

This is a repository copy of *Field trial demonstrating phytoremediation of the military explosive RDX using XplAB-expressing switchgrass in-the-field*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173216/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Cary, Timothy J, Rylott, Liz orcid.org/0000-0002-1609-414X, Zhang, Long et al. (4 more authors) (2021) Field trial demonstrating phytoremediation of the military explosive RDX using XplAB-expressing switchgrass in-the-field. *Nature Biotechnology*. pp. 1216-1219. ISSN 1087-0156

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-00909-4>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Field trial demonstrating phytoremediation of the military explosive RDX
2 by *XpLAB*-expressing switchgrass

3

4 Timothy J. Cary¹, Elizabeth L. Rylott^{2*}, Long Zhang³, Ryan M. Routsong³, Antonio J.
5 Palazzo¹, Stuart E. Strand³ and Neil C. Bruce^{2*}

6

7 ¹U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center,
8 Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Biogeochemical Sciences Branch,
9 Hanover, NH, USA

10

11 ²Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York,
12 Wentworth Way, York YO10 5DD, UK.

13

14 ³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, Box
15 355014, Seattle, WA 98195-5014, USA.

16

17 * Correspondence: liz.rylott@york.ac.uk, neil.bruce@york.ac.uk,

18

19 **Abstract**

20 The explosive hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), a major component of
21 munitions, is used extensively on military training ranges. As a result, widespread RDX
22 pollution in groundwater and aquifers in the United States is now well documented. RDX is
23 toxic, but its removal from training ranges is logistically challenging, lacking cost-effective
24 and sustainable solutions. Previously, we have shown that thale cress (*Arabidopsis thaliana*)

25 engineered to express two genes, *xplA* and *xplB*, encoding RDX-degrading enzymes from the
26 soil bacterium *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* 11Y can break down this xenobiotic in laboratory
27 studies. Here, we report the results of a 3-year field trial of XplAB-expressing switchgrass
28 (*Panicum virgatum*) conducted on three locations in a military site. Our data suggest that
29 XplAB switchgrass has *in situ* efficacy, with potential utility for detoxifying RDX on live-fire
30 training ranges, munitions dumps and minefields.

31

32 **Main text**

33 During World War II, RDX production peaked at 15,000 tons a month in the United States,
34 and 7000 tons a month in Germany¹. A major component in many munitions¹, the demand for
35 RDX is still high with a market size of \$10.43 billion in 2018². But RDX is toxic to soil
36 organisms and humans, recalcitrant to degradation in the environment, and extremely mobile,
37 readily moving into the groundwater³⁻⁷. These properties have resulted in the buildup of RDX
38 in soil and groundwater to levels that have then threatened, or affected, human health, such as
39 contamination of a sole source aquifer at Cape Cod⁸, and exposure of individuals to RDX in
40 the Bickford Case in Utah⁹.

41

42 Global RDX-contaminated areas are hard to map: historical explosives contamination is
43 poorly recorded, and for reasons of security and political sensitivity, locations of current
44 military sites are not openly documented. Extended Data Figure 1 maps global locations of
45 explosives contamination, with examples of specifically RDX contamination additionally
46 listed, and described in Supplementary Table 1. Supplementary Table 2 demonstrates the
47 scale of RDX contamination in the United States. Contamination is not just limited to active
48 military ranges, but includes decommissioned ranges, munitions dump sites and minefields;
49 extensive RDX plumes emanate from manufacturing sites^{8,9}.

50

51 The removal of this pollutant is an intractable challenge: the scale is enormous, in the US
52 alone, 10 million hectares of military land is contaminated with munitions components^{10,11}.
53 Contamination is heterogeneously spread^{8,10}, often unmapped, with unexploded ordnance
54 restricting ground-access. Furthermore, armed forces need live-fire training, and there is an
55 urgent need to contain and perpetually remediate continuing contamination on active ranges.
56 Traditional remediation methods such as land fill, incineration or advanced oxidation, are
57 more suited to small-scale, highly polluted areas, where clean-up time is short (< 3 years),
58 and overall costs are relatively low¹². The US Department of Defense (DoD) estimated that
59 the remediation of its active ranges alone using currently-available methodologies would cost
60 between \$16 billion and \$165 billion¹¹. To address this remediation challenge, the testing of
61 novel cost-effective technologies is necessary.

62

63 Previously, we isolated a soil bacterium, *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* 11Y, with the ability to
64 degrade RDX. The genes responsible, *xplA* and *xplB*, encoded a unique class VI cytochrome
65 P450 XplA, and accompanying flavodoxin reductase, XplB¹³⁻¹⁵. The XplAB system catalyzes
66 the reductive denitration of RDX to form, under aerobic conditions, 4-nitro-2,4-diazbutanal
67 (NDAB), and anaerobically methylenedinitramine (MEDINA; Fig. 1a)¹³. Although MEDINA
68 is converted to formaldehyde and nitrous oxide, products that are mineralizable in soils, the
69 aerobic product NDAB has been detected in groundwater from RDX-contaminated soils¹⁶.

70

71 Using plants to remediate environmental pollutants has many potential benefits: they are
72 minimally disruptive, promote restoration ecology, and are aesthetically pleasing, with high
73 levels of public acceptance. Furthermore, for longer-term (>3 years) projects,
74 phytoremediation has the potential to be low maintenance and cost effective. In plants,

75 following uptake, RDX is located almost exclusively in the aerial tissues^{17,18}. Unlike bacteria,
76 plants have little inherent ability to degrade RDX¹⁹, with stored RDX becoming biologically
77 available through the food chain via herbivory, or returned as RDX back to the soil when the
78 plant dies²⁰. We have previously demonstrated, in soil-based laboratory studies, that
79 *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*) plants transformed with *xplAB* are able to remove
80 saturating levels of RDX from soil leachate^{13,14}. In these XplAB-expressing plants, RDX is
81 broken down into inert, naturally occurring plant metabolites that are then incorporated into
82 the plant, with no plant harvesting necessary to remove the contaminated material from the
83 site.

84

85 Transgenic phytotechnologies, using model plant species and laboratory and pot-based
86 studies have shown great promise for remediating persistent organic pollutants from the
87 environment, but testing efficacy in-the-field is a significant bottleneck to their
88 implementation²⁰. Firstly, transformation methodologies exist for only a few
89 phytoremediation-suitable species. Secondly, obtaining the necessary licensing to grow
90 transgenic plants requires substantial paperwork and oversight. Thirdly, field-relevant species
91 are relatively large, such as trees and perennial grasses, require geographically large-scale (>
92 0.5 hectare) trials, and can take several years to establish. Finally, field trials, by their very
93 nature, are open to uncontrollable, adverse weather events. Thus, large-scale trials can be
94 prohibitively expensive and time-consuming (>3 years) and without the guarantee of clear-
95 cut results.

96

97 Towards translation of our RDX-degrading technology into the field, we have transformed
98 switchgrass (cultivar ‘Alamo’), with a vector containing *xplA* and *xplB* (Fig. 1b)²¹. These
99 genes were expressed using ubiquitin promoters from grass species giving near constitutive

100 expression throughout the plant ²¹. Laboratory studies on soil columns showed that the
101 XplAB-expressing switchgrass lines were able to remove RDX from soil leachate
102 significantly faster than untransformed plants. Wild-type plants were initially able to stop
103 RDX leaching, but as RDX accumulated in the leaves, uptake declined indicating the plants
104 were saturated with RDX. Furthermore, whereas wild-type plants accumulated up to 0.058
105 mg RDX per g tissue, RDX was not detected in the XplAB-expressing lines, with
106 calculations indicating that RDX degradation was limited by uptake in the transpiration
107 stream, rather than the enzymatic capacity of XplAB²¹.

108

109 Here we report the results from the first field trial of this technology, at Fort Drum military
110 range, NY, USA using XplAB-expressing switchgrass lines²¹. A permit from the US
111 Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)
112 was required to enable controlled release of the transgenic plants into the environment. For
113 the permit, the following data were collected, in collaboration with the US Army Garrison
114 Fort Drum, Environmental and Integrated Training Area Management, and Land
115 Rehabilitation and Maintenance groups: descriptions of the proposed site (rainfall amounts,
116 GPS coordinates, and orientation), demonstration of controlled site access, soil, flora and
117 fauna surveys. Data comparing the wild-type lines with the transgenic lines in greenhouse
118 studies were also provided. A specific condition of the permit was the removal of all
119 developing flower heads from the plots.

120

121 The demonstration site, located on a Plainfield sandy soil, contained a mean of 92 % sand,
122 with small amounts of silt and clay (analyzed across 0 to 1 m depths). A plot cross-section is
123 shown in Figure 2a and an aerial view of the plots is shown in Extended Data Figure 2a. The
124 site layout was based on a completely randomized design with three soil treatments (no RDX,

125 1 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg RDX), three vegetation treatments (no plant control; NPC, wild type,
126 untransformed switchgrass; WT, and XplAB-expressing switchgrass; TG) replicated three
127 times for a total of 27 plots (Fig. S2b). On the 100 mg/kg plots, RDX was applied at 76 g per
128 m² (0.68 kg/plot), a concentration that is within the distribution patterns of RDX reported
129 around a low order detonation of, on average, 3.3 g m⁻², with peaks at 96 g m⁻²²². Results are
130 presented here for the NPC, WT and TG 100 mg/kg plots.

131

132 As shown in Figure 2b and Extended Data Fig. 3, the plants did not establish vigorous growth
133 until the third growing season (2018). At the end of the trial, the average aerial tissue biomass
134 was 0.53 kg/ m². Typical biomass yields for switchgrass are 1.08 kg/m²²³, thus, at the end of
135 the three-year trial, the switchgrass had reached 50 % of its potential biomass. ‘Alamo’, is
136 primarily adapted to southern regions of the USA (below 38-39°N latitude); the field site was
137 located at 44°, 4’47.03” N. Growing ‘Alamo’ at a suboptimal geographical range is likely to
138 have contributed to reduced vigor in the trial. This choice of mismatched cultivar, was a
139 deliberate component for the APHIS permit, designed to reduce the likelihood of cross-
140 pollination with closely-related, native species, and was in addition to the removal of flower
141 heads, during the field trial. Heavy rainfall, coupled with the lined-plot design meant that
142 excess water needed to be pumped from the plots, and it is likely that initial plant growth was
143 limited by water-logging, and subsequent loss of nutrients in the excess water removed from
144 the plots. Throughout the final season of growth, an all-purpose fertilizer was applied.
145 Although previous studies have shown that XplA⁻¹⁴ and XplAB⁻²¹ expressing plants have
146 increased plant biomass when compared to unmodified plants, on the plots, the presence of
147 RDX did not meaningfully affect plant biomass levels in either XplAB-expressing or
148 unmodified plants (Extended Data Fig. 4). This finding demonstrates that RDX toxicity is not

149 a major issue to unmodified switchgrass at 100 mg/kg soil, a concentration commonly found
150 on RDX-contaminated military sites²².

151

152 During the 2018 growing season, levels of RDX in the aerial tissues of unmodified plants
153 grown on the 100 mg/kg RDX plots varied within plots, between plots, and between monthly
154 samplings. RDX was not detected in any of the aerial tissues sampled from the transgenic
155 lines over the entire 2018 growing season, and across all three 100 mg/kg RDX plots, (Fig.
156 2c), confirming XplA activity in all the transgenic lines throughout the growing season.
157 Analysis of transcript and protein levels in tissue harvested from the plots in 2018 (Extended
158 Data Fig 5) showed that the transgenes in the three independently transformed plant lines
159 were expressed at levels comparable to those reported earlier²¹. At the end of the trial, the
160 mean RDX concentration in the wild-type switchgrass from each of the three plots (Extended
161 Data Fig. 6) was 0.025 ± 0.02 mg/g dry weight. Previous measurements of tissue RDX levels
162 using RDX-saturated soil column studies measured a maximum of 0.23 mg/g for wild-type
163 switchgrass. Under these column conditions, RDX was detected in the XplAB-expressing
164 switchgrass line N1, but at just 4 % of that in the unmodified switchgrass, suggesting that
165 0.23 mg/g is indicative of the likely upper limit for the RDX-degrading capacity of the
166 transgenic lines in-the-field²¹, and that the XplAB-expressing plants in the 2018 season were
167 at approximately 11 % of their RDX uptake capacity.

168

169 It is likely that, the aerobic route of RDX degradation (Fig. 1a) is followed *in planta*, with the
170 production of NDAB, nitrite and formaldehyde. Nitrite and formaldehyde are readily
171 metabolized by plants. Structurally, NDAB shares similarity with allantoin, an intermediate
172 in the purine catabolic pathway which requires an amidohydrolase for its decomposition.
173 *Methylobacterium* sp. strain JS178, which is able to degrade NDAB²⁶, also grew on allantoin,

174 the presence of which induced the synthesis of enzyme(s) required for NDAB degradation.
175 Allantoin is also a key intermediate in the assimilation, metabolism, transport, and storage of
176 nitrogen in plants. As we have not observed accumulation of NDAB in our plant-based
177 studies in *Arabidopsis* or switchgrass^{12, 13, 21, 25}, it is possibly further degraded in plants by an
178 allantoin amidohydrolase.

179

180 Analysis of soil core samples from across the plots (Extended Data Fig. 7a) showed that the
181 RDX was distributed heterogeneously across the plot. Unexpectedly, given its documented
182 mobility in soils, RDX had not significantly moved below the 0-5 cm depth in the plots.
183 However, higher concentrations tended to be clustered furthest away from the culvert areas,
184 suggesting localized gradients of RDX occurred, as indicated by arrows on Figure S7b.

185

186 Levels of RDX in soil lysimeters (Extended Data Fig. 7c) indicated that there was no
187 significant difference in the levels of soil water RDX in the NPC, WT, and TG plots across
188 the 2018 growing season. However, the mean RDX level in the TG plot tanks was
189 significantly less ($P = 0.021$) than in the tanks on the NPC plots, and at each month sampled,
190 the RDX level was always lower than that in the NPC and WT plot tanks (Extended Data Fig.
191 7c).

192

193 Figure 2d shows that across all three years, the concentration of RDX in the excess water
194 pumped from the TG plots was always less than from either the NPC or WT plots. In the
195 2018 growing season, water pumped from the plots planted with the XplAB-expressing
196 switchgrass contained significantly less ($P = 0.015$) RDX than water pumped from the NPC
197 plots.

198 As shown in Extended Data Figure 8, less water was pumped from the plots containing the
199 XplAB-expressing plants than from the WT plots. This difference in water pumped from the
200 plots, was irrespective of the presence of RDX. Leaf surface areas (Extended Data Fig. 9) of
201 the wild type and transgenic lines were not significantly different from each other.
202 Furthermore, transpiration rates measured for line N1, were not significantly different from
203 wild-type plants (Extended Data Fig. 10). Differences in water uptake were not observed in
204 laboratory experiments.

205

206 The levels of transpiration from each plot were estimated from the excess water pumped from
207 the plots minus the rainfall measurements for the 2018 season. While it was not possible to
208 determine the level of evaporation from the plots, given the near canopy closure shown in
209 Figure 2b, and S3, this factor was considered to be relatively low compared to that lost
210 through transpiration. Multiplying the mean RDX concentrations in the soil water (Extended
211 Data Fig. 7c) by the calculated volume of water transpired during the 2018 growing season,
212 we calculated that the TG plants took up, and metabolized, significantly ($P = 0.015$) more
213 RDX from the plots than the WT plants (Fig. 2e), and equivalent to an RDX removal rate by
214 the XplAB-expressing plants of 27 kg RDX per hectare. Based on our data in *Arabidopsis*¹⁴,
215 ²⁴, and grass species^{21, 25}, it is likely that the wild-type plants did not significantly degrade any
216 RDX.

217

218 In summary, the results presented here demonstrate that XplAB-expressing switchgrass is an
219 effective tool for the remediation of RDX from military ranges. We have also developed a
220 method for transforming RDX-degrading ability into western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum*
221 *smithii*)²⁵, a species native to many US ranges. Expected benefits are a cost effective and

222 sustainable *in situ* method for preventing contamination of groundwater beneath military
223 ranges.

224

225 **Methods**

226

227 **Plot dosing and planting.**

228 A plot cross-section is shown in Figure 2a. Each plot had a surface area of 3.0 m x 3.0 m with
229 a 0.5 m depth. Lysimeters, placed between the plot upper liners and secondary liners, were
230 used to monitor for RDX contamination in the event of a breach in the integrity of the upper
231 liner. To simulate particulate munitions contamination around targets on training ranges, the
232 100 mg/kg plots were surface dosed with fine particulate RDX mixed with sand at 76 g RDX
233 per m² (0.68 kg/plot), and irrigated with water.

234

235 To produce the estimated 3000 plants needed for the demonstration site, switchgrass
236 vegetative micropropagation techniques were used²⁷. Prior to planting, randomly-selected
237 XplAB-expressing plants were tested using PCR, as described²¹ to confirm the presence of
238 the transgenes, and used in soil-column studies to verify RDX-degrading activity. Each
239 vegetated plot was planted with 150 clones, each 15 - 20 cm high, of control WT or TG
240 plants, spaced on a 20 x 20 cm grid as detailed in Figures S2c and S2d. For the TG plots,
241 three, independently transformed lines, N1, N2 and N5²¹, were planted, as described in
242 Figure S2d. The plants were established for one month before dosing with RDX.

243

244 **Plot maintenance, sampling and decommissioning.**

245 All plots were maintained at a constant soil moisture level (40 %) using a Campbell Scientific
246 CR1000 data logger system coupled to a CS650 soil moisture and temperature sensor to
247 control both the sump pump and irrigation systems.

248 During the growing seasons, all plots were checked weekly and seedling weeds removed. In
249 compliance with the APHIS-released permit, the grass plants were checked for developing
250 flower heads which were detached and destroyed. From April to Oct 2018, a complete 15-15-
251 15 all-purpose fertilizer (Miracle Grow) was applied every week at a rate of 16 g/m².

252

253 Over the winter season, plots were covered with polythene-covered cloches, to reduce plant
254 losses to cold weather and to reduce excess water/snow accumulation in the plots.

255

256 At the start and end of the trial, 5cm diameter soil cores were taken from five equidistant
257 locations, and at three depths, across the plots. At monthly intervals across the 2018 growing
258 season, plants were sampled from rows 2, 3, 4, 7,8, 9, 12, 13, and 14 and from plants 2
259 through 9 to remove bias from edge effects. One plant per row was sampled every two weeks
260 from June through September. The selected plant was cut 15cm above the soil surface and
261 allowed to regrow.

262

263 At the end of the trial, all plant material was incinerated. The RDX in plot tanks was
264 degraded by addition of 10 g/L Ca(OH)₂ per L storage water, RDX in the soil was treated
265 with 5 kg/m² Ca(OH)₂. Water and soil samples were extracted and analyzed using HPLC to
266 verify all RDX had been destroyed. The soil pH on the plots was restored by addition of 4
267 kg/m² Al₂(SO₄) and re-seeded with a mix of 30 % fine fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*), 10 %
268 sheep fescue (*Festuca ovina*), 30 % Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) and 30 % perennial
269 ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). While this approach was suitable for small-scale removal of

270 RDX, the application of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ is not cost-effective at the field-scale required, and would
271 negatively affect soil organisms, with cascade effects on food webs.

272

273 **Extraction and quantification of RDX.**

274 All samples were analyzed using a method adapted from EPA method 8330A²⁸. Briefly, soil
275 samples were air dried at room temperature in the dark, ground, then a 5 g subsample,
276 extracted with 10 mL acetonitrile, and incubated overnight on a rotary shaker. The
277 supernatant was filtered through 0.45 μm PTFE filters, then analyzed using HPLC. RDX was
278 extracted from 100 mg ground plant tissue in 1.4 mL acetonitrile on a rotary shaker
279 overnight, centrifuged 10 min at 12,000 g. The supernatant was applied to a 1 g florisil, 1 g
280 alumina sample preparation column²⁹, and the concentrated, filtered samples analyzed using
281 HPLC.

282 HPLC analysis was conducted using a modular Waters system consisting of a Waters 717+
283 autosampler, two Water 515 HPLC pumps and a Waters 9926 photodiode array detector. A
284 250 x 4.6 mm Hypersil Gold reverse phase column (ThermoFisher) was used for separation
285 with a 60: 40 mobile phase (HPLC-grade water: 83 % methanol/ 17 % acetonitrile) at 1
286 mL/min and monitored at 254 nm with frequent confirmation of RDX identification by
287 spectral analysis using the photo diode array. Peak integration and data analysis were
288 conducted using the Millennium software (Waters).

289

290 **Determination of leaf surface area and transpiration rates.**

291 Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) columns were constructed with PVC tubing (90 mm diameter, 0.5
292 m long), and filled with a mix of 75 % gravel and 25 % sand. Plant were grown in the
293 columns at 25 °C, 16-hour light and 8-hour dark photoperiod, 110 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity
294 to a height of 50 cm. Transpiration efficiency was measured on second youngest, fully-

295 expanded leaves using a SC-1 leaf porometer (Decagon Devices, Inc.). Ten leaves were
296 sampled for each line. Leaf surface areas were determined using WinRhizo (2011 Regent
297 Instruments).

298

299 **Statistical analyses.**

300 Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 25. All data
301 were tested for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test, for data with $P > 0.05$,
302 statistical significance was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
303 followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. For data with $P < 0.05$, statistical significance was
304 performed using the Independent-Samples Kruskal-Wallis test, *post hoc* pairwise
305 comparisons were then performed using Dunn's³⁰ procedure with a Bonferroni correction for
306 multiple comparisons, and adjusted P values presented.

307

308 **Data and biological materials availability**

309 The data that support the findings of this study, and biological materials, are available from
310 the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

311

312 **Acknowledgements**

313 We would like to acknowledge the efforts of Nadia Podpora, Heather Kase and Zach Pick for
314 maintenance and monitoring of the field plots, and Mr. Tom Lent and Mr. Ian Warden from
315 Fort Drum Directorate of Plans, Training, Mobilization and Security, Range Branch, Fort
316 Drum, New York.

317 This work was funded by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program
318 (ER-1498) and Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ER-201436) of

319 the US Department of Defense. The trial was conducted under APHIS permit no. 16-025-
320 103rm.

321

322 **Author contributions**

323 T.J.C., E.L.R., A.J.P., S.E.S., and N.C.B. conceived the study and designed the experiments.

324 T.J.C. was responsible for plot design, construction and sample collection. T.J.C., L.Z. and

325 R.M.R. performed biomass and RDX quantification. E.L.R. T.J.C., S.E.S., L.Z. and N.C.B.

326 analyzed data. E.L.R performed statistical analysis, and L.Z. performed all other experiments.

327 E.L.R. and N.C.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final

328 manuscript.

329 **Competing interests**

330 The authors declare no competing interests.

331

332 **Data availability**

333 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors

334 on request.

335

336 **References**

337 1. Field, S.Q. *Boom!: The Chemistry and History of Explosives* (Chicago Review Press,

338 2017) ISBN 978-1-61373-805-4.

339 2. BCC Research LLC (2018) Global Market for Explosives to Gain \$7.4 Billion from

340 2017-2022. [https://www.bccresearch.com/pressroom/chm/global-market-for-](https://www.bccresearch.com/pressroom/chm/global-market-for-explosives-to-gain-$74-billion-from-2017-2022)

341 [explosives-to-gain-\\$74-billion-from-2017-2022](https://www.bccresearch.com/pressroom/chm/global-market-for-explosives-to-gain-$74-billion-from-2017-2022), accessed Jan-9-2020.

342 3. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Technical Fact Sheet -

- 343 Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX),
344 [www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/ffro_ecfactsheet_rdx_9-15-](http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/ffro_ecfactsheet_rdx_9-15-17_508.pdf)
345 [17_508.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/ffro_ecfactsheet_rdx_9-15-17_508.pdf), accessed Jan-9-2020, (November 2017).
- 346 4. Rylott, E. L., Lorenz, A. & Bruce, N.C. Biodegradation and biotransformation of
347 explosives. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **22**, 434-40 (2011).
- 348 5. Rylott, E.L. & Bruce, N.C. Right on target: using plants and microbes to remediate
349 explosives. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* 1-14 (2019).
- 350 6. Toghiani, R.K., Toghiani, H., Maloney, S.W. & Boddu, V.M. Prediction of
351 physicochemical properties of energetic materials. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **264**, 86-92
352 (2008).
- 353 7. Yamamoto, H., Morley, M.C., Speitel, G.E. & Clausen, J. Fate and Transport of High
354 Explosives in a Sandy Soil: Adsorption and Desorption. *Soil Sediment. Contam.* **13**,
355 361-379 (2004).
- 356 8. Clausen, J., Robb, J., Curry, D. & Korte, N. A case study of contaminants on military
357 ranges: Camp Edwards, Massachusetts, USA. *Environ. Pollut.* **129**, 13-21 (2004).
- 358 9. Albright, R. *Cleanup of chemical and explosive munitions, 2nd Edition* (Elsevier,
359 Oxford, 2012).
- 360 10. Pichtel, J. Distribution and Fate of Military Explosives and Propellants in Soil: A
361 Review. *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.* **2012**, 33 (2012).
- 362 11. United States General Accounting Office, Department of Defense Operational
363 Ranges, More Reliable Cleanup Cost Estimates and a Proactive Approach to
364 Identifying Contamination Are Needed. *Report to Congressional Requesters* (2004).
- 365 12. Kennen K. & Kirkwood, N. *Phyto: Principles and resources for site remediation and*
366 *landscape design* (Routledge, New York, 2015).

- 367 13. Jackson, R., Rylott, E., Fournier, D., Hawari, J. & Bruce, N. Exploring the
368 biochemical properties and remediation applications of the unusual explosive-
369 degrading P450 system XpIA/B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 16822-16827
370 (2007).
- 371 14. Rylott, E.L. et al. An explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 activity and its targeted
372 application for the phytoremediation of RDX. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 216-219 (2006).
- 373 15. Seth-Smith, H.M. et al. Cloning, sequencing, and characterization of the hexahydro-
374 1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine degradation gene cluster from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*.
375 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 4764-4771 (2002).
- 376 16. Paquet, L., Monteil-Rivera, F., Hatzinger, P.B., Fuller, M.E. & Hawari, J. Analysis of
377 the key intermediates of RDX (hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine) in
378 groundwater: occurrence, stability and preservation. *J. Environ. Monit.* **13**, 2304-2311
379 (2011).
- 380 17. Bhadra, R. et al. Studies on plant-mediated fate of the explosives RDX and HMX.
381 *Chemosphere* **44**, 1259-1264 (2001).
- 382 18. Van Aken, B., Yoon, J.M., Just, C.L. & Schnoor, J.L. Metabolism and mineralization
383 of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine inside poplar tissues (*Populus deltoides* x
384 *nigra* DN-34). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **38**, 4572-4579 (2004).
- 385 19. Just, C.L. & Schnoor, J.L. Phytophotolysis of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine
386 (RDX) in leaves of reed canary grass. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **38**, 290-295 (2004).
- 387 20. Rylott, E.L., Johnston, E.J. & Bruce, N.C. Harnessing microbial gene pools to
388 remediate persistent organic pollutants using genetically modified plants - a viable
389 technology? *J. Exp. Bot.* **66**, 6519-6533 (2015).

- 390 21. Zhang, L. et al. Expression in grasses of multiple transgenes for degradation of
391 munitions compounds on live-fire training ranges. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **15**, 624-633
392 (2017).
- 393 22. Hewitt, A.D., Jenkins, T.F., Walsh, M.E., Walsh, M.R. & Taylor, S. RDX and TNT
394 residues from live-fire and blow-in-place detonations. *Chemosphere* **61**, 888-894
395 (2005).
- 396 23. Schneider, U.A. & McCarl, B.A. Economic Potential of Biomass Based Fuels for
397 Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **24**, 291-312 (2003).
- 398 24. Rylott, E.L. et al. The explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 XplA: Biochemistry,
399 structural features and prospects for bioremediation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta -
400 Proteins and Proteomics* **1814**, 230-236 (2011).
- 401 25. Zhang, L., Rylott, E.L., Bruce, N.C. & Strand, S.E. Genetic modification of western
402 wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*) for the phytoremediation of RDX and TNT. *Planta*
403 **249**, 1007-1015 (2018).
- 404 26. Fournier, D., Trott, S., Hawari, J. & Spain, J. Metabolism of the aliphatic nitramine 4-
405 nitro-2,4-diazabutanal by *Methylobacterium* sp. strain JS178. *Appl. Environ.*
406 *Microbiol.* **71**, 4199-4202 (2005).
- 407 27. Alexandrova, K.S., Denchev, P.D. & Conger, B.V. Micropropagation of switchgrass
408 by node culture. *Crop Sci.* **36**, 1709-1711 (1996).
- 409 28. EPA Method 8330A: Method 8330A: Nitroaromatics and Nitramines by High
410 Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Washington, DC, USA.
411 <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/8330a.pdf>, accessed
412 Jan-9-2020 (2007).

- 413 29. Larson, S.L., Strong, A.B., Yost, S.L., Escalon, B.L. & Parker D. Analysis of
414 Explosives in Plant Tissues: Modifications to Method 8330 for Soil. Technical report
415 IRRP-98-5, US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC (1998).
- 416 30. Dunn, O. J.. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. *Technometrics* **6**, 241-252
417 (1964).
- 418

419 **Figure Legends**



420 **Figure 1: Pathway of RDX catabolism by XplAB, and transgene cassette.** (a) Ring
421 cleavage occurs at wx and yz. Compounds in brackets are hypothetical, adapted from¹². (b)
422 The cassette transformed into the switchgrass lines is described in Zhang et al (2017)²¹. The
423 transgenes *xplA*, *xplB*, *nfsI*, and the selectable marker gene *hyg*, which encodes resistance to
424 hygromycin, were controlled by the rice actin (*Osact*), maize ubiquitin (*Zmubi*), cauliflower
425 mosaic virus 35S, and switchgrass ubiquitin (*Pvubi*) promoters, respectively.






426

427 **Figure 2: Plot design and performance.** (a) Schematic showing a cross-section through a
428 vegetated plot. (b) Appearance of the switchgrass on representative plots at the end of each
429 growing season. (c) Levels of RDX in aerial tissues harvested across the 2018 growing
430 season (ND = Not Detected, n = tissue from 9 plants). The top and bottom box lines show the
431 first and third quartiles, the line in the middle of each box is the median. The whiskers show
432 the maximum and minimum values, with the exceptions of outliers (circles) and extremes
433 (asterisks). Outliers are at least 1.5 box lengths from the median, and extremes are at least 3
434 box lengths from the median. (d) Levels of RDX in the excess water pumped from the plots
435 over the three-year duration of the trial (NPC; No Plant Control, n = 3 plots ± SD, circles
436 show data points, * represents significantly different, $P = 0.015$, to NPC 2018). (e) Calculated
437 level of RDX degraded in the transpiration stream from plants in the 100 mg/kg RDX plots
438 over the 2018 growing season (WT; wild type, TG; transgenic, n = 3 plots ± SD). The
439 statistical significance was performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by
440 Tukey's *post hoc* test, * represents significantly, $P = 0.015$.

441

442 Extended Data Figure 1: Map of explosives contamination across the globe. Stars indicate
443 documented RDX contamination, with details listed in Supplementary Table 1.





1 **Supplementary Tables and Figures**

2 **Table S1: Documented RDX contamination located in Figure S1.**

Number	Country	Location
1	Afghanistan	Significant concentrations of RDX recorded in soil between warheads stored on site Astana, a village in the Panjshir Valley of the Parwan Province of Afghanistan ²⁰
2	Australia	Soil for enrichments obtained from a disused site of munitions manufacture and storage site contaminated with RDX ²¹ , RDX-contaminated groundwater in Victoria ²² .
3	Belgium	Soil samples from training ranges ¹³
4	Canada	Contaminated soil at military sites in Alberta, New Brunswick, Quebec, Ontario, British Columbia ¹ .
5	Guam	A US island territory in Micronesia. RDX detected in surface water runoff at the discharge point of the Almagosa River into the Fena Reservoir ²³ .
6	Germany	The Kolberger Heide munition dumping ground in the southwest Baltic Sea near Kiel, Germany. The site contains approx. 30 000 tons of munitions from World War II comprising mainly TNT, RDX, and DNB (1,3- dinitrobenzene) ²⁴ .
		RDX in soil and groundwater samples from a former plant for the production of explosives during World War II at Elsnig (Saxony) ²⁵ .
7	India	Soil for enrichment studies collected from an explosives-contaminated site in Panchkula, Haryana, India ²⁶ .

8	Italy	RDX found in soil samples collected in Sardinia ¹⁷ .
9	Israel	Process wastes from an RDX manufacturing plant used for microbial enrichment studies ²⁷ . RDX contaminated groundwater from a coastal aquifer ²⁸ .
10	Puerto Rico	Vieques island used for military training 1941 to 2001, contaminated with explosives including RDX at levels threatening human health ⁸ .
11	South Korea	RDX from a military gunnery range found in groundwater towards the Imjin River, near Seoul ²⁹ .
		Artillery ranges operated by the Korean Army of South Korea ⁷ .
12	Switzerland	Between 1920 and 1967 roughly 4600 tonnes (t) of ammunition waste dumped at Lake Thun, including RDX ¹⁵ .
13	Sweden	RDX found at Alvdalen shooting range, Sweden ³⁰
14	Taiwan	RDX found in surface soil at military shooting ranges in Kinmen County ³¹ .
15	UK	Soil samples from a site heavily contaminated with RDX and octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX) ³² , and soil samples used for enrichment cultures ¹³ .
16	Ukraine	Military training range soil ¹³ .
17	US	See Table S2 for details

3

4

5 **Table S2: Examples of RDX contamination in American States.**

6 This list is not exhaustive; many sites are under continuous monitoring and clean-up, and
 7 identification of new sites continues.

8

State		Location
Alabama	AL	Redstone Arsenal ³³
Alaska	AK	Donnelly Training Area ³⁴ , Fort Richardson ³⁵ , Fort Wainwright ³⁶
Arizona	AZ	Camp Navajo, Bellemont ³⁷
California	CA	Fort Ord ³⁴ , 29 Palms ³⁸
Colorado	CO	Fort Carson ³⁴
Florida	FL	Elgin Air Force Base ³⁹
Hawaii	HI	Pohakuloa Schofield Barracks ³⁴
Illinois	IL	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant ¹ , Savanna Army Depot ⁴⁰ , Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge ⁴¹
Iowa	IA	Iowa Army Ammunition Plant ⁴⁰
Kentucky	KY	Blue Grass Army Depot ⁴²
Louisiana	LA	Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant ⁴⁰ , Fort Polk ³⁴
Maryland	MD	Aberdeen Proving Ground ⁴⁰
Massachusetts	MA	Camp Edwards, Massachusetts Military Reserve ⁴³ , Cape Cod and Otis Air National Guard Base ⁴⁴
Mississippi	MS	Camp Shelby ³⁴
Missouri	MO	Fort Leonard Wood ³⁴
Nebraska	NE	Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant ⁴⁰
Nevada	NV	Hawthorne Army Depot ⁴⁵

New Jersey	NJ	Picatinny Arsenal ⁴⁶
New Mexico	NM	Fort Bliss ³⁴ , Holloman Air Force Base, Los Alamos National Laboratory ⁴⁷
Oregon	OR	Umatilla Army Depot ⁴⁰ , a munitions storage and handling depot in Hermiston, Oregon ^{48, 49}
South Carolina	SC	Fort Jackson ⁵⁰
Tennessee	TN	Holston Army Ammunition Plant in Kingsport ¹ , Milan Army Ammunition Plant ⁴⁰
Texas	TX	Fort Hood ³⁴ , Holston Army Ammunition Plant ¹ , Pantex Plant ⁵¹
Utah	UT	Tooele Army Depot (North Area) ^{52, 53}
Virginia	VA	Radford Army Ammunition Plant, Radford ⁵⁴
Washington	WA	Bangor Naval Submarine Base & Ordnance Disposal ³⁴ , Camp Bonneville, Yakima Training Center ⁴⁰
Wisconsin	WI	Barksdale Explosives Plant ¹
Wyoming	WY	Camp Guernsey ³⁴

9

10

11 **Figure S1: Map of explosives contamination across the globe.** Country names link to
 12 source information. Stars indicate documented RDX contamination, with details listed in
 13 Table S1.


14 (This figure is provided as a pdf with interactive hyperlinks)

15

16 **Figure S2: Design of the field plots and layout.**


17 (a) Randomized block lay-out of the plots. (b) Location of the plant lines on each plot. (c)
 18 Location of each of the three, independently-transformed XplAB-expressing lines N1, N2
 19 and N5. (d) Aerial photograph of the plot taken September 2015 before planting.

20
 21
 22



23
 24


25 **Figure S3: Appearance of the plants at the end of each growing season over the three-**
26 **year trial.**



28 **Figure S4: Aerial tissue weights following harvest at the end of the field trial.**

29 (a) Biomass of the WT (wild type) and individual (TG) transgenic N lines on uncontaminated
30 and RDX-treated plots (n = 45(WT), n = 15(TG) plants). Statistical significance was
31 performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. $P < 0.05$ was
32 considered as significant. On No RDX plots, line N5 mean biomass was significantly ($P <$
33 0.004) lower than N2. (b) Comparison of biomass from individual plots (n = 15 plants). A
34 Kruskal-Wallis H test showed the distributions of biomass values for the No RDX plots were
35 statistically significantly different ($P = 0.002$). Pairwise comparisons were performed using
36 Dunn's (1964) procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and
37 presenting adjusted P values. This *post hoc* analysis revealed that the plant biomass from TG
38 plot 12 was statistically significantly different from TG plots 21 and 26 ($P = 0.013$). (c) Total
39 biomass for each of the treatment types WT and transgenic (TG) plants (n = 3 plots). (d)
40 Appearance of representative tissue samples during harvest.

41




42

43

44

45 **Figure S5: Total tissue RDX from plots over the 2018 growing season.**
46 Gene expression analysis on leaf tissue harvested from the plots in September 2018. **(a)**
47 Transcript abundance measured using quantitative RT-PCR for *xplA* and **(b)** *xplB*
48 expression. Conditions were as described earlier⁵⁵, with transcripts normalized to the
49 switchgrass reference gene eIF-4a. Results are mean ± SE, represent triplicate measurements
50 on single wild type (WT) plants from each of plots 4, 7 and 13; and single plants from plots 2,
51 23 and 26 for each transgenic line. A two-tailed *t*-test showed the transgenic lines N1, N2 and
52 N5 were significantly different from WT (* = $P \leq 0.022$). **(c)** Western blot analysis
53 conducted as described earlier⁵⁵ using XplA antibody⁵⁶ and RuBisCO large subunit antibody
54 (AS03 037, Agrisera, Sweden). Band intensities for each line, and antibody, were quantified
55 from 8 replicate blots using ImageJ software⁵⁷, results are mean ± SE, a two-tailed *t*-test
56 showed the transgenic lines N1, N2 and N5 were significantly different from WT (* = $P <$
57 **0.000**).

58




60 **Figure S6: Total tissue RDX from plots over the 2018 growing season.**

61 Mean aerial tissue RDX concentration in plants from the 100 ppm RDX plots (ND, Not

62 Detected, n = 45).

63




64 **Figure S7: RDX soil, soil water and tank concentrations in 2018 growing season.**

65 (a) Soil RDX concentration in the 100 ppm plots measured at three depths at the start (April
66 n= 9 soil samples) and end (Oct n= 27 soil samples) of the growing season (NPC; No plant
67 control, WT; wild type, TG, transgenic).

68 (b) Heat plot showing mean distribution of RDX across the 100 ppm plots at the three depths,
69 c = position of culvert, tank = position of water tank.

70 (c) Concentration of RDX in lysimeters and tanks across the 2018 growing season. Lysimeter
71 data: n = 12(NPC), 15(WT), 15(TG). Tank data: n = 11(NPC), 12(WT), 12(TG). For the tank
72 data, a Kruskal-Wallis H test showed the distributions of NPC, WT and TG values were
73 statistically significantly different ($P = 0.026$) from each other. Pairwise comparisons were
74 performed using Dunn's (1964) procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple
75 comparisons, and presenting adjusted P values. This *post hoc* analysis revealed that the RDX
76 concentrations in the TG tanks were statistically significantly different from those in the NPC
77 tanks ($P = 0.021$).



78


79

80 **Figure S8: Excess water pumped from plots over each growing season.**

81 Volume of excess water pumped from the plots over each growing season of the field trial
82 (NPC; No plant control, WT; wild type, TG, transgenic, n = 3 plots). (a) No RDX plots. (b)
83 100ppm RDX plots. Letters denote values that were significantly between the three treatment
84 types for that growing season. For the 2017 No RDX data, a Kruskal-Wallis H test showed
85 the distributions of NPC, WT and TG values were statistically significantly different ($P =$
86 0.014) from each other. Pairwise comparisons were performed using Dunn's (1964)
87 procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. For all over data, one-way
88 analysis of variance followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test was used, with $P < 0.05$ considered as
89 significant.

90

91




92

94 **Figure S9: Leaf surface area of the plants at the end of the 2018 growing season.**

95 (a) Mean leaf surface areas in the wild type (WT) and individual transgenic (TG) lines grown
96 in the laboratory (n = 3 plants). (b) Comparison of mean leaf surface area of all WT and TG
97 plants grown on the uncontaminated (No RDX) versus RDX-treated (+ RDX) plots (n = 6
98 plots) (c) Mean leaf surface areas in the WT and individual TG N lines grown in the field,
99 independent of the presence of RDX. (d) Mean leaf surface areas in the WT and TG lines
100 grown in uncontaminated versus RDX-treated.

101

102



103

104


105

106 **Figure S10: Leaf transpiration rates.**

107 Leaf surface transpiration rates for wild type and transgenic line N1 from adaxial and abaxial
108 surfaces (n = 10 leaves).

109

110



111

112

113 **References**

- 114 1. Pichtel, J. Distribution and Fate of Military Explosives and Propellants in Soil: A
115 Review. *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.*, **2012**, 1-33 (2012) doi.org/10.1155/2012/617236.
- 116 2. Moyes, R. Explosive remnants of war and mines other than anti-personnel mines,
117 Global survey 2003 – 2004, [http://www.article36.org/wp-](http://www.article36.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/10/global-impact-survey.pdf)
118 [content/uploads/2008/10/global-impact-survey.pdf](http://www.article36.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/10/global-impact-survey.pdf), accessed Dec-9-2019. *Landmine*
119 *Action, 89 Albert Embankment, London*, ISBN 0-9536717-9-8, (2005).
- 120 3. Borrie, J. Explosive Remnants of War, A global survey,
121 <https://www.unidir.org/files/medias/pdfs/erw-a-global-survey-eng-0-69.pdf>. Accessed
122 Dec-4-2019. *Landmine Action, 89 Albert Embankment, London*, ISBN 0953671755,
123 (2003).
- 124 4. United Nations, Mine Action Service Annual Report,
125 https://unmas.org/sites/default/files/unmas_annual_report_2018.pdf, accessed Dec-
126 16-2019, (2018).
- 127 5. Australian Government Department of Defence. *Unexploded ordnance (UXO)*
128 *Mapping Application Information*,
129 <https://www.defence.gov.au/UXO/Where/MapAppInfo.asp>, accessed Dec-9-2019.
- 130 6. Barreto-Rodrigues, M., Silva, F.T. & Paiva, T.C.B. Optimization of Brazilian TNT
131 industry wastewater treatment using combined zero-valent iron and Fenton processes.
132 *J. Hazard. Mater.* **168**, 1065-1069 (2009) doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.02.172.
- 133 7. Oh, S.-Y. & Shin, D.-S. Remediation of Explosive-Contaminated Soils: Alkaline
134 Hydrolysis and Subcritical Water Degradation. *Soil & Sediment Contam.* **24**, 157-171
135 (2015) doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2014.929633.
- 136 8. Sanderson, H. et al. Civilian exposure to munitions-specific carcinogens and resulting
137 cancer risks for civilians on the Puerto Rican island of Vieques following military

- 138 exercises from 1947 to 1998. *Global Security: Health, Sci. & Policy* **2**, 40-61 (2017)
139 doi.org/10.1080/23779497.2017.1369358.
- 140 9. Fedman, D.K., C; Fisk, B; Tsuji, E. *Japan Air Raids*, <https://www.japanairraids.org/>,
141 accessed Nov-18- 2019.
- 142 10. Fuchs, J.S. et al. Ecotoxicological characterization of a disposal lagoon from a
143 munition plant. *B. Environ. Contam. Tox.* **67**, 696-703 (2001)
144 doi.org/10.1007/s001280179.
- 145 11. Kjellström, A., Brantlind, M. & Eldsäter, C. Optimized microwave extraction for
146 trace detection of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene in soil samples. *Chemosphere* **71**, 1701-1708
147 (2008) doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.01.004.
- 148 12. Zwang, J., Simon, P. Epidemiological Study of Landmines/ ERW Accidents and
149 Victims in Kachin, Kayah, and Shan States, Burma. *J. Conventional Weapons*
150 *Destruction* **21**, art.12 (2017).
- 151 13. Chong, C.S. et al. Analysis of the *xplAB*-containing gene cluster involved in the
152 bacterial degradation of the explosive hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine. *Appl.*
153 *Environ. Microbiol.* **80**, 6601-6610 (2014) doi: 10.1128/AEM.01818-14.
- 154 14. Kober, S.L., Hollert, H. & Frohme, M. Quantification of nitroaromatic explosives in
155 contaminated soil using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **411**,
156 5993-6003 (2019) doi: 10.1007/s00216-019-01976-y.
- 157 15. Estoppey, N. et al. Monitoring of explosive residues in lake-bottom water using Polar
158 Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler (POCIS) and chemcatcher: determination of
159 transfer kinetics through Polyethersulfone (PES) membrane is crucial. *Environ.*
160 *Pollut.* **252**, 767-776 (2019) doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.04.087.
- 161 16. Gorecki, S. et al. Human health risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs of plant
162 and animal origin produced on a site polluted by chemical munitions of the First

- 163 World War. *Sci. Total Environ.* **599-600**, 314-323 (2017) doi:
164 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.213 .
- 165 17. Cristaldi, M. et al. Toxic emissions from a military test site in the territory of Sardinia,
166 Italy. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **10**, 1631-1646 (2013) doi:
167 10.3390/ijerph10041631.
- 168 18. Duque, E., Haidour, A., Godoy, F. & Ramos, J.L. Construction of a *Pseudomonas*
169 hybrid strain that mineralises 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**, 2278-2283
170 (1993) doi: 10.1128/jb.175.8.2278-2283.1993.
- 171 19. Amaral, H.I., Fernandes, J., Berg, M., Schwarzenbach, R.P. & Kipfer, R. Assessing
172 TNT and DNT groundwater contamination by compound-specific isotope analysis
173 and ^3H - ^3He groundwater dating: a case study in Portugal. *Chemosphere* **77**, 805-812
174 (2009) doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.08.011.
- 175 20. Jensen D. & Zaidi A. Ground Contamination Assessment Report. The UNEP
176 Capacity Building and Institutional Development Programme for Environmental
177 Management in Afghanistan,
178 <https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/->
179 [Ground_contamination_assessment_report_Military_waste_storage_site,_Astana,_Af](https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/-)
180 [ghanistan-](https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/-)
181 [2006ground_contamination_report_afghanistan.pdf.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y,](https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/-)
182 [accessed Dec-4-2019](https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/-) (2006).
- 183 21. Coleman, N.V., D.R. Nelson, and T. Duxbury Aerobic biodegradation of hexahydro-
184 1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) as a nitrogen source by a *Rhodococcus* sp., strain
185 DN22. *Soil Biol. & Biochem.* **30**, 1159-1167 (1998) doi.org/10.1016/S0038-
186 0717(97)00172-7.

- 187 22. Knight, M.J. Organic chemical contamination of groundwater in Australia - an
188 overview to 1993. *AGSO J. Aust. Geol. Geophys.* **14**, 107-122.
- 189 23. Naval Facilities Engineering Command. *Range Condition Assessment Marianas*
190 *Land-Based Operational Range Complex, Decision Point 1 Recommendations Report,*
191 *Guam and Commonwealth Northern Mariana Islands,*
192 <https://www.denix.osd.mil/sri/policy/reports/unassigned/marianas>, accessed Nov-12-
193 2019 (May 2008),
- 194 24. Beck, A.J. et al. In Situ Measurements of Explosive Compound Dissolution Fluxes
195 from Exposed Munition Material in the Baltic Sea. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 5652-
196 5660 (2019) doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b06974.
- 197 25. Godejohann, M., Preiss, A., Levsen, K., Wollin, K.-M. & Mügge, C. Determination of
198 Polar Organic Pollutants in Aqueous Samples of Former Ammunition Sites in Lower
199 Saxony by Means of HPLC/Photodiode Array Detection (HPLC/PDA) and Proton
200 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (¹H-NMR). *Acta hydrochim. Hydrobiol.*
201 **26**, 330-337 (1998) doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-401X(199811)26:6<330::AID-
202 AHEH330>3.0.CO;2-T.
- 203 26. Pal, Y., Mayilraj, S., Paul, M., Schumann, P. & Krishnamurthi, S. *Indiicoccus*
204 *explosivorum* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from an explosives waste contaminated site.
205 *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **69**, 2555-2564 (2019) doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003541 .
- 206 27. Brenner, A., Ronen, Z., Harel, Y. & Abeliovich, A. Use of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-
207 1,3,5-triazine as a nitrogen source in biological treatment of munitions wastes. *Water*
208 *Env. Res.* **72**, 469-475 (2000) doi.org/10.2175/106143000X138012.
- 209 28. Bernstein, A. et al. Quantifying RDX biodegradation in groundwater using delta15N
210 isotope analysis. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **111**, 25-35 (2010) doi:
211 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2009.10.010.

- 212 29. Choi, Y. et al. Ecological Risk Characterization in a Military Heavy Metals– and
213 Explosives-Contaminated Site. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.* **17**, 856-872 (2011)
214 doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2011.588151.
- 215 30. Wingfors, H. et al. Evaluation of the contamination by explosives and metals in soils
216 at the Älvdalen shooting range. Part II: results and discussion, [https://www.foi.se/rest-](https://www.foi.se/rest-api/report/FOI-R--1877--SE)
217 [api/report/FOI-R--1877--SE](https://www.foi.se/rest-api/report/FOI-R--1877--SE), accessed 18-Nov-2019. *NBC Defence, Scientific*
218 *Report* (January 2006).
- 219 31. Yang, Y.Y., Liu, J.T. & Lin, C.H. Determination of nitroaromatic explosives residue
220 at military shooting ranges using a sweeping-MEKC method. *Electrophoresis* **30**,
221 1084-1087 (2009) doi: 10.1002/elps.200800269.
- 222 32. Seth-Smith, H.M. et al. Cloning, sequencing, and characterization of the hexahydro-
223 1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine degradation gene cluster from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*.
224 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 4764-4771 (2002) doi: 10.1128/aem.68.10.4764-
225 4771.2002.
- 226 33. Environmental Protection Authority. Fact Sheet I.D. Number AL 7 210 020 742,
227 <http://www.adem.state.al.us/newsEvents/notices/jul18/pdfs/7redstone.pdf>, accessed
228 Dec-16-2019. *U.S. Army Garrison - Redstone, Huntsville, Madison County, Alabama*
229 (2018).
- 230 34. Judith C. Pennington, J.C. et al. Distribution and fate of energetics on DOD test and
231 training ranges: Final report, [https://www.serdp-](https://www.serdp-estep.org/content/download/5898/80547/file/ER-1155-FR.pdf)
232 [estep.org/content/download/5898/80547/file/ER-1155-FR.pdf](https://www.serdp-estep.org/content/download/5898/80547/file/ER-1155-FR.pdf), accessed Dec-4-2019.
233 *ERDC TR-06-13, US Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development*
234 *Center, Vicksburg, Miss, USA* (2006).
- 235 35. Walsh, M.E. et al. Sampling for Explosives-Residues at Fort Greely, Alaska. *Soil*
236 *Sediment. Contam.* **12**, 631-645 (2003) doi.org/10.1080/714037711.

- 237 36. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Public Health Assessment for
238 Redstone Army Garrison/Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Madison County,
239 Alabama,
240 <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/RedstoneArmy/RedstoneArmyPHA071205.pdf>,
241 accessed Dec-3-2019. (July 2005).
- 242 37. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Final Five-Year Review Report, Camp Navajo,
243 Bellemont, Arizona, http://static.azdeq.gov/sup/dod/fyr_camp_navajo_oct2015.pdf,
244 accessed Dec-16-2019, *Louisville, Kentucky* (2015).
- 245 38. Fort Ord Base Realignment and Closure Office, Final, 4th Five-Year Review Report
246 for Fort Ord Superfund Site, Monterey County, California,
247 http://docs.fortordcleanup.com/ar_pdfs/ar-bw-2834/BW-2834.pdf, accessed Dec-16-
248 2019, *U.S. Department of the Army, California* (2017).
- 249 39. Andeer, P., Stahl, D.A., Lillis, L. & Strand, S.E. Identification of microbial
250 populations assimilating nitrogen from RDX in munitions contaminated military
251 training range soils by high sensitivity stable isotope probing. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
252 **47**, 10356-10363 (2013) doi: 10.1021/es401729c.
- 253 40. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for RDX.
254 *U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Atlanta, GA.*
255 (2012).
- 256 41. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fifth five-year review report for Crab
257 Orchard National Wildlife Refuge Superfund Site, Marion, Illinois,
258 <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/05/479103.pdf>, accessed Dec-16-2019. Chicago.
259 Illinois (2016).
- 260 42. US Army Environmental Command. Army Defense Environmental Restoration
261 Program, Installation Action Plan, Blue Grass Army Depot,

- 262 <https://aec.army.mil/application/files/2215/0008/1922/KY-BGAD.pdf>, accessed Dec-
263 16-2019. (2016).
- 264 43. Clausen, J., Robb, J., Curry, D. & Korte, N. A case study of contaminants on military
265 ranges: Camp Edwards, Massachusetts, USA. *Environ.Pollut.* **129**, 13-21 (2004) doi:
266 10.1016/j.envpol.2003.10.002.
- 267 44. Air Force Civil Engineer Center/ Joint Base Cape Cod. Final 5th Five Year Review,
268 2012 - 2017, Joint Base Cape Cod Superfund Site, Otis Air National Guard Base,
269 MA,
270 <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/01/100010387.pdf>, accessed Dec-16-2019. *Installation*
271 *Restoration Program, 322 East Inner Road, Otis ANGB, MA 02542* (2018).
- 272 45. Hawthorne Army Depot, Installation Action Plan,
273 <https://aec.army.mil/application/files/3115/0060/4779/NV-HawthorneAD.pdf>
274 accessed Dec-12-2019. *Army Defense Environmental Restoration Program* (2 June
275 2017).
- 276 46. US Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District. Final, fifth five-year review
277 report, Picatinny Arsenal, Morris County, New Jersey,
278 <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/02/351722.pdf>, accessed Dec-16-2019 (2016).
- 279 47. Heerspink, B.P. et al. Fate and transport of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine
280 (RDX) and its degradation products in sedimentary and volcanic rocks, Los Alamos,
281 New Mexico. *Chemosphere* **182**, 276-283 (2017) doi:
282 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.04.149.
- 283 48. Crocker, F.H. et al. Evaluation of microbial transport during aerobic bioaugmentation
284 of an RDX-contaminated aquifer. *Biodegradation* **26**, 443-451 (2015)
285 doi.org/10.1007/s10532-015-9746-1.

- 286 49. Michalsen, M.M. et al. Evaluation of Biostimulation and Bioaugmentation To
287 Stimulate Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5,-triazine Degradation in an Aerobic
288 Groundwater Aquifer. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **50**, 7625-7632 (2016) doi:
289 10.1021/acs.est.6b00630.
- 290 50. The Associated Press. Toxic chemical used in hand grenades contaminates drinking
291 water near Fort Jackson, [https://www.armytimes.com/news/your-](https://www.armytimes.com/news/your-army/2019/07/27/toxic-chemical-used-in-hand-grenades-contaminates-drinking-water-near-fort-jackson-data-shows/)
292 [army/2019/07/27/toxic-chemical-used-in-hand-grenades-contaminates-drinking-](https://www.armytimes.com/news/your-army/2019/07/27/toxic-chemical-used-in-hand-grenades-contaminates-drinking-water-near-fort-jackson-data-shows/)
293 [water-near-fort-jackson-data-shows/](https://www.armytimes.com/news/your-army/2019/07/27/toxic-chemical-used-in-hand-grenades-contaminates-drinking-water-near-fort-jackson-data-shows/), accessed Dec-9-2019. *Army Times* (July-27-
294 2019).
- 295 51. Boparai, H.K., Comfort, S.D., Shea, P.J. & Szecsody, J.E. Remediating explosive-
296 contaminated groundwater by in situ redox manipulation (ISRM) of aquifer
297 sediments. *Chemosphere* **71**, 933-941 (2008) doi:
298 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.11.001.
- 299 52. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Tooele Army Depot (North
300 Area), Tooele, Tooele County, Utah, Public Health Assessments and Health
301 Consultations, <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/pha.asp?docid=108&pg=1>,
302 accessed Dec-4-2019, (2010).
- 303 53. U.S Army Corps of Engineers, Fourth five-year review report for Tooele Army Depot
304 Superfund Site, <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/08/1893648.pdf>, accessed Dec-9-2019.
305 Tooele County, Utah. *Savannah District, CESAS-EN-GH, 100 W. Oglethorpe Avenue,*
306 *Savannah, GA 31401* (2018).
- 307 54. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Evaluation of potential for
308 chemicals released to groundwater or surface water to affect drinking water in the
309 nearby community, Radford Army Ammunition Plant, Virginia,
310 <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/RadfordArmyAmmunitionPlant/Radford%20Ar>

311 my%20Ammunition%20Plant_HC_Final_01-28-2015.pdf , accessed Dec-4-2019.
312 (Jan 2015).

313 55. Zhang, L. et al. Expression in grasses of multiple transgenes for degradation of
314 munitions compounds on live-fire training ranges. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **15**, 624-633
315 (2017).

316 56. Rylott, E.L. et al. An explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 activity and its targeted
317 application for the phytoremediation of RDX. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 216-219 (2006).

318
319