This is a repository copy of *Field trial demonstrating phytoremediation of the military explosive RDX using XplAB-expressing switchgrass in-the-field*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173216/

Version: Accepted Version

1 # Field trial demonstrating phytoremediation of the military explosive RDX

2 # by *XplAB*-expressing switchgrass

3

4 Timothy J. Cary[1], Elizabeth L. Rylott[2*], Long Zhang[3], Ryan M. Routsong[3], Antonio J.

5 Palazzo[1], Stuart E. Strand[3] and Neil C. Bruce[2*]

6

7 [1]U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center,

8 Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Biogeochemical Sciences Branch,

9 Hanover, NH, USA

10

11 [2]Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York,

12 Wentworth Way, York YO10 5DD, UK.

13

14 [3]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, Box

15 355014, Seattle, WA 98195-5014, USA.

16

17 * Correspondence: liz.rylott@york.ac.uk, neil.bruce@york.ac.uk,

18

19 **Abstract**

20 The explosive hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), a major component of

21 munitions, is used extensively on military training ranges. As a result, widespread RDX

22 pollution in groundwater and aquifers in the United States is now well documented. RDX is

23 toxic, but its removal from training ranges is logistically challenging, lacking cost-effective

24 and sustainable solutions. Previously, we have shown that thale cress (*Arabidopsis thaliana*)

25   engineered to express two genes, *xplA* and *xplB,* encoding RDX-degrading enzymes from the

26   soil bacterium *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* 11Y can break down this xenobiotic in laboratory

27   studies. Here, we report the results of a 3-year field trial of XplAB-expressing switchgrass

28   (*Panicum virgatum*) conducted on three locations in a military site. Our data suggest that

29   XplAB switchgrass has *in situ* efficacy, with potential utility for detoxifying RDX on live-fire

30   training ranges, munitions dumps and minefields.

31

32   **Main text**

33   During World War II, RDX production peaked at 15,000 tons a month in the United States,

34   and 7000 tons a month in Germany[1]. A major component in many munitions[1], the demand for

35   RDX is still high with a market size of $10.43 billion in 2018[2]. But RDX is toxic to soil

36   organisms and humans, recalcitrant to degradation in the environment, and extremely mobile,

37   readily moving into the groundwater[3-7]. These properties have resulted in the buildup of RDX

38   in soil and groundwater to levels that have then threatened, or affected, human health, such as

39   contamination of a sole source aquifer at Cape Cod[8], and exposure of individuals to RDX in

40   the Bickford Case in Utah[9].

41

42   Global RDX-contaminated areas are hard to map: historical explosives contamination is

43   poorly recorded, and for reasons of security and political sensitivity, locations of current

44   military sites are not openly documented. Extended Data Figure 1 maps global locations of

45   explosives contamination, with examples of specifically RDX contamination additionally

46   listed, and described in Supplementary Table 1. Supplementary Table 2 demonstrates the

47   scale of RDX contamination in the United States. Contamination is not just limited to active

48   military ranges, but includes decommissioned ranges, munitions dump sites and minefields;

49   extensive RDX plumes emanate from manufacturing sites[8,9].

50

51   The removal of this pollutant is an intractable challenge: the scale is enormous, in the US

52   alone, 10 million hectares of military land is contaminated with munitions components[10,11].

53   Contamination is heterogeneously spread[8,10], often unmapped, with unexploded ordnance

54   restricting ground-access. Furthermore, armed forces need live-fire training, and there is an

55   urgent need to contain and perpetually remediate continuing contamination on active ranges.

56   Traditional remediation methods such as land fill, incineration or advanced oxidation, are

57   more suited to small-scale, highly polluted areas, where clean-up time is short (< 3 years),

58   and overall costs are relatively low[12]. The US Department of Defense (DoD) estimated that

59   the remediation of its active ranges alone using currently-available methodologies would cost

60   between \$16 billion and \$165 billion[11]. To address this remediation challenge, the testing of

61   novel cost-effective technologies is necessary.

62

63   Previously, we isolated a soil bacterium, *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* 11Y, with the ability to

64   degrade RDX. The genes responsible, *xplA* and *xplB,* encoded a unique class VI cytochrome

65   P450 XplA, and accompanying flavodoxin reductase, XplB[13-15]. The XplAB system catalyzes

66   the reductive denitration of RDX to form, under aerobic conditions, 4-nitro-2,4-diazbutanal

67   (NDAB), and anaerobically methylenedinitramine (MEDINA; Fig. 1a)[13]. Although MEDINA

68   is converted to formaldehyde and nitrous oxide, products that are mineralizable in soils, the

69   aerobic product NDAB has been detected in groundwater from RDX-contaminated soils[16].

70

71   Using plants to remediate environmental pollutants has many potential benefits: they are

72   minimally disruptive, promote restoration ecology, and are aesthetically pleasing, with high

73   levels of public acceptance. Furthermore, for longer-term (>3 years) projects,

74   phytoremediation has the potential to be low maintenance and cost effective. In plants,

75   following uptake, RDX is located almost exclusively in the aerial tissues[17,18]. Unlike bacteria,

76   plants have little inherent ability to degrade RDX[19], with stored RDX becoming biologically

77   available through the food chain via herbivory, or returned as RDX back to the soil when the

78   plant dies[20]. We have previously demonstrated, in soil-based laboratory studies, that

79   *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis) plants transformed with *xplAB* are able to remove

80   saturating levels of RDX from soil leachate[13,14]. In these XplAB-expressing plants, RDX is

81   broken down into inert, naturally occurring plant metabolites that are then incorporated into

82   the plant, with no plant harvesting necessary to remove the contaminated material from the

83   site.

84

85   Transgenic phytotechnologies, using model plant species and laboratory and pot-based

86   studies have shown great promise for remediating persistent organic pollutants from the

87   environment, but testing efficacy in-the-field is a significant bottleneck to their

88   implementation[20]. Firstly, transformation methodologies exist for only a few

89   phytoremediation-suitable species. Secondly, obtaining the necessary licensing to grow

90   transgenic plants requires substantial paperwork and oversight. Thirdly, field-relevant species

91   are relatively large, such as trees and perennial grasses, require geographically large-scale (>

92   0.5 hectare) trials, and can take several years to establish. Finally, field trials, by their very

93   nature, are open to uncontrollable, adverse weather events. Thus, large-scale trials can be

94   prohibitively expensive and time-consuming (>3 years) and without the guarantee of clear-

95   cut results.

96

97   Towards translation of our RDX-degrading technology into the field, we have transformed

98   switchgrass (cultivar 'Alamo'), with a vector containing *xplA* and *xplB* (Fig. 1b)[21]. These

99   genes were expressed using ubiquitin promoters from grass species giving near constitutive

4

100   expression throughout the plant [21]. Laboratory studies on soil columns showed that the

101   XplAB-expressing switchgrass lines were able to remove RDX from soil leachate

102   significantly faster than untransformed plants. Wild-type plants were initially able to stop

103   RDX leaching, but as RDX accumulated in the leaves, uptake declined indicating the plants

104   were saturated with RDX. Furthermore, whereas wild-type plants accumulated up to 0.058

105   mg RDX per g tissue, RDX was not detected in the XplAB-expressing lines, with

106   calculations indicating that RDX degradation was limited by uptake in the transpiration

107   stream, rather than the enzymatic capacity of XplAB[21].

108

109   Here we report the results from the first field trial of this technology, at Fort Drum military

110   range, NY, USA using XplAB-expressing switchgrass lines[21]. A permit from the US

111   Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)

112   was required to enable controlled release of the transgenic plants into the environment. For

113   the permit, the following data were collected, in collaboration with the US Army Garrison

114   Fort Drum, Environmental and Integrated Training Area Management, and Land

115   Rehabilitation and Maintenance groups: descriptions of the proposed site (rainfall amounts,

116   GPS coordinates, and orientation), demonstration of controlled site access, soil, flora and

117   fauna surveys. Data comparing the wild-type lines with the transgenic lines in greenhouse

118   studies were also provided. A specific condition of the permit was the removal of all

119   developing flower heads from the plots.

120

121   The demonstration site, located on a Plainfield sandy soil, contained a mean of 92 % sand,

122   with small amounts of silt and clay (analyzed across 0 to 1 m depths). A plot cross-section is

123   shown in Figure 2a and an aerial view of the plots is shown in Extended Data Figure 2a. The

124   site layout was based on a completely randomized design with three soil treatments (no RDX,

125 1 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg RDX), three vegetation treatments (no plant control; NPC, wild type,

126 untransformed switchgrass; WT, and XplAB-expressing switchgrass; TG) replicated three

127 times for a total of 27 plots (Fig. S2b). On the 100 mg/kg plots, RDX was applied at 76 g per

128 m^2 (0.68 kg/plot), a concentration that is within the distribution patterns of RDX reported

129 around a low order detonation of, on average, 3.3 g m^{-2}, with peaks at 96 g m^{-2} [22]. Results are

130 presented here for the NPC, WT and TG 100 mg/kg plots.

131

132 As shown in Figure 2b and Extended Data Fig. 3, the plants did not establish vigorous growth

133 until the third growing season (2018). At the end of the trial, the average aerial tissue biomass

134 was 0.53 kg/ m^2. Typical biomass yields for switchgrass are 1.08 kg/m^2 [23], thus, at the end of

135 the three-year trial, the switchgrass had reached 50 % of its potential biomass. 'Alamo', is

136 primarily adapted to southern regions of the USA (below 38-39°N latitude); the field site was

137 located at 44°, 4'47.03" N. Growing 'Alamo' at a suboptimal geographical range is likely to

138 have contributed to reduced vigor in the trial. This choice of mismatched cultivar, was a

139 deliberate component for the APHIS permit, designed to reduce the likelihood of cross-

140 pollination with closely-related, native species, and was in addition to the removal of flower

141 heads, during the field trial. Heavy rainfall, coupled with the lined-plot design meant that

142 excess water needed to be pumped from the plots, and it is likely that initial plant growth was

143 limited by water-logging, and subsequent loss of nutrients in the excess water removed from

144 the plots. Throughout the final season of growth, an all-purpose fertilizer was applied.

145 Although previous studies have shown that XplA-[14] and XplAB-[21] expressing plants have

146 increased plant biomass when compared to unmodified plants, on the plots, the presence of

147 RDX did not meaningfully affect plant biomass levels in either XplAB-expressing or

148 unmodified plants (Extended Data Fig. 4). This finding demonstrates that RDX toxicity is not

149 a major issue to unmodified switchgrass at 100 mg/kg soil, a concentration commonly found

150 on RDX-contaminated military sites[22].

151

152 During the 2018 growing season, levels of RDX in the aerial tissues of unmodified plants

153 grown on the 100 mg/kg RDX plots varied within plots, between plots, and between monthly

154 samplings. RDX was not detected in any of the aerial tissues sampled from the transgenic

155 lines over the entire 2018 growing season, and across all three 100 mg/kg RDX plots, (Fig.

156 2c), confirming XplA activity in all the transgenic lines throughout the growing season.

157 Analysis of transcript and protein levels in tissue harvested from the plots in 2018 (Extended

158 Data Fig 5) showed that the transgenes in the three independently transformed plant lines

159 were expressed at levels comparable to those reported earlier[21]. At the end of the trial, the

160 mean RDX concentration in the wild-type switchgrass from each of the three plots (Extended

161 Data Fig. 6) was 0.025 ± 0.02 mg/g dry weight. Previous measurements of tissue RDX levels

162 using RDX-saturated soil column studies measured a maximum of 0.23 mg/g for wild-type

163 switchgrass. Under these column conditions, RDX was detected in the XplAB-expressing

164 switchgrass line N1, but at just 4 % of that in the unmodified switchgrass, suggesting that

165 0.23 mg/g is indicative of the likely upper limit for the RDX-degrading capacity of the

166 transgenic lines in-the-field[21], and that the XplAB-expressing plants in the 2018 season were

167 at approximately 11 % of their RDX uptake capacity.

168

169 It is likely that, the aerobic route of RDX degradation (Fig. 1a) is followed *in planta*, with the

170 production of NDAB, nitrite and formaldehyde. Nitrite and formaldehyde are readily

171 metabolized by plants. Structurally, NDAB shares similarity with allantoin, an intermediate

172 in the purine catabolic pathway which requires an amidohydrolase for its decomposition.

173 *Methylobacterium* sp. strain JS178, which is able to degrade NDAB[26], also grew on allantoin,

174   the presence of which induced the synthesis of enzyme(s) required for NDAB degradation.

175   Allantoin is also a key intermediate in the assimilation, metabolism, transport, and storage of

176   nitrogen in plants. As we have not observed accumulation of NDAB in our plant-based

177   studies in Arabidopsis or switchgrass[12, 13, 21, 25], it is possibly further degraded in plants by an

178   allantoin amidohydrolase.

179

180   Analysis of soil core samples from across the plots (Extended Data Fig. 7a) showed that the

181   RDX was distributed heterogeneously across the plot. Unexpectedly, given its documented

182   mobility in soils, RDX had not significantly moved below the 0-5 cm depth in the plots.

183   However, higher concentrations tended to be clustered furthest away from the culvert areas,

184   suggesting localized gradients of RDX occurred, as indicated by arrows on Figure S7b.

185

186   Levels of RDX in soil lysimeters (Extended Data Fig. 7c) indicated that there was no

187   significant difference in the levels of soil water RDX in the NPC, WT, and TG plots across

188   the 2018 growing season. However, the mean RDX level in the TG plot tanks was

189   significantly less ($P = 0.021$) than in the tanks on the NPC plots, and at each month sampled,

190   the RDX level was always lower than that in the NPC and WT plot tanks (Extended Data Fig.

191   7c).

192

193   Figure 2d shows that across all three years, the concentration of RDX in the excess water

194   pumped from the TG plots was always less than from either the NPC or WT plots. In the

195   2018 growing season, water pumped from the plots planted with the XplAB-expressing

196   switchgrass contained significantly less ($P = 0.015$) RDX than water pumped from the NPC

197   plots.

8

198   As shown in Extended Data Figure 8, less water was pumped from the plots containing the

199   XplAB-expressing plants than from the WT plots. This difference in water pumped from the

200   plots, was irrespective of the presence of RDX. Leaf surface areas (Extended Data Fig. 9) of

201   the wild type and transgenic lines were not significantly different from each other.

202   Furthermore, transpiration rates measured for line N1, were not significantly different from

203   wild-type plants (Extended Data Fig. 10). Differences in water uptake were not observed in

204   laboratory experiments.

205

206   The levels of transpiration from each plot were estimated from the excess water pumped from

207   the plots minus the rainfall measurements for the 2018 season. While it was not possible to

208   determine the level of evaporation from the plots, given the near canopy closure shown in

209   Figure 2b, and S3, this factor was considered to be relatively low compared to that lost

210   through transpiration. Multiplying the mean RDX concentrations in the soil water (Extended

211   Data Fig. 7c) by the calculated volume of water transpired during the 2018 growing season,

212   we calculated that the TG plants took up, and metabolized, significantly (P = 0.015) more

213   RDX from the plots than the WT plants (Fig. 2e), and equivalent to an RDX removal rate by

214   the XplAB-expressing plants of 27 kg RDX per hectare. Based on our data in Arabidopsis[14,

215   24], and grass species[21, 25], it is likely that the wild-type plants did not significantly degrade any

216   RDX.

217

218   In summary, the results presented here demonstrate that XplAB-expressing switchgrass is an

219   effective tool for the remediation of RDX from military ranges. We have also developed a

220   method for transforming RDX-degrading ability into western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum*

221   *smithii*)[25], a species native to many US ranges. Expected benefits are a cost effective and

222 sustainable *in situ* method for preventing contamination of groundwater beneath military

223 ranges.

224

225 **Methods**

226
227 **Plot dosing and planting.**

228 A plot cross-section is shown in Figure 2a. Each plot had a surface area of 3.0 m x 3.0 m with

229 a 0.5 m depth. Lysimeters, placed between the plot upper liners and secondary liners, were

230 used to monitor for RDX contamination in the event of a breach in the integrity of the upper

231 liner. To simulate particulate munitions contamination around targets on training ranges, the

232 100 mg/kg plots were surface dosed with fine particulate RDX mixed with sand at 76 g RDX

233 per m^2 (0.68 kg/plot), and irrigated with water.

234

235 To produce the estimated 3000 plants needed for the demonstration site, switchgrass

236 vegetative micropropagation techniques were used[27]. Prior to planting, randomly-selected

237 XplAB-expressing plants were tested using PCR, as described[21] to confirm the presence of

238 the transgenes, and used in soil-column studies to verify RDX-degrading activity. Each

239 vegetated plot was planted with 150 clones, each 15 - 20 cm high, of control WT or TG

240 plants, spaced on a 20 x 20 cm grid as detailed in Figures S2c and S2d. For the TG plots,

241 three, independently transformed lines, N1, N2 and N5[21], were planted, as described in

242 Figure S2d. The plants were established for one month before dosing with RDX.

243

244 **Plot maintenance, sampling and decommissioning.**

245   All plots were maintained at a constant soil moisture level (40 %) using a Campbell Scientific

246   CR1000 data logger system coupled to a CS650 soil moisture and temperature sensor to

247   control both the sump pump and irrigation systems.

248   During the growing seasons, all plots were checked weekly and seedling weeds removed. In

249   compliance with the APHIS-released permit, the grass plants were checked for developing

250   flower heads which were detached and destroyed. From April to Oct 2018, a complete 15-15-

251   15 all-purpose fertilizer (Miracle Grow) was applied every week at a rate of 16 g/m^2.

252

253   Over the winter season, plots were covered with polythene-covered cloches, to reduce plant

254   losses to cold weather and to reduce excess water/snow accumulation in the plots.

255

256   At the start and end of the trial, 5cm diameter soil cores were taken from five equidistant

257   locations, and at three depths, across the plots. At monthly intervals across the 2018 growing

258   season, plants were sampled from rows 2, 3, 4, 7,8, 9, 12, 13, and 14 and from plants 2

259   through 9 to remove bias from edge effects. One plant per row was sampled every two weeks

260   from June through September. The selected plant was cut 15cm above the soil surface and

261   allowed to regrow.

262

263   At the end of the trial, all plant material was incinerated. The RDX in plot tanks was

264   degraded by addition of 10 g/L $Ca(OH)_2$ per L storage water, RDX in the soil was treated

265   with 5 kg/m^2 $Ca(OH)_2$. Water and soil samples were extracted and analyzed using HPLC to

266   verify all RDX had been destroyed. The soil pH on the plots was restored by addition of 4

267   kg/m^2 $Al_2(SO_4)$ and re-seeded with a mix of 30 % fine fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*), 10 %

268   sheep fescue (*Festuca ovina*), 30 % Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) and 30 % perennial

269   ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). While this approach was suitable for small-scale removal of

270 RDX, the application of $Ca(OH)_2$ is not cost-effective at the field-scale required, and would

271 negatively affect soil organisms, with cascade effects on food webs.

272

273 **Extraction and quantification of RDX.**

274 All samples were analyzed using a method adapted from EPA method 8330A[28]. Briefly, soil

275 samples were air dried at room temperature in the dark, ground, then a 5 g subsample,

276 extracted with 10 mL acetonitrile, and incubated overnight on a rotary shaker. The

277 supernatant was filtered through 0.45 µm PTFE filters, then analyzed using HPLC. RDX was

278 extracted from 100 mg ground plant tissue in 1.4 mL acetonitrile on a rotary shaker

279 overnight, centrifuged 10 min at 12,000 g. The supernatant was applied to a 1 g florisil, 1 g

280 alumina sample preparation column[29], and the concentrated, filtered samples analyzed using

281 HPLC.

282 HPLC analysis was conducted using a modular Waters system consisting of a Waters 717+

283 autosampler, two Water 515 HPLC pumps and a Waters 9926 photodiode array detector. A

284 250 x 4.6 mm Hypersil Gold reverse phase column (ThermoFisher) was used for separation

285 with a 60: 40 mobile phase (HPLC-grade water: 83 % methanol/ 17 % acetonitrile) at 1

286 mL/min and monitored at 254 nm with frequent confirmation of RDX identification by

287 spectral analysis using the photo diode array. Peak integration and data analysis were

288 conducted using the Millennium software (Waters).

289

290 **Determination of leaf surface area and transpiration rates.**

291 Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) columns were constructed with PVC tubing (90 mm diameter, 0.5

292 m long), and filled with a mix of 75 % gravel and 25 % sand. Plant were grown in the

293 columns at 25 °C, 16-hour light and 8-hour dark photoperiod, 110 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light intensity

294 to a height of 50 cm. Transpiration efficiency was measured on second youngest, fully-

295 expanded leaves using a SC-1 leaf porometer (Decagon Devices, Inc.). Ten leaves were

296 sampled for each line. Leaf surface areas were determined using WinRhizo (2011 Regent

297 Instruments).

298

299 **Statistical analyses.**

300 Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 25. All data

301 were tested for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test, for data with $P > 0.05$,

302 statistical significance was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

303 followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. For data with $P < 0.05$, statistical significance was

304 performed using the Independent-Samples Kruskal-Wallis test, *post hoc* pairwise

305 comparisons were then performed using Dunn's[30] procedure with a Bonferroni correction for

306 multiple comparisons, and adjusted P values presented.

307

308 **Data and biological materials availability**

309 The data that support the findings of this study, and biological materials, are available from

310 the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

311

319   the US Department of Defense. The trial was conducted under APHIS permit no. 16-025-

320   103rm.

321

331

335

336   **References**

337   1.   Field, S.Q. Boom!: *The Chemistry and History of Explosives* (Chicago Review Press,

338   2017) ISBN 978-1-61373-805-4.

339   2.   BCC Research LLC (2018) Global Market for Explosives to Gain $7.4 Billion from

340   2017-2022. https://www.bccresearch.com/pressroom/chm/global-market-for-

341   explosives-to-gain-$74-billion-from-2017-2022, accessed Jan-9-2020.

342   3.   United States Environmental Protection Agency, Technical Fact Sheet -

343   Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro1,3,5-triazine (RDX),

344   www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/ffrro_ecfactsheet_rdx_9-15-

345   17_508.pdf, accessed Jan-9-2020, (November 2017).

346 4.   Rylott, E. L., Lorenz, A.& Bruce, N.C. Biodegradation and biotransformation of

347   explosives. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **22**, 434-40 (2011).

348 5.   Rylott, E.L. & Bruce, N.C. Right on target: using plants and microbes to remediate

349   explosives. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* 1-14 (2019).

350 6.   Toghiani, R.K., Toghiani, H., Maloney, S.W. & Boddu, V.M. Prediction of

351   physicochemical properties of energetic materials. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **264**, 86-92

352   (2008).

353 7.   Yamamoto, H., Morley, M.C., Speitel, G.E. & Clausen, J. Fate and Transport of High

354   Explosives in a Sandy Soil: Adsorption and Desorption. *Soil Sediment. Contam.* **13**,

355   361-379 (2004).

356 8.   Clausen, J., Robb, J., Curry, D. & Korte, N. A case study of contaminants on military

357   ranges: Camp Edwards, Massachusetts, USA. *Environ. Pollut.* **129**, 13-21 (2004).

358 9.   Albright, R. *Cleanup of chemical and explosive munitions, 2nd Editio*n (Elsevier,

359   Oxford, 2012).

360 10.   Pichtel, J. Distribution and Fate of Military Explosives and Propellants in Soil: A

361   Review. *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.* **2012**, 33 (2012).

362 11.   United States General Accounting Office, Department of Defense Operational

363   Ranges, More Reliable Cleanup Cost Estimates and a Proactive Approach to

364   Identifying Contamination Are Needed. *Report to Congressional Requesters* (2004).

365 12.   Kennen K. & Kirkwood, N. *Phyto: Principles and resources for site remediation and*

366   *landscape design* (Routedge, New York, 2015).

367 13. Jackson, R., Rylott, E., Fournier, D., Hawari, J. & Bruce, N. Exploring the

368   biochemical properties and remediation applications of the unusual explosive-

369   degrading P450 system XpIA/B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 16822-16827

370   (2007).

371 14. Rylott, E.L. et al. An explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 activity and its targeted

372   application for the phytoremediation of RDX. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 216-219 (2006).

373 15. Seth-Smith, H.M. et al. Cloning, sequencing, and characterization of the hexahydro-

374   1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine degradation gene cluster from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*.

375   *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 4764-4771 (2002).

376 16. Paquet, L., Monteil-Rivera, F., Hatzinger, P.B., Fuller, M.E. & Hawari, J. Analysis of

377   the key intermediates of RDX (hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine) in

378   groundwater: occurrence, stability and preservation. *J. Environ. Monit.* **13**, 2304-2311

379   (2011).

380 17. Bhadra, R. et al. Studies on plant-mediated fate of the explosives RDX and HMX.

381   *Chemosphere* **44**, 1259-1264 (2001).

382 18. Van Aken, B., Yoon, J.M., Just, C.L. & Schnoor, J.L. Metabolism and mineralization

383   of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine inside poplar tissues (*Populus deltoides* x

384   *nigra* DN-34). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **38**, 4572-4579 (2004).

385 19. Just, C.L. & Schnoor, J.L. Phytophotolysis of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine

386   (RDX) in leaves of reed canary grass. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **38**, 290-295 (2004).

387 20. Rylott, E.L., Johnston, E.J. & Bruce, N.C. Harnessing microbial gene pools to

388   remediate persistent organic pollutants using genetically modified plants - a viable

389   technology? *J. Exp. Bot.* **66**, 6519-6533 (2015).

390   21.   Zhang, L. et al. Expression in grasses of multiple transgenes for degradation of
391   munitions compounds on live-fire training ranges. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **15**, 624-633
392   (2017).

393   22.   Hewitt, A.D., Jenkins, T.F., Walsh, M.E., Walsh, M.R. & Taylor, S. RDX and TNT
394   residues from live-fire and blow-in-place detonations. *Chemosphere* **61**, 888-894
395   (2005).

396   23.   Schneider, U.A. & McCarl, B.A. Economic Potential of Biomass Based Fuels for
397   Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **24**, 291-312 (2003).

398   24.   Rylott, E.L. et al. The explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 XplA: Biochemistry,
399   structural features and prospects for bioremediation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta -*
400   *Proteins and Proteomics* **1814**, 230-236 (2011).

401   25.   Zhang, L., Rylott, E.L., Bruce, N.C. & Strand, S.E. Genetic modification of western
402   wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*) for the phytoremediation of RDX and TNT. *Planta*
403   **249**, 1007-1015 (2018).

404   26.   Fournier, D., Trott, S., Hawari, J. & Spain, J. Metabolism of the aliphatic nitramine 4-
405   nitro-2,4-diazabutanal by Methylobacterium sp. strain JS178. *Appl. Environ.*
406   *Microbiol.* 71, 4199-4202 (2005).

407   27.   Alexandrova, K.S., Denchev, P.D. & Conger, B.V. Micropropagation of switchgrass
408   by node culture. *Crop Sci.* **36**, 1709-1711 (1996).

409   28.   EPA Method 8330A: Method 8330A: Nitroaromatics and Nitramines by High
410   Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Washington, DC, USA.
411   https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/8330a.pdf, accessed
412   Jan-9-2020 (2007).

413   29.   Larson, S.L., Strong, A.B., Yost, S.L., Escalon, B.L. & Parker D. Analysis of

414   Explosives in Plant Tissues: Modifications to Method 8330 for Soil. Technical report

415   IRRP-98-5, US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC (1998).

416   30.   Dunn, O. J.. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. *Technometrics* **6**, 241-252

417   (1964).

418

Figure Legends

420 **Figure 1: Pathway of RDX catabolism by XplAB, and transgene cassette. (a)** Ring

421 cleavage occurs at wx and yz. Compounds in brackets are hypothetical, adapted from[12]. **(b)**

422 The cassette transformed into the switchgrass lines is described in Zhang et al (2017)[21]. The

423 transgenes *xplA*, *xplB*, *nfsI*, and the selectable marker gene *hyg*, which encodes resistance to

424 hygromycin, were controlled by the rice actin (*Os*act), maize ubiquitin (*Zm*ubi), cauliflower

425 mosaic virus 35S, and switchgrass ubiquitin (*Pv*ubi) promoters, respectively.

426

427 **Figure 2: Plot design and performance. (a)** Schematic showing a cross-section through a

428 vegetated plot. **(b)** Appearance of the switchgrass on representative plots at the end of each

429 growing season. **(c)** Levels of RDX in aerial tissues harvested across the 2018 growing

430 season (ND = Not Detected, n = tissue from 9 plants). The top and bottom box lines show the

431 first and third quartiles, the line in the middle of each box is the median. The whiskers show

432 the maximum and minimum values, with the exceptions of outliers (circles) and extremes

433 (asterisks). Outliers are at least 1.5 box lengths from the median, and extremes are at least 3

434 box lengths from the median. (d) Levels of RDX in the excess water pumped from the plots

435 over the three-year duration of the trial (NPC; No Plant Control, n = 3 plots ± SD, circles

436 show data points, * represents significantly different, $P = 0.015$, to NPC 2018). **(e)** Calculated

437 level of RDX degraded in the transpiration stream from plants in the 100 mg/kg RDX plots

438 over the 2018 growing season (WT; wild type, TG; transgenic, n = 3 plots ± SD). The

439 statistical significance was performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by

440 Tukey's *post hoc* test, * represents significantly, $P = 0.015$.

441

442   Extended Data Figure 1: Map of explosives contamination across the globe. Stars indicate

443   documented RDX contamination, with details listed in Supplementary Table 1.

a

RDX → ... → ... → NDAB (2NO$_2^-$ + 1CH$_2$O) **Aerobic**

... → MEDINA (1NO$_2^-$ + 2CH$_2$O) **Anaerobic**

b

LB — *Os*act-*hpt*-35ST — *Zm*ubi-*xplB*-masT — 35S-*nfsI*-35ST — *Pv*ubi-*xplA*-rbcT — RB

a

325 gallon water tank

Berm

Culvert containing pump

Polypropylene upper barrier

Polypropylene lower barrier

Upper lysimeter

RDX-contaminated or control soil

Base lysimeter

Sand

0.5 m

0.25 m

b

Plot 22

Plot 22

Plot 22

c

Wild type plots

Transgenic plots

Plot 4

Plot 2

ND ND ND ND ND

Plot 7

Plot 23

ND ND ND ND ND

Plot 13

Plot 26

ND ND ND ND ND

Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct

Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct

Tissue RDX (mg/g dry weight)

d

2016

2017

RDX in water pumped from plots (g)

NPC

Wild type

Transgenic

2016 2017 2018

e

2018

RDX removed per plot (g)

WT TG

1   **Supplementary Tables and Figures**

2   **Table S1: Documented RDX contamination located in Figure S1.**

Number	Country	Location
1	**Afghanistan**	Significant concentrations of RDX recorded in soil between warheads stored on site Astana, a village in the Panjshir Valley of the Parwan Province of Afghanistan[20]
2	**Australia**	Soil for enrichments obtained from a disused site of munitions manufacture and storage site contaminated with RDX [21], RDX-contaminated groundwater in Victoria[22].
3	**Belgium**	Soil samples from training ranges[13]
4	**Canada**	Contaminated soil at military sites in Alberta, New Brunswick, Quebec, Ontario, British Columbia[1].
5	**Guam**	A US island territory in Micronesia. RDX detected in surface water runoff at the discharge point of the Almagosa River into the Fena Reservoir[23].
6	**Germany**	The Kolberger Heide munition dumping ground in the southwest Baltic Sea near Kiel, Germany. The site contains approx. 30 000 tons of munitions from World War II comprising mainly TNT, RDX, and DNB (1,3- dinitrobenzene) [24].
		RDX in soil and groundwater samples from a former plant for the production of explosives during World War II at Elsnig (Saxony) [25].
7	**India**	Soil for enrichment studies collected from an explosives-contaminated site in Panchkula, Haryana, India[26].

8	**Italy**	RDX found in soil samples collected in Sardinia[17].
9	**Israel**	Process wastes from an RDX manufacturing plant used for microbial enrichment studies[27]. RDX contaminated groundwater from a coastal aquifer[28].
10	**Puerto Rico**	Vieques island used for military training 1941 to 2001, contaminated with explosives including RDX at levels threatening human health[8].
11	**South Korea**	RDX from a military gunnery range found in groundwater towards the Imjin River, near Seoul[29].
		Artillery ranges operated by the Korean Army of South Korea[7].
12	**Switzerland**	Between 1920 and 1967 roughly 4600 tonnes (t) of ammunition waste dumped at Lake Thun, including RDX[15].
13	**Sweden**	RDX found at Alvdalen shooting range, Sweden[30]
14	**Taiwan**	RDX found in surface soil at military shooting ranges in Kinmen County[31].
15	**UK**	Soil samples from a site heavily contaminated with RDX and octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX) [32], and soil samples used for enrichment cultures[13].
16	**Ukraine**	Military training range soil[13.]
17	**US**	See Table S2 for details

3

4

5 **Table S2: Examples of RDX contamination in American States.**

6 This list is not exhaustive; many sites are under continuous monitoring and clean-up, and

7 identification of new sites continues.

8

State		Location
Alabama	AL	Redstone Arsenal[33]
Alaska	AK	Donnelly Training Area[34], Fort Richardson[35], Fort Wainwright[36]
Arizona	AZ	Camp Navajo, Bellemont[37]
California	CA	Fort Ord[34], 29 Palms[38]
Colorado	CO	Fort Carson[34]
Florida	FL	Elgin Air Force Base[39]
Hawaii	HI	Pohakuloa Schofield Barracks[34]
Illinois	IL	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant[1], Savanna Army Depot[40], Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge[41]
Iowa	IA	Iowa Army Ammunition Plant[40]
Kentucky	KY	Blue Grass Army Depot[42]
Louisiana	LA	Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant[40], Fort Polk[34]
Maryland	MD	Aberdeen Proving Ground[40]
Massachusetts	MA	Camp Edwards, Massachusetts Military Reserve[43], Cape Cod and Otis Air National Guard Base[44]
Mississippi	MS	Camp Shelby[34]
Missouri	MO	Fort Leonard Wood[34]
Nebraska	NE	Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant[40]
Nevada	NV	Hawthorne Army Depot[45]

New Jersey	NJ	Picatinny Arsenal[46]
New Mexico	NM	Fort Bliss[34], Holloman Air Force Base, Los Alamos National Laboratory[47]
Oregon	OR	Umatilla Army Depot[40], a munitions storage and handling depot in Hermiston, Oregon[48, 49]
South Carolina	SC	Fort Jackson[50]
Tennessee	TN	Holston Army Ammunition Plant in Kingsport[1], Milan Army Ammunition Plant[40]
Texas	TX	Fort Hood[34], Holston Army Ammunition Plant[1], Pantex Plant[51]
Utah	UT	Tooele Army Depot (North Area)[52, 53]
Virginia	VA	Radford Army Ammunition Plant, Radford[54]
Washington	WA	Bangor Naval Submarine Base & Ordnance Disposal[34], Camp Bonneville, Yakima Training Center[40]
Wisconsin	WI	Barksdale Explosives Plant[1]
Wyoming	WY	Camp Guernsey[34]

Figure S1: Map of explosives contamination across the globe. Country names link to source information. Stars indicate documented RDX contamination, with details listed in Table S1.

(This figure is provided as a pdf with interactive hyperlinks)

16 **Figure S2: Design of the field plots and layout.**

17 (**a**) Randomized block lay-out of the plots. (**b**) Location of the plant lines on each plot. (**c**)

18 Location of each of the three, independently-transformed XplAB-expressing lines N1, N2

19 and N5. (**d**) Aerial photograph of the plot taken September 2015 before planting.

20

21

22

23
24

25 **Figure S3: Appearance of the plants at the end of each growing season over the three-**

26 **year trial.**

27

28 **Figure S4: Aerial tissue weights following harvest at the end of the field trial.**

29 (**a**) Biomass of the WT (wild type) and individual (TG) transgenic N lines on uncontaminated

30 and RDX-treated plots (n = 45(WT), n = 15(TG) plants). Statistical significance was

31 performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. *P*<0.05 was

32 considered as significant. On No RDX plots, line N5 mean biomass was significantly (*P* <

33 0.004) lower than N2. (**b**) Comparison of biomass from individual plots (n = 15 plants). A

34 Kruskal-Wallis H test showed the distributions of biomass values for the No RDX plots were

35 statistically significantly different (*P* = 0.002). Pairwise comparisons were performed using

36 Dunn's (1964) procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and

37 presenting adjusted *P* values. This *post hoc* analysis revealed that the plant biomass from TG

38 plot 12 was statistically significantly different from TG plots 21 and 26 (*P* = 0.013). (**c**) Total

39 biomass for each of the treatment types WT and transgenic (TG) plants (n = 3 plots). (**d**)

40 Appearance of representative tissue samples during harvest.

41

42

43

44

Figure S5: Total tissue RDX from plots over the 2018 growing season.

Gene expression analysis on leaf tissue harvested from the plots in September 2018. (**a**) Transcript abundance measured using quantitative RT- PCR for *xplA* and (**b**) *xplB* expression. Conditions were as described earlier[55], with transcripts normalized to the switchgrass reference gene eIF-4a. Results are mean ± SE, represent triplicate measurements on single wild type (WT) plants from each of plots 4, 7 and13; and single plants from plots 2, 23 and 26 for each transgenic line. A two-tailed *t*-test showed the transgenic lines N1, N2 and N5 were significantly different from WT (* = P ≤ 0.022). (**c**) Western blot analysis conducted as described earlier[55] using XplA antibody[56] and RuBisCO large subunit antibody (AS03 037, Agrisera, Sweden). Band intensities for each line, and antibody, were quantified from 8 replicate blots using ImageJ software[57], results are mean ± SE, a two-tailed *t*-test showed the transgenic lines N1, N2 and N5 were significantly different from WT (* = *P* < 0.000).

a *xplA*

b *xplB*

c

- XplA
- RuBisCO

Mean signal area from western blots (mm^2)

WT   N1   N2   N5

Transgenic lines

59

60 **Figure S6: Total tissue RDX from plots over the 2018 growing season.**

61 Mean aerial tissue RDX concentration in plants from the 100 ppm RDX plots (ND, Not

62 Detected, n = 45).

63

64 **Figure S7: RDX soil, soil water and tank concentrations in 2018 growing season.**

65 (**a**) Soil RDX concentration in the 100 ppm plots measured at three depths at the start (April

66 n= 9 soil samples) and end (Oct n= 27 soil samples) of the growing season (NPC; No plant

67 control, WT; wild type, TG, transgenic.

68 (**b**) Heat plot showing mean distribution of RDX across the 100 ppm plots at the three depths,

69 c = position of culvert, tank = position of water tank.

70 (**c**) Concentration of RDX in lysimeters and tanks across the 2018 growing season. Lysimeter

71 data: n = 12(NPC), 15(WT), 15(TG). Tank data: n = 11(NPC), 12(WT), 12(TG). For the tank

72 data, a Kruskal-Wallis H test showed the distributions of NPC, WT and TG values were

73 statistically significantly different (P = 0.026) from each other. Pairwise comparisons were

74 performed using Dunn's (1964) procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple

75 comparisons, and presenting adjusted P values. This *post hoc* analysis revealed that the RDX

76 concentrations in the TG tanks were statistically significantly different from those in the NPC

77 tanks (P = 0.021).

80 **Figure S8: Excess water pumped from plots over each growing season.**

81 Volume of excess water pumped from the plots over each growing season of the field trial

82 (NPC; No plant control, WT; wild type, TG, transgenic, n = 3 plots). (**a**) No RDX plots. (**b**)

83 100ppm RDX plots. Letters denote values that were significantly between the three treatment

84 types for that growing season. For the 2017 No RDX data, a Kruskal-Wallis H test showed

85 the distributions of NPC, WT and TG values were statistically significantly different ($P =$

86 0.014) from each other. Pairwise comparisons were performed using Dunn's (1964)

87 procedure with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. For all over data, one-way

88 analysis of variance followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test was used, with P<0.05 considered as

89 significant.

90

91

92

Figure S9: Leaf surface area of the plants at the end of the 2018 growing season.

95 (**a**) Mean leaf surface areas in the wild type (WT) and individual transgenic (TG) lines grown

96 in the laboratory (n = 3 plants). (**b**) Comparison of mean leaf surface area of all WT and TG

97 plants grown on the uncontaminated (No RDX) verses RDX-treated (+ RDX) plots (n = 6

98 plots) (**c**) Mean leaf surface areas in the WT and individual TG N lines grown in the field,

99 independent of the presence of RDX. (**d**) Mean leaf surface areas in the WT and TG lines

100 grown in uncontaminated verses RDX-treated.

101

102

103

104

105

106 **Figure S10: Leaf transpiration rates.**

107 Leaf surface transpiration rates for wild type and transgenic line N1 from adaxial and abaxial

108 surfaces (n = 10 leaves).

109

110

111

112

References

1. Pichtel, J. Distribution and Fate of Military Explosives and Propellants in Soil: A Review. *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.*, **2012**, 1-33 (2012) doi.org/10.1155/2012/617236.

2. Moyes, R. Explosive remnants of war and mines other than anti-personnel mines, Global survey 2003 – 2004, http://www.article36.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/10/global-impact-survey.pdf, accessed Dec-9-2019. *Landmine Action, 89 Albert Embankment, London*, ISBN 0-9536717-9-8, (2005).

3. Borrie, J. Explosive Remnants of War, A global survey, https://www.unidir.org/files/medias/pdfs/erw-a-global-survey-eng-0-69.pdf. Accessed Dec-4-2019. *Landmine Action, 89 Albert Embankment, London*, ISBN 0953671755, (2003).

4. United Nations, Mine Action Service Annual Report, https://unmas.org/sites/default/files/unmas_annual_report_2018.pdf, accessed Dec-16-2019, (2018).

5. Australian Government Department of Defence. *Unexploded ordnance (UXO) Mapping Application Information*, https://www.defence.gov.au/UXO/Where/MapAppInfo.asp, accessed Dec-9-2019.

6. Barreto-Rodrigues, M., Silva, F.T. & Paiva, T.C.B. Optimization of Brazilian TNT industry wastewater treatment using combined zero-valent iron and Fenton processes. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **168**, 1065-1069 (2009) doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.02.172.

7. Oh, S.-Y. & Shin, D.-S. Remediation of Explosive-Contaminated Soils: Alkaline Hydrolysis and Subcritical Water Degradation. *Soil & Sediment Contam.* **24**, 157-171 (2015) doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2014.929633.

8. Sanderson, H. et al. Civilian exposure to munitions-specific carcinogens and resulting cancer risks for civilians on the Puerto Rican island of Vieques following military

exercises from 1947 to 1998. *Global Security: Health, Sci. & Policy* **2**, 40-61 (2017) doi.org/10.1080/23779497.2017.1369358.

9. Fedman, D.K., C; Fisk, B; Tsuji, E. *Japan Air Raids*, https://www.japanairraids.org/, accessed Nov-18- 2019.

10. Fuchs, J.S. et al. Ecotoxicological characterization of a disposal lagoon from a munition plant. *B. Environ. Contam. Tox.* **67**, 696-703 (2001) doi.org/10.1007/s001280179.

11. Kjellström, A., Brantlind, M. & Eldsäter, C. Optimized microwave extraction for trace detection of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene in soil samples. *Chemosphere* **71**, 1701-1708 (2008) doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.01.004.

12. Zwang, J., Simon, P. Epidemiological Study of Landmines/ ERW Accidents and Victims in Kachin, Kayah, and Shan States, Burma. *J. Conventional Weapons Destruction* **21**, art.12 (2017).

13. Chong, C.S. et al. Analysis of the *xplAB*-containing gene cluster involved in the bacterial degradation of the explosive hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **80**, 6601-6610 (2014) doi: 10.1128/AEM.01818-14.

14. Kober, S.L., Hollert, H. & Frohme, M. Quantification of nitroaromatic explosives in contaminated soil using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **411**, 5993-6003 (2019) doi: 10.1007/s00216-019-01976-y.

15. Estoppey, N. et al. Monitoring of explosive residues in lake-bottom water using Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler (POCIS) and chemcatcher: determination of transfer kinetics through Polyethersulfone (PES) membrane is crucial. *Environ. Pollut.* **252**, 767-776 (2019) doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.04.087.

16. Gorecki, S. et al. Human health risks related to the consumption of foodstuffs of plant and animal origin produced on a site polluted by chemical munitions of the First

World War. *Sci. Total Environ.* **599-600**, 314-323 (2017) doi:

10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.213 .

17. Cristaldi, M. et al. Toxic emissions from a military test site in the territory of Sardinia,

Italy. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **10**, 1631-1646 (2013) doi:

10.3390/ijerph10041631.

18. Duque, E., Haidour, A., Godoy, F. & Ramos, J.L. Construction of a Pseudomonas

hybrid strain that mineralises 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**, 2278-2283

(1993) doi: 10.1128/jb.175.8.2278-2283.1993.

19. Amaral, H.I., Fernandes, J., Berg, M., Schwarzenbach, R.P. & Kipfer, R. Assessing

TNT and DNT groundwater contamination by compound-specific isotope analysis

and 3H-3He groundwater dating: a case study in Portugal. *Chemosphere* **77**, 805-812

(2009) doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.08.011.

20. Jensen D. & Zaidi A. Ground Contamination Assessment Report. The UNEP

Capacity Building and Institutional Development Programme for Environmental

Management in Afghanistan,

https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7638/-

Ground_contamination_assessment_report_Military_waste_storage_site,_Astana,_Af

ghanistan-

2006ground_contamination_report_afghanistan.pdf.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y,

accessed Dec-4-2019 (2006).

21. Coleman, N.V., D.R. Nelson, and T. Duxbury Aerobic biodegradation of hexahydro-

1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) as a nitrogen source by a *Rhodococcus* sp., strain

DN22. *Soil Biol. & Biochem.* **30**, 1159-1167 (1998) doi.org/10.1016/S0038-

0717(97)00172-7.

187 22. Knight, M.J. Organic chemical contamination of groundwater in Australia - an

188   overview to 1993. *AGSO J. Aust. Geol. Geophys.* **14**, 107-122.

189 23. Naval Facilities Engineering Command. *Range Condition Assessment Marianas*

190   *Land-Based Operational Range Complex, Decision Point 1 Recommendations Report,*

191   *Guam and Commonwealth Northern Mariana Islands*,

192   https://www.denix.osd.mil/sri/policy/reports/unassigned/marianas, accessed Nov-12-

193   2019 (May 2008),

194 24. Beck, A.J. et al. In Situ Measurements of Explosive Compound Dissolution Fluxes

195   from Exposed Munition Material in the Baltic Sea. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 5652-

196   5660 (2019) doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b06974.

197 25. Godejohann, M., Preiss, A., Levsen, K., Wollin, K.-M. & Mügge, C. Determination of

198   Polar Organic Pollutants in Aqueous Samples of Former Ammunition Sites in Lower

199   Saxony by Means of HPLC/Photodiode Array Detection (HPLC/PDA) and Proton

200   Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (1H-NMR). *Acta hydrochim. Hydrobiol.*

201   **26**, 330-337 (1998) doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-401X(199811)26:6<330::AID-

202   AHEH330>3.0.CO;2-T.

203 26. Pal, Y., Mayilraj, S., Paul, M., Schumann, P. & Krishnamurthi, S. *Indiicoccus*

204   *explosivorum* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from an explosives waste contaminated site.

205   *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **69**, 2555-2564 (2019) doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003541 .

206 27. Brenner, A., Ronen, Z., Harel, Y. & Abeliovich, A. Use of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-

207   1,3,5-triazine as a nitrogen source in biological treatment of munitions wastes. *Water*

208   *Env. Res.* **72**, 469-475 (2000) doi.org/10.2175/106143000X138012.

209 28. Bernstein, A. et al. Quantifying RDX biodegradation in groundwater using delta15N

210   isotope analysis. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **111**, 25-35 (2010) doi:

211   10.1016/j.jconhyd.2009.10.010.

212   29.   Choi, Y. et al. Ecological Risk Characterization in a Military Heavy Metals– and

213   Explosives-Contaminated Site. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.* **17**, 856-872 (2011)

214   doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2011.588151.

215   30.   Wingfors, H. et al. Evaluation of the contamination by explosives and metals in soils

216   at the Älvdalen shooting range. Part II: results and discussion, https://www.foi.se/rest-

217   api/report/FOI-R--1877--SE, accesssed 18-Nov-2019. *NBC Defence, Scientific*

218   *Report* (January 2006).

219   31.   Yang, Y.Y., Liu, J.T. & Lin, C.H. Determination of nitroaromatic explosives residue

220   at military shooting ranges using a sweeping-MEKC method. *Electrophoresis* **30**,

221   1084-1087 (2009) doi: 10.1002/elps.200800269.

222   32.   Seth-Smith, H.M. et al. Cloning, sequencing, and characterization of the hexahydro-

223   1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine degradation gene cluster from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous.*

224   *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 4764-4771 (2002) doi: 10.1128/aem.68.10.4764-

225   4771.2002.

226   33.   Environmental Protection Authority. Fact Sheet I.D. Number AL 7 210 020 742,

227   http://www.adem.state.al.us/newsEvents/notices/jul18/pdfs/7redstone.pdf, accessed

228   Dec-16-2019. *U.S. Army Garrison - Redstone, Huntsville, Madison County, Alabama*

229   (2018).

230   34.   Judith C. Pennington, J.C. et al. Distribution and fate of energetics on DOD test and

231   training ranges: Final report, https://www.serdp-

232   estcp.org/content/download/5898/80547/file/ER-1155-FR.pdf, accessed Dec-4-2019.

233   *ERDC TR-06-13, US Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development*

234   *Center, Vicksburg, Miss, USA* (2006).

235   35.   Walsh, M.E. et al. Sampling for Explosives-Residues at Fort Greely, Alaska. *Soil*

236   *Sediment. Contam.* **12**, 631-645 (2003) doi.org/10.1080/714037711.

237 36. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Public Health Assessment for

238   Redstone Army Garrison/Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Madison County,

239   Alabama,

240   http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/RedstoneArmy/RedstoneArmyPHA071205.pdf,

241   accessed Dec-3-2019. (July 2005).

242 37. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Final Five-Year Review Report, Camp Navajo,

243   Bellemont, Arizona, http://static.azdeq.gov/sup/dod/fyr_camp_navajo_oct2015.pdf,

244   accessed Dec-16-2019, *Louisville, Kentucky* (2015).

245 38. Fort Ord Base Realignment and Closure Office, Final, 4th Five-Year Review Report

246   for Fort Ord Superfund Site, Monterey County, California,

247   http://docs.fortordcleanup.com/ar_pdfs/ar-bw-2834/BW-2834.pdf, accessed Dec-16-

248   2019, *U.S. Department of the Army, California* (2017).

249 39. Andeer, P., Stahl, D.A., Lillis, L. & Strand, S.E. Identification of microbial

250   populations assimilating nitrogen from RDX in munitions contaminated military

251   training range soils by high sensitivity stable isotope probing. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*

252   **47**, 10356-10363 (2013) doi: 10.1021/es401729c.

253 40. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for RDX.

254   *U.S.Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Atlanta, GA.*

255   (2012).

256 41. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fifth five-year review report for Crab

257   Orchard National Wildlife Refuge Superfund Site, Marion, Illinois,

258   https://semspub.epa.gov/work/05/479103.pdf, accessed Dec-16-2019. Chicago.

259   Illinois (2016).

260 42. US Army Environmental Command. Army Defense Environmental Restoration

261   Program, Installation Action Plan, Blue Grass Army Depot,

262   https://aec.army.mil/application/files/2215/0008/1922/KY-BGAD.pdf, accessed Dec-

263   16-2019. (2016).

264   43.   Clausen, J., Robb, J., Curry, D. & Korte, N. A case study of contaminants on military

265   ranges: Camp Edwards, Massachusetts, USA. *Environ.Pollut.* **129**, 13-21 (2004) doi:

266   10.1016/j.envpol.2003.10.002.

267   44.   Air Force Civil Engineer Center/ Joint Base Cape Cod. Final 5th Five Year Review,

268   2012 - 2017, Joint Base Cape Cod Superfund Site, Otis Air National Guard Base,

269   MA,

270   https://semspub.epa.gov/work/01/100010387.pdf, accessed Dec-16-2019. *Installation*

271   *Restoration Program, 322 East Inner Road, Otis ANGB, MA 02542* (2018).

272   45.   Hawthorne Army Depot, Installation Action Plan,

273   https://aec.army.mil/application/files/3115/0060/4779/NV-HawthorneAD.pdf

274   accessed Dec-12-2019. *Army Defense Environmental Restoration Program* (2 June

275   2017).

276   46.   US Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District. Final, fifth five-year review

277   report, Picatinny Arsenal, Morris County, New Jersey,

278   https://semspub.epa.gov/work/02/351722.pdf, accessed Dec-16-2019 (2016).

279   47.   Heerspink, B.P. et al. Fate and transport of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine

280   (RDX) and its degradation products in sedimentary and volcanic rocks, Los Alamos,

281   New Mexico. *Chemosphere* **182**, 276-283 (2017) doi:

282   10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.04.149.

283   48.   Crocker, F.H. et al. Evaluation of microbial transport during aerobic bioaugmentation

284   of an RDX-contaminated aquifer. *Biodegradation* **26**, 443-451 (2015)

285   doi.org/10.1007/s10532-015-9746-1.

286   49.   Michalsen, M.M. et al. Evaluation of Biostimulation and Bioaugmentation To

287   Stimulate Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5,-triazine Degradation in an Aerobic

288   Groundwater Aquifer. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **50**, 7625-7632 (2016) doi:

289   10.1021/acs.est.6b00630.

290   50.   The Associated Press. Toxic chemical used in hand grenades contaminates drinking

291   water near Fort Jackson, https://www.armytimes.com/news/your-

292   army/2019/07/27/toxic-chemical-used-in-hand-grenades-contaminates-drinking-

293   water-near-fort-jackson-data-shows/, accessed Dec-9-2019. *Army Times* (July-27-

294   2019).

295   51.   Boparai, H.K., Comfort, S.D., Shea, P.J. & Szecsody, J.E. Remediating explosive-

296   contaminated groundwater by in situ redox manipulation (ISRM) of aquifer

297   sediments. *Chemosphere* **71**, 933-941 (2008) doi:

298   10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.11.001.

299   52.   Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Tooele Army Depot (North

300   Area), Tooele, Tooele County, Utah, Public Health Assessments and Health

301   Consultations, http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/pha.asp?docid=108&pg=1,

302   accessed Dec-4-2019, (2010).

303   53.   U.S Army Corps of Engineers, Fourth five-year review report for Tooele Army Depot

304   Superfund Site, https://semspub.epa.gov/work/08/1893648.pdf, accessed Dec-9-2019.

305   Tooele County, Utah. *Savannah District, CESAS-EN-GH, 100 W. Oglethorpe Avenue,*

306   *Savannah, GA 31401* (2018).

307   54.   Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Evaluation of potential for

308   chemicals released to groundwater or surface water to affect drinking water in the

309   nearby community, Radford Army Ammunition Plant, Virginia,

310   https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/RadfordArmyAmmunitionPlant/Radford%20Ar

311   my%20Ammunition%20Plant_HC_Final_01-28-2015.pdf , accessed Dec-4-2019.

312   (Jan 2015).

313   55.   Zhang, L. et al. Expression in grasses of multiple transgenes for degradation of

314   munitions compounds on live-fire training ranges. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **15**, 624-633

315   (2017).

316   56.   Rylott, E.L. et al. An explosive-degrading cytochrome P450 activity and its targeted

317   application for the phytoremediation of RDX. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 216-219 (2006).

318

319