promoting access to White Rose research papers



Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/10161</u>

Katzman, M. (2003) The support of top graded local cohomology modules

arXiv.org

http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0312333v1

White Rose Research Online eprints @whiterose.ac.uk

The support of top graded local cohomology modules

Mordechai Katzman, Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom. *E-mail*: M.Katzman@sheffield.ac.uk

1 Introduction

Let R_0 be any domain, let $R = R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]/I$, where U_1, \ldots, U_s are indeterminates of positive degrees d_1, \ldots, d_s , and $I \subset R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ is a homogeneous ideal.

The main theorem in this paper is Theorem 2.6, a generalization of Theorem 1.5 in [KS], which states that all the associated primes of $H := H_{R_+}^s(R)$ contain a certain non-zero ideal c(I) of R_0 called the "content" of I (see Definition 2.4.) It follows that the support of H is simply $V(c(I)R + R_+)$ (Corollary 1.8) and, in particular, H vanishes if and only if c(I) is the unit ideal.

These results raise the question of whether local cohomology modules have finitely many minimal associated primes– this paper provides further evidence in favour of such a result (Theorem 2.10 and Remark 2.12.)

Finally, we give a very short proof of a weak version of the monomial conjecture based on Theorem 2.6.

2 The vanishing of top local cohomology modules

Throughout this section R_0 will denote an arbitrary commutative Noetherian domain. We set $S = R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ where U_1, \ldots, U_s are indeterminates of degrees d_1, \ldots, d_s , and R = S/I where $I \subset R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ is an homogeneous ideal. We define $\Delta = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$ and denote with \mathcal{D} the sub-semi-group of \mathbb{N} generated by d_1, \ldots, d_s .

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall denote by $(\cdot)(t)$ the *t*-th shift functor (on the category of graded *R*-modules and homogeneous homomorphisms).

For any multi-index $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(s)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ we shall write U^{λ} for $U_1^{\lambda^{(1)}} \dots U_s^{\lambda^{(s)}}$ and we shall set $|\lambda| = \lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(s)}$.

LEMMA 2.1 Let I be generated by homogeneous elements $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in S$. Then there is an exact sequence of graded S-modules and homogeneous homomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}' H^s_{S_+}(S)(-\deg f_i) \xrightarrow{(f_1,\dots,f_r)} H^s_{S_+}(S) \longrightarrow H^s_{R_+}(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof: The functor $H_{S_+}^s(\bullet)$ is right exact and the natural equivalence between $H_{S_+}^s(\bullet)$ and $(\bullet) \otimes_S H_{S_+}^s(S)$ (see [BS, 6.1.8 & 6.1.9]) actually yields a homogeneous S-isomorphism

$$H^{s}_{S_{+}}(S)/(f_{1},\ldots,f_{r})H^{s}_{S_{+}}(S) \cong H^{s}_{S_{+}}(R).$$

To complete the proof, just note that there is an isomorphism of graded S-modules $H^s_{S_+}(R) \cong H^s_{R_+}(R)$, by the Graded Independence Theorem [BS, 13.1.6].

We can realize $H_{S_+}^s(S)$ as the module $R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]$ of inverse polynomials described in [BS, 12.4.1]: this graded *R*-module vanishes beyond degree $-\Delta$. More generally $R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]_{-d} \neq 0$ if and only if $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

For each $d \in \mathcal{D}$, $R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]_{-d}$ is a free R_0 -module with base $\mathcal{B}(d) := (U^{\lambda})_{-\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^s, |\lambda| = -d}$. We combine this realisation with the previous lemma to find a presentation of each homogeneous component of $H^s_{R_+}(R)$ as the cokernel of a matrix with entries in R_0 .

Assume first that I is generated by one homogeneous element f of degree δ . For any $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have, in view of Lemma 2.1, a graded exact sequence

$$R_0[U_1^-,\ldots,U_s^-]_{-d-\delta} \xrightarrow{\phi_d} R_0[U_1^-,\ldots,U_s^-]_{-d} \longrightarrow H^s_{R_+}(R)_{-d} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map of free R_0 -modules ϕ_d is given by multiplication on the left by a $\#\mathcal{B}(d) \times \#\mathcal{B}(d+\delta)$ matrix which we shall denote later by M(f;d).

In the general case, where I is generated by homogeneous elements $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in S$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the R_0 -module $H^s_{R_+}(R)_{-d}$ is the cokernel of a matrix $M(f_1, \ldots, f_r; d)$ whose columns consist of all the columns of $M(f_1, d), \ldots, M(f_r, d)$.

Consider a homogeneous $f \in S$ of degree δ . We shall now describe the matrix M(f;d) in more detail and to do so we start by ordering the bases of the source and target of ϕ_d as follows. For any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ with negative entries we declare that $U^{\lambda} < U^{\mu}$ if and only if $U^{-\lambda} <_{\text{Lex}} U^{-\mu}$ where " $<_{\text{Lex}}$ " is the lexicographical term ordering in S with $U_1 > \cdots > U_s$. We order the bases $\mathcal{B}(d)$, and by doing so also the columns and rows of M(f;d), in ascending order. We notice that the entry in M(f;d) in the U^{α} row and U^{β} column is now the coefficient of U^{α} in fU^{β} .

LEMMA 2.2 Let $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ have negative entries and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}^s$. If $U^{\lambda_1} <_{\text{Lex}} U^{\lambda_2}$ and $U^{\nu}U^{\lambda_1}, U^{\nu}U^{\lambda_2} \in R_0[U_1^-, \dots, U_s^-]$ do not vanish then $U^{\nu}U^{\lambda_1} > U^{\nu}U^{\lambda_2}$.

Proof: Let j be the first coordinate in which λ_1 and λ_2 differ. Then $\lambda_1^{(j)} < \lambda_2^{(j)}$ and so also $-\nu^{(j)} - \lambda_1^{(j)} > -\nu^{(j)} - \lambda_2^{(j)}$; this implies that $U^{-\nu-\lambda_1} >_{\text{Lex}} U^{-\nu-\lambda_2}$ and $U^{\nu+\lambda_1} > U^{\nu+\lambda_2}$.

LEMMA 2.3 Let $f \neq 0$ be a homogeneous element in S. Then, for all $d \in D$, the matrix M(f;d) has maximal rank.

Proof: We prove the lemma by producing a non-zero maximal minor of M(f;d). Write $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} U^{\lambda}$ where $a_{\lambda} \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and let λ_0 be such that U^{λ_0} is the minimal member of $\{U^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with respect to the lexicographical term order in S.

Let δ be the degree of f. Each column of M(f;d) corresponds to a monomial $U^{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}(d+\delta)$; its ρ -th entry is the coefficient of U^{ρ} in $fU^{\lambda} \in R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]_{-d}$.

Fix any $U^{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}(d)$ and consider the column c_{ν} corresponding to $U^{\nu-\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{B}(d+\delta)$. The ν -th entry of c_{ν} is obviously a_{λ_0} .

By the previous lemma all entries in c_{ν} below the ν th row vanish. Consider the square submatrix of M(f;d) whose columns are the c_{ν} ($\nu \in \mathcal{B}(d)$); its determinant is clearly a power of a_{λ_0} and hence is non-zero.

DEFINITION 2.4 For any $f \in R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ write $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda U^\lambda$ where $a_\lambda \in R_0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For such an $f \in R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ we define the content c(f) of f to be the ideal $\langle a_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ of R_0 generated by all the coefficients of f. If $J \subset R_0[U_1, \ldots, U_s]$ is an ideal, we define its content c(J) to be the ideal of R_0 generated by the contents of all the elements of J. It is easy to see that if J is generated by f_1, \ldots, f_r , then $c(J) = c(f_1) + \cdots + c(f_r)$.

LEMMA 2.5 Suppose that I is generated by homogeneous elements

 $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in S$. Fix any $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $t := \operatorname{rank} M(f_1, \ldots, f_r; d)$ and let I_d be the ideal generated by all $t \times t$ minors of $M(f_1, \ldots, f_r; d)$. Then $c(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I_d}$.

Proof: It is enough to prove the lemma when r = 1; let $f = f_1$. Write $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} U^{\lambda}$ where $a_{\lambda} \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Assume that $c(I) \not\subseteq \sqrt{I_d}$ and pick λ_0 so that U^{λ_0} is the minimal element in $\{U^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ (with respect to the lexicographical term order in S) for which $a_{\lambda} \notin \sqrt{I_d}$. Notice that the proof of Lemma 2.3 shows that U^{λ_0} cannot be the minimal element of $\{U^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Fix any $U^{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}(d)$ and consider the column c_{ν} corresponding to $U^{\nu-\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{B}(d+\delta)$. The ν -th entry of c_{ν} is obviously a_{λ_0} . Lemma 2.2 shows that, for any other $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ with $U^{\lambda_1} >_{\text{Lex}} U^{\lambda_0}$, either $\nu - \lambda_0 + \lambda_1$ has a non-negative entry, in which case the corresponding term of $fU^{\nu-\lambda_0} \in R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]_{-d}$ is zero, or $U^{\nu} > U^{\nu-\lambda_0+\lambda_1}$.

Similarly, for any other $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ with $U^{\lambda_1} <_{\text{Lex}} U^{\lambda_0}$, either $\nu - \lambda_0 + \lambda_1$ has a non-negative entry, in which case the corresponding term of $fU^{\nu-\lambda_0} \in R_0[U_1^-, \ldots, U_s^-]_{-d}$ is zero, or $U^{\nu} < U^{\nu-\lambda_0+\lambda_1}$.

We have shown that all the entries below the ν -th row of c_{ν} are in $\sqrt{I_d}$. Consider the matrix M whose columns are c_{ν} ($\nu \in \mathcal{B}(d)$) and let $: R_0 \to R_0/\sqrt{I_d}$ denote the quotient map. We have

$$0 = \overline{\det(M)} = \det(\overline{M}) = \overline{a_{\lambda_0}}^{\binom{d-1}{s-1}}$$

and, therefore, $a_{\lambda_0} \in \sqrt{I_d}$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 2.6 Suppose that I is generated by homogeneous elements $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in S$. Fix any $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Then each associated prime of $H^s_{R_+}(R)_{-d}$ contains c(I). In particular $H^s_{R_+}(R)_{-d} = 0$ if and only if $c(I) = R_0$.

Proof: Recall that for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq q$ and any $p \times q$ matrix M of maximal rank with entries in any domain, Coker M = 0 if and only if the ideal generated by the maximal minors of M is the unit ideal. Let $M = M(f_1, \ldots, f_r; d)$, so that $H^s_{R_+}(R)_{-d} \cong \operatorname{Coker} M$.

In view of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, the ideal c(I) is contained in the radical of the ideal generated by the maximal minors of M; therefore, for each $x \in c(I)$, the localization of Coker M at x is zero; we deduce that c(I) is contained in all associated primes of Coker M.

To prove the second statement, assume first that c(I) is not the unit ideal. Since all minors of M are contained in c(I), these cannot generate the unit ideal and Coker $M \neq 0$. If, on the other hand, $c(I) = R_0$ then Coker M has no associated prime and Coker M = 0.

COROLLARY 2.7 Let the situation be as in 2.6. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. $c(I) = R_0;$
- 2. $H^s_{R_{\perp}}(R)_{-d} = 0$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$;
- 3. $H^s_{B_+}(R)_{-d} = 0$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

Consequently, $H^s_{R_+}(R)$ is asymptotically gap-free in the sense of [BH, (4.1)].

COROLLARY 2.8 The R-module $H_{R_+}^s(R)$ has finitely many minimal associated primes, and these are just the minimal primes of the ideal $c(I)R + R_+$.

Proof: Let $r \in c(I)$. By Theorem 2.6, the localization of $H^s_{R_+}(R)$ at r is zero. Hence each associated prime of $H^s_{R_+}(R)$ contains c(I)R. Such an associated prime must contain R_+ , since $H^s_{R_+}(R)$ is R_+ -torsion.

On the other hand, $H_{R_+}^s(R)_{-\Delta} \cong R_0/c(I)$ and $H_{R_+}^s(R)_i = 0$ for all $i > -\Delta$; therefore there is an element of the $(-\Delta)$ -th component of $H_{R_+}^s(R)$ that has annihilator (over R) equal to $c(I)R + R_+$. All the claims now follow from these observations.

REMARK 2.9 In [Hu, Conjecture 5.1], Craig Huneke conjectured that every local cohomology module (with respect to any ideal) of a finitely generated module over a local Noetherian ring has only finitely many associated primes. This conjecture was shown to be false (cf. [K, Corollary 1.3]) but Corollary 2.8 provides some evidence in support of the weaker conjecture that every local cohomology module (with respect to any ideal) of a finitely generated module over a local Noetherian ring has only finitely many *minimal* associated primes.

The following theorem due to Gennady Lyubeznik ([L]) gives further support for this conjecture:

THEOREM 2.10 Let R be any Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p and let $I \subset R$ be any ideal generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in R$. The support of $H_I^s(R)$ is Zariski closed.

Proof: We first notice that the localization of $H_I^s(R)$ at a prime $P \subset R$ vanishes if and only if there exist positive integers α and β such that

$$(f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_s)^{\alpha} \in \langle f_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, f_s^{\alpha+\beta} \rangle$$

in the localization R_P . This is because if we can find such α and β we can then take $q := p^e$ powers and obtain

$$(f_1 \cdots f_s)^{q\alpha} \in \langle f_1^{q\alpha+q\beta}, \dots, f_s^{q\alpha+q\beta} \rangle$$

for all such q. This shows that all elements in the direct limit sequence

$$R/\langle f_1, \dots f_s \rangle \xrightarrow{f_1 \dots f_s} R/\langle f_1^2, \dots f_s^2 \rangle \xrightarrow{f_1 \dots f_s} \dots$$

map to 0 in the direct limit and hence $H_I^s(R) = 0$.

But if

$$(f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_s)^{\alpha} \in \langle f_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, f_s^{\alpha+\beta} \rangle$$

in R_P , we may clear denominators and deduce that this occurs on a Zariski open subset containing P.

Thus the complement of the support is a Zariski open subset.

It may be reasonable to expect that non-top local cohomology modules might also have finitely many minimal associated primes; the only examples known to me of non-top local cohomology modules with infinitely many associated primes are the following: Let k be any field, let $R_0 = k[x, y, s, t]$ and let S be the localisation of $R_0[u, v, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ at the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} generated by $x, y, s, t, u, v, a_1, \ldots, a_n$. Let $f = sx^2v^2 - (t+s)xyuv + ty^2u^2 \in S$ and let R = S/fS. Denote by I the ideal of S generated by u, v and by A the ideal of S generated by a_1, \ldots, a_n .

THEOREM 2.11 Assume that $n \ge 2$. The local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{I\cap A}(R)$ has infinitely many associated primes and $\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{I\cap A}(R) \neq 0$.

Proof: Consider the following segment of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{H}^{2}_{I+A}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{2}_{I}(R) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}_{A}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{2}_{I\cap A}(R) \to \dots$$

Notice that a_1, \ldots, a_n, u form a regular sequence on R so depth_{I+A} $R \ge n+1 \ge 3$ and the leftmost module vanishes. Thus $H_I^2(R)$ injects into $H_{I\cap A}^2(R)$ and Corollary 1.3 in [K] shows that $H_{I\cap A}^2(R)$ has infinitely many associated primes.

Consider now the following segment of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{I \cap A}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{I+A}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{I}(R) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{A}(R) \to \dots$$

The direct summands in the rightmost module vanish since both I and A can be generated by less than n+2 elements, so $\operatorname{H}^{n+1}_{I\cap A}(R)$ surjects onto $\operatorname{H}^{n+2}_{I+A}(R)$.

Now c(f) is the ideal of R_0 generated by sx^2 , -(t+s)xy and ty^2 so $c(f) \subset \langle x, y \rangle \neq R_0$. Corollary 2.7 now shows that $H^{n+2}_{I+A}(R)$ does not vanish and, therefore, nor does $H^{n+1}_{I\cap A}(R)$.

REMARK 2.12 When $n \geq 3$, $\mathrm{H}^{3}_{I+A}(R) = 0$ and the argument above shows that $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{I}(R) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}_{A}(R) \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}_{I\cap A}(R)$. Corollary 2.8 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{I}(R)$ has finitely many minimal primes and since the only associated prime of $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{A}(R)$ is A, $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{I\cap A}(R)$ has finitely many minimal primes.

When n = 2 we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) \oplus \mathrm{H}^2_A(R) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{I \cap A}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^3_{I+A}(R) \to 0.$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \to S \xrightarrow{f} S \to R \to 0$$

implies that $\mathrm{H}^{3}_{I+A}(R)$ injects into the local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}^{4}_{I+A}(S)$ whose only associated prime is I + A, so again we see that $\mathrm{H}^{2}_{I\cap A}(R)$ has finitely many minimal associated primes.

3 An application: a weak form of the Monomial Conjecture.

In [Ho] Mel Hochster suggested reducing the Monomial Conjecture to the problem of showing the vanishing of certain local cohomology modules which we now describe.

Let C be either \mathbb{Z} or a field of characteristic p > 0, let $R_0 = C[A_1, \ldots, A_s]$ where A_1, \ldots, A_s are indeterminates, $S = R_0[U_s, \ldots, U_s]$ where U_1, \ldots, U_s are indeterminates and $R = S/F_{s,t}S$ where

$$F_{s,t} = (U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_s)^t - \sum_{i=1}^s A_i U_i^{t+1}.$$

Suppose that

$$H_{s,t} := H^s_{\langle U_1, \dots, U_s \rangle}(R)$$

vanishes with $C = \mathbb{Z}$. If for some local ring T we can find a system of parameters x_1, \ldots, x_s so that $(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_s)^t \in \langle x_1^{t+1}, \ldots, x_s^{t+1} \rangle$, i.e., if there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in T$ so that $(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_s)^t = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i x_i^{t+1}$ we can define an homomorphism $R \to T$ by mapping A_i to a_i and U_i to x_i . We can view T as an R-module and we have an isomorphism of T-modules

$$H^s_{\langle x_1,\dots,x_s\rangle}(T) \cong H^s_{\langle U_1,\dots,U_s\rangle}(R) \otimes_R T$$

and we deduce that

$$H^s_{\langle x_1,\dots,x_s\rangle}(T) = 0$$

but this cannot happen since x_1, \ldots, x_s form a system of parameters in T.

We have just shown that the vanishing of $H_{s,t}$ for all $t \ge 1$ implies the Monomial Conjecture in dimension s. In [Ho] Mel Hochster proved that these local cohomology modules vanish when s = 2 or when C has characteristic p > 0, but in [R] Paul Roberts showed that, when $C = \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{3,2} \neq 0$, showing that Hochster's approach cannot be used for proving the Monomial Conjecture in dimension 3. This can be generalized further:

PROPOSITION 3.1 When $C = \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{s,2} \neq 0$ for all $s \geq 3$.

Proof: We proceed by induction on s; the case s = 3 is proved in [R].

Assume that for some $s \ge 1$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\delta > \alpha$ the monomial $x_1^{\alpha} \dots x_{s+1}^{\alpha}$ is in the ideal of $C[x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}, a_1, \dots, a_{s+1}]$ generated by $x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, x_{s+1}^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $F_{s+1,t}$.

Define $G_{s+1,2}$ to be the result of substituting $a_{s+1} = 0$ in $F_{s+1,2}$, i.e.,

$$G_{s+1,2} = (x_1 \dots x_{s+1})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i x_i^3.$$

 $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{f}$

$$x_1^{\alpha} \dots x_{s+1}^{\alpha} \in \langle x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, x_{s+1}^{\alpha+\beta}, F_{s+1,2} \rangle \tag{1}$$

then by setting $a_{s+1} = 0$ we see that

$$x_1^{\alpha} \dots x_{s+1}^{\alpha} \in \langle x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, x_{s+1}^{\alpha+\beta}, G_{s+1,2} \rangle.$$

If we assign degree 0 to x_1, \ldots, x_s , degree 1 to x_{s+1} and degree 2 to a_1, \ldots, a_s then the ideal $\langle x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \ldots, x_{s+1}^{\alpha+\beta}, G_{s+1,2} \rangle$ is homogeneous and we must have

$$x_1^{\alpha} \dots x_{s+1}^{\alpha} \in \langle x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, x_s^{\alpha+\beta}, G_{s+1,2} \rangle.$$

If we now set $x_{s+1} = 1$ we obtain

$$x_1^{\alpha} \dots x_s^{\alpha} \in \langle x_1^{\alpha+\beta}, \dots, x_s^{\alpha+\beta}, F_{s,2} \rangle.$$
(2)

Now $H_{s+1,2} = 0$ if and only if for each $\beta \ge 1$ we can find an $\alpha \ge 0$ so that equation (1) holds and this implies that for each $\beta \ge 1$ we can find an $\alpha \ge 0$ so that equation (2) holds which is equivalent to $H_{s,2} = 0$. The induction hypothesis implies that $H_{s,2} \ne 0$ and so $H_{s+1,2} \ne 0$.

The local cohomology modules $H_{s,t}$ are a good illustration for the failure of the methods of the previous section in the non-graded case. For example, one cannot decide whether $H_{s,t}$ is zero just by looking at $F_{s,t}$: the vanishing of $H_{s,t}$ depends on the characteristic of C! Compare this situation to the following graded problem. THEOREM 3.2 (A WEAKER MONOMIAL CONJECTURE) Let T be a local ring with system of parameters x_1, \ldots, x_s . For all $t \ge 0$ we have

$$(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_s)^t \notin \langle x_1^{st}, \ldots, x_s^{st} \rangle.$$

Proof: Let $S = \mathbb{Z}[A_1, \ldots, A_s][X_1, \ldots, X_s]$ where deg $A_i = 0$ and deg $X_i = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le s$. Following Hochster's argument we reduce to the problem of showing that

$$H^s_{\langle X_1,\dots,X_s \rangle}(S/fS) = 0$$

where

$$f = (X_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot X_s)^t - \sum_{i=1}^s A_i X_i^{st}.$$

Since f is homogeneous and c(f) is the unit ideal, the result follows from Theorem 2.6.

References

- [BH] M. Brodmann and M. Hellus, Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 172 (2002)2-3, pp. 165–182.
- [BS] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Ho] M. Hochster, Canonical elements in local cohomology modules and the direct summand conjecture, J. Algebra 84 (2) (1983), pp. 503–553.
- [Hu] C. Huneke, Problems on local cohomology, in Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Sundance 90, ed. D. Eisenbud and C. Huneke, Research Notes in Mathematics 2, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, 1992, pp. 93–108.
- [K] M. Katzman, An example of an infinite set of associated primes of a local cohomology module, J. Algebra 252(1) (2002), pp. 161–166.
- [KS] M. Katzman and R. Y. Sharp, Some properties of top graded local cohomology modules, J. Algebra 259(2) (2003), pp. 599–612.
- [L] G. Lyubeznik, private communication.
- [R] P. Roberts, A computation of local cohomology, in Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992), Contemp. Math., 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 351–356.