresponding $SU(2)$ and

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = (\mathbb{W}G)_K = \mathbb{W}SU(2).$$

If K_e is another circle then

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = (\mathbb{W}G)_K = 1.$$

If $K = 1$ then $W_G(K) = G$ and

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = (\mathbb{W}G)_K = \mathbb{W}G.$$

This is enough to show the richness of the structure; the individual analysis necessary for the remaining cases can await applications.

5 Equivariant diagrams of rings and modules

We now specialise the discussion of Section 4 to the case when \mathbb{C} is the category of commutative rings with a view to establishing the descent adjunction (Proposition 5.9).

5.A Equivariant diagrams of rings

Our aim is to describe a descent theory, relating modules over an equivariant diagram of rings and modules over the fixed points under a component structure. We need to impose a restriction on a component structure for this to make sense.

Definition 5.1 We say that a component structure W_\bullet^e on the W -poset Σ is *decreasing* if $E \supseteq F$ implies $W_E^e \subseteq W_F^e$.

Example 5.2 (i) If G is a connected compact Lie group but not a torus then Σ_a with the Lie group component structure of Subsection 4.E is not decreasing: the subgroup $K = 1$ has $W_G(1) = G$, with non-trivial Weyl group $W_1^e = \mathbb{W}G$, whereas the subgroup $K = \mathbb{T}$ has discrete Weyl group $W_G(\mathbb{T})$, so that $W_{\mathbb{T}}^e = 1$.

(ii) If G is any compact Lie group then $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T}))$ with the Lie group component structure of Subsection 4.E is decreasing. This is immediate from the fact that

$$N_G(K_0 \supset \cdots \supset K_s) = N_G(K_0) \cap \cdots \cap N_G(K_s). \quad \square$$

The fact that flags give a decreasing structure whereas subgroups do not explains why we have changed notation for the objects of our poset.

Lemma 5.3 Given a W -equivariant Σ -diagram of rings with a decreasing component structure W_\bullet^e , the definition

$$R_{inv}(F) = R(F)^{W_F^e}$$

gives a Σ -diagram of rings.

If the component structure is normal, R_{inv} defines a W_\bullet^d -diagram of rings.

Proof: A map $i : E \rightarrow F$ induces a map $R(i) : R(E) \rightarrow R(F)$ and we need to show this induces a map for R_{inv} , namely

$$R_{inv}(E) = R(E)^{W_E^e} \rightarrow R(F)^{W_F^e} = R_{inv}(F)$$

The original map $R(i)$ is equivariant for the inclusion W_F^e . Since the component structure is decreasing, any W_E^e -invariant element of $R(E)$ is W_F^e invariant, and so maps to a W_F^e -invariant element of $R(F)$, and hence $R_{inv}(i)$ is the composite of $R(i)^{W_E^e}$ and inclusion.

To see this induces a map on the entire diagram W_\bullet^d , we need only observe that the original structure maps only depend on double coset representatives. \square

An alternative language for describing the resulting structure is that of *twisted* group rings: if a discrete group Γ acts on a ring R , the twisted group ring $R[\Gamma]$ has an additive R -basis consisting of the group elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and multiplication is given by $(r\lambda)(s\gamma) = (rs^{\lambda^{-1}})(\lambda\gamma)$.

Lemma 5.4 The W_\bullet^d diagram R_{inv} defines the twisted invariant ring

$$R_{inv}(K) = R(K)^{W_K^e} [W_K^d],$$

and this defines a Σ -diagram of non-commutative rings.

Proof: Twisted group rings are defined precisely so that the action of the group W_E^d of endomorphisms of the object E are reflected in a ring acting on the value at E . Since all morphisms are generated by the poset maps and groups of self-isomorphisms, the twisted group rings give the entire structure. \square

5.B Equivariant diagrams of modules

The two formulations of W -equivariant diagrams of rings have counterparts for modules.

Definition 5.5 If R is a W -equivariant Σ -diagram of rings, a W -equivariant module is an R -module which is W -equivariant in the sense that $w_m(\lambda x) = w_m(\lambda)w_m(x)$ for $\lambda \in R$, $x \in M$ and $w \in W$.

Lemma 5.6 The category of W -equivariant R -modules is equivalent to the category of modules over the corresponding $\Sigma \rtimes W$ -diagram of rings.

Proof: Both Σ and $\Sigma \rtimes W$ have the same object set. The morphism $(i, \nu) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau^\nu$ is a composite of (i, e) and $(1, \nu)$. The latter corresponds to the structure map $\nu_m : M \rightarrow \nu_*M$. The conditions that the actions on rings and modules are compatible in the two cases correspond to each other. \square

Passing to coset representatives we obtain the result for R_{inv} -modules.

Lemma 5.7 The category of W_\bullet^d -diagrams of R_{inv} -modules is equivalent to the category of Σ -diagrams of modules over R_{inv} .

Proof: Since the conditions that the actions on rings and modules are compatible in the two cases correspond to each other, this follows by applying the comparison from Lemma 4.2 to modules. \square

5.C R -modules and R_{inv} -modules

A basic technique of equivariant topology is to relate modules over tori to modules over general groups by suitable descent theorems. We are now equipped to formulate and prove a fundamental adjunction which provides the abstract basis for reducing from G -equivariant data to \mathbb{T} -equivariant data.

Suppose that we have a decreasing component structure W_\bullet^e , and let $\theta : R_{inv} \rightarrow R$ be the map of Σ -diagrams defined by the inclusions $\theta(E) : R_{inv}(E) = R(E)^{W_E^e} \rightarrow R(E)$.

We define

$$\Psi = \Psi^{W_\bullet^e} : R[W]\text{-modules} \rightarrow R_{inv}\text{-modules}$$

by

$$(\Psi M)(E) := M(E)^{W_E^e}.$$

We note that $M(E)$ is an $R(E)$ module, and that passage to fixed points is lax symmetric monoidal, so that taking fixed points of the structure maps shows that $M(E)^{W_E^e}$ is an $R(E)^{W_E^e}$ -module. Furthermore, if $E \supset F$ then the structure map $M(F) \rightarrow M(E)$ induces

$$(\Psi M)(F) = M(F)^{W_F^e} \rightarrow M(E)^{W_E^e} = (\Psi M)(E)$$

since W_\bullet^e is decreasing.

In the other direction, we may define

$$\theta_* : R_{inv}\text{-modules} \rightarrow R\text{-modules}$$

by termwise extension of scalars:

$$(\theta_* N)(E) = R(E) \otimes_{R_{inv}(E)} N(E)$$

If $E \supset F$ we may define

$$(\theta_* N)(F) = R(F) \otimes_{R_{inv}(F)} N(F) \rightarrow R(E) \otimes_{R_{inv}(F)} N(E) \rightarrow R(E) \otimes_{R_{inv}(E)} N(E) = (\theta_* N)(E)$$

Remark 5.8 The functor θ_* is a version of extension of scalars for diagrams. On the other hand coextension of scalars does not give a functor of diagrams in general.

The key result is as follows.

Proposition 5.9 *If W_\bullet^e is a decreasing normal component structure, and R is a W -equivariant Σ diagram of rings, taking fixed points under a normal component structure W_\bullet^e gives a functor*

$$\Psi^{W_\bullet^e} : W\text{-equivariant-}R\text{-modules} \rightarrow R_{inv}\text{-modules.}$$

This has left adjoint θ_ given by termwise extension of scalars.*

Provided $|W|$ is invertible in R , the unit map

$$N(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} (R(E) \otimes_{R_{inv}(E)} N(E))^{W_E^e} = (\Psi \theta_* N)(E)$$

of the $\theta_ \vdash \Psi$ adjunction is an isomorphism.*

Proof: For the $\theta_* \vdash \Psi$ adjunction, note that objectwise we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R(E)^{W_E^e}}(N(E), M(E)^{W_E^e}) &= \text{Hom}_{R(E)^{W_E^e}}(N(E), M(E))^{W_E^e} \\ &= \text{Hom}_{R(E)}(R(E) \otimes_{R(E)^{W_E^e}} N(E), M(E))^{W_E^e} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\text{Hom}_{R_{inv}}(N, \Psi M) = \text{Hom}_R(N, M)^{W_\bullet^e}$$

as required.

The unit is an isomorphism since $N(E)$ and $R_{inv}(E)$ both have trivial W_E^e -action: one may take fixed points of the defining coequalizer, and use the fact that this exact since $|W|$ is invertible. \square

6 The algebraic model of toral G -spectra

We are now ready to specialize the general discussion to the example arising from compact Lie groups. We will describe $\mathcal{A}(N, \text{toral})$ and $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ using enrichments of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$. The starting point is $\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ together with its action of $\mathbb{W}G$. We will add a little decoration to indicate which part of the isotropy group of K is internal and which is external.

6.A Decorating the poset

We summarize the information we need about a subgroup K . These were discussed in detail in Sections 2 and 3

- $H^*(BW_G^e K)$
- $W_G^d K$
- the action of $W_G^d K$ on $H^*(BW_G^e K)$

Equivalently we need

- $(\mathbb{W}G)_K = (\mathbb{N} \cap NK)/\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{W}WK$
- The action of $(\mathbb{W}G)_K$ on \mathbb{T}/K
- The subgroup $(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e$ represented by elements of $(N_G(K))_e$

Recall from Lemma 2.2 that $(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e = \mathbb{W}(W_G^e K)$, and from Lemma 3.3 $(\mathbb{W}G)_K^d = W_G^d K$ so that the second and third pieces of information give

$$H^*(BW_G^e K) = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)^{\mathbb{W}(W_G^e K)}.$$

The quotient group $W_G^d K = WK/W_G^e K = (\mathbb{W}WK)/(\mathbb{W}(W_G^e K))$ then acts on the ring of invariants to give the twisted group ring.

Remark 6.1 We will also need this data with K replaced by a flag $E = (K_0 \supset \dots \supset K_s)$. This is closely analogous, once we define

$$N_G(E) = N_G(K_0) \cap \dots \cap N_G(K_s).$$

Thus $W_G(E) = N_G(E)/K_s$,

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_E = (\mathbb{N} \cap N_G(E))/\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{W}G)_{K_0} \cap \dots \cap (\mathbb{W}G)_{K_s}$$

and

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e = \mathbb{W}W_G^e(E).$$

It is clear that this again gives a normal component structure and

$$(\mathbb{W}G)_E^d = W_G^d(E).$$

6.B Structures from Lie groups

The basis of the model is the diagram \mathbb{R}_a of commutative rings defined on subgroups $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ by $\mathbb{R}_a(K) = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$. For modules M over \mathbb{R}_a there are numerous structures: $\mathbb{W}G$ acts on rings, on Euler classes and on modules. Here we lay out how the structures interact with the group action with a view to showing it gives examples of $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant diagrams in the sense of Section 4 above. At various times we will consider the poset Σ to be either the poset $\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ of closed subgroups of \mathbb{T} and cotoral inclusions or the poset $\text{flag}\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ of flags in $\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ under inclusion.

The action gives the following structure.

- Conjugation by an element $w \in \mathbb{W}G$ gives a group homomorphism $r_{w^{-1}} : K^w = w^{-1}Kw \rightarrow K$ and a map $\bar{r}_{w^{-1}} : \mathbb{T}/K^w \rightarrow \mathbb{T}/K$.
- The conjugation in the previous bullet point gives a ring homomorphism

$$w_m = (\bar{r}_{w^{-1}})^* : \mathbb{R}_a(K) = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \rightarrow H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K^w) = \mathbb{R}_a(K^w),$$

with $(vw)_m = v_m w_m$, $e_m = 1$.

If we define $w_*\mathbb{R}_a$ by $(w_*\mathbb{R}_a)(K) = \mathbb{R}_a(K^w)$, then we have a homomorphism of rings $w_m : \mathbb{R}_a \rightarrow w_*\mathbb{R}_a$. This composes by the rule $(vw)_m = v_m w_m$, $e_m = 1$, so that we have an equivariant Σ_a -diagram of rings in the sense of Sections 4 and 5.

- Pullback again gives a map $w_m : \text{Rep}(G/K) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G/K^w)$ on representations. If $U^H = 0$ then $(w_*U)^{H^w} = 0$.
- By the previous bullet point, given $K \supseteq L$ pullback along the element w maps Euler classes $\mathcal{E}_{H/K}$ to Euler classes \mathcal{E}_{H^w/K^w} .
- We may therefore define a new diagram $w_*\mathbb{R}_a$ of rings on the poset of flags by

$$(w_*\mathbb{R}_a)(K_0 \supset \cdots \supset K_s) = \mathbb{R}_a(K_0^w \supset \cdots \supset K_s^w)$$

and we have ring maps $w_m : \mathbb{R}_a \rightarrow w_*\mathbb{R}_a$ satisfying $(vw)_m = v_m w_m$, $e_m = 1$. We thus have a $\text{flag}\Sigma_a$ -diagram of rings in the sense of Sections 4 and 5.

- Given a module M over \mathbb{R}_a we may define a module w_*M over $w_*\mathbb{R}_a$ by

$$(w_*M)(K_0 \supset \cdots \supset K_s) = M(K_0^w \supset \cdots \supset K_s^w).$$

An equivariant module is given by module maps $w_m : M \rightarrow w_*M$ over the ring map $w_m : \mathbb{R}_a \rightarrow w_*\mathbb{R}_a$ with $(vw)_m = v_m w_m$, $e_m = 1$.

6.C Equivariant diagrams of rings

The previous section shows that \mathbb{R}_a is a $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant $\text{flag}\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ -diagram of rings so we may apply the apparatus of Sections 4 and 5. This means that $(\mathbb{W}G)_K$ acts on $\mathbb{R}_a(K)$ by ring homomorphisms, and we may form the twisted group ring $\mathbb{R}_a(K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K]$, or take invariants under $(\mathbb{W}G)_K^\ell = \mathbb{W}W_G^\ell K$ and then let $(\mathbb{W}G)_K/(\mathbb{W}G)_K^\ell = W_G^d K$ act by ring homomorphisms and form

$$\mathbb{R}_{nv}(K) = \mathbb{R}_a(K)^{\mathbb{W}W_G^\ell K} [W_G^d K] = H^*(BW_G^\ell K)[W_G^d K].$$

We observe that \mathbb{R}_a extends to a $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T}))$ -diagram of rings via

$$\mathbb{R}_a(K_0 \supset \cdots \supset K_s) = \mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s}^{-1} H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K_s).$$

We have commented that the Lie component structure on $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$ is normal. This allows us to extend \mathbb{R}_{inv} to a $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T}))$ -diagram with

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e F} [W_G^d F].$$

It is worth making the values explicit.

Lemma 6.2 *For any flag $F = (K_0 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$ we have*

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F) = \mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s}^{-1} H^*(BW_G^e F)[W_G^d F]$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s} = \{e(V) \mid V \in \text{Rep}(W_G^e F), V^{K_0} = 0\}.$$

Proof Suppose W is a finite group acting on a ring R and S is a multiplicatively closed set closed under the action of W . First, we note that inverting S has the same effect as inverting the elements $Ns = \prod_{w \in W} ws$, so that $S^{-1}M = (NS)^{-1}M$. Now observe

$$(S^{-1}M)^W = ((NS)^{-1}M)^W = (NS)^{-1}(M^W),$$

where the second equality uses the fact we are in characteristic zero so that we may decompose M into isotypical pieces and these will not interact.

Taking $W = \mathbb{W}W_G^e F$, $R = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K_s)$ and $S = \mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s, \mathbb{T}}$ this shows

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F) = (N\mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s})^{-1} H^*(BW_G^e F).$$

Finally, we consider the effecting of inverting Euler classes. If we choose a representation V of $W_G^e(F)$, its weights fall into W -orbits. If the decomposition into weights is $V|_{\mathbb{T}} = \bigoplus_i \alpha_i$ we have

$$e(V) = e\left(\bigoplus_i \alpha_i\right) = \prod_i e(\alpha_i).$$

This is the product of orbit-products. Thus inverting $N\mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s, \mathbb{T}/K_s}$ is equivalent to inverting $\mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s, W_G^e F}$. \square

Warning 6.3 In the case of the torus, the ring $\mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{R}_a(L)$ by localization (i.e., by inverting $\mathcal{E}_{K/L}$). This is not the case for \mathbb{R}_{inv} . This is apparent even in the simple example of Subsection 6.D.

6.D The rotation group

It is instructive to consider the example $G = SO(3)$, with $\mathbb{N} = O(2)$, $\mathbb{T} = SO(2)$. We will display various data associated to a length 1 flag in rows. The first row is a module N over \mathbb{R}_a , the next pair of rows gives \mathbb{R}_a , followed by the component structure W_\bullet^e , a pair of rows for \mathbb{R}_{inv} and finally an \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M .

We illustrate the structure for the particular flag $F = (\mathbb{T} \supset 1)$ and its two length 0 subflags. Take particular note of the fourth row, where we record the Weyl groups of $W_G^e K$ and $W_G^e(\mathbb{T} \subseteq K)$, using the abbreviation $W = \mathbb{W}G$ (a reflection group of order 2). We will use this example in describing the functors relating $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$, so that N is an equivariant \mathbb{R}_a -module, potentially in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$ and M is an \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module, potentially in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. As elsewhere $H^*(BSO(2)) = \mathbb{Q}[c]$ for an element c of codegree 2 and $H^*(BSO(3)) = \mathbb{Q}[d]$ for an element $d = c^2$ of codegree 4.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 N \\
 \\
 \mathbb{R}_a \\
 \\
 W_\bullet^e \\
 \\
 \mathbb{R}_{inv} \\
 \\
 M
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 N(1) & \longrightarrow & N(\mathbb{T} \supset 1) & \longleftarrow & N(\mathbb{T}) \\
 \\
 \mathbb{Q}[c] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
 \mathbb{R}_a(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(\mathbb{T} \supset 1) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(\mathbb{T}) \\
 \\
 W & & 1 & & 1 \\
 \\
 \mathbb{R}_{inv}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{inv}(\mathbb{T} \supset 1) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{R}_{inv}(\mathbb{T}) \\
 \uparrow = & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow = \\
 \mathbb{Q}[d] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\
 \\
 M(1) & \longrightarrow & M(\mathbb{T} \supset 1) & \longleftarrow & M(\mathbb{T})
 \end{array}$$

Note in particular that

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(\mathbb{T} \supset 1) = \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] \neq \mathbb{Q}[d, d^{-1}] = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_{inv}(1).$$

We should also consider the flag $\mathbb{T} \subset C_r$ for $r \geq 2$ so as to note the differences entailed by the fact that

$W(C_r) = \mathbb{W}G$ is discrete and hence has trivial identity component.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N & & N(C_r) & \longrightarrow & N(\mathbb{T} \supset C_r) & \longleftarrow & N(\mathbb{T}) \\
& & & & & & \\
& & & & \mathbb{Q}[c] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\
& & & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
\mathbb{R}_a & & \mathbb{R}_a(C_r) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(\mathbb{T} \supset C_r) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(\mathbb{T}) \\
& & & & & & \\
W^\bullet & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
& & & & & & \\
\mathbb{R}_{inv} & & \mathbb{R}_{inv}(C_r) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{inv}(\mathbb{T} \supset C_r) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{R}_{inv}(\mathbb{T}) \\
& & \uparrow = & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow = \\
& & \mathbb{Q}[c] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\
& & & & & & \\
M & & M(C_r) & \longrightarrow & M(\mathbb{T} \supset C_r) & \longleftarrow & M(\mathbb{T})
\end{array}$$

6.E Subcategory conditions for G

The algebraic model $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ is the category of modules over the diagram \mathbb{R}_a of rings which are subject to three conditions: (i) quasi-coherence, (ii) extendedness and (iii) \mathcal{F} -continuity.

In view of Warning 6.3, we must be explicit in formulating the quasi-coherence and extendedness conditions for equivariant \mathbb{R}_{inv} -modules on the poset $\text{flag}(\Sigma_a)$. We also observe that the conditions are compatible with the $\mathbb{W}G$ -action.

Suppose then that we have flags

$$E = (K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_s)$$

and

$$F = (L_0 \supset L_1 \supset \cdots \supset L_t)$$

with $E \supset F$. This gives a ring map

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{inv}(E)$$

and for any \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M we have a structure map $M(F) \longrightarrow M(E)$.

In order to discuss quasi-coherence and extendedness, we introduce further terminology. This will be shown to be redundant, and not be used after this subsection.

Definition 6.4 (i) An \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M follows the coefficients if for any pair of flags $E \supset F$ the structure map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F)} M(F) \cong M(E).$$

(ii) An \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M is *quasi-coherent* if it follows the coefficients whenever $F = (K_s)$ is the singleton flag of the smallest term in E

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K_s)} M(K_s) \cong M(E).$$

(iii) An \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M is *extended* if it follows the coefficients whenever $F = (K_0)$ is the singleton flag of the largest term in E

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K_0)} M(K_0) \cong M(E).$$

Remark 6.5 (i) If M is qce then it follows the coefficients for any inclusion $E \supseteq F$ of flags.

(ii) If M follows the coefficients for the addition of any single term to a flag then it is qce and follows the coefficients in general.

(iii) However if M is qce for pairs this is not sufficient on its own. For example we may consider the inclusion of a length 1 flag in a length 2 flag: $(H \supset L) \rightarrow (H \supset K \supset L)$. In this case, $\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset K \supset L)}$ is typically a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset L)}$ and so in general we have a proper containment

$$\mathbb{R}_a(H \supset K \supset L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset L)}} = \mathcal{E}_{H/L}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_a(L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset L)}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{H/L}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_a(L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset K \supset L)}} = \mathbb{R}_a(H \supset K \supset L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset K \supset L)}}.$$

The condition

$$M(H \supset K \supset L) = \mathbb{R}_a(H \supset K \supset L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset K \supset L)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(H \supset K \supset L)^{\mathbb{W}_{(H \supset L)}}} M(H \supset L)$$

is a new condition, one not seen in the inclusion of a length 0 flag in a length 1 flag.

The idea of \mathcal{F} -continuity, is that it provides a uniform bound on denominators. In the original setting, the definition is that \mathcal{F} -continuity requires a specified factorization for each subgroup K :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{E}_K^{-1} \prod_{L \subseteq K} M(L) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ M(K) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} M(L) \end{array}$$

and these should be compatible with composition. We note that the collection of subgroups involved in this condition depends $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariantly on K , and if the condition holds for K it holds for any subgroup in the $\mathbb{W}G$ -orbit of K .

We may now formulate the condition for \mathbb{R}_{inv} -modules. The equivariance will ensure that maps have image in modules of invariants, so we avoid the use of invariants in the statement.

Definition 6.6 An \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module M is \mathcal{F} -continuous if there is a specified factorization for each subgroup K

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{E}_K^{-1} \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ M(K) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) \end{array}$$

and these should be compatible with composition.

6.F The model

We are now ready to define the algebraic model $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. Throughout this subsection we use the diagram $\Sigma = \text{flag}(\Sigma_a(\mathbb{T}))$ and \mathbb{R}_a is viewed as a Σ -diagram of rings.

Definition 6.7 (i) The category of $\mathbb{R}_a[\mathbb{W}G]$ -modules is the category of $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant \mathbb{R}_a -modules. In view of Lemma 5.6 we will not distinguish between the model in which these are Σ -diagrams with the additional structure of a $\mathbb{W}G$ -action and the model in which they are $\Sigma \rtimes \mathbb{W}G$ -diagrams.

(ii) The category $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ is the category of *qce*, \mathcal{F} -continuous $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant \mathbb{R}_a -modules.

Now consider the Lie group component structure $(\mathbb{W}G)_\bullet^e$ on $\mathbb{W}G \rtimes \Sigma$ and the quotient $(\mathbb{W}G)_\bullet^d$ (see Subsection 4.E). This gives two diagrams of rings. Firstly, we have the $(\mathbb{W}G)_\bullet^d$ -diagram of invariants, $\mathbb{R}_{inv} := \mathbb{R}_a^{(\mathbb{W}G)_\bullet^e}$, so that

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K) = H^*(BW_G^e K).$$

Secondly, we have the Σ -diagram \mathbb{R}_{tw} of twisted group rings, whose value at a subgroup K is

$$\mathbb{R}_{tw}(K) = H^*(BW_G^e K)[W_G^d K].$$

Definition 6.8 (i) The category $\mathcal{A}_{inv}(G, \text{toral})$ is the category of *qce*, \mathcal{F} -continuous \mathbb{R}_{inv} -modules.

(ii) The category $\mathcal{A}_{tw}(G, \text{toral})$ is the category of *qce*, \mathcal{F} -continuous modules over the diagram \mathbb{R}_{tw} of rings.

Remark 6.9 By Lemma 5.7, $\mathcal{A}_{inv}(G, \text{toral}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{tw}(G, \text{toral})$, and as a matter of style we view $\mathcal{A}_{inv}(G, \text{toral})$ as the primary one, abbreviating it to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$.

There is one special case where it is easy to describe the model of toral spectra.

Lemma 6.10 *The model for toral spectra simplifies when the identity component is a torus to give*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$$

Proof: We need only observe that if $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ then the identity component of $W_{\mathbb{N}}K$ is a torus, and so it has trivial Weyl group. The component structure is therefore the trivial one, and $\mathbb{R}_{inv} = \mathbb{R}_a$. \square

7 Toral G -spectra and toral \mathbb{N} -spectra

We consider the algebraic counterpart of restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{N} -spectra, and its right adjoint. We know from [21] that the category of \mathbb{T} -spectra is modelled by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$. It is rather clear (and made explicit in Lemma 11.1) that the module $M = \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ arising from a G -spectrum X is a $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant module.

Our model $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ has the property that the restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{N} -spectra is modelled by the functor θ_* defined in Subsection 5.C. The purpose of this section is to establish that the descent adjunction (Proposition 5.9) relating \mathbb{R}_{inv} -modules and equivariant \mathbb{R}_a -modules continues to hold for the subcategories of *qce*, \mathcal{F} -continuous modules.

7.A From $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$

First we consider the algebraic counterpart of restriction.

Proposition 7.1 *The functor*

$$\theta_* : \mathbb{R}_{inv}\text{-modules} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}G\text{-equivariant-}\mathbb{R}_a\text{-modules}$$

preserves quasi-coherence, extendeness and \mathcal{F} -continuity and hence induces a functor

$$\theta_* : \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G].$$

Proof: Suppose M is an \mathbb{R}_{inv} -module with image θ_*M defined on a flag F by

$$(\theta_*M)(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F)} M(F).$$

We note that $\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e}$, and $\mathbb{R}_a(F)$ is free over $\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F)$. As in Lemma 6.2, we note that a multiplicatively closed set S preserved by the action of a finite group has a cofinal multiplicatively closed subset NS whose elements are the products Ns over orbits. Thus we will assume that the multiplicatively closed subsets are invariant. Since θ_*M lies over \mathbb{N} the component structure is trivial so the $\mathbb{W}G$ action is entirely through equivariance (no invariants are involved). Accordingly, it suffices to verify quasicoherence and extendedness for pairs rather than more general flags. We will write the proof in those terms since the subgroups concerned appear more directly.

If M is quasi-coherent then the condition on cotal pairs is that the natural map induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) = M(K \supseteq L)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_*M)(K \supseteq L) &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L)} M(K \supseteq L) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L)} \mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(L)} \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(L)} (\theta_*M)(L) \end{aligned}$$

and θ_*M is also quasi-coherent.

If M is extended then

$$\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} M(K) = M(K \supseteq L).$$

For θ_*M we may then calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(K)} (\theta_*M)(K) &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(K)} \mathbb{R}_a(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} M(K) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} M(K) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L)} (\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} M(K)) \\ &= \mathbb{R}_a(K \supseteq L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K \supseteq L)} M(K \supseteq L) \\ &= (\theta_*M)(K \supseteq L). \end{aligned}$$

Thus θ_*M is also extended.

Supposing that M is \mathcal{F} -continuous. Since $\mathbb{R}_a(F)$ is free of finite rank over $\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F)$, we may form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathbb{R}_a(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} \mathcal{E}_K^{-1} \prod_{L \subseteq K} M(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_K^{-1} \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L) \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}_a(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} M(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(K)} \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} M(L) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{L \subseteq K} \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L).
\end{array}$$

The two right hand horizontals are induced by the $\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)$ -maps

$$M(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_a(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(L)} M(L)$$

using the universal property of products. The diagram shows that $\theta_* M$ is also \mathcal{F} -continuous. \square

We will show in Proposition 11.8 that θ_* fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G} & \mathbb{N}\text{-spectra} \\
\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})} \\
\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\mathcal{F}\text{-cts-qce-}\mathbb{R}_{inv}\text{-modules} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{W}G\text{-equivariant-}\mathcal{F}\text{-cts-qce-}\mathbb{R}_a\text{-modules.}
\end{array}$$

We conjecture that these maps to abelian categories can be upgraded to Quillen equivalences with the associated differential graded objects.

7.B Normal modules

By contrast with θ_* , the fact that the functor Ψ takes qce modules to qce modules is rather subtle. Consider for instance the quasi-coherence associated to a cotoral inclusion $K \supseteq L$. If the $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant \mathbb{R}_a -module N is quasi-coherent, then $N(K \supseteq L) = \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} N(L)$. We may take $(\mathbb{W}G)_{K \supseteq L}$ -invariants of both sides, but since $(\mathbb{W}G)_{K \supseteq L}$ may be a proper subgroup of $(\mathbb{W}G)_L$ this is not the quasicoherece condition for ΨN , which states instead that

$$N(K \supseteq L)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_{K \supseteq L}} = \mathcal{E}_{K/L}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_a(L)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_{K \supseteq L}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(L)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_L}} N(L)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_L}.$$

In effect we need to be able to reconstruct modules from their invariants using the ring \mathbb{R}_a . This is a special property not enjoyed by all modules.

We suppose then that W is a finite group acting on a \mathbb{Q} -algebra R .

Definition 7.2 We say that a W -equivariant R -module M is *normal* if the natural map

$$\nu : R \otimes_{R^W} M^W \longrightarrow M$$

is an isomorphism.

It is worth noting that normality is a strong condition.

Example 7.3 (i) Clearly if $R = \mathbb{Q}G$ and M is a non-trivial simple module then M is not normal.

(ii) This also happens for modules that arise in our setting. For instance we may take $R = H^*(BSO(2)) = \mathbb{Q}[c]$ with W of order 2 acting to negate c , so that $R^W = H^*(BSO(3)) = \mathbb{Q}[d]$ with $d = c^2$. However it is easy to see that the ideal $M = (c)$ is not normal (for example because the inclusion $(c^2) \subseteq (c)$ is an isomorphism on W -fixed points and the free module (c^2) is normal). The fact that will give the conclusion we need is that if d is inverted everywhere (so $R = \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] = M$) then we do obtain a normal module.

There is an easy positive result.

Lemma 7.4 *If R is free over R^W then the class of normal R -modules is closed under the following operations*

- *Arbitrary sums.*
- *Passage to kernels*
- *Passage to cokernels*
- *Passage to extensions*

Any extended module of the form $M = R \otimes_S M'$ with W acting trivially on S and M' is normal.

Proof: For example if F_0, F_1 are normal and M is the cokernel of a map $F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ we may form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 R \otimes_{R^G} F_1^W & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_{R^G} F_0^W & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_{R^W} M^W & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Because we are in characteristic 0, passage to W fixed points is exact, and by hypothesis R is flat over R^W , so the isomorphism follows from the short 5-lemma.

The other cases are similar. For an extended module of the given form $M^W = (R \otimes_S M')^W = R^W \otimes_S M'$ and normality is clear. \square

We will show that the modules that occur in an object N of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$ are close enough to being normal to ensure that ΨN is qce. The following examples show that this is somewhat less restrictive than might be expected.

Example 7.5 (i) We have seen that $H^*(BSO(2)) = \mathbb{Q}[c]$ is a free module over $H^*(BSO(3)) = \mathbb{Q}[d]$. More precisely

$$\mathbb{Q}[c] = \mathbb{Q}[d] \otimes (\epsilon \oplus \Sigma^2 \sigma)$$

where ϵ is the trivial module and σ is the sign module. If we ignore grading then $\mathbb{Q}[c] = \mathbb{Q}[d][W]$.

In any case it follows by decomposing V into W -isotypical pieces that any $\mathbb{Q}[c]$ -module of the form $\mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] \otimes V$ is normal.

The relevance of this is that it shows the model of $\{1, \mathbb{T}\}$ - $SO(3)$ -spectra (i.e., of spectra with geometric isotropy in $\{1, \mathbb{T}\}$) behaves well. Indeed, we may consider an object

$$X = (N \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] \otimes V)$$

of the model of $\{1, \mathbb{T}\}$ - \mathbb{N} -spectra; this means N is a $\mathbb{Q}[c][W]$ -module and V is a $\mathbb{Q}[W]$ -module with the map β being inversion of c . By the above argument, N is normal, and it follows that

$$\Psi X = (N^W \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] \otimes V)$$

is qce.

(ii) Similarly for the rank 2 group $SU(3)$ with maximal torus $ST(3)$ and Weyl group $\mathbb{W}G = \Sigma_3$, where

$$H^*(BST(3)) = H^*(BSU(3)) \otimes (\epsilon \oplus \Sigma^2 \mu \oplus \Sigma^4 \mu \oplus \Sigma^6 \sigma),$$

where σ is the nontrivial simple representation of degree 1 and μ that of degree 2. If we ignore the grading then

$$H^*(BST(3)) = H^*(BSU(3))[\mathbb{W}G].$$

One may check that if we invert linear forms then any module of the form $H^*(BST(3)) \otimes V$ is normal (the case $V = \mu$ is most interesting).

It seems natural to expect that with linear forms inverted, the module $H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes V$ is normal for any compact Lie group G , and it may be that more general statements could be formulated giving the result that ΨN is qce directly as was done for $G = SO(3)$ in the above example. However, we will instead use injective resolutions to reduce the verification to special cases.

7.C From $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$

After our discussion of normal modules we are equipped to turn to the right adjoint Ψ .

Proposition 7.6 *The functor*

$$\Psi : \mathbb{W}G\text{-equivariant-}\mathbb{R}_a\text{-modules} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{inv}\text{-modules}$$

takes quasi-coherent extended modules to quasi-coherent extended modules and preserves \mathcal{F} -continuous modules and hence induces a functor

$$\Psi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}).$$

Remark 7.7 The functor Ψ does not preserve quasi-coherence or extendedness separately.

Proof: First, \mathcal{F} -continuity is straightforward, since $M(K)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_K}$ maps into the $(\mathbb{W}G)_K$ -invariants inside the $(\mathbb{W}G)_{K \supseteq L}$ -invariants, and we have already observed that the passage to invariants commutes with products and localizations. The main issue is the qce property, which is rather delicate.

Suppose N is an \mathbb{R}_a -module with image ΨN defined by

$$(\Psi N)(F) = N(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e}.$$

As in Lemma 6.2, we note that a multiplicatively closed set S preserved by the action of a finite group has a cofinal multiplicatively closed subset NS whose elements are the products Ns over orbits. Thus we will assume that the multiplicatively closed subsets are invariant.

Now suppose $E \supseteq F$. Since N is qce we have

$$N(E) = \mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} N(F).$$

Taking fixed points under $(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e$ we have

$$(\Psi N)(E) = N(E)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} = [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} N(F)]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e}.$$

Since the connected structure is decreasing $(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e \subseteq (\mathbb{W}G)_F^e$ and we need to show the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{E \supset F} : \mathbb{R}_{inv}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}(F)} (\Psi N)(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(E)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e}} N(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e} \longrightarrow \\ [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} N(F)]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} = (\Psi N)(E) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

The character of the problem is like that of normality, and we adopt a similar strategy. We first note that the question of whether $\nu_{E \supset F}$ is an isomorphism only depends on N only through $N(F)$, which is a $(\mathbb{W}G)_F$ -equivariant $\mathbb{R}_a(F)$ -module.

Lemma 7.8 *The class of modules $N(F)$ for which ν is an isomorphism is closed under the following operations*

- *Arbitrary sums.*
- *Passage to kernels*
- *Passage to cokernels*
- *Passage to extensions*

It is an isomorphism for $N(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)$.

Proof: This clear for sums, and it is clear for $N(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)$. We illustrate the other cases by the passage to kernels. Suppose then that ν is an isomorphism for $N(F) = B, C$ and that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C$$

Taking $\mathbb{W}_F = (\mathbb{W}G)_F$ -invariants, and tensoring with $\mathbb{R}_a(E)^{\mathbb{W}_E}$ over $\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}_F}$ we obtain the first row in the following diagram, and similarly the second row is obtained by tensoring with $\mathbb{R}_a(E)$ and taking \mathbb{W}_E -invariants. The result follows from the short 5-lemma.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(E)^{\mathbb{W}_E} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}_F}} A^{\mathbb{W}_F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(E)^{\mathbb{W}_E} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}_F}} B^{\mathbb{W}_F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_a(E)^{\mathbb{W}_E} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}_F}} C^{\mathbb{W}_F} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} A]^{\mathbb{W}_E} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} B]^{\mathbb{W}_E} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} C]^{\mathbb{W}_E} \end{array}$$

□

In effect the lemma says that the result is only obvious when $N(F)$ is a free $\mathbb{R}_a(F)$ -module. The strategy of proof is to reduce to the case of certain standard injectives that we identify precisely. We note that these standard injectives come from the polynomial rings $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$. Because the polynomial ring $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ is Gorenstein, the injective is also the local cohomology and we can deduce this case from that of the free module.

In more detail, we show in Section 8 that any module N admits an injective presentation $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1$ where I_0 and I_1 are sums of $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant injectives of a particular form. It therefore suffices to prove the result for the special case of these basic injectives. These are discussed in detail in Section 8, but we will summarize the properties we need here to avoid interrupting the thread of the argument.

Suppose then that $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ and consider a basic injective arising from K . This is obtained from an injective module I over $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$, namely

$$I = H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{L\mathbb{T}/K}).$$

Indeed, the right adjoint $f_K^\mathbb{T}$ to evaluation at K gives an injective $f_K^\mathbb{T}(I)$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ and then we may coinduce the module to \mathbb{N} , where it takes the form

$$f_{(K)}^\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{Q}[W] \otimes I) = f_{(K)}^\mathbb{N}(I) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[W]$$

in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$. Notice that the value of this injective at any flag is free over $\mathbb{Q}[W]$.

Of course $N(H) = 0$ unless H is subconjugate to K . From the qce condition it follows that the value $N(F)$ is zero unless $K \supseteq L_0$. We note that if $K \not\supseteq K_0$ then I is \mathcal{E}_{K_0/L_0} torsion; as observed elsewhere, we can always localize with respect to products over W -orbits. Thus the qce condition for ΨN holds for such flags. We may therefore suppose that $K \supseteq K_0$.

We may be explicit about the value. Indeed, $K \supseteq L_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq L_t$ and $\mathbb{T}/L_t = \mathbb{T}/K \times K/L_t$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N(F) &= \mathcal{E}_{L_0/L_s}^{-1} H^*(B\mathbb{T}/L_s) \otimes_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/A)} H_*(B(\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})[\mathbb{W}G] = \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{L_0/L_s}^{-1} H^*(BK/L_s) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H_*(B(\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})[\mathbb{W}G]. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to observe that $\nu_{E \supset F}$ is an isomorphism for this $N(F)$. We will first verify the statement without $\mathbb{W}G$. For this we apply the following lemma to $T = \mathbb{T}/K$.

Lemma 7.9 *Suppose \mathfrak{W} is any finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T)$, and consider the category of \mathfrak{W} -equivariant $H^*(BT)$ -modules.*

If BT^{LT} is the Thom space of the tangent space LT of T at e , the module $H_(BT^{LT})$ is the cohomology of a finite complex of $H^*(BT)[\mathfrak{W}]$ -modules each term of which generated by $H^*(BT)$ using direct sums, cokernels and direct limits.*

Remark 7.10 Note that the insertion of the adjoint representation LT is necessary. For example if $T = SO(2)$ is the circle and $W = \mathbb{W}SO(3)$ is of order 2, $H_*(BT^{LT})$ is a suspension of the dual of (c) , and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[c] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[c, c^{-1}] \rightarrow (c)^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

proving the lemma in this case. On the other hand the module $k[c]^\vee$ is not in this category since $\theta_* \Psi(k[c]^\vee) \not\cong k[c]^\vee$.

Proof: To start with, ignore the action of \mathfrak{W} . If we choose a finite set of \mathfrak{G} of generators of the ideal \mathfrak{m} of elements of $R = H^*(BT)$ of positive codegree, we may form the stable Koszul complex $K_\infty^\bullet(\mathfrak{G})$, with

$$K_\infty^n(\mathfrak{G}) = \bigoplus_{\tau \subseteq \mathfrak{G}, |\tau|=n} R\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{g \in \tau} g}\right].$$

The point of the stable Koszul complex is that it calculates local cohomology, so that if T is of rank s , we have

$$H^*(K_\infty^\bullet(\mathfrak{G})) = H_m^*(R) = H_m^s(R) = H_*(BT^{LT}).$$

Now choose \mathfrak{G} so that the construction is \mathfrak{W} -equivariant. Indeed, adding translates as necessary, we choose \mathfrak{G} to be a union of \mathfrak{W} -orbits, and group the terms in $K_\infty^n(\mathfrak{G})$ into \mathfrak{W} -orbits of n -tuples τ . Thus if the orbit \mathcal{O} of τ has isotropy \mathfrak{W} we find

$$K_\infty^\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}} R\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{i \in \tau} g_i}\right] = \mathfrak{W} \otimes_{\mathfrak{W}} R\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{g \in \tau} g}\right]$$

□

Finally, we argue that we can insert the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[W]$. Indeed, we are considering the map

$$\nu_{E \supset F} : \mathbb{R}_a(E)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e}} N(F)^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e} \longrightarrow [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} N(F)]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e}.$$

We have observed that if $\nu_{E \supset F}$ is an isomorphism for $N(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)$ then it is also an isomorphism when $N(F)$ comes from $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(I)$ with $I = H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{LT}/K)$. We now show that, similarly, if $\nu_{E \supset F}$ is an isomorphism for $N(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)[W]$ then it is also an isomorphism when $N(F)$ comes from $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(I[W])$. For the case $N(F) = \mathbb{R}_a(F)[W]$ let us note that $N_G(E) \subseteq N_G(F)$; this gives a map of Weyl groups $W_G(E) \longrightarrow W_G(F)$, and passing to quotients under their respective maximal tori, we have an inclusion $W_G(E)/(\mathbb{T}/K_s) \subseteq W_G(F)/(\mathbb{T}/L_t)$ of coset spaces.

Now for any connected Lie group Γ with maximal torus T , the rational Serre spectral sequence of $\Gamma/T \longrightarrow BT \longrightarrow B\Gamma$ collapses to give an isomorphism

$$H^*(BT) \cong H^*(B\Gamma) \otimes H^*(\Gamma/T)$$

of $H^*(B\Gamma)[W]$ -modules. Furthermore the Weyl group acts trivially on the first factor. For example

$$H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K_s) = H^*(BW_G^e E) \otimes H^*(N_G^e(E)/\mathbb{T}),$$

so that when we invert \mathcal{E}_{K_0/K_s} we find

$$\mathbb{R}_a(E) = (\Psi \mathbb{R}_a)(E) \otimes H^*(N_G^e(E)/\mathbb{T})$$

Using this we may identify $\nu_{E \supset F}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\Psi \mathbb{R}_a(F)} [\Psi \mathbb{R}_a(F) \otimes H^*(N_G^e(F)/\mathbb{T})[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e} &\xrightarrow{\nu_{E \supset F}} [\mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} \mathbb{R}_a(F)[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} \\ &= [\mathbb{R}_a(E)[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e} = (\Psi \mathbb{R}_a)(E) \otimes [H^*(N_G^e(E)/\mathbb{T})[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e}. \end{aligned}$$

This compares two free $\Psi \mathbb{R}_a(E)$ modules obtained by tensoring with the vector spaces

$$[H^*(N_G^e(F)/\mathbb{T})[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_F^e} \text{ and } [H^*(N_G^e(E)/\mathbb{T})[W]]^{(\mathbb{W}G)_E^e}$$

We note that they are both vector spaces of dimension $|W|$ (they are not isomorphic as *graded* vector spaces, but $E \neq F$ so $\mathbb{R}_a(E)$ is 2-periodic and tensoring gives abstractly isomorphic $\Psi\mathbb{R}_a(E)$ -modules).

Finally, we observe that ν is obtained from a $\mathbb{W}G_E$ -equivariant $\mathbb{R}_a(E)$ -module map

$$\nu_{E \supset F} : \mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)^{\mathbb{W}G_F^e}} [\mathbb{R}_a(F)[W]]^{\mathbb{W}G_F^e} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_a(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_a(F)} \mathbb{R}_a(F)[W]$$

by passage to $\mathbb{W}G_E^e$ -fixed points. This map is surjective since $\mathbb{R}_a(E)[W]$ is generated as an $\mathbb{R}_a(E)[\mathbb{W}G_E]$ -module by $(\mathbb{R}_a(F)[W])^{\mathbb{W}G_F^e}$. Hence ν is an isomorphism as required. \square

7.D Toral descent from G to \mathbb{N}

The descent property now follows from the result for arbitrary modules.

Corollary 7.11 *We have an adjunction*

$$\theta_* : \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] : \Psi ,$$

for which the unit is an isomorphism.

Proof: In the light of Lemmas 7.1 and 7.6, this is immediate from Proposition 5.9 \square

8 Homological algebra of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$

In this section we deduce the facts we need about the homological algebra from $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ from known properties of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$. In particular, we show it has finite injective dimension equal to the rank.

8.A Right adjoints to evaluation

The study of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ in [12] shows that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ has sufficiently many injectives. Indeed, it is shown that enough injectives can be imported from module categories using right adjoints $f_K^\mathbb{T}$ to evaluation at subgroups K . We will not repeat the argument here in detail, but the idea is to argue by induction on the *supporting codimension*:

$$\text{scd}(M) := \min\{\dim(\mathbb{T}/K) \mid M(K) \neq 0\}$$

of a nonzero module M . One may find a map from any module $M \neq 0$ to a sum of injectives $f_K^\mathbb{T}(I)$ which is a monomorphism at subgroups of codimension $\text{scd}(M)$. The general case can be built up from this. Accordingly, it suffices here to discuss the right adjoints to evaluation.

The starting point from [12] is that for any closed subgroup $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ of codimension c , there is a right adjoint $f_K^\mathbb{T}$ to evaluation at K :

$$\text{eval}_K : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})_{\text{scd} \geq c} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{torsion-}H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)\text{-modules} : f_K^\mathbb{T} .$$

We may combine these phenomena over a $\mathbb{W}G$ -orbit (K) . The point is that the distinct subgroups K_i in the orbit are of the same codimension in \mathbb{T} and hence only cotorally related if they are equal.

$$eval_{(K)} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})_{\text{scd} \geq c} \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \prod_{K' \in (K)} \text{torsion-}H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K')\text{-modules} : f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

This is compatible with the $\mathbb{W}G$ -action. To describe the structure, note that we have an inclusion $(K) \rightarrow \Sigma_a(\mathbb{T})$ of posets with $\mathbb{W}G$ -action. Because (K) is a discrete poset it is reasonable to write $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/(K))$ for the restriction of \mathbb{R}_a to (K) . Since (K) is a transitive $\mathbb{W}G$ -set, there is an equivalence

$$H^*(B\mathbb{T}/(K))[\mathbb{W}G]\text{-modules} \simeq H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K]\text{-modules}.$$

Thus we have an adjunction

$$eval_{(K)} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]_{\text{scd} \geq c} \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \text{torsion-}H^*(B\mathbb{T}/(K))[\mathbb{W}G]\text{-modules} : f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

We will generally specify the particular subgroup K and take the argument of $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to be a $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K]$ -module. The right adjoint to evaluation on $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ can now be defined in terms of the functor for \mathbb{N} .

Lemma 8.1 *The right adjoint to evaluation at K is given by the formula*

$$f_{(K)}^G(M) = \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\theta_* M),$$

where M is an $H^*(BW_G^e K)[W_G^d K]$ -module. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]_{\text{scd} \geq c} & \xleftarrow{f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}} & \text{torsion-}H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K]\text{-modules} \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e K} \\ \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})_{\text{scd} \geq c} & \xleftarrow{f_{(K)}^G} & \text{torsion-}H^*(BW_G^e K)[W_G^d(K)]\text{-modules.} \end{array}$$

Proof: We make the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(X, f_{(K)}^G(M)) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(X, \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\theta_* M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]}(\theta_* X, f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\theta_* M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[\mathbb{W}G_K]}((\theta_* X)(K), \theta_* M) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^e K)} X(K), \theta_* M)^{\mathbb{W}G_K} \\ &= \text{Hom}_{H^*(BW_G^e K)}(X(K), H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^e K)} M)^{\mathbb{W}G_K} \\ &= \text{Hom}_{H^*(BW_G^d K)}(X(K), M)^{W_G^d K} \end{aligned}$$

□

8.B The category $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$

The evaluation functors immediately bring $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$ under control.

Lemma 8.2 *The abelian category $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}]$ has enough injectives and is of injective dimension equal to the rank.*

Proof: In the category of $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K]$ -modules, any torsion injective embeds in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[(\mathbb{W}G)_K], H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)) = (H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[(\mathbb{W}G)_K])^\vee.$$

Applying $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we obtain enough injectives in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$.

Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(M, N)^{\mathbb{W}G}$$

and passage to fixed points is exact, it follows that the injective dimension of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ is no more than that of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$. The case of coinduced modules shows they are equal. \square

8.C The category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$

The properties we want for $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ itself can now be deduced formally from what we have proved for $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral})$.

Proposition 8.3 *The abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ has enough injectives and is of injective dimension equal to the rank of G .*

Proof: Since we are working over the rationals, $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ is free over $H^*(BW_G^e K)$ and θ_* is exact. The right adjoint Ψ therefore preserves injectives, and ΨI is injective in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ for every injective I in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$. Consequently, if we apply Ψ to an injective resolution of M we obtain an injective resolution of ΨM . Since the unit of the adjunction is an isomorphism (Proposition 5.9 and Corollary 7.11), all objects of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ are in the image of Ψ and there are enough injectives in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$.

Since $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ is of injective dimension r [13], it follows that $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ is of injective dimension $\leq r$. To see that this bound is achieved, we may consider free spectra (which is to say torsion modules over the polynomial ring $H^*(BG_e)$ on r generators), or more specifically G_+ (which is to say the torsion module $\mathbb{Q}[G_d]$). \square

Part II

Topology

9 Toral detection

We show that the toral part of G -spectra is detected in \mathbb{T} -equivariant homotopy. This is the key result that makes this entire approach viable.

9.A Idempotents

Underlying the structure of any monoidal category is the endomorphism ring of the unit object, which in our case is the ring of stable homotopy groups of S^0 . Accordingly, we recall how the Burnside ring $A(G) = [S^0, S^0]^G$ is related to spaces of subgroups. Given a stable map $f : S^0 \rightarrow S^0$, the degree of geometric fixed points defines a function $\deg(f) : \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ from the set $\mathcal{F}(G)$ of subgroups of G with finite index in their normalizers. It is clearly constant on conjugacy classes, and one may show that $\deg(f)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff metric topology. It was shown by tom Dieck [5] that the map

$$A(G) \rightarrow C_G(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathbb{Z})$$

is injective and that it is a rational isomorphism. Furthermore $C_G(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong C_G(\mathcal{F}(G), \mathbb{Q})$. Finally, it is easy to deduce the degree of the geometric fixed points under any subgroup: if K is not of finite index in its normalizer then $\deg(f^K) = \deg(f^H)$ whenever K is cotal in H .

Next we note that the conjugacy class of maximal tori is open and closed in $\mathcal{F}(G)$, so there is an idempotent $e_{\mathbb{T}} \in A(G)$ with support on (\mathbb{T}) and the degree of its K -fixed points is 1 for subgroups of a maximal torus and 0 otherwise.

We may then localize with respect to $e_{\mathbb{T}}S^0$, and obtain

$$\text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} = e_{\mathbb{T}} [G\text{-spectra}] .$$

Lemma 9.1 *Writing $\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$ for the family of subgroups of some maximal torus, the natural map $E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \rightarrow S^0$ induces an equivalence $E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \simeq e_{\mathbb{T}}S^0$.*

Proof: By definition the K -fixed point space of $E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+$ is equivalent to S^0 if K lies in a maximal torus and is a point otherwise. The map is therefore an equivalence in geometric K -fixed points for all K and hence a weak equivalence. \square

Corollary 9.2

$$[E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+]^G = [S^0, S^0]^{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Q}$$

which is detected by the degree in homotopy of geometric \mathbb{T} -fixed points.

Proof: After Lemma 9.1, we see

$$[E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+]^G = [E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, S^0]^G = [e_{\mathbb{T}}S^0, S^0]^G = e_{\mathbb{T}}A(G) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

\square

9.B Toral restriction is faithful

The key to our strategy is that the restriction from G to a maximal torus \mathbb{T} is faithful on toral spectra.

Proposition 9.3 *The forgetful map*

$$[X, Y]^G \longrightarrow [X, Y]^{\mathbb{T}}$$

is rationally split injective if X is a $\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$ -spectrum.

Proof: Under the natural equivalence $[G/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge X, Y]^G = [X, Y]^{\mathbb{T}}$ the forgetful map corresponds to the projection $\pi : G/\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow *$.

Since X is a $\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$ -spectrum, it is equivalent to $X \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+$, so that a splitting can be obtained from a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ & \xleftarrow{\pi} & G/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \\ & \searrow 1 & \uparrow s \\ & & E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \end{array}$$

It remains to choose a suitable s , and we note that Corollary 9.2 shows we need only show s is non-trivial in \mathbb{T} -geometric fixed points. In fact we will show that maps in this pattern are determined by π_0 of \mathbb{T} -geometric fixed points.

Lemma 9.4 *For the three pairs of spaces X, Y in the above diagram we have an isomorphism*

$$[X, Y]^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}W}(\pi_0(\Phi^T X), \pi_0(\Phi^T Y)).$$

Proof: This is already done for the edges labelled $1, \pi$, so we only need to deal with the edge labelled s where $X = E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, Y = G/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+$.

Write L for the representation of \mathbb{T} given by the tangent space to G/\mathbb{T} at $e\mathbb{T}$, and note the fact that \mathbb{T} is a maximal abelian connected subgroup shows that $L^{\mathbb{T}} = 0$. The Wirthmüller adjunction gives isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} [E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, G/T_+ \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+]^G &= [E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, F_T(G_+, S^L \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+)]^G \\ &= [E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+, S^L \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+]^{\mathbb{T}} = [S^0, S^L]^{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows from the Segal-tom Dieck splitting, since the only subgroup K of \mathbb{T} with finite index in its normalizer is \mathbb{T} itself. Following through the adjunctions, the composite isomorphism is given by forgetting from G to \mathbb{T} and composing with the \mathbb{T} -map

$$G/T_+ \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \longrightarrow S^L \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+;$$

induced by the Pontrjagin-Thom map $G/T \longrightarrow S^L$. It follows that maps are detected by degree in \mathbb{T} -geometric fixed points. \square

According to Lemma 9.4, we need only consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Q} & \xleftarrow{\pi_*} & \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{W}G \\
 & \searrow 1 & \uparrow s_* \\
 & & \mathbb{Q}
 \end{array}$$

and select s so that $|\mathbb{W}G|_{s_*}$ is the norm map. □

Remark 9.5 To be more specific, we can take $|\mathbb{W}G|_s$ to be the composite

$$E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+ \longrightarrow F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+) \xrightarrow{F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, i_L)} F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, S^L \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+) \simeq G/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E\Lambda(\mathbb{T})_+,$$

where the first map is the adjunct of the identity and the last is the standard Wirthmüller equivalence.

10 Borel cohomology and the associated homology theory

10.A Classical isomorphisms

The essential ingredients in the proof that $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ provides an effective invariant are classical facts about the cohomology of the Borel construction. We will need to apply the results to $W_G^e K$ for various subgroups K of G , so in this section we take Γ to be a compact Lie group with maximal torus \mathbb{T} , $\mathbb{N} = N_{\Gamma}\mathbb{T}$ and Weyl group $\mathbb{W}\Gamma = N_{\Gamma}\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 10.1 *If Z is a free Γ -space then we have natural isomorphisms*

- (i) $H^*(Z/\mathbb{N}) \cong H^*(Z/T)^{\mathbb{W}\Gamma}$
- (ii) $H^*(Z/\Gamma) \cong H^*(Z/\mathbb{N})$
- (iii) $H^*(Z/\Gamma) \cong H^*(Z/T)^{\mathbb{W}\Gamma}$ and
- (iv) *If Γ is connected, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^*(B\Gamma) \otimes_{H^*(B\Gamma)} H^*(Z/\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(Z/T).$$

Proof: It suffices to treat the unbased case.

Part (i) follows since the Serre spectral sequence $Z/T \longrightarrow Z/\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow B\mathbb{W}\Gamma$ collapses when the group order is invertible.

Part (ii) follows from the Serre spectral sequence of $\Gamma/\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow X/\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$, since Γ/\mathbb{N} is rationally contractible.

Part (iii) follows by combining Parts (i) and (ii).

Part (iv) follows from the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence of the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z/\mathbb{T} & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{T} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z/\Gamma & \longrightarrow & B\Gamma \end{array}$$

We note that connectedness of Γ ensures $B\Gamma$ is 1-connected, and working over \mathbb{Q} ensures that $H^*(B\mathbb{T})$ is free over $H^*(B\Gamma)$. \square

Corollary 10.2 *For any \mathbb{N} spectrum B , the map $i : B \longrightarrow \Gamma_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{N}} B$ induces an isomorphism in $H_{\mathbb{N}}^*$.*

Proof: It suffices to prove the case when B is the suspension spectrum of Z_+ for an unbased space Z . It is convenient to view this as the Γ Borel cohomology of

$$\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{N}} Z \longrightarrow \Gamma \times_{\mathbb{N}} \Gamma \times_{\mathbb{N}} Z \cong \Gamma/\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma \times_{\mathbb{N}} Z.$$

Since the composite with projection is the identity, it therefore suffices to observe that by the lemma, $\Gamma/\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow *$ induces an isomorphism. \square

10.B Fixed points and induced spaces

The purpose of this subsection is to show that the L -fixed point spaces of induced spaces are made up of copies of induced spaces of Weyl groups.

More precisely, we suppose $L \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ and consider its conjugates inside \mathbb{T} , this consists of the $\mathbb{W}G$ -orbit of L , and we suppose the groups are $L = L_1, L_2, \dots, L_c$ with $L_i = L^{\gamma_i}$. In the usual way if A is an \mathbb{T} -space then $A^{L_i} = \gamma_i^{-1}(A^L)$.

Lemma 10.3 *For a \mathbb{T} -space A we have*

$$(G \times_{\mathbb{T}} A)^L = \coprod_i W_G(L)\gamma_i \times_{\mathbb{T}/L_i} A^{L_i}.$$

Proof: We note that the condition for $[g, a]$ to be L -fixed is that for each $l \in L$ there is a $t \in \mathbb{T}$ so that $lg = gt$ and $t^{-1}a = a$. The first condition determines t , so $[g, a]$ is only fixed if $L^g \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ and then a is fixed by L^g . Thus we obtain

$$\coprod_i N_G(L)\gamma_i \times_{\mathbb{T}} A^{L_i} \longrightarrow \coprod_i N_G(L)/L\gamma_i \times_{\mathbb{T}/L_i} A^{L_i}$$

as claimed. \square

Corollary 10.4 *For any T -space A , the map $\mathbb{N} \times_{\mathbb{T}} A \longrightarrow G \times_{\mathbb{T}} A$ induces an isomorphism of $W_G L$ -equivariant Borel cohomology of L -fixed points.*

Proof: From Lemma 10.3, we see that the map is a disjoint union of instances of

$$W_{\mathbb{N}}(L) \times_{\mathbb{T}/L} A^L \longrightarrow W_G(L) \times_{\mathbb{T}/L} A^L.$$

This in turn is an instance of Corollary 10.2 with $\Gamma = W_G L$. \square

10.C Adjoint representations

It is extremely interesting to see how the adjoint representation behaves in moving between G and \mathbb{N} . Alternatively stated, this amounts to understanding the adjoint representation in the Adams isomorphism. We write LG for the adjoint representation, which is to say the tangent space at the identity of G with G acting by conjugation. For the torus \mathbb{T} there is also a rational version $L_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{T} = H_1(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{Q})$, so that there is a natural isomorphism $L_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong L\mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 10.5 *We have a natural isomorphism $H_*^G(X \wedge S^{LG}) = H_*^{\mathbb{N}}(X \wedge S^{L\mathbb{T}})$.*

Proof by stable equivariant formalism: If X is a finite free G -space then we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^G(\Sigma^{LG} X) &\cong [S^0, X \wedge H]_*^G \\ &\cong [DX, H]_*^G \\ &\cong H_G^*(DX) \\ &\cong H_{\mathbb{N}}^*(DX) \\ &\cong [DX, H]_*^{\mathbb{N}} \\ &\cong [S^0, X \wedge H]_*^{\mathbb{N}} \\ &\cong H_*^{\mathbb{N}}(\Sigma^{L\mathbb{T}} X) \end{aligned}$$

The two equivalences changing X to DX come from the formal properties of duality. Since X is finite and free, DX is free, giving the isomorphisms with Borel cohomology. The one relating G -equivariant and N -equivariant Borel cohomology is Lemma 10.1 (ii). The first and last isomorphisms are instances of the Adams isomorphism. \square

Proof by Lie group theory: We observe directly that $S^{L\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow S^{LG}$ induces an isomorphism in $H_{\mathbb{N}}^*$, which is to say that multiplication by the Euler class of $LG/L\mathbb{T}$ is an isomorphism. More precisely, if $g = \dim G, t = \dim \mathbb{T}$, we show that the horizontals in the following diagram are isomorphisms of the $\mathbb{W}G$ -invariants

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes S^{L\mathbb{T}}]^{WG} & \longrightarrow & [H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes S^{LG}]^{WG} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ \Sigma^t [H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes H^t(S^{L\mathbb{T}})]^{WG} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^g [H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes H^g(S^{LG})]^{WG} \end{array}$$

given by the multiplication by the product of the Euler classes of the positive roots.

We adjoin exterior variables to give a context, writing $A(V) = E(\Sigma V) \otimes P(\Sigma^2 V)$ for a vector space V . For an element $v \in V$ we write $\lambda(v)$ for the corresponding element of ΣV and $c(v)$ for the element of $\Sigma^2 V$. We consider the special case $V = L_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{T}$, so that $H^*(B\mathbb{T}) = P(\Sigma^2 V)$. Thus

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(S^{LT}) \subseteq A(V)$$

consists of the $H^*(B\mathbb{T})$ -submodule generated by $\det(\Sigma V)$. Choosing an ordered basis e_1, \dots, e_r of V we may let $\delta = \lambda(e_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda(e_r)$ be a generator of $\det(\Sigma V)$. Now consider the adjoint representation of G and choose a set R_+ of positive roots. If we take $\kappa = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} c(\alpha)$ then $\delta\kappa$ is the Euler class of LG .

The result is now Solomon's Lemma [23], but perhaps it is illuminating to sketch the proof in this case. We observe that $\delta\kappa$ is $\mathbb{W}G$ invariant. Indeed, associated to R_+ there is the Weyl chamber on which the roots are positive and $\mathbb{W}G$ is generated by reflections s_α in the walls of the Weyl chamber. Since s_α is a reflection $s_\alpha\delta = -\delta$. On the other hand s_α negates α and permutes the other positive roots ([4, 4.10]). Hence s_α fixes $\delta\kappa$.

Since $H^*(BG) = H^*(B\mathbb{T})^{\mathbb{W}G}$ it follows that

$$H_G^*(S^{LG}) = H^*(BG) \cdot \delta\kappa \subseteq H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(S^{LT})^{\mathbb{W}G}.$$

Now we argue that any element δf of the invariants is divisible by each $c(\alpha)$. Since $\det(s_\alpha) = -1$, we find $f(s_\alpha c(v)) = -f(c(v))$ for each v . Accordingly, for each v in the reflecting hyperplane $f(c(v)) = 0$. If we choose a basis consisting of α together with elements of the reflecting hyperplane we see $c(\alpha)$ divides f . Since any pair of positive roots are linearly independent, it follows that f is divisible by κ as required. \square

10.D The dual of Borel cohomology

We let b denote the representing G -spectrum for Borel cohomology, so that, by definition,

$$b_G^*(X) = H^*(EG_+ \wedge_G X) = [EG_+ \wedge X, H]_G^* = [X, F(EG_+, H)]_G^*.$$

This shows the representing spectrum is given by

$$b = F(EG_+, H).$$

The associated homology theory is defined by

$$b_*^G(X) = [S^0, X \wedge b]_*^G.$$

The canonical warning is that this is not homology of the Borel construction. Instead, we have

$$b_*^G(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha} b_*^G(X_\alpha)$$

where X is the directed colimit of finite subspectra X_α . For finite spectra Y we have

$$b_*^G(Y) = [S^0, Y \wedge b]_*^G = [DY, b]_G^* = b_G^*(DY) = H^*(EG_+ \wedge_G DY).$$

Remark 10.6 This calculation can be viewed as one of the motivations for Borel-Moore homology, according to which $b_*^G(X)$ would be the Borel-Moore homology associated to Borel cohomology. However, since the essence of Borel-Moore homology is really the use of locally finite chains it would be misleading to call this Borel Borel-Moore homology.

We will need a standard observation.

Lemma 10.7 *For finite G -spectra Y we have $b \wedge Y \simeq *$ if and only if $b \wedge DY \simeq *$.*

Proof: Since b is a ring G -spectrum it follows that if $b \wedge Y \simeq *$ then $F(Y, b) \simeq *$. □

Our main use of this homology theory is to formulate appropriate analogues of Lemma 10.1.

Lemma 10.8 *Suppose Γ is a compact Lie group with maximal torus T and Weyl group $\mathbb{W}\Gamma$ and that the order of $\mathbb{W}\Gamma$ is invertible in the coefficients. For Γ -spectra A , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$b_*^\Gamma(A) = [b_*^T(A)]^{\mathbb{W}\Gamma}$$

Proof: The forgetful map

$$[S^0, b \wedge A]^\Gamma \longrightarrow [S^0, b \wedge A]^T$$

supplies a natural transformation

$$b_*^\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow [b_*^T(A)]^{\mathbb{W}\Gamma}.$$

Since the order of $\mathbb{W}\Gamma$ is invertible, both terms are homology theories, and both preserve filtered colimits. It is an isomorphism for finite complexes by Lemma 10.1 (iii). □

11 The functor from G -spectra to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$

We have built a model of toral G -spectra by comparison with the model for \mathbb{T} -spectra. In this section, we elucidate the relationship between these two models and thereby construct the functor $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}$ from G -spectra to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$.

11.A Equivariance

We have seen that rational \mathbb{T} -spectra are modelled by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ and that there is a functor

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{T}\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$$

defined by

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}(X)(L) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/L}(DE\mathbb{T}/L_+ \wedge \Phi^L X)$$

and for finite X this is $H_{\mathbb{T}/L}^*(D\Phi^L X)$. The image of restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{T} -spectra has additional structure. To start with, we know that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ admits an action of $\mathbb{W}G$.

Lemma 11.1 *The image of the composite*

$$G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$$

consists of $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant modules and $\mathbb{W}G$ -equivariant maps. Accordingly, we have a functor

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}} : G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}).$$

Proof: By definition

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}(X)(K \supseteq L) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/L}(S^{\infty V(K/L)} \wedge DEF/L_+ \wedge \Phi^L X).$$

The action of $\mathbb{W}G$ is through conjugation by group elements. This gives group homomorphisms $L \rightarrow L^w$, and homeomorphisms between the spaces corresponding to the groups. The homeomorphisms are equivariant for the group homomorphism.

The identification $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ is given in Lemma 6.10. \square

11.B Restriction for free spectra

In preparation for explaining how restriction from G -spectra to \mathbb{N} -spectra is modelled, we consider the inclusion $i : H \rightarrow G$ of a subgroup. We have left and right adjoints to restriction:

$$G\text{-spectra} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_*} \\ \xrightarrow{i^*} \\ \xleftarrow{i_!} \end{array} H\text{-spectra} .$$

It is helpful to think first about what happens for free spectra. We summarise the discussion from [16]. Starting in the case when G and H are connected, we have a map

$$\theta = i^* : H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BH).$$

This induces restriction of scalars θ^* which itself has left and right adjoints

$$H^*(BH)\text{-mod} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\theta_*} \\ \xrightarrow{\theta^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\theta_!} \end{array} H^*(BG)\text{-mod} .$$

It is apparent that the two triples of adjoint functors cannot match up. It turns out that (when we use the the Eilenberg-Moore equivalence) it is $i_!$ that is modelled by θ^* , so that i^* is modelled by θ_* .

The relevant analogy for us does not involve connected groups, so we recall the general case from [16]. We write $i_e : H_e \rightarrow G_e$ for the inclusion of the identity component, and $i_d : H_d \rightarrow G_d$ for the induced map on discrete quotients (not usually injective). In algebra, we again let $\theta_e = i_e^* : H^*(BG_e) \rightarrow H^*(BH_e)$ for the induced map in cohomology. The main piece of data is $\theta = (\theta_e, i_d)$. It turns out that $i_!$ is modelled by a functor we call θ^* , which is defined on $H^*(BH_e)[H_d]$ -modules N by

$$\theta^*(N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}[H_d]}(\mathbb{Q}[G_d], N)$$

(we note this is consistent with the previous notation when $H_d = G_d = 1$). Restriction of groups i^* is then modelled by the functor θ_* left adjoint to θ^* , which is defined on $H^*(BG_e)[G_d]$ -modules M by

$$\theta_*(M) = H^*(BH_e) \otimes_{H^*(BG_e)} M.$$

Induction of spectra i_* is then modelled by the functor θ^\dagger left adjoint to θ_* defined on $H^*(BH_e)[H_d]$ -modules N by

$$\theta^\dagger(N) = \mathbb{Q}[G_d] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[H_d]} \mathbb{D}(G_e|H_e) \otimes_{H^*(BH_e)} N,$$

where the relative dualizing module is defined by

$$\mathbb{D}(G_e|H_e) = \text{Hom}_{H^*(BG_e)}(H^*(BH_e), H^*(BG_e)).$$

In our case the relative dualizing module satisfies

$$\mathbb{D}(G_e|H_e) = \text{Hom}_{H^*(BG_e)}(H^*(BH_e), H^*(BG_e)) \simeq \Sigma^{LG/H} H^*(BH_e)$$

and we may therefore simplify the expression for θ^\dagger to find

$$\theta^\dagger(N) = \mathbb{Q}[G_d] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[H_d]} \Sigma^{LG/H} N.$$

In the special case $H = \mathbb{N}$ we note that $H_e = \mathbb{T}$ and $H_d = \mathbb{W}G$. In view of the fact that $\mathbb{W}G/\mathbb{W}(G_e) \cong G_d$ we see that

$$i_! \text{ is modelled by } \theta^* N = N^{\mathbb{W}G_e}$$

$$i^* \text{ is modelled by } \theta_* M = H^*(B\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{H^*(BG_e)} M$$

and

$$i_* \text{ is modelled by } \theta^\dagger N = (\Sigma^{LG/\mathbb{T}} N)_{\mathbb{W}(G_e)}$$

11.C The image of a spectrum in the model

We now make explicit the functor we use to relate G -spectra to $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. The motivation is that restriction to the maximal torus is homotopically faithful, but the special form of the objects in the image mean that we can pass to invariants without losing information.

We will need to consider the functor

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$$

from Proposition 5.9, where we use the Lie group component structure of Subsection 4.E. We recall that it was shown to have left adjoint θ_* defined by

$$\theta_*(Y) = \mathbb{R}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{inv}} Y,$$

which on subgroups $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is

$$(\theta_* Y)(K) = H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^e K)} Y(K),$$

Remark 11.2 In view of the isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{W}G]^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e K} = \mathbb{Q}[W_G^d K]$, the relationship between the two notations is

$$(\Psi X)(K) = X(K)^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e K} = \text{Hom}_{(\mathbb{W}G)_K}(\mathbb{Q}W_G^d K, X(K)) = (\theta^* X)(K).$$

Definition 11.3 The functor $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} : G\text{-spectra} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ is defined as the illustrated composite of three functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G} & \mathbb{N}\text{-spectra} \\ \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] \end{array}$$

Remark 11.4 We note that specializing the definition to the case $G = \mathbb{N}$ gives $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})} = \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})} = \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}$, which is consistent according to Lemma 11.1.

We immediately express $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}$ more directly in terms of G -equivariant data.

Proposition 11.5 For any G -spectrum X , and any subgroup $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X)(K) = b_*^{W_G^e K}(\Phi^K X).$$

If X is a finite G -spectrum, we can express this directly in terms of Borel cohomology of fixed points of the dual

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X)(K) = H_{W_G^e K}^*(\Phi^K(DX)).$$

Remark 11.6 It would be possible to give the statement of the proposition as the *definition* of $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X)$. We used Definition 11.3 instead because the deduction of the proposition from the definition is a little more elementary than the reverse deduction. Indeed, if Γ is a connected group (such as $W_G^e K$) with maximal torus T and A is a Γ -space (which might have arisen as $\Phi^K DX$ in some cases) Lemma 10.1 gives the two formulae

$$H_\Gamma^*(A) = H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(A)^{\mathbb{W}\Gamma}$$

and

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(A) = H^*(BT) \otimes_{H_*(B\Gamma)} H_\Gamma^*(A).$$

We view the first as more elementary than the second.

Proof: By definition

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X)(K) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(DE\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge \Phi^K X) = b_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(\Phi^K X).$$

The result now follows by applying Lemma 10.8 with $\Gamma = W_G^e K$ and $A = \Phi^K X$. \square

11.D Restriction

As in the case of free spectra, it will emerge that $\theta^* = \Psi$ corresponds to coinduction, and its left adjoint θ_* corresponds to restriction.

Proposition 11.7 The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G} & \text{toral-}\mathbb{N}\text{-spectra} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{N}}} & \mathbb{T}\text{-spectra} \\
\downarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} & & \downarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})} & & \downarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})} \\
\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}) \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
& & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_1^{\mathbb{W}G}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})
\end{array}$$

Proof: The right hand two squares commute by the definition of $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}$ together with Lemmas 11.1 and 6.10.

By definition $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}X = \Psi\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^GX)$, so the commutation of the left hand square is given by the Proposition 11.8 below. \square

Proposition 11.8 *If X is a G -spectrum then the counit*

$$\theta_*\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X) = \theta_*\Psi\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^GX) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^GX)$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 11.9 In essence this amounts to two classical statements about Borel cohomology (Lemma 10.1 (iii) and (iv)).

Proof: We consider the situation at $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, for a G -spectrum X , where we have the map

$$H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^eK)} \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(DE\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge \Phi^K X) \xrightarrow{WW_G^eK} \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(DE\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge \Phi^K X).$$

Since $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ is free over $H^*(BW_G^eK)$, both sides commute with direct limits in X , so it suffices to prove this is an equivalence for finite X , and these may be taken to be of the form DY for a finite spectrum Y . Since $\Phi^K DY \simeq D\Phi^K Y$ for finite Y , and since

$$DE\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge D\Phi^K Y \simeq D(E\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge \Phi^K Y)$$

we may translate this into a statement about Borel cohomology of the WK -spectrum $\Phi^K Y$:

$$H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^eK)} H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(Z) \xrightarrow{WW_G^eK} H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(Z).$$

We note further that this only depends on the identity component W_G^eK of WK , and it is sufficient to consider the special case when Z is free and the suspension spectrum of a space.

The required isomorphism is then the special case $\Gamma = W_G^eK$ of the Eilenberg-Moore theorem as in Lemma 10.1 (iv). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We note that Proposition 11.8 has significant consequences: only modules of the form θ_*N can be $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}X$ for a G -spectrum X .

Example 11.10 If $G = SO(3)$ we have $\mathbb{N} = O(2)$ and $\mathbb{T} = SO(2)$. Thus $H^*(B\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Q}[c]$ for c of degree -2 with $W = O(2)/SO(2)$ acting as -1 on c , and $H^*(BG) = H^*(B\mathbb{T})^W = \mathbb{Q}[d]$ where $d = c^2$ is of degree -4 .

We thus find that the only $\mathbb{Q}[c][W]$ -modules occurring as the \mathbb{T} -equivariant homotopy of a free G -spectrum are those of the form $M = \mathbb{Q}[c] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[d]} N$. In particular the eigenspaces of $+1$ and -1 are related by

$$M^- = c \cdot N = \Sigma^{-2}N = \Sigma^{-2}M^+.$$

For example $\mathbb{Q}[c]/(c^2) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \Sigma^{-2}\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ occurs, but the dual module $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \Sigma^2\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not.

Proposition 11.8 gives the beginning of our main change of groups theorem.

Corollary 11.11 *If X and Y are G -spectra then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}X, \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}X, \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}Y)^{WG}. \quad \square$$

11.E Coinduction

We have just shown that θ_* models restriction. If the algebraic and topological categories were equivalent, it would follow that the right adjoint of θ_* (viz Ψ) modelled the right adjoint of restriction (viz coinduction). We show that this expected relationship does indeed hold.

Proposition 11.12 For any \mathbb{N} -spectrum Y ,

$$\pi_*^{A(G)}(F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, Y)) = \Psi \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(Y),$$

so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{toral-}G\text{-spectra} & \xleftarrow{F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, \cdot)} & \text{toral-}\mathbb{N}\text{-spectra} \\ \pi_*^{A(G)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})} \\ \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) \\ & & \parallel \\ & & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G] \end{array}$$

Remark 11.13 In essence this amounts to a classical statement about Borel cohomology (Corollary 10.2).

Proof: First we note there is a natural transformation. Indeed, we may apply $\Psi \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}$ to the counit

$$\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, Y) \longrightarrow Y$$

to obtain a natural map

$$\pi_*^{A(G)}(F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, Y)) = \Psi(\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, Y))) \longrightarrow \Psi(\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(Y)).$$

Both of these are cohomology theories in the toral \mathbb{N} -spectrum Y , so it suffices to show that the map is an equivalence when $Y = D\mathbb{N}/K_+$ for $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. Thus we need only check that $\Psi \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}$ vanishes on the cofibre of $\mathbb{N}/K_+ \longrightarrow G/K_+$, which was Corollary 10.4. \square

12 An Adams spectral sequence

We need to set up a means of calculation, so we will construct an Adams spectral sequence based on $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. We summarise the method here, referring to the appropriate sections for proofs.

12.A Overview

The main theorem of the paper is as follows.

Theorem 12.1 *There is an Adams spectral sequence for calculating maps between toral G -spectra. For arbitrary rational toral G -spectra X and Y there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}^{s,t}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X), \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y)) \Rightarrow [X, Y]_{t-s}^G.$$

The E_2 page lies between the $s = 0$ line and the $s = r$ line, where r is the rank of G , so the spectral sequence collapses at the E_{r+1} -page.

Proof: We outline the standard strategy and deal with the main points in succession.

First, Proposition 8.3 shows that the abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ has enough injectives.

Accordingly, we may form an injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y) \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y)$ in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$.

We then show that this can be realized by toral spectra. First the objects.

Lemma 12.2 *Enough injectives are realizable: there are enough injectives I in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ for which there exist toral G -spectra \mathbb{I} with $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(\mathbb{I}) = I$.*

This is proved in Section 13.

Next we show that maps between the injectives are realizable.

Proposition 12.3 *If \mathbb{I} is one of the injectives constructed in the proof of Lemma 12.2, then we have an isomorphism*

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} : [X, \mathbb{I}]^G \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X), \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(\mathbb{I})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X), I).$$

This is proved in Section 14.

This enables us to construct an Adams tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y_0 & \longleftarrow & Y_1 & \longleftarrow & Y_2 & \longleftarrow & Y_3 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathbb{I}_0 & & \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{I}_1 & & \Sigma^{-2}\mathbb{I}_2 & & \Sigma^{-3}\mathbb{I}_3 & & \end{array}$$

The construction starts by using Lemma 12.2 to realize I_0 by a G -spectrum \mathbb{I}_0 and then Proposition 12.3 to realize $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y) \longrightarrow I_0$ by a map $Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_0$. We now take Y_1 to be its fibre so that $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(\Sigma Y_1) = \text{cok}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y) \longrightarrow I_0)$. We may now repeat, using Lemma 12.2 to realize I_1 and Proposition 12.3 to give a map $Y_1 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{I}_1$ realizing the map in the algebraic resolution. Higher Adams covers are constructed by continuing this process.

This process terminates by Proposition 8.3, which shows the category $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ has finite injective dimension.

We deduce that the Adams tower stops at Y_{r+1} with $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y_{r+1}) = 0$. Applying $[X, \cdot]^G$ to the tower we obtain a spectral sequence. By Proposition 12.3 it has the stated E_2 term.

The convergence statement is as follows.

Lemma 12.4 *If X is a toral G -spectrum with $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X) = 0$ then $X \simeq *$.*

Proof: Suppose then that $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X) = 0$, and we want to prove that X is contractible. By Proposition 9.3 it suffices to show $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(X) = 0$. By definition, $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(X) = \Psi\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(X)$, so the result follows, since by Proposition 11.8 we have

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(X) = \theta_*\Psi\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}X = \theta_*\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}X.$$

□

Modulo the deferred proofs of the lemmas, this completes the proof of Theorem 12.1. □

13 Realizing enough injectives

In this subsection we prove Lemma 12.2 by realizing enough of the injectives described in Section 8.

13.A Supports

For a commutative Noetherian ring, the indecomposable injectives correspond to the prime ideals, and the injective corresponding to a prime \wp is the injective hull of the residue field of \wp . The support of a sum of these is the collection of primes involved. The same principle applies in our context. We have notions of algebraic and geometric injectives and in both cases the support is a set of closed subgroups.

In $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ the support is given by the maximal subgroup on which a module is non-zero. This means that the primes correspond to closed subgroups K , the ring corresponding to K is $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ with residue field \mathbb{Q} and injective hull $H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$. To obtain the corresponding object of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$, we apply the functor $f_K^{\mathbb{T}}$ right adjoint to evaluation at K .

Moving from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{N} , we saw in Section 8, that the same idea works for $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N}, \text{toral}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})[\mathbb{W}G]$ provided we use the complete $\mathbb{W}G$ orbit (K) rather than the singleton K . For G , the support is detected through restriction to \mathbb{N} .

13.B Some idempotent spaces

The support in the topological setting corresponds to geometric isotropy. Indecomposable injectives are realized by the simplest possible space with geometric isotropy equal to the support. We pause to catalogue some of these spaces.

The geometric isotropy

$$\mathcal{GI}(X) = \{K \mid \Phi^K X \not\cong_1 *\}$$

consists of subgroups where the geometric fixed points are non-equivariantly essential. We further restrict to spectra where the geometric fixed points are nonequivariantly either S^0 or contractible, which we might call ‘locally idempotent’.

We recall that a collection \mathcal{H} of subgroups closed under conjugacy is called a *family* if it is closed under passage to subgroups, it is called a *cofamily* if it is closed under passage to supergroups, and it is called an *interval* if it contains any subgroup K which lies between two elements of \mathcal{H} . Intervals of subgroups are precisely those collections which are the intersection of a family and a cofamily.

Definition 13.1 If \mathcal{H} is an interval of subgroups we write $\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ for the set of subgroups of elements of \mathcal{H} (which is the smallest family containing \mathcal{H}) and $V(\mathcal{H})$ for the set of supergroups of elements of \mathcal{H} (which is the smallest cofamily containing \mathcal{H}) and we define

$$E\langle\mathcal{H}\rangle := E\Lambda(\mathcal{H})_+ \wedge \tilde{E}(All \setminus V(\mathcal{H})).$$

The proof of the following lemma is immediate from the Geometric Fixed Point Whitehead Theorem.

Lemma 13.2 *If \mathcal{H} is an interval, and we choose a family \mathcal{F} of subgroups and a cofamily \mathcal{C} of subgroups so that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{C}$ then*

$$E\langle\mathcal{H}\rangle \simeq E\mathcal{F}_+ \wedge \tilde{E}(All \setminus \mathcal{C}).$$

The space $E\langle\mathcal{H}\rangle$ is an idempotent spectrum with geometric isotropy \mathcal{H} , and any other locally idempotent spectrum with geometric isotropy \mathcal{H} is equivalent to it. \square

Remark 13.3 It is worth recording the following easy observations.

(1) $\mathcal{GI}(E\langle\mathcal{H}\rangle) = \mathcal{H}$.

(2) If \mathcal{F} is a family then

$$E\langle\mathcal{F}\rangle = E\mathcal{F}_+,$$

(3) If \mathcal{C} is a cofamily then

$$E\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle = \tilde{E}(All \setminus \mathcal{C})$$

(4) Given two intervals \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 we have an equivalence

$$E\langle\mathcal{H}_1\rangle \wedge E\langle\mathcal{H}_2\rangle \simeq E\langle\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2\rangle.$$

(5) If K is a subgroup of G and \mathcal{H} is an interval of subgroups of G , we may consider the interval $\mathcal{H}|_K$ of subgroups of K from \mathcal{H} and then

$$\text{res}_K^G E_G\langle\mathcal{H}\rangle = E_K\langle\mathcal{H}|_K\rangle.$$

13.C Idempotent spaces from conjugacy classes

We apply the generalities in our standard context with G a compact Lie group with maximal torus \mathbb{T} and $\mathbb{N} = N_G(\mathbb{T})$.

The spectra we are concerned with are idempotent spectra with all the geometric isotropy groups coming from a single conjugacy class in a larger group. The point of the previous subsection was to point out that in this case the geometric isotropy determines the object. This subsection records some immediate consequences for single conjugacy classes.

For the interval $(K)_G$ we consider the space

$$E_G\langle K \rangle = E\Lambda_G(K)_+ \wedge \tilde{E}(All \setminus V_G(K))$$

where $\Lambda_G(K)$ is the family of subgroups G -subconjugate to K and $V_G(K)$ is the cofamily of subgroups containing a G -conjugate of K . In the following, it is helpful to introduce some temporary notation. We write $P = N_{\mathbb{N}}K$ for the subgroup of \mathbb{N} fixing K , and we suppose the \mathbb{N} conjugacy class of K is $\{K_1, \dots, K_s\}$, so that $s = |\mathbb{N} : P|$.

Lemma 13.4 *There is an equivalence of \mathbb{T} -spectra*

$$\text{res}_{\mathbb{T}}^P E_P\langle K \rangle \simeq E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle.$$

There is an equivalence of \mathbb{N} -spectra

$$e_{(\mathbb{T})} \text{res}_{\mathbb{N}}^G E_G\langle K \rangle \simeq E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle \simeq \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_P E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle,$$

and hence an equivalence of \mathbb{T} -spectra

$$\text{res}_{\mathbb{T}}^G E_G\langle K \rangle \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^s E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K_i \rangle.$$

Remark 13.5 The idempotent in the second statement is necessary. Consider the special case of $G = SO(3)$, where $\mathbb{N} = O(2)$ and $\mathbb{T} = SO(2)$. The dihedral group of order 2 in $O(2)$ is not conjugate in $O(2)$ to a subgroup of \mathbb{T} , but in $SO(3)$ it is.

Proof: The first equivalence is clear.

Two subgroups of \mathbb{T} which are conjugate in G are conjugate in \mathbb{N} (the proof for elements in [4, IV.2.5] applies to cover non-cyclic subgroups of \mathbb{T}). The geometric isotropy of $E_G\langle K \rangle$ is the single conjugacy class $(K)_G$. The part lying in \mathbb{T} is the \mathbb{N} -conjugacy class.

Now there is a natural map of $\mathbb{N} \cap N_G(K)$ -spaces $E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle \longrightarrow E_G\langle K \rangle$ which is $\{K\} \longrightarrow (K)_{\mathbb{N}}$ on supports. Since \mathbb{T} centralises K this extends to $\mathbb{N} \times_{\mathbb{N} \cap N_G(K)} \{K\} \cong (K)_{\mathbb{N}}$. \square

The interaction with coinduction is also important. The point to note is that in coinducing from $E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle$ there are three significant stopping points: $P = N_{\mathbb{N}}K$ (since $\{K\} = (K)_{\mathbb{T}} = (K)_P$), \mathbb{N} and G .

Lemma 13.6 *If K is a subgroup of \mathbb{T} and $P = N_{\mathbb{N}}K$ then we have the following two equivalence of P -spectra*

$$F_{\mathbb{T}}(P_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle) \simeq P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_P\langle K \rangle.$$

and

$$F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{N}_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle) \simeq P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle.$$

Proof: The first statement is a standard untwisting result.

For the second, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{N}_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle) &\simeq F_P(\mathbb{N}_+, F_{\mathbb{T}}(P_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle)) \\ &\simeq F_P(\mathbb{N}_+, P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_P\langle K \rangle) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_P P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_P\langle K \rangle \\ &\simeq P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_P E_P\langle K \rangle \\ &\simeq P/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the final equivalence comes from Lemma 13.4. □

Coinducing up to G has little effect.

Lemma 13.7 *There is an equivalence*

$$E_G\langle K \rangle \simeq F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, e_{(\mathbb{T})}E_G\langle K \rangle) \simeq F_{\mathbb{N}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle). \quad \square$$

13.D Realizing injectives

Again we rely on [12], which shows that in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ the basic injective with support $K \subset \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the space $E\langle K \rangle$. More precisely,

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle) = f_K^{\mathbb{T}}(H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L\mathbb{T}/K}))$$

where $f_K^{\mathbb{T}}$ is right adjoint to evaluation at K as before. Since we have now catalogued behaviour under change of groups in algebra and topology, we can now read off the values we require.

Corollary 13.8 *The images of $E_G\langle K \rangle$ in $\mathcal{A}(N, \text{toral})$ and $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ are given by the formulae*

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}E_G\langle K \rangle = f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)}))$$

and

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}E_G\langle K \rangle = f_{(K)}^G(H_*((BW_G^e K)^{LW_G^e K})),$$

where $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f_{(K)}^G$ are right adjoint to evaluation at K .

Proof: We have constructed $E_G\langle K \rangle$ so that its geometric isotropy is concentrated on $(K)_{\mathbb{N}}$, so the module is concentrated on conjugates of K in \mathbb{T} . In view of equivariance, we need only identify the value at a single point in the orbit, and we find

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(E_G\langle K \rangle)(K) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(DE\mathbb{T}/K_+ \wedge E\mathbb{T}/K_+) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{T}/K}(E\mathbb{T}/K_+) = H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)}.$$

The second statement follows from the first using Lemma 13.7, since by Lemma 10.5

$$H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})_{W_G^e K} \cong H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})_{W_G^e K} \cong H_*(BW_G^e K)^{L(W_G^e K)}.$$

□

We actually need slightly more general injectives, so that we can embed all representations of $W_G^d(K)$. Of course there are many possible choices. We could start from $E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle$ and coinduce, but it turns out that the proof is slightly streamlined by starting from $E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle$. We give the calculations for both by way of comparison.

Corollary 13.9 *The images of $\mathbb{N}/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle$ in $\mathcal{A}(N, \text{toral})$ and its coinduced spectrum $F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle)$ in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ are given by the formulae*

$$\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}/\mathbb{T}_+ \wedge E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle) = f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{W}G] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{A(G)}(F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{N}}\langle K \rangle)) &= \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{W}G] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})) \\ &= f_{(K)}^G(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{W}G/W_G^e K] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f_{(K)}^G$ are right adjoints to evaluation at K .

Proof: The statement for \mathbb{N} follows easily from the previous corollary, recalling from Subsection 8.A that modules over $(K)_{\mathbb{N}}$ are determined from their value over K by conjugation.

The statement for G follows since Ψ models coinduction as in Proposition 11.12.

We note that if N is a $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)[\mathbb{W}G_K]$ -module, there is a natural transformation

$$f_{(K)}^G(\Psi N) = \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\theta_* \Psi N) \longrightarrow \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(N),$$

when evaluated at K the comparison is the identity

$$\Psi \theta_* \Psi N \longrightarrow \Psi N.$$

□

The values that we will actually use in the proofs are as follows.

Corollary 13.10 *The images of the coinduction of $E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle$ to \mathbb{N} -spectra and G -spectra in the algebraic categories are given by the formulae*

$$\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{N}_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle)) = f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Q}[(\mathbb{W}G)_K] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{A(G)}(F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle)) &= \Psi f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Q}[(\mathbb{W}G)_K] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})) \\ &= f_{(K)}^G(\mathbb{Q}[W_G^d K] \otimes H_*((B\mathbb{T}/K)^{L(\mathbb{T}/K)})), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{(K)}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f_{(K)}^G$ are right adjoints to evaluation at K .

Proof: The first statement follows from Lemma 13.6, noting that $(\mathbb{W}G)_K = N_{\mathbb{N}}K/\mathbb{T} = P/\mathbb{T}$.

The second statement follows as in the proof of Corollary 13.9. \square

14 Maps into injectives

In this section we give control over maps to realizable injectives by proving Proposition 12.3. Since this is where we get control over the maps in our category, it is perhaps not surprising that it is the most delicate part of the argument.

Proposition 14.1 *If \mathbb{I} is a G -spectrum realizing one of the injectives I constructed in the proof of Lemma 12.2, then we have an isomorphism*

$$\pi_*^{A(G)} : [X, \mathbb{I}]^G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{A(G)}(X), \pi_*^{A(G)}(\mathbb{I})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{A(G)}(X), I).$$

Proof: Since I is injective, both sides are cohomology theories of X , it suffices to prove the result for $X = G/K_+$ where K is a subgroup of \mathbb{T} . In fact we will prove it more generally for $X = G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A$ for some finite \mathbb{T} -spectrum A . We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^G & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{A(G)}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\pi_*^{A(G)}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \pi_*^{A(G)}(\mathbb{I})) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\ & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\Psi \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \Psi \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I})) \\ & & \downarrow a \\ [\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I})) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\ & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I}))^{\mathbb{W}G} \\ & & \downarrow b \\ [A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{T})}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{T})}A, \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{T})}(\mathbb{I})) \end{array}$$

The bottom horizontal is an isomorphism from the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Adams spectral sequence of [12], since $\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{T})}(\mathbb{I})$ is injective. The two left hand vertical isomorphisms come from the induction-restriction adjunction. The two right hand vertical isomorphisms are definitions.

It therefore remains to describe the maps a and b so that the diagram commutes and to show that a and b are isomorphisms.

We will deal with b first, because it is straightforward. Since $\pi_*^{A(\mathbb{N})} = \pi_*^{A(\mathbb{T})}$ if we ignore the $\mathbb{W}G$ -action, we may take b to be induced by the \mathbb{T} -map $\beta : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A$. The diagram commutes, since by definition the left hand vertical factors through the forgetful map

$$[\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow [\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

The fact that b is an isomorphism follows from a lemma.

Lemma 14.2 *The map β induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A) = \mathbb{W}G \otimes \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(A),$$

where the functor on the right is the induction functor left adjoint to restriction. □

For the map a we use the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^G & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}} & \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})}(\Psi \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \Psi \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I})) \\ \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \theta_* \\ [G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}} & \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A), \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I}))^{\mathbb{W}G} \\ \downarrow \alpha_* & & \downarrow (\alpha_*)^* \\ [\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \mathbb{I}]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}} & \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})} \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I}))^{\mathbb{W}G} \end{array}$$

We have used the fact that the counit is an isomorphism on restrictions from G (Proposition 11.8) to identify the codomain of θ_* and to see it is an isomorphism. In short, a comes from the map

$$\alpha_* : \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A) \longrightarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A)$$

induced by the \mathbb{N} -map $\alpha : \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A \longrightarrow G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A$.

We will show that $(\alpha_*)^*$ is an isomorphism, but we pause to observe that this is fairly subtle, since the map α_* itself is usually not an isomorphism.

Example 14.3 Consider the special case $\mathbb{I} = EG_+$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, EG_+]^G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{Hom}_{H^*(BG_e)}(H_{G_e}^*(D(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A)), H_*(BG_e^{LG}))^{G_d} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ [\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A, EG_+]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T})}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(D(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A)), H_*(B\mathbb{T}^{LT}))^{\mathbb{W}G} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ [A, EG_+]^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T})}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(D(A)), H_*(B\mathbb{T}^{LT})). \end{array}$$

The reader may find it instructive to think how the suspensions match up.

More specifically still, we may take $G = SO(3)$, $\mathbb{N} = O(2)$ and $\mathbb{T} = SO(2)$, with $A = S^0$. Of course $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(DA) = H^*B\mathbb{T}$ so that we see from the bottom right that the value is \mathbb{Q} in each positive degree and 0 elsewhere. At the top left, we use the fact that $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(DG/\mathbb{T}_+)$ is a copy of \mathbb{Q} in codegree -2 and a copy of $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{W}G$ in even codegrees ≥ 0 , and its ring of $\mathbb{W}G$ -invariants $H_{G_e}^*(DG/\mathbb{T}_+)$ is a free $H^*(BG_e)$ -module on generators of cohomological degrees 0 and -2 . □

To make further progress, it is convenient to make a specific choice for \mathbb{I} . Indeed, since $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A)$ and $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A)$ are small, it suffices to deal with the case $\mathbb{I} = F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle)$ for some K . For any finite \mathbb{N} -spectrum B we have $\Phi^K DB = D\Phi^K B$ and Corollary 13.10 gives the value $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\mathbb{I})$. Abbreviating $\mathcal{A}(N, \text{toral})$ to $\mathcal{A}(N)$, we may calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(B), \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(F_{\mathbb{T}}(G_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle))) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(B), \theta_* \Psi \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{N}_+, E_{\mathbb{T}}\langle K \rangle))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{N})}(B), f_K^{\mathbb{N}}(\theta_* \Psi H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{L\mathbb{T}/K})[(\mathbb{W}G)_K])) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B), \theta_* \Psi H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{L\mathbb{T}/K})[(\mathbb{W}G)_K])^{(\mathbb{W}G)_K} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B), \theta_* H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{L\mathbb{T}/K})[W_G^d K])^{(\mathbb{W}G)_K} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B), \theta_* H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K^{L\mathbb{T}/K}))^{(\mathbb{W}G)_K^e} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B^{L\mathbb{T}/K}), \theta_* H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K))^{W_G^e K} \end{aligned}$$

As an $H^*(BW_G^e K)$ -module $H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ is a sum of copies of $H_*(BW_G^e K)$, and hence as an $H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ -module $\theta_* H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$ is a sum of copies of $H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K)$. The above functor is thus a sum of copies of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{H^*(B\mathbb{T}/K)}(H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B^{L\mathbb{T}/K}), H_*(B\mathbb{T}/K))^{W_G^e K} &\cong \left[H_{\mathbb{T}/K}^*(D\Phi^K B^{L\mathbb{T}/K}) \right]^{W_G^e K} \\ &\cong H_*^{W_G^e K}(D\Phi^K B^{L\mathbb{T}/K}) \end{aligned}$$

where the final isomorphism is Lemma 10.5.

It suffices to show that α induces an isomorphism of this functor of B , or equivalently that the functor vanishes on

$$Q(A) = \text{cofibre}(\mathbb{N}_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_+ \wedge_{\mathbb{T}} A).$$

Now the following groups vanish together

$$H_*^{W_G^e K}(D\Phi^K Q(A)^{LW_G^e K}), H_{W_G^e K}^*(D\Phi^K Q(A)^{LW_G^e K}), H_{W_G^e K}^*(\Phi^K Q(A)).$$

The first two are vector space duals, and the last two vanish together by the standard observation about ring spectra recalled in Subsection 10.D. The result follows from Corollary 10.4. \square

15 Essential surjectivity

We want to show that the functors $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}}$ are essentially surjective, so that our modelling categories are no bigger than necessary.

Lemma 15.1 *Every object of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$ is realizable by a toral G spectrum.*

Proof: We may use the ingredients of the proof of the Adams spectral sequence. Suppose then that M is a module in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$. By Proposition 8.3, this has an injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow I_r \longrightarrow 0.$$

We now set about constructing a toral G -spectrum Y with $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} Y = M$. When Y is constructed, we will in retrospect see that we have found the dual Adams tower $\{Y^s\}$ where this is related to the Adams tower by cofibre sequences $Y_s \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Y^s$.

In any case, we construct a tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 * & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y^0 & \longleftarrow & Y^1 & \longleftarrow & Y^2 & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & Y^r & \longleftarrow & Y^{r+1} = Y \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \Sigma^1 \mathbb{I}_0 & & \mathbb{I}_1 & & \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{I}_2 & & & & \Sigma^{1-r} \mathbb{I}_r & &
 \end{array}$$

For each s , the G -spectrum \mathbb{I}_s is a realization of I_s , which exists by Lemma 12.2. We build the tower recursively, starting with $Y^0 = *$ and $Y^1 = \mathbb{I}_0$. Supposing we have constructed the tower up to Y^s , we find an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1-s} C_{s+1} \longrightarrow \pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)} Y_s \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$, where $C_{s+1} = \text{im}(I_s \rightarrow I_{s+1})$. Since I_{s+1} is injective, we may extend the map $C_{s+1} \rightarrow I_{s+1}$ over $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y_s)$ and then by Proposition 12.3 we may realize this by a map $Y_s \rightarrow \Sigma^{1-s} \mathbb{I}_s$. We then take Y^{s+1} to be the fibre, completing the step. Since $C_{r+1} = 0$, the process finishes in r steps with $Y = Y^{r+1}$ having $\pi_*^{\mathcal{A}(G)}(Y) = M$ as required. \square

Remark 15.2 For the special case $G = \mathbb{N}$, one may work more directly from the case of a torus.

16 Change of groups

We now suppose given a group G and a subgroup H , and we choose maximal tori S of G and T of H with $S \supseteq T$. We note that it does not follow that there is a containment of normalisers of maximal tori.

Writing $i : H \rightarrow G$ for the inclusion, the restriction map i^* from G -spectra to H -spectra has left adjoint the induced spectrum $i_* Y = G_+ \wedge_H Y$ and right adjoint $i_! Y = F_H(G_+, Y)$ from G -spectra to H -spectra. Applying idempotents these give functors on toral spectra:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G\text{-spectra} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_*} \\ \xrightarrow{i^*} \\ \xleftarrow{i_!} \end{array} & H\text{-spectra}
 \end{array}$$

It is the purpose of this section to describe the algebraic counterparts. If the ranks of the groups differ then there is only a good story at the level of derived functors. The exposition will deal with the general case, and simply note that if the ranks are equal then the effect of using derived functors is nugatory.

The case of a torus is considerably simpler, and since we will also reduce the general case to that of the torus, we will deal with tori first in the next subsection. For the equal rank case the content is vacuous, so readers interested only in equal rank can skip Subsection 16.A

16.A Tori

In this section we consider the case when $G = S$ and $H = T$ are tori. We let $j : T \rightarrow S$ denote the inclusion and $\lambda = j^* : H^*(BS) \rightarrow H^*(BT)$. To prove the assertion requires working with the specific Quillen equivalences used in [21], so we will not prove it here. On the other hand, special cases can be seen: free spectra, and homologically simple objects.

Conjecture 16.1 Given an inclusion $j : T \longrightarrow S$ of tori, the change of groups functors

$$S\text{-spectra} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j_*} \\ \xrightarrow{j^*} \\ \xleftarrow{j_!} \end{array} T\text{-spectra}$$

are modelled at the derived level by the functors

$$\mathcal{A}(S) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\lambda^!} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\lambda^*} \end{array} \mathcal{A}(T) .$$

For an object M of $\mathcal{A}(S)$, λ_*M is defined on subgroups $L \subseteq T$ by

$$(\lambda_*M)(L) = H^*(BT/L) \otimes_{H^*(BS/L)} M(L),$$

where the tensor product is derived. For an object N of $\mathcal{A}(T)$, the objects λ^*M and $\lambda^!M$ are defined on subgroups $K \subseteq S$ by

$$(\lambda^*N)(K) = \begin{cases} N(K) & \text{if } K \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\lambda^!N)(K) = \begin{cases} \Sigma^{LS/LT} N(K) & \text{if } K \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

16.B General case

We now return to the general case when S is the maximal torus of G , T is the maximal torus of H . We write $i : H \longrightarrow G$ and $j : T \longrightarrow S$ for the inclusions with induced maps

$$\theta = i^* : H^*(BG) \longrightarrow H^*(BH)$$

and

$$\lambda = j^* : H^*(BS) \longrightarrow H^*(BT).$$

We will state the proposition in the equal rank case (i.e., when $S = T$), but we have stated it so that it will hold at the derived level in general provided Conjecture 16.1 holds.

Proposition 16.2 *If G and H have the same rank, then the change of groups functors*

$$G\text{-spectra} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_*} \\ \xrightarrow{i^*} \\ \xleftarrow{i_!} \end{array} H\text{-spectra}$$

are modelled by the functors

$$\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\theta^!} \\ \xrightarrow{\theta_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\theta^*} \end{array} \mathcal{A}(H, \text{toral}) .$$

For an object M of $\mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral})$, θ_*M is defined on subgroups $L \subseteq T$ by

$$(\theta_*M)(L) = \left[H^*(BT/L) \otimes_{H^*(BW_G^e(L))} M(L) \right]^{\mathbb{W}W_H^e(L)} .$$

For an object N of $\mathcal{A}(H, \text{toral})$, θ^*M and $\theta^!M$ are defined on subgroups $K \subseteq S$ by

$$(\lambda^*N)(K) = \begin{cases} [H^*(BT/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_H^e(K))} N(K)]^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e(K)} & \text{if } K \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\lambda^!N)(K) = \begin{cases} [\Sigma^{LS/LT} H^*(BT/K) \otimes_{H^*(BW_H^e(K))} N(K)]^{\mathbb{W}W_G^e(K)} & \text{if } K \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remark 16.3 It is worth making explicit a couple of special cases. First note that if $H = \mathbb{N}G$ we recover part of Proposition 11.7, and similarly, if $H = \mathbb{T}G$.

The statement should hold at the derived level even when G and H are of different rank. If so, when G and H are both tori we recover Conjecture 16.1.

Proof: In view of toral detection and the fact that by Proposition 5.9 $\Psi\theta_* = 1$, we can deduce the general case from the torus case. In other words, writing $V = \mathbb{W}G$ and $W = \mathbb{W}H$, and notation given in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(G, \text{toral}) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\theta^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\theta_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\theta^!} \end{array} & \mathcal{A}(H, \text{toral}) \\ \phi_*^G \downarrow \uparrow \Psi^G & & \phi_*^H \downarrow \uparrow \Psi^H \\ \mathcal{A}(S)[V] & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\lambda^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\lambda^!} \end{array} & \mathcal{A}(T)[W] \end{array}$$

we have $\theta^* = \Psi^G \lambda^* \phi_*^H$, $\theta_* = \Psi^H \lambda_* \phi_*^G$ and $\theta^! = \Psi^G \lambda^! \phi_*^H$. The formulae are now easily verified. \square

References

- [1] D.Barnes “Rational Equivariant Spectra.” Thesis (2008), University of Sheffield, arXiv:0802.0954
- [2] D.Barnes “Classifying Dihedral $O(2)$ -Equivariant Spectra.” Preprint (2008) arXiv:0804.3357
- [3] D.Barnes “Rational $O(2)$ -Equivariant Spectra.” Preprint (2012), 66pp arXiv, 1201.6610
- [4] T. Bröcker and T.tom Dieck “Representations of compact Lie groups” Springer-Verlag (1985)
- [5] T.tom Dieck “The Burnside ring of a compact Lie group I ” Math. Ann. **215** (1975) 235-250
- [6] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational Mackey functors for compact Lie groups I ” Proc. London Math. Soc **76** (1998) 549-578
- [7] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational $O(2)$ -equivariant cohomology theories.” Fields Institute Communications **19** (1998) 103-110
- [8] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational S^1 -equivariant stable homotopy theory.” Mem. American Math. Soc. (1999) xii+289 pp.
- [9] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational $SO(3)$ -equivariant cohomology theories.” Contemporary Maths. **271**, American Math. Soc. (2001) 99-125
- [10] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational S^1 -equivariant elliptic cohomology” Topology **44** (2005) 1213-1279

- [11] J.P.C.Greenlees “Triangulated categories of rational equivariant cohomology theories.” Oberwolfach Reports 8/2006, 480-488
- [12] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy I: calculating groups of stable maps.” JPAA **212** (2008) 72-98 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.05.010>)
- [13] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy II: the algebra of localization and inflation.” JPAA **216** (2012) 2141-2158
- [14] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy III: comparison of models” Preprint (2014), arXiv 1410.5464
- [15] J.P.C.Greenlees “Rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy IV: geometry of flags.” In preparation.
- [16] J.P.C.Greenlees “Algebraic models of induction and coinduction” Preprint (2014), arXiv 1501.06167
- [17] J.P.C.Greenlees “An S^1 -equivariant cohomology theory associated to a curve of higher genus.” (2003) 12pp
- [18] D.Gepner and J.P.C.Greenlees “Comparing rational $U(1)$ -equivariant elliptic cohomology theories” In preparation (15pp)
- [19] J.P.C.Greenlees “Equivariant cohomology theories from algebraic geometry” In preparation (31pp)
- [20] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley “An algebraic model for free rational G -spectra.” Bull. LMS **46** (2014) 133-142, DOI 10.1112/blms/bdt066
- [21] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley “An algebraic model for rational torus-equivariant spectra.” Preprint 76pp Submitted for publication, arXiv:1101.2511
- [22] M.Kedziorek “Algebraic models for rational G -spectra.” Thesis, University of Sheffield, (2014)
- [23] L.Solomon “Invariants of finite reflection groups” Nagoya J. Math. **22** (1963) 57-64
- [24] B.E.Shipley, “An algebraic model for rational S^1 -equivariant stable homotopy theory.” Q. J. Math. 53 (2002), no. 1, 87–110.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH. UK.

j.greenlees@sheffield.ac.uk