

This is a repository copy of Occupational stress in the ED: A systematic literature review.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/106919/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Basu, S., Qayyum, H. and Mason, S. (2016) Occupational stress in the ED: A systematic literature review. Emergency Medicine Journal. ISSN 1472-0205

https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2016-205827

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Occupational Stress in the Emergency Department:

A Systematic Literature Review

Corresponding and Lead Author

Dr.Subhashis BASU

Sheffield Occupational Health Service and Emergency Department

Northern General Hospital

Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

S5 7AU

Dr. Hasan QAYYUM

Emergency Department

Northern General Hospital

Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

S5 7AU

Professor Suzanne MASON

School of Health and Related Research

University of Sheffield

Regent St

Sheffield S1 4DA

Abstract

Introduction

Occupational stress is a major modern health and safety challenges. Whilst the Emergency Department (ED) is known to be a high-pressure environment; the specific organisational stressors which affect ED staff have not been established.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of literature examining the sources of organisational stress in the ED; their link to adverse health outcomes, and interventions designed to address them. A narrative review of contextual factors that may contribute to occupational stress was also performed. All articles written in English, French or Spanish were eligible for conclusion. Study quality was graded using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

Results

25 full-text articles were eligible for inclusion in our systematic review. Most were of moderate quality, with two low and two high quality studies respectively. Whilst high demand and low job control were commonly featured; other studies demonstrated the role of insufficient support at work, effort-reward imbalance and organisational injustice in the development of adverse health and occupational outcomes. We found only one intervention in a peer-reviewed journal evaluating a stress reduction programme in ED staff.

Conclusion

Our review provides a guide to developing interventions that target the origins of stress in the ED. It suggests that those which reduce demand and increase workers' control over their job; improve managerial support; establish better working relationships and make workers' feel more valued for their efforts could be beneficial. We have detailed examples of successful interventions from other fields which may be applicable to this setting.

What is already known on this subject?

Occupational stress is associated with increased sickness absence, high staff turnover and early retirement. The ED is known to be a pressured work environment but the specific organisational stressors that affect staff are not well characterised; nor the types of interventions designed to address them.

What this study adds?

This review demonstrates that there is a diverse range of work stressors in the ED beyond work volume alone, but a dearth of interventions to address them. We suggest methods to develop these, and examples of successful interventions from other fields that may be of value.

Main Paper

Introduction

Occupational stress, defined as when the resources of the individual are not sufficient to cope with the demands of a situation, is a leading modern health and safety challenge. Stress has been linked to several adverse health and occupational outcomes. Acute exposure can lead to sleep disturbance, fatigue and gastrointestinal upset. Chronic stress exposures have been linked to early onset cardiovascular disease, hypertension, insulin resistance, musculoskeletal illness, anxiety and depression. Adverse lifestyle behaviours include higher rates of smoking and substance misuse, making mistakes and involvement in accidents. There is also a substantial body of literature suggesting that cumulative stress can lead to 'burnout', a syndrome characterised by emotional exhaustion, cynicism and feelings of personal underachievement. The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) in the United Kingdom (UK) has identified work-related stress as a leading cause of sickness absence, high staff turnover and early retirement in the UK workforce.

Occupational stress is recognised hazard in the education, agriculture, fishing and forestry industries. ⁷ Teachers, police officers, social workers, prison officers and those working in call centres have also been affected. ^{8,9} In hospital settings, studies have indicated that long work hours, high work intensity and lack of role clarity are associated with anxiety and depression amongst doctors and nurses. ¹⁰ A study of family doctors identified that patient demands and work interruptions were strongly associated with symptoms of anxiety and depression. ¹¹

Evidence suggests that Emergency Department (ED) staff may experience higher rates of anxiety, depression and burnout than their hospital colleagues. ^{12,13} Although in the ED setting high work volume and time pressures are likely to be significant contributors; the potential influence of other factors should not be overlooked. These may include the quality of support and relationships with colleagues and managers; how valued and appreciated individuals for their work efforts, and staff members' perceptions of the decisions made about their job. ^{14,15,16} For example, a recent UK study has established the importance of support at individual and organisational level in addressing compassion fatigue amongst ED consultants. ¹⁷ A short narrative paper published in 2013, highlighted that job factors such as high work demands and long career length, as well as personal factors such as increasing age and work-family conflict are contributors to burnout in ED physicians. ¹⁸ Nonetheless, the specific job-related stressors that lead to mental ill-health in ED staff

are not known. This is essential to developing interventions to reduce stress and prevent burnout. 6,18

Accordingly, the primary aim of this review was to identify the main organisational stressors that are associated with psychological illness, burnout and adverse occupational outcomes in clinical ED staff. We have focussed on work factors because these are more amenable to organisational interventions than personal vulnerabilities such as maladaptive coping behaviours and attribution styles. ¹⁹ Additionally we wished to understand some of the contextual factors that contribute to stress in the ED, and examine interventions designed to reduce stress amongst ED staff.

Methods

We conducted a systematic literature review of studies examining sources of organisational stress in clinical ED staff (medical, nursing, support workers) leading to psychological illness, burnout and adverse occupational outcomes. The review was conducted using the process suggested by the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination at the University of York, England. This provides structured guidance for conducting systematic reviews of clinical trials, public health interventions and economic evaluations including the development of appropriate research questions; identifying a suitable search strategy; selecting studies; extracting data; assessing quality and documenting findings. Methodological recommendations are also provided for narrative reviews where meta-analyses are inappropriate.

Full-text articles written in English, French or Spanish examining were eligible for inclusion. The exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1. Specifically, we excluded articles pertaining to trauma and violence since such events are more likely to lead to periods of acute stress which require different interventions to those of cumulative stress. Studies with less than 50 participants were arbitrarily excluded given the higher probability of random error (chance) with small sample sizes.

Exclusion Criteria

Only acute stressors such as violence, trauma or bereavement

Reports of adverse health outcomes or evaluations of stress-management programmes without assessment of occupational contributors

Studies examining biomarkers of stress response as clinical outcomes

Less than 50 participants

Dissertations, abstracts for which the full-text was unavailable, case reports of emotional ill-health

Full-text articles written in a language other than English, French or Spanish

Studies where diagnostic criteria were not appropriately assessed

Table 1: Exclusion Criteria

The following databases were searched with the support of a health information specialist based at the School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR) at the University of Sheffield from the years 1990 to 2016: Embase; Medline; PsycInfo; British Nursing Index; DH-Data; Emcare; King's Fund; Health and Safety Science Abstracts and grey literature. An internet search using Google Scholar was also conducted. Keywords were grouped under four themes and included a variety of synonyms for occupational stress, hospital staff, emergency medicine and terms for psychological illness (anxiety, depression or burnout). Terms were combined with the Boolean command "OR" and themes with the command "AND" where appropriate. An example of the search strategy used for Medline is included in Supplement 1. Abstracts of all identified articles were screened by SB and the health information specialist to determine their relevance to this study. References of selected full-text articles were also examined for publications which may be relevant to our study.

Two authors (SB and HQ) assessed study quality using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. ²¹ A points-based system for selection bias, comparability and outcome was applied as shown in Table 2. Studies were scored on a scale of nine points with a maximum of five for selection, two for comparability and two for outcome. We assigned a high quality study as scoring 7-9 points; Moderate quality 4-6 points, and low quality 0-3 points. The systematic review was conducted under the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, with the findings of the literature search recorded using the PRISMA flowchart. ²² Our analysis was intended to provide a descriptive summary of results and a meta-analysis would only be undertaken if suitable data were identified.

Selection Bias	Is the sample representative of the population from which it was drawn?			
Max 5 points	Was the sample adequately powered (calculation performed or likely to be)?			
	Were response rates adequate (>50%)?			
	Were non-responders assessed?			
	Did the authors use validated measurement tools to assess exposures and outcomes?			
Comparability	Was confounding factors adequately assessed?			
Max 2 points	Did the study employ an appropriate control group?			
Outcome	Were statistical tests appropriate?			
Max 2 points	Was the potential for common method bias considered?			

Table 2: Modified from Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

A narrative review of other contextual factors such as compassion fatigue, high communication loads, interruptions and performance-based targets was also conducted from the results of the literature search. The literature was also examined for interventions designed to reduce stress in ED staff. Given that our methodology included both systematic and narrative approaches, the study was not registered with a systematic review database.

Results

Systematic Review of Organisational Stressors in the ED

Our search identified 63 full-text articles. All included studies used questionnaire surveys to assess underlying stressors associated with the presence of psychiatric symptomatology. The heterogeneity of data precluded a meta-analysis.

Insert Figure 1 here

Of the 38 full-text articles excluded, twenty three were removed as acute sources of stress such as trauma, violence and cardiopulmonary resuscitation were examined. Six were excluded on the basis that only adverse health outcomes were reported. Seven were removed as personal rather than occupational sources of stress were considered. Three were removed as the efficacy of behavioural techniques such as coping strategies in managing mental ill-health were assessed.

The majority of the 25 assessed full-texts were of moderate quality, with two low and two high quality studies. Most were conducted in high-income countries, and all used questionnaire to assess stress exposures and outcomes. Four studies (marked by an * in Table 1) used longitudinal designs, with the remainder cross-sectional.

Study	Total Sample Size	Main Factors	Summary of Main	Quality Rating
	and Response Rate	Assessed	Findings	
Gallery et al,	1350 ED Physicians	Work-Related Strain	Work-related strain	Moderate (5/9)
1992 ²³	in the United States	(WRSI); Clinical	(demand) associated	
	(USA)	Depression (CES-D)	with higher reported	
	56.5%	and Intention to Leave	depressive symptoms	
		Specialty		
Lloyd et al, 1994	395 ED Residents in	Work Demands. Job	Greater amount of	Moderate (5/9)
24	Canada	Satisfaction	annual leave associated	
	68%	(Emergency Physician	with lower depression	
		Job Satisfaction Scale),	scores (p=0.01).	
		Burnout (Maslach's		
		Burnout Inventory -		
		MBI), Depression		
		(CES-D)		
Whitley et al,	1056 ED Physicians	Work-Related Strain	High work volume and	Moderate (6/9)
1994 ²⁵	from the USA,	(WRSI). Clinical	depression correlated	
	Australia and UK	Depression (CES-D)	(r=0.61, r=0.63, r=0.65;	
	56.5% USA		p=0.0001) respectively,	
	82.7% AUS		most prominently in	
	72% UK		UK ED physicians	
Goldberg et al,	1272 ED Physicians	Correlates of burnout	Low involvement in job	Moderate (4/9)
1996* ²⁶	in the USA. Response	(MBI) to occupational,	and low job satisfaction	
	Rate not known	personal factors and	predicted development	

		physical parameters	of burnout (p=0.000).	
Williams et al, 1997* ²⁷	171 junior ED physicians during a four-month placement in the UK. 82% (140) at baseline, 77% (132) at one-month, 67% (115) at month four	Occupational Stress. Psychological distress. (General Health Questionnaire and Mental Health Inventory)	Work intensity identified as a predictor of distress	Moderate (5/9)
Goh et al, 1999 28	732 ED Physicians in Australia 50.7%	Burnout (MBI) Depression, Anxiety, Somatic Symptoms and Social Dysfunction (GHQ-28)	Higher burnout and GHQ scores associated with longer working hours (p<0.001), low work satisfaction (p<0.001)	Moderate (4/9)
Adeb-Saeedi, 2002 ²⁹	160 ED Nurses in Iran 75%	Work Demands; Interpersonal Relationships; Work Patterns;	Female nurses more likely to report higher stress levels (t=3.16, p=0.002). Patient suffering most frequently reported stressor.	Moderate (4/9)
Burbeck et al, 2002 ³⁰	479 ED Consultants in the UK 73%	Work demands, work relationships. Mental distress (GHQ- 12). Depression (SCL-D) scores.	SCL-D predicted by "dealing with management" (OR=1.28) GHQ caseness predicted by long hours (p=0.126, p<0.03) "Being overstretched" (OR=1.18), "effect of hours on family life" (OR=0.82), "lack of recognition" (OR=1.32).	Moderate (5/9)
Erdur et al, 2006 ³¹	213 ED Physicians in Turkey 90%	Occupational Characteristics (Pay, Length of Time in Job). Anxiety and Depression (Beck Inventory Scales)	Lower income (p=0.03), longer length of time working in the ED (p=0.03) correlated with higher anxiety. High anxiety correlated with depression (p<0.001)	Moderate (5/9)
Escribà-Agüir et al, 2007 ³²	945 ED Physicians and Nurses in Spain 67.6%	Work Demand and Control (Karasek Demand-Control Model). Mental Health and Vitality (SF-36). Emotional Exhaustion (MBI)	Burnout associated with greater work demand, (OR 4.66, CI 2.75–7.90), low job control (OR 1.65, CI 1.04–2.63), and low manager support (OR 1.64, CI 1.01–2.59).	Moderate (6/9)
Cydulka & Korte, 2008 * ³³	1008 ED Physicians in the USA. 94% in 1994; 82% in 1999; 76% in 2004	Burnout and Career Satisfaction	Burnout associated with low work control (OR 1.9, CI 1.1-3.4); low personal reward (OR 2.8, CI 1.2-6.4); longer shifts (OR 3.7, CI 2.0-6.9); number of night shifts (OR 3.6, CI 2.0-6.2); problems with colleagues (OR 3.7, CI 1.8-8.0); and fewer educational	Moderate (6/9)

			opportunities (OR 3.0, CI 1.5-5.8).	
Wu et al, 2011 34	510 Emergency Nurses in China 77.9%	Role Overload, Role Ambiguity and Responsibility. (Chinese version of Personal Strain Questionnaire)	High work demand found to be the most significant source of occupational stress. Role ambiguity also found to be predictive of strain	Moderate (5/9)
Estryn-Behar et al, 2011 ¹³	Physicians in France 66% (n=3196) of those accessing the survey responded including 538 ED physicians	Influence at Work (Swedish Demand- Control Scale); Teamwork (COPSQQ); Work Relationships; Burnout (Copenhagen Burnout Inventory); Health (Work Ability Index)	Rates of burnout higher in ED Physicians compared to hospital peers (p<0.001).Work- Family Conflict (OR 6.14, CI 2.89-13.04) and poor quality of teamwork (OR 5.44, CI 2.81- 10.53) associated with burnout.	Moderate (6/9)
Rugless & Taylor, 2011 ³⁵	180 ED physicians, nurses and allied healthcare staff (88%)	Sickness absence data and job stress (Job Content Questionnaire)	Sick leave higher in ED nurses than ED physicians. Higher work demand and lower management support for ED nurses	Moderate (5/9)
Garcia- Izquierdo & Rios-Risquez, 2012 ³⁶	191 ED nurses in Spain 73%	Demand, interpersonal conflict and support and relationship with burnout (Nursing Stress Scale & MBI)	Excessive workload predicted emotional exhaustion. Cynicism and personal underachievement predicted by low support, interpersonal conflict and high workload.	Moderate (4/9)
Nielsen et al, 2012 ³⁷	118 Danish ED Nurses and Physicians 95%	12 Work-Related Stressors including interruptions, aspects of workload and interpersonal relationships. Adverse clinical events	Occurrence of stressors positively correlated with adverse emotional impact and adverse clinical events.	Moderate (4/9)
Sende et al, 2013 ³⁸	318 French Emergency Physicians 44%	Work Related Stress (WRSI), Burnout (MBI)	High work demand and pressure from patients/relatives associated with greater stress	Low (3/9)
Chakroun et al, 2013 ³⁹	107 ED Staff in Tunisia 61.5%	Job Demand, Control and Support	Low managerial support correlated with development of stress (p=0.04)	Moderate (5/9)
Jalili et al, 2013 40	188 ED Physicians in Iran 88%	Aspects of Work Demand, Control, Perceptions of ED Environment. Burnout (Maslach's Burnout Inventory)	Work overload (OR=3.1, p<0.05) and career insecurity (OR 2.28, p<0.05) associated with burnout.	Low (3/9)
Xiao et al, 2014 41	250 ED Physicians in China 82%	Work Satisfaction (Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire). Burnout (MBI); Anxiety and Depression (HADS-A,	Anxiety (t = 1.526, p < 0.05) and Depression scores (t = 1.567, p < 0.05) greater than general population. Lower intrinsic (r=-0.483, p<0.05) and	High (7/9)

		HADS-D);	extrinsic (r=-0.355,	
			p<0.05) job satisfaction	
			associated with burnout.	
Takayesu et al,	289 ED Physicians in	Burnout (MBI);	Burnout related to low	Moderate (6/9)
2014 ⁴²	USA 75%	Physicians' Reaction	job autonomy and low	
		to Uncertainty Scale;	global job satisfaction	
		Emergency Physician		
		and Global Job		
		Satisfaction Scales		
Rasmussen et	124 Danish ED	Copenhagen	High job demands, poor	Moderate (4/9)
al, 2014 ⁴³	Physicians and	Psychosocial	interpersonal	
,	Nurses 91%	Questionnaire; Safety	relationships and poor	
		Attitude	teamwork correlated	
		Questionnaire	with more frequent	
			adverse patient safety	
			events	
Johansen &	222 ED Nurses in	Perceived Stress Scale,	Supportive work	Moderate (4/9)
Cadmus 2015	USA 40%	Organisational	environment and	
44		Support,	avoidance of conflict	
		Organisational	predicted lower	
		Conflict	perceived stress	
Adriaenssens et	254 Belgian ED	Leiden Quality of	Changes in demand,	High (7/9)
al, 2015 * ⁴⁵	Nurses at T1 - 82.5%	Work Questionnaire.	control and support	
	204 at T2 - 83.3%	Burnout (MBI)	predicted job	
	18 months later		satisfaction and	
			emotional exhaustion	
Ansari et al,	120 ED doctors and	Workplace Stress	Longer work hours and	Moderate (6/9)
2015 ⁴⁶	nurses across two	Scale (WSS),	working in a public	
	Pakistani hospitals	Emergency Worker	sector hospital	
	100%	Stress Inventory	significantly associated	
		(EWSI)	with greater stress	
			(p<0.05)	

Table 3: Occupational Sources of Psychiatric Morbidity and Burnout in ED Staff

Psychological Health and Burnout

The existing literature suggests that high work volume, long working hours and high work intensity are common predictors of occupational stress and burnout. ^{13,23,27,28,32-35} These findings reflect those of hospital nurses and doctors practising in other specialties both in the UK and internationally. ^{47,48,49} Nonetheless, a number of studies in this review have identified that role ambiguity; low managerial and peer support; insufficient pay; lack of professional recognition and limited opportunities to attend educational conferences may also contribute to psychological morbidity and burnout. ³⁰⁻³² Again, these findings are consistent with the existing literature examining burnout in other clinical specialities. ^{50,51} Although not specifically highlighted in the table above, several studies reported higher rates of anxious and depressive symptoms in female healthcare workers, but findings according to age and years of experience were not consistent. Burnout was most prevalent amongst younger staff and those with fewer years of experience in the specialty.

Compassion Fatique and Contextual Factors

Our search identified some articles examining the occupational causes of compassion fatigue (CF) in ED clinicians. CF includes features of burnout, but is also characterised by a lack of concern or empathy towards the misfortunes of others. Accordingly, the literature suggests that similar work factors underlie both CF and burnout such as high demands and low job control. Two articles also specifically highlighted the role of low managerial support in developing CF, with the former study also purporting a link between CF and irritability, clinical errors and plans for early retirement. ^{17, 52} Other studies suggest high levels of compassion fatigue are not unique to the specialty, with similar rates found in doctors and nurses from fields such as nephrology, intensive care and oncology. ^{53,54}

We also found some articles examining the role of communication load, interruptions, multi-tasking and performance-based targets in contributing to ED work stress. One study established that the nurse-in-charge may be involved in an average of 1.68 communication interactions per minute. ⁵⁵ A Swedish study also identified that information exchange was the most common ED activity to be multi-tasked. ⁵⁶ Gender differences in communication loads for ED consultants suggest that females engage in higher activity. ⁵⁷ED physicians have been shown to encounter up to fifteen interruptions per hour, with senior doctors and nurses more frequently affected. ^{58,59}

We found relatively few studies examining the impact of communication load and interruptions specifically on perceptions of stress. A study amongst UK general practitioners suggested that these may be significant stressors, ¹¹ and in ED settings that interruptions may lead to clinical errors and decreased patient satisfaction. ⁶⁰ Other work however has provided inconsistent information. Although not included in our table due to the small number of participants; no individual cited interruptions as a contributor to occupational stress in an interview study of 22 UK ED staff. ⁶¹ A later Danish study assessed the relationship between 12 work-related stressors and adverse clinical outcomes. ³⁷ Although both nursing and particularly specialist medical staff cited interruptions as a frequent stressor; their emotional impact was not found to be high. This led the authors to suggest that ED staff may see interruptions as a 'normal' part of their work.

We also found some articles assessing the relationship between performance targets and perceptions of stress. The 'four-hour target', an English government initiative to admit or discharge 98% of ED patients within four hours of arrival was most commonly featured. This target was the most frequently-cited occupational stressor in a sample of 22 clinical staff. ⁶¹ A qualitative study of ED nurses suggested that this target was one of several contributors to higher work demands in their department. ⁶² Another interview study of 27 ED clinical leaders in England suggested that lack of organisational ownership of this target contributed to conflicts between staff and concerns for patient safety. ⁶³

Interventions

We found only one intervention designed to reduce workplace stress amongst ED staff published in a peer-reviewed journal. This assessed the effect of a twelve-week intervention of aromatherapy and massage sessions on perceived stress amongst ED nurses working within a single department. ⁶⁴ Changes in anxiety levels were measured using a standardised questionnaire administered pre and post-intervention. The authors concluded that their intervention was effective in reducing short-term anxiety.

Our search of the grey literature and Internet identified reports of other programmes designed to reduce stress amongst ED staff. A Mindfulness, Emotion Regulation, Distress Tolerance and Interpersonal Relationship (MEDI) programme found no significant improvements in perceptions of occupational stress between the intervention (n=6) and control groups (n=13) following completion of the programme; but improvements in both groups with respect to job satisfaction. ⁶⁵ In response to reports of high stress levels, one UK ED implemented a "marines-style" intervention to help staff recognise and manage symptoms of stress and emotional responses to trauma. ⁶⁶ We were unable to find evidence that this intervention had been subject to scientific review in an academic journal. An intervention in an ED in the United States consists of a 'buddy' system between pairs of ED physicians to develop stress-management plans. Reported techniques include physical activity and increasing family contact. ⁶⁷ Once again, we were unable to identify any published evaluations of the programme.

Discussion

Workplace stress in the ED is of international significance given its established relationships with sickness absence, high staff turnover and early retirement. In addition, those workers encountering stress but remaining in work may experience physical and psychological illness, be prone to making errors and develop maladaptive lifestyle behaviours. It follows that work stress; burnout and intention to leave the specialty may often be related. This is of practical significance given high attrition rates amongst clinical ED staff both in the UK and abroad. ⁶⁸

Our review highlights the relevance of a number of factors including job demand and decision latitude, as well as managerial support and peer relationships in influencing perceptions of work stress. Importantly, these organisational stressors appear to be common contributors in the development of work-related mental illness; burnout; compassion fatigue; intention to leave the specialty and early retirement. These underlying occupational stressors are also common to many workforces. ⁶⁹ This provides a template from which to design interventions that target the origins of stress within the ED; which this review demonstrates are currently lacking. A review of sixty-three stress orientated interventions in 2003 identified that only three reported changes in burnout, with most focussing on secondary-level approaches such as increasing resilience through mindfulness and cognitive-behavioural therapy. ⁷⁰ Nonetheless, research elsewhere has demonstrated the positive and long-lasting effects of primary-level management interventions designed to improve communication and relationships amongst healthcare staff, such as through the CREW (Civility, Respect and Engagement in the Workplace) programme in Canada. ⁷¹ A 2015 Cochrane review of stress interventions in healthcare staff found that that those designed to improve or interrupt work schedules may improve stress, but found no evidence for mentoring programmes. ⁷²

The studies presented in this review have a number of limitations. Most are cross-sectional and thus causal relationships are uncertain. Many studies were based at a single site, limiting the generalisability of findings. Few studies employed a control group, either from the general population or an appropriate hospital unit. This is of relevance since, in practice, it is important to distinguish whether particular workplace stressors are specific to the ED, a feature of the hospital, or representative of the entire healthcare sector. The use of questionnaires in all of the studies also raises the possibility of reporting bias, and few studies considered the role of common method

variance when reporting results. This study has predominantly reviewed the quantitative evidence for workplace stress in the ED. Such studies have tested pre-defined hypotheses of stress rather than attempted to generate new theories. This may in part explain why the majority of studies have focussed on the demand -control-support model of occupational stress and far fewer considered other frameworks such as effort-reward imbalance and organisational justice. 73,74 Our review has concentrated on organisational sources of stress; and we have not discussed the relevance of individual factors such as personal vulnerabilities to mental illness and ineffective coping strategies. Finally, our review has focussed on clinical staff. It is likely that non-clinical staff encounter substantial levels of occupational stress, as indicated in a study of ED clerical and administrative workers. ⁷⁵ Thus a holistic, whole-department based approach towards tackling stress is advised. Further work should explore the role of these issues in causing occupational stress, and those of contextual concerns such as performance targets, high communication loads and interruptions. In addition, the relationship between chronic stress, compassion fatigue and moral distress merits further study. ⁷⁶ The impact of daily ethical challenges in the ED such as illness disclosure, providing care with limited resources and where relevant, an inability to continue care due to financial constraints is also of interest. 77

Despite the dearth of scientifically evaluated stress-reduction programmes in the ED; the findings from this review suggest that such interventions are plausible. It is probable that different EDs will experience different stressors, and thus we advise a tailored approach. This should first identify the root causes of stress and use this data to develop primary-level preventative interventions. These, for example, may include management interventions to provide additional staff support, increasing workers' autonomy over the job or improve relationships through building morale. Secondary-level interventions for those staff that may benefit from stress-reduction techniques may be relevant. These could include counselling, mindfulness and cognitive-behavioural therapies. Finally, tertiary level interventions such as individual case management with support from trained specialists such as occupational health professionals may be of value for complex cases.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor Steve Goodacre at the School of Health and Related

Research (ScHARR) for his advice on structuring this article and Dr.Mark Clowes for his support with

the literature search.

Competing Interest: None declared

Funding: None

Contributorship: SB and HQ conducted the literature review and graded the papers. SM co-

wrote the paper with SB and HQ.

Word Count: 2747 excluding tables and references

17

References

- Michie S. Causes and management of stress at work. Occupational and Environmental Medicine 2002;
 59: 67-72.
- 2. Beswick J, Gore G, Palferman D. Bullying at work: a review of the literature, Health and Safety Laboratory, 2006. http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/hsl_pdf/2006/hsl0630.pdf (accessed 16 Aug 2015).
- 3. Kivimäki M, Ferrie JE, Brunner E, Head J, Shipley MJ, Vahtera J, Marmot MG. Justice at work and reduced risk of coronary heart disease among employees: the Whitehall II Study. *Arch. Int. Med.* 2005; **165**: 2245-2251.
- 4. Kawakami, N., Tanigawa, T., Araki S., Nakata, A., Sakurai, S., Yokoyama, K., Morita, Y. Effects of Job Strain on Helper-Inducer (D4+CD29+)and Suppressor-Inducer (CD4+CD45RA+) T Cells in Japanese Blue-Collar Workers. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 1997; **66**: 192-198.
- 5. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E. Is burnout a depressive disorder? A re-examination with special focus on atypical depression. International Journal of Stress Management 2014; **21**: 307-324.
- Health and Safety Executive. Managing the Causes of Work-Related Stress: A Step by Step Approach
 Using the Management Standards. HSG 218. HSE, 2007.
 http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/books/hsg218.htm.
- 7. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. OSH in Figures: Stress at Work Facts and Figures. Available at https://osha.europa.eu/en/tools-and-publications/publications/reports/TE-81-08-478-EN-C OSH in figures stress at work. (Accessed 11 Nov 2015).
- 8. Health and Safety Executive. Stress-related and Psychological Disorders in Great Britain 2014. Health and Safety Executive, 2014. http://www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/causdis/stress/stress.pdf (accessed 16 August 2015).
- 9. Johnson S, Cooper C, Cartwright S, Donald I, Taylor P, Millet C. The experience of work-related stress across occupations. *Journal of managerial psychology* 2005; **20**: 178-187.
- 10. Michie S, Williams S. Reducing work related psychological ill health and sickness absence: a systematic literature review. *Occupational and environmental medicine* 2003; **60**: 3-9.
- 11. Sutherland VJ, Cooper CL. Identifying distress amongst general practitioners: predictors of psychological ill health and job dissatisfaction. *Soc Sci Med* 1993; **37**: 575-81.
- 12. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance amongst US physicians relative to the US general population. *Arch. Int. Med.* 2012; **172**: 1377-85.
- 13. Estryn-Behar M, Doppia MA, Guetarni K, Fry C, Machet G, Pelloux P, Prudhomme C. Emergency physicians accumulate more stress factors than other physicians—results from the French SESMAT study. Emergency Medicine Journal 2011; **28**: 397-410.
- 14. Karasek Jr RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. Administrative science quarterly 1979; **24**:285-308.

- 15. Siegrist J. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. Journal of occupational health psychology 1996; 1: 27.
- 16. Greenberg J. A taxonomy of organizational justice theories. *Academy of Management Review* 1987; **12**: 9-22.
- 17. Dasan S, Gohil P, Cornelius V, Taylor C. Prevalence, causes and consequences of compassion satisfaction and compassion fatigue in emergency care: a mixed-methods study of UK NHS Consultants. *EMJ*, pp.emermed-2014. doi:10.1136/emermed-2014-203671
- 18. Arora M, Asha S, Chinnappa J, Ashish DD. Review article: Burnout in emergency medicine physicians. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2013; **25**: 491-495.
- 19. Chesney MA, Neilands TB, Chambers DB, Taylor JM, Folkman S. A validity and reliability study of the coping self-efficacy scale. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 2006; **11**: 421-437.
- 20. University of York. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. University of York, 2009. https://www.york.ac.uk/media/crd/Systematic_Reviews.pdf (accessed 7 August 2015).
- 21. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M. *The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomized Studies in Meta-analyses*. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, 2011. Available at: (http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical epidemiology/oxford/asp). Accessed 15 January 2016.
- 22. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. The PRISMA Group (2009). *P*referred *R*eporting *I*tems for *S*ystematic Reviews and *M*eta-*A*nalyses: The PRISMA Statement. <u>BMJ 2009; 339: b2535, doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2535.</u>
- 23. Gallery ME, Whitley TW, Klonis LK, Anzinger RK, Revicki, DA. A study of occupational stress and depression among emergency physicians. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 1992; **21**: 58-64.
- 24. Lloyd S, Streiner D, Shannon S. Burnout, depression, life and job satisfaction among Canadian emergency physicians. *The Journal of emergency medicine* 1994; **12**; 559-565.
- 25. Whitley TW, Allison EJ, Gallery ME, Heyworth J, Cockington RA, Gaudry P, Revicki, DA. Work-related stress and depression among physicians pursuing postgraduate training in emergency medicine: an international study. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 1994; **20**: 992-996.
- 26. Goldberg R, Boss RW, Chan L, *Goldberg J, Mallon WK, Moradzadeh D, Goodman EA, McConkie ML*.

 Burnout and its correlates in emergency physicians: four years' experience with a wellness booth. *Acad. Emerg. Med.* 1996; *3*: 1156–1164.
- 27. Williams S, Dale J, Glucksman E, Wellesley A. Senior house officers' work related stressors, psychological distress, and confidence in performing clinical tasks in accident and emergency: a questionnaire study. *British Medical Journal* 1997; **314**: 713-718.
- 28. Goh L, Cameron PA, Mark P. Burnout in emergency physicians and trainees in Australasia. *Emergency Medicine* 1999; **11**; 250-257.
- 29. Adeb-Saeedi J. Stress amongst emergency nurses. *Australian Emergency Nursing Journal* 2002; **5**: 19-24.

- 30. Burbeck R, Coomber S, Robinson SM, Todd C. Occupational stress in consultants in accident and emergency medicine: a national survey of levels of stress at work. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2002; **19**: 234-238.
- 31. Erdur B, Ergin A, Turkcuer I, Parlak I, Ergin N, Boz B. A study of depression and anxiety among doctors working in emergency units in Denizli, Turkey. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2006; **10**: 759-763.
- 32. Escribà-Agüir V, Pérez-Hoyos S. Psychological well-being and psychosocial work environment characteristics among emergency medical and nursing staff. *Stress and Health* 2007; **23**: 153-160.
- 33. Cydulka RK, Korte R. Career Satisfaction in emergency medicine: the ABEM Longitudinal Study of Emergency Physicians. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 2008; **51**: 714- 722.
- 34. Wu H, Sun W, Wang L. Factors associated with occupational stress among Chinese female emergency nurses. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2011. *doi:10.1136/emj.2010.094391*
- 35. Rugless MJ, Taylor DM. Sick leave in the emergency department: staff attitudes and the impact of job designation and psychosocial work conditions. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2011; **23**: 39-45.
- 36. García-Izquierdo M, Ríos-Rísquez MI. The relationship between psychosocial job stress and burnout in emergency departments: An exploratory study. *Nursing outlook* 2012; **60**: 322-329.
- 37. Nielsen KJ, Pedersen AH, Rasmussen K, Pape L, Mikkelsen, KL. Work-related stressors and occurrence of adverse events in an ED. *The American journal of emergency medicine* 2013; **31**: 504-508.
- 38. Sende J, Jbeili C, Schvahn S, Khalid M, Asaph J, Romano H, Campos-Richard AM, Bongrand C, Marty J. Facteurs de stress et conséquences du stress en médecine d'urgence: enquête nationale. *Annales françaises de médecine d'urgence* 2012;**2**:224-31
- 39. Chakroun, WO, Rejeb I, Kammoun L, Nasri A, Ghnainia T, Chaari A, Rekik N. Evaluation of stress among emergency staff: survey in a Tunisian emergency department. *Annales francaises d'anesthesie et de reanimation* 2013; **32**: 565-571).
- 40. Jalili M, Sadeghipour Roodsari G, Bassir Nia A. Burnout and Associated Factors among Iranian Emergency Medicine Practitioners. *Iranian Journal of Public Health* 2013; **42**: 1034–1042.
- 41. Xiao Y, Wang J, Chen S, Wu Z, Cai J, Weng Z, Zhang X. Psychological distress, burnout level and job satisfaction in emergency medicine: A cross-sectional study of physicians in China. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2014; **26**: 538-542
- 42. Kimo Takayesu J, Ramoska EA, Clark TR, Hansoti B, Dougherty J, Freeman W, Weaver KR, Chang Y, Gross E. Factors associated with burnout during emergency medicine residency. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2014; **21**: 1031-1035.
- 43. Rasmussen K, Pedersen AHM, Pape L, Mikkelsen KL, Madsen MD, Nielsen KJ. Work environment influences adverse events in an emergency department. *Dan Med J* 2014; **61**: A4812
- 44. Johansen ML, Cadmus E. Conflict management style, supportive work environments and the experience of work stress in emergency nurses. *Journal of nursing management* 2015.
- 45. Adriaenssens J, De Gucht V, Maes S. Causes and consequences of occupational stress in emergency nurses, a longitudinal study. Journal of nursing management. 2015; **23**:346-58.

- 46. Ansari ZM, Yasin H, Zehra N, Faisal A. Occupational Stress among Emergency Department (ED) Staff and the Need for Investment in Health Care; a View from Pakistan. *British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research* 2015; **10**: 10.
- 47. Agius RM, Blenkin H, Deary IJ. Survey of perceived stress and work demands of consultant doctors. *Occup Environ Med* 1996; **53**: 217-24.
- 48. Deary IJ, Blenkin H, Agius RM, Endler NS, Zealley H, Wood R. Models of job-related stress and personal achievement among consultant doctors. *Br J Psychol* 1996; **87**: 3-29.
- 49. Estryn-Behar M, Kaminski J, Peigne E, Bonnet N, Vaichere *E*, Gozlan C, Azoulay S, Giorgi *M*. Stress at work and mental health status amongst female hospital workers. *Br J Ind Med* 1990; **47**: 20-8.
- 50. Upton D, Mason V, Doran B, Solowiej K, Shiralkar U, Shiralkar S. The experience of burnout across different surgical specialties in the United Kingdom: a cross-sectional survey. Surgery 2012; 151:493-501.
- 51. Streu R, Hansen J, Abrahamse P, Alderman AK. Professional burnout among US plastic surgeons: results of a national survey. *Ann Plast Surg* 2014; **72**:346-350.
- 52. Hunsaker S, Chen HC, Maughan D, Heaston S. Factors that influence the development of compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction in emergency department nurses. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 2015; **47**: 186-194.
- 53. Hooper C, Craig J, Janvrin DR, Wetsel MA. Compassion satisfaction, burnout, and compassion fatigue among emergency nurses compared with nurses in other selected inpatient specialties. *Journal of Emergency Nursing* 2010 **36**: 420-427.
- 54. Bellolio MF, Cabrera D, Sadosty AT, Hess EP, Campbell RL, Lohse CM, Sunga KL. Compassion fatigue is similar in emergency medicine residents compared to other medical and surgical specialties. *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2014; **15**: 629.
- 55. Woloshynowych M, Davis R, Brown R, Vincent C. Communication patterns in a UK emergency department. *Annals of emergency medicine* 2007; **50**: 407-413.
- 56. Berg LM, Ehrenberg A, Florin J, Östergren J, Göransson KE. An observational study of activities and multitasking performed by clinicians in two Swedish emergency departments. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2012; **9**: 246-251.
- 57. Kee R, Knott JC, Dreyfus S, Lederman R, Milton S, Joe K. One hundred tasks an hour: an observational study of emergency department consultant activities. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2012; **24**: 294-302.
- 58. Chisholm CD, Collison EK, Nelson DR, Cordell WH. Emergency Department Workplace Interruptions Are Emergency Physicians "Interrupt-driven" and "Multitasking"? *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2000; **7**: 1239-1243.
- 59. Spencer R, Coiera E, Logan P. Variation in communication loads on clinical staff in the emergency department. *Annals of emergency medicine* 2004; **44**: 268-273.
- 60. Jeanmonod R, Boyd M, Loewenthal M, Triner W. The nature of emergency department interruptions and their impact on patient satisfaction. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2010; **27**: 376-379.

- 61. Flowerdew L, Brown R, Russ S, Vincent C, Woloshynowych M. Teams under pressure in the emergency department: an interview study. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2011; pp.emermed-2011.
- 62. Mortimore A, Cooper S. The "4-hour target": emergency nurses' views. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2007; **24**: 402-404.
- 63. Weber EJ, Mason S, Freeman JV, Coster J. Implications of England's four-hour target for quality of care and resource use in the emergency department. *Annals of emergency medicine* 2012; **60**: 699-706.
- 64. Cooke M, Holzhauser K, Jones M, Davis C, Finucane J. The effect of aromatherapy massage with music on the stress and anxiety levels of emergency nurses: comparison between summer and winter. *Journal of clinical nursing* 2007; **16:** 1695-1703.
- 65. Kwok WO. The Effects of an Intervention Program (MEDI) on Reducing Occupational Stress in Emergency Department Nurses. Alliant International University, 2011.
- 66. "Royal Marines stress training for Cardiff Hospital Staff". Available at http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-20225371. Accessed 5 June 2016.
- 67. "Emergency Department Physicians Take Steps to Prevent Burnout". Available at: http://www.ucdmc.ucdavis.edu/welcome/features/2010-2011/04/20110413 preventing ED burnout.html. Accessed 5 June 2016.
- 68. Lee YK, Lee CC, Chen CC, Wong CH, Su YC. High risk of 'failure' among emergency physicians compared with other specialists: a nationwide cohort study. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2013: emermed-2012.
- 69. Edwards JA, Webster S. Psychosocial risk assessment: Measurement invariance of the UK Health and Safety Executive's Management Standards Indicator Tool across public and private sector organizations. *Work & Stress* 2012; **26**: 130-42.
- 70. van den Bossche S, Houtman I. Work stress interventions and their effectiveness: a literature review. Hoofddorp: TNO; 2003.
- 71. Leiter MP, Laschinger HK, Day A, Oore DG. The impact of civility interventions on employee social behavior, distress, and attitudes. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 2011; **96**:1258.
- 72. Ruotsalainen JH, Verbeek JH, Mariné A, Serra C. Preventing occupational stress in healthcare workers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; **4.**
- 73. Van Vegchel N, De Jonge J, Bosma H, Schaufeli W. Reviewing the effort—reward imbalance model: drawing up the balance of 45 empirical studies. *Social science & medicine* 2005; **60**: 1117-1131.
- 74. Linna A, Väänänen A, Elovainio M, Kivimäki M, Penetti J, Vahtera J. Effect of participative intervention on organisational justice perceptions: a quasi-experimental study on Finnish public sector employees.

 The International Journal of Human Resource Management 2011; 22: 706-721.
- 75. Zautcke JL, Neylan VD, Hart RG. Stress in the emergency department clerical staff. *The Journal of emergency medicine* 1996; **14**: 247-249.
- 76. Dawood M. A moral or an ethical issue? Emergency Medicine Journal 2015; 32: 256-257.
- 77. Zafar W. Moral experience and ethical challenges in an emergency department in Pakistan: emergency physicians' perspectives. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2015. *32*: 263-268.

Supplement 1

Search Strategy

ti,ab,su("emergency department*" OR "accident and emergency" OR "a and e" OR "a & e" OR "a&e" or "ER" OR "emergency room*" OR "urgent care" OR "trauma centre*" OR "trauma unit*" OR "casualty department*" OR "casualty unit*" OR "emergency medicine" or "emergency ward*" or "emergency unit*")

AND

ti(staff OR employe* OR worker* OR occupation* OR nurse* OR doctor* OR consultant* OR registrar* OR "healthcare assistant*" OR "health professional*" OR radiologist* OR surgeon* OR physician* or student* or paramedic*)

AND

ti(anxiety or depression or depressive or "mental health" or stress or burnout or fatigue)

ti,ab,su("compassion fatigue*" or "secondary traumatic stress" or "secondary tramatization" or "secondary traumatisation" or "moral distress" or ((performance or productivity or time) near/2 (target* or goal* or indicator*)) or ((communication*) near/2 (burden* or load or high))