



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Darboux transformations with tetrahedral reduction group and related integrable systems*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/104897/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Berkeley, G, Mikhailov, AV and Xenitidis, P (2016) Darboux transformations with tetrahedral reduction group and related integrable systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57 (9). 092701. ISSN 0022-2488

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4962803>

© 2016, The Authors. Published by AIP Publishing. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and AIP Publishing. The following article appeared in 'Berkeley, G, Mikhailov, AV and Xenitidis, P (2016) Darboux transformations with tetrahedral reduction group and related integrable systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57 (9). 092701.' and may be found at <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4962803>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Darboux transformations with tetrahedral reduction group and related integrable systems.

George Berkeley*, Alexander V. Mikhailov* and Pavlos Xenitidis

†

* Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, UK

† School of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science,
University of Kent, UK

Abstract

In this paper we derive new two-component integrable differential difference and partial difference systems by applying a Lax-Darboux scheme to an operator formed from an $5l_3(\mathbb{C})$ -based automorphic Lie algebra. The integrability of the found systems is demonstrated via Lax pairs and generalised symmetries.

1 Introduction

Most interesting and useful integrable systems in applications are often the result of a reduction of a generic system. A systematic study of reductions originating from the works [1–4] led to the concept of the reduction group. It had been realised that reductions of the Lax structures can be associated with its invariance with respect to a group of automorphisms (a reduction group), which include a fractional linear transformation of the spectral parameter and simultaneous Lie algebra automorphisms. Subalgebras of the generalised loop Lie algebra, which are invariant with respect to reduction groups were introduced in [4]. These subalgebras have been further studied in [5] where they acquired the name automorphic Lie algebras. In this setup the problem of classification of (algebraic) reductions can be reduced to the problem of classification of the reduction groups, or more precisely to the problem of

classification of automorphic Lie algebras.

In the case of a Lax operator which is rational with respect to the spectral parameter, a reduction group is finite and isomorphic to one of the following list: a cyclic group, a dihedral group, a symmetry group of a Platonic solid or a direct product of a finite number of those. There is a good progress in the problem of classification of automorphic Lie algebras corresponding to finite reduction groups acting by inner automorphisms on the base Lie algebra [5–12]. In particular, it has been shown that in the case of Sl_2 based algebras it is sufficient to consider reduction groups isomorphic to Z_2 and $Z_2 \times Z_2 \simeq D_2$ (other finite reduction groups result in the same set of automorphic Lie algebras). The Lax structures and integrable partial differential equations, corresponding to automorphic Lie algebras, have been studied in [1–3,6,8,9]. Having a Lax structure we can construct Darboux transformations which lead us to associated differential-difference and finite difference integrable systems, i.e. extend it to a Lax-Darboux scheme.

Recently we extended the reduction group method to the Lax-Darboux schemes of Nonlinear Schrödinger type, associated with all Sl_2 based automorphic Lie algebras [13]. We constructed reduction group invariant Darboux matrices and corresponding differential-difference and finite difference integrable systems. For Sl_N , $N > 2$, the irreducible faithful projective representations of finite reduction groups are possible only in the cases $N = 3, 4, 5, 6$. In this paper we construct the extension of the Lax Darboux scheme for the case $N = 3$ with the tetrahedral reduction group and the poles of the Lax operator located at the points of the most degenerate orbit (the vertices of the tetrahedron). The hyperbolic and parabolic partial differential equations associated with such Lax operator have been studied in [2] and [14] respectively. Here we construct their corresponding Darboux matrices and Bäcklund transformations. We interpret the Darboux matrices as defining shifts on the lattice and the corresponding Bäcklund transformations as non evolutionary differential-difference equations. In this interpretation we also derive new systems of differential-difference equations, as well as new systems of difference equations. Moreover, we present reductions, potentiations and Miura transformations which relate our discrete systems to Bogoyavlensky lattices and some new six-point difference equations.

It can be shown that for the $N = 3$ case, with the most degenerate orbit, Lax pairs corresponding to automorphic Lie algebras with tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral reduction group produce integrable PDEs which are related by point transformation [9]. This is consistent with the recent results published in [12] where good progress in the problem of classification

of automorphic Lie algebras with inner automorphisms has been achieved. Hence the case we study can be considered as representative of Lax pairs with finite reduction group and such an orbit.

The paper is organised as follows. The next section contains all the necessary background material on the tetrahedral reduction group. In Section 3 we consider Lax operators invariant under this reduction group and derive corresponding Darboux transformations along with integrable non evolutionary differential difference equations. In Section 4 we employ the Darboux transformations and systematically construct evolutionary differential-difference systems. Section 5 deals with the derivation of some new fully discrete systems and the next one contains related integrable systems, obtained via reductions, potentiations and Miura transformations. The last section presents an overall evaluation of the results obtained in the main body of the paper.

2 Tetrahedral reduction group and corresponding automorphic Lie algebra

The tetrahedral reduction group was introduced and studied in [2] and [14] in the context of integrable partial differential equations and their Lax representations.

The group of orientation-preserving symmetries of a regular tetrahedron, known as the tetrahedral group has 12 elements and it is isomorphic to the alternating group A_4 , which can be generated by two elements r, s satisfying relations

$$A_4 = \langle r, s \mid r^2 = s^3 = (rs)^3 = e \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

We consider two projective faithful representations of the tetrahedral group. It is sufficient to define the representation for the generators of the group. The first one $\sigma : A_4 \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we realise by fractional linear transformations

$$\sigma_s : \lambda \rightarrow \omega\lambda, \quad \sigma_r : \lambda \rightarrow \frac{\lambda + 2}{\lambda - 1}, \quad \omega = \exp \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi i}{3}. \quad (2.2)$$

The second representation $\rho : A_4 \rightarrow \text{PSL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is given by inner automorphisms of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$

$$\rho_s : a \rightarrow Q_s a Q_s^{-1}, \quad \rho_r : a \rightarrow Q_r a Q_r^{-1}$$

r, a \mathbf{E} [3(C),

where

$$Q_s = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_r = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that representations σ and ρ are faithful and irreducible. The group of linear fractional transformations generated by σ_s, σ_r is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ of variable λ , while the group generated by ρ_r, ρ_s is a subgroup of inner automorphisms of the Lie algebra $sl_3(\mathbb{C})$.

We consider a generalised loop algebra $sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda))$ and transformations

$$g_r : a(\lambda) \mathbf{7} \rightarrow Q_r(a(\sigma_r^{-1}(\lambda)))Q_r^{-1}, \quad g_s : a(\lambda) \mathbf{7} \rightarrow Q_s(a(\sigma_s^{-1}(\lambda)))Q_s^{-1}, \quad a(\lambda) \in sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)).$$

This group \mathbb{T} generated by g_r, g_s is isomorphic to the alternating group A_4 and is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the algebra $sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda))$. Following [2], [14] we shall refer to \mathbb{T} as the tetrahedral reduction group. The \mathbb{T} invariant subalgebra

$$sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda))^{\mathbb{T}} = \{a(\lambda) \in sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) \mid g_s(a(\lambda)) = g_r(a(\lambda)) = a(\lambda)\}$$

is called a tetrahedral automorphic Lie algebra.

The group of fractional linear transformations generated by σ_r, σ_s (2.2) has three degenerate orbits corresponding to fixed points. Two of them are vertices of the tetrahedron and the dual tetrahedron

$$\mathbb{T}(\infty) = \{\infty, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}, \quad \mathbb{T}(0) = \{0, -2, -2\omega, -2\omega^2\}$$

and one orbit corresponds to the middles of the edges

$$\mathbb{T}(1 + \sqrt{3}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda^6 - 20\lambda^3 - 8 = 0\}.$$

Let us define the automorphic subalgebra $A(\infty) \subset sl_3(\mathbb{C}(\lambda))^{\mathbb{T}}$ corresponding to the orbit $\mathbb{T}(\infty)$. Let $\mathbf{R}(\mathbb{T}(\infty))$ denote the set of all rational functions which may have poles at the points of the orbit $\mathbb{T}(\infty)$ and are regular elsewhere. Obviously $\mathbf{R}(\mathbb{T}(\infty))$ is a ring with automorphisms generated by σ_r, σ_s (2.2). Then

$$A(\infty) = \{a(\lambda) \in \mathbf{R}(T(\infty)) \mathbf{Osl}_3(\mathbf{C}) \mid g_s(a(\lambda)) = g_r(a(\lambda)) = a(\lambda)\}.$$

Similarly one can define subalgebras $A(F) \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3(C(\lambda))^\tau$, where $F \subset C$ is any finite set of points

$$A(F) = \sum_{\lambda \in F} (\tau(F)) \quad \mathfrak{sl}_3(C) \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3(C(\lambda))^\tau.$$

The generators of $A(\mathbf{00})$ can be constructed as

$$e_1 = (\lambda E_{13})^\tau, \quad e_2 = (\lambda E_{21})^\tau, \quad e_3 = (\lambda E_{32})^\tau, \quad (2.3)$$

where E_{ij} is the matrix with elements $[E_{ij}]_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ and $(a(\lambda))^\tau$ denotes the group average operator

$$(a(\lambda))^\tau = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(a(\lambda)). \quad (2.4)$$

These are given explicitly as

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda-1} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} & \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} \\ \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} \\ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} & \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda-1} & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\lambda^3+2}{\lambda-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact it can be shown that $A(F)$ possesses a quasi graded structure

$$A(F) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A^{(k)}, \quad \text{where } A^{(k)} = \mathfrak{C} \oplus A^{(k)} \oplus \mathfrak{C} \oplus A^{(k-1)}.$$

and J is the automorphic function of λ

$$J = \frac{\lambda^3 (\lambda^3 + 8)^3}{4 (\lambda^3 - 1)^3}.$$

An automorphic Lie algebra can also be regarded as a finite dimensional $C[J]$ module with Lie product, which is a special degenerate case of polynomial Lie algebras introduced in [15]. This proved to be convenient in the problem of classification of automorphic Lie algebras [8, 9, 11, 12].

It is also useful to define an automorphic associative algebra (∞) as the algebra generated by matrices e_1, \dots, e_8 and the identity matrix I . Indeed, we can take products $e_n e_m$ with usual matrix multiplication and the result can be represented as a linear combination of $e_k, k = 1, \dots, 8$ and the identity matrix with coefficients from $C[J]$

$$e_n e_m = \sum_{k=1}^8 B_{nm}^k(J) e_k + D_{nm}(J) I,$$

where the structure constants $B_{nm}^k(J)$ are linear functions of J and $D_{nm}(J) = D_{mn}(J)$ are quadratic polynomials of the automorphic function J . Thus, algebra (∞) can be regarded either as a finitely generated $C[J]$ module with associative multiplication, or as an infinite dimensional quasi-graded associative algebra

$$(\infty) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k, \quad B_n B_m \subset B_{n+m+1} \oplus B_{n+m} \oplus B_{n+m-1},$$

where

$$B_k = J^{k-1} \text{span}_C(I, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_8).$$

In the next Section we will use the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. The basis elements $e_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the product relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i e_i &= \frac{2}{9} I - \frac{1}{3} e_i, \\
e_1 e_2 &= -\frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_1 + e_2), & e_2 e_1 &= \frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_1 + e_2), \\
e_2 e_3 &= -\frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_2 + e_3), & e_3 e_2 &= \frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_2 + e_3), \\
e_3 e_1 &= -\frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_3 + e_1), & e_1 e_3 &= \frac{1}{9} I + \frac{1}{3} (e_3 + e_1).
\end{aligned}$$

All structure constants can be easily found by direct computation. Having them we can determine the structure constants of the automorphic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(\infty)$

8

$$[e_n, e_m] = \chi (B_{nm}^k(J) - B_{mn}^k(J)) e_k, \quad k=1$$

3 Derivation of Darboux transformations

In this section Darboux transformations are constructed for a Lax operator L invariant with respect to the tetrahedral reduction group T . L is one of a pair of operators, the compatibility of which produces an integrable system of partial differential equations (PDEs). To be explicit

$$L = \partial_x + u e_1 + v e_2 + w e_3$$

where $uvw = 1$. The second operator A is given by

$$A = \partial_t + U e_1 + V e_2 + W e_3 + u w e_4 + v u e_5 + w v e_6,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \frac{u}{v} \frac{\tilde{u}}{w} + \frac{2y}{v} + \frac{2w}{w} + \frac{u_x u}{v} + \frac{2w_x}{w} \tilde{w}, \\
W &= \frac{v}{w}, \\
V &= v, \\
e_i &= e_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\tilde{3}w + 2u + 2v + \underline{w_x} , \frac{w + \underline{2v_x}}{v} \tilde{\quad} \quad (3.1c)$$

The compatibility condition $[L, A] = 0$ yields the integrable system

$$u_t = \partial_x U, \quad v_t = \partial_x V, \quad w_t = \partial_x W. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that due to the relation $uvw = 1$ only two of the above equations are independent. Using an invertible point transformation this system can be rewritten in the form

$$i\psi_t = \psi_{xx} + (\psi^*_x)^2 + i(e^{-\psi-\psi^*} + \omega e^{-\omega\psi-\omega^*\psi^*} + \omega^* e^{-\omega^*\psi-\omega\psi^*})\psi^*_x, \quad \omega = \frac{e^{2\pi i}}{3},$$

which coincides with equation (u3) in [14] where the above Lax pair was also presented.

We search for Darboux transformations of L . Recall that the corresponding Darboux matrix M must satisfy

$$MLM^{-1} = L_1,$$

where L_1 has the same form as L but with the “updated” functions u_1 , v_1 and w_1 . Equivalently

$$M_x = M(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3) - (u_1e_1 + v_1e_2 + w_1e_3)M \quad (3.3)$$

for M invertible. By inspection it is clear that (3.2) is invariant with respect to cyclic permutations of the functions u , v and w . Hence given a solution (u, v, w) , one can generate a new solution by simple permutation. This property is expressed at the level of the Lax structure by the existence of the following Darboux matrix

$$M_{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A short computation demonstrates that

$$M_{(0)}e_1M_{(0)}^{-1} = e_2, \quad M_{(0)}e_2M_{(0)}^{-1} = e_3, \quad M_{(0)}e_3M_{(0)}^{-1} = e_1.$$

Thus we see that application of $M_{(0)}$ results in the updated functions

$$u_1 = v, \quad v_1 = w, \quad w_1 = u.$$

Whereas applying $M_{2(0)}$ results in the updated functions

$$u_1 = w, \quad v_1 = u, \quad w_1 = v.$$

Let us construct the simplest λ -dependent Darboux transformations. As a simplifying assumption it is natural to consider the matrix M to be invariant with respect to the reduction group. The simplest such M will have simple poles belonging to a degenerate orbit. In particular we choose these poles to belong to the orbit $T(\infty)$. Hence we represent M in the form

$$M = fI + ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3. \quad (3.4)$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose M as given in (3.4) is a Darboux matrix for the operator $L = \partial_x + ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3$, where $uvw = 1$, then

$$av_1 - bu = 0, \quad bw_1 - cv = 0, \quad cu_1 - aw = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$a_x + \frac{1}{3} ((b + c - a + 3f)(u_1 - u) + a(v_1 + w_1 - v - w)) = 0 \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\text{and } c_x + \frac{1}{3} ((c + a - b + 3f)(v_1 - v) + b(w_1 + u_1 - w - u)) = 0 \quad (3.6b)$$

$$b_x + \frac{1}{3} ((a + b - c + 3f)(w_1 - w) + c(u_1 + v_1 - u - v)) = 0. \quad (3.6c)$$

$$f_x + \frac{1}{9} [(2a - b - c)(u_1 - u) + (2b - a - c)(v_1 - v) + (2c - a - b)(w_1 - w)] = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. The compatibility condition implies that M satisfies the equation

$$M_x = M (ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3) - (u_1e_1 + v_1e_2 + w_1e_3) M.$$

Upon substitution of the ansatz (3.4) and an application of Lemma 2.1, we arrive at the stated equations. From the coefficients of e_4 , e_5 and e_6 we obtain the system of equations (3.5). From the coefficients of e_1 , e_2 and e_3 we have the equations (3.6). Finally the coefficient of I yields (3.7). \square

In what follows we consider reductions of the above general system. In particular we will make use of first integrals of the system to reduce it to two components. Towards this aim we make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For M as given in (3.4), the determinant of M is constant with respect to x and is an automorphic function of λ .

Proof. For any invertible matrix M the following identity holds due to Jacobi's formula

$$\partial_x \text{Indet}(M) = \text{Tr} (M^{-1}M_x).$$

Moreover as M is a Darboux matrix for L it follows that

$$M^{-1}M_x = (ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3) - M^{-1} (u_1e_1 + v_1e_2 + w_1e_3) M.$$

The right hand side of the above equation consists of the difference of traceless matrices and so is necessarily traceless, hence combining the above two relations we conclude that

$$\partial_x \det(M) = 0.$$

The fact that $\det(M)$ is an automorphic function of λ is a consequence of its form. By construction M is invariant with respect to \mathbb{T} . That is for all $g \in \mathbb{T}$

$$Q_g M(\sigma_g(\lambda)) Q_g^{-1} = M(\lambda)$$

where Q_g and σ_g are the automorphisms of $[\mathbb{3}(\mathbb{C})$ and the Riemann sphere respectively, corresponding to the group element g . Taking the determinant of the above relation provides the equality

$$\det(M(\sigma_g(\lambda))) = \det(M(\lambda)).$$

This simply states that $\det(M(\lambda))$ is invariant with respect to the Möbius transformation corresponding to g . As g was an arbitrary element of \mathbb{T} it follows that $\det(M)$ is an automorphic function of λ . \square

In light of the above lemma, we express the determinant of M in terms of an automorphic function. This will allow a number of the unknown functions f , a , b and c to be expressed in terms of the remaining ones. The Darboux matrix M is constructed using the automorphic Lie algebra generators e_1 , e_2 and e_3 , so it has poles at the $\mathbb{T}(\infty)$ -orbit. This means that we can expect $\det(M)$ to have poles on this particular orbit also. In what follows we will write $\det(M)$ in terms of the following automorphic function

$$J(\lambda) = 4 \frac{\lambda_3 (\lambda^3 + 8)^3}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3}. \quad (3.8)$$

$J(\lambda)$ has the correct pole structure and has zeros at the orbit of zero. Using this function we arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. The determinant of M , as given in (3.4), can be expressed in the form

$$\det M = F_1 J(\lambda) + F_2,$$

for F_1 and F_2 constant with respect to x and given by

$$F_1 = \frac{abc}{16},$$

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{27} (3f - 2a + b + c)(3f + a - 2b + c)(3f + a + b - 2c).$$

Proof. Result follows by direct computation and the use of Lemma 3.2. \square

As F_1 and F_2 are constants, they can be used to determine two of the unknown functions f , a , b and c in terms of the remaining functions. Towards this aim we may view F_1 and F_2 as elements of the ring $C[a, b, c, f]$, then determining (a, b, c, f) is equivalent to finding elements of the algebraic variety $V(F_1 - \alpha, F_2 - \beta) = V(F_1 - \alpha) \cap V(F_2 - \beta)$ where α and β are constants in C . The variety $V(F_1 - \alpha)$ is irreducible for $\alpha \neq 0$, the case $\alpha = 0$ implies at least one of a, b or c is zero, which from (3.5) implies $a = b = c = 0$, hence leading to trivial M . Thus we require $\alpha \neq 0$. For simplicity we may take

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{16}, \text{ then} \quad c = ab.$$

For general β one finds that $V(F_2 - \beta)$ is irreducible. Hence to determine f in terms of a, b and c one would have to solve a cubic equation. However it can be seen directly that for $\beta = 0$ the variety $V(F_2 - \beta)$ becomes the union of three irreducible components

$$V(F_2) = V(3f - 2a + b + c) \cup V(3f + a - 2b + c) \cup V(3f + a + b - 2c). \quad (3.10)$$

Hence three distinct Darboux transformations can be obtained by choosing $f = f_1, f_2, f_3$ such that $(a, b, c, f_i) \in V_i$ where the V_i are the irreducible components given above. As the components are linear, f is expressed rationally in terms of a, b and c .

For $\beta = -abc = -1$ one finds that

$$V(F_2 - \beta) = V(3f + a + b + c) \cup V(9f^2 - 3f(a + b + c) - 2(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) + 5(ab + bc + ca)) \quad (3.11)$$

By choosing f such that $(a, b, c, f) \in V(3f + a + b + c)$ we obtain a further way to express f rationally in terms of a, b and c .

Remark 3.4. Expressing $\det(M)$ in terms of J_2 , an automorphic function which has poles in the orbit of infinity and zeros in the orbit of $1 + \sqrt{3}$

$$J_2 = J(\lambda) - J(1 + \sqrt{3}) = 4 \frac{(\lambda^6 - 20\lambda^3 - 8)^2}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3}$$

one finds that $\det(M) = (F_1 + abc) + F_2 J_2$. Setting $(F_1 + abc) = 0$ leads to (3.11).

Focusing on the irreducible components in (3.10), making each choice of f gives the following forms for M

$$\begin{aligned} M(1) &= \frac{2a - b - c}{3} \mathbf{I} + ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \\ M(2) &= \frac{2b - a - c}{3} \mathbf{I} + ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \\ M(3) &= \frac{2c - a - b}{3} \mathbf{I} + ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \end{aligned}$$

where $c = 1/ab$ and a and b are determined as in Theorem 3.1. However it becomes clear from (3.9a) that the permutation

$$\pi : (a, b, c) \mapsto (b, c, a), \pi^3 = \text{id}$$

maps one factor of F_2 into another. Letting T denote the Lie algebra automorphism,

$$T : A \mapsto M_{(0)} A M_{(0)}^{-1}$$

it is easy to see that

$$M(2) = \pi(T(M(1))), M(3) = \pi(T(M(2))), M(1) = \pi(T(M(3))). \quad (3.12)$$

Thus $M(1)$, $M(2)$ and $M(3)$ are related by conjugation by $M_{(0)}$ and a cyclic relabelling of the functions a , b and c .

Turning attention to the irreducible components in (3.11) we see that each component is invariant with respect to π , so in particular denoting

$$M(4) = -\frac{a + b + c}{3} \mathbf{I} + ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \quad (3.13)$$

it follows that

$$\pi(T(M(4))) = M(4).$$

By expressing f and c in terms of the remaining functions using F_1 and F_2 as described above, their presence can be removed from Theorem 3.1. First integrals were used to determine f and c , therefore the reduction is compatible with the original system. In order to separate the different cases, we use different indices for the updated functions corresponding to each Darboux transformation. In particular, u_i and v_i denote the updated functions corresponding to $M^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. This separation is also convenient for later use when we discuss fully discrete systems.

Theorem 3.5. $M^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, is a Darboux transformation for L provided

$$a = cuuiv, b = cuivvi, c_3uu_2i v^2v_i = 16 \quad (3.14)$$

and the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1} - \frac{u_x}{u} + \frac{uv}{v_1} + u + v - v_1 &= 0 \\ + \frac{v_x}{v} - \frac{u_{1,x}}{u_1} + \frac{u_x}{u} - \frac{1}{uv} + \frac{uv}{v_1} + \frac{1}{u_1v_1} + u_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{v_{2,x}}{v_2} - \frac{u_x}{u} + \frac{u_2v_2}{u} + u + u_2 + v_2 = 0 \quad (3.16a)$$

$$+ \frac{v_x}{v} - \frac{u_{2,x}}{u_2} + \frac{u_x}{u} - \frac{u_2v_2}{u} + u + u_2 + v_2 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{v_{3,x}}{v_3} - \frac{u_x}{u} + \frac{1}{uv} + \frac{2}{u_3v} - \frac{1}{u_3v_3} + u + u_3 + v - v_3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{v_x}{v} + \frac{u_{3,x}}{u_3} + \frac{u_x}{u} + \frac{1}{uv} - \frac{1}{u_3v} + u - u_3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{v_{4,x}}{v_4} - \frac{u_x}{u} + u + u_4 + v - v_4 = 0 \quad (3.18a)$$

$$+ \frac{v_x}{v} - \frac{u_{4,x}}{u_4} + \frac{u_x}{u} - \frac{1}{uv} + \frac{1}{u_4v_4} + u - u_4 = 0 \quad (3.18b)$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 by making the previously described reductions. \square

Remark 3.6. As previously stated, the above Darboux transformations are related via (3.12), this relation can be seen at the level of the systems above. In particular the systems corresponding to $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ are related by the point transformation

$$(u, v) \mapsto (v, u^{-1}v^{-1}) \quad (3.19)$$

and a relabelling of index. The same is true for the pairs of systems corresponding to $M^{(2)}$ and $M^{(3)}$, also for $M^{(3)}$ and $M^{(1)}$.

4 Darboux Lax representation for local symmetries

The derived Darboux matrices may be regarded as defining shifts on a lattice. To make it clear, we may impose that the functions u and v depend on a set of discrete variables k_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, and interpret the updated functions u_i and v_i as shifts in the corresponding lattice direction. In this interpretation, the Lax operator and its Darboux transformations define DarbouxLax representations (semi-discrete Lax pairs) of the corresponding systems in Theorem (3.5). These systems are then viewed as differential difference equations. Here and in what follows, let S_i denote the shift operator in the i -th direction, i.e. $S_j i (f) = f(k_i + j)$. Then explicitly, the differential difference relations corresponding to $M^{(i)}$ are equivalent to the compatibility of the following system

$$S_i(\Psi) = M^{(i)}\Psi, \quad \Psi_x = - (ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3) \Psi.$$

From this viewpoint equations in (3.5) are integrable. However they are not evolutionary.

We now turn our attention to deriving evolutionary differential difference equations associated with each $M^{(i)}$. The problem then becomes to find continuous flows $\Psi_{\tau_i} = \Omega^{(i)}\Psi$ such that the compatibility condition

$$\partial_{\tau_i} M^{(i)} = S_i (\Omega^{(i)} M^{(i)} - M^{(i)} \Omega^{(i)}), \quad (4.1)$$

is equivalent to an evolutionary system of differential difference equations. We tackle this problem by taking an ansatz for $\Omega^{(i)}$ of the following form

$$\Omega(i) = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} i \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{g}i + \mathbf{p}e_1 + \mathbf{q}e_2 + \mathbf{r}e_3). \quad (4.2)$$

This ansatz is based upon the observations that sometimes the Lax operator corresponding to a local symmetry can be written in this form [16]. To reduce the number of unknown functions in $\Omega^{(i)}$ we make use of the following lemma. **Lemma 4.1.** We may assume $\Omega^{(i)}$ has constant trace with respect to τ^i . **Proof.** Due to the choice of F_1 and F_2 taken when deriving each $M^{(i)}$, it follows that $\det M^{(i)}$ is constant with respect to τ^i . This fact along with Jacobi's identity yields $(s_i - I) \text{Tr}(\Omega^{(i)}) = 0$. Hence $\text{Tr}(\Omega^{(i)})$ is constant with respect to s_i . Now consider the transformation

$$\Omega^{(i)} \mapsto \Omega^{(i)} - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\Omega^{(i)}) - \alpha) I}{3}$$

where α is constant with respect to τ^i and the shift operator s_i . If $\Omega^{(i)}$ satisfies (4.1), then as $\text{Tr}(\Omega^{(i)})$ is constant with respect to s_i it follows that $\tilde{\Omega}^{(i)}$ also satisfies (4.1). Hence we may replace $\Omega^{(i)}$ by $\tilde{\Omega}^{(i)}$ to obtain an operator with constant trace. Moreover for constant k $\Omega^{(i)} \mapsto$

$$\begin{aligned} kI &= M^{-1} \\ & \quad (i) (gl + pe_1 + qe_2 + re_3) - kI \\ &= M^{(i)-1}(gl + pe_1 + qe_2 + re_3) - kI \\ &= M^{-1} \\ &= M^{-1} (i) (gl + pe_1 + qe_2 + re_3) - kM^{(i)-1}M^{(i)} \\ & \quad (i) ((g - fk)I + (p - ka)e_1 + (q - kb)e_2 + (r - kc)e_3). \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c and f are as in Theorem 3.5. Hence by relabelling appropriately $\tilde{\Omega}^{(i)}$ is of the form (4.2). \square

Armed with the previous lemma we proceed to solve the compatibility condition for $\Omega^{(i)}$. This leads to the following result. Note that negative indices denote backward shifts, i.e. $u_{-i} = u^{(i-1)}$, $v_{-i} = v^{(i-1)}$.

Theorem 4.2. $\Omega^{(1)}$ is determined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{p}{uu^{1v}} - \frac{q}{(u-1)(u-v_1) + \frac{uu^{1vv_1} + (u-1)v_1}{3}}, \\ p &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{uu^{1v} - 2v_1}{u - v_1} + \frac{uv + (u-1 - 2v)v_1}{(u-1)uv^{-1} - 1} (u-1 - v) \\ q &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{u^{1vv_1} - 2uv - (u-1 + v)v_1}{u - v_1(u-1)uv^{-1} - 1} + \frac{v_1}{uu^{1v} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding differential difference system is given by

$$u_{\tau 1} = u(S_1 - I) (v - u_{-1}) (uu_{-1}v_{-1} - 1) \quad (4.3a)$$

$$= \dots \quad (4.3b)$$

Proof. Lemma 4.1 gives that $\text{Tr}(\Omega(i))$ is constant. Moreover from the form of $\Omega(i)$ it follows that its trace is an automorphic function of λ . Bearing this in mind we find that $\text{Tr}(\Omega(i)) = q_1 J(\lambda) + q_2$ where $J(\lambda)$ is given in (3.8), while q_1 and q_2 are as follows

$$q_1 = \frac{16(uu_1v - 1)(u - v_1)(3g - 2p + q + r)}{3uu_1vv_1}$$

$$q_2 = \frac{p}{p + r}$$

$uu_1v u_1vv_1$

The above relations allow g and r to be determined. Moving on to the compatibility condition we find that u and v must satisfy the differential difference equations

$$\frac{u_{\tau 1}}{u_1} = \frac{v_{\tau}}{v} \frac{u_{-1}}{u} \frac{v_{-1}}{v} \frac{q_1}{u_2v_1v_2} \quad r = 0$$

$$\frac{u_{\tau}}{v_1} = \frac{2p}{uu_1v u_1u_2v_1} \frac{2q_1}{u_2v_1v_2} \quad r = 0.$$

This system implies the following condition on u ,

$$(I + S_1 + S_1^2) \frac{uu_{\tau}}{u} = \frac{2q_1}{q_2} \frac{2p}{u_2v_1v_2} \frac{p_2}{q} r + r_1$$

$$(I + S_1 + S_1^2) \frac{u^2v_1v_2u_3v_3}{u} = (I + S_1 + S_1^2) \frac{uu_1v u_2u_3v_2}{u_2v_1v_2} \frac{u}{uu_1vv_1}$$

The operator $(I + S_1 + S_1^2)$ has kernel ω^{k_1} where ω is a cube root of unity, so in general from the above relation we can determine u_{τ} up to the addition of a constant multiple of $\omega^{k_1}u$. However this corresponds to the addition of a point symmetry. We are primarily concerned with generalised symmetries and so in the following analysis we shall omit the kernel. Inverting the operator $(I + S_1 + S_1^2)$ we obtain the equation

$$u_{\tau} = \frac{pv_1 - qu}{vv_1}$$

Then the corresponding equation for v is obtained as

$$v_T = vQ^2 \frac{p}{uu_1} - \frac{2q}{u_1v_1}$$

Now returning to the compatibility condition we can solve the remaining equations to determine p and q . Doing so we find that

$$p = \frac{48(u_{-1}uv_{-1} - 1)(u_{-1} - v)(u - v_1)}{uu_1vq_1(uv + u_{-1}v_1 - 2v_1v)} + \frac{uu_1v(8q_2(uu_1v - 1)(u - v_1) - v_1q_1)}{24(uu_1v - 1)(u - v_1)}$$

$$q = \frac{-u_1vv_1q_1(2uv - u_{-1}v_1 - v_1v)}{48(u_{-1}uv_{-1} - 1)(u_{-1} - v)(u - v_1)} + \frac{u_1vv_1(16q_2(uu_1v - 1)(u - v_1) + v_1q_1)}{48(uu_1v - 1)(u - v_1)}$$

Finally, eliminating p and q from the differential difference equations above, we arrive at

$$u_T = 16u^{(S-1)}(v - u_{-1})(uu_{-1}v_{-1} - 1), \quad v_T = 16(uu_{-1}v_{-1} - 1)(S-1)(u_{-1} - v)$$

The compatibility condition is now completely satisfied. Note that q_1 can be an arbitrary non zero constant, in particular take $q_1 = -16$. Moreover q_2 can be set to zero without affecting the computed flow. Making these choices leads to the expressions in the theorem. \square

Corollary 4.3. The differential difference equations corresponding to $C2_{(2)}$ and $C2_{(3)}$ are given by

$$u_{T^2} = \frac{u}{(u_2vv_2 - 1)(S_2 - 1)} \left(\frac{v}{u_2} - u_{-2} \right),$$

$$v_{T^2} = v(S_2 - 1)(uv_{-2}v - 1)(u_{-2} - v)$$

and

$$u_{T^3} = \frac{u^2v_{-3}v}{uv_{-3}v - 1} \frac{1}{uu_{3v}}, \quad (S_3 - 1) \frac{1}{u_{-3}uv_{-3} - 1},$$

$$v_{T^3} = \frac{1}{uu_{3v} - 1} (S_3 - 1) uv_{-3}v - 1,$$

respectively.

Proof. The result follows from (4.3) using the transformation (3.19) and relabelling the indices. \square

Following the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we derive the corresponding result for $M_{(4)}$.

Theorem 4.4. $\Omega_{(4)}$ is determined by the relations

$$r = \frac{p}{uu^4v} \quad \frac{q}{u^4vv^4}, \quad g = \frac{uu^4vv^4}{uu^4vv^4 + u + v^4} \quad \frac{p + q + r}{3}$$

$$p = \frac{uu^4v}{3(S^4 - I)} \frac{u^{-4} + 2v}{u^{-4}uv^{-4}v + u^{-4} + v}$$

$$q = \frac{u^4vv^4}{3(S^4 - I)} \frac{u^{-4} - v}{u^{-4}uv^{-4}v + u^{-4} + v}$$

The corresponding differential difference system is given by

$$u_{\tau^4} = u(S^4 - I) \frac{u^{-4} + 2v}{u^{-4}uv^{-4}v + u^{-4} + v} \quad (4.4a)$$

$$v_{\tau^4} = v(S^4 - I) \frac{u^{-4} - v}{u^{-4}uv^{-4}v + u^{-4} + v} \quad (4.4b)$$

5 Darboux pairs and fully discrete systems

Viewing Darboux transformations as shifts on a lattice allowed differential-difference equations to be derived via a Darboux-Lax pair (semi-discrete Lax pair). We now derive fully discrete equations as the compatibility condition of two separate Darboux transformations. The transformations act as a Darboux pair, more commonly referred to as a fully discrete Lax pair. For the system

$$S_i(\Psi) = M^{(i)}\Psi, \quad S_j(\Psi) = M^{(j)}\Psi, \quad i \neq j,$$

it follows that the compatibility condition is given by

$$S_j(M^{(i)})M^{(j)} - S_i(M^{(j)})M^{(i)} = 0.$$

We shall see that the last relation yields a system of partial difference equations which we denote as $q_{(i,j)}$. So in fact the consistency of the Darboux pair is equivalent to a system of partial difference equations. Requiring that the Darboux transformations derived in the previous section are compatible leads to the following theorem. We note that here we have considered only systems $q_{(1,2)}$ and $q_{(1,4)}$. This is because we may employ the point transformations

described in (3.6) to map system $Q_{(1,2)}$ to systems $Q_{(2,3)}$ and $Q_{(3,1)}$, respectively. In the same fashion, system $Q_{(1,4)}$ can be mapped to systems $Q_{(2,4)}$ and $Q_{(3,4)}$, respectively.

Theorem 5.1. The Darboux pairs $(M_{(1)}, M_{(2)})$ and $(M_{(1)}, M_{(4)})$ are compatible provided the following systems hold.

$$u_2 v_2 [u_1 v_1 (v_1 - u) + u_{12} v_1 (u_1 - v_{12})] + u_2 v_2 - u_1 v_1 = 0, \quad (5.1a)$$

$$u v_{12} - u_2 v_2 = 0. \quad (5.1b)$$

$$u v_{14} - u_4 v_4 + v_{14} v_4 - v_1 v_{14} = 0, \quad (5.2a)$$

$$\frac{\tau}{v_1} \frac{u v_{14} - u_4 v_4 + v_{14} v_4 - v_1 v_{14}}{u_1 v_1 \quad u_4 v_4} = \frac{u}{u_{14}} - \frac{u}{u}. \quad (5.2b)$$

It should be noted that in the above systems, double indices denote shifts by one step in both lattice directions, i.e. $u_{ij} = u(k_i + 1, k_j + 1)$ and $v_{ij} = v(k_i + 1, k_j + 1)$.

Proof. The theorem follows from direct computation. □

To the best of our knowledge, the above systems are new. They are integrable as they follow from the compatibility condition of a discrete Lax pair and, as we explain below, they admit symmetries in both lattice directions. Another manifestation of their integrability is their multi-dimensional consistency. If we consider any three systems $Q(i,j)$, $Q(j,k)$ and $Q(k,i)$, where $i = 6$ $j = 6$ $k = 6$ i , then we can compute u_{ijk} and v_{ijk} in three different ways, provided by the corresponding systems, all of which yield the same result. Another interpretation of this construction is that two of the systems define an auto-Bäcklund transformation of the remaining one.

Proposition 5.2. Suppose M, N where $M\Psi = \Psi_i$ and $N\Psi = \Psi_j$ are Darboux transformations for the operator $L = \partial_\tau - A$, then

$$d \, d\tau (M_j N - N_i M) = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

when restricted to $M_j N - N_i M = 0$.

Proof. As M, N are Darboux transformations for L it follows that

$$M_\tau = A_i M - M A$$

$$N_\tau = A_j N - N A.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\tau}^d(M_j N - N_i M) &= (M_j)_{\tau} N + M_j N_{\tau} - (N_i)_{\tau} M - N_i M_{\tau} \\ &= U_j i (M_j N - N_i M) - (M_j N - N_i M) U = \\ &0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.3. The differential-difference equations derived in (3.5) define non-local symmetries of the corresponding discrete systems $Q_{(i,j)}$.

One can confirm directly that the τ^i -flow and τ^j -flow define local generalised symmetries in the i -th and j -th lattice direction respectively, for system $Q_{(i,j)}$.

6 Related Integrable Systems

In this section systems related to $Q_{(1,2)}$ and $Q_{(1,4)}$ are presented. For system $Q_{(1,2)}$ we have the following related six point equation and local symmetries. **Theorem 6.1.** The system $Q_{(1,2)}$, as given in (5.1), is related via the potentiation

$$u = \frac{v^{-2}}{\varphi_1} \quad (6.1)$$

to the six-point equation

$$(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \varphi \varphi_{1122} + (\varphi_2 \varphi_{112} - \varphi_1 \varphi_{122}) \varphi_{1122} + (\varphi_{122} - \varphi_{211}) \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

With corresponding symmetries

$$\varphi_{\tau^1} = \frac{\varphi \varphi_1 \varphi_{-2}}{(\varphi_{-1} - \varphi_{-2})(\varphi_1 - \varphi_{-1-2})} \quad \varphi_{\tau^2} = \frac{\varphi \varphi_2 \varphi_{-1}}{(\varphi_{-2} - \varphi_{-1})(\varphi_2 - \varphi_{-1-2})}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. We observe that the second equation in system $Q_{(1,2)}$ has the form of a conservation law as it can be written as

$$(s_1 - 1) \log v_2 = (s_2 - 1) \log u.$$

This suggests the introduction of a potential φ via the relations $v_2 = \varphi/\varphi_2$ and $u = \varphi/\varphi_1$. In terms of φ , the second equation of $Q_{(1,2)}$ becomes an

identity, whereas the first equation becomes the six-point scalar equation in the statement of the theorem. The T^1 symmetry follows from the introduction of 0 into (4.3). The T^2 symmetry follows from the invariance of (6.2) under the interchange of directions 1 and 2. \square

Here, repeated indices denote higher order shifts in the corresponding direction, e.g. $0_{1122} = 0(k_1 + 2, k_2 + 2)$. Considering the system $Q_{(1,4)}$ we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 6.2. The system $Q_{(1,4)}$, as given in (5.2), is related to the six-point equation

$$\frac{1}{0_{114}} + 0_{1011} = 0_4 0_{14} + 1 \quad (6.4)$$

under the reduction $v_1 = u = \psi^1$. Local symmetries of (6.4) are given by

$$s_4 = 0 (I - S^4) \frac{0_0 0_1 0_{14}}{00_{14}(0_1 + 0_4) + 1} \quad (6.5a)$$

$$s_1 = 0 (I - S^1) (0_{11010} - 1)(0_{100-1} - 1) \quad (6.5b)$$

Proof. Focusing on the first equation of $Q_{(1,4)}$, it can be easily verified that it turns into an identity under the reduction $u = 1/0_1$, $v = 1/0$ (equivalently $u = v_1$), whereas the second equation of the same system becomes the six-point equation (6.4). This reduction applied to (4.4) produces the T^4 flow given above. The symmetry corresponding the T^1 direction is not compatible with this reduction. To obtain the s^1 flow presented in (6.5) it is profitable to note that the six-point equation may be rewritten in the following form

$$(0S_1 - 0_{114}) (00_{14}(0_1 + 0_4) + 1) = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

The quadrilateral equation

$$00_{14}(0_1 + 0_4) + 1 = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

defines particular solutions of equation (6.6) and was derived in [17] in the context of second order integrability conditions for difference equations. Knowing from [17] that equation (6.7) admits a second order symmetry in both directions, we can easily show that

$$0_{s^1} = 0 (I - ST_1) \frac{1}{(0_{11010} - 1)(0_{100-1} - 1)}$$

also defines a symmetry for the six-point equation (6.4) in the first lattice direction. It is worth noting that the denominator of the τ^4 symmetry is the defining polynomial of equation (6.7). \square

Finally we have the following result regarding Miura transformations of the 6-point equation (6.4).

Theorem 6.3. The system (6.4) and its symmetries (6.5) are linked via the Miura transformations

$$X = \frac{1}{1 - \psi\psi_1\psi_{11}, \rho} \frac{\psi^4\psi_{14}}{\psi\psi_{14}(\psi_1 + \psi_4) + 1},$$

to the systems

$$-1 = X^4 X^{14} (X^{114} - 1), \quad (6.8)$$

$$X_{\tau^4} = X(X - 1) (1 - S Z_1),$$

$$X^4 \psi_{14} + X^1 - 1 + X^1(X - 1)$$

$$X_{s^1} = X(X - 1) (X^{11} X^1 - X^{-1} X^{-1-1}),$$

and

$$\rho^{114}(\rho_1 + \rho_{11} - 1) = \rho(\rho_4 + \rho_{14} - 1), \quad (6.9)$$

$$\rho_{\tau^4} = \rho (1 - (\rho^{14}(1 - \rho - \rho_1) + \rho + \rho_4 - \rho\rho_4),$$

$$\rho_{s^1} = \rho(\underline{\rho_1} + \rho - 1)(\rho + \underline{\rho_1} - 1)$$

$$\rho + \rho^{-1} - 1 \quad S_i^2) \quad 1 \quad +\rho_1 + \rho$$

respectively.

Proof. Can be directly verified. \square

Remark 6.4. The six-point equations (6.4), (6.8) and (6.9) may be considered as discrete analogues of third order hyperbolic equations [18]. To the best of our knowledge, equations (6.4) and (6.9) are new, and equation (6.8) appeared for the first time in a different context in [19].

7 Conclusions

In this paper we presented the Lax-Darboux scheme related to the tetrahedral reduction group. More precisely, we studied the Darboux transformations for the class of Lax operators which involve 3×3 matrices and are invariant under the action of this group. The discrete character of these transformations allowed us to derive various discrete systems, all of which are integrable. Specifically, we derived new systems of differential-difference equations which we presented in Theorems 4.2 and 4.4. These semi-discrete equations define generalised symmetries of the new systems of difference equations given in Theorem 5.1. The latter systems are also related to certain scalar difference equations by means of potentiation and reductions, as they are described in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, respectively. Finally, we presented two different Miura transformations in Theorem 6.3 which relate system (6.4) and its symmetries to equations (6.8) and (6.9) and their corresponding symmetries, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EPSRC grant EP/I038675/1 (AVM and PX) and by a grant from the Leverhulme Trust (GB and AVM)

References

- [1] A.V. Mikhailov. Integrability of a two-dimensional generalization of the Toda chain. JETP Lett., 30(7):414–418, 1979.
- [2] A.V. Mikhailov. Reduction in integrable systems. The reduction group. JETP Lett., 32(2):187–192, 1980.
- [3] A.V. Mikhailov. The reduction problem and the inverse scattering method. Phys. D, 3(1 & 2):73–117, 1981.
- [4] Mikhailov A. V. Reduction method in the theory of integrable equations and its applications to problems of magnetism and nonlinear optics. 1987. Sc.D. Thesis, L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, (in Russian).

- [5] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reduction groups and automorphic Lie algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 258:179–202, 2005.
- [6] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reductions of integrable equations: dihedral group. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 37:7727–7742, 2004.
- [7] S. Lombardo. Reductions of Integrable Equations and Automorphic Lie Algebra. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, Leeds, 2004.
- [8] R. Bury and A.V. Mikhailov. Automorphic Lie algebras and corresponding integrable systems. 2009. Draft.
- [9] R.T. Bury. Automorphic Lie Algebras, Corresponding Integrable Systems and their Soliton Solutions. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, Leeds, 2010.
- [10] Sara Lombardo and Jan A. Sanders. On the classification of automorphic Lie algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 299(3):793–824, 2010.
- [11] V Knibbeler, S Lombardo, and J A Sanders. Automorphic Lie algebras with dihedral symmetry. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 47(36):365201, 2014.
- [12] V. Knibbeler, S. Lombardo, and J. A. Sanders. Higher dimensional Automorphic Lie Algebras. *Found Comput Math*, doi:10.1007/s10208-016-9312-1, 2016.
- [13] S. Konstantinou-Rizos, A. V. Mikhailov, and P. Xenitidis. Reduction groups and related integrable difference systems of nonlinear Schrödinger type. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56(8):082701, 2015.
- [14] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat, and R. I. Yamilov. Extension of the module of invertible transformations. Classification of integrable systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 115(1):1–19, 1988.
- [15] V. M. Buchstaber and D. V. Leykin. Polynomial Lie Algebras. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 36(4):267–280, 2002.

- [16] P. Xenitidis. Integrability and symmetries of difference equations: the Adler–Bobenko–Suris case. In Proceedings of the 4th Workshop “Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems”. 2009. arXiv: 0902.3954.
- [17] Alexandre V. Mikhailov and Pavlos Xenitidis. Second order integrability conditions for difference equations: An integrable equation. Letters in Mathematical Physics, 104(4):431–450, 2013.
- [18] V.E. Adler. Toward a theory of integrable hyperbolic equations of third order. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 45:395207, 2012.
- [19] V.E. Adler and V.V. Postnikov. On discrete 2d integrable equations of higher order. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 47:045206, 2014.