UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *RecA* and *RecB*:probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live Escherichia coli with single-molecule sensitivity.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/189279/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Payne-Dwyer, Alex L. orcid.org/0000-0001-8802-352X, Syeda, Aisha H. orcid.org/0000-0003-3201-4135, Shepherd, Jack W. et al. (2 more authors) (2022) RecA and RecB:probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live Escherichia coli with single-molecule sensitivity. Interface. ISSN 1742-5662

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2022.0437

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

- 1 RecA and RecB: probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live *Escherichia coli*
- 2 with single-molecule sensitivity
- 3 Alex L. Payne-Dwyer<sup>1,2†</sup>, Aisha H. Syeda<sup>1,2†</sup>, Jack W. Shepherd<sup>1,2</sup>, Lewis Frame<sup>3</sup> and Mark. C. Leake<sup>1,2\*</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of York, York, UK, YO10 5DD;
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK, YO10 5DD;
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>3</sup> School of Natural Sciences, University of York, York, UK, YO10 5DD.
- 7
- 8 \*Correspondence: <u>mark.leake@york.ac.uk</u>
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally.

# 10

## 11 Abstract

The RecA protein and RecBCD complex are key bacterial components for the maintenance and repair 12 of DNA. RecBCD is a helicase-nuclease that uses homologous recombination to resolve double-13 stranded DNA breaks. It also facilitates coating of single-stranded DNA with RecA to form RecA 14 filaments, a vital step in the double-stranded break DNA repair pathway. However, questions remain 15 16 about the mechanistic roles of RecA and RecBCD in live cells. Here, we use millisecond super-resolved fluorescence microscopy to pinpoint the spatial localization of fluorescent reporters of RecA or RecB 17 at physiological levels of expression in individual live Escherichia coli cells. By introducing the DNA 18 crosslinker mitomycin C, we induce DNA damage and quantify the resulting steady state changes in 19 stoichiometry, cellular protein copy number and molecular mobilities of RecA and RecB. We find that 20 both proteins accumulate in molecular hotspots to effect repair, resulting in RecA stoichiometries 21 equivalent to several hundred molecules that assemble largely in dimeric subunits before DNA 22 23 damage, but form periodic subunits of approximately 3-4 molecules within mature filaments of several thousand molecules. Unexpectedly, we find that the physiologically predominant forms of RecB are 24 not only rapidly diffusing monomers, but slowly diffusing dimers. 25

26

Keywords: recombination; repair; DNA damage; mitomycin C; super-resolution microscopy; single molecule tracking; Slimfield.

29

# 30 **1. Introduction**

Accurate duplication of the genome is crucial in all organisms, accomplished by a sophisticated 31 molecular machine known as the replisome [1]. An inability to accurately replicate genetic material 32 can lead to cell death and/or cancers [2,3]. Mitomycin C (MMC) is a naturally occurring antibiotic that 33 can be used to controllably disrupt DNA replication, and thus a valuable reagent in studying DNA repair 34 processes. It is used as a chemotherapeutic in treating several cancers [4] and retinopathies [5], and 35 acts by targeting DNA deoxyguanosine (dG) residues [6], forming intrastrand or interstrand crosslinks 36 [7]. If unrepaired, these structures can interfere with cellular processes such as transcription and 37 replication, potentially leading to genome instability [8]. An encounter between a mitomycin C-38 induced crosslink and an approaching replisome may result in replisome disassembly and eventually 39 a double strand break (DSB) [9]. RecBCD recognises DSBs in E. coli [10], processing the ends to 40 generate 3'-ended single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) as a landing pad for the principal recombination 41 protein, RecA [10]. Recombination of RecA-ssDNA complexes with the homologous DNA restores the 42 replication fork, on which the replisome can be reloaded. The replisome may resume replication if the 43 blocking adduct is repaired [11]. As a complex of individual RecB, RecC and RecD proteins, RecBCD is 44 a versatile helicase-nuclease and underpins two major pathways for homologous DNA recombination, 45 essential for DSB repair [10]. RecBCD activities involve several processes - it recognises and binds DSBs, 46 begins unwinding both DNA strands, and also degrades both [10]. This activity continues unhindered 47 until it encounters an octameric Chi site that induces a shift in enzyme activity to degrade only the 5'-48

ended strand [12,13]. This activity shift results in a 3'-ended ssDNA overhang that facilitates RecA 49 loading. A key function of RecA is its ability to form nucleoprotein filaments on exposed ssDNA in 50 response to damage [14]. These filaments can infiltrate an intact duplex and, on finding homology, 51 recombine with the infiltrated duplex [15,16]. The extension of filaments along the cell accelerates 52 this homology search in a non-linear fashion [17]. Following further processing of the resulting 53 structure, primosome proteins establish an intact replisome thereby enabling replication to resume 54 [18]. Recombination proteins, such as RecBCD, need access to replication-transcription conflict sites 55 and collapsed forks, but if RecBCD is missing then double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) is degraded by 56 exonucleases [19,20], possibly resulting from replisome disassembly. However, how RecA stabilizes 57 blocked forks remains an open question. 58

The nucleoprotein filaments formed by RecA are both a requisite and a hallmark of the cell-wide SOS response [21–24]. The SOS response is a regulatory shift that promotes cell survival in adverse conditions associated with increased rates of interrupted replication and DNA damage [25]. The SOS response to DNA damage induced by antimicrobials plays a major role in the emergence of persister cells [26] and wider antimicrobial tolerance on a population level [27].

Given these far-reaching implications of RecA and RecB activity as studied comprehensively with 64 mutants [12,24,28–32], it is important to establish the number of molecules present in cells, how they 65 are spatially distributed and organized, and how these are affected by antimicrobials such as MMC. 66 Here, we use millisecond super-resolved Slimfield microscopy [33] in live E. coli containing 67 genomically-encoded fluorescent fusions RecA-mGFP [34] and RecB-sfGFP [35]. Since RecA fusion 68 constructs retain only partial function, our approach makes use of a merodiploid RecA fusion that 69 70 expresses from one copy of the native gene and one copy of the *recA4155* fusion construct [34]. This strain rescues approximately wild-type sensitivity with mixed assemblies of the two RecA proteins 71 [34]. 72

We use Slimfield microscopy to visualise the spatial distribution of RecA and RecB fluorescent proteins 73 in individual cells. From these quantitative images, we identify diffraction-limited local intensity 74 maxima (we denote these as foci – see Table 1 for a description of technical Slimfield microscopy 75 nomenclature used in this study) to a lateral spatial precision of 40 nm [36]. Slimfield uses ~millisecond 76 sampling that is sufficiently rapid to link the moving foci derived from the same emitter sources over 77 sequential image frames, following appropriate bespoke particle tracking analysis [33,37,38], into 78 tracks. Each of these tracks implies the presence of a particle containing one or more associated 79 molecules; typically more than one prior to photobleaching, so more generally, we term each a 80 molecular assembly. These tracks reveal the detailed diffusion of labelled RecA and RecB assemblies 81 in the cytoplasm of a living cell. By using the single-molecule sensitivity of Slimfield microscopy, we 82 are able to quantify single-molecule photobleaching steps in fluorescence intensity, to identify the 83 characteristic brightness of a single fluorescent protein [33]. Not only does this calibration apply to 84 the fraction of the fluorescence intensity for each tracked assembly, but also to the GFP fluorescence 85 in the whole, or part, of each cell. We use this to determine the number of GFP-labelled molecules 86 within each tracked assembly (the stoichiometry), and the total number of fluorescently-labelled 87 molecules within each cell (the cellular protein copy number), or intracellular segment (the segment 88 protein copy number). Those fluorescent molecules which contribute to the copy number above the 89 cell's autofluorescent background but are not detected as foci (typically due to high, uniform emitter 90 density and/or excessive mobility) are denoted the pool. 91

Slimfield has some similarities to single particle tracking photoactivation localization microscopy (sptPALM) [39–41], however, our approach is simpler, requiring only constitutively expressed fluorescent reporters such as GFP, and trades off the condition of observing exclusively single molecules in order to measure the stoichiometry of dynamic assemblies far more accurately. This is a deliberate advantage of our technique over other single-molecule microscopy techniques as previously used to count RecB content in cells molecule-by-molecule [35].

- Prior to MMC treatment, only point-like assemblies of RecA or RecB are detectable. RecA presents far brighter fluorescence in a cell than RecB, indicating both a typical stoichiometry and a cellular protein copy number that are 2-3 orders of magnitude greater. On treatment with MMC, we observe an increase in the average cellular protein copy number of RecA, but not of RecB, in each cell, with up to 20% of cells devoid of RecB assemblies. MMC induces the formation of RecA assemblies larger than can be captured in single foci, and we interpret these as RecA nucleoprotein filaments, or bundles of
- filaments [24,30,42–47], typically associated with the SOS response.
- Between cellular states of SOS readiness and MMC-induced response, the stoichiometries of RecA
   assemblies increase, and the diffusion coefficients of assemblies decrease correspondingly. We also
   discover surprisingly consistent intervals between the stoichiometries of different assemblies in each
- condition. We interpret the average number of molecules in the intervals (the *periodicity*) as indicative
- 109 of an oligomeric structural repeat unit that comprises assemblies. The periodicity of RecA assemblies
- changes from dimeric in character to groups of roughly 3-4 molecules in response to MMC, while the
- 111 periodicity of RecB assemblies is dimeric, and insensitive to MMC treatment.
- 112 Our results shed new light on the relations between structure and function for RecA and RecBCD in
- 113 mediating repair upon DNA damage.
- 114

Metric / Object	Definition	
Segment	An area of the image defined by a contiguous subset of pixels in a binary mask. This area either corresponds to a whole cell (a <i>cell mask</i> ), or more typically a region inside the cell (an <i>intracellular segment</i> ) of high fluorescent intensity. The term " <i>segment</i> " refers to an intracellular segment unless otherwise stated.	
Cell mask	A segment containing the outline of one cell. These are extracted using a machine learning protocol (Supplementary Methods).	
Intracellular segment	A segment inside the cell. These are extracted from the set of foci localized in that cell by rendering a superresolved image, followed by local Otsu thresholding (Materials and Methods 4.3.5), with the intention of isolating RecA objects that resemble nucleoprotein filaments or bundles.	
Assembly	A group of labeled molecules physically associated with one another, either directly or indirectly, such that their diffusive movement is strongly correlated, and therefore always detected in the same track.	
Focus (foci)	A spot-like local intensity maximum in a single frame, which corresponds to a localized group of labeled molecules (Materials and Methods 4.3.1). Associated properties include centroid location, total intensity, and signal-to-noise ratio.	
Track	A set of foci in adjacent frames that are spatially close enough to form a contiguous trajectory (Materials and Methods 4.3.1). Typically associated with a single molecular assembly, or a group of strongly colocalized assemblies.	
Diffusion coefficient	Measure of the random microscopic motion of a specific track based on the increase in the mean square displacement of its intensity centroid over time (Materials and Methods 4.3.2).	
Characteristic single- molecule brightness	The average sum of pixel values in foci associated with a single fluorescent reporter molecule (e.g. mGFP), under a fixed imaging condition (Materials and Methods 4.3.3). Equivalent to the modal step size in intensity for tracks in the final stage of photobleaching (Figure S1).	
Stoichiometry	The number of fluorescently labeled molecules in a specific track. This is estimated by extracting the sequence of foci belonging to that track, then extrapolating the sum of pixel values in each focus backwards along that sequence to get an initial track intensity that is independent of photobleaching (Materials and Methods 4.3.4). The initial track intensity is then divided by the characteristic single-molecule brightness.	
Periodicity	The population-averaged number of fluorescently labeled molecules in inferred repeat units within tracked objects. Estimated by averaging the consistent intervals between nearest-neighbor peaks in the population-level stoichiometry distribution (Materials and Methods 4.3.5).	
Integrated intensity	The total fluorescence intensity of a segment in pixel counts, normalised by the characteristic single molecule brightness (Materials and Methods 4.3.6-7).	
Cellular (or segment) protein copy number	The average number of molecules in a cell (or intracellular segment), as estimated from the increase in integrated intensity above negative control (i.e. subtracting the contribution from autofluorescence (Materials and Methods 4.3.6-7).	
Pool	The intracellular fluorescence which is not detected in tracks.	
Pool stoichiometry	The number of untracked, labeled molecules within an area of the pool equal to the size of one diffraction-limited focus (Materials and Methods 4.3.6).	

116

# 117 **2. Results**

118 2.1 Abundance of RecA, but not RecB, increases on MMC-induced DNA damage

We first optimised MMC treatment conditions so that they did not cause cellular filamentation in wild 119 type cells (Materials and Methods 4.1, Figure S1) but did induce the SOS response [48], since cells 120 would then be sensitised to MMC if the SOS response is blocked [48]. Filamentation and loss of viability 121 was also minimal for the labeled strains (Figure 1), hence we used the same MMC treatment for all 122 strains. Given that SOS induction in these and related strains typically takes <20 min [34,48], the 123 kinetics of initial SOS induction due to MMC will likely reach steady state within the 180 min MMC 124 exposure that we used. In light of the timescale of the initial SOS induction, RecA or RecB dynamics 125 were not in the scope of our study here, but rather the steady state effect of MMC on the distribution 126 and molecular organization of RecA and RecB. 127

128



- 129
- 130

Figure 1. Brightfield and Slimfield (mean average of 3 initial frames) of live *E. coli* in 56-salts minimal
 media, labeled at RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP before and after MMC treatment. Inset (C,D) is another
 cell transplanted from the same acquisition outside the cropped field of view at the same scale.
 Brightness of RecB-GFP Slimfield panels (F,H) scaled 100× vs. RecA-mGFP panels (B,D). Scale bar 1 µm.
 (I,J) Probability distributions for number of tracks detected per cell. Tracks are identified in post acquisition analysis (Materials and Methods 4.3.1) by first detecting foci as local fluorescent maxima,
 then linking nearest-neighbour foci in subsequent frames.

138

We performed brightfield and Slimfield microscopy in each field of view (Materials and Methods 4.2). 139 Binary masks for each cell were extracted independently of any fluorescence signal, using a machine 140 learning segmentation protocol on brightfield images. We then applied these masks to fluorescence 141 images to eliminate extracellular background and facilitate statistics on a cell-by-cell level (Figure S5 142 and Supplementary Methods). Using home-written automated particle tracking and analysis software 143 ADEMScode [49], we identified fluorescent foci from local intensity maxima in each cell and linked 144these into tracks (Materials and Methods 4.3.1). We determined the stoichiometry (the number of 145 molecules present) of each track, from the summed pixel intensity values corresponding to the start 146of each track before any photobleaching has occurred (Materials and Methods 4.3.4), normalized by 147brightness corresponding to a single molecule of GFP (Materials and Methods 4.3.3). 148

From the localizations of foci during photobleaching, we also reconstructed superresolved images of fluorescent RecA structures. We extracted binary masks from highly fluorescent regions of interest in these images (denoted *intracellular segments,* or simply *segments* for brevity) using a classical segmentation method (Materials and Methods 4.3.7), which enabled statistics on an intracellular segment level (Figure 3 and Figure S3).

Separately, from the cell masks (or intracellular segments) we also calculated the cellular (or segment) protein copy number (Materials and Methods 4.3.6-7); first we summed the pixel values in each cell or segment area and normalized these by the characteristic brightness of a single GFP to obtain the total intensity within that region, expressed in molecules [50]. Taking the difference from an equivalent area of the control strain that does not express GFP, then yields the cellular or segment protein copy number corrected for any cellular autofluorescence.

Since the RecA-mGFP strain is merodiploid, both the recA-mgfp gene fusion construct and the 160 unlabeled endogenous recA gene are expressed simultaneously [34]. However, their expression levels 161 are not necessarily identical, nor equivalently inducible by MMC. From previous estimations of the 162 relative lexA suppression rates of the relevant recA promoters [51], reasonable expectations are that 163 a majority of the RecA present in the cell will be labelled with mGFP, and that RecA-mGFP is 2-3 fold 164 less inducible under the SOS response as endogenous RecA [52]. We estimated the different cellular 165 levels of unlabeled RecA vs. RecA-mGFP using Western blotting (Figure S4), which confirmed that the 166 RecA-mGFP was in excess compared to the endogenous protein before and after treatment. Both 167 qPCR and Western blots indicated that both endogenous RecA and RecA-mGFP are inducible by MMC 168 treatment (Materials and Methods 4.4), with the RecA-mGFP indeed about half as inducible (Figure 169 S4). Therefore, the total (i.e. labelled plus unlabelled) amount of RecA protein present, whether as 170 stoichiometry, periodicity or protein copy numbers, is higher than that reported for the RecA-mGFP 171 data directly, by an approximate correction factor of 1.3-fold in the presence of MMC. In the absence 172 of MMC the relative amount of RecA-mGFP to RecA is large enough that the correction factor is 173 effectively 1. As these corrections are indicative, we do not apply them in the early stages of the 174Results, but present them later only where relevant to interpretations (Results 2.3 and Discussion). 175

We find that in the absence of MMC, RecA-mGFP has an an approximately uniform distribution in the 176 cytoplasm that is occasionally punctuated by bright fluorescent foci that can be linked into tracks 177 (Figure 1B). The cellular protein copy number of RecA-mGFP increases from  $11,400 \pm 200$  molecules 178 (±SEM) in untreated cells to 19,500 ± 300 molecules in MMC treated cells (Figure S5A). MMC 179 treatment resulted in the subset of these RecA-mGFP molecules that are localized in tracks (i.e., the 180 mean summed stoichiometry of all tracks detected in the whole cell) approximately doubling from 181  $510 \pm 30$  to  $1,080 \pm 60$  molecules per cell (Table S1). We denote the fluorescently detected, but 182 183 untracked, molecules of RecA as residing in a pool. The pool typically comprises molecules that are sufficiently dim, out-of-focus, and/or rapidly diffusing to evade direct particle-tracking-based 184detection; here the RecA concentration is exceptionally high such that the stochastic fluctuations 185 corresponding to motion of discrete foci are partly averaged out. During photobleaching, the density 186 of foci decreases, overlap decreases and tracks become more evident. The proportion of RecA-mGFP 187 188 molecules in tracks is relatively low compared to the pool, but remains representative of the population of assemblies containing RecA-mGFP. 189

RecB-sfGFP also exhibited fluorescent tracks against a relatively diffuse background, before and after 190 MMC treatment (Figure 1F,H). RecB-sfGFP foci were observed more commonly near the poles of the 191 cell regardless of MMC (Figure 1G-H). Since the RecB-sfGFP fluorescence signal is comparatively small, 192 estimates based on cellular protein copy number must account carefully for autofluorescence due to 193 native components other than GFP. We estimate that the contribution of autofluorescence from the 194 summed pixel intensity values from unlabeled MG1655 parental cells grown and imaged under 195 identical conditions. We find that the mean level of RecB-sfGFP fluorescence was almost three times 196 greater than the cellular autofluorescence (Figure S5B), therefore there is a comparatively large 197 population of the cellular RecB-sfGFP that evades direct particle-tracking-based detection (c.f., slower 198 sampled images from commercial confocal/epifluorescence microscope systems) and thereby 199 200 comprise a RecB pool.

The cellular protein copy number of RecB-sfGFP does not decrease significantly following MMC-201 induced DNA damage, comprising 126  $\pm$  11 molecules per cell before treatment and 101  $\pm$  14 202 molecules following MMC treatment (Figure S5B, Brunner-Munzel (BM) test, n=246, p=0.0216 | NS, 203 not significant at Bonferroni-adjusted  $\alpha$  = 0.01). However, the mean number of RecB-sfGFP localized 204 into tracks does decrease with MMC; just 13.6 ± 0.5 molecules per cell in all tracks, decreasing to 9.3 205  $\pm$  0.3 on MMC treatment (BM test, n=246, p<0.001). This is clearly much smaller absolute number of 206 207 tracked molecules per cell compared to RecA-mGFP, but a similar proportion of the cellular protein copy numbers (ranging from 6-10% in each case). The complementary fractions of the total RecA-208 mGFP and RecB-sfGFP molecules assigned to their respective pools are thus consistently high (89-209 95%). In the respective strains, the total concentration of RecB-sfGFP is much lower than that of RecA-210 mGFP, and this likely indicates the correspondingly more rapid diffusion of RecB-sfGFP species within 211 the pool. 212

213

#### 214 2.2 RecB forms characteristic puncta which are partially lost on MMC exposure

We detected typically 1-3 tracks of RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP in each cell above the local background fluorescence (Figure 1I,J). However, each showed strongly opposing trends in the number of tracks observed upon MMC treatment. While RecA-mGFP showed no significant change in the mean number of tracks on MMC treatment (from 1.66  $\pm$  0.06 to 1.86  $\pm$  0.16 tracks per cell, BM test, n=60, p=0.50 [NS], MMC reduced the population average number of RecB-sfGFP tracks significantly, from 2.06  $\pm$ 0.09 to 1.56  $\pm$  0.06 per cell.

221 If, however, we set aside the fraction of cells with no detected RecB-sfGFP tracks, the change in the 222 mean number of RecB-sfGFP tracks is marginal, from  $2.20 \pm 0.07$  to  $1.98 \pm 0.07$  tracks (BM test, n=234, 223 p=0.006). We see that the cells which continue to harbor RecB tracks are relatively unchanged by 224 MMC, each containing an average of  $12.1 \pm 0.3$  molecules per cell. The unexpected subset of cells 225 that are devoid of RecB-sfGFP tracks increases from 6% to 21% of the population on MMC treatment. 226 These otherwise resemble the other treated cells; rather than filamenting, they retain 92 ± 3% of the 227 population averaged cell length and retain the same pool level of untracked RecB-sfGFP molecules.

The increase in the fraction of cells lacking RecB-sfGFP foci agrees with a model of random, independent survival of assemblies (Figure 1J, the MMC+ condition is consistent with Poisson distribution with same mean; Pearson  $\chi^2$  test, dof=6, n=234, p=0.004).





Figure 2. Stoichiometry distributions of detected foci of A) RecA-mGFP and B) RecB-sfGFP with (blue) 233 234 or without MMC treatment (black), shown as kernel density estimates [53]. The statistics used for MMC- (MMC+) conditions include N=190 (67) whole RecA-mGFP cells containing n=316 (125) tracks, 235 or whole N=249 (307) whole RecB cells containing n=514 (478) tracks within the cell masks. The use 236 of 'probability density' reflects the fact that each distribution is continuous with a total area equal to 237 1, such that areas under the curve correspond to the probability that the stoichiometry of a given 238 239 assembly falls within a range. The kernel width (the width for smoothing the discrete stoichiometry of each track) is 0.7 molecules following the known detection sensitivity to single GFP (A, inset and B, 240 both panels), or 8 molecules for clarity (main panel A). Insets are the distributions of intervals between 241 nearest neighbor stoichiometry peaks (solid curves) whose modal position, or periodicity, indicates 242 the number of GFP-labeled molecules in a repeating subunit within molecular assemblies (Table 1). 243 Overlaid are heuristic Gaussian fits that minimize a reduced  $\chi^2$  metric, with components of equal width 244 and whose centers are fixed at integer multiples to account for the detected optical overlap of an 245 integer number of subunit repeats of tracked foci. The resulting fits comprise three components for 246 RecA-mGFP with MMC treatment (blue, Pearson's  $R^2$  = 0.979, 5 degrees of freedom (dof)) and two 247 components for RecA-mGFP without MMC (grey, Pearson's  $R^2 = 0.961$ , 4 dof). The mode of the peak 248 interval is indicated ±95% confidence interval, alongside the number of contributing peak pairs in the 249 original stoichiometry distribution. 250

251

RecA-mGFP foci were approximately two orders of magnitude brighter than those of RecB-sfGFP, corresponding to a greater apparent stoichiometry. A subset of polar assemblies in untreated cells are especially bright (Figure 2B); we defined this subset quantitatively by thresholding at 2× the mean stoichiometry of all assemblies. The mean stoichiometry of these bright assemblies is itself as high as 760 ± 40 molecules (Figure S3A).

On treating with MMC, the RecA-mGFP mean stoichiometry almost doubled from  $310 \pm 8$  to  $580 \pm 30$ 257 molecules per focus, reflecting further local accumulation of RecA-mGFP protein (Figure 2A). We find 258 259 that most RecA-mGFP molecules comprise an untracked, diffusive pool, in which there are ~30 RecAmGFP molecules in an area corresponding to that of a typical diffraction-limited focus (which we 260 denote as the *pool stoichiometry*, Table 1). The fact that the relative increase in pool stoichiometry 261 with MMC treatment to ~50 RecA molecules (Figure S5C) is smaller than the fractional increase in the 262 amount of RecA in tracks (Figure 2), indicates that the MMC-driven upregulation of RecA 263 disproportionately affects tracked assemblies. As such, either i) new assemblies are formed which 264 contain much more RecA than those before MMC treatment, or ii) those assemblies that already 265

contain local concentrations of RecA accumulate more RecA. Under our treatment protocol, these
 changes do not deplete the reservoir of RecA in the cytoplasm. This observation of localized
 accumulation of RecA-mGFP is consistent with prior reports of long nucleoprotein filament formation
 on single stranded DNA [34]. The increased number of RecA tracks we observe upon MMC treatment
 may therefore indicate greater occurrence of processed ssDNA.

271

The RecB-sfGFP mean stoichiometry decreases very slightly from  $6.6 \pm 0.1$  to  $6.1 \pm 0.2$  molecules per focus (BM, n=478, p<10<sup>-6</sup>) (Figure 2B). A mean of approximately 6 RecB-sfGFP molecules in each case can be explained if the assembly contains 3 identical subunits whose periodicity is 2 molecules (Figure 2B inset). A pool stoichiometry of ~1 molecule of RecB-sfGFP (Figure S5B,D) suggests that the untracked RecB-sfGFP are likely to be monomers irrespective of MMC treatment (BM test, n=243, p=0.27 | NS). We find that the untracked pool of RecB-sfGFP comprises 90 ± 1% of the total RecBsfGFP molecules in the cell.

279

#### 280 2.3. RecA reorganises into filaments with 3-4-mer subunits in response to MMC

RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP stoichiometry distributions show clear and reproducible peaks (Figure 2A 281 and 2B). One explanation is that each detected fluorescent focus has a diffracted-limited width of 282 ~250 nm that may potentially contain more than one 'subunit' of RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP, bound 283 sufficiently to co-track, such that the measured focus stoichiometry may appear as an integer multiple 284 of that subunit, manifest as periodic peaks on the focus stoichiometry distribution. The expected 285 difference between pairs of values on the stoichiometry distribution is thus either zero or an integer 286 multiple of the periodicity within measurement error. The magnitude of the most likely non-zero 287 pairwise difference value corresponds to the periodicity, with less likely values corresponding to 288 harmonic peaks. Our approach uses a modal estimate of the nearest-neighbor peak intervals 289 (Materials and Methods 4.3.5), and therefore produces a continuous, heuristic estimate for the 290 periodicity. We then compare this periodicity metric to realistic models with integer numbers of 291 molecules. RecA-mGFP tracks have a periodicity of  $2.2 \pm 0.3$  molecules before addition of MMC (Fig 292 293 2A inset). This is clearly most consistent with a dimeric subunit of RecA in structures before MMC treatment. After MMC treatment, the most likely interval value is 3.1 ± 0.5 RecA-mGFP molecules, 294 and estimating the additional unlabeled RecA content indicates a likely overall periodicity range of 3-295 4 RecA molecules (see Discussion and Figure S4). 296

297



299

Figure 3. Bundles of RecA-mGFP filaments in MMC treated cells as observed in A,D) brightfield and 300 B,E) The initial Slimfield fluorescent frame (green) overlaid with all super-resolved single-molecule 301 302 tracks from the acquisition (ca. 40 nm spatial precision, with point localizations from foci visualized as a Normalised Gaussian rendering in ThunderSTORM, Materials and Methods 4.3.7), revealing 303 304 filaments with high spatial precision (magenta); note that the contrast for the green Slimfield channel is set to half to aid the visibility of the superresolution rendering. C,F) Slimfield at full contrast, overlaid 305 with segments derived from each super-resolved bundle by Otsu thresholding and expanding the 306 resulting image masks by the point spread function width of 180 nm, so as to match the diffracted-307 limited widefield image optical resolution (white overlay); these segments were then used to calculate 308 the segment protein copy number. Scale bar 2 µm. 309

310

In MMC-treated cultures we observe strikingly bright, elongated structures (Figures 3B-E). These resemble parallel or intertwined RecA-mGFP nucleoprotein filaments, that we denote as *bundles* following similar observations by others [21,28,34,42,54]. The bundles were identified in a pointillistic manner by overlaying the tracked foci with our measured localization precision of 40 nm. Though it is unclear whether this segmentation is able to distinguish individual filaments or bundles of RecA from one another, the segments reproduce the contiguous morphology of the bright structures at a diffraction-limited optical resolution (Figure 3C,F).

Though single contiguous segments are evident along the full length of some cells (Figure 3C), the 318 mean number of segments is  $1.8 \pm 0.4$  per cell (Figure S3B). We occasionally observed several small 319 segments per cell, in quantitative agreement (Figure S3B) with our expectation that segments occur 320 321 at random under of a Poisson distribution, albeit conditioned on the presence of at least one segment persistently occurring per cell. Assuming that DNA damage also occurs randomly but under 322 unconditional Poisson statistics, the number of unaffected cells can only be small when there is 323 324 significantly more than one segment-inducing damage site per cell at any time. It is not clear how many DSBs per cell cycle an *E. coli* culture can sustain without loss of viability, but repeated stalling 325 and collapse of the replisome is common, and cells with single chronic DSBs are known to replicate 326 almost normally within the confines of an elevated SOS response [55]. Under the relatively mild MMC 327 treatment conditions of our study, we observe a comparatively small fraction of the available RecA-328 329 mGFP in bundle-associated segments (here the sum of the segment protein copy numbers is <40% of the cell protein copy number, in contrast to 70% [34]). These observations favour an explanation that 330 much of the RecA in elongated MMC-induced structures is bound to a relatively large number of ssDNA 331 nicks as well as a small number of DSBs per cell at any one time. 332

333 The high estimated amount of RecA (Figure 2), and the substantial super-resolved breadth of these objects (Figure 3, Figure S3D) above the ~40 nm width of individual filaments [17] suggests that these 334 are bundles comprised of either multiple RecA filaments, and/or multiple windings thereof. We 335 calculate the segment protein copy number within each of these segments in a similar manner to each 336 whole cell. We find the segment protein copy number is 2,800 ± 200 RecA-mGFP molecules (Figure 337 S3A). That means each segment typically includes about three times as much RecA in total than the 338 brightest polar assemblies detected in untreated cells (Figure S3A). Greater than 95% of these 339 segments contain a track whose stoichiometry exceeds twice the mean stoichiometry of untreated 340 cells. The RecA structures observed after MMC treatment cannot therefore be produced solely from 341 the large RecA assemblies prior to MMC treatment, but most likely recruit additional RecA from the 342 cytoplasmic pool. We cannot measure the ratio of RecA to available ssDNA directly, however, the high 343 measured amount of RecA provides some indication that it occurs in high enough excess to form RecA-344 rich bundles rather than simple nucleoprotein filaments. The binding site density on each helical 345 filament containing ssDNA was found in previous studies to be 1.5 nm per RecA in the presence of ATP 346 [56,57]. As the individual filaments are known to be undersaturated with RecA under physiological 347 conditions [58], one would expect a longer filament per molecule. In contrast, we find that each 348

bundle-associated segment typically measures 900  $\pm$  400  $\mu$ m (mean  $\pm$  s.d.) in length, 140  $\pm$  40  $\mu$ m wide 349 (Figure S3C-E) and no greater than ~0.4 µm deep (based on depth of focus constraints), but contains 350 a quantity of RecA we estimate sufficient to produce >7  $\mu$ m total length of individual helical filament 351 based on known structures [59]. The longest segments have a more efficient packing density of RecA-352 mGFP (Figure S3F) which approaches the binding site saturation limit of 1.5 nm / molecule. This link 353 between length and efficiency could result from the functional alignment and elongation of the 354 filament along the cell axis, meaning fewer re-entrant windings of any bundles, and exposure of vacant 355 binding sites to free RecA in cytoplasm. 356

In contrast, the brightest RecA assemblies in untreated cells occur in isolation, and are never elongated 357 but reside within diffraction-limited foci (Figure 1B). Defining these as containing RecA exceeding 358 twice the mean labeled stoichiometry, these occur in  $10 \pm 3\%$  of untreated cells and have a typical 359 content of 800  $\pm$  100 RecA molecules (Figure S3). This relatively high density is equivalent to >2  $\mu$ m of 360 filament packing inside a sphere <0.4 μm in diameter. While these assemblies resemble RecA storage 361 bodies, as suggested previously [21], the recA4155 R28A mutation has been shown to inhibit the 362 formation of true DNA-independent storage bodies [24]. Despite the presence of wild type RecA, it is 363 likely that our observations before MMC treatment indicate DNA-bound RecA bodies that are not 364 filamentous. 365



368

Figure 4. Distributions of instantaneous microscopic diffusion coefficient for tracks of A) RecA-mGFP 369 and B) RecB-sfGFP obtained from Slimfield. Kernel density estimates were generated with a kernel 370 width of 0.008 µm<sup>2</sup>/s corresponding to the lower bound uncertainty in diffusion coefficient, estimated 371 as the localization precision /  $(timestep)^2$ . Statistics are as shown for Figure 2. 372

373

The diffusive dynamics of RecA assemblies are also indicative of their state of condensation into 374 filaments. Returning to the tracked foci of RecA-mGFP, we noticed that the mean diffusion coefficient 375 decreases sharply from 0.17  $\pm$  0.02  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s to 0.07  $\pm$  0.01  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s following MMC treatment (Figure 4A). 376 This initially low diffusivity, and the further drop in diffusivity, likely reflect the proportion of RecA 377

- condensed onto ssDNA. MMC induces formation of filaments and these are relatively static on the *ca.* 10 s timescale of the Slimfield acquisition. In contrast, we find that the mean diffusion coefficient of tracked RecB is not significantly affected by MMC treatment (Figure 4B), with untreated and treated values of  $0.82 \pm 0.03 \ \mu m^2/s$  and  $0.79 \pm 0.03 \ \mu m^2/s$  respectively (BM test, n=478, p=0.48 | NS). The diffusivity of RecB-sfGFP in tracks is still lower than expected for a single molecule freely diffusing in bacterial cytoplasm of ~10  $\ \mu m^2/s$ , based on simplistic assumptions of a hydrodynamic diameter of ~10 nm, and contrasts with the large amount of pool RecB-sfGFP that diffuse too quickly to be tracked.
- This observation hints at the tracked subset of RecB forming larger complexes with other partners not
- detected here, such as RecC and RecD.
- 387

## 388 **3. Discussion**

389 We used Slimfield to investigate the stoichiometry and spatial location of fluorescently tagged RecA and RecB proteins in live E. coli upon treatment with the DNA cross-linking and alkylating agent MMC. 390 RecA and RecB are repair proteins whose involvement in MMC-specific damage repair pathways, as 391 392 part of the SOS response or otherwise, is unclear. We probed the steady state effect of MMC on RecA and RecB at the minimum inhibitory concentration, which is relevant to sub-lethal antimicrobial 393 394 exposure. Our results show that the sensitivity and dynamic range of Slimfield is sufficient to quantify counts, either by stepwise photobleaching of multi-molecular complexes or by direct detection of 395 single molecules using millisecond sampling. 396

397 RecA assembly formation is not solely correlated with induced DNA damage. Before treatment with MMC, we find that a portion of RecA appears in foci at an average incidence of approximately 2 foci 398 per cell. In 10% of cells, at least one of these foci is especially bright, circular and localized to one of 399 the cell poles. A previous study reports that a minority of cells (4-9%) exhibit spontaneous RecA foci 400 near the poles prior to DSB induction [34]. It has been suggested that wild type RecA foci at the cell 401 membrane might act as nucleation points for later filament formation across DSBs [60], or that these 402 are storage bodies outside the nucleoid [21]. However, the RecA-mGFP strain used here (and in [34]) 403 is a recA4155 (R28A) genotype which abolishes DNA-independent aggregation of RecA [52,61]. In this 404 strain, we cannot eliminate the possibilities that wild-type RecA forms native storage bodies that are 405 undetected due to exclusion of RecA-GFP, or indeed visible storage structures which do recruit the 406 mutant RecA-mGFP (RecA4155), which would account for the resemblance of detected foci to 407 previous observations of these bodies outside the nucleoid [21,34,61]. In the case where RecA-mGFP 408 cannot participate in storage bodies and can only aggregate in the presence of DNA, there is an 409 alternative explanation for the subset of RecA-mGFP foci we observe, distinct from membrane 410 anchors and storage bodies. These foci do not appear to require RecB for spontaneous assembly [34] 411 indicating that they are independent of DSBs and instead assembled at incidental sites of ssDNA. The 412 413 foci lie consistently at the periphery of the cell, which indicates they are not likely to be associated with ssDNA within replication forks. These occasionally bright foci may instead simply reflect 414 stochastic ssDNA nicks in a small proportion of cells of an otherwise healthy culture. 415

Our findings show that the RecA-mGFP copy number increases upon treatment with MMC. We 416 417observed a modest increase in the number of tracks, but whose stoichiometry per focus is almost twice those of untreated cultures. This observation of spatially localized RecA and is consistent with 418 significant assembly formation ultimately leading to formation of long nucleoprotein filaments on 419 ssDNA as nucleated from polar locations [34]. These filaments are known to accumulate into bundles 420 as posited by Story et al. [42]. We observed filamentous bundles in MMC treated cultures, possibly 421 due to increased availability of processed ssDNA from DNA damage sites. RecA-assisted homologous 422 recombination and RecA\* disassembly occur on a timespan between 15 min [17] and 2 hours [34]. We 423 detect a large increase in RecA stoichiometry (Figure 2) and cellular protein copy number (Figure S5A) 424 and decrease in diffusivity (Figure 4) even after 3 hours' treatment, indicating that RecA bundles 425 continue to form in response to constantly accumulating DNA damage. 426

Our observation of an about 2 intracellular segments per cell (Figure S3B) is consistent with 427 approximately 2 MMC-induced RecA bundles each extending along opposite halves of a cell (Figure 428 3F) at any one time in the steady state. This observation may indicate the presence of a double-strand 429 break (DSB) with nearly-bridged loci. However, according to the schemes in previous work [17,34], the 430 development and breakdown of filaments [17] and bundles [34] takes typically <20 min, while for 431 bundles only, recombination is the rate-limiting step, taking up to 90 min [34]. It follows that labeled 432 bundles associated with DSBs would be expected to be bridged for most of their visible lifetime. It is 433 therefore possible that either i) multiple DSBs are present and the segments correspond to different 434 simultaneously bridged DSBs, or that ii) one bridged DSB is present alongside other defects which 435 support RecA filament binding, such as ssDNA nicks. 436

Intracellular segments were typically aligned along the cell axis (Figure 3B,E) in agreement with the 437 observations of filaments and bundles by other authors [17,34]. While some degree of alignment is 438 expected for all segments much longer than the cell diameter (0.78  $\pm$  0.05  $\mu$ m), we note that more 439 than half of the detected segments are shorter than this (Figure S3E), which may suggest an alignment 440 mechanism that is not solely due to segement length. Moreover, segments appeared to follow the 441 central axis of the cell, rather than the cell outline (Figure 3B,E), which suggests they fall mostly within 442 the nucleoid rather than residing at the cell membrane, in keeping with the known DNA repair function 443 444 of the filaments. Filament extension along the cell axis is not predicated on the presence of sister homology [34] but inherently reduces the dimensionality of the search for any homology to one across 445 the cross-section of the cell, independent of cell length or DNA content [17]. Thus, extension vastly 446 accelerates the search time [17]. However, the cause of the extension is unclear. It may reflect simple 447 polymeric elongation under spatial confinement inside the cell, but extension is entropically 448unfavourable for a flexible polymer. Stiffening and/or thickening of filaments into bundles [34] would 449 therefore faciliate extension. The bundle model in [34] suggested a thickened central backbone 450 flanked by thin filament ends. The bundles observed in our study appear to be thickened with a typical 451 cross-sectional full-width half-maximum of  $140 \pm 40$  nm (Figure S3C) in agreement with previous 452 observation, 160 ± 30 nm [34]. Rather than a monolithic central section, our observations resemble 453 beads on a chain, or a sequence of thick and narrow sections (Figure 3B,E). We find the median width 454 increases rapidly with segment length (Figure S3D), which in this binary framework, suggests the bulk 455 of the increase in bundle length is taken up by the thickened portions and that the thin sections are 456 relatively short. Yet, individual ~40 nm-wide filaments without thickened portions have also been 457 observed previously to extend dynamically on the scale of minutes or less along the length of the cell 458 [17]. We speculate that this suggests an active process of pole-to-pole translocation of thin filament 459 ends (for example, as proposed in [59]), to facilitate the reduced search time. 460

The observable periodicity of RecA structures could indicate a difference in their macromolecular 461 organization in response to MMC treatment. We observe a change in the periodicity of RecA 462 stoichiometry from ~2 molecules in foci in untreated cells, to a ~3-4-mer within spatially extended 463 filaments following treatment with MMC, after accounting for the unlabelled RecA content per cell 464 with a correction factor of 1.3 ± 0.1 (Results 2.3 and Figure S4). Previous in vitro and in vivo studies 465 indicate that RecA undergoes linear polymerization in a head-to-tail fashion, with dimeric nucleation 466 points on ssDNA mediated by SSB [62] consistent with our finding of dimeric periodicity prior to 467 treatment. These also provide evidence for stable trimeric, tetrameric, hexameric and the filamentous 468 forms when ssDNA is present [63], consistent with our findings post-treatment. Our snapshot 469 observation of filament stoichiometry cannot shed light directly on models of dynamic nucleation or 470 stepwise growth, as explored in [64–66]. Rather, it explores molecular details of the characteristic 471 protein subunits within the mature filament at steady state. The helical geometry of the filament, 472 with a pitch of 6 RecA molecules per turn, implies that each group of 6 RecA forms a split-ring structure 473 related to the intact hexameric ring of DNA helicases, but distorted axially such that rings each 474complete a single helical turn around ssDNA [67]. Such ring-shaped hexamers have been identified in 475 vitro for both the wild type RecA protein, and the RecA (R28A) mutant [61] that is fused with GFP in 476 our experiment. Even if isolated oligomers were somehow unstable in vivo, a polymeric filament could 477

conceivably still result from a small, periodic barrier to polymerization corresponding to this split-ring 478 distortion. This points to the hypothesis that the fundamental building block of RecA filaments is a 479 factor of 6, if not a hexamer. However, our stoichiometry analysis suggests variability in the total size 480 of assemblies, with our periodicity results indicating a range of 3-4 molecules per subunit. This could 481 reflect trimers which form half-turns in the filament, or perhaps tetramers as an intermediate 482 between preexisting dimers and hexameric rings. Although these data cannot directly establish 483 whether independent oligomers of wild type RecA occur in vivo either on DNA or in the cytosol, it is 484 conceivable that assembly and rearrangement of RecA subunits on DNA could generate the canonical 485 ATP-inactive and ATP-active DNA-binding filaments [68,69]. In light of a recent study highlighting the 486 role of RecN in RecA filament formation and activity [59], it is interesting to pose whether RecA 487 assemblies with the dimeric subunit may be devoid of RecN and are ATP-inactive, and if these might 488 then change to a higher oligomeric form upon DNA damage via the involvement of RecN and its 489 associated ATP-activity. 490

Our measurements confirm that RecA has a very high concentration in the cytosol of live cells. We 491 observe that untreated cultures comprise approximately 11,000 molecules of RecA-mGFP per cell, 492 which increases to 20,000 RecA-mGFP molecules in cells treated with MMC. Of the latter, 28 ± 7% 493 resides in filamentous bundles large enough to be resolved in millisecond widefield fluorescence 494 495 images. Applying the approximate merodiploid correction factors that we estimated of  $1.0 \pm 0.1$  and  $1.3 \pm 0.1$  respectively (Results 2.3 and Figure S4), the total copy number is approximately  $11,400 \pm 200$ 496 RecA molecules in untreated cells, increasing to 25,300 ± 400 molecules in treated cells. Though less 497 than the 4-5-fold transcriptional increase suggested by qPCR (Figure S4), the more than two-fold 498 increase of total RecA with MMC resembles the increase detected in western blots (Figure S4). While 499 the RecA copy number we estimate in untreated cells exceeds the ca. 5,000 molecules reported 500 previously by Lesterlin et al [34], our more direct estimations are of similar order and correlate with 501 previous work indicating 2,900-10,400 molecules, with the high end of this range obtained from cells 502 in EZ rich medium using a ribosome profiling method [70]. Approximately 15,000 RecA molecules per 503 cell in rich medium were reported previously, using semi-quantitative immunoblotting [71]; the same 504 study found that the RecA copy number increased to 100,000 molecules upon MMC treatment. Large 505 discrepancies between studies in the increase in RecA due to MMC treatment are not only due to 506 507 treatment dose [72] but also arise from differences in recA genotype, culture media and growth conditions, as noted by others [21]. In particular, our study uses a minimally inhibitory treatment with 508 MMC (Figure S1). 509

While the RecA-mGFP protein is not identical to native RecA in its enzymatic activity [32,43,52], the 510 merodiploid strain used in our study also includes a full complement of native RecA from a single 511 allele. This wild-type protein is expected to mitigate the partial loss of sensitivity in RecA-mGFP in any 512 given mixed assembly, as shown on a cellular level by the similar SOS response profile [34] and lack of 513 514 filamentation under treatment with MMC (Figure 1, Figure S1). The recA wild type allele is expressed under control of the native operator, while the recA-mGFP allele is expressed under the recAo1403 515 516 operator. In the absence of treatment with MMC, this operator is known to result in an increased transcription rate of the *recA-qfp* allele relative to the wild type *recA* gene under its native promoter 517 by a factor of 2-3, while both alleles are upregulated to the same level under induction of SOS [52]. 518 Using quantitative Western blotting we estimate that prior to MMC treatment, RecA-mGFP is actually 519 present at several tenfold more than the unlabeled protein (Figure S4), and that in the presence of 520 MMC the ratio of RecA-mGFP to RecA is lower, at approximately 3-4 to 1. From these ratios, we 521 derived our approximate correction factors of 1.0 or 1.3-fold for the total amount of RecA protein, in 522 the absence or presence of MMC respectively. While RecA-mGFP is known to label RecA assemblies 523 [34], it cannot form DNA-independent assemblies by itself [52], and is therefore reasonable to 524 conclude that all labelled sites here represent occupied DNA on which wild type RecA and RecA-GFP 525 are interchangeable. Even if the binding partition of wild type RecA were higher, for example reflecting 526 the relative sensitivity (Figure S4A and [52]), the high relative concentration of RecA-mGFP (Figure 527 S4B) would conceivably result in the majority of RecA sites on DNA being occupied by RecA-mGFP. 528

Lesterlin et al. showed that RecA immunostaining of filaments (agnostic as to GFP labelling) correlates 529 with the fluorescent distribution of RecA-GFP [34], proving that dark filaments exclusively of wild-type 530 RecA cannot be present. Though this result could potentially be interpreted that the structure is 531 entirely RecA-GFP and that the highly sensitive wild-type RecA is excluded, this wild-type RecA would 532 have to somehow rescue DNA repair function in the cytoplasm rather than in filaments, which has no 533 known basis. We therefore assume the presence of hybrid filaments. In any case, the effect of 534 excluding wild-type RecA from filaments would simply mitigate our periodicity correction factor 535 toward unity, and narrow our estimate of the periodicity within filaments toward a value of 3 RecA 536 molecules. 537

Unlike RecA-mGFP, we detected only modest quantities of RecB-sfGFP in untreated cells grown in 538 minimal medium:  $13.6 \pm 0.5$  molecules in tracks, and  $126 \pm 11$  molecules in total per cell based on 539 integrated GFP fluorescence corrected for cellular autofluorescence. Several previous reports also 540 indicate that RecB is very scarce – typically less than 20 molecules per cell [35,73]. One of these studies 541 estimated that there are just 4.9 ± 0.3 RecB molecules per cell using a HaloTag fusion allele labeled 542 with HTL-TMR, and 4.5 ± 0.4 molecules per cell using magnetic activated cell sorting of the same RecB-543 sfGFP strain that we use here, albeit in M9 medium and restricted to nascent cells for which the 544 average copy number is approximately halved [35]. An earlier mass spectrometry study used intensity 545 546 based absolute quantification to estimate 9-20 RecB molecules per cell across different stages of growth in M9 minimal media [73]. Ribosome profiling estimated the RecB copy number to be 33-93 547 molecules per cell in different growth media [70]. However, these techniques are either ex vivo or 548 necessitate significantly perturbed intracellular crowding that may conceivably result in potentially 549 non-physiological molecular assemblies. 550

Comparing the number of RecB in tracks in our present study with the number of RecB in distinct foci 551 per cell reported previously, we find a similar albeit slightly higher estimate, possibly because our 552 approach is based on fluorescent fusions with a high labelling efficiency in unsynchronized cultures, 553 as opposed to selecting nascent cells. However, our measurement of RecB copy number exceeds 554 previous estimates. The large remainder in summed pixel fluorescence intensity may represent two 555 possible contributions. The first is from RecB that diffuses faster than Slimfield can track. The highest 556 diffusion coefficients of tracked RecB assemblies approach 3  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s (95% quantiles, Figure 4). We 557 estimate the limit of measurement as approximately 5  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s, though it is conceivable that free 558 monomeric RecB-sfGFP could exceed this, given that it has been estimated to reach diffusion 559 coefficients equivalent to approximately 8  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s in *E. coli* cytosol [74,75]. A second possible source is 560 an increase in net autofluorescence relative to the parental strain when the real RecB are labeled; it 561 is unlikely that could account for the discrepancy, since this would require a 3-fold increase in 562 autofluorescence based on our measurements, and such a drastic increase lacks precedence (for 563 example, upon treatment with MMC at a high level sufficient to induce widespread RecA 564 565 filamentation, our estimation suggests only an increase in autofluorescence of no more than 20%). Furthermore, the measured rate of photobleaching of the diffuse RecB-sfGFP signal matches that of 566 RecB-sfGFP tracks and is roughly half the rate of the autofluorescent parental cells (Figure S6, Table 567 S2). The implication is that untracked RecB-sfGFP is the major contributor to mean cellular 568 fluorescence, which is then a more accurate reflection of total copy of RecB than simply the number 569 of molecules in tracks. 570

The cellular protein copy number of RecB does not change significantly with MMC (Table S1, Figure 571 S5B,D), suggesting that there may only be a modest regulatory response to DNA damage. Although 572 MMC is known to induce the SOS response and cell cycle arrest [4,48], recB expression is itself not 573 574 induced directly as part of the SOS response. RecB-sfGFP foci increase neither in number (Figure 1J) nor stoichiometry (Figure 2B), which compares with earlier observations that treatment with MMC 575 under similar concentrations to those used in our study do not significantly change RecB expression 576 [22]. In fact, the number of observed tracks per cell dropped considerably after MMC treatment, due 577 to a sharp increase in the proportion of cells in which RecB assemblies were absent, from 6% to 21%. 578 This reduction in RecB assemblies was at odds with our expectation that MMC would eventually 579

increase the recruitment of RecB in response to damage, if not increase the cellular production of 580 RecB. MMC treatment is known to increase the occurrence of DSBs and thereby drive demand for 581 DSB processing [4] that is typically mediated by RecB. Yet, rather than initiating cellular upregulation 582 of RecB, treatment with MMC acts to partially deplete localized assemblies. Given that DSBs are likely 583 to occur in the majority of cells under our MMC treatment, as indicated by the ubiquitous induction 584 of RecA filaments (Figure 3), the fate of RecB assemblies cannot simply reflect the presence or absence 585 of DSBs. The increase in the fraction of cells lacking RecB-sfGFP tracks is consistent with random, 586 independent survival or breakdown of assemblies (Figure 1J). This result may indicate a situation 587 where pre-existing RecB (hetero)complexes at foci are occasionally disassembled while interacting 588 with sites of MMC-induced DNA damage, such as DSBs. This instability of (presumably heteromeric) 589 590 RecB assemblies might result from successfully bridged pairs of RecA filaments, however, we did not detect any correlated loss of pairs of RecB foci, as might be expected for recombination events. 591 Notably, the number of tracked foci detected per cell is approximately 2 for both RecA-mGFP and 592 RecB-sfGFP. Future colocalization studies of RecA and RecB assemblies may offer more direct insight 593 into the functional interaction and turnover of these repair proteins in regards to whether the average 594 595 of 2 be related to the number of replication sites, or perhaps simply reflects a small average number of severe DNA damage sites per cell. 596

597 Independent of MMC treatment, we observed a dimeric periodicity for RecB-sfGFP. This suggests that RecBCD heterotrimers occur in pairs in vivo. Indeed, earlier in vitro studies identified the occurrence 598 of (RecBCD)<sub>2</sub> complexes, possibly held together by the nuclease domains of the two RecBCD 599 monomers [12]. However, the authors concluded that the monomeric form is functional while the 600 dimeric form is nonfunctional [13]. Furthermore, crystallization of the RecBCD complex for structural 601 studies contained two RecBCD-DNA complexes in the asymmetric unit [76]. Our observations cannot 602 determine covalent interactions directly between RecB molecules, but their cotracking is very strongly 603 correlated. We can infer two details: first, that the dimeric form of the complex, (RecBCD)<sub>2</sub>, occurs in 604 live cells, and second, that previous in vitro observations of dimers are carried over from their 605 physiological state. Our findings suggest a hypothesis that assemblies with multiple pairs of RecB have 606 a greater activity on DSBs than isolated RecB in the pool. Making the distinction between monomeric 607 RecBCD in tracks and monomeric RecB in the untracked pool suggests that RecB monomers in the pool 608 could potentially act as a reservoir. One may consider the alternative situation, where the monomeric 609 pool are the functional RecB elements and the assemblies are reservoirs that disassemble in response 610 to damage, but this makes less sense, since those monomers would already be in excess. A mean 611 stoichiometry of ~6 molecules indicates that RecB foci may occur as colocalized assemblies that 612 comprise roughly three pairs of RecBCD heterotrimers (Figure 5). It would be interesting to estimate 613 614 the stoichiometries of RecC and RecD in future studies to understand their association in processing DSBs in greater detail. 615

616



617 618

Figure 5. A model of DNA damage caused by treatment with MMC and subsequent repair at the 619 replication site by RecA and RecBCD. A) Intact replication fork; occasional binding of multiple RecA 620 dimers to DNA away from the fork as well as RecA dimers as DNA-free storage bodies in the cytoplasm; 621 622 B) exposure to MMC and induction of an interstrand crosslink that acts as a barrier to an approaching replication fork; C) replisome dissociates if unable to overcome barrier; dissociated fork is recognised 623 by branched DNA specific endonucleases (filled triangle) that can eventually cause DSBs leading to 624 replication fork collapse; replication fork collapse allows access to repair enzymes to recognise the 625 lesion; D) a newly generated DSB is recognised by RecBCD and processed to generate a 3' single strand 626 end; E) RecA dimers identify the newly generated ssDNA and assemble in groups of 3-4-mers into 627 RecA\* filaments; RecA is shown as a short stretch for illustrative purposes but may extend for many 628 thousands of molecules over several hundreds of nm of ssDNA, and these filaments may be twisted 629 and/or grouped into bundles. F) Strand exchange followed by processing of the double strand break, 630 then recombination sufficiently upstream of the lesion and subsequent G) reloading of the replisome. 631 This process allows sufficient time for the repair enzymes to repair the lesion on the template strand, 632

so that replication may resume. For a detailed overview of the possible pathways to fork restoration,
 refer to [11].

While MMC-induced damage constitutes a range of chemical moieties [77], the canonical mechanism 635 of MMC toxicity is of interstrand crosslinks at dG sites [6,7]. The specific repair of interstrand crosslinks 636 (implied in Figure 5) can involve several repair pathways, primarily nucleotide excision repair (NER), 637 which converts the crosslinks into dsDNA breaks [78]. Although NER enzymes such as UvrD typically 638 degrade RecA filaments, NER is involved in the cleavage of damaged replication forks into suitable 639 substrates for downstream processing, including RecA-mediated recombination [79]. Repair of the 640 fork is then completed, for example by PriA-, Rep- and PriC-dependent pathways [11,18,80] on sets of 641 ssDNA and a dsDNA end (Figure 5). The observation of a greater increase in RecA-mGFP copy numbers 642 and foci compared to RecB-sfGFP could indicate a significant proportion of single-strand breaks and 643 single-strand gaps at sites of crosslinks. While two previous studies reported that NER action on 644 crosslinks also produces ssDNA nicks [78,81], we do not know if this applies strictly to MMC-induced 645 NER, as our present work does not pertain to genes that process ss-gaps. Future analysis of proteins 646 that process ssDNA breaks may potentially shed light on the relative occurrence of the two types of 647 breaks by MMC and their relation to repair of replication forks. 648

While others have shown that recB deletion abolishes UV-induced filaments of RecA [34], we do not 649 know the effect of recB deletion and MMC treatment on RecA dynamics. To avoid RecA interference 650 in 'normal' ssDNA processes such as replication, the cell maintains strict control over filament 651 nucleation, based on RecA and associated cofactor concentrations. It is therefore likely that the 652 observed filamentation upon treatment with MMC is dependent on RecBCD, indirectly pointing 653 towards increased occurrences of DSBs in these cells. Alternatively, if RecA nucleation is independent 654 of RecBCD, one might anticipate little change in RecA dynamics upon recB deletion. However, further 655 analysis of MMC-dependent RecA stoichiometry and copy number in a strain devoid of RecBCD activity 656 657 - and with a controlled RecFOR pathway [19] – is needed to differentiate between these models.

In conclusion, RecA occurs as assemblies located near poles of wild type cells in a dimeric periodicity 658 consistent with nucleation models. Upon mild treatment with MMC, RecA is upregulated at least two-659 fold, and assembles into long filamentous bundles on newly generated ssDNA in effectively all cells 660 without exhausting the cytoplasmic reservoir. These mature bundles have a much lower diffusivity, 661 reflecting their aggregation of a few thousand molecules each, with a structural periodicity in the 662 range of 3-4 RecA molecules. The bundles are typically wider than single filaments, but both forms are 663 known to facilitate homology search for homologous base-pairing with an intact duplex. Generation 664 of ssDNA is known to occur at a DSB induced by processing of disassembled forks upon recognition by 665 RecBCD. We observed RecB as a moderately diffusive set of three associated dimers at two locations 666 in the cell, providing further evidence that RecBCD predominantly occurs as pairs of heterotrimers 667 inside the cell at either end of DSBs. Our work implies the existence of a separate, significant reservoir 668 of highly diffusive RecB monomers. Neither of these forms of RecB are upregulated upon MMC 669 exposure, nor do they change their mobility. Accordingly, RecB is not a part of the SOS regulon. 670 Instead, MMC-induced DNA damage impacts the formation – or induces a higher turnover – of these 671 periodic RecB assemblies potentially associated with further DSB repair. 672

673

## 674 **4. Materials and Methods**

- 4.1. Strains, culture and MMC protocol
- 676 Three strains of *E. coli* were used in this study without alteration:
- 677 **Control:**
- 678 *MG1655*
- 679 **RecA-mGFP** [34]:
- 680 MG1655 rpsL (Str<sup>R</sup>,lac+) ygaD1::kan recAo1403 recA4155,4136-gfp901, fhuB::recAwt-cm
- 681 **RecB-sfGFP** = MEK706 [35]:
- 682 *MG1655 recB::sfGFP*

683 The RecA-mGFP strain used here is the same as in [34]. It is a merodiploid, natively promoted 684 derivative of the SS3085 strain [52,82,83]. It expresses both i) the wild type unlabelled RecA protein 685 from a single, ectopic wild-type recA allele, under a wild-type operator, and ii) a labeled mutant 686 protein at the native recA site with a mutant operator recAo1403, which has a higher transcription 687 rate than wild type [52]. The labelled recA is a recA4155 (R28A) mutant, which complements the wild 688 type recombination function in vitro [34,61] but has important differences in self-assembly. Unlike 689 wild type RecA, the recA4155 forms of RecA cannot alone form assemblies independently of DNA as 690 691 proven by comparisons in vitro [61] and competitive binding studies in vivo [52]. The *qfp-901* label is the same as mut2 (A206T) which corresponds to a monomeric GFP (mGFP) [84]. The notation 692 recA4136 refers to the insertion of a linker, as well as the *qfp-901* gene, between the penultimate and 693 ultimate stop codons of recA. The fusion with mGFP impairs the recombinant sensitivity of the labeled 694 RecA protein; were the strain to include only the fused allele, it would be fully as SOS inducible as wild 695 type MG1655, but only approximately two-thirds as UV resistant, and would be compromised up to 696 ca. 10-fold for recombination activity [21,52]. Induction of the SOS response would also take 30 min, 697 or roughly twice as long as wild type (the R28A mutation prevents this from being an additional 2× 698 slower) [52]. The merodiploid strain rescues both these functions and their kinetics: functional RecA 699 filaments labeled with 70% of the total available mutant fusion protein form within just 15 min of DNA 700 damage and reach steady state within 90 min, similar to wild type MG1655 [34]. 701

The RecB-sfGFP fusion was constructed in [35] by wild-type *recB* replacement under plasmid-mediated
 recombination. N-terminal fusions were shown in [35] to be functional using growth curves and tests
 of DNA repair, in contrast to C-terminal fusions which may disrupt RecBCD complexation [35].

*E. coli* strains were grown overnight in 56-salts minimal media at 30°C to mid-log phase in an Innova 44 shaker incubator (New Brunswick). The mid-log phase cultures were concentrated to ~100 cells/ml ( $OD_{600}$  ~0.3) and split into two equal fractions. Aliquots were adjusted to either nil (MMC-) or the minimum wt inhibitory concentration of 0.5 µg/ml MMC (MMC+) (Figure S6 and [48]) and incubated at 30°C for a further 3 h (Figure S6). Cells were harvested for microscopy on 1% w/v agarose pads suffused with the same liquid media and imaged within 1 h.

711

## 712 **4.2. Slimfield**

A custom-built Slimfield microscope was used for single colour, single-molecule-sensitive imaging with 713 a bespoke GFP/mCherry emission channel splitter as described previously [11,37]. The GFP channel 714was recorded, while the mCherry channel was used only as a negative control. The setup included a 715 716 high-magnification objective (NA 1.49 Apo TIRF 100× oil immersion, Nikon) and the detector was a Prime95B sCMOS camera (Photometrics) operating in 12-bit gain at 180 Hz and 3 ms exposure/frame, 717 718 for a total magnification of 53 nm/pixel. The samples were illuminated either in brightfield, or for Slimfield fluorescence in camera-triggered frames by a collimated 488 nm wavelength continuous 719 wave OPSL laser (Coherent, Obis LS) in Gaussian  $TEM_{00}$  mode at a power density of 5 kW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The 720 721 number of frames per acquisition was 2,000 for RecA and 300 for RecB strains.

722

## 723 **4.3** Quantitative tracking and protein copy number analysis

724 4.3.1 Identification of Slimfield foci and assignment into tracks

Slimfield image sequences were processed by custom ADEMscode software in MATLAB (Mathworks) 725 726 [33,80,85–87]. This pipeline identified foci from local maxima in pixel values within individual frames. An iterative Gaussian mask algorithm was used to detect the centroids of foci, using a circular region 727 of interest of radius 5 pixels within a sliding window of 17 pixels. The intensity of each focus was 728 calculated as the sum of the circular region corrected for the average background in the surrounding 729 annular region. The prospective foci were accepted if their intensity was >0.4× the standard deviation 730 in the background region. The nearest neighboring foci in adjacent frames within 8 pixels of each other 731 were assigned to the same track, with a minimum of 4 foci per track. The typical track duration was 732

- limited by diffusion and/or photobleaching to a mean of >13 foci per track over ~75 ms real time, or
   ~40 ms cumulative exposure (Table S1).
- 735 4.3.2. Diffusion coefficient

The centroids of the foci within each track, as generated from the ADEMScode tracking analysis above, 736 were used to calculate displacements over the length of each track in chronological sequence. From 737 these, the mean square displacements (MSDs) of each track were calculated by averaging the square 738 of the displacements across equal lag times, corresponding to all possible intervals between frames 739 740 up to the length of the track. For each track, the MSDs at the four lowest lag times were linearly interpolated (with a constraint on the fit of passing through a specified intercept on the lag time axis, 741 equal to the square of the measured localization precision of 40 nm divided by the frame interval of 742 5.7 ms). The initial slope of this fit (and corresponding error) was then divided by a factor of 4 743 according to the 2D diffusion equation [88] to yield a diffusion coefficient (and error) for that track. 744

745 4.3.3 Characteristic single-molecule brightness

The intensity of each focus was estimated by integrating the local pixel values with a local sliding 746 window background subtraction. After photobleaching sufficiently to show single photoactive GFP 747 molecules, the characteristic single-molecule brightness of a single GFP molecule was estimated from 748 the modal brightness of these foci. These were confirmed to be broadly consistent with estimates of 749 the signal per GFP in each dataset were determined from the monomeric intervals in total number of 750 counts due to stepwise photobleaching, as identified by a Chung-Kennedy edge-preserving filter 751 (15 ms window, 50% weighting, Figure S7) [89]. This integrated intensity is characteristic for each 752 fluorescent protein under fixed imaging conditions, although mGFP and sfGFP were found to be 753 indistinguishable in this respect, and hereafter referred to collectively as GFP. To ensure consistent 754 755 counts per single-molecule probe, analysis was restricted to the uniformly illuminated area lying within half of the  $1/e^2$  beamwaist of the excitation laser in the sample plane. The integrated intensity 756 of GFP in vivo was found to be within 14% and 9% respective errors in RecA and RecB (88 ± 18 and 177 757 758  $\pm$  16 pixel grey values per GFP for the respective gain modes). The combined equivalent is 88  $\pm$  7 photoelectrons per GFP per frame, which is precise enough to unequivocally identify groups or steps 759 of up to 12 GFP molecules. 760

761 4.3.4 Stoichiometry

Each track is associated with an assembly that contains a certain number of molecules, or 762 stoichiometry, at the initial point of acquisition. To estimate this stoichiometry for a given track, the 763 intensities of the constituent foci were linearly extrapolated using the first 4 datapoints in the track 764 back to the timepoint of initial laser exposure. This initial intensity of this fit was divided by the 765 characteristic single-molecule brightness signal associated with one fluorescent protein under a fixed 766 excitation-detection protocol. The result is a stoichometry expressed as a number of molecules. The 767 standard error associated with a stoichiometry value of 1 molecule is approximately 0.7 molecules. To 768 avoid undercounting bias due to photobleaching, only tracks in the first 10 frames after laser exposure 769 770 were considered for stoichiometry estimates.

4.3.5 Periodicity

The distributions of track stoichiometry may show periodic peaks, whose smallest reproducible 772 773 interval can be interpreted as a physical repeat unit or *periodicity* within assemblies. To calculate periodicity, first the stoichiometries of all tracks within each acquisition were represented as a kernel 774 density distribution. The kernel width used was the empirical standard deviation on the characteristic 775 single molecule brightness of 0.7 molecules [41]. Peaks in this distribution were detected using the 776 MATLAB findpeaks function, and the intervals between nearest neighbor peaks were calculated. These 777 sets of nearest neighbor intervals for each acquisition were then aggregated across the relevant 778 population of cells. A second kernel density estimate was calculated over the intervals for a 779 population, with a kernel width of 0.7 molecules multiplied by the square root of the mean 780 stoichiometry, divided by the square root of the number of interpolated intervals. The fundamental 781 value of this interval distribution (corresponding to the center of the leftmost peak in Figure 2 insets) 782

was refined by fitting the curve with a sum of Gaussian terms centerd at multiples of the fundamental 783 value. To accommodate the uncertainty in the single molecule characteristic brightness, the 784 fundamental value of the fit was not constrained to an exact integer value but represents a heuristic 785 model for the periodicity. The number of terms in the fit was set to minimize the reduced  $\chi^2$  metric in 786 the fit. This modal value was reported with 95% confidence interval as the periodicity of assemblies in 787 each population. This method of estimating periodicity was verified as independent of the mean 788 stoichiometry using simulated data drawn from noisy Poisson-distributed multiples of an oligomeric 789 ground truth (artificial input value). This analysis reproduced the expectation that the minimum 790 number of tracks required for sufficient peak sampling, and therefore the limit of periodicity 791 detection, scales with the square root of the mean stoichiometry. 792

#### 793 4.3.6 Cellular protein copy numbers and pool stoichiometry

The cellular protein copy numbers as reported in the Results Section 2.1, Table S1, Figure S5 and 794 Discussion correspond to whole cell masks, as identified using the manual annotated machine learning 795 segmentation output from brightfield images (Figure S2 and Supplementary Methods). Integrated 796 intensities of cells (uncorrected cellular protein copy numbers) and pool stoichiometries, were 797 determined not from tracked foci, but directly from the raw image sequences using the CoPro package 798 in ADEMscode software following [37] with the characteristic single-molecule brightness of GFP (as 799 described in 4.3.2), the cell masks, and the camera's dark pixel bias as input. The procedure effectively 800 adds up all of the pixel values within the mask in question in an initial frame, and accounts for the 801 convolution of the 3D cell volume with the widefield point spread function, followed by projection 802 onto a 2D image. To obtain the cellular protein copy number in the labeled strains, and account for 803 the contribution of autofluorescence, we calculated the difference in mean integrated intensity per 804 segment between the labeled and parent strains under the corresponding MMC± condition, adjusted 805 by the ratio of mean segment area. The pool stoichiometry in each cell is a measure of its untracked 806 molecular concentration. It is calculated in CoPro as the cell's integrated intensity, less the mean 807 integrated intensity of the parental cells, less the total stoichiometry of tracked foci in the cell, divided 808 by the area of the cell mask relative to the area within one diffraction limited focus. 809

4.3.7 Super-resolved images and segment protein copy numbers of RecA-mGFP

The segment protein copy numbers as reported in Results Section 2.3 and Figure S3, were calculated 811 with ImageJ, using as input the segments corresponding to bundles or foci, instead of whole cells. 812 813 These segments were obtained starting from the coordinates of localized, tracked foci in Slimfield analysis, from the latter stages of photobleaching below a threshold stoichiometry of 2 molecules; 814 these were imported into ThunderSTORM software [90]. The Visualization module to build a 815 pointillistic super-resolved image at 40 nm lateral spatial precision (as shown in Figures 3B,E) at 5× 816 upscaling (11 nm pixel size), which was then smoothed with a Gaussian filter of 4 pixels' width, and 817 818 automatically Otsu thresholded to generate a superresolved binary mask. The masks were then expanded by a distance equal to the widefield resolution of 17 pixels (~180 nm) to match the features 819 in the Slimfield images. The integrated intensities were extracted, as in [91], from the sum of 820 fluorescent pixel counts in the Slimfield images (Analyze Particles > Multi-Measure function in ImageJ) 821 less the area multiplied by the camera pixel dark value. To yield segment protein copy numbers (Figure 822 S3A), the resulting integrated intensities were corrected for the relative autofluorescence, by 823 subtracting the integrated intensity of parental cells adjusted by by the ratio of mean segment area. 824 The Multi-Measure output also included the Feret diameter of each segment which was used as an 825 estimate of its end-to-end length (Figure 3D-F). 826

## 4.3.8 Photobleaching rates

Photobleaching rates were estimated by fitting the decrease in background-subtracted cellular protein copy number or mean track stoichiometry over the exposure time using MATLAB *cftool*. The fit consisted of a monoexponential decay to the first 10 frames with variable initial intensity and decay constant, but with a baseline fixed to the average intensity after 50 frames. Fits were then refined to include only data within the initial 1/*e* decay time (Table S2). RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP photobleach

- decay times were consistently dissimilar at  $13 \pm 2$  and  $6 \pm 1$  frames respectively; sfGFP is typically several-fold less photostable than comparable enhanced GFPs under high intensity illumination [92].
- 4.3.9 Statistical tests

We performed multiple statistical comparisons on each set of tracked data (typically ~5: number of tracks, stoichiometry, periodicity, diffusivity, copy number), which we account for using the standard Bonferroni correction; the significance level is adjusted downwards by a factor of the number of comparisons,  $\alpha = 0.05/5 = 0.01$ ).

- 840
- 841 4.4 Gene expression assays
- 842 4.4.1 Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Treated and untreated cultures were grown as in section 4.1. Total RNA was then isolated using Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England Biolabs). cDNA was synthesised from 350 ng of total RNA from each sample using Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions using random hexamer primer (ThermoScientific).

The cDNA was then subjected to qPCR using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher) in a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System. The *recA* primer pair amplified *recA* cDNA in the wild type and both *recA* and *recA-GFP* mRNA in the labeled strain. *recA-GFP* alone in the labeled strain was amplified using *GFP* primer pair. 16s rRNA was used as a housekeeping control.

<sup>851</sup> Data obtained was analysed using the standard curve method [51]. Standard curves were generated

from serial dilutions of PCR products with known concentrations derived from genomic DNA. Fold

increase in mRNA levels was calculated by dividing the values obtained for treated mRNA with the

- untreated. Results are shown in Figure S4A.
- 855

Primer	Sequence 5'-3'	Complementary region
oAS216	GCAGGCACTGGAAATCTGTG	recA (forward)
oAS217	GCCGATTTCGCCTTCGATTTC	<i>recA</i> (reverse)
oAS220	CTACAAGACACGTGCTGAAGTC	GFP (forward)
oAS221	AGTTGTATTCCAATTTGTGTCCAAGAATG	GFP (reverse)
oAS23	GTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTG	16s rRNA (forward)
oAS24	CATCGTTTACGGCGTGGACTACCAG	16s rRNA (reverse)

Table 2. Primers used for qPCR to quantify mRNA of *recA*, *recA-gfp* and housekeeping gene *rrsA*.

857

## 4.4.2 Western blots

Six samples of normalised *E. coli* cell cultures were prepared as above (section 4.1) in 1 ml aliquots at 859  $OD_{600}$  ~0.2. The cells were isolated using centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 2 min in a microfuge to 860 prepare them for SDS-PAGE / immuno-detection. The cell pellets were resuspended in 75  $\mu$ l of SDS 861 loading buffer and boiled for 5 min at 95°C before application of 15 μl onto a 4-20 % gradient gel. The 862 gel was subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose and the membrane was placed in blocking solution 863 (PBS-T, 5% (w/v) non-fat milk) for 3 h. Primary antibody (anti-RecA) was incubated at 1/500 overnight 864 in blocking solution before the membrane was washed (4 x 5 min) in blocking solution. Secondary 865 antibody (goat anti rabbit-HRP) was incubated at 1/2,000 dilution for 4 h in blocking solution before 866 the membrane was again washed (4 x 5 min) in blocking solution. A final wash in PBS was performed 867 before development using ECL and image acquisition (iBRIGHT). Results are shown in Figure S4B. 868

869

## 870 Author Contributions:

A.P-D, A.S, and M.L. designed the research; A.S. cultured and treated cells; A.P-D performed microscopy and data analysis, visualization and curation, J.S. and L.F. wrote and validated segmentation software; A.P-D and A.S drafted the paper; A.P-D, A.S, J.S. and M.L. edited the paper; M.L. supervised and administered the project. This research was funded by BBSRC, grant numbers BB/P000746/1 and BB/N006453/1, and EPSRC, grant number EP/T002166/1.

876

Data Availability Statement: The raw imaging data is available on reasonable from <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6639101</u>; the MATLAB tracking analysis code can be found at <u>https://github.com/alex-payne-dwyer/single-molecule-tools-alpd</u>. The U-Net image segmentation architecture originated from code obtained from the NEUBIAS Academy workshop (http://eubias.org/NEUBIAS/training-schools/neubias-academy-home/).

882

## 883 Acknowledgments:

The authors thank Dr. Christian Lesterlin for the gift of the RecA-mGFP strain and Prof. Meriem El Karoui for the RecB-sfGFP strain. We thank the Biosciences Technology Facility for technical assistance with gene expression assays.

## 887 **Conflicts of Interest:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to

publish the results.

891

#### 892 References

- 8931.Reyes-Lamothe R, Sherratt DJ, Leake MC. 2010 Stoichiometry and architecture of active DNA894replication machinery in Escherichia coli. Science 328, 498–501.895(doi:10.1126/science.1185757)
- Surova O, Zhivotovsky B. 2013 Various modes of cell death induced by DNA damage. *Oncogene* 32, 3789–97. (doi:10.1038/onc.2012.556)
- Lambert S, Carr AM. 2013 Impediments to replication fork movement: Stabilisation, reactivation and genome instability. *Chromosoma* 122, 33–45. (doi:10.1007/s00412-013-0398-900
   9)
- 4. Tomasz M. 1995 Mitomycin C: small, fast and deadly (but very selective). *Chem. Biol.* 2, 575–9.
   (doi:10.1016/1074-5521(95)90120-5)
- 9035.Arranz-Marquez E, Katsanos A, Kozobolis VP, Konstas AGP, Teus MA. 2019 A Critical Overview904of the Biological Effects of Mitomycin C Application on the Cornea Following Refractive Surgery.905Adv. Ther. 36, 786–797. (doi:10.1007/s12325-019-00905-w)
- Weng M-W, Zheng Y, Jasti VP, Champeil E, Tomasz M, Wang Y, Basu AK, Tang M-S. 2010 Repair
   of mitomycin C mono-and interstrand cross-linked DNA adducts by UvrABC: a new model.
   *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, 6976–6984. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkq576)
- Bizanek R, McGuinness BF, Nakanishi K, Tomasz M, McGuinness BF, Nakanishi K. 1992 Isolation
  and Structure of an Intrastrand Cross-Link Adduct of Mitomycin C and DNA. *Biochemistry* **31**,
  3084–3091. (doi:10.1021/bi00127a008)
- 912
   8.
   Dronkert MLG, Kanaar R. 2001 Repair of DNA interstrand cross-links. Mutat. Res. 486, 217–

   913
   247. (doi:10.1016/s0921-8777(01)00092-1)
- 9149.Legerski RJ. 2010 Repair of DNA interstrand cross-links during S phase of the mammalian cell915cycle. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 51, 540–551. (doi:10.1002/em.20566)
- 91610.Smith GR. 2012 How RecBCD Enzyme and Chi Promote DNA Break Repair and Recombination:917a Molecular Biologist's View. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 76, 217–28. (doi:10.1128/mmbr.05026-91811)
- 91911.Syeda AH, Hawkins M, McGlynn P. 2014 Recombination and Replication. Cold Spring Harb.920Perspect. Biol. 6, 1–14. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016550)
- 92112.Amundsen SK, Smith GR. 2019 The RecB helicase-nuclease tether mediates Chi hotspot control922of RecBCD enzyme. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, 197–209. (doi:10.1093/nar/gky1132)
- 923
   13.
   Taylor AF, Smith GR. 1995 Monomeric RecBCD enzyme binds and unwinds DNA. J. Biol. Chem.

   924
   270, 24451–8. (doi:10.1074/jbc.270.41.24451)
- Howard-Flanders P, West SC, Stasiak A. 1984 Role of RecA protein spiral filaments in genetic
   recombination. *Nature* 309, 215–220. (doi:10.1038/309215a0)
- 92715.Kowalczykowski SC, Eggleston AK. 1994 Homologous pairing and DNA strand-exchange928proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 63, 991–1043. (doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.63.070194.005015)
- Mazin A V., Kowalczykowski SC. 1999 A novel property of the RecA nucleoprotein filament:
   Activation of double-stranded DNA for strand exchange in trans. *Genes Dev.* 13, 2005–16.
   (doi:10.1101/gad.13.15.2005)
- 93217.Wiktor J, Gynnå AH, Leroy P, Larsson J, Coceano G, Testa I, Elf J. 2021 RecA finds homologous933DNA by reduced dimensionality search. Nature 597. (doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03877-6)
- 93418.Windgassen TA, Wessel SR, Bhattacharyya B, Keck JL. 2018 Mechanisms of bacterial DNA935replication restart. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 504–519. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1203)
- Dimude JU, Midgley-Smith SL, Rudolph CJ. 2018 Replication-transcription conflicts trigger
   extensive DNA degradation in Escherichia coli cells lacking RecBCD. DNA Repair (Amst). 70, 37–
   (doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2018.08.002)

- P39 20. Repar J, BriŠki N, Buljubašić M, Zahradka K, Zahradka D. 2013 Exonuclease VII is involved in
  P40 'reckless' DNA degradation in UV-irradiated Escherichia coli. *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol.*P41 *Environ. Mutagen.* **750**, 96–104. (doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2012.10.005)
- 94221.Ghodke H *et al.* 2019 Spatial and temporal organization of RecA in the Escherichia coli DNA-943damage response. *Elife* **8**, e42761. (doi:10.7554/eLife.42761)
- 94422.Khil PP, Camerini-Otero RD. 2002 Over 1000 genes are involved in the DNA damage response945of Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* **44**, 89–105. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02878.x)
- Adikesavan AK, Katsonis P, Marciano DC, Lua R, Herman C, Lichtarge O. 2011 Separation of
   Recombination and SOS Response in Escherichia coli RecA Suggests LexA Interaction Sites. *Plos Genet.* 7, e1002244. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002244)
- 94924.Gruenig MC, Renzette N, Long E, Chitteni-Pattu S, Inman RB, Cox MM, Sandler SJ. 2008 RecA-950mediated SOS induction requires an extended filament conformation but no ATP hydrolysis.951Mol. Microbiol. 69, 1165–1179. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06341.x)
- 95225.Butala M et al. 2011 Interconversion between bound and free conformations of LexA953orchestrates the bacterial SOS response. Nucleic Acids Res. 39. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkr265)
- Podlesek Z, Žgur Bertok D. 2020 The DNA Damage Inducible SOS Response Is a Key Player in
   the Generation of Bacterial Persister Cells and Population Wide Tolerance. *Front. Microbiol.* 11.
   (doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01785)
- Memar MY, Yekani M, Celenza G, Poortahmasebi V, Naghili B, Bellio P, Baghi HB. 2020 The
   central role of the SOS DNA repair system in antibiotics resistance: A new target for a new
   infectious treatment strategy. *Life Sci.* 262. (doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118562)
- 960
   28.
   Rehrauer WM, Kowalczykowski SC. 1996 The DNA binding site(s) of the Escherichia coli RecA
   961
   protein. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 11996–12002. (doi:10.1074/jbc.271.20.11996)
- 962 29. Handa N, Amitani I, Gumlaw N, Sandler SJ, Kowalczykowski SC. 2009 Single Molecule Analysis
  963 of a Red Fluorescent RecA Protein Reveals a Defect in Nucleoprotein Filament Nucleation That
  964 Relates to Its Reduced Biological Functions. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 18664–18673.
  965 (doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.004895)
- 96630.Ivancic-Bace I, Vlasic I, Salaj-Smic E, Brcic-Kostic K, Ivančić-Baće I, Vlašić I, Salaj-Šmic E, Brčić-967Kostić K. 2006 Genetic evidence for the requirement of RecA loading activity in SOS induction968after UV irradiation in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 188, 5024–5032. (doi:10.1128/JB.00130-06)
- Anderson DG, Kowalczykowski SC. 1998 Reconstitution of an SOS response pathway:
   Derepression of transcription in response to DNA breaks. *Cell* 95, 975–9. (doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81721-3)
- Adams D *et al.* 2007 Selection of Reca+ Recombinant Cosmids an Easy Method for Making
   Reca Strains Temporarily Rec+, Permitting P1-Mediated Transduction in a Reca- Background
   and Transduction of a Reca- Mutation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 8, 88–94. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.194407)
- 97533.Plank M, Wadhams GH, Leake MC. 2009 Millisecond timescale slimfield imaging and<br/>automated quantification of single fluorescent protein molecules for use in probing complex<br/>biological processes. Integr. Biol. 1, 602–612. (doi:10.1039/b907837a)
- 34. Lesterlin C, Ball G, Schermelleh L, Sherratt DJ. 2014 RecA bundles mediate homology pairing 978 during DNA Nature 506, 979 between distant sisters break repair. 249-253. (doi:10.1038/nature12868) 980
- 35. Lepore A, Taylor H, Landgraf D, Okumus B, Jaramillo-Riveri S, Mclaren L, Bakshi S, Paulsson J, El
  Karoui & M. 2019 Quantification of very low-abundant proteins in bacteria using the HaloTag
  and epi-fluorescence microscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 7902. (doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44278-0)
- 36. Lenn T, Leake MC. 2012 Experimental approaches for addressing fundamental biological
   questions in living, functioning cells with single molecule precision. *Open Biol.* 2, 120090.
   (doi:10.1098/rsob.120090)

- Wollman AJM, Leake MC. 2015 Millisecond single-molecule localization microscopy combined
   with convolution analysis and automated image segmentation to determine protein
   concentrations in complexly structured, functional cells, one cell at a time. *Faraday Discuss.* 184, 401–424. (doi:10.1039/C5FD00077G)
- 38. Wollman AJM *et al.* 2022 Critical roles for EGFR and EGFR-HER2 clusters in EGF binding of
  SW620 human carcinoma cells. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **19**, 20220088. (doi:10.1098/rsif.2022.0088)
- Manley S, Gillette JM, Patterson GH, Shroff H, Hess HF, Betzig E, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2008
   High-density mapping of single-molecule trajectories with photoactivated localization
   microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 5, 155–157. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1176)
- 99640.Stracy M, Kapanidis AN. 2017 Single-molecule and super-resolution imaging of transcription in997living bacteria. Methods 120, 103–114. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.04.001)
- 41. Jin X *et al.* 2021 Membraneless organelles formed by liquid-liquid phase separation increase
  bacterial fitness. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabh2929. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.abh2929)
- 100042.Story RM, Weber IT, Steitz TA. 1992 The structure of the E. coli recA protein monomer and1001polymer. Nature 355, 318–325. (doi:10.1038/355318a0)
- 100243.Long JE, Renzette N, Centore RC, Sandler SJ. 2008 Differential Requirements of Two recA1003Mutants for Constitutive SOS Expression in Escherichia coli K-12. PLoS One 3, e4100.1004(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004100)
- 100544.Lee AM, Singleton SF. 2006 Intersubunit electrostatic complementarity in the RecA1006nucleoprotein filament regulates nucleotide substrate specificity and conformational1007activation. Biochemistry 45, 4514–4529. (doi:10.1021/bi052296x)
- 100845.Wang Y, Bortner C, Griffith J. 1993 Reca Binding to Bulge-Containing and Mismatch-Containing1009Dnas Certain Single-Base Mismatches Provide Strong Signals for Reca Binding Equal to1010Multiple Base Bulges. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 17571–17577.
- 101146.Schlacher K, Cox MM, Woodgate R, Goodman MF. 2006 RecA acts in trans to allow replication1012of damaged DNA by DNA polymerase V. Nature 442, 883–887. (doi:10.1038/nature05042)
- 1013
   47.
   McGrew DA, Knight KL. 2003 Molecular design and functional organization of the RecA protein.

   1014
   Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 38, 385–432. (doi:10.1080/10409230390242489)
- 101548.Dapa T, Fleurier S, Bredeche MF, Matic I. 2017 The SOS and RpoS regulons contribute to1016bacterial cell robustness to genotoxic stress by synergistically regulating DNA polymerase pol1017II. Genetics 206. (doi:10.1534/genetics.116.199471)
- Miller H, Zhou Z, Wollman AJM, Leake MC. 2015 Superresolution imaging of single DNA
   molecules using stochastic photoblinking of minor groove and intercalating dyes. *Methods* 88,
   81–88. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.01.010)
- 102150.Leake MC, Chandler JH, Wadhams GH, Bai F, Berry RM, Armitage JP. 2006 Stoichiometry and1022turnover in single, functioning membrane protein complexes. Nature 443, 355–358.1023(doi:10.1038/nature05135)
- 102451.Peterson KR, Wertman KF, Mount DW, Marinus MG. 1985 Viability of Escherichia coli K-12 DNA1025adenine methylase (dam) mutants requires increased expression of specific genes in the SOS1026regulon. MGG Mol. Gen. Genet. 201, 14–19. (doi:10.1007/BF00397979)
- 1027 52. Renzette N, Gumlaw N, Nordman JT, Krieger M, Yeh SP, Long E, Centore R, Boonsombat R,
  1028 Sandler SJ. 2005 Localization of RecA in Escherichia coli K-12 using RecA-GFP. *Mol. Microbiol.*1029 57. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04755.x)
- 103053.Leake MC. 2014 Analytical tools for single-molecule fluorescence imaging in cellulo. Phys.1031Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 12635–47. (doi:10.1039/c4cp00219a)
- 103254.Shi W-X, Larson RG. 2007 RecA-ssDNA filaments supercoil in the presence of single-stranded1033DNA-binding protein.Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.357,755–760.1034(doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.04.014)

- 1035 55. Darmon E, Eykelenboom JK, Lopez-Vernaza MA, White MA, Leach DRF. 2014 Repair on the Go:
   1036 E. Coli maintains a high proliferation rate while repairing a chronic DNA double-strand break.
   1037 PLoS One 9. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110784)
- 103856.Ellouze C, Takahashi M, Wittung P, Mortensen K, Schnarr M, Norden B, Nordén B, Norden B.10391995 Evidence for Elongation of the Helical Pitch of the Reca Filament Upon Atp and Adp1040Binding Using Small-Angle Neutron-Scattering. Eur. J. Biochem. 233, 579–583.1041(doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.579\_2.x)
- 104257.Yu X, Jacobs SA, West SC, Ogawa T, Egelman EH. 2001 Domain structure and dynamics in the<br/>helical filaments formed by RecA and Rad51 on DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 8419–<br/>104410438424. (doi:10.1073/pnas.111005398)
- 104558.Zhao B et al. 2017 ATPase activity tightly regulates RecA nucleofilaments to promote1046homologous recombination. Cell Discov. 3, 16053. (doi:10.1038/celldisc.2016.53)
- 104759.Chimthanawala A, Parmar J, Kumar S, Iyer KS, Rao M, Badrinarayanan A. 2021 SMC protein1048RecN drives translocation and remodelling of RecA filament for homology search. *BiorXiv* ,10492021.08.16.456443. (doi:10.1101/2021.08.16.456443)
- 105060.Rajendram M et al. 2015 Anionic Phospholipids Stabilize RecA Filament Bundles in Escherichia1051coli. Mol. Cell 60, 374–384. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.09.009)
- Eldin S, Forget AL, Lindenmuth DM, Logan KM, Knight KL. 2000 Mutations in the N-terminal
   region of RecA that disrupt the stability of free protein oligomers but not RecA-DNA complexes.
   J. Mol. Biol. 299, 91–101. (doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.3721)
- 1055 62. Roy R, Kozlov AG, Lohman TM, Ha T. 2009 SSB protein diffusion on single-stranded DNA 1056 stimulates RecA filament formation. *Nature* **461**, 1092–7. (doi:10.1038/nature08442)
- Norden B, Takahashi. M, Takahashi M, Nordén B. 1994 Structure of RecA-DNA complex and mechanism of DNA strand exchange reaction in homologous recombination. *Adv. Biophys.* 30, 1–35. (doi:10.1016/0065-227X(94)90009-4)
- 106064.Galletto R, Amitani I, Baskin RJ, Kowalczykowski SC. 2006 Direct observation of individual RecA1061filaments assembling on single DNA molecules. Nature 443, 875–8. (doi:10.1038/nature05197)
- Hernández-Tamayo R, Steube N, Heimerl T, Hochberg G, Graumann PL. 2022 ATPase activity of
   B. subtilis RecA affects the dynamic formation of RecA filaments at DNA double strand breaks.
   *BiorXiv* , 2022.02.15.480544. (doi:10.1101/2022.02.15.480544)
- 1065 66. Danilowicz C, Vietorisz E, Godoy-Carter V, Prévost C, Prentiss M. 2021 Influences of ssDNA 1066 RecA Filament Length on the Fidelity of Homologous Recombination. J. Mol. Biol. 433, 167143.
   1067 (doi:10.1016/J.JMB.2021.167143)
- 106867.Yu X, Egelman EH. 1997 The RecA hexamer is a structural homologue of ring helicases. Nat.1069Struct. Biol. 4, 101–104. (doi:10.1038/nsb0297-101)
- 1070
   68.
   Yu X, VanLoock MS, Yang S, Reese JT, Egelman EH. 2004 What is the structure of the RecA-DNA

   1071
   filament? *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* **5**, 73–79. (doi:10.2174/1389203043486883)
- 107269.Chen Z, Yang H, Pavletich NP. 2008 Mechanism of homologous recombination from the RecA-<br/>ssDNA/dsDNA structures. *Nature* **453**, 489–494. (doi:10.1038/nature06971)
- 107470.Li GW, Burkhardt D, Gross C, Weissman JS. 2014 Quantifying absolute protein synthesis rates1075reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. Cell 157, 624–35.1076(doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.033)
- 1077 71. Stohl EA, Brockman JP, Burkle KL, Morimatsu K, Kowalczykowski SC, Seifert HS. 2003
   1078 Escherichia coli RecX inhibits RecA recombinase and coprotease activities in vitro and in vivo.
   1079 J. Biol. Chem. 278, 2278–85. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M210496200)
- 108072.Giacomoni PU. 1982 Induction by mitomycin C of recA protein synthesis in bacteria and<br/>spheroplasts. J. Biol. Chem. 257, 14932–14936. (doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(18)33373-8)

- 108273.Soufi B, Krug K, Harst A, Macek B. 2015 Characterization of the E. coli proteome and its1083modifications during growth and ethanol stress. Front. Microbiol. **6**, 103.1084(doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00103)
- 108574.Elowitz MB, Surette MG, Wolf PE, Stock JB, Leibler S. 1999 Protein mobility in the cytoplasm of1086Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 181, 197–203. (doi:10.1128/jb.181.1.197-203.1999)
- 108775.Nenninger A, Mastroianni G, Mullineaux CW. 2010 Size dependence of protein diffusion in the<br/>cytoplasm of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 192, 4535–40. (doi:10.1128/JB.00284-10)
- Singleton MR, Dillingham MS, Gaudier M, Kowalczykowski SC, Wigley DB. 2004 Crystal
   structure of RecBCD enzyme reveals a machine for processing DNA breaks. *Nature* 432, 187–
   93.
- 1092 77. Burby PE, Simmons LA. 2019 A bacterial DNA repair pathway specific to a natural antibiotic.
   1093 Mol. Microbiol. 111, 338–353. (doi:10.1111/mmi.14158)
- Peng X, Ghosh AK, Van Houten B, Greenberg MM. 2010 Nucleotide excision repair of a DNA interstrand cross-link produces single- and double-strand breaks. *Biochemistry* 49. (doi:10.1021/bi901603h)
- 109779.Wood RD. 2010 Mammalian nucleotide excision repair proteins and interstrand crosslink1098repair. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 51. (doi:10.1002/em.20569)
- 109980.Syeda AH, Wollman AJM, Hargreaves AL, Howard JAL, Brüning J-G, McGlynn P, Leake MC. 20191100Single-molecule live cell imaging of Rep reveals the dynamic interplay between an accessory1101replicative helicase and the replisome. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, 6287–6298.1102(doi:10.1093/nar/gkz298)
- 110381.Verhoeven EEAA, Kesteren M Van, Moolenaar GF, Visse R, Goosen N, Van Kesteren M,1104Moolenaar GF, Visse R, Goosen N. 2000 Catalytic sites for 3' and 5' incision of Escherichia coli1105nucleotide excision repair are both located in UvrC. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 5120–3.1106(doi:10.1074/jbc.275.7.5120)
- Massoni SC, Leeson MC, Long JE, Gemme K, Mui A, Sandler SJ. 2012 Factors Limiting SOS
  Expression in Log-Phase Cells of Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 5325–5333.
  (doi:10.1128/JB.00674-12)
- 83. Reuter A, Hilpert C, Dedieu-Berne A, Lematre S, Gueguen E, Launay G, Bigot S, Lesterlin C. 2021
  Targeted-antibacterial-plasmids (TAPs) combining conjugation and CRISPR/Cas systems
  achieve strain-specific antibacterial activity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, 3584–3598.
  (doi:10.1093/nar/gkab126)
- 111484.Renzette N, Sandler SJ. 2008 Requirements for ATP binding and hydrolysis in RecA function in1115Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* 67. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06130.x)
- 111685.Llorente-Garcia I *et al.* 2014 Single-molecule in vivo imaging of bacterial respiratory complexes1117indicates delocalized oxidative phosphorylation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* 1837, 811–111824. (doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2014.01.020)
- B6. Dresser L, Hunter P, Yendybayeva F, Hargreaves AL, Howard JAL, Evans GJO, Leake MC, Quinn
   SD. 2021 Amyloid-β oligomerization monitored by single-molecule stepwise photobleaching.
   Methods 193, 80–95. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.06.007)
- 112287.Shepherd JW, Payne-Dwyer AL, Lee J-E, Syeda A, Leake MC. 2021 Combining single-molecule1123super-resolved localization microscopy with fluorescence polarization imaging to study cellular1124processes. JPhys Photonics 3. (doi:10.1088/2515-7647/ac015d)
- 112588.Robson A, Burrage K, Leake MC. 2013 Inferring diffusion in single live cells at the single-1126molecule level. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **368**, 20120029. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0029)
- 112789.Leake MC, Wilson D, Bullard B, Simmons RM, Bubb MR. 2003 The elasticity of single kettin1128molecules using a two-bead laser-tweezers assay. In FEBS Letters, pp. 55–60.1129(doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(02)03857-7)

- 113090.Ovesný M, Křížek P, Borkovec J, Švindrych Z, Hagen GM. 2014 ThunderSTORM: A1131comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution1132imaging. Bioinformatics **30**, 2389–2390. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu202)
- Payne-Dwyer AL, Leake MC. 2022 Single-molecular quantification of flowering control proteins
   within nuclear condensates in live whole Arabidopsis root. In *Chromosome Architecture: Methods in Molecular Biology* (ed MC Leake), p. 2476. New York, NY: Springer US, Humana.
   (doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-2221-6)
- Pédelacq JD, Cabantous S, Tran T, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS. 2006 Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 79–88.
  (doi:10.1038/nbt1172)
- 1140