

This is a repository copy of *Periwinkles and parasites: the occurrence and phenotypic effects of parasites in Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana in northeastern England*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/107503/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bojko, J, Grahame, JW and Dunn, AM orcid.org/0000-0002-4855-1077 (2017) Periwinkles and parasites: the occurrence and phenotypic effects of parasites in Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana in northeastern England. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 83 (1). pp. 69-78. ISSN 0260-1230

https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyw047

© 2017, Oxford University Press. This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Molluscan Studies following peer review. The version of record "Jamie Bojko, John W. Grahame, Alison M. Dunn; Periwinkles and parasites: the occurrence and phenotypic effects of parasites in Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana in northeastern England. J Molluscan Stud 2017; 83 (1): 69-78" is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyw047.

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1	Periwinkles and parasites: the occurrence and phenotypic effects of parasites
2	in Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana in northeastern England
3	Jamie Bojko, John W. Grahame and Alison M. Dunn
4	School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Clarendon
5	Way, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
6	
7	(Received 30 April 2016; accepted 24 October 2016)
8	
9	Correspondence: J.W. Grahame; email: <u>J.W.Grahame@Leeds.ac.uk</u>
10	
11	Short running head: PERIWINKLES AND PARASITES
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

ABSTRACT

Littorina saxatilis is a common intertidal gastropod on shores of the North Atlantic, and an 24 important study species for evolutionary investigations. Its congener L. arcana is much less 25 26 widely distributed, but both species are common at Old Peak, Yorkshire, UK. The parasite profiles of L. saxatilis and L. arcana from this shore were determined histologically, revealing 27 a ciliated protist, Protophrya ovicola, an unidentified apicomplexan (present in <1% L. 28 saxatilis) and three trematode parasites tentatively assigned to Renicola sp., Microphallus 29 similis and M. pygmaeus. The profile data include prevalence information and associated 30 31 histology. Protophrya ovicola associated predominantly with the wave ecotype of L. saxatilis (65%) rather than the crab ecotype (16%). Microphallus similis occurred at a higher 32 prevalence in the L. arcana population (38%) in comparison with the L. saxatilis population 33 (11%). Overall, there appeared to be a lower prevalence of trematodes in the high-shore L. 34 saxatilis. By modelling occurrence of individual parasites and shell morphometrics, an 35 36 assessment of parasite-associated morphological change was conducted. We conclude that 37 parasitism appeared not to cause shell-shape change, but rather that snails of a certain shell shape were more likely to display infection. Records of parasites in L. saxatilis and L. arcana 38 are briefly reviewed, showing that the diversity of parasites reported here is relatively low. 39

40

23

42

- 43
- 44

INTRODUCTION

Marine gastropods are host to numerous parasites and are a common focus for research on 46 47 host-parasite interactions (Coen & Bishop, 2015). Parasites are crucial factors in ecosystem function: their prevalence and pathogenicity can drastically alter animal population sizes, 48 ecosystem services and the environment (Marcogliese, 2005; Hudson, Dobson & Lafferty, 49 2006). Besides resulting in pathology and mortality, parasitism may be associated with 50 51 changes in host behaviour (e.g. Bunke et al. 2015) or morphology (e.g. Gorbushin & Levakin 52 1999). Reports of such manipulations of the host date back to 1931 (reviewed by Thomas, 53 Adamo & Moore, 2005) and since then it has become axiomatic that infected individuals show phenotypic modifications to be construed as benefiting the parasite-often to the 54 55 detriment of the host.

56 Littorina saxatilis, and its congener Littorina arcana, are common intertidal gastropods on North Atlantic coasts. These species have been the subject of much parasitological study, 57 resulting in considerable knowledge of their trematode parasite diversity (Galaktionov, 2012). 58 The effects of parasitism on the host, in this case, are rarely reported. We are aware of two 59 reports of manipulation of the host's shell shape by trematode parasites in the snail Littorina 60 61 saxatilis. Panova, Sergievsky & Granovitch (1999) considered that infection with trematodes caused an increase in spire height of L. saxatilis, although this was apparently not an 62 inevitable consequence. They suggested that infected snails that did not show a change in 63 shape had been recently infected and drew attention to a possibly confounding effect of 64 microhabitat: snails from upper shore levels had higher spires than those lower down the 65 shore. McCarthy, Fitzpatrick & Irwin (2004) considered that infection with Microphallus 66 67 piriformes induced changes in the shape of the shell; again, infected snails had taller spires, presumably to the advantage of the parasite by providing more internal shell space for 68 maturation of the metacercariae. 69

70	Microparasites in these hosts have received less attention. Digyalum oweni, a gregarin
71	parasite (Apicomplexa) principally infects L. obtusata, but is found in all Atlantic Littorin

species including L. saxatilis and L. arcana (Dyson, Evennett & Grahame, 1992). Other 72 periwinkle-associated organisms include the ciliated protists, which are often commensal 73 74 organisms on/in many hosts (Morado & Small, 1994; Sokolova, 1995). In L. saxatilis, it is unclear whether the ciliated protist Protophrya ovicola is a symbiont, a commensal or a 75 76 parasite (Sokolova, 1995). Apart from the occasional occurrence of D. oweni, neither L. 77 saxatilis nor L. arcana are currently associated with other microparasites, including viruses, 78 bacteria or microsporidians-many of which have been noted in other molluscan species (e.g. Sagristà et al., 1998; Barbosa-Solomieu et al., 2005; Beaz-Hidalgo et al., 2010). 79

Our aims in this study were twofold. First, we used a histological screen to determine 80 81 parasite profile (i.e. all trematodes and microbes within the host) for L. saxatilis and L. arcana sampled across their full tidal range (the high- and midshore) at Old Peak, Yorkshire, 82 UK. Histology is an established tool for parasite, commensal and symbiont detection and is 83 84 capable of detecting a large suite of organisms living within host tissues (e.g. Bojko et al., 85 2013). Populations of L. saxatilis at Old Peak have been argued to be undergoing ecologically-driven diversification, which may be an early stage of speciation (Butlin et al., 86 2014). Microevolutionary studies at this site have not yet included parasitological 87 investigation, so we sought to address this aspect of the ecology of the divergent ecotypes. 88 89 In addition we sought comparative data from its sister species L. arcana (Reid, 1996).

Second, we addressed the question of shape variation in the snails. The divergent 90 91 ecotypes are referred to here as 'wave' (on the high shore) and 'crab' (on the mid shore), 92 following the usage of Butlin et al. (2014), reflecting the likely agents of selection involved in 93 the diversification process. The shapes of the shells are considered to be important in the 94 evolution and adaptation of the ecotypes. Given the reports of shape variation due to trematode infection and the argument that this may be adaptive manipulation by the 95 96 parasites, there are both methodological and conceptual reasons for investigating the possible effects of parasitic infection on shell shape, and potential confounding effects of 97 ecotypic divergence and parasites. 98

MATERIAL AND METHODS

101 Sampling and preliminary processing of material

Littorina species ('rough periwinkles') were collected from the intertidal shore of Old Peak at the southern end of Robin Hood's Bay, Yorkshire (BNG NZ984021), in October 2013 (n = 236), to assess the parasite profile and collect morphological data. In January of 2015 an opportunistic sample of 14 snails was taken from a single high-shore boulder to be used in the analysis of shape.

Animals were held in the laboratory at 5 °C for no more than 3 d before diagnosis and 107 108 processing. First, snails were imaged, after which the shell was broken and the body removed. The presence of external signs of pathology was recorded together with any 109 obvious signs of parasitic infection. The snails were recorded as male, female or immature. 110 111 Females were identified according to the form of the pallial oviduct as L. arcana (with a jelly 112 gland) or L. saxatilis (with a brood pouch) (Reid, 1996). With the exception of only one 113 female, the pallial oviduct was sufficiently well formed to reach a diagnosis. Crab and wave ecotypes of L. saxatilis were classified according to collection site on the mid- and high-114 shore, respectively. The total number of females confidently identified was 169, in the three 115 categories: L. arcana, and L. saxatilis crab or wave ecotype. In order to identify males 116 (indistinguishable anatomically), we then used the measurements for the identified female 117 shells as a training set in a discriminant function cross-validation analysis (DFA). First 118 119 examining the training set, we calculated a linear discriminant function (Proc DISCRIM; SAS 120 Institute, 1990) allowing classification of the already identified snails on solely morphometric criteria. We found that >70% of the snails assigned a priori to the three groups classified 121 back to those groups. Then, using DFA with the more conservative criterion of 80% certainty 122 of classification, we classified unassigned snails (there were 67: males, immature snails and 123 124 the single parasitized individual not hitherto classified) to one of the three categories. Only

99

two snails failed to classify on the 80% criterion, these were rejected from further analysis,
leaving a total dataset of 248 individuals of both species and both sexes.

127

128 Histological detection of parasites

Fixation for histology was in 1-2 ml of Davidson's saltwater fixative (Hopwood, 1996) 129 130 followed by 70% industrial methylated spirit (IMS) after 24 h. Each specimen underwent wax infiltration using an automated tissue processor (Polaris, Leica Microsystems) and was 131 132 subsequently embedded in a wax block. A single section (3 µm thickness) was taken 133 through the centre of the animal using a rotary microtome (Thermofisher), to standardise the 134 screening approach. Sections were placed onto glass slides and stained with haematoxylin 135 and alcoholic eosin (H&E) before being mounted. Slides were examined using standard light 136 microscopy (Nikon/Leica Eclipse E800). Images were annotated using LuciaG computing software (Nikon), which provides accurate scale bars and allows the addition of arrows and 137 138 other annotation.

During histological analysis, infection burden was recorded on an interval scale: 0, no infection; 1, low infection; 2, medium; 3, high. Trematodes were identified at least to genus, using published descriptions (James, 1968, 1969; Granovitch & Johanessen, 2000).

142

143 Morphometric data and analysis

Snails were imaged in a standard orientation such that the columella axis was horizontal and the widest dimension across the shell at 90° to this axis was also horizontal. These alignments were achieved by eye, against the reference of a horizontal shelf across the laboratory bench.

Images were captured using a JVC Colour Video Camera Head TK-1280E with a Matrox Comet framegrabber card mounted in a PC. Example images are shown in Figure 1, which also illustrates the linear dimensions recorded for a Euclidean Distance Measure approach Commented [JG1]: FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

in shape analysis. We justify the use of this method because we consider that it is closer to growth-model analyses of shell form (e.g. Raup, 1966; Moulton, Goriely & Chirat, 2012) than are geometric morphometric approaches, affording an intuitive grasp of the likely functional significance of changes in turbinate shell shapes. Moreover, other workers reporting on the putative effects of parasitic infection on shell shape have generally used ratios derived from linear distance measures (e.g. McCarthy et al., 2004).

Data were analysed in the R package v. 3.2.2 (R Core Team, 2013). First the linear dimensions were transformed by expressing each as a ratio of the geometric mean of the dimensions for that shell and then taking the base 10 logarithm of this ratio. This procedure was adopted to minimize the effect of size variation as such; it is the 'DM_LOG' approach of Jungers, Falsetti & Wall (1995).

162 We used principal component analysis (PCA) to obtain (1) the eigenvectors of the 163 correlation matrix and (2) the scores placing each shell on each of the components. The individual values in the eigenvectors are coefficients, one for each variable. Negative and 164 positive coefficients indicate variables that are inversely related to one another with respect 165 to that principal component (PC). Then, shells may be described as most different on each 166 167 of the PCs. Thus, the shells illustrated in Figure 1 have extreme negative (Fig. 1A, C) or 168 positive (B, D) scores on the first (and most important) PC in a PCA of all shells together. In fact, if two separate analyses are executed, one on L. saxatilis and the other on L. arcana, 169 the same shells are identified as extremes in those two analyses as in the single global one. 170 171 Notwithstanding that shape variation in the two species seems to have much in common, for 172 investigation of possible effects of parasites on shape, we performed separate PCAs for the 173 two species and, usually, for the two ecotypes of L. saxatilis. Three-dimensional graphs of 174 the PCA axes were drawn using scatterplot3d (Ligges & Mächler, 2003) within R.

175 In further analyses, the scores for the shells in PCs 1, 2 and 3 were used as response 176 variables in linear mixed-effects models (LMM) implemented in nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2013) 177 within R. We used the occurrence of the parasites and shore zone as fixed effects, while

sample site was a random effect. Where a parasite/symbiont was very rare in one or both of
the hosts (Microphallus pygmaeus was rare in both hosts and Protophrya ovicola was rarely
detected in L. arcana), these were excluded from the analysis.

181

182

RESULTS

183 Parasite profile determined by histology

A ciliated protist (Fig. 2A) and an apicomplexan-like microparasite (Fig. 2B) constituted the microfauna identified from histological analysis. Three trematode macroparasites were found in 32/178 Littorina saxatilis (18%) and 25/56 L. arcana (45%)

The ciliated protist was identified as Protophrya ovicola, based on its location in the host 187 188 (mostly in the brood pouch) and ciliated morphology. It was found in both snail species, with a greater prevalence in L. saxatilis than in L. arcana (36% and 4%, respectively; proportion 189 190 test x2 = 20.49, P < 0.0001). In both host species P. ovicola was either found in small numbers on the exterior of the snail or, in female L. saxatilis, most commonly within the 191 brood pouch (Fig. 2A). No immune responses such as granuloma formation or melanization 192 reactions were observed in response to P. ovicola. The protist was more common in high-193 shore L. saxatilis (proportion test χ^2 = 43.03, P < 0.0001; Table 1) and female L. saxatilis 194 were favoured over males for the population as a whole (2/31 males and 62/136 females; 195 proportion test $\chi 2 = 10.37$, P = 0.0013). 196

Apicomplexan-like protists were present in a single L. saxatilis from the mid-tidal zone, causing infection in the gut epithelium of the host (Fig. 2B), where infected cells were observed with one to two parasite inclusions (Fig. 2B inset). Parasites in the gut lumen were closely associated with the epithelial cells and not free within the lumen. No host immune response was observed in relation to the presence of this parasite.

Three trematodes were present in each snail species. The first appears to be an encysted metacercariae of Renicola sp. based upon the size, development stage and eosinophilic cyst layers containing the trematode (Fig. 2C). The second is a first intermediate trematode infection (type 1) tentatively assigned to Microphallus similis based on morphology, host choice and development stage (mother and developing daughter sporocyst; Fig. 2D). The third trematode is a first intermediate infection (type 2) representing a mother sporocyst containing several daughter sporocysts belonging to a species within the M. pygmaeus complex, differing in size, shape, presence of tegumental spines and staining from the previously assigned M. similis; this is tentatively identified as M. pygmaeus (Fig. 2E-G).

Renicola sp. was present in both L. saxatilis (11%) and L. arcana (38%) from both tidal 211 212 zones. It had a higher prevalence in L. arcana than L. saxatilis (proportion test $\chi 2 = 18.56$, P < 0.0001). The incidence in each host ranged from one to several encysted parasites. This 213 parasite encysted within the digestive gland (Fig. 2C), gut, muscle and epidermal tissues, 214 causing displacement of tissues and organs. A thick eosinophilic layer was commonly 215 observed around the parasite, but it is unclear whether this was produced by the host (an 216 217 immune response to segregate the parasite from host tissues) or formed by the parasite as a 218 protective layer. Other than the potential immune response to segregate parasites from host tissues, no other immune responses were observed. 219

The mother and daughter sporocysts of M. similis (Fig. 2D) were present in both L. saxatilis (7%) and L. arcana (13%) from both tidal zones. Infections caused by this trematode were pathologically consistent in both host species and limited to the digestive gland. The parasite was often present in large numbers contained within a single mother sporocyst, causing the digestive gland to turn completely white or mottled white and brown. The host tissues wwere displaced, but no host immune responses were observed.

Four animals were infected with M. pygmaeus (Fig. 2E-G) across both host species (Table 1). The parasites were present in the digestive gland and in some cases elicited an inflammatory immune response resulting in the aggregation of haemocytes (Fig. 2E).

Our external examination recorded only 21 cases of visible parasitism, 20 of which were confirmed in the histological screen. This screen showed an additional 37 cases of

parasitism that had not been detected initially. In only two instances did we observe a snail lacking sexual organs or gonad: both were L. saxatilis crab ecotypes, about 12 mm in columella length (therefore not juvenile), but in only one did we find parasites. This snail was co-infected with both M. similis and Renicola sp., both with a high burden (score 3).

235

236 Shell morphology, zone and parasites

The distribution of shells of L. arcana and L. saxatilis in PCA ordinations are shown in Figure 3. The first three eigenvalues were >1 and thus eligible for consideration as meaningful (Everitt & Dunn, 2001); we therefore show three-dimensional plots. To assist with visualising these in three dimensions, animations are provided as Supplementary Material animations 3A and 3B. Snails from the mid-tidal zone were larger than those from the upper shore in L. saxatilis (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (K-W) $\chi^2 = 24.47$, P < 0.0001), but not in L. arcana (K-W $\chi^2 = 0.16$, P = 0.69).

244 In both hosts, PC1 most contrasts lip length and aperture width—lip length may be large 245 and aperture width relatively small, or the reverse-and thus separates the distinctive crab 246 and wave ecotypes of L. saxatilis (Fig. 1A and B, respectively), for example. We have not 247 included the relevant eigenvectors here, but the shape extremes of both species are clear in Figure 1. There is a marked difference in how these shapes are distributed on the shore in 248 the two species. For L. saxatilis most upper shore snails conform to the shape typical of the 249 wave ecotype (Fig. 1B), whereas most mid-shore snails are the shape of the crab ecotype 250 251 (Fig. 1A), as reflected in the separation along PC1 according to tidal level (Fig. 3B). In 252 contrast, the shape extremes of L. arcana (Fig. 1C, D) are not segregated by tidal level (Fig. 3A). 253

The scores for the shells on the PCs were then treated as shape variables and used as response variables in a LMM approach. This analysis showed that for L. arcana, tidal zone has no significant effect with any of PCs 1, 2 or 3; the only significant effect is with M. similis Commented [JG2]: FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE, TABLE 2 ABOUT

and PC2 (Table 2). For both ecotypes of L. saxatilis considered together, the importance of
zone as a factor was overwhelming on PC1 (P = 0.0000; confirming inspection of Fig. 3B);
the only significant effect was for Renicola sp. (P = 0.0053; Table 2).

260 This makes the exploration of possible shape differences with or without parasites 261 different for the two host species. It is reasonable to proceed by treating L. arcana as a whole (as in Table 2), but for L. saxatilis the very strong influence of zone on shell shape 262 (reflected in the recognition of two ecotypes) justifies treating the wave and crab ecotypes 263 separately. For the wave ecotype only PC3 was associated with any significant effect and 264 that was with P. ovicola (Table 3). For the crab ecotype, again there was only one PC 265 associated with any significant effect, in this case PC1 with Renicola sp. and M. similis 266 (Table 4). Notably the sign of the coefficients is opposite for these two trematode species. 267

268 In trying to understand why there might be links between shell shape and parasites, we 269 turn to consideration of the shell variables as picked out by the PCA-those variables at or 270 near the negative and positive extremes of the eigenvectors. There are three that are of interest: the eigenvector for PC2 for L. arcana, that for PC3 for L. saxatilis wave ecotype, 271 and that for PC1 for L. saxatilis crab ecotype. These eigenvectors are shown in Table 5. For 272 273 intuitive ease and comparison with other studies, the shell variables may now be expressed 274 as ratios one of the other, when this demonstrates an attribute of shell shape. We also use the standardized size of a variable-its value as a ratio to the geometric mean of all the 275 other linear variables-when a simple shape ratio such as 'tallness' is not intuitively useful. 276

As shown, in L. arcana, the only relationship found in modelling parasite presence and shape PCs was for PC2: there is an effect when infected with M. similis (Table 2). The most contrasted shell variables here (Table 5) are lip length and whorl width 2. Noting that aperture width has a coefficient almost as large as that for lip length, we use the ratio of whorl width 2 to aperture width as an expression of the relative spire height (or tallness) of the shell. Snails infected with M. similis have a greater relative spire height (Fig. 4A; K-W χ^2 283 = 11.925, P = 0.0006). Example shells from the extreme ends of PC2 are shown in
284 Supplementary Material Figure S1.

285 Turning to L. saxatilis, we consider the ecotypes separately. In the wave ecotype, P. 286 ovicola is significantly associated with PC3 (Table 3); this PC contrasts whorl width 0 with 287 aperture width (Table 5). Here there are two ratios that are significant, namely for whorl widths 0 and 1, where the standardized size of these variables is larger for snails with P. 288 ovicola (K-W χ^2 = 7.282, P = 0.007, for whorl width 0; χ^2 = 4.27, P = 0.039 for whorl width 1). 289 290 Table 5 shows that the variables whorl width 0 and aperture width form the extremes of 291 eigenvector three for L. saxatilis; this would lead to the expectation that their ratio might be different depending on the presence of P. ovicola, since it is associated with PC3. This 292 expectation is not met: the ratio for whorl width 0 to aperture width is numerically greater in 293 snails with the ciliates, but this difference is not significant (K-W χ^2 = 1.419, P = 0.234). 294 Example shells from the extreme ends of PC3 are shown in Supplementary Material Figure 295 296 S2. It is intriguing to note that PC3 here is substantially the same as the PC3 identified by 297 Walker & Grahame (2011) as being associated with a change in relative brood size (in that 298 study, a proxy for reproductive effort). The correlation between the two eigenvectors from the independent datasets of that study and our own is 0.782 (P = 0.038). 299

300 Finally, for the L. saxatilis crab ecotype, PC1 is associated with infection with both Renicola sp. and M. similis (Table 4); Table 5 shows that the most contrasted variables on 301 this PC are whorl width 2 and aperture width (in this respect resembling PC2 for L. arcana). 302 Using the same index of relative spire height (the ratio of whorl width 2 to aperture width) we 303 find that again the snails infected with M. similis are relatively higher-spired (Fig. 4B; K-W χ^2 304 305 = 3.849, P = 0.0498), while those infected with Renicola sp. are relatively lower-spired (Fig. 4C; K-W χ^2 = 10.239, P = 0.0014). We note that the effect for M. similis is only just significant 306 (at P ≤ 0.05), but is in the same direction as for L. arcana with M. similis (Fig. 4A). Example 307 shells are shown in Supplementary Material Figure S3. 308

Commented [JB3]: Table 5 here

We do not have quantitative data for the numbers of parasites in the host snails, but we 309 310 did score the apparent level of infection in categories 0 to 3 (see Methods, above). Including these scores in the LMMs shows that in no case does the level of infection make a 311 significant contribution to the model, using the criterion of $P \leq 0.05$. Only in the case of L. 312 arcana infected with M. similis was there a result close to significance (P = 0.075). However, 313 314 of the 17 cases of infection of this snail with this trematode, 14 were scored as 3 (the 315 heaviest level); thus 'level' is not very different from 'present' in this instance, and the additional information makes no meaningful contribution. We conclude that if there is any 316 possible effect of degree of infection, our data do not show it. 317

In expressing the relationships between parasitic infection and shell shape as simple ratios, we gain in comparability with other studies that have used such ratios, but lose in the simplification of a multivariate system to single axes. Therefore, we show figures expressing the relationships of the presence of parasites to shape as three-dimensional ordinations from the PCAs (Fig. 5). Animations are provided as Supplementary Material.

323 For L. arcana and M. similis, the relationship of infection to PC2 is apparent in the ordination (Fig. 5A; Animation 5A). The figure also suggests that we might expect a 324 325 relationship with PC1, but this was not supported by modelling. The figure shows an 326 interesting feature, namely that while the most extreme shapes on PC1 are of infected snails, there are uninfected ones near them, and that infected snails are scattered among 327 uninfected ones in the shape space defined by the ordination. Co-infections numbered only 328 329 three, all with Renicola sp. and one also with M. pygmaeus (this was not included in the 330 modelling due to low numbers observed; see Methods). Renicola sp. makes no contribution 331 to shape as revealed by modelling the PCs, and including coinfection as a term in the modelling showed this to make no contribution either. Thus, we conclude that infection by 332 333 other parasites does not account for the presence in the plot of snails uninfected with M. similis among those so infected. 334

335 For the crab ecotype of L. saxatilis, the ordination (Fig. 5B; Animation 5B) again shows uninfected snails sharing shape space with those infected by either Renicola sp. or M. 336 similis, while the latter two categories occupy largely different portions of the shape space 337 (this reflects the different sign of the coefficients in the LMM for PC1; Table 4). We note that 338 when shape is expressed by the scores of shells on PC1 the model gives a very significant 339 coefficient with M. similis (P = 0.0018, Table 4), whereas the simpler index of relative spire 340 341 height is not significantly different between the infected and uninfected snails (P = 0.085, see above). 342

These results show that while there is an association between shape and parasites in a number of instances, it is also true that there is shape variation that is not accounted for by parasitic infection. There are hosts that have 'infected shapes' but are not infected and vice versa (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

348

350

349

351 Parasite profiling of Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana

352 The histological screen revealed five associated organisms present in both L. saxatilis and L. arcana: three trematode parasites, one ciliated protist (Protophrya ovicola) and one 353 unidentified apicomplexan. This is a comparatively low diversity of parasites in molluscan 354 hosts. The Mollusca in general are known to host a variety of parasites(McClymont et al., 355 2005; Carnegie & Engelsma, 2014) and histology is capable of detecting a wide suite of 356 parasites, commensals and symbionts (e.g. Bojko et al., 2013). The surprisingly low 357 358 incidence of parasites observed in this study could perhaps indicate that the L. saxatilis and L. arcana populations at Old Peak are relatively healthy and free from many of the parasites 359 that have been identified in previous studies of Littorina species (Table 6). 360

The parasites detected here are predominantly trematodes, tentatively identified as an encysted Renicola sp. metacercaria, a first intermediate sporocyst (type 1) of Microphallus similis and a first intermediate sporocyst (type 2) of M. pygmaeus. More certain identification would require molecular taxonomy (Galaktionov et al., 2012). Here, we opted for a histological approach with the aim of observing many parasite groups at low cost rather than apply primers for specific parasite groups in a PCR diagnostics approach.

Protophrya ovicola was found externally, but also and most frequently in the brood pouch of L. saxatilis. Sokolova (1995) found no evidence that it was associated with incidence of embryo abnormality and in this study we observed no immunological response by the host to its presence. The presence of P. ovicola may be beneficial for the snail by providing a service, such as removing fungi and bacteria from the developing young, while itself benefiting from the protected environment within the brood pouch in the harsh high-tidal zone. Commented [DR4]: Table 6 here

The detection of a rare apicomplexan infecting the gut epithelium of a single L. saxatilis hints at some microparasite diversity. This gregarine apicomplexan shows some pathological and morphological similarities (based on histology) to Digyalum oweni discovered in the gut of L. obtusata (Koura, 1982; Koura et al. 1990; Dyson et al. 1992). Without genetic evidence for the originally described species, or for that found here, or sufficient material fixed for electron microscopy, no taxonomic conclusion is possible. A PCR or metagenomic screen for gregarines may help to reveal gregarine diversity in these hosts in the future.

The parasite profiles of the two Littorina species differ from each other. Although they were collected alongside each other, each appears to have a different susceptibility to infection. For example, 11% of L. saxatilis were infected by Renicola sp., whereas the figure for L. arcana was 36%. This difference could be related to relative genetic, and resultant phenotypic, resistance of the two hosts to this trematode. Alternatively, there could be a difference in their niches, whereby the niche of L. arcana could promote increased infection with Renicola sp.

388 In addition, differences can be noted between the parasite profiles of snails from highand mid-tidal zones. Wave-ecotype L. saxatilis on the high shore had a high prevalence of P. 389 390 ovicola within their brood pouch (65%), while this was lower in crab-ecotype L. saxatilis on 391 the mid shore (16%). This organism only occurs externally on L. arcana (since it lacks a brood pouch), with a prevalence of only 4% in both tidal zones. Other than its high 392 prevalence of P. ovicola, the wave-ecotype L. saxatilis had a lower prevalence of both 393 Renicola sp. and M. similis, relative to the crab ecotype (Table 1). Although this is not 394 395 statistically significant, it parallels the data of Granovitch & Johannesson (2000) from the 396 Swedish coast. The difference in parasite profile between the wave and crab ecotypes of L. 397 saxatilis suggests that high-shore wave ecotype could be 'escaping' its parasites to some 398 extent by moving away from the more frequently inundated mid-tidal zone, while in the process it may have acquired a likely symbiont, P. ovicola. This suggestion requires further 399 400 research, but we speculate that disease will likely have been a factor in past and current

littorinid evolution. Speciation in the two littorinid hosts is a well-studied topic that currently
does not take parasitism into account (Galindo & Grahame, 2014).

403

404 Parasites and shell morphology

The distribution of shell shapes across the intertidal habitat were as expected for L. saxatilis 405 406 at Old Peak, much investigated since Hull et al. (1996) first reported evidence of a partial reproductive barrier within this L. saxatilis population, separating it into high-shore H morphs 407 408 (equivalent to 'wave ecotype' as used here, following the usage of Butlin et al., 2014) and 409 mid-shore M morphs (i.e. 'crab ecotype') (see Galindo & Grahame, 2014, for review). Our 410 focus in this work was on the possible effects of parasite infection on shape. Microphallus 411 pygmaeus was very rare in our samples, precluding investigation of its possible effects on 412 shell shape. Both Renicola sp. and M. similis were more common, and there are three instances where there might be considered a prima facie case for an effect of a parasitic 413 414 trematode on the shell shape of its host. In addition, our data show an apparent relationship 415 between occurrence of the ciliate P. ovicola and shell shape.

416 For the crab ecotype of L. saxatilis infected with either M. similis or Renicola sp., there was an effect on PC1 (Table 4). This component reflects the relative height of the spire of 417 418 the shell (and conversely, its overall roundness or globosity); L. saxatilis infected with M. 419 similis tended to be higher-spired (Fig. 4B) and those infected with Renicola sp. lower-spired 420 (Fig. 4C). This is reminiscent of the findings of Panova et al. (1999) and McCarthy et al. 421 (2004), where L. saxatilis infected with trematodes were usually a different shape from uninfected individuals. But, there is a difference; those authors found infected snails to be 422 423 consistently higher-spired, whereas we found infected snails to be either higher-spired or lower-spired, depending on the species of parasite. 424

425 McCarthy et al. (2004) studied the trematode M. piriformes, which, as they noted, has an 426 abbreviated life cycle, alternating between snail and bird hosts. These authors suggested

that this might put an additional demand on the snail host to accommodate an enhanced volume of parasite tissue in the absence of a free-swimming cercarial stage. Thus, they favoured an adaptationist explanation in this case—the parasite was manipulating host shape to its advantage.

431 In our study, those L. saxatilis with trematode infections are not a unique shape, or even always an extreme one, but rather there are many individuals with no infection that share the 432 shape characteristics of the infected ones. The data suggest that the explanation in this 433 instance is that certain snail phenotypes are more likely to become infected than others. This 434 was an idea considered (but rejected) by McCarthy et al. (2004). Interestingly, Panova et al. 435 436 (1999) did suggest a microhabitat contribution for the shape changes they reported. At Old Peak there is a simple possibility: L. saxatilis on the sides and upper parts of intertidal 437 boulders are slightly, but significantly, rounder than those on the cobbles and bedrock 438 439 around the boulders (B. Fairclough, K. Fisher & J. Grahame, unpublished). It is plausible that 440 this microhabitat difference may make the snails more or less susceptible to trematode 441 infection by particular parasites, though why this might be so remains unknown.

For L. arcana infected with M. similis, there is also evidence of an association of shell 442 443 shape and infection, and again the infected snails are broadly mixed in shape space with 444 uninfected ones (Fig. 5A). Littorina arcana apparently resembles the crab ecotype of L. saxatilis in showing a higher-spired shell when M. similis is present (with the caveat that the 445 simple index of spire height is not significantly greater in L. saxatilis, so this interpretation 446 rests on the similarities revealed by the LMM analyses). But for L. arcana we have no 447 448 information on microhabitat distribution, and so do not know whether the speculation above 449 for L. saxatilis might apply.

Finally, returning to P. ovicola, which shows a shape association on PC3 in the wave ecotype of L. saxatilis. This axis of shape variation is much the same PC identified by Walker & Grahame (2011) as associated with their estimate of reproductive effort. It is intriguing that

this association should emerge for P. ovicola, which largely inhabits the brood pouch of thesnails.

455

456 Concluding remarks

A wide variety of changes have been associated with parasitic infections in molluscan hosts.
Reviewing these, Cézilly et al. (2013) sounded "a friendly note of caution" about "the endless
formulation of ad hoc adaptive scenarios for which, most often, no critical test is available."

460 In the present study, it appears that some parasites do prefer particular areas, and a 461 particular host, in the intertidal zone at Old Peak. The relatively lower infection rate by trematodes in wave-ecotype L. saxatilis may indicate they have partly 'escaped' the risk of 462 infection by occupying the high shore, acquiring a likely symbiont (P. ovicola) in the process. 463 We note that these host-parasite interactions may be influencing the evolutionary 464 divergence, and even speciation, of the ecotypes of L. saxatilis. We have provided evidence 465 466 of association of shell shape and parasitic infection in L. saxatilis and (less certainly) in L. arcana. There is, however, no characteristic shape attributable to parasitism by Renicola sp. 467 or M. similis: rather, it seems that the parasites simply occur in a relatively restricted range of 468 shapes (phenotypes) and we suggest that this could be linked to the likelihood of becoming 469 470 infected. Based on these results, we recommend that future studies of the ecotypes of L. 471 saxatilis, a model microevolutionary system, should include host-parasite effects and 472 consider that hosts may present behaviours or phenotypes that make them more susceptible 473 to parasitism. These attributes may not be caused by the presence of the parasite, but rather are part of the biology of the host. In other words, the parasites and symbionts investigated 474 475 here follow host shape, they do not cause it.

476

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author contributions: JB and JWG developed the initial idea, collected specimens and
performed the dissections. JB conducted the histological screen. JB and JWG analysed the
data. JB, JWG and AMD developed the final manuscript.

The authors would like to acknowledge studentship funding to JB (Award: 1368300) and AMD (grant: NE/G015201/1) from NERC. Thanks to Dr Natalia Mikhailova and Dr Andrei Granovitch who gave valuable advice on the parasites. Additional thanks to Grant Stentiford for the use of histological facilities at the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Sciences (Cefas). Chris Hassall provided R script for the animated versions of Figs 3 and 5. We thank anonymous reviewers for their comments that led to improvements in the manuscript.

489

478

490

REFERENCES

493 BARBOSA-SOLOMIEU, V., DÉGREMONT, L., VAZQUEZ-JUAREZ, R., ASCENCIO-VALLE,

494 F., BOUDRY, P. & RENAULT, T. 2005. Ostreid Herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) detection among

three successive generations of Pacific oysters (Crassostrea gigas). Virus Research, **107**:
47-56.

BEAZ-HIDALGO, R., BALBOA, S., ROMALDE, J. L. & FIGUERAS, M. J. 2010. Diversity and
pathogenicity of Vibrio species in cultured bivalve molluscs. Environmental Microbiology
Reports, 2: 34-43.

BELOPOLSKAIA, M. M. 1952. Parasitic fauna of marine swimming birds. Uchenye Zapiski
Leningradskogo gosudarstvennogo Universiteta. Seriya Biologicheskikh Nauk. 28: 127-180.
[In Russian].

BOJKO, J., STEBBING, P. D., BATEMAN, K. S., MEATYARD, J. E., BACELASPYCHALSKA, K., DUNN, A. M. & STENTIFORD, G. D. 2013. Baseline histopathological
survey of a recently invading island population of 'killer shrimp', Dikerogammarus villosus.
Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, **106**(3): 241-253.

BUNKE, M., ALEXANDER, M. E., DICK, J. T., HATCHER, M. J., PATERSON, R. & DUNN,
A. M. 2015. Eaten alive: cannibalism is enhanced by parasites. Royal Society Open Science,
2(3): 140369.

510 BUTLIN, R. K., SAURA, M., CHARRIER, G., JACKSON, B., ANDRÉ, C., CABALLERO, A.,

511 COYNE, J.A., GALINDO, J., GRAHAME, J.W., HOLLANDER, JH., KEMPPAINEN, P.,

512 MARTINEZ-FERNÁNDEZ, M., PANOVA, M., QUESADA, H., JOHANNESSON, K. &

513 ROLÁN-ALVAREZ, E. 2014. Parallel evolution of local adaptation and reproductive isolation

in the face of gene flow. Evolution, **68**: 935–949.

515 CARNEGIE, R. B. & ENGELSMA, M. Y. 2014. Microcell parasites of molluscs. Diseases of
516 Aquatic Organisms, **110**: 1-4.

21

- CÉZILLY, F., FAVRAT, A. & PERROT-MINNOT, M.-J. 2013. Multidimensionality in parasiteinduced phenotypic alterations: ultimate versus proximate aspects. Journal of Experimental
 Biology, 216: 27–35.
- 520 CHUBRIK, G. K. 1966. Fauna and ecology of trematode larvae from molluscs of the Barents
 521 and White Seas. In: Life cycles of parasitic worms from northern seas (Yu.I. PoChuljansky,
 522 ed.), pp. 78-159. Nauka, Leningrad. [In Russian]
- 523 COEN, L. D. & BISHOP, M. J. 2015. The ecology, evolution, impacts and management of
 524 host–parasite interactions of marine molluscs. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, **131**: 177525 211.
- DYSON, J., EVENNETT, P. J. & GRAHAME, J. 1992. Digyalum oweni, a protozoan parasite
 in the intestines of the gastropod mollusc Littorina. In: Proceedings of the Third International
 Symposium on Littorinid Biology (J. Grahame, P.J. Mill & D.G. Reid, eds), pp. 265–270.
 Malacological Society of London, London.
- EVERITT, B. S., & DUNN, G. 2001. Applied multivariate data analysis. Hodder Arnold,London.
- 532 GALAKTIONOV, K. V. 1980. Four types of metacercarial species in molluscs Littorina 533 saxatilis and L. obtusata from the Barents and White Sea. Vestnik Leningradskogo 534 Universiteta, Series 3, **1980**: 21-28. [In Russian]
- GALAKTIONOV, K. V. 1983. Description of species Microphallus pygmaeus (Levivnsen,
 1881) nec. Odner, 1905 and M. piriformes (Odner, 1905) nom. nov. (Trematoda:
 Microphallidae). Vestnik Leningradskogo Universiteta, Series 15, **1983**: 20-30. [In Russian]
- 538 GALAKTIONOV, K. V. 1984. Microphallids of the "pygmaeus" group. II. Microphallus 539 triangulatus sp. nov. (Trematoda: Microphallidae). Vestnik Leningradskogo Universiteta, 540 Series 3, **1984**: 5–11. [In Russian]

- 541 GALAKTIONOV, K. V. 2009. Description of the maritae and determination of the species
 542 status of Microphallus pseudopygmaeus sp. nov. (Trematoda: Microphallidae).
 543 Parazitologiya, 43: 289–299 (in Russian).
- GALAKTIONOV, K. V., BLASCO-COSTA, I. & OLSON, P. D. 2012. Life cycles, molecular
 phylogeny and historical biogeography of the 'pygmaeus' microphallids (Digenea:
 Microphallidae): widespread parasites of marine and coastal birds in the Holarctic.
 Parasitology, **139**: 1346-1360.
- 548 GALINDO, J., & GRAHAME, J. W. 2014. Ecological speciation and the intertidal snail 549 Littorina saxatilis. Advances in Ecology, **2014**: 1-9.
- 550 GORBUSHIN, A. M., & LEVAKIN, I. A. 1999. The effect of trematode parthenitae on the
- 551 growth of Onoba aculeus, Littorina saxatilis and L. obtusata (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia).
- Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, **79**: 273–279.
- 553 GRAHAME, J. W., WILDING, C. S. & BUTLIN, R. K. 2006. Adaptation to a steep 554 environmental gradient and an associated barrier to gene exchange in Littorina saxatilis. 555 Evolution, **60**: 268–278.
- 556 GRANOVITCH, A. I., SERGIEVSKY, S. O. & SOKOLOVA, I. M. 2000. Spatial and temporal
- 557 variation of trematode infection in coexisting populations of intertidal gastropods Littorina
- saxatilis and L. obtusata in the white sea. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, **41**: 53-64.
- GRANOVITCH, A. & JOHANNESSON, K. (2000). Digenetic trematodes in four species of
 Littorina from the west coast of Sweden. Ophelia, 53: 55-65.
- HOPWOOD, D. 1996. Theory and practice of histopathological techniques. In: Fixation and
 fixatives, edn 4 (J.D. Bamcroft & A. Stevens A, eds), pp. 23–46. Churchill Livingstone, Hong
 Kong.
- HUDSON, P. J., DOBSON, A. P. & LAFFERTY, K. D. 2006. Is a healthy ecosystem one that
 is rich in parasites? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 21: 381-385.

- HULL, S.L., GRAHAME, J. & MILL, P.J. 1996. Morphological divergence and evidence for
 reproductive isolation in Littorina saxatilis (Olivi) in northeast England. Journal of Molluscan
 Studies, 62: 89-99.
- ISHKULOV, D. G. 2000. Taxonomic status of the Trematoda larvae of the Himasthla genus
 (Trematoda: Echinostomatidae) from the Littorina saxatilis mollusc in the Kandalaksha Bay
 of the White Sea. Parazitologiia, **35**: 81-85.
- 572 JÄGERSKIOLD, L. A. 1900. Levinsenia (Distomum) pygmaea Levinsen, ein 573 genitalnapftragende Distomum. Zentralbltt fuer. Bakteriologie., **1**: 732-740.
- 574 JAMES, B.L. 1964. The life cycle of Parvatrema homoeotecnum sp. nov. (Trematoda:
- 575 Digenea) and review of the family Gymnophallidae Morozov, 1955. Parasitology, **54**: 1-41.
- 576 JUNGERS, W. L., FALSETTI, A. B. & WALL, C. E. 1995. Shape, relative size, and size-
- adjustments in morphometrics. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology, **38**: 137–161.
- 578 KOFOID, C. A. (1903). On the Structure of Protophrya ovicola: a ciliate infusorian from the
- 579 brood-sac of Littorina rudis Don. Mark Anniversary Volume, 5: 3-120.
- KOURA, E. A. S. 1982. The biology of a new species of aseptate gregarine, Bipora littorinae
 (Protozoa, Apicomplexa), from the flat periwinkle Littorina obtusata (L.). PhD thesis,
 University of Leeds.
- KOURA, E. A., GRAHAME, J., OWEN, R. W. & KAMEL, E. G. 1990. Digyalum oweni, gen.
 nov., sp. nov., a new and unusual gregarine protozoan from the gut of mollusc Littorina
 obtusata (Prosobranchia: Gastropoda). Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 20:
 53-59.
- 587 MARCOGLIESE, D. J. 2005. Parasites of the superorganism: are they indicators of 588 ecosystem health? International Journal for Parasitology, **35**: 705-716.

- MCCARTHY, H. O., FITZPATRICK, S.M. & IRWIN, S. W. B. 2004. Parasite alteration of host
 shape: a quantitative approach to gigantism helps elucidate evolutionary advantages.
 Parasitology, **128**: 7–14.
- 592 MCCLYMONT, H. E., DUNN, A. M., TERRY, R. S., ROLLINSON, D., LITTLEWOOD, D. T. J.
- SMITH, J. E. 2005. Molecular data suggest that microsporidian parasites in freshwater
 snails are diverse. International Journal for Parasitology, **35**: 1071-1078.
- MORADO, J. F. & SMALL, E. B. 1994. Morphology and stomatogenesis of Mesanophrys
 pugettensis n. sp. (Scuticociliatida: Orchitophryidae), a facultative parasitic ciliate of the
 Dungeness crab, Cancer magister (Crustacea: Decapoda). Transactions of the American
 Microscopical Society, **113**: 343-364.
- PANOVA, M.V., SERGIEVSKY, S.O. & GRANOVITCH, A.I. 1999. Abnormal shell shape of
 the intertidal molluscs Littorina saxatilis and Littorina obtusata infected with trematodes.
 Parazitologiya, 33: 13-25.
- 602 PELSENEER, P. 1906. Trématodes parasites de mollusques marine. Bulletin Scientifique de
- 603 la France et de la Belgique, **40**: 161-86.
- PINHEIRO, J., BATES, D., DEBROY, S., SARKAR, D. & R CORE TEAM. 2013. nlme:
 Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-109.
- R CORE TEAM. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- RAUP, D.M. 1966. Geometric analysis of shell coiling: general problems. Journal of
 Paleontology, **40**: 1178-1190.
- 610 REID, D. G. 1996. Systematics and evolution of Littorina. Ray Society, London.
- 611 SANNIA, A. & JAMES, B. L. 1977. The Digenea in marine molluscs from Eyjafjördur, North
- 612 Iceland. Ophelia, **16**: 97-109.

- 613 SOKOLOVA, I. M. 1995. Embryonic abnormalities in populations of Littorina saxatilis (Olivi)
- 614 (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia) in the White Sea. Journal of Molluscan Studies, **61**: 303-311.
- 615 STUNKARD, H. W. 1932. Some larval trematodes from the coast in the region of Roscoff,
- 616 Finistere. Parasitology, **24**: 321-343.
- THOMAS, F., ADAMO, S. & MOORE, J. 2005. Parasitic manipulation: where are we and
- 618 where should we go? Behavioural Processes, **68**: 185–199.
- 619 WALKER, T.N. & GRAHAME, J.W. 2011. Shell shape variation and fitness variables in the
- 620 gastropod Littorina saxatilis. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **430**: 103-111.

623 Figure captions

Figure 1. Specimens of Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana identified by PCA as extreme on the
first PC (see Results). A, B, L. saxatilis; C, D, L. arcana. Abbreviations: al, aperture length;
aw, aperture width; cl, columella length; II, lip length; ww0, whorl width 0;, ww1, whorl width
1; ww2, whorl width 2. Scale bar = 5 mm.

628

Figure 2. Parasites from Littorina hosts at Old Peak, UK. A. Ciliated protist, Protophrya 629 630 ovicola (white arrow) within brood pouch of L. saxatilis. White stars identify host embryos. Inset shows a single ciliate with highlighted cilia (white triangle) and nucleus (black triangle). 631 B. Apicomplexan parasites in gut of L. saxatilis (white arrows). Black arrow identifies host 632 nucleus; white star identifies smooth muscle of host. Inset image two parasites in detail 633 634 (white triangles). C. Metacercaria of trematode, Renicola sp., encysted within digestive gland 635 of L. saxatilis. External pearling is beginning to form around parasite (white triangle). A 636 pharynx or external sucker is present in section (white arrow). D. Microphallus similis daughter sporocysts in section (one indicated with white arrow), infecting L. saxatilis. E. 637 Daughter sporocysts of Microphallus pygmaeus (white arrow) within digestive gland of L. 638 639 saxatilis. H identifies host inflammatory response to parasites. F, G. Spines of M. pygmaeus have a hooked structure (white arrow in F) and cover entire body of the trematode (white 640 arrow in G). 641

642

Figure 3. Shells of Littorina arcana and L. saxatilis in PC ordinations. A. L. arcana from
upper shore (solid triangles) and mid shore (open triangles). B. L saxatilis of wave ecotype
(solid triangles) and crab ecotype (open triangles).

Figure 4. A. Relative spire height of Littorina arcana depending on Microphallus similis
 infection status. B. Relative spire height of Littorina saxatilis crab ecotype infected or not with

Microphallus similis. C. Relative spire height of Littorina saxatilis crab ecotype infected or not with Renicola sp. In the box and whisker plots, the rectangle represents the upper and lower quartiles of the data; the horizontal line is drawn at the median. The whiskers extend across the third and fourth quartiles of the data, with extreme outliers (where these occur) shown as open circles.

Figure 5. Ordinations of host shells with or without parasites. **A**. Littorina arcana with and without Microphallus similis. **B**. L. saxatilis crab ecotype with and without M. similis or Renicola sp. Symbols: open diamond, no infection; solid square, M. similis infection; solid triangle, Renicola sp. infection; star, coinfection with both parasites.

658 Tables:

Table 1. Parasite prevalence in Littorina host populations according to habitat (zone).

			Renicola	Microphallus	Microphallus	Apicomplexa	Protophrya
			sp.	similis	pygmaeus		ovicola
	L.	saxatilis	4/72	4/72	1/72	0/72	47/72
	wave)					
	ecoty	/pe					
	(high	shore)					
	L.	saxatilis	16/106	8/106	1/106	1/106	17/106
	crab	ecotype					
	(mid	shore)					
	Total	S	20/178	12/178	2/178	1/178	64/178
	L.	arcana	7/28	3/28	0/28	0/28	1/28
	high	shore					
	L.	arcana	14/28	4/28	2/28	0/28	1/28
mid shore							
	Total	S	21/56	7/56	2/56	0/56	2/56

665	Table 2. Results of linear mixed modelling for Littorina arcana and L. saxatilis (crab and								
666	wave ecotypes together). Coefficients considered significant are highlighted in bold type.								
667	See text for further explanation.								
668	A. L. arcana, principal component 2.								
669	Fixed effects: PC2 score ~ zone + Microphallus similis + Renicola sp.								
		Value	Std error	DF	t	Р			
	Intercept	-0.2288	0.2990	54	-0.7652066	0.4475			
	zone	0.2760	0.3227	8	0.8552	0.4174			
	M. similis	0.7795	0.3481	54	2.2390	0.0293			
	Renicola sp.	-0.4771	0.3119	54	-1.5297	0.1319			

B. L. saxatilis, both ecotypes together, principal component 1.

672	Fixed effects: PC1 score ~ zone + M. similis + Renicola sp. + Protophrya ovicola						
		Value	Std error	DF	t	Р	
	Intercept	1.5076	0.2180	161	6.9157	0.0000	
	zone	-2.6808	0.2161	161	-12.4079	0.0000	
	M. similis	-0.1948	0.2449	161	-0.7955	0.4275	
	Renicola sp.	0.5911	0.2092	161	2.8248	0.0053	
	P. ovicola	-0.0821	0.1550	161	-0.5296	0.5971	

677 Table 3. Results of linear mixed modelling for Littoprina saxatilis wave ecotype. Coefficients

678 considered significant are highlighted in bold type.

679 Fixed effects: PC3 ~ Renicola sp. + Microphallus similis + Protophrya ovicola

Intercept	Value 0.5212	Std error 0.2467	DF 61	t 2.1128	P 0.0387
Renicola sp.	0.0809	0.5237	61	0.1545	0.8777
M. similis	-0.3497	0.5565	61	-0.6282	0.5322
P. ovicola	-0.7613	0.2736	61	-2.7821	0.0072

680

676

683	Table 4. Results of linear mixed modelling for Littorina saxatilis crab ecotype. Coefficients								
684	considered significant are highlighted in bold type.								
685									
686	5 Fixed effects: PC1 ~ Renicola sp. + Microphallus similis + Protophrya. ovicola								
		Value	Std error	DF	t	Р			
	Intercept	-0.1884	0.2414	94	-0.7805	0.4370			
	Renicola sp.	0.9982	0.37915	94	-2.6374	0.0098			
	M. similis	1.4941	0.4733	94	3.1573	0.0021			
	P. ovicola	0.6469	0.3575	94	1.8093	0.0736			
687									
688									

Figure 5. Eigenvectors and their variances of the principal components; the eigenvectors shown are those that relate to components demonstrated as having a relationship with one or other of the parasites, and are ranked in order of the magnitudes of the coefficients in the vectors. For abbreviations of shell dimensions (variables) see Fig. 1.

L. arcana		L. saxatilis	wave ecotype	<i>L. saxatilis</i> cr	L. saxatilis crab ecotype	
variable	PC2, 1.390	variable	PC3, 1.056	variable	PC1, 1.500	
II	-0.281	ww0	-0.822	aw	-0.553	
aw	-0.279	ww1	-0.297	al	-0.547	
al	-0.215	Ш	-0.022	ww0	-0.003	
ww0	-0.052	cl	0.077	ww1	0.041	
ww1	0.291	ww2	0.078	cl	0.28	
cl	0.405	al	0.193	II	0.237	
ww2	0.738	aw	0.431	ww2	0.509	

Table 6. Review of the parasites currently associated with L. saxatilis and L. arcana.

Таха	Species	Host	Relationship	Reference
Trematoda	Microphallus piriformes	L. saxatilis, L.	Parasite	Galaktionov, 1980, 1983, 2012; Granovitch et al., 2000;
		arcana		Granovitch & Johannesson, 2000
	Microphalllus similis	L. saxatilis, L.	Parasite	Jägerskiold, 1900; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch
		arcana		and Johannesson, 2000; Galaktionov et al. 2012
	Microphalllus triangulatus	L. saxatilis, L.	Parasite	Galaktionov, 1984, 2012; Granovitch et al. 2000
		arcana		
	Cercaria littorinae saxatilis	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Sannia and James, 1977; Granovitch and Johannesson,
				2000
	Microphallus pygmaeus	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Levinsen, 1881; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch and
				Johannesson, 2000; Galaktionov et al. 2012
	Microphallus pseudopygmaeus	L. saxatilis, L.	Parasite	Granovitch et al. 2000; Galaktionov, 2009, 2012
		arcana		
	Paramonostomum chabaudi	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Stunkard, 1932; Granovitch and Johannesson, 2000
	(=Cercaria lebouri)			
	Parapronocephalum symmetricum	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Belopolskaia, 1952
	Cercaria emasculans	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Pelseneer, 1906
	Cercaria brevicauda	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Pelseneer, 1906
	Cercaria roscovita	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Stunkard, 1932
	Cercaria quadriramis	L. saxatilis	Parasite of male	Chubrik, 1966
	Parvatrema homoeotecnum	L. saxatilis	Parasite of female	James, 1964
	Podocotyle atomon	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Rudolphi, 1802; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch and
				Johannesson, 2000
	Himasthla elongata	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Ishkulov, 2000; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch and
				Johannesson, 2000
	Renicola roscovita	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Stunkard, 1932; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch and
				Johannesson, 2000
	Cryptocotyle lingua	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Creplín, 1825; Granovitch et al. 2000; Granovitch and
				Johannesson, 2000
	Notocotylus sp.	L. saxatilis	Parasite	Granovitch et al. 2000
Ciliophora	Protophrya ovicola	L. saxatilis	Commensal/Symbiont	Sokolova, 1995
Apicomplexa	Digyalum oweni	L. saxatilis, L.	Parasite	Dyson et al. 1992
		arcana		











Figure 4.



748 Supplementary information: Figures 1-3

Fig. S1. Specimens of *L. arcana* ordered on PC2, indicated as being associated with infection by the trematode *Microphallus similis*. Snails which are relatively tall (**B**, **D**) are more likely to be infected, though only **D** here is. **D** is the largest specimen shown, but there are other specimens of the same size which lack the trematode. Further there is no correlation between the geometric mean size of the shells and PC2 (r = 0.127, P = 0.308). We illustrate four specimens to show that allometric growth cannot itself be responsible for the transition between the squat, globose shape (**A**, **C**) to the more high-spired shape (**B**, **D**). The arrow indicates the 'direction' of the principal component, and indicates the scale of 5 mm.



Fig. S2. Specimens of *L. saxatilis* wave ecotype, ordered on PC3, indicated as being associated with presence of the ciliated protist *Protophrya ovicola*. **A.** Snails with a relatively large whorl width 0 (see Fig. 1 in the printed paper) are more likely to harbour the protist, as this specimen did, compared with those with a smaller ww0 (**B**), which did not. Relative ww0 size is estimated from the ratio of that dimension to the geometric mean size of all seven linear dimensions. For these specimens, that ratio is **A**: 1.241; **B**: 1.078. The arrow indicates the 'direction' of the principal component, and indicates the scale of 5 mm.



Fig. S3. Specimens of *L. saxatilis* crab ecotype, ordered on PC1, indicated as being associated with infection by the trematodes *Microphallus similis* or *Renicola* sp. **A**. Relatively squat, low-spired shell, more likely to be infected by *Renicola* sp. (though this specimen had no infection). **B**. Relatively high-spired, more likely to be infected by *M. similis* (as this specimen was). The arrow indicates the 'direction' of the principal component, and indicates the scale of 5 mm.

