



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Cardiovascular Outcomes Following Rotational Atherectomy: A UK Multicentre Experience*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/100858/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Eftychiou, C, Barmby, DS, Wilson, SJ et al. (7 more authors) (2016) Cardiovascular Outcomes Following Rotational Atherectomy: A UK Multicentre Experience. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 88 (4). pp. 546-553. ISSN 1522-1946

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.26587>

---

© 2016 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Eftychiou, C., Barmby, D. S., Wilson, S. J., Ubaid, S., Markwick, A. J., Makri, L., Blaxill, J. M., Spratt, J. C., Gunning, M. and Greenwood, J. P. (2016), Cardiovascular Outcomes Following Rotational Atherectomy: A UK Multicentre Experience. *Cathet. Cardiovasc. Intervent.*, 88: 546–553. doi: 10.1002/ccd.26587, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.26587>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Table 5: Outcomes comparing patients according to the use of DES, the use of GPI and access site

	DES	BMS	p-value
MACE	58 (16.1%)	21 (19.3%)	0.465
• Cardiac Death	21 (5.8%)	10 (9.2%)	0.269
• MI	40 (11.1%)	11 (10.1%)	0.862
• TVR	28 (7.8%)	6 (5.5%)	0.530
Definite Stent Thrombosis	7 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	0.361
	GPI used	No GPI used	p-value
MACE	33 (19.5%)	59 (17.0%)	0.465
• Cardiac Death	11 (6.5%)	26 (7.5%)	0.856
• MI	26 (15.4%)	34 (9.8%)	0.078
• TVR	19 (11.2%)	20 (5.7%)	0.033
Definite Stent Thrombosis	6 (3.6%)	1 (0.3%)	0.006
	Radial	Femoral	p-value
MACE	32 (20.1%)	60 (16.7%)	0.383
• Cardiac Death	10 (6.3%)	27 (7.5%)	0.713
• MI	23 (14.5%)	37 (10.3%)	0.182
• TVR	13 (8.2%)	26 (7.2%)	0.720
Definite Stent Thrombosis	2 (1.3%)	5 (1.4%)	1.000
Stroke	7 (4.5%)	8 (2.4%)	0.256
Peri-procedural Complications	12 (7.5%)	21 (5.8%)	0.443
Vascular Access Complications	1 (0.6%)	9 (2.5%)	0.297

Data shown as N (%),

BMS= Bare Metal Stents, DES= Drug Eluting Stents, GPI= Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors, MACE=Major Adverse Cardiac Events, MI= Myocardial Infarction, TVR= Target Vessel Revascularization.