



This is a repository copy of *Is the Danube crested newt Triturus dobrogicus polytypic? A review and new nuclear DNA data.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/104514/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wielstra, B. orcid.org/0000-0002-7112-5965, Voeroes, J. and Arntzen, J.W. (2016) Is the Danube crested newt *Triturus dobrogicus* polytypic? A review and new nuclear DNA data. *Amphibia-Reptilia* , 37 (2). pp. 167-177. ISSN 0173-5373

<https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00003041>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Is the Danube crested newt *Triturus dobrogicus* polytypic? A review and new nuclear DNA data**

2

3 Short title: **Is the Danube crested newt *Triturus dobrogicus* polytypic?**

4

5 Ben Wielstra^{1,2,*}, Judit Vörös³, Jan W. Arntzen²

6 ¹Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN Sheffield, UK.

7 ²Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P. O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. ³Department of

8 Zoology, Hungarian Natural History Museum, 1088 Budapest, Hungary

9 * Corresponding author;

10 e-mail: b.wielstra@sheffield.ac.uk

11 **Abstract**

12 The Danube crested newt *Triturus dobrogicus* has been proposed to comprise two subspecies: *T. d.*
13 *dobrogicus* and *T. d. macrosoma*. Uncertainty exists in the literature over their distribution and
14 diagnosability. We conduct a multilocus phylogeographical survey and review published data to
15 determine whether a two taxon treatment is warranted. Newly produced and published nuclear DNA
16 data suggest intraspecific variation in the Pannonian Plain part of the range, but with extensive genetic
17 admixture, whereas mitochondrial DNA data shows a lack of geographical structuring in *T.*
18 *dobrogicus* altogether. None of the studied morphological characters suggest the presence of two
19 geographical groups in *T. dobrogicus* unequivocally. Although Danube Delta newts do have relatively
20 short bodies compared to the remainder of the range (the Pannonian and Lower Danube Plains and the
21 Dnepr Delta), we argue that this finding can be explained by phenotypic plasticity – particularly in
22 light of the incongruent evolutionary scenario suggested by genetic data. We conclude that the total
23 body of evidence does not support the two subspecies hypothesis and recommend that *T. dobrogicus*
24 is treated as a monotypic species.

25

26 **Key words:** Ion Torrent, Next-generation sequencing, Subspecies, Taxonomy, *Triturus cristatus*
27 superspecies, *Triturus dobrogicus macrosoma*

28 **Introduction**

29 The taxonomy of crested newts (*Triturus cristatus* superspecies) has been regularly updated.
30 Currently six species are recognized, with a seventh awaiting formal description (Wielstra et al.,
31 2013b). All crested newt species are considered monotypic, except for *T. dobrogicus* (Kiritzescu,
32 1903), for which Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) recognized two subspecies. They reinstated *T. d.*
33 *macrosoma* (Boulenger, 1908) to reflect a perceived geographical differentiation within *T.*
34 *dobrogicus*.

35 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) analyzed a suite of body measurements with principal
36 component and discriminant analysis. In the formal description they mentioned two indices as most
37 informative in separating the two subspecies, namely the ‘Wolterstorff-index’ (forelimb length
38 divided by interlimb distance) and Ltc/L (head width divided by body length), that are both on
39 average higher in the nominotypical subspecies. The authors noted that the two subspecies showed
40 differences in the number of pre-sacral rib-bearing vertebrae (NRBV; with *T. d. dobrogicus*
41 characterized by an NRBV count of 16, and *T. d. macrosoma* by an NRBV count of 17). Litvinchuk
42 and Borkin (2000) also noted differences in coloration of the belly, which tends towards red in *T. d.*
43 *dobrogicus* and orange or yellow in *T. d. macrosoma* and noted that the nominotypical subspecies has
44 a more polished skin, more obvious costal grooves on the sides of the body and smaller and sparser
45 rounded spots on the belly. Larvae of *T. d. dobrogicus* were said to be usually darker than those of *T.*
46 *d. macrosoma*. Based on a crossing experiment the authors suggested a reduced fitness for offspring
47 from crosses between representatives of the two subspecies.

48 According to Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000), the nominotypical subspecies is distributed in the
49 Danube Delta “along the lower Danube, probably, eastward to Reni [Romania]” and ssp. *T. d.*
50 *macrosoma* in the Pannonian and Lower Danube Plains (Fig. 1A). Other authors have suggested that
51 the Iron Gate (the gorge where the Danube river makes its way through the Southern Carpathians)
52 separates the ranges of the two subspecies (Raffaëlli, 2007; Thiesmeier et al., 2009). An allopatric
53 crested newt population in the Dnepr Delta (Fig. 1A) was attributed to *T. dobrogicus* by Litvinchuk
54 (2005), but not at the level of the subspecies.

55 The study by Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) did not include samples from across the entire
56 Danube crested newt range. Notably, material from the Lower Danube Plain and the Dnepr Delta (the
57 latter not known to harbor *T. dobrogicus* at the time) was not included. This hampers determining the
58 distribution of the two *T. dobrogicus* taxa. Furthermore, subsequent studies have casted doubt on the
59 diagnosability of the two subspecies based on either genetic or morphological data (e.g. Vörös and
60 Arntzen, 2010; Naumov and Biserkov, 2013) and the taxonomy of Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) has
61 not universally been adopted (e.g. Sparreboom, 2014).

62 In an attempt to shed light on the intraspecific taxonomy of *T. dobrogicus* we conduct a
63 phylogeographic survey using an Ion Torrent next-generation sequencing protocol that provides large
64 scale nuclear DNA data for crested newts (Wielstra et al., 2014a). We interpret the new results in the
65 context of a literature review on intraspecific genetic and morphological variation in *T. dobrogicus*
66 and use the total body of evidence to decide whether to support or contradict the two subspecies
67 hypothesis. This raises the question on how to delineate a subspecies in the first place. For
68 background on the difficulties of defining subspecies and applying the subspecies rank in taxonomy
69 we refer to Mayr (1969). We here take a pragmatic approach. To accept the two subspecies hypothesis
70 we would require to find geographically consistent intraspecific variation that cannot be explained by
71 environmental plasticity.

72

73 **Material and methods**

74

75 **Sampling and laboratory methods**

76 Our sampling covered the entire range of *T. dobrogicus* (Fig. 1A; Table 1). We included 46 populations and 121
77 individuals (1-3 individuals with on average 2.6 individuals per population). Twenty-nine populations with 83
78 individuals were from the Pannonian Plain, 12 populations with 27 individuals were from the Lower Danube
79 Plain, four populations with ten individuals were from the Danube Delta and one population with one individual
80 was from the Dnepr Delta. We obtained data for 52 nuclear DNA markers following the protocol of Wielstra et
81 al. (2014a). In brief, we amplified markers of c. 140 bp in length (excluding primers, see Table S1), positioned
82 in 3' untranslated regions, in five multiplex PCRs. We pooled the multiplexes for each individual and ligated
83 unique tags to be able to recognize the product belonging to each individual. We sequenced the amplicons on

84 the Ion Torrent next-generation sequencing platform and processed the output with a bioinformatics pipeline
85 that filters out poor quality reads, identifies alleles and converts data to a format directly usable for population
86 genetic analysis. Sequence data for a mtDNA marker (ND4, 658 bp) were taken from, or newly produced
87 following the protocol in Wielstra et al. (2013a). For six individuals we did not manage to obtain mtDNA
88 sequence data.

89

90 Nuclear DNA: testing for interspecific gene flow

91 Because crested newt species hybridize at their contact zones (Arntzen et al., 2014) we aimed to exclude
92 potentially confounding effects of interspecific gene flow. Therefore we included data from Wielstra et al.
93 (2014a) for the four species with which *T. dobrogicus* is in spatial contact, namely *T. carnifex* in the west, *T.*
94 *cristatus* in the north, *T. ivanbureschi* in the southeast and *T. macedonicus* southwest. We analyzed the data with
95 BAPS 6 (Corander et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2013) and, considering that five crested species were involved in
96 the comparison, enforced the number of distinct gene pools to five (i.e. $k = 5$). This should highlight any *T.*
97 *dobrogicus* individuals showing introgression from other *Triturus* species. We conducted ten replicate runs and
98 tested for admixture between gene pools. Newts ascribed to *T. dobrogicus* with a probability less than unity
99 were excluded from further analyses.

100

101 Nuclear DNA: testing for intraspecific genetic structuring

102 We used FSTAT (Goudet, 1995) to determine gene diversity and the number of alleles per marker and the
103 percentage of missing data. We conducted a spatially explicit Bayesian clustering analysis with BAPS 6 and
104 TESS 2.3.1 (Chen et al., 2007; Durand et al., 2009). We determined the optimal number of gene pools k over a
105 range of 1-44 (the upper limit is defined by the number of populations included), using ten replicates per k
106 value. BAPS determines the optimum k value internally. In TESS the optimum k value was defined as the one
107 where the average deviance information criterion value reached a plateau (as advocated in the TESS manual). In
108 BAPS we incorporated the geographical origin of individuals ('spatial clustering') and tested for admixture
109 between gene pools. In TESS we modelled admixture using the conditional autoregressive model, with 20,000
110 sweeps of which 5,000 were discarded as burn-in. The spatial interaction parameter was kept at the default with
111 the option to update this parameter activated. The estimated admixture proportions for independent runs were
112 averaged using CLUMPP 1.1.2 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg, 2007). All output was visualized with DISTRICT
113 (Rosenberg, 2004).

114

115 Nuclear DNA: population differentiation in *T. dobrogicus*

116 We constructed a population tree with the program POPTREE2 (Takezaki et al., 2010). To determine the
117 position of the root we added *T. cristatus* as outgroup. We used the Neighbour Joining method based on
118 uncorrected F_{ST} distance and the robustness of interpopulational relationships was tested with 1000 bootstrap
119 replicates. Eight markers had to be excluded in this exercise because data were missing for one or more
120 populations.

121

122 Mitochondrial DNA analyses

123 Mitochondrial DNA haplotypes were identified by comparison against the mtDNA haplotype database
124 presented in Wielstra et al. (2013a). To determine to which *Triturus* species newly identified haplotypes
125 belonged we constructed a Neighbour-Joining tree with 1000 bootstrap replicates in MEGA6 (Tamura et al.,
126 2013). A Median Joining network (Bandelt et al., 1999) was created using Network 4.6.11 ([www.fluxus-
127 engineering.com](http://www.fluxus-engineering.com)).

128

129 Data accessibility

130 Sampling details are presented in Table S2 and GenBank Accession numbers for mtDNA are in Table S3. Raw
131 Ion Torrent reads in FASTQ format; scripts associated with the bioinformatics pipeline; BWA alignments in
132 SAM format; raw SNP reports in VCF and BCF format; the filtered SNP report used to construct consensus
133 sequences; an overview of the number of reads in total and per marker and/or individual; nuclear DNA data in
134 genotypic format; nuclear DNA sequence alignments; and input and output files for Network, **FSTAT**, BAPS,
135 TESS and POPTREE; are available via Dryad Digital Repository entry [doi:10.5061/dryad.b9765](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.b9765).

136

137 **Results**

138 Results of the analysis testing for interspecific gene flow of nuclear DNA are summarized in Table 1
139 with details in Table S2. Five crested newt populations have *T. dobrogicus* in syntopy with another
140 *Triturus* species (*T. carnifex* once, *T. macedonicus* once and *T. cristatus* three times) and seven
141 populations have *T. dobrogicus* individuals showing genetic admixture with other *Triturus* species.
142 Altogether we excluded 18 individuals from ten populations in further analyses, of which two
143 populations in their entirety (Table S2).

144 The markers in the dataset used for the intraspecific analyses on average had 6.0 ± 3.1
145 (standard deviation) alleles and an average gene diversity of 0.35 (details in Table S1). The results for
146 the analyses testing for intraspecific genetic clustering show the same overall pattern, with minor
147 differences in details (Fig. 2, Table S2). BAPS yields $k = 3$ as the most probable number of gene pools
148 present in *T. dobrogicus*. Although TESS also identifies $k = 3$ as the most likely solution, support for
149 allocation of individuals to the third group is negligible. Hence we also provide results for TESS
150 under the next lowest meaningful k value, i.e. $k = 2$ (Fig. 2; Table S2). The third TESS group under k
151 $= 3$ is then subsumed in the first TESS group under $k = 2$ whereas the distinction between a first and a
152 second group is similar under both k values (details in Table S2). From here on we only consider
153 TESS results under $k = 2$.

154 Two of the three BAPS groups roughly correspond to one of the two groups in TESS. The
155 overall pattern is one group occupying the southwest of the Pannonian Plain range (populations 12-21
156 and partially populations 7 and 10 in Fig. 1A) and another group occupying the remainder of the
157 range (including the Pannonian and Lower Danube Plains and the Danube and Dnepr Deltas). The
158 results differ in the amount of admixture inferred between these two groups (Fig. 2; details in Table
159 S2). TESS generally suggests a more pronounced admixture between these groups than does BAPS.
160 Conversely, BAPS suggests admixture in one individual from the Danube Delta (from population 42),
161 which was not found by TESS.

162 The population tree based on nuclear DNA genetic distances constructed with POPTREE
163 suggests that the four range sections, Pannonian and Lower Danube Plains and Danube and Dnepr
164 Deltas, do not constitute reciprocally monophyletic groups (Fig. 1B). The populations comprising the
165 southwest Pannonian Plain group identified by BAPS and TESS (populations 12-21 in Fig. 1A)
166 cluster together, but bootstrap support for monophyly of this assemblage is low (Fig. 1B).

167 We observed one instance of a pure *T. dobrogicus* individual based on nuclear DNA
168 possessing mtDNA originating from another species, namely *T. ivanbureschi* (Table S2). The
169 haplotype network for the *T. dobrogicus* mtDNA indicates a lack of geographical structuring (Fig. 3)
170 as e.g. illustrated by the central haplotype Tdob02, which is found all across the range of *T.*
171 *dobrogicus*, in the Pannonian and Lower Danube Plains and in the Danube and Dnepr Deltas.

172

173 **Discussion**

174

175 Interpretation of published and newly produced genetic data

176 Mitochondrial DNA shows a shallow genetic divergence across the *T. dobrogicus* range, with
177 identical haplotypes present in the Pannonian, Lower Danube and Dnepr range sections (Wallis and
178 Arntzen, 1989; Wielstra et al., 2013a; this study). Allozyme data suggest a higher level of
179 intraspecific genetic variation than mtDNA, but agree on a lack of geographical structure between the
180 Pannonian and Lower Danube Plain populations (Vörös and Arntzen, 2010). Rather, populations from
181 the southwest of the Pannonian Plain are relatively distinct from those in the remainder of the range.
182 No material from the Danube Delta is included in Vörös and Arntzen (2010). However, the allozyme
183 data presented in Litvinchuk et al. (1994) show a Nei's genetic distance of zero between a Danube
184 Delta and Transcarpathian (northeast Pannonian Plain) population sample (Litvinchuk and Borkin,
185 2000; Arntzen, 2003; Naumov and Biserkov, 2013).

186 The newly produced nuclear DNA data improve upon previous studies in the number of
187 markers analyzed and the geographical coverage of population samples. Although the results of the
188 cluster-based analysis of the new data are in line with the presence of two genetic clusters within *T.*
189 *dobrogicus*, the spatial arrangement differs distinctly from the one proposed by Litvinchuk and
190 Borkin (2000). One group is distributed in the southwest of the Pannonian Plain range (mainly
191 populations 12-21 in Fig. 1A) and another group occupies the remainder of the range, i.e. the rest of
192 the Pannonian Plain, the Lower Danube Plain and the Danube and Dnepr Delta. These findings are
193 similar to those based on allozyme data (Vörös and Arntzen, 2010). The new nuclear DNA data agree
194 with all previous genetic studies that genetic admixture between intraspecific genetic groups in *T.*
195 *dobrogicus* is extensive. Furthermore, we do not find significant bootstrap support for either group
196 identified in the population tree based on the new data.

197 Crucially, our genetic results do not support long term limitations to gene flow between the
198 Danube Delta and the remainder of the range (including the currently allopatric Dnepr Delta). This
199 finding is not in line with the crossing experiment of Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000), which suggests

200 relatively low survival resulting from the cross of *T. d. dobrogicus* and *T. d. macrosoma* (it should be
201 note that half of the offspring in the genus *Triturus* dies during embryonic development due to the
202 peculiar 'chromosome 1 syndrome'; Macgregor and Horner, 1980; Ridley, 2004). However, it should
203 be noted that sample sizes in this experiment are extremely low, with $n = 2$ for *T. d. dobrogicus* x *T. d.*
204 *dobrogicus*, $n = 2$ for *T. d. dobrogicus* x *T. d. macrosoma* and $n = 0$ for *T. d. macrosoma* x *T. d.*
205 *macrosoma*. The replicates for the *T. d. dobrogicus* x *T. d. macrosoma* differ widely in embryo and
206 larval survival (1.9% versus 13.3% and 100% versus 51.1%). Embryo survival for *T. d. dobrogicus* x
207 *T. d. macrosoma* is unrealistically low, even lower than for a cross between two distinct *Triturus*
208 species (*T. carnifex* x *T. karelinii*; see Table 4 in Litvinchuk and Borkin, 2000). Because, in contrast
209 to the two *T. dobrogicus* subspecies, the different *Triturus* species are characterized by distinct
210 average genome sizes (Litvinchuk et al., 1999), genetic incompatibilities would a priori be expected
211 to play a larger role in interspecific crosses. Considering the low sample size in the crossing
212 experiment, alternative explanations for low survival (e.g. disease outbreak, a problem with
213 temperature control, etc.) cannot be safely excluded. We consider the results of the crossing
214 experiment unconvincing and put our trust in the molecular genetic data.

215

216 Interpretation of published morphological data

217 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) mention in their formal diagnosis of the two subspecies in *T.*
218 *dobrogicus* that two indices, the 'Wolterstorff-index' (forelimb length divided by interlimb distance)
219 and Ltc/L (head width divided by body length), are both on average higher in the nominotypical
220 subspecies (the former for males only). These findings indicate that newts from the Danube Delta
221 possess relatively short bodies. Naumov and Biserkov (2013) conducted a morphological survey for
222 *T. dobrogicus*, adding material from the Lower Danube Plain that was not represented by Litvinchuk
223 and Borkin (2000) and concluded that the new material is closer to *T. d. macrosoma* from the
224 Pannonian Plain than it is to *T. d. dobrogicus* from the Danube Delta.

225 We summarized data for the Wolterstorff and Ltc/L indices for individual newts from
226 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2009) and Naumov and Biserkov (2013) and Wolterstorff-index data only
227 from Arntzen and Wallis (1999). We excluded populations located near the contact zones with other

228 Triturus species to minimize confounding effects of interspecific gene flow (see Table S4 for the total
229 dataset). Data for males and females are plotted in Fig. 4 for the Pannonian Plain, the Lower Danube
230 Plain, the Danube Delta and the Dnepr Delta separately. Student's t-tests confirm that for the
231 Wolterstorff-index males ($t=5.93$, $P<0.01$) but not females ($t=1.24$, $P>0.05$) and for the Ltc/L both
232 males ($t=4.80$, $P<0.001$) and females ($t=4.624$, $P<0.001$) from the Danube Delta on average show
233 higher values – and therewith shorter bodies – compared to those from the Pannonian plus Lower
234 Danube Plains.

235 One of us (JWA, pers. obs.) has noted a marked phenotypic plasticity in body shape in *T.*
236 *dobrogicus* larvae, with particular stout bodies in water bodies with fish predators present, versus a
237 more regular, elongated form typical for the genus as a whole. We suggest a similar phenotypic
238 plasticity may apply to adults as well. This would explain why the genetic data suggest an incongruent
239 evolutionary scenario, with the deepest intraspecific divergence found within the Pannonian Plain. In
240 this light we doubt the taxonomical relevance of the observed body shape differentiation in *T.*
241 *dobrogicus*.

242 The use of indices hampers interpretation of the diagnosticity of individual characters.
243 Arntzen and Wallis (1994) find the number of rib-bearing vertebrae (NRBV) to better discriminate the
244 different Triturus species. Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) suggest that NRBV differs between *T. d.*
245 *dobrogicus* and *T. d. macrosoma* on average, with *T. d. dobrogicus* more inclined to show an NRBV
246 count of 16 and *T. d. macrosoma* an NRBV count of 17. However, their sample sizes are small,
247 overlap in NRBV count is rampant (Table 3 in Litvinchuk and Borkin, 2000) and a G-test for
248 independence does not suggest a significant difference between the two subspecies ($G=0.50$, $P>0.05$).
249 A more comprehensive sampling shows that in the Pannonian Plain an NRBV count of 16 or 17
250 occurs at the same frequency (Arntzen et al., 2015). The limited sampling in the Lower Danube Plain
251 shows two individuals with an NRBV count of 16 and two with 17.

252 Although NRBV is known to show intraspecific plasticity (Slijepčević et al., 2015),
253 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) hypothesize that the frequency of an NRBV count of 16 in their
254 material of *T. d. macrosoma* could be inflated due to genetic admixture with *T. cristatus* (and
255 implicitly that this is not the case for *T. d. dobrogicus*). This hypothesis has some merit because

256 Arntzen et al. (2014) show that *T. dobrogicus* individuals from the contact zone with *T. cristatus* more
257 often show an NRBV count of 16 and those away from contact zones an NRBV count of 17.
258 However, we argue that without additional evidence that different mechanisms underlie an NRBV
259 count of 16 in *T. d. macrosoma* and *T. d. dobrogicus*, we should stick to the simplest explanation of
260 the data. We conclude that the currently available data do not support a frequency differentiation in
261 NRBV count across the *T. dobrogicus* range.

262 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) consider the coloration of the belly, almost red in *T. d.*
263 *dobrogicus* and orange or yellow in *T. d. macrosoma*, to distinguish the two subspecies (although raw
264 data is not provided and it is not stated how color was quantified). Considering that the ‘redness’ of
265 the belly in amphibians is influenced by diet (Matsui et al., 2003) and UVB exposure (Michaels et al.,
266 2015), we question its applicability in crested newt taxonomy.

267 Although not mentioned in the taxonomic account as distinguishing the two subspecies,
268 Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000) mention additional perceived differences in the main text. The
269 frequency with which black spots merge on the belly and form a dorso-ventral black stripe is
270 suggested to be lower in the Danube Delta than in the Pannonian Plain. As both the size and density
271 of belly spots increase with age (Lantz, 1953; Arntzen and Teunis, 1993) we advise against using
272 these characters in crested newt taxonomy. The obviousness of costal grooves seems to us to depend
273 in the first place on the feeding condition of animals and we doubt its relevance for taxonomy.
274 Without rigorous quantification it is impossible to interpret the relevance of differences in how
275 polished the skin is. *Triturus dobrogicus* larvae stand out from the larvae of other *Triturus* species by
276 a deep black coloration at large (> 50 mm) size (Arntzen, 2003), but we cannot confirm a
277 geographical pattern within *T. dobrogicus*. The pigmentation of *Triturus* larva is most likely subject to
278 phenotypic plasticity (Cvijanović et al., 2015) and we do not consider darkness of larvae
279 taxonomically informative.

280

281 Taxonomy of *T. dobrogicus*

282 Based on the accumulated data from multiple molecular marker systems and morphological characters
283 we can address the question whether the data so far support the two subspecies treatment of *T.*

284 dobrogicus. All molecular genetic data disagree with the treatment by Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000)
285 of a distinct group inhabiting the Danube Delta. Furthermore, the molecular genetic data show that the
286 Iron Gate, which has sometimes been interpreted as separating the ranges of two *T. dobrogicus*
287 subspecies (Raffaëlli, 2007; Thiesmeier et al., 2009), does not pose a barrier to gene flow between the
288 Pannonian and Lower Danube range sections (for further discussion see Arntzen et al., 1997;
289 Gherghel and Papeş, 2015). Rather, for nuclear DNA the bulk of intraspecific genetic variability is to
290 be found within the Pannonian range section of *T. dobrogicus*, with one distinct genetic cluster
291 inhabiting the southwest of the Pannonian Plain and the other inhabiting the remainder of the range,
292 including the Danube Delta. However, genetic admixture between these two genetic groups is
293 rampant. Furthermore, we contest that the morphological data point towards a distinct taxon
294 inhabiting the Danube Delta. We believe that other potential explanations for intraspecific variation
295 within *T. dobrogicus* – related to age, environment and interspecific gene flow – have not been
296 sufficiently excluded and doubt that some characters express geographical differentiation at all. Hence
297 we conclude that there is insufficient support for the two subspecies treatment proposed by Litvinchuk
298 and Borkin (2000) and recommend that *T. dobrogicus* is treated as monotypic.

299

300 **Acknowledgements**

301 BW conducted this work as a Newton International Fellow. JV was supported by the Hungarian
302 Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K84071), and the Bolyai János Research Scholarship of the
303 Hungarian Academy of Sciences. We are grateful to Peter Mikulíček for DNA samples from Slovakia
304 and to Spartak Litvinchuk and Borislav Naumov for digital copies of their morphological data.

305

306 **References**

- 307 Arntzen, J.W., Teunis, S.F.M. (1993): A six year study on the population dynamics of the crested
308 newt (*Triturus cristatus*) following the colonization of a newly created pond. *Herpetol. J.* **3**:
309 99-110.
310 Arntzen, J.W., Wallis, G.P. (1994): The 'Wolterstorff Index' and its value to the taxonomy of the
311 crested newt superspecies. *Abhandlungen und Berichte für Naturkunde, Magdeburg* **17**: 57-
312 66.

- 313 Arntzen, J.W., Bugter, R.J.F., Cogalniceanu, D., Wallis, G.P. (1997): The distribution and
314 conservation status of the Danube crested newt, *Triturus dobrogicus*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **18**:
315 133-142.
- 316 Arntzen, J.W., Wallis, G.P. (1999): Geographic variation and taxonomy of crested newts (*Triturus*
317 *crystatus* superspecies): morphological and mitochondrial data. *Contrib. Zool.* **68**: 181-203.
- 318 Arntzen, J.W. (2003): *Triturus cristatus* Superspecies - Kammolch-Artenkreis (*Triturus cristatus*
319 (Laurenti, 1768) - Nördlicher Kammolch, *Triturus carnifex* (Laurenti, 1768) - Italienischer
320 Kammolch, *Triturus dobrogicus* (Kiritzescu, 1903) - Donau-Kammolch, *Triturus karelinii*
321 (Strauch, 1870) - Südlicher Kammolch). In: *Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas*.
322 *Schwanzlurche IIA*, p. 421-514. Grossenbacher, K., Thiesmeier, B., Eds., Wiebelsheim, Aula-
323 Verlag.
- 324 Arntzen, J.W., Wielstra, B., Wallis, G.P. (2014): The modality of nine *Triturus* newt hybrid zones,
325 assessed with nuclear, mitochondrial and morphological data. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **113**: 604-
326 622.
- 327 Arntzen, J.W., Beukema, W., Galis, F., Ivanović, A. (2015): Vertebral number is highly evolvable in
328 salamanders and newts (family Salamandridae) and variably associated with climatic
329 parameters. *Contrib. Zool.* **84**: 85-113.
- 330 Bandelt, H.J., Forster, P., Röhl, A. (1999): Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
331 phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**: 37-48.
- 332 Boulenger, G.A. (1908): Description of a new newt. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History*,
333 *Series 8* **2**: 32-33.
- 334 Chen, C., Durand, E., Forbes, F., FranÇOis, O. (2007): Bayesian clustering algorithms ascertaining
335 spatial population structure: a new computer program and a comparison study. *Mol. Ecol.*
336 *Notes* **7**: 747-756.
- 337 Cheng, L., Connor, T.R., Sirén, J., Aanensen, D.M., Corander, J. (2013): Hierarchical and spatially
338 explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**: 1224-1228.
- 339 Corander, J., Sirén, J., Arjas, E. (2008): Bayesian spatial modeling of genetic population structure.
340 *Computation. Stat.* **23**: 111-129.
- 341 Cvijanović, M., Ivanović, A., Kalezić, M.L. (2015): Larval pigmentation patterns of closely related
342 newt species (*Triturus cristatus* and *T. dobrogicus*) in laboratory conditions. *North-West. J.*
343 *Zool.* **11**: 357-359.
- 344 Durand, E., Jay, F., Gaggiotti, O.E., François, O. (2009): Spatial inference of admixture proportions
345 and secondary contact zones. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**: 1963-1973.
- 346 Gherghel, I., Papeş, M. (2015): Landscape as a determinant of dispersal patterns and population
347 connectivity in a newt species. *Ecol. Inform.* **28**: 1-6.
- 348 Goudet, J. (1995): FSTAT (Version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *J. Hered.* **86**:
349 485-486.
- 350 Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A. (2007): CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for
351 dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
352 *Bioinformatics* **23**: 1801-1806.
- 353 Kiritzescu, C. (1903): Contribution à la faune des Batraciens de Roumanie. *Buletinul Societatii de*
354 *Sciinte din Bucuresti* **12**: 243-265.
- 355 Lantz, L.A. (1953): Adaptation to background in *Triturus cristatus* Laur. *J. Genet.* **51**: 502-514.
- 356 Litvinchuk, S., Sokolova, T.M., Borkin, L.J. (1994): Biochemical differentiation of the crested newt
357 (*Triturus cristatus* group) in the territory of the former USSR. *Abhandlungen und Berichte für*
358 *Naturkunde, Magdeburg* **17**: 67-74.
- 359 Litvinchuk, S.N., Borkin, L.J., Džukić, G., Kalezić, M.L., Khalturin, M.D., Rosanov, J.M. (1999):
360 Taxonomic status of *Triturus karelinii* on the Balkans, with some comments about other
361 crested newt taxa. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* **6**: 153-163.
- 362 Litvinchuk, S.N., Borkin, L.J. (2000): Intraspecific taxonomy and nomenclature of the Danube crested
363 newt, *Triturus dobrogicus*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **21**: 419-430.
- 364 Litvinchuk, S.N. (2005): A record of the Danube newt, *Triturus dobrogicus*, from the Dnepr River
365 delta (Ukraine). *Russ. J. Herpetol.* **12**: 69-72.

- 366 Litvinchuk, S.N., Borkin, L.J. (2009): Evolution, systematics and distribution of crested newts
367 (*Triturus cristatus* complex) in Russia and adjacent countries St. Petersburg, Evropeisky Dom
368 Press.
- 369 Macgregor, H., Horner, H. (1980): Heteromorphism for chromosome 1, a requirement for normal
370 development in crested newts. *Chromosoma* **76**: 111-122.
- 371 Matsui, K., Mochida, K., Nakamura, M. (2003): Food habit of the juvenile of the Japanese newt
372 *Cynops pyrrhogaster*. *Zoolog. Sci.* **20**: 855-859.
- 373 Mayr, E. (1969): *Principles of Systematic Zoology* New York, McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- 374 Michaels, C.J., Antwis, R.E., Preziosi, R.F. (2015): Impacts of UVB provision and dietary calcium
375 content on serum vitamin D₃, growth rates, skeletal structure and coloration in captive oriental
376 fire-bellied toads (*Bombina orientalis*). *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **99**: 391-403.
- 377 Naumov, B., Biserkov, V. (2013): On the distribution and subspecies affiliation of *Triturus*
378 *dobrogicus* (Amphibia: Salamandridae) in Bulgaria. *Acta Zool. Bulg.* **65**: 307-313.
- 379 Raffaëlli, J. (2007): *Les urodèles du monde* Condé-sur-Noireau, Penclen édition.
- 380 Ridley, M. (2004): *Evolution*. third Edition. Cambridge Massachusetts, Blackwell Scientific
381 Publications.
- 382 Rosenberg, N.A. (2004): DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population structure.
383 *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **4**: 137-138.
- 384 Slijepčević, M., Galis, F., Arntzen, J.W., Ivanović, A. (2015): Homeotic transformations and number
385 changes in the vertebral column of *Triturus* newts. *PeerJ* **3**: e1397.
- 386 Sparreboom, M. (2014): *Salamanders of the Old World* Zeist, The Netherlands, KNNV Publishing.
- 387 Takezaki, N., Nei, M., Tamura, K. (2010): POPTREE2: software for constructing population trees
388 from allele frequency data and computing other population statistics with Windows interface.
389 *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **27**: 747-752.
- 390 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipowski, A., Kumar, S. (2013): MEGA6: Molecular
391 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**: 2725-2729.
- 392 Thiesmeier, B., Kupfer, A., Jehle, R. (2009): *Der Kammolch Bielefeld*, Laurenti-Verlag.
- 393 Vörös, J., Arntzen, J.W. (2010): Weak population structuring in the Danube crested newt, *Triturus*
394 *dobrogicus*, inferred from allozymes. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **31**: 339-346.
- 395 Wallis, G.P., Arntzen, J.W. (1989): Mitochondrial-DNA variation in the crested newt superspecies:
396 limited cytoplasmic gene flow among species. *Evolution* **43**: 88-104.
- 397 Wielstra, B., Crnobrnja-Isailović, J., Litvinchuk, S.N., Reijnen, B.T., Skidmore, A.K., Sotiropoulis,
398 K., Toxopeus, A.G., Tzankov, N., Vukov, T., Arntzen, J.W. (2013a): Tracing glacial refugia
399 of *Triturus* newts based on mitochondrial DNA phylogeography and species distribution
400 modeling. *Front. Zool.* **10**: 13.
- 401 Wielstra, B., Litvinchuk, S.N., Naumov, B., Tzankov, N., Arntzen, J.W. (2013b): A revised taxonomy
402 of crested newts in the *Triturus karelinii* group (Amphibia: Caudata: Salamandridae), with the
403 description of a new species. *Zootaxa* **3682**: 441-453.
- 404 Wielstra, B., Duijm, E., Lagler, P., Lammers, Y., Meilink, W.R.M., Ziermann, J.M., Arntzen, J.W.
405 (2014a): Parallel tagged amplicon sequencing of transcriptome-based genetic markers for
406 *Triturus* newts with the Ion Torrent next-generation sequencing platform. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
407 **14**: 1080-1089.
- 408 Wielstra, B., Sillero, N., Vörös, J., Arntzen, J.W. (2014b): The distribution of the crested and marbled
409 newt species (Amphibia: Salamandridae: *Triturus*) – an addition to the New Atlas of
410 Amphibians and Reptiles of Europe. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **35**: 376-381.

411 **Tables**

412

413

414 **Table 1.** Sampled *Triturus dobrogicus* populations. Population numbers correspond to Fig. 1 and
415 more details can be found in Table S2.

416

417 **Figure legends**

418

419 **Fig. 1.** Sampling scheme and population tree for *T. dobrogicus*. (a) The distribution is outlined with a
420 black line (based on Wielstra et al., 2014b). Populations are from four range sections: the Pannonian
421 (yellow in the online version of this figure) and Lower Danube (green online) Plains and the Danube
422 (blue online) and Dnepr (red online) Deltas. The Pannonian and Lower Danube Plain are separated by
423 the Iron Gate and the Lower Danube Plain and the Danube Delta are bounded by populations 41 and
424 45 following Litvinchuk and Borkin (2000). Grey background shading reflects elevation above 150
425 meters above sea level. The Danube River is shown as a dark line (blue in the online version) running
426 through the center of the range. Population numbers correspond to Table 1 and Table S2. (b) Genetic
427 differentiation of populations based on nuclear DNA. In the online version populations are color
428 coded according to the region of origin. Only bootstrap support values over 50 are shown. Populations
429 with a thick line in (a) and in boldface in (b) are consistently identified as predominantly belonging to
430 a relatively distinct genetic cluster in the intraspecific spatial Bayesian clustering analyses (see Fig.
431 2).

432

433 **Fig. 2.** Spatial Bayesian clustering results for *Triturus dobrogicus* individuals according to the
434 programs BAPS and TESS. Numbers at the top are population numbers and in the online version
435 colors reflect regions.

436

437 **Fig. 3.** Haplotype network for *Triturus dobrogicus* mtDNA. Only individuals identified as pure *T.*
438 *dobrogicus* are included and one mtDNA haplotype introgressed from another crested newt species is
439 excluded. Pies (or pie slices) are color coded according to the region of origin of haplotypes: the
440 Pannonian (white in print and yellow in the online version) and Lower Danube (light grey in print,
441 green online) Plains and the Danube (dark grey in print, blue online) and Dnepr (black in print, red
442 online) Deltas. Numbers refer to haplotype code (with the suffix “Tdob” not shown) and correspond
443 to Table S3.

444

445 **Fig. 4.** Body shape measurements for *Triturus dobrogicus*. Shown from left to right are the average,
446 range and standard deviation for (a) the Wolterstorff-index (forelimb length divided by interlimb
447 distance) and (b) Ltc/L (head width divided by body length) for females and males from the
448 Pannonian (yellow in the online version) and Lower Danube (green online) Plains and the Danube
449 (blue online) and Dnepr (red online) Deltas. Note that for females there are no data from the Dnepr
450 Delta. Sample sizes are noted in italics below box plots and raw data are in Table S4. The thick grey
451 lines show the optimal cut-off value as determined with weighted logistic regression, for the
452 Wolterstorff-index – males ($1/(1+\exp(0.161*WI-8.375))$), for Ltc/L – females ($1/(1+\exp(0.811*HI-$
453 $10.475))$) and Ltc/L – males ($1/(1+\exp(0.915*HI-12.669))$). For Wolterstorff-index – females no
454 significant model was found.

455

456 **Online appendices**

457 **Table S1.** Marker details for intraspecific analysis.

458 **Table S2.** Sampling details for *Triturus dobrogicus*.

459 **Table S3.** GenBank Accession numbers for mtDNA.

460 **Table S4.** Morphological data for *Triturus dobrogicus*.

461