UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Illness patterns prior to diagnosis of lymphoma:Analysis of UK medical records*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/117409/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

### Article:

Crouch, Simon orcid.org/0000-0002-3026-2859, Simpson, Jill, Ansell, Pat et al. (6 more authors) (2011) Illness patterns prior to diagnosis of lymphoma: Analysis of UK medical records. Cancer Epidemiology. pp. 145-150. ISSN 1877-7821

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2010.08.003

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Cancer Epidemiology xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



1

2

3

4

6

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Cancer Epidemiology

The International Journal of Cancer Epidemiology, Detection, and Prevention



31

journal homepage: www.cancerepidemiology.net

### Illness patterns prior to diagnosis of lymphoma: Analysis of UK medical records

Simon Crouch<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jill Simpson<sup>a</sup>, Pat Ansell<sup>a</sup>, Eleanor Kane<sup>a</sup>, Debra Howell<sup>a</sup>, Alex Smith<sup>a</sup>, Rob Newton<sup>a</sup>, Andrew Jack<sup>b</sup>, Eve Roman<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Epidemiology and Genetics Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, Area 3 Seebohm Rowntree Building, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK<sup>1</sup> <sup>b</sup> Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Service, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds, UK<sup>2</sup>

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 6 August 2010

Keywords: Lymphoma Infections Epidemiology

#### ABSTRACT

*Background*: Increased understanding of the relationship between lymphomas and co-morbidities is likely to provide valuable insights into the natural history of these disorders. *Methods*: 761 Cases with lymphoma (310 diffuse-large B-cell [DLBCL]; 226 follicular [FL]; and 225 Hodgkin [HL]) and 761 unaffected age and sex matched controls were recruited and their histories of infection and non-infection diagnoses in primary care records were compared using negative binomial regression. *Results*: No differences were observed between the infectious illness patterns of DLBCL and FL cases and their matched controls over the 15 years preceding lymphoma diagnosis. A marked excess of infectious illness episodes was recorded for HL cases compared to their controls; evident at least a decade prior to HL diagnosis. For non-infectious consultations an excess of case over control visits emerged 4–6 years before DLBCL and FL diagnosis; no specific co-morbidity associations were found. No case-control differences for non-infectious conditions were apparent for HL. *Conclusion*: There are substantial variations in patterns of illness prior to diagnosis of the three lymphoma subtypes examined. The excess of infectious diagnoses prior to HL may point to underlying immune abnormality, but there was no suggestion of this for DLBCL and FL where a generalized excess of non-infectious was evident. © 2010 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

#### 7 8

#### 1. Introduction

g There is broad consensus that increased understanding about the 10 nature of the relationship between lymphomas and other comorbidities, particularly auto-immune and infectious conditions, is 11 12 likely to provide valuable insights into the natural history of these 13 lymphoproliferative disorders [1]. Immunosuppression, whether 14 related to HIV infection or drug treatment, such as that experienced 15 by renal transplant recipients, appears to be associated with a 16 modest increase in risk of Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and a greater 17 increase in risk of certain types of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) [2,3]. Whilst a few subtypes of lymphoma are thought to be related 18 19 to specific infections there is little evidence that this is true for the 20 majority, but there is some support for the notion that non-specific 21 infectious episodes several years prior to lymphoma diagnosis may 22 signal disease initiation and/or progression [4].

In order to investigate the potential association between
 infectious and other immunological factors and subsequent
 lymphoma risk we systematically abstracted primary-health care
 medical records of patients enrolled in a UK lymphoma case control study. We report here on the role of clinically diagnosed

1877-7821/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.canep.2010.08.003

medical conditions (as recorded in primary care medical records)28in the two commonest subtypes of NHL (diffuse-large B-cell29lymphoma and follicular lymphoma) and HL.30

#### 2. Methods

32 Details of the UK population-based case-control study are 33 described elsewhere in detail [4,5]. Briefly, cases comprised 34 patients newly diagnosed with lymphoma (non-HIV-related) 35 residing in pre-defined geographic areas and newly diagnosed with lymphoma before 65 years of age during 1998-2003. 36 37 Diagnoses were confirmed pathologically and coded according 38 to the World Health Organisation Classification [6]. For each case, 39 one age and sex matched control was randomly selected from 40 population registers. The overall response rate was 75% in cases 41 and 71% in controls, which compares favourably with similar 42 studies conducted elsewhere in the world [7].

The ability to access data from an individual's primary care 43 44 records over their lifetime is a major feature of the UK National 45 Health Service (NHS). For this reason, at interview subjects were 46 asked to consent to access to their primary care records; and all of 47 the information contained therein for the 15 years prior to 48 diagnosis in cases (or pseudo-diagnosis in controls) was subse-49 quently abstracted onto specially designed forms by trained 50 research staff. For each contact with primary care, the information 51 recorded included all illnesses diagnosed at each consultation by

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +44 01904 321 899.

E-mail address: simon.crouch@egu.york.ac.uk (S. Crouch).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> www.egu.york.ac.uk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> www.hmds.org.uk.

the patient's general practitioner (GP, i.e. their primary care physician), as well as all signs and symptoms with which they presented at the time, as well as resultant referrals to hospital or other specialist organizations, results of the investigations, and details of medicines or other prescribed therapies. All such contemporaneously recorded data were abstracted.

58 Data abstraction and data entry were structured around dated 59 'events'. Disease and drug coding was done centrally by experi-60 enced primary care research nurses, using a specially designed 61 computerised system embedded within the data entry pro-62 gramme. Illnesses and symptoms were coded according to the 63 International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related 64 Health Problems tenth revision (ICD-10) [8], and drugs to a schema 65 based on the British National Formulary [9]. Strict quality control 66 procedures, including duplicate data entry of a proportion of 67 randomly selected records, were carried out throughout the study 68 period. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the United 69 Kingdom Multi-Regional Ethics Committee.

70 Primary care records were abstracted for 310 (97.5% of those 71 interviewed) diffuse-large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) case/control 72 matched pairs, 226 (99.1% of those interviewed) follicular 73 lymphoma pairs (FL) and 225 (94.9% of those interviewed) 74 Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) pairs. Matched case-control studies 75 are often analysed using logistic regression conditional on the matched sets, using the case/control status as outcome and other 76 77 variables thought relevant to the outcome as explanatory 78 variables. However, in a 1-1 matched study, it is also possible to 79 consider the case/control status as an explanatory variable in a 80 regression that considers some other variable as outcome. This is 81 because matching produces a case set and a control set that are nominally identical, as sets, with respect to the matching variables. 82 83 Of course, the magnitude of any regression coefficients cannot be 84 directly generalized from the sample to the population, but any 85 qualitative difference between cases and controls remains valid. 86 In the present study, counts of visits to primary care (general 87 practitioner) resulting in infectious disease diagnoses and non-88 infectious disease diagnoses per month were considered as separate 89 longitudinal outcomes and modelled with negative binomial 90 regression, using the number of months before lymphoma diagnosis 91 (or pseudo-diagnosis), case control status and the interaction of 92 these two variables as explanatory variables. As the counts of visits 93 resulting in infectious diagnoses and in non-infectious diagnoses 94 could now be considered as longitudinal outcomes, care was taken 95 over the selection of the appropriate functional form for the time 96 before lymphoma diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis. In the models pre-

#### Table 1

 ${f Q3}$  General practitioner (GP) visits for infectious and non-infectious diagnoses.

sented here, time before diagnosis was used untransformed. In 97 addition, negative binomial generalized additive models (GAMs) 98 were fitted [10] in order to investigate possible departures from 99 these model assumptions. Where the results of generalized additive 100 modelling depart from the main analysis, the differences are 101 described below. In addition, each monthly count was treated as 102 being independent from any other monthly count after diagnostic 103 checks revealed evidence of only small levels of inter-monthly 104 correlation. As a diagnostic check of this assumption, robust 105 standard errors were calculated. In all cases these made negligible 106 differences to the analysis. Confidence intervals based on robust 107 standard errors are presented in Appendix A. 108

Inspection of the raw counts by month indicated a considerable inflation of diagnoses in the year before diagnosis/pseudodiagnosis with lymphoma. In order to avoid the effects during this period from swamping effects earlier than this, the 12 months prior to diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis were omitted from the models. All analyses were performed using STATA version 10.0 [11] and R version 2.9.2 [12] with the mgcv library used for the fitting of generalized additive models [10], the MASS library [13] for negative binomial regression and the sandwich library [14,15] for robust standard errors.

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

#### 3. Results

Of the 761 cases with lymphoma, 310 had diffuse-large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 226 had follicular lymphoma (FL) and 225 had Hodgkin lymphoma (HL). The median age at diagnosis and sex distribution for each type is shown in Table 1, together with the median number of visits for the different types of diagnoses made by the primary care physician (general practitioner) in the 15 years prior to diagnosis (including and excluding the year prior to diagnosis) for each lymphoma subtype and for controls. Overall, there were substantially more visits for non-infectious problems than for infections, both among cases and among controls.

Raw counts of visits to primary care (general practitioner) resulting in infectious and non-infectious diagnoses in the 15 years prior to diagnosis are shown for each subtype of lymphoma in Figs. 1 and 2 (cases are in red and controls in blue). For both types of primary care (general practitioner) diagnosis and for all subtypes of lymphoma, the counts rise markedly in the year prior to diagnosis. These data are modelled as described in Section 2 – excluding data from the year prior to diagnosis – and the linear relationship of noninfectious and infectious diagnoses in cases and controls is shown in Figs. 3 and 4 for each subtype of lymphoma. Model coefficients, 95%

	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma				Hodgkin's lymphoma	
	Diffuse-large B-cell		Follicular		Cases $N = 225$	Controls N=225
	Cases $N = 310$	Controls $N = 310$	Cases $N = 226$	Controls $N = 226$		
Age at diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis (median years)	54.4	54.4	54.1	54.1	38.8	38.8
Male (%)	167(53.9)	167 (53.9)	102 (45.1)	102(45.1)	142 (63.1)	142 (63.1)
GP visits in the 15 years before diagnosis/pseudo-di	agnosis					
Infectious diagnosis						
Total visits	2561	2361	1872	1760	2390	1920
Median per person	6	5	6	6	8	6
Non-infectious diagnosis						
Total visits	19,535	17,387	16,839	14,729	12,037	10,589
Median per person	45	36	53.5	45	37	34
GP visits in the 15 years before diagnosis/pseudo-di	agnosis (excludin	r vicits in the year in	nmediately before			
Infectious diagnosis	agnosis (excluding	5 visits in the year in	inneulately before	-		
Total visits	2228	2154	1712	1649	2194	1800
Median per person	5	5	5	5	7	6
Non-infectious diagnosis						
Total visits	16,236	15,825	14,444	13,327	10,126	9694
Median per person	37.5	33	44.5	42	31	30

S. Crouch et al. / Cancer Epidemiology xxx (2010) xxx-xxx









**Fig. 2.** (a) Fitted models for number of visits for infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for diffuse-large B-cell lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (b) Fitted models for number of visits for infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for Follicular Lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (c) Fitted models for number of visits for infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for Hodgkin lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

140

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### S. Crouch et al. / Cancer Epidemiology xxx (2010) xxx-xxx





**Fig. 4.** (a) Fitted models for number of visits for non-infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for diffuse-large B-cell lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (b) Fitted models for number of visits for non-infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for Follicular Lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (c) Fitted models for number of visits for non-infections from the 12 months before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for Hodgkin lymphoma. Solid lines denote fitted models; dashed lines give 95% confidence intervals. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

**Fig. 3.** (a) Raw counts of number of visits for diagnosed non-infections by month before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for diffuse-large B-cell lymphoma. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (b) Raw counts of number of visits for diagnosed non-infections by month before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis for Follicular Lymphoma. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (c) Raw counts of number of visits for diagnosed non-infections by month before diagnosis/pseudo-diagnosis forHodgkin lymphoma. Cases are in red; controls in blue. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

#### S. Crouch et al./Cancer Epidemiology xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

5

140 confidence intervals and corresponding *p*-values may be found in141 Appendix A.

142 Fig. 3 shows the fitted models for counts of visits resulting in 143 infectious diagnoses among cases (the red line) and controls (the 144 blue line) for the models linear in the time variable. There is no 145 evidence of any difference between cases and controls in relation 146 to the number or pattern of visits resulting in infectious diagnoses 147 prior to the onset of DLBCL or FL. However, for HL, there is a clear 148 excess of clinically diagnosed infections that is evident for at least a 149 decade prior to lymphoma diagnosis. This case-control difference 150 reflects a general increase in a broad range of infections, and is not 151 due to any specific infection.

152 Fig. 4 shows the fitted models for counts of visits resulting in 153 non-infectious diagnoses. Here there is clear evidence of a case-154 control difference for both DLBCL and FL; the excess being evident 155 for between 4 and 6 years prior to lymphoma diagnosis. As for 156 infections and HL, detailed examination of the records revealed 157 that this association was non-specific in nature - with most visits 158 being associated with symptoms such as tiredness, general malaise 159 and depression. No differences for visits resulting in non-infectious 160 diagnoses were evident for HL.

#### 161 **4. Discussion**

Our results demonstrate substantial variation in the patterns of
illness presenting to primary care physicians in the years
preceding diagnosis of the lymphoma subtypes examined here.
Excesses of visits resulting in infectious diagnoses were noted for
HL and of visits resulting in non-infectious diagnoses for DLBCL and
FL; in all cases the excesses were evident several years before
lymphoma was diagnosed.

Although there are some differences in detail, the regression 169 170 models with time before diagnosis untransformed and the GAMs reveal similar broad qualitative differences between the histories 171 172 of visits for infectious diagnoses and for non-infectious diagnoses 173 of these three conditions. As far as the history of visits for infectious 174 diagnoses is concerned, there is little difference between cases and 175 controls in DLBCL and FL, but in HL there is a marked divergence. 176 between cases and controls dating from as much as 10 years before 177 diagnosis. For the history of visits for non-infectious diagnoses, 178 patterns are more closely related. GAMs suggest divergence 179 between cases and controls for DLBCL and FL between 4 and 6 180 years prior to diagnosis, with little difference between cases and 181 controls prior to that divergence; no such effect was seen for HL. In summary, differences in patterns of attendance at primary care 182 183 were evident between cases and controls (for years prior to 184 diagnosis), but also between those with different types of 185 lymphoma. The excess of visits resulting in infectious diagnoses 186 prior to diagnosis of HL may suggest underlying immune 187 abnormality, but we found little evidence of such an effect among 188 patients subsequently diagnosed either with DLBCL or with FL. 189 However, there is good evidence that infectious and inflammatory 190 process may mediate risk of other lymphoma subtypes that were 191 too rare to consider here, and larger population-based studies will 192 be required [16-18].

193 Large amounts of information on previous illnesses, including 194 infections, are routinely collected by medical practitioners working 195 in primary care. Although these data, which are principally collected 196 with the aim of documenting and monitoring patient care, have been 197 used in a limited way in epidemiological studies their potential with 198 respect to describing disease trajectories has yet to be fully realised 199 [19–23]. A critical feature for aetiological and other studies – where 200 the sequence and timing of events is important - is that information 201 held in general practitioner records is collected prior to the diagnosis 202 of malignancy and so has the advantage of being unaffected by recall 203 and reporting bias [24].

Limitations of our study include its restricted age range (18 -204 205 65 years), comparatively small size, and lack of information on 206 other lymphoma subtypes [17]. With respect to the first of these, 207 the median age at diagnosis of most lymphoproliferative malignancies exceeds 70 years, with the sex-specific rates 208 varying with age (www.seer.cancer.gov; www.hmrn.org). 209 DLBCL, for example, is more common in men, with the age-210 specific rates diverging as age increases; FL on the other hand is 211 marginally more common in women with rates converging as 212 age increases. By contrast, HL has a characteristic bimodal age 213 distribution with a slight predominance of women at younger 214 ages and of men at older ages - these patterns being reflective of 215 different HL subtypes, which unfortunately we could not 216 distinguish in the present dataset. 217

In conclusion, the different patterns of co-morbidity reported 218 here, taken together with the different descriptive patterns, 219 suggest different pathogenic mechanisms. Furthermore, the long 220 prodromes suggested by our data indicate that disease may be present long before the diagnosis is made. 222

Conflict of interest	223
None.	224
Acknowledgement	225
Financial support for this work was provided by Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research (formerly the Leukaemia Research Fund).	226 227

Appendix A	228
T 11 44	229

```
Table A1.
```

#### Table A1

Model coefficients, confidence intervals and p-values.

	Coefficient	95% CI	p-Value
Infections			
DLBCL			
Intercept	2.84	(2.74, 2.94)	
Case/control	-0.0108	(-0.151, 0.129)	0.88
Months	$-3.11\times10^{-3}$	$(-4.08 imes 10^{-3},-2.14 imes 10^{-3})$	$< 10^{-6}$
Interaction	$4.97\times10^{-4}$	$(-8.66 imes10^{-4},1.86 imes10^{-3})$	0.47
Follicular			
Intercept	2.41	(2.30, 2.52)	
Case/control	0.119	(-0.0405, 0.278)	0.14
Months	$-1.34 \times 10^{-3}$		0.02
Interaction	$-8.80 imes10^{-4}$	$(-2.47 imes 10^{-3},7.06 imes 10^{-4})$	0.28
Hodgkin			
Intercept	2.36	(2.25, 2.47)	
Case/control	0.344	(0.203, 0.485)	$1.72\times10^{-6}$
Months	$1.06 \times 10^{-4}$	$(-9.13 \times 10^{-4}, 1.12 \times 10^{-3})$	0.84
Interaction	$-1.54\times10^{-3}$	$(-2.86 \times 10^{-3}, -2.15 \times 10^{-4})$	0.023
Non-infections			
DLBCL			
Intercept	4.97	(4.94, 5.01)	
Case/control	0.138	(0.0895, 0.186)	$< 10^{-6}$
Months	$-4.69\times10^{-3}$	$(-5.05 imes 10^{-3},-4.34 imes 10^{-3})$	$< \! 10^{-6}$
Interaction	$-1.34\times10^{-3}$	$(-1.85 imes 10^{-3},-8.19 imes 10^{-4})$	$< \! 10^{-6}$
Follicular			
Intercept	4.90	(4.85, 4.94)	-
Case/control	0.123	(0.0637, 0.182)	$4.5 \times 10^{-5}$
Months	$-5.83 \times 10^{-3}$	$\begin{array}{c}(-6.26\times10^{-3},-5.40\times10^{-3})\\(-1.10\times10^{-3},5.84\times10^{-5})\end{array}$	<10 <sup>-6</sup>
Interaction	$-5.19\times10^{-4}$	$(-1.10 \times 10^{-3}, 5.84 \times 10^{-5})$	0.078
Hodgkin			
Intercept	4.41	(4.37, 4.46)	0.026
Case/control Months	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0683 \\ -3.91 \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$	$(8.3 \times 10^{-3}, 0.128)$ $(-4.34 \times 10^{-3}, -3.49 \times 10^{-3})$	$0.026 < 10^{-6}$
Months Interaction	$-3.91 \times 10^{-3}$ $-2.85 \times 10^{-4}$	$(-4.34 \times 10^{-3}, -3.49 \times 10^{-3})$ $(-9.33 \times 10^{-4}, 3.63 \times 10^{-4})$	<10 <sup>°</sup> 0.39
interaction	$-2.85 \times 10^{-1}$	$(-9.53 \times 10^{-}, 3.03 \times 10^{-})$	0.39

S. Crouch et al. / Cancer Epidemiology xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

#### 230 References

6

- 231 [1] Smedby KE, Askling J, Mariette X, Baecklund E. Autoimmune and inflammatory 232 233 234 disorders and risk of malignant lymphomas-an update. J Intern Med 2008;264(6):514-27.
  - [2] Grulich AE, van Leeuwen MT, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people with HIV/AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2007;370(9581):59-67.
  - Bouvard V, Baan R, Straif K, et al. A review of human carcinogens-Part B: biological agents. Lancet Oncol 2009;10(4):321-2.
- 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 Newton R, Crouch S, Ansell P, et al. Hodgkin's lymphoma and infection: [4] findings from a UK case-control study. Br J Cancer 2007;97(9):1310-4.
- Willett EV, Smith AG, Dovey GJ, et al. Tobacco and alcohol consumption and the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer Causes Control 2004;15(8): 243 771-80. 244
- [6] World Health Organization. International classification of disease for oncology, 245 third ed., Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2000.
- 246 247 Willett EV, Morton LM, Hartge P, et al. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and obesity: a pooled analysis from the InterLymph Consortium. Int J Cancer 2008;122(9): 248 . 2062–70. 249 250
  - [8] World Health Organization. Tenth revision of the international statistical classification of diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). World Health Organization: 1992.
- 250 251 252 253 254 [9] British Medical Association RPSoGB. British National Formulary-Number 24 (September). London: British Medical Association and The Pharmaceutical Press. 1992
- 255 [10] Wood SN. Generlized additive models: an introduction. Chapman Hall; 2006. [11] StataCorp LP. Stata/IC 10.1 for Windows. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP,
- 256 257 2007.
- 258 [12] R Development core team. R: a language and environment for statistical 259 computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for statistical computing, 2009.

- [13] Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern applied statistics with S, fourth ed., New York: Springer, 2002.
- [14] Zeileis A. Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix estimators. Journal of Statistical Software 2004;11(10):1-17.
- [15] Zeileis A. Object-orientated computation of sandwich estimators. Journal of Statistical Software 2006;16(9):1-16.
- Smith A, Roman E, Howell D, et al. The Haematological Malignancy Research [16] Network (HMRN): a new information strategy for population based epidemiology and health service research. Br J Haematol 2009.
- [17] World Health Organization. WHO classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2008
- [18] Sehn LH, Donaldson J, Chhanabhai M, et al. Introduction of combined CHOP plus rituximab therapy dramatically improved outcome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in British Columbia. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(22):5027-33.
- [19] Ansell P, Johnston T, Simpson J, et al. Brain tumor signs and symptoms: analysis of primary health care records from the UKCCS. Pediatrics 2010;125(1):112-9.
- [20] Cardwell CR, McKinney PA, Patterson CC, Murray LJ. Infections in early life and childhood leukaemia risk: a UK case-control study of general practitioner records. Br J Cancer 2008;99(9):1529-33.
- Chilvers CE, Pike MC, Taylor CN, et al. General practitioner notes as a source of information for case-control studies in young women. UK National Case-Control Study Group. J Epidemiol Community Health 1994;48(1):92-7.
- Howell DA, Smith AG, Roman E. Lymphoma: variations in time to diagnosis and treatment. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2006;15(3):272-8.
- [23] Roman E, Simpson J, Ansell P, et al. Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and infections in the first year of life: a report from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165(5):496-504.
- [24] Simpson J, Smith A, Ansell P, Roman E. Childhood leukaemia and infectious exposure: a report from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS). Eur J Cancer 2007;43(16):2396-403.

291