

This is a repository copy of Frontal and Lateral Submarine Lobe Fringes: Comparing Sedimentary Facies, Architecture and Flow Processes.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/107527/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Spychala, YT, Hodgson, DM orcid.org/0000-0003-3711-635X, Prelat, A et al. (3 more authors) (2017) Frontal and Lateral Submarine Lobe Fringes: Comparing Sedimentary Facies, Architecture and Flow Processes. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 87 (1). pp. 75-96. ISSN 1527-1404

https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2017.2

© 2017 by the SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology. This is an author produced version of a paper published in Journal of Sedimentary Research. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# FRONTAL AND LATERAL SUBMARINE LOBE FRINGES: COMPARING SEDIMENTARY FACIES,

## ARCHITECTURE AND FLOW PROCESSES

- 3 YVONNE T. SPYCHALA<sup>1†</sup>\*, DAVID M. HODGSON<sup>1</sup>, AMANDINE PRÉLAT<sup>2</sup>, IAN A. KANE<sup>3</sup> STEPHEN S.
- 4 FLINT<sup>3</sup> and NIGEL P. MOUNTNEY<sup>1</sup>

1

2

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- <sup>1</sup>Stratigraphy Group, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Beicip- Franlab, 232 Avenue Napoléon Bonaparte, 92500 Rueil-Malmaison, France
- <sup>3</sup>Stratigraphy Group, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Science, University of
- 8 Manchester, M13 9PL, UK
- 9 <sup>†</sup>now at: Department of Earth Science, University of Utrecht, 3584 CS Utrecht, NL
- 10 \*Corresponding author: Yvonne T. Spychala: y.t.spychala@uu.nl
- 11 Keywords: basin-floor lobes, frontal fringe, lateral fringe, facies distribution, flow transformation

13 ABSTRACT

Submarine lobe fringe deposits form heterolithic successions that may include a high proportion of hybrid beds. The identification of lobe fringe successions aids interpretation of paleogeographic setting and the degree of basin confinement. Here, for the first time, the sedimentological and architectural differences between frontal and lateral lobe fringe deposits are investigated. Extensive outcrop and core data from Fan 4, Skoorsteenberg Formation, Karoo Basin, South Africa, allow the rates and style of facies changes from axis to fringe settings of lobes and lobe complexes in both down-dip (frontal) and across-strike (lateral) directions to be tightly constrained over a 800 km² study area. Fan 4 comprises three sand-prone divisions that form compensationally stacked lobe complexes, separated by thick packages of thin-bedded siltstone and sandstone intercalated with

(muddy) siltstone, interpreted as the fringes of lobe complexes. Lobe-fringe facies associations comprise: i) thick-bedded structureless or planar laminated sandstones that pinch and swell, and are associated with underlying debrites; ii) argillaceous and mudclast-rich hybrid beds; and iii) current ripple-laminated sandstones and siltstones. Typically, frontal fringes contain high proportions of hybrid beds and transition from thick-bedded sandstones over length-scales of 1 to 2 km. In contrast, lateral fringe deposits tend to comprise current ripple-laminated sandstones that transition to thick-bedded sandstones in the lobe axis over several kilometers. Variability of primary flow processes are interpreted to control the documented differences in facies association. Preferential deposition of hybrid beds in frontal fringe positions is related to the dominantly downstream momentum of the high-density core of the flow. In contrast, the ripple-laminated thin beds in lateral fringe positions are interpreted to be deposited by more dilute low-density (parts of the) flows. The development of recognition criteria to distinguish between frontal and lateral lobe fringe successions is critical to improving paleogeographic reconstructions of submarine fans at outcrop and in the subsurface, and will help to reduce uncertainty during hydrocarbon field appraisal and development.

39 INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, submarine lobe deposits are described as simple radial bodies that thin and fine from an apex (e.g. Mutti, 1977; Normark, 1978; Lowe, 1982; Bouma, 2000). However, it has been recognized from outcrop and geophysical studies that the anatomy of lobe deposits can be more complicated in terms of facies distribution and geometry (e.g. Nelson et al., 1992; Twichell et al., 1992; Bouma and Rozman, 2000; Gervais, 2006; Hodgson et al., 2006; Deptuck et al., 2008; Prélat et al., 2009; Groenenberg et al., 2010; Etienne et al., 2012). Prélat et al. (2009) proposed four subenvironments for lobe deposits that are characterized by specific facies associations and thickness trends, termed lobe axis, lobe off-axis, lobe fringe and lobe distal fringe (Fig. 1a).

Placing constraints on the temporal and spatial variability of lobe fringe successions is important to help improve reconstructions of deep-water fans, and to provide suitable building blocks for reservoir modelling and to reduce uncertainty in the evaluation of subsurface stratigraphic traps (e.g. Biddle and Wiechowsky, 1994; Etienne et al., 2012; Bakke et al., 2013; Collins et al., 2015; Grecula et al., 2015). Hybrid beds (e.g. Haughton et al., 2003; Talling et al., 2004; Haughton et al., 2009; Davis et al., 2009) and heterolithic deposits dominated by thin-bedded turbidites, have been associated with lobe fringe environments (Ito, 2008; Hodgson, 2009; Talling et al., 2012a; Etienne et al., 2012; Grundvåg et al., 2014; Patacci et al., 2014; Collins et al., 2015; Fonnesu et al., 2015, Porten et al., 2016; Southern et al., 2016). Previous work on lobe fringe successions has focused on pinchout geometries (e.g. Rozman, 2000; Marini et al., 2011; Etienne et al., 2012; Nagatomo and Archer, 2015). Some authors (e.g. MacPherson, 1978; Pickering, 1981, 1983) have documented differences between down-dip and across-strike facies transitions in lobe deposits. However, detailed depositional architecture, recognition criteria and facies variability between down-dip (frontal) and across-strike (lateral) lobe fringe environments remain poorly constrained.

The aim of this integrated outcrop and core study is to assess the difference between frontal and lateral lobe fringe successions using the paleogeographically well-constrained Fan 4 succession of the Skoorsteenberg Formation, Karoo Basin, South Africa. Specific research objectives are as follows:

1) to establish the characteristic facies associations that distinguish the different lobe fringe settings;

2) to interpret flow processes that produce the observed facies variability; 3) to discuss the role of confinement in the distribution and character of lobe fringes; and 4) to assess the implication of the results for subsurface applications.

### **GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Karoo Basin has been interpreted as a retroarc foreland basin connected to a magmatic arc and fold-thrust belt (Cape Fold Belt) (Visser & Prackelt, 1996; Visser, 1997; Catuneanu et al., 1998).

Alternatively, Tankard et al. (2009) argue that subsidence during the early, deep-water, phase of

deposition, which is the focus of this study, pre-dates the effects of loading by the Cape Fold Belt, and was induced by dynamic topography associated with mantle flow processes coupled to distant subduction of the paleo-Pacific plate (Pysklywec & Mitrovica, 1999). The basin-fill comprises the Karoo Supergroup and records sedimentation from Late Carboniferous to Early Jurassic. The Karoo Supergroup comprises the glacial Dwyka Group, the deep- to shallow-marine Ecca Group and the non-marine (fluvial) Beaufort Group. The Ecca Group, which is the focus of this study, represents a shallowing-upward succession of sediments from deep-water to fluvial settings (Flint et al., 2011). The Tanqua depocentre is located in the southwest of the Karoo Basin adjacent to the Cederberg branch of the Cape Fold Belt (Fig. 2a). Here, the Lower Ecca Group comprises the Prince Albert Formation (shallow-marine), the Whitehill Formation (deep-marine) and the Collingham Formation (deep-marine); the Upper Ecca Group comprises the Tierberg Formation (basin-plain), the Skoorsteenberg Formation (basin-floor to base-of-slope), the Kookfontein Formation (slope to shelfedge) and the Waterford Formation (shoreface) (Fig. 2b; Bouma & Wickens, 1991; Wickens, 1994). The Skoorsteenberg Formation (250 m thick; Bouma & Wickens, 1994) is subdivided into five sandprone bodies. The lower four sandstone bodies (Fans 1-4) have been interpreted as basin-floor fans (Morris et al., 2000; Wickens & Bouma, 2000, Johnson et al., 2001), whereas the fifth (Unit 5) has been interpreted as a lower slope to base-of-slope system (Wickens & Bouma, 2000; Wild et al., 2005 Hodgson et al., 2006). Although a submarine fan represents a system built up by channels and lobes, the term 'Fan' is retained here as a lithostratigraphic descriptor for consistency with previous literature. Fans 1-4 are each up to 65 m thick, with gradational to sharp bases and tops (Johnson et al., 2001) separated by claystones and siltstones (Van der Werff & Johnson, 2003a). Each fan is interpreted as a lowstand systems tract, with the overlying fine grained deposits of regional extent representing the related transgressive and highstand systems tracts (Goldhammer et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2001; Hodgson et al., 2006; Hodgson, 2009).

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

This study focuses on the lobe deposits of Fan 4, a lobe complex-set (Fig. 1b), in an 800 km² study area (Fig. 2a). Fan 4 is up to 65 m thick (Johnson et al., 2001) and is characterized by a high degree of amalgamation in the Skoorsteenberg area (Fig. 3; Dudley et al., 2000). Paleocurrents and thickness distributions indicate that sediment was sourced from both the southwest and west (Dudley et al., 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006), in contrast to the underlying fans (Fans 1-3) that are point sourced from the SW. General paleocurrent orientations are to the east and northeast (Wickens & Bouma, 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006). Fan 4 is divided into two sand-rich units named the lower and upper sandstone divisions (Wickens & Bouma, 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006) separated by a thin-bedded siltstone package that is up to 6 m thick in the south and thins and fines northward. The upper division thickens to the north where the lower division thins, which was suggested by Hodgson et al. (2006) to indicate compensational stacking. The stratigraphy of Fan 4 has been revised to show that the lower sandstone division comprises one sand-prone lobe complex, whereas the upper division comprises two sand-prone lobe complexes, separated by thin-bedded heterolithic lobe complex fringe strata.

112 METHODOLOGY

For this study, 24 sections were measured in strategically chosen locations (Fig. 3) in order to collect a data set that provides 3-D constraints. Graphic sedimentary logs record lithology, paleocurrent and bed thickness data. Detailed bed-by-bed sections (see section locations on Fig. 3; ranging from 3 to 60 m in length and totaling 510 m in cumulative thickness) record grain size, sedimentary structures and bounding surfaces of beds. Logs were recorded at 1:25 scale in the field. Four newly drilled, near-outcrop cores (see well locations on Fig. 3) intersect Fan 4 (212 m total thickness) and were logged at 1:4 scale. These data were augmented with three core logs (see locations of NOMAD —

Novel Modelled Architecture of Deepwater reservoirs – project; wells on Figure 3; 128 m cumulative thickness) and 19 graphic logs collected during previous research (Hodgson et al., 2006; Prélat et al., 2009) (Fig. 3). Outcrop sections and core logs were redrawn at 1:50 scale for correlation purposes. The base of the mudstone and siltstone interval that separates the lower and upper sandstone division of Fan 4 was used as a correlation datum. Paleocurrent measurements (108 in total) were collected from current-ripple and climbing-ripple laminated sandstones, and flutes and grooves preserved as casts on bed bases. To determine facies associations and architectures of frontal and lateral fringe deposits at the scale of individual lobes, the hierarchy and paleogeography of Fan 4 was revised to improve the spatial understanding of lobe distribution.

#### **MODEL OF LOBE ANATOMY**

131 Hierarchy

A five-fold hierarchy of lobes in the Tanqua was proposed by Prélat et al. (2009): 1) a 'bed' represents a single depositional event; 2) one or more beds form a 'lobe element'; 3) several lobe elements that are divided by thin siltstone intervals stack to form a 'lobe'; 4) one or more lobes stack to form a 'lobe complex' (Fig. 1b). The hierarchy can be extended to the 'lobe complex set', which is formed by the stacking of one of more related lobe complexes within the same lowstand systems tract (Fig 1b). Prélat and Hodgson (2013) demonstrated that extensive meter-thick, thin-bedded units between sand-rich lobes, originally referred to as 'interlobes' by Prélat et al. (2009), represent the distal fringes of lobes. Typically, these are separated from sand-rich lobe deposits (axis and offaxis) across an abrupt surface interpreted to mark an up-dip channel avulsion (Prélat and Hodgson, 2013). Thicker and more extensive thin-bedded successions can be interpreted as the fringes of lobe complexes (Prélat and Hodgson, 2013).

Sedimentary facies and facies associations

Aspects of the sedimentary facies and related environments of deposition of the Skoorsteenberg Formation have been described in detail previously (e.g. Morris et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2001; van der Werff and Johnson 2003; Hodgson et al., 2006; Luthi et al., 2006; Prélat et al., 2009; Hodgson, 2009, Jobe et al., 2012; Hofstra et al., 2015). Individual facies encountered in both outcrop (Fig. 4a-f) and core (Fig. 5a-f) datasets are summarized in Table 1. The facies combine into common facies associations representing different lobe environments: lobe axis, lobe off-axis, lobe fringe and lobe distal fringe (Prélat et al., 2009; Fig. 1a). The boundaries between these environments are transitional. This fourfold division has been applied to several outcrop studies (e.g. Etienne et al., 2012; Prélat and Hodgson, 2013; Grundvåg et al., 2014; Spychala et al., 2015; Marchand et al., 2015; Masalimova et al., 2016). Lobe dimensions from several studies of sand-rich systems (Jegou et al., 2008; Saller et al., 2008; Deptuck et al., 2008; Prélat et al., 2009; Sømme et al., 2009) show that these bodies have elongate shapes with length-to-width ratios of 1.7 - 3.6 (Prélat et al., 2010). Average dimensions of lobes in the Tanqua depocentre are 27 km (length) × 13 km (width) × 5 m (thickness) (Fan 3, Prélat et al., 2009). Similar dimensions are expected for the lobes of Fan 4 as it was deposited under similar conditions (e.g. relatively unconfined, similar grain-size range), and similar lobe dimensions are identified across different unconfined systems (Prélat et al., 2010). Lobe axis.---Lobe axis deposits are dominated by thick-bedded, structureless sandstone (F1; Figs. 4a, 5a; Table 1) with subordinate planar laminated (F2; Figs. 4b, 5b; Table 1) and banded sandstone (F3; Fig. 5c; Table 1) in minor proportions. The lobe axis setting is characterized as 85–100% sandstone. Multiple zones of amalgamation occur across strike (Prélat et al., 2009) and can form packages up to 5 m thick where there is scouring at the base of the lobe. The deposits of the lobe axis are laterally extensive down-dip and across strike for several hundred meters, and generally show tabular geometries (Fig. 4a). Units of high amalgamation can be traced into well-bedded units of the lobe

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

off-axis towards the frontal and lateral margin of the lobe deposits.

**Lobe off-axis**.---Lobe off-axis deposits comprise well stratified medium-bedded structured sandstone (F2; Table 1) and are typically 2 to 4 m thick. Lobe off-axis deposits are characterized by 50–85% sandstone. They show tabular geometries in outcrop and can be traced out for several hundred meters in both dip and strike directions.

Lobe fringe.—Lobe fringe deposits comprise a range of facies, including structureless sandstone (F1), hybrid beds (F4; Figs. 4c,d; Table 1), debrites (F5; Fig. 5e; Table 1) and heterolithic packages (F6; Figs. 4d, 5e; Table 1). Lobe fringe deposits are characterized by 20–50% sandstone. Typical thicknesses range between 0.1 and 2 m. Several meter-thick successions (>2 m) are interpreted as fringes to lobe complexes; such accumulations can be walked out into thick lobate sandstone units without truncation (cf. Prélat and Hodgson, 2013). At outcrop, lobe fringe deposits can show either tapering or pinch-and-swell geometries. The pronounced pinch-and-swell geometries form lenticular bodies, even though no evidence of truncation is observed (Bouma and Rozman, 2000; Groenenberg et al., 2010). The lateral extent of lobe fringe deposits is variable and ranges from a few to several kilometers. The transition from lobe fringe to lobe distal fringe environment marks the sand pinchout of the system.

Lobe distal fringe.---The lobe distal fringe environment is dominated by thin-bedded siltstone deposits (F7; Figs. 4e; 5g; Table 1). Some thin very fine-grained sandstone beds are intercalated in these siltstone-prone packages (<20% sandstone). Siltstones can aggrade to form bedded successions of several meters in thickness. Lobe distal fringe deposits form an extensive 'halo' around the main sand-prone lobe body and extend for several kilometers. Their dimensions have not been established.

In summary, lobe axis and off-axis deposits build the core of a lobe body and are dominated by structureless and structured sandstone. Sandstone percentage decreases towards the lobe fringe and is lowest in distal lobe fringe environments.

193 ARCHITECTURE

# Thickness distribution and paleoflow directions

Fan 4 is subdivided into a lower and upper sand-prone division, separated by a thin-bedded heterolithic division (Fig. 6, 7a). The two sand-prone divisions of Fan 4 show different thickness trends and paleocurrent patterns.

The lower, sand-prone division has a maximum thickness of ~25 m in the southern part of the study area (Fig. 6). Thinning is documented to the north and the northeast. The lower division records paleoflow to the northeast but this trend is more northwards in the northern part of the study area (Fig. 6). Correlation panels (Fig. 7) show that down-dip pinch-out of lobe deposits occurs in several areas, such as around BK, NB2, GBE, OC7 and los6 area (Fig. 7). The final sand-pinch out to the northeast occurs in the Vaalfontein- Sout Rivier area (Fig. 3). Notable lateral thinning across strike towards the east (NS3) can be observed (~5.5 m/km). Thin (< 2 m thick) siltstone deposits are deposited farther to the north where they thin gradually.

The thin-bedded heterolithic division that separates the lower and upper sand-prone divisions of Fan 4 thins and fines over 30 km from Bizansgat in the S (~6 m) gradually to Sout Rivier in the N (~0.7 m) (Fig. 8).

The upper sand-prone division of Fan 4 has more complicated facies, thickness and paleoflow distributions. There are two areas that show high thickness values (Fig. 6). Maximum thickness in the southern study area is ~35 m (Bizansgat) from where the division thins to the north and northeast, with paleoflow trends that conform to the northeasterly to northerly trends of the lower division and of underlying Fan 3 (cf. Wickens and Bouma, 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006; Prélat et al., 2009). In the area around Skoorsteenberg, the upper division is 47 m thick (Fig. 6) with paleoflow trends that record a radial spread of directions from the northeast to southeast (Fig. 6; cf. Hodgson et al., 2006).

A laterally extensive ~3 m thick extensive thin-bedded unit is present towards the top of the upper division. Thinning also occurs to the northeast and southeast, with the rate of thinning to the northeast being highest (~6.9 m/km). The most northeastern outcrops around Katjiesberg (downdip) record dominantly northward paleocurrents and are characterized by highly variable thicknesses, which range between 2 and 14 m and reflect a pinching and swelling trend of the deposits (Fig. 6b). Correlation panels (Fig. 7) show that the oldest deposits pinch-out in the Sout Rivier area, and the youngest deposits do not reach as far as the Katjiesberg area; therefore, an overall basinward to landward stacking pattern is constrained.

## Hierarchy of Fan 4

Thicknesses, facies associations and paleocurrents indicate that the lower division of Fan 4 comprises one lobe complex (Fig. 8a, LC1) that was fed by flows from the southwest. The heterolithic succession that separates the lower and upper sand-prone divisions of Fan 4 comprises thin-bedded silty mudstone, siltstone and sandstones (heterolithic deposits) (Fig. 8b, c). The facies association, the lack of hemipelagic claystone, and the thickness patterns, collectively suggest this succession most-likely represents the distal fringe of a lobe complex (cf. Prélat et al., 2009). The associated sand-prone deposits of this lobe complex (LC2) are inferred to have been located to the west, beyond the outcrop exposure. Paleoflow and thickness trends suggest two distinct sediment entry points for the upper sand-prone division of Fan 4 (Wickens & Bouma, 2000; Dudley et al., 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006). The upper part of Fan 4 comprises two sand-prone lobe complexes (LC3 and LC5). They both have maximum thicknesses in the Skoorsteenberg area, and are separated by a ~3 m thick extensive thin-bedded unit that is interpreted as the fringe of another lobe complex (LC4; Fig. 8a).

239 Facies distribution

Successive lobe deposits in weakly confined settings build lobe complexes that commonly exhibit compensational stacking patterns driven by avulsion of distributive channels (Pickering, 1981; Deptuck et al., 2008; Prélat et al., 2009; Prélat and Hodgson, 2013) (Fig. 9a-d). The distribution of sedimentary facies are described from LC1 (lower division; Fig. 10) and LC 3-5 (upper division; Fig. 10b).

In the southern part of the study area, where LC1 is thickest, the deposits are dominated by structureless (F1) and structured sandstone (F2; see Table 1; F1+F2> 75%) (Fig. 10). The proportion of hybrid beds (F4) increases northwards where they can represent up to 50% of the thickness (e.g. Vaalfontein; Fig. 3). Heterolithic deposits (F6) dominate the basal part of LC1 around the NB2, NS2 and NS1 well locations (Fig. 3). The NS3 well is represented by heterolithic deposits (~70%), siltstone (~10%) and mudstone (~20%) (Fig. 11). Structureless sandstones are present in the northern part of the study area in highly variable proportions (15% to 50% of deposits) (Fig. 10a). Sandstone-pinchout of the lobe complex occurs in the Sout Rivier area (Fig. 7). Northwards, the deposits of LC1 consist entirely of thin-bedded siltstones.

The upper part of Fan 4, which comprises LC3, LC4, and LC5, is characterized by a higher proportion of structureless sandstone. The southern study area is marked by structureless (F1), structured (F2) and banded sandstones (F3), which represent the bulk of deposits (50% to 75%). Hybrid beds (F4) contribute 20% of the facies composition in Koppieskraal; elsewhere they contribute less than 10%. Heterolithic deposits (F6) contribute 15% to 35% towards the central study area but less than 10% in the southern study area. The northern study area is dominated by structureless sandstone deposits (more than 50%) with the highest proportion observed in the Skoorsteenberg area (up to 80%). Structured sandstone is a minor contributor (~ 15%). Hybrid beds represent less than 10% of deposits, and heterolithic deposits commonly represent 10% to 15%. In the Katjiesberg area in the northeast, almost no heterolithic deposits are present (<2%) but thin-siltstone deposits are intercalated with structureless sandstone and hybrid beds.

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

## Fan 4 paleogeographic reconstruction

Integration of paleoflow and thickness trends with facies distribution enables reconstruction of the

lower (LC1) and upper (LC3-5) divisions of the Fan 4 lobe complex set (Fig. 10). Paleoflow directions for LC1 are both to the north and northeast (Fig. 6), whereas sediment entered from the southwest (e.g. Dudley et al., 2000; Hodgson et al., 2006). This suggests that the northward pinch-out represents a frontal fringe and the eastern termination a lateral pinch-out at the scale of the lobe complex (Fig. 7). Younger lobe deposits of LC1 pinch-out successively farther to the north, which is consistent with a progradational stacking pattern (sensu Hodgson et al., 2016), and frontal pinch-out at the scale of a lobe. The frontal sand pinch-out of LC1 in the Sout Rivier area (Fig. 2) is associated with a pinch-and-swell geometry of lobes and predominantly structureless sandstone and hybrid beds (Fig. 7). A 'halo' of thin-bedded siltstone, which represents distal lobe fringe deposits, is deposited farther to the north. Deposits across strike (lateral) to the east are dominated by heterolithic deposits (NS3; Figs. 10, 11). The change in facies is associated with thinning of LC1. Therefore, the deposits observed in NS3 represent several lateral lobe fringes that stack to form the lobe complex fringe. Similar facies changes have also been identified on the western margin of LC1 by Hodgson et al. (2006) in the Los Kop area (marked in Fig. 10). The upper division of Fan 4 comprises two sand-rich lobe complexes, LC 3 and LC5, separated by an extensive thin-bedded heterolithic interval interpreted as the lobe complex fringe, LC 4. LC3 has two thick and axial zones, in the Bizansgat and in the Skoorsteensberg area (Fig. 9). The facies distribution patterns and paleoflow (Fig. 6) indicate that deposition could have been by two coeval systems with different entry points. This interpretation is supported by the lack of clear trends in facies distributions over the study area pointing to a complicated interaction of depositional systems in the south and north. The deposits are treated as a single lobe complex because no bounding surface or extensive thin-bedded units separating the two thick and axial areas have been observed

that could have been the result of avulsion. Generally, facies distributions suggest that, in the southern part of LC3, there was compensational stacking of lobes as heterolithic intervals with hybrid beds alternate with packages of structured and structureless sandstones across abrupt surfaces in vertical sections. The northern part of LC3 and LC5 show dominantly aggradational stacking patterns of lobes (Fig. 9). Facies changes (e.g. F1 and F3) can be explained by compensational stacking on lobe element-scale (Prélat et al., 2009; Etienne et al., 2012; Prélat & Hodgson, 2013) and scouring and amalgamation of lobe axes. Abrupt facies changes from heterolithic deposits (distal lobe fringes) to sand-prone lobes suggest sufficient space for lateral compensation. In the down-dip direction (Katjiesberg) of LC3, structureless sandstone, siltstone and hybrid beds that show pinch-and-swell geometries dominate the lobe complex (Fig. 13, 14). These are interpreted as stacked frontal lobe fringe deposits. The low proportion of hybrid beds otherwise in the northern part of LC3 reflects the complicated 3D geometry of individual lobes. Integration of paleocurrents and isopach maps would predict that a higher proportion of hybrid beds may be found in the subcrop to the east. Due to the complexities in LC3 and the fragmented outcrop record of LC5, the architecture of lobes, from their axes to their fringes has focused on LC1. The results can be applied to the younger lobe complexes where data constraints permit.

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

### **LOBE FRINGE ASSOCIATIONS**

Paleogeographic reconstruction of the Fan 4 lobe complex 1 (LC1) shows that lateral and frontal lobe fringe environments can be well constrained in a lobe complex using isopach maps and paleocurrents (Fig. 10). Integration of these data with mapped sand pinch-outs enables the relative position and orientation of individual lobe bodies to be determined with confidence (Fig. 10). Generally, their dip direction is to the N, whereas their strike direction is to the E and W. Figure 12 depicts characteristic transitions in facies at lateral (Fig. 12a) and frontal (Fig. 12b) lobe fringes in

LC1, which are described in detail below. Frontal and lateral lobe fringe environments are shown to display characteristic facies associations and geometries that are summarized in Table 2.

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

314

315

## Lateral lobe fringe

Figure 12a shows a correlation panel of a single lobe from Hammerkranz to NS2 in LC1 (Figs. 3 and 10a). The lobe is defined by sharp lower and upper changes in facies to distal lobe fringe successions. Using the well-constrained paleogeographic map of LC1, this is a lateral transition from axial lobe deposits (dominated by F1 and F2) to a succession that is dominated by structured sandstone and heterolithic deposits. The lobe thins from 5.5 m in the axial position to 1.9 m in the lateral position in 4 km (0.9m/km rate of thinning). The lower part of the lobe exhibits a transition into thin-bedded lobe fringe deposits, and the upper part of the lobe exhibits a transition to traction dominated sandstones. Bed amalgamation is not observed. The NS3 core (Fig. 11) shows an example of the lateral margin of a lobe complex (LC1) where all lobes pass stratigraphically into an aggradational stack of fringe deposits. The integration of observations of the detailed facies transition and the lobe fringe-dominated succession in NS3 allows the following characteristics for lateral lobe fringes to be established. The lateral lobe facies association is dominated by thin-bedded (>0.2 m) heterolithic deposits of structureless or planar laminated siltstone, and wavy, ripple and climbing-ripple laminated very-fine grained sandstone (Figs. 14a, b, 15b; Table 2). Rare, debrites are present (Fig. 15b). Lateral lobe fringe deposits experience gradual decrease in sand-content (~50% at the transition of the lobe-off axis to ~20% at transition to distal lobe fringe) and bed thickness (average bed thickness of 0.6 m in lobe off axis to average bed thickness of 0.1 m in lateral lobe fringe). Therefore, pinch-out occurs over several kilometers through thinning and fining of the deposits. In outcrop (e.g. LC4; Fig. 14b), lateral lobe

fringes commonly show tabular geometries at the scale of observation (Figs. 14a, b; Table 2). A

similar facies transition to a lateral fringe in a lobe was well constrained in the underlying Fan 3 by Prélat et al. (2009, their Lobe 6).

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

338

339

## Frontal lobe fringe

Figure 12b shows a correlation panel of a single lobe from OC2 to OC5 in LC1 (Fig. 7 and 10). The lobe is identified by abrupt lower and upper contacts to lobe distal fringe deposits. Using the wellconstrained paleogeographic map of LC1, this marks the frontal transition from axial lobe deposits (dominated by F1) to a succession marked by hybrid bed deposits, structureless sandstone and siltstone beds. Sandstone deposits show a high degree of amalgamation in OC2, and become progressively less amalgamated down-dip, and increasingly intercalated with thin-bedded siltstone units (Fig. 12b; Fig. 15a). The lobe deposits exhibit a pinch-and-swell geometry (thickening from 2.5 m in OC2 to 3.2 m in OC3 and then thinning to 2 m in OC5; Fig. 12b). The sand pinch-out of the lobe occurs abruptly within few hundred meters. Similar facies associations and geometries are observed in the frontal pinch-out of lobe deposits in termination of LC3. The frontal lobe fringe facies association is characterized by dewatered, structureless or planar laminated fine-grained sandstones (Figs. 14c, d, 15a) associated with hybrid beds and rare thick debrites (Table 2). Commonly, the sandstone and hybrid beds of frontal lobe fringes exhibit depositional pinch-and-swell geometries (Fig. 13), which are underlain by siltstones but without any basal truncation. In map view, the pinch-and-swell geometries are mapped as irregular, finger-like bodies aligned with paleoflow (Bouma & Rozmann, 2000; Van der Werff & Johnson, 2003b; Prélat et al., 2009; Hodgson, 2009; Groenenberg et al., 2010). The dimensions of these fingers are 200-300 m in strike width and 1.5 to 2.0 km in dip length. When sand pinch-out occurs overlying sand-prone strata, pronounced fingers do not develop. The percentage of

structureless sandstone within the frontal lobe fringe remains high (10 to 50%) up to the point of

sandstone pinch-out. Commonly, sandstone pinch-out is abrupt, but thin-bedded siltstones typically continue for several kilometers farther.

**DISCUSSION** 

Lobes do not show simple thinning and fining trends in all directions away from their apex (cf. Groenenberg et al., 2010). Despite showing the widest range of facies, lobe fringes are the least well studied sub-environments of lobes. Lobe fringe complexity has been highlighted by MacPherson (1978) and Pickering (1981; 1983), who demonstrated the significant variability of lobe (or fan) fringe facies. The process reasons behind the observed differences in lateral and frontal lobes fringes, and the subsurface implications of improved identification of fringe setting, are discussed below.

### Controls on lobe pinch-out geometries

Generally, lateral lobe fringe successions are predominantly characterized by deposits from low-density turbidity currents, whereas frontal lobe fringes are dominated by deposits from high-density turbidity currents and other high-concentration flows (structureless sandstones, debrites and hybrid beds; Talling et al., 2012). Lateral lobe fringes fine and thin as they taper away from lobe axis environments (Figs. 12a, 15b). In contrast, basal lobes in the frontal fringes of lobe complexes show abrupt thickness and facies changes (Figs. 12b, 13, 15a). Controls on this distinctive geometry in frontal lobe position could reflect either 1) influence of underlying seabed topography or 2) flow processes and interactions with substrate. Finger-like pinch-outs of frontal lobes are observed within successive lobes of multiple different lobe complexes within the Tanqua depocentre (Bouma & Rozman, 2000; Rozman, 2000; Prélat et al., 2009; Groenenberg et al., 2010). Similar terminations have been observed within other basin-floor lobe systems (Nelson et al., 1992; Twichell et al., 1992), albeit occasionally misinterpreted as channel-forms (e.g. Van der Werff & Johnson, 2003b) due to

their elongated shape in planform view and their convex-up form in outcrop. Groenenberg et al. (2010) did not support the presence of pre-existing seabed topography as the main influencing factor because of the common occurrence of finger-like bodies in several basal lobes over several lobe complexes. The repeated formation of seabed relief in a radial finger-like pattern prior to initiation of each lobe complexes, was viewed as unlikely (Groenenberg et al., 2010).

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

Hybrid beds have been reported to be associated with distal lobe settings (Haughton et al., 2003; Talling et al., 2004; Ito, 2008; Hodgson, 2009; Kane and Pontén, 2012; Talling et al., 2012a; Grundvåg et al., 2014; Patacci et al., 2014; Collins et al., 2015; Fonnesu et al., 2015; Southern et al., 2016) and the cohesive nature of the depositing flows is suggested to control the abrupt pinch-out of deposits in this setting (Groenenberg et al., 2010; Kane et al., in review). In frontal lobe fringes, there is evidence that relatively distal turbidity currents eroded and entrained substrate material, preserved as mud-clasts and dispersed mud (Hodgson, 2009, Kane et al., in review). The combined effects of flow deceleration, and increased flow concentration through entrainment, led to enhanced flow stratification and the development of a dense, cohesive basal layer (e.g., McCave and Jones, 1988; Kane & Pontén, 2012; Talling, 2013; Kane et al., in review). The development of a dense basal layer in the flow may have suppressed upward transfer of turbulence resulting in the collapse of the upper part of the flow (McCave & Jones, 1988; Kane et al., in review). The collapse of the upper part of the flow may account for the abrupt pinch-out of both the lower and upper parts of hybrid beds in distal settings, i.e., debritic divisions of hybrid beds rarely out-run the lower cleaner sandstone division. The principal alternative, that turbidity currents fractionated their suspended load and split into forerunning turbidity currents with trailing debris flows (depositing turbidites with linked debrites, Haughton et al., 2003; Haughton et al., 2009), may account for thicker debrites, that are observed to be deposited within the finger-like structures (see Fig. 13c). These may have overrun, or taken a different course, to their forerunning turbidity currents. Deposits of high-density turbidity currents are able to create their own pathways and become successively more elongated down-dip, forming finger-like bodies. These finger-like structures of frontal lobes are connected by thin-beds creating a webbed bird's foot geometry in planform (Fig. 13, 16a). This accords with results by Groenenberg et al. (2010) from process-based numerical modelling of lobes, who suggested that depositional relief of preceding lobes could help to focus these types of flow into distal areas. Elongated beds have been produced experimentally by Luthi (1981) showing that velocity of the turbidity currents was highest along the central axis. The frontal pinch-out of lobe complexes is accompanied by abrupt thickness decrease and occurs over a few hundred meters (Fig. 15a).

The lateral fringe of a lobe forms a wedge-like geometry that thins away from the lobe axis and off-axis (Fig. 15b) as deposits fine gradually over a few kilometers (Fig. 16a). Lateral lobe fringe deposits dominantly record the accumulated products of low-density turbidity currents. Luthi's (1981) experiments show that flow velocities are lowest in these flow marginal areas, and the flow thickness decrease is greatest laterally away from the central flow axis. Depositional relief of preceding lobe deposits probably had a relatively minor influence on low-density flows, as these can surmount seabed topography (e.g., Brunt et al., 2004; Bakke et al., 2013). Their run-out distance is therefore primarily dependent on their thickness and volume (Wynn et al., 2002). The deposits of the low-density turbidity currents probably form laterally extensive radial deposits which are higher in proportion at the lateral fringe, owing to the forward momentum and lack of lateral spreading of the higher concentration flows in the axial areas. In the frontal fringe setting, the low-density turbidity currents, for the most-part, out ran the flows responsible for depositing the hybrid beds to deposit in distal fringe settings. Thin stand-alone debrites recorded in the lateral fringes deposits are inferred to have been deposited by debris flows which bypassed the majority of the lobe to be deposited in its fringe (Talling et al., 2012b; Ducassou et al., 2013).

# Role of confinement

The difference in lateral and frontal lobe fringe within LC1 and LC3 has been documented in a relatively unconfined basin-floor setting. In basins where lobes do not feel basin confinement, compensational stacking will result in alternating successions of lobe axis and off-axis environments, with lobe fringe and distal fringe environments (Prélat and Hodgson 2013). Therefore, it is possible that frontal and lateral lobe fringes will be present in a 1-D section (e.g. core) through a single lobe complex. Flow confinement has been documented to be an important autogenic factor in the control of dispersal patterns and lobe stacking patterns (e.g. Piper & Normark, 1983; Smith & Joseph, 2004; Amy et al., 2004, Twichell et al., 2005; Macdonald et al., 2011; Marini et al., 2011; Southern et al., 2015; Marini et al., 2015).

With increased seabed confinement, lobes will be forced stack aggradationally or longitudinally rather than compensationally. This would lead to a clearer segregation of frontal and lateral lobe fringes. Even subtle intrabasinal slopes, with angles as small as a fraction of a degree, have been shown to modify stacking patterns and facies distribution considerably. Spychala et al. (2016) show that an intrabasinal slope (< 0.5°) in the Laingsburg depocentre, Karoo Basin, led to aggradational stacking of lateral lobe fringes in multiple stacked lateral lobe complex fringes, compared to compensational stacking patterns in the unconfined part of the basin. The aggradation of multiple lateral lobe fringes in LC1 (Fig. 11), allied to the persistent thinning and paleocurrent trends (Fig. 10) could be used to infer the presence of a subtle confining N-S oriented slope. The lateral lobe fringe facies association reflects the overall aggradational trend with sedimentary features such as climbing bedforms and predominant climbing-ripple lamination. Similar observations have been made from the Silurian sand-prone deep-water systems of the Welsh Basin (cf. Smith 1987a,b; Wilson et al., 1992; Smith 2004). It is not clear if there are distinctive lateral or frontal facies trends in more highly confined basin settings; this is an area that warrants further investigation.

Subsurface implications

The documented differences in sedimentology and architecture of lateral and frontal lobe fringes have several implications for subsurface applications. Facies recognition criteria established in this study can help determine internal division of lobe complexes in 1D datasets, e.g. core data, to help improve paleogeographic reconstructions. Stacking of lobe fringe types could be used as an indicator of the degree and orientation of seabed topography. In an unconfined setting, vertical stacking of frontal and lateral lobe fringes in a lobe complex are possible, whereas in settings influenced by relief stacked successions of frontal lobe fringes (hybrid bed-rich deposits) or lateral lobe fringes (thin-bedded heterolithic deposits) in a lobe complex can accumulate.

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

Lobe fringe deposits form heterogeneities within deep-water fan deposits (e.g. Etienne et al., 2012; Collins et al., 2015; Grecula et al., 2015). Generally, frontal lobe fringes have higher sandstone percentages (~50%). However, the high proportion of hybrid beds means that permeability values are likely to be considerably lower than within structureless and structured sandstones. This conforms to the conclusions of Marchand et al. (2015) who observed that the presence of silt-sized particles and ductile, platy shaped grains in distal sand-rich successions decreases reservoir quality; furthermore, Porten et al. (2016) demonstrate that for a given porosity, hybrid beds may have permeabilities one or two order of magnitude lower than turbidites. Thick-bedded deposits can be expected in frontal lobe fringes, but amalgamation is rare. Lateral fringe deposits gradually decrease in sand-content (~50% at transition structured sandstones of the lobe-off axis to ~20% at transition to distal lobe fringe) and bed thickness. Bed amalgamation is not observed. Permeability and porosity values are expected to be relatively low, and decrease gradually as the deposits thin and fine. Lobe fringes have the potential to be stratigraphic traps (sensu Levorsen, 1936) with their confining element being lateral depositional changes especially at the margins of a lobe complex that are encased by hemipelagic deposits. Lateral lobe fringes are dominated by lateral gradation of sandstone to silty mudstone with widespread waste zones (cf. Rittenhouse, 1972; Biddle and Wielchowsky, 1994). Frontal lobe fringes, however, are characterized by their abrupt pinch-out style (cf. Rittenhouse, 1972; Biddle and Wielchowsky, 1994) and are connected to the high-quality

reservoir sandstones of the lobe axis and lobe off-axis up-dip. Therefore, frontal fringes are considered to have greater potential as viable stratigraphic trap targets.

**CONCLUSIONS** 

Lobe fringe successions are the least well studied sub-environments of submarine lobe deposits despite showing the widest range of facies and being critical to many lobe stratigraphic trap targets. An integrated outcrop and research borehole data set uses thickness and grain-size trends, facies distribution and depositional geometries, to constrain two distinctive lobe fringe settings; frontal lobe fringe and lateral lobe fringe. Frontal lobe fringes are characterized by structureless sandstone and hybrid bed deposits. They can exhibit elongated finger-like shapes with abrupt sandstone pinchout. Lateral fringes are dominated by heterolithic traction-influenced deposits that gradually thin and fine to form a simple taper. Therefore, lobes do not show simple thinning and fining trends in all directions away from their apex.

The dominant flow processes control the differences in facies associations and geometries of the two lobe fringe sub-environments. Frontal lobe fringes are characterized by deposits of the highest energy parts of turbidity currents that passed through the axis of the lobe, and maintained the highest momentum. In contrast, lateral fringes are dominated by deposits from low-density turbidity currents that are prone to tractional reworking. Distinguishing frontal and lateral lobe fringes improves prediction of facies distributions, and their stacking patterns, and can help to build more accurate reconstructions of lobe complexes, even without well-exposed outcrops arranged in 3-D distributions. Compensational stacking of lobes in unconfined settings can lead to stratigraphic alternations of frontal and lateral lobe fringes in lobe complexes, whereas it is speculated that in confined settings aggradational to longitudinal stacking of frontal and lateral fringes will result in stronger stratigraphic and geographic segregation. The development of recognition criteria to distinguish between frontal and lateral lobe fringes will help to support paleogeographic reconstructions, and inform the appraisal of stratigraphic trap prospects in the subsurface.

### 510

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

The authors would like to thank the local farmers of the Tanqua region of South Africa for permission to carry out field studies on their land. Further, we would like to thank Aurelia Privat for field assistance. The LOBE 2 consortium project of which this research forms a part is supported by sponsorship from Anadarko, Bayerngas Norge, BG Group, BHPBilliton, BP, Chevron, DONG Energy, ENGIE, Maersk, Marathon, Petrobras, Premier Oil, Shell, Statoil, Total, VNG Norge and Woodside, for which the authors are grateful. Reviews by the Journal of Sedimentary Research Associate Editor Morgan Sullivan and reviewers Zoltan Sylvester and Sten-Andreas Grundvåg greatly improved the manuscript.

520 REFERENCES

- Allen, J.R.L., 1971, Instantaneous sediment deposition rates deduced from climbing-ripple cross-
- lamination: Journal of Geological Society London, v. 127, p. 553-561.

523

521

- 524 Allen, J.R.L., 1973, A classification of climbing-ripple cross-lamination: Journal of the Geological
- 525 Society London, v. 129, p. 537–541.

526

- 527 Allen, J.R.L., 1982, Sedimentary Structures: Their Character and Physical Basis vol. 1,2: Elsevier,
- 528 Amsterdam (593pp., 663 pp.)

- 530 Amy, L.A., McCaffrey, W.D. and Kneller, B.C., 2004, The influence of a lateral basin-slope on the
- depositional patterns of natural and experimental turbidity currents, in Joseph, P. and Lomas, S.A,
- eds., Deep-Water Sedimentation in the Alpine Basin of Se France: New Perspectives on the Gres
- d'Annot and related systems: Geological Society London Special Publication, v. 221, p. 311-330.

Bouma, A.H., and Rozman, D.J., 2000, Characteristics of fine grained outer fan fringe turbidite systems, *in* Bouma, A.H., and Stone, C.G., eds., Fine-grained Turbidite Systems, AAPG Memoir 72/SEPM Special Publication, *v.* 68, p. 291–298.

Brunt, R.L., McCaffrey, W.D., and Kneller, B.C., 2004, Experimental modeling of the spatial distribution of grain size developed in a fill-and-spill mini-basin setting: Journal of Sedimentary

Research, v. 74, p. 438-446.

Catuneanu, O., Hancox, P.J., and Rubridge, B.S., 1998, Reciprocal flexural behaviour and contrasting stratigraphies: a new basin development model for the Karoo retroarc foreland system, South Africa: Basin Research, v. 10, p. 417-439.

Collins, J., Kenyon-Roberts, S., Cullen, B., White, J., Bordas-Le Floch, N., and Downey, J., 2015, Arran Field: a complex heterolithic reservoir on the margins of the Forties Fan System, *in* McKie, T., Rose, P.T.S., Hartley, A.J., Jones, D.W. and Armstrong, T.L., eds., Tertiary Deep-Marine Reservoirs of the North Sea Region: Geological Society London Special Publication, *v.* 403, p. 185-217.

Davis, C., Haughton, P., McCaffrey, W., Scott, E. Hogg, N., and Kitching, D., 2009, Character and distribution of hybrid sediment gravity flow deposits from the outer Forties Fan, Paleocene Central North Sea, UKCS: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 26, p. 1919-1939.

Deptuck, M.E., Piper, D.J.W., Savoye, B., and Gervais, A., 2008, Dimensions and architecture of late Pleistocene submarine lobes off the northern margin of East Corsica: Sedimentology, v. 55, p. 869–898.

- Ducassou, E., Migeon, S., Capotondi, L., and Mascle, J., 2013, Run-out distance and erosion of debris-
- flows in the Nile deep-sea fan system: Evidence from lithofacies and micropaleontological analyses:
- 585 Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 38, p. 102-123.

586

- 587 Dudley, P.R.C., Rehmer, D.E., and Bouma, A.H., 2000, Reservoir-Scale Characteristics of Fine-Grained
- 588 Sheet Sandstone, Tanqua Karoo Subbasin, South Africa: GCSSEPM Foundation 20<sup>th</sup> Annual Research
- 589 Conference. Deep-Water Reservoirs of the World, December 3-6, 200x.

590

- 591 Etienne, S., Mulder, T., Bez, M., Desaubliaux, G., Kwasniewski, A., Parize, O., Dujoncquoy, E., and
- 592 Salles, T., 2012, Multiple scale characterization of sand-rich distal lobe deposit variability: Examples
- from the Annot Sandstones Formation, Eocene–Oligocene, SE France: Sedimentary Geology, v. 273-
- 594 274, p. 1-18.

595

- 596 Flint, S.S., Hodgson, D.M., Sprague, A.R., Brunt, R.L., van der Merwe, W.C., Figueiredo, J., Prélat, A.,
- 597 Box, D., Di Celma, C., and Kavanagh, J.P., 2011, Depositional architecture and sequence stratigraphy
- of the Karoo basin floor to shelf edge succession, Laingsburg depocentre, South Africa: Marine and
- 599 Petroleum Geology, v. 28, p. 658-674.

600

- Fonnesu, M., Haughton, P., Felletti, F., and McCaffrey, W., 2015, Short length-scale variability of
- hybrid event beds and its applied significance: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 67, p. 583-603.

603

- 604 Gervais, A., Savoye, B., Mulder, T., and Gonthier, E., 2006, Sandy modern turbidite lobes: A new
- insight from high resolution seismic data: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 23, p. 485-502.

- 607 Goldhammer, R.K., Wickens, D.H., Bouma, A.H., and Wach, G., 2000, Sequence Stratigraphic
- 608 Architecture of the Late Permian Tanqua Submarine Fan Complex, Karoo Basin, South Africa, , in

Bouma, A.H., and Stone, C.G., eds., Fine-grained Turbidite Systems, AAPG Memoir 72/SEPM Special Publication, v. 68, p. 165-172.

Grecula, M., Hognestad, J., Price, S., Boya Ferrero, M., De Brujin, G., Noraberg, K.T., Engenes, K.,
Mears, P., Van Ojik, K., and McGarva, R., 2015, Interplay of fan-fringe reservoir detieriation and
hydrodynamic aquifer: understanding the margins of gas development in the Ormen Lange Field, *in*McKie, T., Rose, P.T.S., Hartley, A.J., Jones, D.W., and Armstrong, T.L., eds., Tertiary Deep-Marine
Reservoirs of the North Sea Region: Geological Society London Special Publication, *v.* 403, p.157-183.

Groenenberg, R.M., Hodgson, D.M., Prélat, A., Luthi, S.M., and Flint, S.S., 2010, Flow-Deposit
Interaction in Submarine Lobes: Insights from Outcrop Observations and Realizations of the ProcessBased Numerical Model: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 80, p. 252-267.

Grundvåg, S.A., Johannessen, E.P., Helland-Hansen, W., and Plink-Björklund, P., 2014, Depositional architecture and evolution of progradationally stacked lobe complexes in the Eocene Central Basin of Spitsbergen: Sedimentology, v. 61, p. 535-569.

Haughton, P.D.W., Barker, S.P., and McCaffrey, W.D., 2003, 'Linked' debrites in sand-rich turbidite systems – Origin and significance: Sedimentology, v. 50, p. 459-482.

Haughton, P., Davis, C., McCaffrey, W., and Barker, S., 2009, Hybrid sediment gravity flow deposits – Classification, origin and significance: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 26, p. 1900-1918.

Hodgson, D.M., Flint, S.S., Hodgetts, D., Drinkwater, N.J., Johannessen, E.P., and Luthi, S., 2006, Stratigraphic evolution of fine-grained submarine fan systems, Tanqua depocentre, Karoo Basin, South Africa: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 76, p. 20–40. 635 636 Hodgson, D.M., 2009, Distribution and origin of hybrids beds in sand-rich submarine fans of the 637 Tanqua depocentre, Karoo Basin, South Africa: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 26, p. 1940-1956. 638 639 Hodgson, D.M., Kane, I.A., Flint, S.S., Brunt, R.L. and Ortiz-Karpf, A., 2016, Time-Transgressive 640 Confinement On the Slope and the Progradation of Basin-Floor Fans: Implications For the Sequence 641 Stratigraphy of Deep-Water Deposits: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 86, p.73-86. 642 Hofstra, M., Hodgson, D.M., Peakall, J., and Flint, S.S., 2015, Giant-scour fills in ancient channel-lobe 643 transition zones: Formative processes and depositional architecture: Sedimentary Geology, v. 329, p. 98-114. 644 645 646 Hunter, R.E., 1977, Terminology of cross-stratified sedimentary layers and climbing-ripple structures: 647 Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 47, p. 697–706. 648 649 Ito, M., 2008, Downfan Transformation from Turbidity currents to debris flows at a Channel-to-Lobe 650 Transitional Zone: The Lower Pleistocene Otadai Formation, Boso Peninsula, Japan: Journal of 651 Sedimentary Research, v. 78, p. 668-682. 652 653 Iverson, R.M., 1997, The physics of debris flow: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 35, p. 245-296. 654 Jegou, I., Savoye, B., Pirmez, C., and Droz, L., 2008, Channel-mouth lobe complex of the recent 655 656 Amazon fan: The missing piece: Marine Geology, v. 252, p. 62–77. 657 Jobe, Z.R., Lowe, D.R., Morris, W.R., 2012, Climbing-ripple successions in turbidite systems: 658

depositional environments, sedimentation rates and accumulation times: Sedimentology, v. 59, p.

659

660

867-898.

Levorsen, A.I., 1936, Structural versus structural accumulation: AAPG Bulletin, v. 20, p. 521-530.

Lowe, D.R., 1982, Sediment gravity flows: II. Depositional models with special reference to the dposits of high-density turbidity currents: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 52, p. 279-297.

Lowe, D.R., and Guy, M., 2000, Slurry-flow deposits in the Britannia Formation (Lower Cretaceous),

North Sea: a new perspective on the turbidity current and debris flow problem: Sedimentology, v.

47, p. 31-70.

690

691 Luthi, S., 1981, Experiments on non-channelized turbidity currents and their deposits: Marine 692 Geology, v. 40, M59-M68.

693

Luthi, S.M., Hodgson, D.M., Geel, C.R., Flint, S.S., Goedbloed, J.W., Drinkwater, N.J., and Johannessen, E.P., 2006, Contribution of research borehole data to modelling fine-grained turbidite reservoir analogues, Permian Tanqua-Karoo basin-floor fans (South Africa): Petroleum Geosciences, v.12, p. 175-190.

698

Macdonald, H.A., Peakall, J., Wignall, P.B., and Best, J., 2011, Sedimentation in deep-sea lobe elements: implications for the origin of the thickening-upward sequences: Journal of the Geological Society London, v. 168, p. 319-331.

702

MacPherson, B.A., 1978, Sedimentation and Trapping Mechanism in Upper Miocene Stevens and Older Turbidite Fans of Southeastern San Joaquin Valley, California: AAPG Bulletin, v. 62, p. 2243-2274.

706

Marchand, A.M.E., Apps, G., Li, W., and Rotzien, J.R., 2015, Depositional processes and impact on reservoir quality in deepwater Paleogene reservoirs, US Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 99, p. 1635-1648.

- 711 Marini, M., Milli, S., and Moscatelli, M., 2011, Facies and architecture of the Lower Messinian
- 712 turbidite complexes from the Laga Basin (central Apennines, Italy): Journal of Mediterranean Earth
- 713 Science, v. 3, p. 45-72.
- 714 Marini, M., Salvatore, M., Ravnås, R., and Moscatelli, M., 2015, A comparative study of confined vs.
- 715 semi-confined turbidite lobes from the Lower Messinian Laga Basin (Central Apennines, Italy):
- 716 Implications for assessment of reservoir architecture: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 63, p. 142-
- 717 165.

718

- 719 Masalimova, L.U., Lowe, D.R., Sharman, G.R., King, P.R., and Arnot, M.J., 2016, Outcrop
- 720 characterization of a submarine channel-lobe complex: The Lower Mount Messenger Formation,
- 721 Taranaki Basin, New Zealand: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 71, p.360-390.

722

- 723 McCave, I.N., and Jones, K.P.N., 1988, Deposition of ungraded muds from high-density non-turbulent
- 724 turbidity currents: Nature, v. 333, p. 250-252.

725

- 726 Morris, W.R., Scheilhing, M.H., Wickens, DeV., Bouma, A.H., 2000, Reservoir architecture of
- 727 deepwater sandstones: examples from the Skoorsteenberg Formation, Tanqua Karoo Sub-Basin,
- 728 South Africa, in Weimer, P., Slatt, R.M., Bouma, A.H., and Lawrence, D.T., eds., Deep-water reservoirs
- of the world: Gulf Coast Section SEPM Foundation, Twentieth Annual Research Conference, p. 1010-
- 730 1032.

731

- 732 Mutti, E., 1977, Distinctive thin-bedded turbidite facies and related depositional environments in the
- 733 Eocene Hecho Group (South-central Pyrenees, Spain): Sedimentology, v. 24, p. 107-131.

- 735 Mutti, E., 1992, Turbidite Sandstones. Instituto di Geologia, Università di Parma & AGIP, San Donato
- 736 Milanese, Italy, 275pp.

Piper, D.J.W., and Normark, W.R., 1983, Turbidite depositional patterns and flow characteristics, Navy Submarine Fan, California Borderland: Sedimentology, v. 30, p. 681-694.

Formation submarine fan, NE Finnmark, N. Norway: Sedimentology, v. 30, 181-199.

759

760

761

- Porten, K.W., Kane, I.A., Warchoł, M.J. and Southern, S.J., in press. A sedimentological process-based
- approach to depositional reservoir quality of deep-marine sandstones: an example from the Springar
- 766 Formation, north-western Vøring Basin, Norwegian Sea. Journal of Sedimentary Research.

767

- Prélat, A., Hodgson, D.M., and Flint, S.S., 2009, Evolution, architecture and hierarchy of distributary
- 769 deep-water deposits: a high-resolution outcrop investigation from the Permian Karoo Basin, South
- 770 Africa: Sedimentology, v. 56, p. 2132-2154.

771

- Prélat, A., Covault, J.A., Hodgson, D.M., Fildani, A., and Flint S.S., 2010, Intrinsic controls on the range
- of volumes, morphologies, and dimensions of submarine lobes: Sedimentary Geology, v. 232, p. 66-
- 774 76.

775

- Prélat, A., and Hodgson, D.M., 2013, The full range of turbidite bed thickness patterns in submarine
- 777 lobes: controls and implications: Journal of the Geological Society London, v. 170, p. 1-6.

778

- 779 Pysklywec, R.N., and Mitrovica, J.X., 1999, The role of subduction-induced subsidence in the
- 780 evolution of the Karoo Basin: The Journal of Geology, v. 107, p. 155-164.

781

- 782 Rittenhouse, G., 1972, Stratigraphic-Trap Classification: Geologic Exploration Methods, in Gould,
- 783 H.R., ed., Stratigraphic Oil and Gas Fields—Classification, Exploration Methods, and Case Studies:
- 784 AAPG Memoir, v. 16, p. 14-28.

- 786 Rozman, D.J., 2000, Characterization of a Fine-Grained Outer Submarine Fan Deposit, Tanqua-Karoo
- 787 Basin, South Africa, in Bouma, A.H., and Stone, C.G., eds., Fine-grained Turbidite Systems: AAPG
- 788 Memoir 72/SEPM Special Publication, v. 68, p. 279-290.

Sømme, T.O., Helland-Hansen, W., Martinsen, O., and Thurmond, J.B., 2009, Relationships between morphological and sedimentological parameters in source-to-sink systems: a basis for predicting semi-quantitative characteristics in subsurface systems: Basin Research, v. 21, p. 361–387.

814 Southard, J.B., 1991, Experimental determination of bed-form stability: Annual Review of Earth and 815 Planetary Science, v. 19, p. 423-455. 816 817 Southern, S.J., Patacci, M., Felletti, F., and McCaffrey, W.D., 2015, Influence of flow containment and 818 substrate entrainment upon sandy hybrid event beds containing a co-genetic mud-clast rich division: 819 Sedimentary Geology, v. 321, p. 105-122. 820 821 Southern, S.J., Kane, I.A., Warchoł, M.J., Porten, K.W. and McCaffrey, W.D., 2016. Hybrid event beds 822 dominated by transitional-flow facies: Character, distribution and significance in the Maastrichtian 823 Springar Formation, north-west Vøring Basin, Norwegian Sea. Sedimentology, published online. DOI: 824 10.1111/sed.12323 825 Spychala, Y.T., Hodgson, D.M., Flint, S.S., and Mountney, N.P., 2015, Constraining the sedimentology 826 and stratigraphy of submarine intraslope lobe deposits using exhumed examples from the Karoo 827 Basin, South Africa: Sedimentary Geology, v. 322, p. 67-81. 828 829 Spychala, Y.T., Hodgson, D.M., Stevenson, C.J., and Flint, S.S., 2016, Aggradational lobe fringes: the 830 influence of subtle intrabasinal topography on sediment gravity flow processes and lobe stacking 831 patterns: Sedimentology, published online. DOI: 10.1111/sed.12315 832 833 Talling, P.J., Amy, L.A., Wynn, R.B., Peakall, J., and Robinson, M., 2004, Beds comprising debrite 834 sandwiched within co-genetic turbidite: origin and widespread occurrence in distal depositional 835 envrionments: Sedimentology, v. 51, p. 163-194. 836 837 Talling, P.J., Masson, D.G., Sumner, E.J., and Malgesini, G., 2012a, Subaqueous sediment density

flows: Depositional processes and deposit types: Sedimentology, v. 59, p. 1937-2003.

838

Talling, P.J., Malgesini, G., Sumner, E.J., Amy, L.A., Felletti, F., Blackbourn, G., Nutt, C., Wilcox, C.,
Harding, I.C., and Akbari, S., 2012b, Planform geometry, stacking pattern, and extrabasinal origin of
low strength and intermediate strength cohesive debris flow deposits in the Marnoso-arenacea
Formation, Italy: Geosphere, v. 8, p. 1207-1230.

Talling, P.J., 2013, Hybrid submarine flows comprising turbidity current and cohesive debris flow:

Deposits, theoretical and experimental analyses, and generalized models: Geosphere, v. 9, p. 460-

847 488.

Tankard, A., Welsink, H., Aukes, P., Newton, R., and Stettler, E., 2009, Tectonic evolution of the Cape and Karoo basins of South Africa: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 26, p. 1379-1412.

Twichell, D.C., Schwab, W.C., Nelson, C.H., Kenyon, N.H., and Lee, H.J., 1992, Characteristics of a sandy depositional lobe on the outer Mississippi fan from DeaMARC IA sidescan sonar images:

854 Geology, v. 20, p. 689–692.

Twichell, D.C., Cross, V.A., Hanson, A.D., Buck, B.J., Zybala, J.G., and Rudin, M.J., 2005, Seismic architecture and lithofacies of turbidites in Lake Mead (Arizona and Nevada, U.S.A.), an analogue for topographic complex basins: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 75, p. 134-148.

van der Werff, W., and Johnson, S., 2003a, High resolution stratigraphic analysis of a turbidite system, Tanqua Karoo Basin, South Africa: Marine and Petroleum, Geology, v. 20, p. 45-69.

van der Werff, W., and Johnson, S., 2003b, Deep-sea fan pinch-out geometries and their relationship to fan architecture, Tanqua Karoo basin (South Africa): Geologische Rundschau, v. 92, p. 728-742.

866 Visser, J.N.J., 1997, Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Karoo and Kalahari basins of 867 the southern Africa: a tool in the analysis of cyclic glaciomarine basin fills: Sedimentology, v. 44, p. 868 507-521. 869 870 Visser, J.N.J, and Prackelt, H.E., 1996, Subduction, mega-shear systems and Late Palaeozoic basin 871 development in the African segment of Gondwana: Geologische Rundschau, v. 85, p. 632-646. 872 873 Wickens, H.d.V., 1994, Basin floor fan building turbidites of the southwestern Karoo Basin, Permian 874 Ecca Group. PhD Thesis, Port Elizabeth University, South Africa, 233pp. 875 876 Wickens, H.d.V., and Bouma, A.H., 2000, The Tanqua Fan Complex, Karoo Basin, South Africa -877 outcrop analogue for fine-grained, deepwater deposits, in Bouma, A.H., and Stone, C.G., eds., Fine-878 grained Turbidite Systems, AAPG Memoir 72/SEPM Special Publication, v. 68, p. 153–165. 879 880 Wild, R.J., Hodgson, D.M., and Flint, S.S., 2005, Architecture and stratigraphic evolution of multiple, vertically-stacked slope-channel complexes, Tanqua depocentre, Karoo Basin, South Africa, in 881 882 Hodgson, D.M., and Flint, S.S., eds., Submarine Slope Systems, Processes and Products: Geological 883 Society London Special Publication, v. 244, p. 89–112. 884 885 Wild, R., Flint, S.S., and Hodgson, D.M., 2009, Stratigraphic evolution of the upper slope and the shelf 886 edge in the Karoo Basin, South Africa. Basin Research, v. 21, p. 502-527. 887 Wilson, D., Davies, J.R., Waters, R.A., and Zalasiewicz, J.A., 1992, A fault-controlled depositional 888 889 model for the Aberystwyth Grits turbiditic system: Geological Magazine, 129, p. 595-607.

890

Wynn, R.B., Weaver, P.E., Masson, D.G., and Stow, D.A.V., 2002, Turbidite depositional architecture across three interconnected deep-water basins on the north-west African margin: Sedimentology, v. 49, p. 669-695.

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

891

892

893

## **Figure Captions**

- Fig. 1: A: Simplified model indicating the different sub-environments in a lobe (redrawn from Prélat et al., 2009). B: Plan-form view of five-fold lobe hierarchy: bed to bed set, lobe element, lobe, lobe complex and lobe complex set (modified from Prélat et al., 2010).
- Fig. 2: A: The Tanqua depocentre inboard of the Cape Fold Belt (Cederberg and Swartberg branches). The square indicates the location of the study area. B: Stratigraphy of the Tanqua depocenter (redrawn after Wild et al., 2009). The Skoorsteenberg Formation overlies the Tierberg Formation, 902 and is overlain by the Kookfontein Formation. This study focuses on Fan 4. Images taken from 903 Google Earth.
  - Fig. 3: Locations of recently cored wells, outcrops, NOMAD well locations used in the study. Fan 4 outcrops are marked in white. Images taken from Google Earth.
  - Fig. 4: Representative outcrop photographs of observed sedimentary facies. A: Structureless thickbedded sandstone (F1). Person for scale (~ 1.7 m); B: Structured medium-bedded sandstone (F2). C: Hybrid bed (F4) with lower clean division and upper mudstone clast -rich division, Lens cover as scale (~7 cm diameter); D: Thin-bedded heterolithic strata (F6). Logging pole for scale (10 cm increments); E: Thin-bedded siltstone (F7) and mudstone. Lens cover for scale (~7 cm diameter); F: Mudstone (F8) horizon overlain by sandstone. Logging pole for scale (10 cm increments).
  - Fig. 5: Representative core photographs of observed facies. A: Structureless sandstone (F1); B: Structured sandstone (F2); C: Banded sandstone (F3); D: Hybrid bed (F4) with lower clean sandstone

- division and upper argillaceous sandstone division; E: Debrites (F5); F: Heterolithic package (F6); G:
- 915 Siltstones (F7); H: mudstone (F8).

914

- 916 Fig. 6: Isopach and paleocurrent maps for A. Lower and B. Upper Fan 4. Contours are in meters.
- 917 Paleocurrent roses represent data collected during the study, whereas paleocurrent arrows
- 918 represent data from previous work based on Hodgson et al. (2006).
- 919 Fig. 7: Correlation panels of Fan 4. Top: Correlation of a S-N transect from Bloukop (BK) to Isle of Sky
- 920 (los). Bottom: SW-NE correlation from Klipfontein (Kf) to Isle of Sky (los). The base of the mudstone
- and siltstone interval (black unit) that separates the Lower and Upper Fan 4 is used as a datum.
- 922 Pinchout of lobes 1-5 of the lower Fan 4 are indicated by black-arrows and their plan-view
- 923 distribution is shown in Figure 10.
- 924 Fig. 8: A: Hierarchical model of Fan 4. Location of panel is marked in Fig. 3. Fan 4 consists of two
- sand-prone divisions that are separated by a thin-bedded heterolithic lobe fringe complex (LC2).
- 926 Lower Fan 4 comprises one lobe complex (LC1), and upper Fan 4 comprises two lobe complexes (LC3
- and LC5) and a lobe complex fringe (LC4). Blue square marks zoom-in area of B and C. B: Close-up of
- the LC2 deposits in the OR well (see Fig. 3 for location). C: Corresponding core photographs.
- 929 Fig. 9: Representative photographs of lobe successions in the field area. A: Lobe fringe deposits of
- lower Fan 4 overlain by lobe axis and off-axis deposits of upper Fan 4. Person as scale (~1.7 m); B:
- 931 Lobe fringe deposits of lower Fan 4 overlain by lobe axis and off-axis deposits of upper Fan 4 C:
- 932 Lower Fan 4. Hybrid beds are separated by thin-bedded siltstone successions. Person as scale (~1.7
- 933 m). D: Thick-bedded lobe axis deposits of upper Fan 4. Person as scale (~1.7 m).
- 934 Fig. 10: Facies distributions and paleogeographic reconstruction for Lower Fan 4, which comprises
- one lobe complex (LC1) that prograded northward. Black lines indicate the location of lobe-scale dip
- 936 and strike correlation panels in Figure 12. The green line indicates the location of the Los Kop

outcrop area of Hodgson et al. (2006), whereas blue and purple lines mark the location of correlation panels in Figure 7, as do lobe numbers.

Fig. 11: Well core log through Fan 4(NS3; see Fig.2). The lower lobe complex of Fan 4 comprises solely thin-bedded heterolithic deposits, siltstones and mudstones, which represents stacked lateral lobe fringe successions. The upper division of Fan 4 shows consists of interbedded structureless sandstone, hybrid beds and heterolithic packages.

Fig. 12: Dip and strike facies transitions in individual lobes within LC1 of Fan 4. A: Strike section in the Gemsbok Valley (see Figure 10 for location). Lithology changes from structureless sandstone to structured sandstone to heterolithic deposits. B: Dip section on the Sout Rivier area (see Figure 10 for location). Lithology is dominated by structureless sandstone, hybrid beds and siltstone.

Fig. 13: Correlation panels of stacked frontal lobe fringes around Katjiesberg in LC3. A: Location of the correlation panels at Katjiesberg and paleogeography of the Upper Fan 4. B: Areal correlation of four pinch-out fingers and zoom into the northwestern-southeastern part of the correlation panel with sedimentary facies of the pinch-out fingers. They are composed of structureless sandstone deposits, debrites and siltstone deposits.

Fig. 14: Representative lobe fringe photographs. A: Frontal lobe fringe deposits at Katjiesberg. B: Frontal lobe fringe deposits at Katjiesberg. C: Lateral lobe fringe deposits at Klipfontein. Logging pole for scale. D: Lateral lobe fringe deposits at Hammerkranz. Logging pole for scale.

Fig.15: A: Simplified anatomy of frontal lobe fringe deposits. B: Simplified anatomy of lateral lobe fringe deposits. C: Example log showing a vertical section through a frontal lobe fringe in the Sout River area. D: Example log showing a vertical section through a lateral lobe fringe in the Gemsbok East core.

Fig. 16 A: Simplified plan view of a lobe marking the distribution of lobe sub-environments and example logs for each sub-environment. B: Dominant flow processes to deposit frontal lobe fringes:

- High-density turbidity currents and strongly stratified flows. C: Low-density turbidity currents and
   debris flow deposit lateral lobe fringes. C is modified from Kane et al. (in press).
- Table 1. Summary of sedimentary facies of Fan 4.
- Table 2. Recognition criteria of frontal and lateral lobes for outcrop and core.































