Article:
Şahin, Şule orcid.org/0000-0003-4080-9165 (2025) The Role of Actuarial Science in Personal Injury Compensation:An Actuary's Perspective. PI Focus (Magazine of the Association of Personal Injury Lawyers (APIL)). p. 1. ISSN 1745-4409

The Role of Actuarial Science in Personal Injury Compensation: An Actuary's Perspective

Şule Şahin, PhD, FIA
Senior Lecturer in Actuarial Science,
Director of the Centre for Actuarial Compensation and Valuation of Life (CAVOL),
Member of the Ogden Working Party

School for Business and Society,
University of York,
Email: sule.sahin@york.ac.uk

Setting the Scene: Legal and Actuarial Interplay

Determining compensation for personal injuries and fatalities resulting from wrongful acts is primarily a legal matter. However, the methods on which the calculations rest are drawn largely from the realm of actuarial science.

The UK system for personal injury and wrongful death litigation has a long-standing history. It is one of the most developed frameworks in the world, offering standardised methods and readily accessible actuarial tables, known as the Ogden tables. Personal injury law covers a wide range of situations in which individuals suffer harm due to the negligence or intentional actions of another party. For instance, the Civil Liability Act 2018 regulates certain types of personal injury claims, particularly those related to motor vehicle accidents and whiplash injuries, by setting compensation limits to streamline the claims process.

In the context of personal injury and clinical negligence, compensation refers to the monetary award given to an injured individual, intended to alleviate the financial impact of their injury as fully as possible. The primary aim of such compensation is to restore the claimant's financial position to what it would have been prior to the incident. However, compensation is inherently an imperfect form of redress, as no monetary award can truly capture the value of a life altered by injury or a life lost.

In the United Kingdom, compensation for personal injury has traditionally been awarded as a lump sum intended to cover future losses, such as lost income and ongoing care costs. Calculating this sum involves numerous assumptions, including estimates of life expectancy, work-life expectancy, inflation, anticipated investment returns, and tax implications. Assessing

economic loss in personal injury cases is a multidisciplinary process, grounded in actuarial science and drawing on mathematics, statistics, demography, economics, forensic accountancy, and law. This complex approach requires a careful balance between mathematical precision and adherence to legal standards.

How Actuarial Analysis Shapes Personal Injury Compensation

Compensation calculation is an area where property and casualty insurance intersects with life and pension insurance. While motor vehicle insurance and workers' compensation insurance are types of casualty insurance, they also protect policyholders against liabilities arising from injury and death. Providing this protection requires actuarial calculations based on life tables and life annuities. Premiums, indemnities, and reserves for these lines of casualty insurance incorporate elements of both life and non-life actuarial methodologies (Şahin and Venter, 2023).

Actuaries play a crucial role in determining compensation amounts by using advanced mathematical models to estimate future losses accurately. However, these calculations are framed by assumptions and must comply with legal and regulatory frameworks, which set boundaries to ensure that compensation is fair and appropriate, meeting claimants' needs within the constraints of the law. In the personal injury claims process, actuaries are involved in nearly every stage of quantifying compensation. Their expertise allows them to identify and assess key contingencies, such as mortality, unemployment, sickness, disability, interest rate and inflation variation, and investment returns. Typically, compensation is calculated as the present value of a future stream of payments (or life annuities, in actuarial terms), based on survival probabilities projected over the claimant's lifetime. These future payments are then discounted at an interest rate that reflects the expected rate of return from an investment portfolio aligned with the average claimant’s risk profile.

Actuarial Aspects of Personal Injury Claim Process

Actuaries address various contingencies through specialised methodologies. Some key considerations in the actuarial method include the following:

Mortality/Longevity Models: To estimate life expectancy and age-specific survival probabilities for claimants, actuaries develop and use stochastic mortality models to construct life tables, providing crucial information on changes in mortality rates over time.

Personal Injury Discount Rate (PIDR): When personal injury damages are awarded as a lump sum, the amount is adjusted to reflect the anticipated return the claimant is expected to achieve by investing the funds. This adjustment rests on a quantity known as the personal injury discount rate (PIDR).

In actuarial terms, the PIDR is the interest rate used to discount future income losses and care costs to calculate lump sum compensation. Previously, the PIDR was based on index-linked gilts (ILGS); however, since 2019, it has been determined using Economic Scenario Generators (ESGs) that incorporate stochastic investment models to forecast future economic variables. These models consider factors such as interest rates, price and wage inflation, investment returns, share prices, bond yields, and other economic indicators, enabling more accurate forecasting of future cash flows. There is a well-developed actuarial literature on ESGs.

Lump Sum Payments: These payments are calculated as the present value of expected future losses, including lost income along with medical and care expenses. The calculation involves actuarial principles, using concepts such as compound interest and discounting, along with assumptions about future economic conditions incorporated into the PIDR. The PIDR is applied to determine the present values of life annuities, which are then converted into lump sum payments. While lump sum payments are common, they carry inherent risks due to the uncertainties associated with the claimant's potential lifespan and risks associated with investing the lump sum.

Periodical Payment Orders (PPOs)**:** PPOs offer an alternative to lump-sum awards for compensating individuals with catastrophic injuries. Provided by organisations such as NHS Resolution and insurance companies, PPOs deliver regular payments, either annually or semi-annually, to claimants rather than a one-off sum. In actuarial terms, these payments function as life annuities. An indexation rate, based on the Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings (ASHE) or formerly on the Retail Price Index (RPI), is applied to ensure payments keep pace with inflation. PPOs are viewed as beneficial as they reduce the risk of undercompensation by aligning payments with the actual costs of care and treatment and the claimant's actual lifespan, rather than life expectancy.

Ogden Tables**:** Widely used in UK courts, the Ogden Tables provide present values for a future stream of payments of £1 to calculate the lump sum awarded as compensation, covering expected future financial losses, such as loss of earnings and care costs. These tables are produced using different discount rates (related to PIDR) and retirement ages, and they are essential for accurately estimating lump sum awards. In actuarial terms, the Ogden Tables provide present values of term life annuities, whole life annuities, and annuities certain.

In essence, the Ogden Tables supply one of the two key elements for assessing damages for future pecuniary loss—the "multiplier," which represents the capital value of £1 per annum of compensation. This multiplier is then combined with the "multiplicand," the annual amount of the loss. The multiplicand is typically determined by the court, with minimal actuarial input, based on expert evidence and a focus on the circumstances of the individual. Calculating the multiplier—essentially an annuity value—is a core actuarial task. It relies on financial and statistical assumptions, specifically the discount rate, expected annual increases,

and the probability of future payments, taking into account factors such as mortality and other contingencies (e.g., unemployment, illness).

Additional Contingencies: Actuaries also consider probabilities related to future employment, often using models such as Markov chains to account for changes in employment over a working lifetime. These contingencies are represented as reduction factors in the Ogden Tables, to be used in conjunction with the multipliers.

The Expanding Role of Actuarial Science in Personal Injury Compensation

Actuarial practice in the field of personal injury compensation is multifaceted, extending beyond calculations to include policy input, expert analysis, and interdisciplinary research. Actuaries play a crucial role in identifying risks, quantifying exposures, and assessing the financial implications of potential settlements. Their contributions span a range of roles and organisations, including serving as expert witnesses, participating in the Ogden Working Party, and consulting on workplace compensation and national schemes for large-scale malpractice and negligence. Actuaries work in diverse settings, such as universities, the Government Actuary's Department, insurance and reinsurance companies, and within the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries' Working Parties on Bodily Injury Claims, Periodical Payment Orders (PPOs), and the Ogden Discount Rate (ODR). The government actuary chairs the expert panel on the personal injury discount rate, which also includes an actuary as a panel member.

Although it may not be immediately apparent from the claimant's perspective, actuaries within insurance companies are involved in compensation work through their responsibility for setting premiums, calculating indemnities, and establishing reserves—all critical elements in the risk assessment of insurance products offered to policyholders. The influence of actuarial science begins with the design of insurance products and extends throughout the entire claims process. The ultimate objective is to ensure that the insurance company can meet long-term compensation commitments arising from realised insured risks, such as injuries sustained in traffic or workplace accidents, terrorist attacks, the effects of climate change on human health, and pandemics that lead to unemployment, sickness, or death.

Towards Fairer Compensation: Bridging Legal and Actuarial Perspectives

A dialogue is needed, and academia can provide the forum

Achieving fair compensation in personal injury and clinical negligence claims requires collaboration between actuarial and legal professionals. Actuarial science provides the technical foundation for precise compensation calculations within boundaries and

assumptions determined elsewhere. Misunderstandings and a lack of transparency can lead to errors that obstruct fair outcomes. Building an effective partnership between these disciplines depends on developing a shared language and mutual understanding.

Academia can serve as an impartial platform to foster dialogue between stakeholders. Research centres like the Centre for Actuarial Compensation and Valuation of Life (CAVOL) at the University of York—which focuses on interdisciplinary approaches to personal injury and wrongful death compensation—are well-positioned to offer a forum to promote transparency and consensus. Joint research projects, workshops, and conferences can help cultivate collaboration and mutual understanding and facilitate engagement with policymakers and other stakeholders.

Training activities can improve understanding

Providing training and promoting knowledge exchange are crucial for enhancing understanding of actuarial principles in compensation calculations. Cross-disciplinary training helps stakeholders, including legal professionals, better understand actuarial concepts, supporting fairer and more transparent claims processes. These professional development initiatives equip all parties with essential insights, ultimately benefiting the entire claims process.

Addressing the most pressing issue: undercompensation

Calculating fair and adequate compensation relies on assumptions about future economic and demographic conditions. It is important to recognise that these assumptions are not facts. Actuarial research is crucial in managing risks associated with compensation, including mortality/longevity risk, investment risk, and employment risk. Through the development of stochastic models that simulate a wide range of future scenarios, actuaries can create more robust frameworks to capture a broad spectrum of potential outcomes.

Further research into specific aspects of compensation can drive meaningful improvements. For instance, age-earnings profiles that incorporate income growth (as demonstrated by Şahin and Venter (2023)) could enhance compensation adequacy. Additionally, advancements in the design of PPOs and the development of impaired-life mortality tables could improve the accessibility of PPOs for claimants, fostering fairer outcomes.

Conclusion

Actuarial science lies at the heart of the claims process and is essential for achieving fair and transparent compensation for individuals affected by personal injury and clinical negligence. Robust outcomes depend on close collaboration and mutual understanding among actuaries, legal experts, and other professionals. By working together, the gap between legal and financial principles can be bridged by fostering a transparent, balanced and efficient compensation process. This collective approach not only promotes fairness and transparency but also ensures that individuals and communities affected by injury and loss receive meaningful and just support.

Interested readers may find the following suggested reading useful for understanding the role of actuaries in personal injury claims.

I would like to thank Prof. Alexander McNeil and Prof. Victoria Wass for their insightful comments on the early draft of this article, which have greatly contributed to its development.

References

- A C Martin BSc FFA, Chairman, J W Beardmore BSc FIA, A P Gallop BSc FIA, P G Kennedy MA FIA FCII AMIAA FCCA ACIArb, Barrister, J L McKenzie BSc FFA, R Owen BSocSc MSc FSS FIA, C C Patel BSc (Econ) FIA, C T Pettengell MA FIA, P W Wright BA FIA ACII. 1997. **"Damages: Personal Injury Awards."** A discussion paper by an Actuarial Working Group. Available at: https://www.actuaries.org.uk/system/files/documents/pdf/damrepr1997.pdf.

- **Bodily Injury Claims**. 1999. "1999 GIRO Conference Paper." Available at: https://www.actuaries.org.uk/system/files/documents/pdf/bodilyinj.pdf.

- Brennan, L. 2000. **"The Actuary and the Law (Staple Inn Reading 2000)."** British Actuarial Journal, 6(4):801-816. doi:10.1017/S1357321700001999. Available at: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-actuarial-journal/article/abs/actuary-and-the-law-staple-inn-reading-2000/4FDF8C2EF4F3BBD95C0AED4FC9566F21.

- Record, Volume 25, No. 1 1999. "Atlanta Spring Meeting May 24-25, 1999 Session 91CS **The Role of the Actuary in Litigation Support Track: Financial Reporting**." Moderator: Stephen G. Hildenbrand, Panelists: Stephen G. Hildenbrand, Allan Horwich, Recorder: Stephen G. Hildenbrand. Available at: https://www.soa.org/globalassets/assets/library/proceedings/record-of-the-society-of-actuaries/1990-99/1999/january/rsa99v25n191cs.pdf.

- Şahin, Şule, and Gary Venter. 2023. "**The Actuary Takes the Stand: Compensation for Personal Injury**." Variance, 16 (2). Available at: https://variancejournal.org/article/75182-the-actuary-takes-the-stand-compensation-for-personal-injury.