

This is a repository copy of Patients' perceptions and views of surgery and radioiodine ablation in the definitive management of Graves' disease.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/107911/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hookham, J., Truran, P., Allahabadia, A. et al. (1 more author) (2017) Patients' perceptions and views of surgery and radioiodine ablation in the definitive management of Graves' disease. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 93 (1009). pp. 266-270. ISSN 0032-5473

https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2015-133756

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Postgraduate Medical Journal

Patients' perceptions and views of surgery and radio-iodine ablation in the definitive management of Graves' disease.

| Journal: | Postgraduate Medical Journal |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | postgradmedj-2015-133756.R2 |
| Article Type: | Original Article |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a |
| Complete List of Authors: | Hookham, Jess; Sheffield Teaching Hospital, Truran, Peter; Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Allahabadia, Amit; Sheffield Teaching Hospital, Balasubramanian, Sabapathy; Sheffield Teaching Hospital, |
| Keywords: | Radioiodine, Thyroidectomy, Graves, Hyperthyroidism |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Title:

Patients' perceptions and views of surgery and radio-iodine ablation in the definitive management of Graves' disease.

Authors:

Jessica Hookham¹, Peter Truran², Amit Allahabadia³, Sabapathy P Balasubramanian^{1,2}.

NHS Foundation Trust

Corresponding author:

SP Balasubramanian

EU 35, E Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital

Department of Oncology, University of Sheffield

Glossop Road, Sheffield. S10 2JF

Email: s.p.balasubramanian@sheffield.ac.uk

Keywords:

Radioiodine, thyroidectomy, graves' disease, hyperthyroidism

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, tables and references): 2730

¹ Department of Oncology, University of Sheffield

² Endocrine Surgical Unit, Directorate of General Surgery, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals

³ Department of Endocrinology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

The main messages of the article are:

- 1. Most patients undergoing surgery or radio-iodine ablation for Graves' disease were involved with decision making and were satisfied with their treatment.
- 2. Patient involvement in the choice of definitive treatment is associated with increased satisfaction with treatment.
- 3. Surgery is associated with higher levels of satisfaction compared to radio-iodine.

Current research questions include:

- Factors underlying the variation in the use of surgery and radio-iodine need to be explored.
- 2. The long term effectiveness and quality of life after definitive treatment of Graves' disease is unknown

Funding statement:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing Interests Statement:

Conflict of interest or financial interest: – nothing to declare.

Contributorship Statement:

JH – Design, data collection, analysis.

PT – data validation, analysis, draft

AA – Design, analysis.

SPB – Design, analysis.

ABSTRACT: (195 words)

Patients' perceptions and preferences influence the choice of Radioiodine ablation (RIA) or surgery in the definitive management of Graves' disease. This study aimed to evaluate their concerns, experiences and satisfaction following definitive treatment.

A postal survey of patients who had treatment with surgery or RIA between January 2011 and June 2013 for Graves' disease was conducted.

Of 214 patients, 136 (64%) responded. The majority of patients felt actively involved in decision making (83.8%) and were satisfied (84.9%) with their treatment. Compared to RIA, patients who underwent surgery were more to be satisfied with their treatment (p=0.008). Discussion with the doctor was the most useful aid to decision making. Feeling involved in decision-making process was associated with improved satisfaction (p<0.001).

Common reasons for not choosing surgery were need for general anaesthesia, scarring and voice change. Avoiding close contact, risk of persistent hyperthyroidism and worsening eye disease were common reasons for not choosing RIA. Ongoing concerns were hypothyroidism, scarring and eye problems after surgery and hypothyroidism and eye problems after RIA.

This study provides insight into patients' experiences of surgery and RIA for Graves' disease and reinforces the importance of patient involvement in the decision making process.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The incidence of Graves' disease is estimated at 20-50 per 100,000 patient years and is increasing (1). Management options are anti-thyroid drugs, radioiodine ablation (RIA) and surgery. Anti-thyroid drugs are usually first line treatment but up to 60% relapse (2,3). In patients who relapse, options include a further course of anti-thyroid drugs, radioiodine ablation or surgery. Both radioiodine and surgery have advantages and limitations for the patients to consider. Contraindications for surgery include pregnancy, recent neck surgery and severe, uncontrolled hyperthyroidism. Radioiodine ablation is contraindicated in pregnant or lactating women and in patients with severe eye disease. The choice of definitive treatment for Graves' disease in the absence of specific contraindications to surgery or radioiodine seems to be influenced by patient and clinician preference (4-6).

It is important to understand the reasons underlying patient preferences as well as their values, concerns and experiences with treatments received. This will enable clinicians to identify areas for improvement and help to inform future patients to facilitate decision making. Data on patients' views, concerns and satisfaction with radio-iodine and surgical treatment of Graves' disease is sparse. Patient satisfaction studies of radioiodine in the UK demonstrated that 65-87% of patients were satisfied with treatment and that just over half would recommend it to someone else (7,8). Patient reported complications included hoarseness of voice, vibrating sensation of the eyes, insomnia, forgetfulness, weight gain and depression (9). Other studies have demonstrated high satisfaction rates following surgical treatment (10,11).

The aim of this study was to evaluate patients' concerns, experiences and satisfaction with regards to both radio-iodine ablation and thyroidectomy in the definitive management of Graves' disease in a single centre.

STUDY DESIGN

A cross-sectional patient survey was conducted. All adult patients who had treatment with surgery or radio-active iodine for the management of Graves' disease diagnosed between January 2011 and June 2013 at Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust were included. Participants were sent a postal questionnaire designed for this study. Questions regarding patients' concerns and reasons for choosing a particular treatment had predefined options as well as a free text section. Awareness of alternative treatments, involvement in the decision making process, usefulness of aids to decision making and satisfaction with treatment were explored using 5 point Likert scales. The use of radio-iodine or surgery was at the discretion of the treating endocrinologists and surgeons. Decisions were made in conjunction with patients following discussion of risks and benefits of both options.

Radio-iodine ablation

In uncomplicated Graves' disease, 400MBq to 600MBq radioiodine is given depending on the size of the thyroid gland. In patients with eye disease, prednisolone is given to prevent exacerbation 2-3 days before radio-iodine treatment and continued for 3 weeks (30 mg per day for one week, 20 mg per day for a week, and 10 mg per day for a week). Advice regarding safety precautions regarding avoidance of contact with pregnant women and young children, in addition to return to work information is provided to all patients. The treatment is provided as an outpatient and response monitored in future visits.

Surgery

Total thyroidectomy is the intended procedure in all these patients. Preoperative work up includes an anaesthetic assessment, laryngoscopy and biochemical tests to ensure a euthyroid state and a normal calcium profile. Lugol's iodine (at a dose of 0.3ml three

times a day for 10 days before surgery) is routinely used to help reduce gland vascularity. In patients who have poor control of hyperthyroidism, monitored in-patient treatment with a combination of anti-thyroid drugs is carried out for 10 days before surgery. Patients are typically discharged the next day following a postoperative laryngoscopy, check of calcium levels and initiation of Thyroxine. Further follow up of thyroid biochemistry and any surgical complications is done in the surgical outpatient clinics.

Data analysis and statistics:

Descriptive data was reported as frequencies or percentages. Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher's exact test. The levels of satisfaction, as assessed by Likert scale, were compared against 'recommendation of treatment' and 'patient involvement in decision making' using the Jonckheere-Terpstra test. The level of significance was set at p=0.05.

This survey was conducted as part of a service evaluation in relation to definitive treatment (surgery and radio-iodine) provided in Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and was approved by the trust's clinical effectiveness unit. As patients were approached by post several months after definitive treatment and those willing to take part in the survey did so on a voluntary basis, no additional consent process or application to ethics committee was involved. As this was a service evaluation, additional data on demographic features such as age, gender or ethnicity was not formally recorded.

RESULTS

214 patients met the inclusion criteria. Responses were received from 136 patients (64%). 79 of 111 patients (71%) who had radio-iodine and 57 of 103 patients (55%) who had surgery responded to the questionnaire. Table 1 shows the responses to questions relating to awareness of alternative treatment options, role in decision making and satisfaction with treatment.

Decision making:

Of the 135 responders, 108 (80%) felt that they had some or detailed understanding of the alternative definitive treatment option and this was significantly more often reported in surgical patients (p=0.007; Fisher's exact test). 114 of 136 patients (84%) agreed or strongly agreed that they were actively involved in the decision making process. There was no significant difference between radio-iodine and surgery groups (83% vs 86%, Fishers' exact test; p=0.443). There was a moderate positive correlation (Spearman's rho=0.552; p<0.001) between responses to questions about 'awareness of alternative option' and 'involvement in decision making'.

Discussion with the doctor was considered to be the most useful decision-making tool for both radio-iodine and surgical patients. 92% of patients who responded agreed that it aided their decision making. Information leaflets (55%) and discussion with friends and/or family (53%) were important to over half the patients. Over a third of patients (28/136; 37%) found the internet aided their decision making. Patients who underwent surgery were significantly more likely to report that their decision making was aided by "friends/family" (p=0.03; Fisher's exact test).

Satisfaction:

61/79 (80%) in the radio-iodine group and 52/57 (91%) in the surgical group were satisfied or very satisfied with their treatment (Table 1). Overall, patients who underwent surgery were significantly more satisfied with treatment compared to those that underwent radio-iodine (p=0.008; Fisher's exact test). When patients were asked "Would you recommend your definitive treatment to others?"; there were no statistically significant differences between the groups (72% and 77% for radio-iodine and surgery respectively). Unsurprisingly, satisfied patients were more likely to recommend their treatment to others than unsatisfied patients (Jonckheere-Terpstra test; p<0.001). When patients agreed to feeling involved in decision-making with regards to treatment, they were more likely to be satisfied with treatment (Jonckheere-Terpstra p<0.001).

Table 1. Responses to questions on awareness of alternative treatments, decision making and satisfaction with treatment received.

| | Radio- Iodine | Surgery | p value |
|---|------------------|----------|----------|
| "Awareness of alternative treatment option" | n=79 | n=56 | |
| Not known another option was available | 13 (17%) | 2 (4%) | |
| Little understanding | 8 (10%) | 3 (5%) | p=0.007* |
| Some understanding | 23 (29%) | 10 (18%) | |
| Detailed understanding | 35 (44%) | 40 (71%) | |

| Can't remember | 0 | 1 (2%) | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | |
| "I was involved in decision making process" | n=79 | n=57 | |
| Agreed / strongly agreed | 65 (83%) | 49 (86%) | |
| Disagreed / strongly disagreed | 8 (11%) | 6 (11%) | p=0.443 |
| Unsure | 6 (7%) | 2 (3%) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| "The following helped you in decision making" | | | |
| Discussion with doctor | 59/67 | 49/51 | p=0.18 |
| Discussion with doctor | (88%) | (96%) | р 0.10 |
| Leaflet | 28/52 | 24/43 | p=1.0 |
| Leanet | (54%) | (56%) | p 1.0 |
| Friends / Family | 20/47 | 27/41 | p=0.03 |
| Thends / Tahiniy | (43%) | (66%) | р 0.03 |
| Internet | 12/40 | 16/35 | p=0.23 |
| memer | (30%) | (46%) | p 0.23 |
| | | | |
| "Overall satisfaction" | n=76 | n=57 | |
| Very satisfied | 29 (38%) | 39 (68%) | |
| Satisfied | 32 (42%) | 13 (23%) | |
| Neutral | 9 (12%) | 4 (7%) | p<0.008* |
| Unsatisfied | 4 (5%) | 1 (2%) | |
| Very Unsatisfied | 2 (3%) | 0 | |
| | | | |

| "Would you recommend your treatment to others?" | n=76 | N=56 | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| Yes | 55 (72%) | 43 (77%) | |
| No | 3 (4%) | 3 (5%) | P=0.688 |
| Maybe | 18 (24%) | 10 (18%) | |

Reported reasons for choice of treatment:

Table 2 lists the common reasons reported by patients for not choosing radio-iodine or surgical treatment. There were 18 different reasons given by patients who had radioiodine for not choosing to have surgery. The 'idea of general anaesthetic and surgery' was the most common (n=29) followed by scarring and voice change.

Uncommon reasons mentioned by just one patient and not listed in table 2 included having chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, not preferring inpatient stay, effectiveness of treatment, 'age', recent neck surgery, stigma of surgery (not wanting to worry friends and family) and wanting to get back to work as soon as possible. For those patients who had surgery, 15 different reasons were given for not choosing radio-iodine. Avoiding close contact with children and/or pregnant women (n=26), the risk of remaining overactive and worsening eye disease were the most common reasons.

Uncommon reasons mentioned by just one patient and not listed in table 2 included the desire to prevent recurrent eye disease, increased uncertainty regarding hormone levels,

'anxiety due to exposure', being 'still over-active' despite two attempts with antithyroid medications.

Table 2. Common reasons for not choosing radio-iodine or surgery (in descending order of frequency)

| Patients who had radioiodine - | | Patients who underwent surgery - | |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|----------|
| reasons for not choosing surgery | N | reasons for not choosing radioiodine | N |
| (n=77) | | ablation (n=57) | |
| Idea of general anaesthetic and | 2 | Did not want to be away from | • |
| surgery | 9 | children/pregnant women | 26 |
| Scarring | 1 8 | Risk of remaining overactive | 25 |
| *** | 1 | Did not want to avoid close contact in | 20 |
| Voice change | 2 | general | 20 |
| Pain | 1 | Worsening eye problems | 17 |
| | 1 | | |
| Swallowing problems | 8 | Radioactive nature of the treatment | 13 |
| Told by the doctor surgery was not | 8 | Was told by the doctor that RAI was not | 9 |
| an option | O | an option | |
| Hypothyroidism | 5 | Recommended by friends/family towards | 4 |
| Try pour y totalom | J | surgery | • |
| Recommended by friends/family | 5 | Development of hypothyroidism | 2 |
| towards RAI | 3 | Development of hypothyroidism | <u> </u> |
| Could not take time off for the | 4 | Wanting to become pregnant | 2 |

| operation | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|
| Radio-Iodine favoured by consultant | 1 | Curacy favoured by dector in the clinic | 2 |
| in clinic | 4 | Surgery favoured by doctor in the clinic | 2 |
| Previously 'failed thyroidectomy' | 3 | Did not want steroids for the eye disease | 2 |
| Other reasons each mentioned by | 7 | Other reasons each mentioned by only | 4 |
| only one patient (see text for details) | , | one patient (see text for details) | '1 |

Prior and ongoing concerns with treatment received:

Table 3 lists concerns expressed by patients relating to treatments they had actually received. 76 of 79 patients who underwent radioiodine responded to at least one of the options relating to concerns before treatment. Common concerns expressed before RIA treatment included 'avoiding close contact in general' (83%), 'radioactive nature' of the treatment (77%) and risk of 'remaining hyperthyroid' (59%). 75 of 79 patients responded to the question on ongoing concerns following RIA treatment. Common ongoing concerns included hypothyroidism (60%) and symptoms relating to the eyes (32%) and the skin (23%).

55 of 57 surgical patients responded to at least one of the issues raised in the question regarding concerns before treatment. The 'idea of general anaesthetic and surgery' (63%), voice change (62%), swallowing problems (62%), scarring (58%) and bleeding/infection (50%) were concerns for at least half of the responders. The 55 patients who responded to the question relating to concerns after surgery raised issues including 'hypothyroidism' (43%), scarring (32%) and problems with eyes (27%), swallowing (25%) and voice (23%).

Table 3. Concerns of patients (in percentage) before and after radioiodine or surgical treatment

| Concerns before | % | Canadana beferre | % |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|
| radioiodine | Agreed | Concerns before surgery | Agreed |
| Avoiding close contact | | Idea of general anaesthetic | |
| in general | 83% | and surgery | 63% |
| Radioactive nature | 77% | Voice change | 62% |
| Avoiding | | | |
| children/pregnant | | Swallowing problems | |
| women | 76% | | 62% |
| Remaining | | | |
| hyperthyroid | 59% | Scarring | 58% |
| Hypothyroidism | 45% | Infection/bleeding | 50% |
| Worsening eye | | | |
| problems | 44% | Pain | 48% |
| Other side effects | 38% | Risk of hypothyroidism | 39% |
| Preventing | | | |
| pregnancy/fathering | 9% | | |
| | | | |
| Ongoing concerns | | Ongoing concerns after | |
| after radioiodine | | surgery | |
| Hypothyroidism | 60% | Hypothyroidism | 43% |
| Eye problems | 32% | Scarring | 32% |

| kin changes | 23% | Eye problems | 27% |
|-----------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| Iyperthyroidism | 16% | Swallowing problems | 25% |
| Veck pain | 11% | Voice change | 23% |
| | | Neck pain | 20% |
| | | Hyperthyroidism | 19% |
| | | Skin changes | 17% |
| | | | |
| | | | |

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that the majority of patients who underwent definitive treatment for Graves' disease had at least some understanding of the alternative treatment option available to them (80%), felt involved in making the decision making process (84%) and were satisfied with their treatment (85%). Other studies in Graves' disease have shown similar levels of satisfaction with radioiodine and surgery (4,10). A randomised controlled trial from Sweden comparing medical treatment, radio-iodine and surgery in Graves' disease showed that 90% of patients from each of the three treatment groups were satisfied with treatment (9).

A key finding in this study was that involvement in decision-making was found to be positively associated with satisfaction with treatment. This has been demonstrated in other situations and should provide further encouragement to clinicians to ensure patient involvement in making treatment decisions (11-13).

The discussion with the doctor was considered to be the most important aid in decision-making. Information leaflets were found to be useful in the decision making process in 55% and the internet in 37% of patients. Given the use of internet by a significant proportion of patients, doctors may consider recommending reliable sources of web based information. Discussion with friends and family was helpful in 53%; this was more marked in those undergoing surgery. The reasons for this are unclear.

Before radioiodine treatment, the concerns related to avoiding contacts with others, remaining hyperthyroid and the radioactive nature of the treatment. Remaining hyperthyroid after radio-iodine ablation was cited as a concern by 25% of patients undergoing surgery and 59% of patients undergoing radio-iodine ablation. However, the chance of remaining hyperthyroid is reported to be less than 20% (14,15). Although, patients should be informed of this risk routinely, it should be reiterated that persistent

hyperthyroidism is uncommon and that a further dose can effectively address the problem.

The idea of having surgery under general anaesthesia was the common reason for not undergoing surgery. This was also a concern for 63% of patients before surgery. In patients undergoing surgery, the main concerns were physical complications of scarring, swallowing problems, voice change and neck pain (see table 3). 23% of our patients felt that voice change was a concern after surgery. This is similar to those in another study, where 29% patients believed that they had experienced voice change (10). Despite this, a greater number of patients were satisfied or very satisfied with surgery (91%) than radioiodine (80%). The higher satisfaction rates in the surgical group could be attributed to several reasons. Patients with more severe disease (with associated large goitre or thyroid eye disease) tend to be referred more often to surgery (16). A greater relative improvement in well-being following treatment may therefore have contributed to higher scores. Patients in the surgical group are also more likely to have further consultations (with the surgical and anaesthetic teams in addition to endocrinologists) before treatment, arguably resulting in a greater opportunity to be involved in decision making and treatment planning. It is also likely that the surgery and radio-iodine cohorts are likely to differ in demographic features as radio-iodine is more likely to be used in elderly patients with comorbidity while surgery in the young patients with children. This has not been explored as the relevant data on demographics were not collected, given the nature of the study (service evaluation).

The perception of being hypothyroid was the most common on-going concern raised in both groups. This was greater in the radio-iodine group (60%) compared to in the surgery group (43%). This may be reflected in the difference between the predictability in developing hypothyroidism. Following surgery, the development of hypothyroidism

is immediate and patients are started on thyroxine the next day. In contrast, after radioiodine the development of hypothyroidism may take months and is not easily predicted. Patients may be concerned about when they will develop hypothyroidism and how it will affect them.

This study has identified the common concerns in patients undergoing definitive treatment for Graves' disease. Clinicians should aim to provide detailed information and offer to discuss these issues when considering treatment options with patients. Addressing concerns in a shared decision making process and empowering patients will improve patient satisfaction with whichever treatment they undergo. Our results also highlight that there are a wide range of reasons for choosing RIA or surgery. Some are concerns specific to only a few patients; but in these patients, they may be major factors in the decision making process. In addition to common concerns, clinicians treating Graves' disease should also develop strategies for exploring the less common concerns. The study has several limitations. The surgery group included patients from nearby hospitals who were referred by local endocrinologists at these hospitals specifically for thyroid surgery to be done by the endocrine surgeons in this centre. This may explain the relatively large number of patients undergoing surgery for Graves' disease at this centre. As the survey was conducted around 9-39 months after definitive treatment, the responses are subject to recall bias. This would be particularly relevant to some questions such as information on the provision of information and concerns before treatment. In a study of patients undergoing thyroid surgery, 69% of patients remembered only one or two of the possible complications mentioned prior to surgery and 12% could not remember any at hospital discharge.(17) The study did not use any validated questionnaires or scores to assess concerns such as voice, hypothyroidism or scar related issues as the main objective of the study was to assess overall satisfaction

following definitive treatment and to gain an understanding into prior and ongoing concerns from a patient's perspective. Another limitation of the study is that an objective assessment of reported concerns was not done as it was outside the scope of the project.

CONCLUSION

The majority of patients are satisfied with their definitive treatment of Graves' disease, but those that underwent surgery are more satisfied than those who had RIA. Reasons for this may be multifactorial. The reasons underlying choice of definitive treatment and concerns regarding treatment are several and vary from one patient to another. Understanding this will improve the provision of appropriate information for patients and will help them in the decision making process. Patient involvement in the decision making is important and this involvement will increase their satisfaction with treatments received.

References:

- 1. McLeod DSA, Cooper DS. The incidence and prevalence of thyroid autoimmunity. Endocrine. 2012 Oct;42(2):252–65.
- 2. Abraham P, Avenell A, McGeoch SC, Clark LF, Bevan JS. Antithyroid drug regimen for treating Graves' hyperthyroidism. Abraham P, editor. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2010;(1):CD003420.
- 3. Mohlin E, Filipsson Nyström H, Eliasson M. Long-term prognosis after medical treatment of Graves' disease in a northern Swedish population 2000-2010. Eur J Endocrinol. 2014 Mar;170(3):419–27.
- 4. Grodski S, Stalberg P, Robinson BG, Delbridge LW. Surgery versus radioiodine therapy as definitive management for graves' disease: the role of patient preference. Thyroid. 2007 Feb;17(2):157–60.
- 5. Bartalena L, Burch HB, Burman KD, Kahaly GJ. A 2013 European Survey of Clinical Practice Patterns in the Management of Graves' disease. Clinical Endocrinology. 2014 Dec 1;:n/a-n/a.
- 6. Burch HB, Burman KD, Cooper DS. A 2011 Survey of Clinical Practice Patterns in the Management of Graves' Disease. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2012 Oct 5;97(12):4549–58.
- 7. Ali S, Pontello L, Mehta S, Darko D, McHardy-Young S. Radioactive-iodine therapy: a patient satisfaction survey. Endocrine abstracts. 2010;21:p384.
- 8. Fletcher R, Muir K, Bevan J, Abraham P. Radioiodine for Graves' disease: what do patients really think? Endocrine abstracts. 2010;21:p393.
- 9. Torring O, Tallstedt L, Wallin G, Lundell G, Ljunggren JG, Taube A, et al.

- Graves' hyperthyroidism: treatment with antithyroid drugs, surgery, or radioiodine--a prospective, randomized study. Thyroid Study Group. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 1996 Aug;81(8):2986–93.
- 10. Palestini N, Grivon M, Durando R, Freddi M, Odasso C, Robecchi A.

 Thyroidectomy for Graves" hyperthyroidism. Retrospective study of patients" appreciation. Ann Ital Chir. 2007 Sep;78(5):405–12.
- 11. Ashraf AA, Colakoglu S, Nguyen JT, Anastasopulos AJ, Ibrahim AMS, Yueh JH, et al. Patient involvement in the decision-making process improves satisfaction and quality of life in postmastectomy breast reconstruction. J Surg Res. 2013 Sep;184(1):665–70.
- 12. Mancini J, Genre D, Dalenc F, Ferrero JM. Patients' regrets after participating in a randomized controlled trial depended on their involvement in the decision making. J Clin Epidemiol. 2012 Jun;65(6):635-42.
- 13. Flierler WJ, Nübling M, Kasper J, Heidegger T. Implementation of shared decision making in anaesthesia and its influence on patient satisfaction.

 Anaesthesia. 2013 Jul;68(7):713–22.
- Boelaert K, Syed AA, Manji N, Sheppard MC, Holder RL, Gough SC, et al.
 Prediction of cure and risk of hypothyroidism in patients receiving 131I for hyperthyroidism. Clinical Endocrinology. 2009 Jan;70(1):129–38.
- 15. de Rooij A, Vandenbroucke JP, Smit JWA, Stokkel MPM, Dekkers OM. Clinical outcomes after estimated versus calculated activity of radioiodine for the treatment of hyperthyroidism: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Endocrinol. 2009 Nov;161(5):771–7.
- Bahn RS, Burch HB, Cooper DS, Garber JR, Greenlee MC, Klein I, et al.
 Hyperthyroidism and other causes of thyrotoxicosis: management guidelines of

...e American Thyroid Association ank
Endocrinologists. Thyroid. 2011 Jun;21(
17. Laccourreye O, Cauchois R, Touraine P, G.
information prior to planned thyroid surgery: 1
judge's point of view. Ann Chir. 2005 Sep;130(8,