UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Ant preference* for seeds without awns increases removal of exotic relative to native grass seeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/168956/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wandrag, Lizzie, Copeland, Hannah and Duncan, Richard (2020) Ant preference for seeds without awns increases removal of exotic relative to native grass seeds. Ecological entomology. ISSN: 0307-6946

https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12980

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1 Accepted for publication at Ecological Entomology, November 2020.

2 Ant preference for seeds without awns increases removal of exotic relative to native grass

3 seeds

- 4 **Running title:** Granivorous ants prefer exotic seeds
- 5 Elizabeth M. Wandrag^{1,2,3}, Hannah R. Copeland², Richard P. Duncan²
- ⁶ ¹ Department of Biology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK
- ⁷ ² Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia.
- ³ School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, NSW
- 9 2350, Australia.

10 Correspondence:

- 11 Elizabeth Wandrag
- 12 Department of Biology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK
- 13 Email: <u>lizzie.wandrag@york.ac.uk</u> Phone: +44 (0)1904 328500
- 14 Words: 1490
- 15 **References:** 20
- 16 Figures: 2
- 17 Abstract

The removal of seeds by granivorous ants can affect plant recruitment through either
 seed loss from predation or the dispersal and recruitment of seeds that are removed but
 not consumed. Consequently, variation in ant selection preferences can influence
 patterns of seed removal and affect plant community composition, including the spread
 of exotic plant species.

23 2. We conducted a seed removal experiment to determine whether: 1) rates of removal by
24 ants differed between three native and three exotic grass species in an Australian

temperate grassland; and 2) differences in removal rates were associated with thepresence or absence of awns.

- We found that seeds of the three exotic species, none of which had awns, were removed
 by ants at a higher rate than those of the three native species, all of which had awns.
 Removal rates of native species increased when awns were manually removed,
 suggesting the awns of native species acted as a removal barrier.
- 4. While we do not know the fate of seeds removed from our experiment, differences among species in removal rates mirrored differences in their spatial spread in a separate seed addition experiment. Exotic species removed by ants at a higher rate in the removal experiment had more widely dispersed seedlings than native species in the seed addition experiment, potentially indicating a role for granivorous ants in dispersing exotic seeds. Identifying ant selection preferences and directly linking removal to seed fate could help explain how exotic grass species move around the landscape.
- 38 Keywords: ant-plant interactions; Australian grasslands; dyszoochory; plant invasion; seed
 39 dispersal.

40 Introduction

Seed removal by granivorous ants can influence plant community composition (MacMahon et 41 al., 2000). Two processes are central to this role. First, ants preferentially remove seeds of 42 different species depending on morphological and physiological characteristics (Pulliam & 43 Brand, 1975; Kelrick et al., 1986). Second, the fate of seeds removed by ants can vary 44 depending on whether they are consumed or dispersed (MacMahon et al., 2000). While seed 45 removal by granivorous ants usually results in seed loss through predation, ants can also drop 46 seeds in transit or fail to eat all seeds they store in nests (Retana et al., 2004; Anjos et al., 2020), 47 48 leading to seed dispersal (dyszoochory).

Because ant predation and dispersal can alter spatial patterns of plant recruitment, identifying 49 what drives preferential seed removal by ants could help understand the role of ants in 50 structuring plant communities. In particular, ants could influence the spread of exotic plant 51 species if they preferentially remove either native or exotic seeds (Pearson et al. 2018). Humans 52 53 often introduce exotic species with desired characteristics (e.g. rapid growth or germination) 54 that differ from resident native species (Driscoll et al., 2014). If there are differences between native and exotic seed traits, interactions with granivorous ants may also differ. While several 55 studies report preferential removal of exotic relative to native seeds by granivorous ants 56 57 (Pearson et al., 2014; Briggs & Redak, 2016), few identify trait differences that might underpin these preferences. 58

59 We aimed to identify whether ant selection preferences differed between common, cooccurring native and exotic grass species in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT). In the 60 ACT, exotic grasses have been widely introduced as pasture supplements. Many of these 61 62 grasses have spread into native grasslands, particularly where human land-use has increased moisture or nutrient availability. In the grasslands we studied, an obvious morphological 63 difference was that the seeds of many native grass species had conspicuous awns, while most 64 exotic species lacked awns. We speculated that removal rates would differ between native and 65 exotic species depending on the presence of awns. 66

67 Materials and Methods

68 Seed removal experiment

We conducted a seed removal experiment in Austral summer 2018 in grassland on the
University of Canberra campus, ACT. We selected three native (*Bothriochloa macra, Chloris truncata, Rytidosperma caespitosum*) and three exotic (*Dactylis glomerata, Eragrostis curvula, Phalaris aquatica*) grass species that co-occur locally (Wandrag *et al.*, 2019). Seeds of the
three native species have conspicuous awns (Figure 1) such that the unit of dispersal (diaspore;

Peart, 1979) comprises the seed plus awn. The three exotic species lack awns. Diaspore weights varied among species (Appendix S1). No species were adapted for ant dispersal (myrmecochory), so we assumed seed removal was by granivorous or omnivorous ants. We caught *Pheidole* spp. and *Iridomyrmex rufoniger* removing seeds. Both species remove seeds in Australia (Cooke *et al.*, 2012), though the omnivore *I. rufoniger* is often associated with scavenging and nectar harvesting (Briese & Macauley, 1981).

80 We compared removal rates of diaspores that were: 1) unmodified (native diaspores comprised seeds plus awns); and 2) modified such that we manually removed awns and other appendages 81 from native seeds. This gave a total of nine treatments (unmodified diaspores for all six species 82 plus modified diaspores for the three native species). For each treatment, we placed 100 83 diaspores in a closed Petri-dish with two holes approximately 0.5 cm high and 2 cm wide cut 84 into the side, allowing ant access but excluding larger animals (Andersen & Ashton, 1985; 85 Wandrag et al., 2013). To estimate diaspore loss due to factors other than ant removal (e.g. 86 wind), each dish was paired with a no ant-access control: a dish containing 100 diaspores placed 87 on a sheet of plastic coated with insecticide (Go Natural Tree Guard). A nail pushed through a 88 central hole secured dishes in place, entry holes were flush with the ground. 89

We carried out the experiment over nine days (between 6-19 April 2018), each day laying out 18 Petri-dishes (one for each treatment plus its associated no-access control). Paired dishes were located by dividing the study area (approximately 50 m²) into 1 m grid-squares and randomly assigning each treatment to the corner of a square. Dishes were put out at 1 pm each day and collected at 11 am the following morning. After recording the number of diaspores remaining in each dish, we randomly assigned treatments to a new location.

96 Data analysis

Each treatment was paired with a no-access control. For each pair of dishes on each day, we 97 estimated diaspore removal by ants ('removal rate') as the number of diaspores lost from 98 treatment dishes minus the number lost from their corresponding no-access control (Appendix 99 S2). Using this estimate as our response variable, we fitted two models within a Bayesian 100 101 framework (Appendix S3) using the software JAGS v4.2.0 (Plummer, 2003) implemented in R (R Development Core Team, 2020) using jagsUI v1.5.1 (Kellner, 2015). This allowed us to 102 account for non-independence in the data due to observations being clustered by day and to 103 propagate all uncertainties associated with estimating the parameters. 104

The first model estimated mean removal rates and associated credible intervals for unmodified 105 diaspores of each species. We included 'species' as a categorical predictor variable and 106 modelled variation in removal rate as normally distributed. To account for non-independence 107 in the data due to measurements taken on the same day we included 'day' as a random effect, 108 specifying a different mean for each day with those means drawn from a normal distribution 109 with mean zero and standard deviation estimated from the data. We assigned the mean for each 110 species a normal prior distribution having mean zero and variance 100 and assigned the 111 standard deviation for the 'day' term a uniform prior (0 - 100). 112

The second model estimated mean removal rates for exotic diaspores, and for modified and unmodified native diaspores ('treatments'). We again modelled variation in removal rates as normally distributed but set 'treatment' as the predictor variable and included both 'species' and 'day' as random effects. We assigned the mean for each treatment a normal prior distribution with mean zero and variance 100 and assigned random effects a non-informative uniform prior (0 - 100) on the standard deviation.

119 **Results and Discussion**

Seed removal rates differed for native and exotic seeds. While on average between 20 (*Dactylis glomerata*) to 35 (*Phalaris aquatica*) more exotic diaspores were lost from dishes with ant access relative to no-access controls (Figure 2A, the 95% credible intervals did not include zero), losses of unmodified native diaspores differed little between ant access and no-access controls (Figure 2B).

We found strong evidence that awns reduced removal rates. While losses from control dishes were similar for both modified (awns removed) and unmodified native diaspores (Appendix S4), modified native diaspores were over five times more likely to be removed from treatment dishes than unmodified native diaspores (Figure 2C). Awn removal could not completely account for differences in native and exotic removal rates. Removal rates for modified native diaspores were still around half those of exotic diaspores, possibly due to unmeasured seed traits, such as nutritional content (Kelrick *et al.*, 1986).

132 Granivorous ants act as both predators (Pearson et al., 2014) and dispersers (Eminniyaz et al., 2013) and removal rates alone do not tell us about seed fate. Interpreting our results in the 133 context of a separate, seed addition experiment (Appendix S5) suggests that in our system, 134 granivorous ants could disperse exotic grass seeds. Specifically, four months after adding seeds 135 of the same six species used here to grassland plots, we recorded three species (D. glomerata, 136 *P. aquatica* and *C. truncata*) establishing in >45% of plots to which seeds were not originally 137 added. For the native C. truncata, establishment in new plots appeared due to stoloniferous 138 spread from seed addition plots. However, the exotic *D. glomerata* and *P. aquatica* frequently 139 recruited near ant nests in plots to which seeds were not added. Given the results in the 140 experiment we present here, we speculate that this movement was due to dispersal by 141 granivorous ants. 142

Although ant dispersal occurs over relatively short distances, it can enhance recruitment by 143 moving seeds to microsites that promote germination and survival. For example, ant nests 144 typically have higher moisture content than surrounding soils (MacMahon et al., 2000), 145 promoting recruitment in arid locations (Farji-Brener & Werenkraut, 2017). Consequently, 146 understanding the dual role of ants as predators and dispersers (Anjos et al., 2020) may help 147 understand the success and failure of exotic species' spread. This is particularly important in 148 places like Australia, where many non-native species have spread into native plant 149 communities. The potential for granivorous ants to play a dual role in plant invasions, 150 151 functioning as both predators and dispersers of exotic seeds, remains largely unexplored. Our finding that ants preferentially removed seeds of common exotic species, together with the 152 observation that species with high ant removal rates were also widely dispersed in our seed 153 addition experiment, highlights the need to explore this role. This will require tracking seed 154 fate and directly linking seed removal to recruitment outcomes. 155

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by Australian Research Council Grant
DP150101839 to RPD, funding from the University of Canberra to RPD, and a University of
New England Postdoctoral Fellowship to EMW. We thank Jon Lewis and Philip Barton for ant
identification. We have no conflict of interest to declare.

Data Accessibility Statement: Data is available as Supplementary Material (Data S1).

161 Author contribution: This study formed HRC's third year research project as part of a larger 162 study looking at exotic plant invasion in Australian grasslands. HRC, RPD and EMW designed 163 the study, HRC collected the data, HRC, RPD and EMW analysed the data. EMW wrote the 164 first draft of the manuscript and all authors edited the manuscript.

165 Supporting Information:

166 Appendix S1. Relationship between mean diaspore weight and diaspore removal rates.

- 167 Appendix S2. Histogram of the raw data.
- 168 Appendix S3. Details of the analysis used in the main manuscript.
- 169 Appendix S4. Diaspore losses from control dishes.
- 170 Appendix S5. Seed addition experiment.
- 171 **Data S1:** Raw data.
- 172 References
- 173 Andersen, A.N. & Ashton, D.H. (1985) Rates of seed removal by ants at heath and woodland
- sites in southeastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **10**, 381–390.
- 175 Anjos, D.V., Andersen, A.N., Carvalho, R.L., Sousa, R.M.F. & Del-Claro, K. (2020)
- 176 Switching roles from antagonist to mutualist: a harvester ant as a key seed disperser of a
- 177 myrmecochorous plant. *Ecological Entomology*, **45**, 1063–1070.
- 178 Briese, D.T. & Macauley, B.J. (1981) Food collection within an ant community in semi-arid
- 179 Australia, with special reference to seed harvesters. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **6**, 1–19.
- 180 Briggs, C.M. & Redak, R.A. (2016) Seed selection by the harvester ant Pogonomyrmex
- 181 rugosus (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in coastal sage scrub: interactions with invasive plant
- species. *Environmental Entomology*, **45**, 983–990.
- 183 Cooke, J., Ash, J.E. & Groves, R.H. (2012) Population dynamics of the invasive, annual
- species, Carrichtera annua, in Australia. *Rangeland Journal*, **34**, 375–387.
- 185 Driscoll, D.A., Catford, J.A., Barney, J.N., Hulme, P.E., Inderjit, Martin, T.G., et al. (2014)
- 186 New pasture plants intensify invasive species risk. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 187 *Sciences*, **111**, 16622–16627.
- 188 Eminniyaz, A., Qiu, J., Tan, D., Baskin, C., Baskin, J. & Nowak, R. (2013) Dispersal
- 189 mechanisms of the invasive alien plant species Buffalobur (Solanum rostratum) in cold desert
- 190 sites of Northwest China. *Weed Science*, **61**, 557–563.

- Farji-Brener, A.G. & Werenkraut, V. (2017) The effects of ant nests on soil fertility and plant
 performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86, 866–877.
- 193 Kellner. (2015) A wrapper around rjags to streamline JAGS analyses. R package version
- 194 1.3.1. Rerieved from https://github.com/kenkellner/jagsUI.
- 195 Kelrick, M.I., Macmahon, J.A., Parmenter, R.R. & Sisson, D. V. (1986) Native seed
- 196 preferences of shrub-steppe rodents, birds and ants: the relationships of seed attribues and
- 197 seed use. *Oecologia*, **68**, 327–337.
- 198 MacMahon, J.A., Mull, J.F. & Crist, T. (2000) Harvester ants (Pogonomyrmex spp.): their
- community and ecosystem influences. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **31**, 265–
 200 291.
- 201 Pearson, D.E., Icasatti, N.S., Hierro, J.L. & Bird, B.J. (2014) Are local filters blind to
- provenance? Ant seed predation suppresses exotic plants more than natives. *PLoS ONE*, 9, 1–
 11.
- 204 Pearson, D.E., Ortega, Y.K., Eren, Ö. & Hierro, J.L. (2018) Community assembly theory as a
- framework for biological invasions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **33**, 313–325.
- 206 Peart, M.H. (1979) Experiments on the biological significance of the morphology of seed
- dispersal units in grasses. *Journal of Ecology*, **67**, 843–863.
- 208 Plummer, M. (2003) JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using
- 209 Gibbs sampling. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical
- 210 *Computing (DSC 2003)*. pp. 20–22.
- 211 Pulliam, H.R. & Brand, M.R. (1975) The production and utilization of seeds in plains
- 212 grassland of Southeastern Arizona. *Ecology*, **56**, 1158–1166.
- 213 R Development Core Team. (2020) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*.
- 214 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.r-
- 215 project.org/.

- 216 Retana, J., Picó, F.X., Rodrigo, A., Oikos, S. & May, F. (2004) Dual role of harvesting ants
- as seed predators and dispersers of a non-myrmechorous Mediterranean perennial herb. *Oikos*, 105, 377–385.
- 219 Wandrag, E.M., Catford, J.A. & Duncan, R.P. (2019) Quantifying niche availability, niche
- 220 overlap and competition for recruitment sites in plant populations without explicit knowledge
- of niche axes. *Journal of Ecology*, **107**, 1791–1803.
- 222 Wandrag, E.M., Sheppard, A., Duncan, R.P.P. & Hulme, P.E.E. (2013) Mutualism vs.
- antagonism in introduced and native ranges: Can seed dispersal and predation determine
- Acacia invasion success? Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 15, 171–
- 225 179.

226

227 Figure Legends.

Figure 1. Seeds of each of the three exotic (a; left to right: *Dactylis glomerata*, *Eragrostis curvula* and *Phalaris aquatica*) and three native (b; left to right: *Bothriochloa macra, Chloris truncata* and *Rytidosperma caespitosum*) grass species used in this experiment. Scale bar in each photo shows 1 mm intervals. Photo credit: Philip Barton.

Figure 2. Mean difference in the number of seeds removed (out of 100) from dishes where ants 232 had access relative to no-access controls for three exotic (a) and three native grass species (b), 233 234 and mean removal rate for each treatment group (c): unmodified exotic (Exotic), modified native (awns removed: Native -) and unmodified native (awns intact: Native +) species. Thin 235 bars represent 95% credible intervals (there is a 95% chance that the interval contains the true 236 value), thick bars represent 50% credible intervals. Credible intervals that to do not cross zero 237 indicate that seed losses from treatment dishes did not differ from seed losses from no-access 238 239 controls.

240 FIGURE 1



FIGURE 2

