UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Identification* of the first gene transfer agent (GTA) small terminase in Rhodobacter capsulatus and its role in GTA production and packaging of DNA:Identification of the first GTA TerS.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150863/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Sherlock, David, Leong, Jia Xuan and Fogg, Paul Christopher Michael orcid.org/0000-0001-5324-4293 (2019) Identification of the first gene transfer agent (GTA) small terminase in Rhodobacter capsulatus and its role in GTA production and packaging of DNA:Identification of the first GTA TerS. JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY. pp. 1-17. ISSN 0022-538X

https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01328-19

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Identification of the first gene transfer agent (GTA) small terminase in Rhodobacter
2	capsulatus, its role in GTA production and packaging of DNA
3	
4	Sherlock, D. <sup>a</sup> , Leong, J.X. <sup>a*</sup> , and Fogg, P.C.M. <sup>a#</sup>
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> University of York, Biology Department, Wentworth Way, York, United Kingdom. YO10 5DD
7	
8	Running Title: Identification of the first GTA TerS
9	
10	Keywords: Horizontal Gene Transfer, Bacterial Evolution, Gene Transfer Agent, Rhodobacter,
11	Antimicrobial Resistance, Terminase, DNA Packaging, Bacteriophage, Transduction, Virus
12	
13	Abstract = 122 words, Text = 6,631 words
14	
15	#Address correspondence to Paul Fogg, paul.fogg@york.ac.uk
16	
17	*Present address: The Centre for Organismal Studies (COS), Universität Heidelberg, Germany

# 18 Abstract

Genetic exchange mediated by viruses of bacteria (bacteriophages) is the primary driver of rapid 19 bacterial evolution. The priority of viruses is usually to propagate themselves. Most bacteriophages 20 21 use the small terminase protein to identify their own genome and direct its inclusion into phage 22 capsids. Gene transfer agents (GTAs) are descended from bacteriophages but they instead package fragments of the entire bacterial genome without preference for their own genes. GTAs don't 23 selectively target specific DNA and no GTA small terminases are known. Here, we identified the 24 small terminase from the model *Rhodobacter capsulatus* GTA, which then allowed prediction of 25 analogues in other species. We examined the role of the small terminase in GTA production and 26 27 propose a structural basis for random DNA packaging.

## 28 **Importance**

Random transfer of and any and all genes between bacteria could be influential in spread of 29 virulence or antimicrobial resistance genes. Discovery of the true prevalence of GTAs in 30 31 sequenced genomes is hampered by their apparent similarity to bacteriophages. Our data allowed the prediction of small terminases in diverse GTA producer species and defining the characteristics 32 of a "GTA-type" terminase could be an important step toward novel GTA identification. 33 Importantly, the GTA small terminase shares many features with its phage counterpart. We 34 propose that the GTA terminase complex could become a streamlined model system to answer 35 36 fundamental questions about dsDNA packaging by viruses that have not been forthcoming to date.

### 37 Introduction

<sup>38</sup> Viral transduction by bacteriophages is generally accepted to be the dominant mechanism for <sup>39</sup> the rapid exchange of genes between bacteria. Viruses are the most abundant organisms in the <sup>40</sup> environment; it is estimated that there are  $>10^{30}$  viruses in the oceans alone and the majority of <sup>41</sup> these are viruses of bacteria (1). The impact of bacteriophages is massive, from their crucial role <sup>42</sup> in biogeochemical cycling in the oceans to the ubiquitous crAss phages that are intimately <sup>43</sup> associated with >98% of tested human gut microbiomes (2, 3).

True viruses are essentially selfish - they use host resources to replicate their own genome and 44 45 package it into the viral protein shell before the progeny move on to infect a new host. Host DNA 46 can also be packaged by bacteriophages but this occurrence is usually incidental (4-6). By contrast, 47 Gene Transfer Agents (GTAs) are small virus-like particles that exclusively package and transfer 48 random fragments of their host bacterium's DNA to recipient bacteria (7, 8), with no preference 49 for the propagation of their own genes. There are no known restrictions on the DNA that can be packaged into GTA particles and, consequently, any gene may be transferred by GTAs (8–10). An 50 51 eye opening study of antibiotic gene transfer by GTAs in *in situ* marine microcosms, detected extraordinary transfer frequencies that were orders of magnitude greater than more established 52 mechanisms (11). 53

GTAs were first discovered in the alpha-proteobacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, which remains the model organism for study of GTAs today (12, 13). The *R. capsulatus* GTA (RcGTA) is encoded by a 14.5 kb core gene cluster that encodes a phage T4-like large terminase and most of the RcGTA structural proteins (portal, capsid, various tail proteins and glycoside hydrolases) required for RcGTA production (14). Recently, ectopic loci encoding tail fibres, head spikes and putative maturation proteins have also been identified (15, 16). Homologous clusters of RcGTA-

like genes are present throughout the alpha-proteobacteria and appear to have co-evolved with the 60 host species, indicative of vertical inheritance (17, 18). Beyond the alpha-proteobacteria, 61 62 functional GTAs have since been discovered experimentally in diverse prokaryotes, including animal pathogens of the Brachyspira genus (Spirochete) (19), Desulfovibrio spp. (delta-63 proteobacteria) (20) and the Archaeon *Methanococcus voltae* (21, 22). Each of these disparate 64 65 GTAs was identified by chance during the study of phage-like particles or unusual levels of gene transfer. However, it is extremely difficult to systematically identify GTAs by bioinformatics alone 66 67 because they are functionally analogous but genetically divergent from each other and their genes strongly resemble remnant bacteriophages. The difficulty of rapidly identifying GTAs is perhaps 68 the major obstacle for expanding the breadth of research carried out on GTA producers. 69

The packaging of random bacterial DNA by GTAs is a fundamentally different behaviour to 70 that of bacteriophages and other viruses (23). The primary aim of a phage is to distribute their own 71 genes. Phages first replicate their genome, usually as a multi-copy concatamer. There is no 72 evidence that GTAs possess any DNA replication genes or that the packaged DNA has been 73 74 replicated, instead GTAs appear to contain the uncopied genome of the producing bacterium. For viruses, in all known cases the volume of the capsid is enough to contain the whole viral genome, 75 however this is not the case for GTAs (7). An individual GTA virion is too small to package the 76 77 genes required for its own synthesis, for example each RcGTA transfers only ~4 kb of DNA but the 14.5 kb core gene cluster plus several ectopic loci are required for mature GTA production. To 78 achieve packaging specificity, dsDNA phages usually use initiation sites at a specific location in 79 the phage genome that are recognized by the packaging machinery. Packaging initiation sites 80 generate specificity with a defined DNA sequence, e.g. *cos/pac* sites (23–25), or with favourable 81 82 topological features, e.g. conformational selection of intrinsically bent DNA by SPP1-like phages 83 (26). So far no evidence that GTAs target discrete packaging start sites has been presented and no
84 conserved sequences or topologies have been implicated as *cos/pac* equivalents, all of which
85 suggests that packaging initiation is indeed random.

86 Bacteriophages with a dsDNA genome use sophisticated molecular machinery, known as the terminase, to specifically recognize replicated phage DNA and to drive it into a preformed capsid 87 (27). The capsid itself is essentially a passive receptacle and it is the terminase that provides DNA 88 89 selectivity, enzymatic activity and motive force required to fill the capsid. The terminase is a complex of two oligomeric small and large terminase proteins, TerS and TerL, which are both 90 indispensable for proper phage function and DNA packaging (27). TerL possesses the enzymatic 91 92 activities required for DNA packaging: it has a C-terminal nuclease domain that cleaves the target 93 DNA to produce a free end available for packaging and an N-terminal ATPase domain that 94 translocates the DNA into a preformed capsid. Unlike TerL, TerS has no enzymatic activity and instead carries out a regulatory role being responsible for recognition of the phage genome's 95 packaging initiation site, recruitment of TerL and modulation of TerL enzymatic activities (26, 28, 96 29). 97

98 In general, large terminase genes are sufficiently well conserved to allow confident 99 identification by sequence identity alone, partly owing to the presence of the Walker ATP-100 interacting motifs, and thus most GTAs have an annotated terL gene. Small terminases, however, 101 are smaller with little primary sequence conservation, which makes them far more challenging to 102 identify in silico. No small terminase has been identified for any GTA to date. Given the role of 103 terminase proteins in phage biology, it is highly likely that the GTA terminase plays a defining 104 role in the packaging of random DNA. In this study, we definitively identify the small terminase of the model R. capsulatus GTA, demonstrate and localize its interaction with the large terminase 105

- and investigate its role in RcGTA production. Our characterization of the RcGTA TerS also allows
- us to speculate on the physical requirements of a GTA-type small terminase and to identify
- 108 candidate small terminases in other GTA-producing species

109 **Results** 

Characterization of RcGTA g1 (rcc01682). A gene encoding a TerL homologue 110 (rcc01683/RcGTA g2) is readily identifiable within the Rhodobacter capsulatus SB1003 core 111 RcGTA gene cluster (Fig. 1A). The RcGTA TerL has regions of strong homology with large 112 terminases from several well-studied phages, including the presence of characteristic nuclease and 113 114 Walker ATPase motifs (Fig. 2) (30, 31). Small terminases are far more difficult to predict and consequently no GTA small terminases have ever been identified. Most characterized phage TerS 115 proteins have a modular structure: the N-terminal region comprises the helix-turn-helix DNA-116 117 binding domain, the central region contains a coiled-coil oligomerization domain and the Cterminus contains the TerL interaction segment (32). Such domain organization is a well conserved 118 feature of TerS, despite the lack of sequence conservation. 119

In phage genomes, the small and large terminase genes are often co-localized and so the 120 121 core RcGTA gene cluster was examined for genes that could encode a small terminase. The 122 RcGTA gene cluster contains 17 predicted genes, of which at least six have been shown to be essential for GTA production (16). The first gene of the cluster, rcc01682 (referred to hereafter as 123 124 g1 and the protein as gp1), is also thought to be essential for RcGTA activity (33), but no in-depth 125 characterization has been carried out and no function has so far been assigned. The g1 ORF is 324 bases and is located immediately upstream of the large terminase. The gp1 protein sequence was 126 127 submitted to the JPRED4 protein secondary structure prediction server (34), which predicted an almost entirely helical structure (Fig. 1B). Subsequent analysis using the COILS server (35) 128 129 (MTIDK matrix, all window sizes) indicated that of the three distinct  $\alpha$ -helices, the first two are likely to form a coiled-coil (Fig. 1C) reminiscent of a phage TerS oligomerization domain. A more 130 detailed structural prediction using the RaptorX structure prediction server (36) indicated 131

similarity to the phage T4-like small terminase from *Aeromonas* phage 44RR (Fig. 1D & E). The
44RR TerS crystal structure (PDB: 3TXS) failed to resolve the N/C-terminal segments of residues
1-24 and 114-154 due to conformational variability, however, an N-terminal helix-turn-helix
DNA-binding motif was predicted from the primary sequence (32). RcGTA gp1 begins with the
coiled-coil domain and appears to lack a DNA-binding domain (Fig. 1D) at the N-terminus. No
helix-turn-helix motif was detected by the Gym2.0 and NPS@ servers (37–39).

To confirm that gI is essential for RcGTA activity, a deletion mutant was produced in the GTA hyperproducer strain *R. capsulatus* DE442. Loss of the gI gene prevented all detectable gene transfer activity (Fig. 3A). Complementation with full length gI expressed ectopically from its own promoter effectively restored gene transfer to wild-type frequencies (Fig. 3A). Complementation was also attempted using gI constructs that lacked the sequence encoding either the first or third  $\alpha$ -helical regions; in both cases gene transfer frequencies were indistinguishable from the uncomplemented DE442  $\Delta gI$  mutant (Fig. 3A).

145 It has previously been shown that the DE442 RcGTA hyperproducer packages sufficient 146 genomic DNA into GTA particles to allow detection of a distinct 4 kb band in total DNA preparations (40). Given the predicted headful packaging mechanism used by RcGTA (8, 27), 147 148 production of 4 kb DNA fragments can only occur if DNA is successfully packaged into the capsid. 149 This property can be exploited to examine mutations that affect DNA packaging in vivo, 150 independent of the release of infective GTA particles. Deletion of gl prevents any detectable 151 accumulation of intracellular RcGTA 4 kb DNA fragments and *in trans* complementation restores 152 DNA packaging (Fig. 3B). DNA contained within extracellular GTA particles is protected from enzymatic degradation. Isolation of DNase-insensitive DNA from the supernatant of DE442 wild-153 154 type and  $\Delta g I$  strains yielded detectable RcGTA DNA for the wild-type only (Fig. 3C). As phage

TerS are responsible for binding to target DNA and stimulating the various enzymatic activities of the TerL that are required for DNA packaging, our data are entirely consistent with *g1* encoding the RcGTA small terminase.

The C-terminus of RcGTA gp1 interacts with the ATPase domain of TerL. In bacteriophage, 158 the only protein that the small terminase is known to interact with is the large terminase. Indeed, 159 160 the small terminase not only recognizes the bacteriophage DNA, but also recruits the large terminase and initiates the process of DNA packaging. Using the bacterial-2-hybrid assay, RcGTA 161 gp1 was translationally coupled to the T25 domain of the *Bordetella pertussis* adenylate cyclase 162 163 enzyme and RcGTA TerL was coupled to the adenylate cyclase T18 domain. Interaction between the two proteins brings together the two adenylate cyclase domains leading to cAMP production 164 and subsequently  $\beta$ -galactosidase (41). In this assay, a distinct interaction can be seen between gp1 165 and gp2 (Fig. 3D). Truncation of gp1 to remove helix 1 had no appreciable effect on interaction 166 167 with the large terminase, however, loss of helix 3 led to complete loss of interaction (Fig. 3D). Quantification of the results with a colorimetric  $\beta$ -galactosidase assay showed no significant 168 difference between the helix 3 deletion and the no insert negative control, whereas the helix 1 169 deletion was indistinguishable from full length gp1 (Fig. 3E). 170

The RcGTA large terminase has clear homology with large terminase proteins of several well-studied phages (Fig. 2). The N-terminus of the protein contains the ATPase domain with conserved Walker A and B motifs (Fig. 2A), while the C-terminus contains the nuclease domain including three conserved nuclease motifs (Fig. 2B) (30). In the well-studied T4-like phages, it is the ATPase domain that directly interacts with the small terminase (42). To test whether the ATPase domain of the RcGTA large terminase is also responsible for interaction with gp1, translational fusions were made of each of the two domains with the adenylate cyclase T18 domain. In a bacterial-2-hybrid assay, the TerL nuclease domain (V253-L455) had no significant interaction with RcGTA gp1 but the ATPase domain (L27-V258) produced a signal indistinguishable from full-length TerL (Fig. 3D & E).

RcGTA gp1 production is a prerequisite for tail attachment and efficient GTA capsid 181 **maturation.** As shown above,  $\Delta g I$  mutants are unable to produce infective GTA particles or to 182 package DNA, which indicates that RcGTA production has stalled early in the assembly process. 183 184 To determine the developmental state of the stalled RcGTAs, we purified the RcGTA particles that were released by DE442 WT and  $\Delta g I$  strains during lysis using nickel affinity purification. 185 186 The RcGTA lysis genes (rcc00555 and rcc00556) are located elsewhere in the R. capsulatus 187 genome and should not be affected by the absence of a small terminase (8, 43). A plasmid containing the RcGTA capsid (rcc01687/RcGTA g5) with a C-terminal His6-tag was introduced 188 189 into wild type DE442 and isogenic  $\Delta g I$  strains. Timing of capsid expression was matched to GTA production by fusing the g5 ORF directly to the previously characterized RcGTA promoter (40, 190 191 44). Incorporation of recombinant capsid monomers into nascent RcGTA particles allows affinity 192 purification of the whole particles, as previously described (15). Concentrated samples were run on an SDS PAGE gel to qualitatively assess the relative protein content. Strong bands were evident 193 in both samples at sizes consistent with the RcGTA capsid (post-translationally processed to 31.4 194 kDa (45)) and portal (42.8 kDa) proteins (Fig. 4). RcGTA<sup>WT</sup>, but not RcGTA<sup>g1</sup>, also had several 195 other visible bands (Fig. 4). RcGTA particles contain a distinctive 138.9 kDa putative tail 196 fibre/host specificity protein (encoded by rcc01698/RcGTA g15) (45), and a band of this size was 197 present only in the RcGTA<sup>WT</sup> lane. The band was excised and positively identified as gp15 by 198 MALDI-MS:MS (3 unique peptide hits, expect <0.05, total score 154). 199

200 Affinity purified RcGTA particles were submitted for shotgun liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis to determine the structural proteome of 201 RcGTA<sup>WT</sup> versus RcGTA<sup>g1</sup>. In terms of number of peptides detected, both sample types yielded 202 equivalent numbers for the RcGTA capsid and portal proteins (Fig. 5A). The GhsA and GhsB head 203 spike proteins (encoded by rcc01079 and rcc01080, respectively) were represented in both 204 samples, however, 7 to 9-fold fewer GhsA/B peptides were detected in RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> (Fig. 5A). In 205 contrast, peptide hits for the predicted RcGTA tail structures were almost completely absent in the 206 RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> samples but abundant for RcGTA<sup>WT</sup> (Fig. 5B). Transmission electron microscopy 207 images corroborated the proteomic data. RcGTAWT samples yielded intact GTA particles with 208 clearly defined head spikes, portal apertures and dense staining of the heads, possibly indicative 209 of tightly packaged DNA (Fig. 5C-E). RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> samples contained no evidence of tail structures, 210 211 head spikes were present but at reduced frequency and portal structures were visible (Fig. 5F-H). Overall, RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> head structures appeared more prone to damage than wild-type, maturation was 212 213 often incomplete and the contrast was poor - probably due to the absence of DNA (Fig. 5F-H). In agreement with data presented earlier (Fig. 3C), DNA extraction from affinity purified RcGTA<sup>WT</sup> 214 samples yielded characteristic 4 kb GTA DNA bands whereas no detectable DNA was recovered 215 from RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> samples (Fig. 6A). Similar affinity chromatography using His6-tagged gp1 also 216 217 allowed purification of RcGTA particles from culture supernatant. The overall concentration of 218 RcGTA particles was much lower, presumably because the terminase complex dissociates after 219 packaging is complete, but 4 kb GTA DNA was still recoverable (Fig. 6B). These data demonstrate a direct interaction between gp1 and the broader structural proteome for the first time, and support 220 221 our hypothesis that gp1 is indeed the small terminase.

**RcGTA gp1 binds weakly to DNA.** A core role of phage small terminases is to recognize the 222 phage genome and to target it for packaging into preformed capsids. RcGTAs don't package 223 224 specific DNA but the large terminase still needs to be recruited to the host genomic DNA to initiate packaging, and it's plausible that this may be achieved via a non-specific affinity for DNA. In an 225 electrophoretic motility shift assay (EMSA), we tested the ability of RcGTA gp1 to bind DNA in 226 227 vitro. To obtain high concentration, soluble protein an N-terminal MBP-tag was used for gp1 purification. Purified gp1 exhibited low affinity for DNA with incomplete shifts occurring at 228 229 micromolar concentrations - 87% of DNA substrate was bound at 40 µM protein concentration 230 (Fig. 7). Six EMSA DNA substrates were used (351 to 2,944 bp PCR amplicons from distinct locations in the R. capsulatus genome, however, the identity of the DNA did not substantially 231 affect the binding affinity. The size of the observed shift in DNA mobility was ~1500 bp or 232 equivalent to 975 kDa, which is greater than would be expected for binding of a single protein 233 monomer. The large reduction in mobility of the gp1-DNA complex indicates that gp1 could be 234 235 binding as an oligomer (small terminases usually form characteristic ring structures), there could be multiple occupancy due to the lack of a specific binding site and/or the conformation of the 236 DNA may have been altered. 237

GTA small terminases can be predicted in other species. Identification of the RcGTA small
terminase allowed us to predict GTA *terS* genes in other alpha-proteobacterial species (Table 1),
including two previously unannotated ORFs in *Parvularcula bermudensis* and *Dinoroseobacter shibae*. Interestingly, we were also able to predict small terminase genes in the distantly related
delta-proteobacterium *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and the Archaeon *Methanoccus voltae* (Table
1). In each case the small terminase gene was immediately upstream of the cognate large terminase,
the coding sequence for each small terminase was ~10-50% shorter than comparable phage

counterparts (Table 1) and the predicted protein structures were almost entirely helical. Overall, the primary amino acid sequences of the various small terminases is poorly conserved, even between those found in closely related species (Fig. 8). However, for the Rhodobacterales GTA TerS proteins there is clear sequence similarity localized at the C-termini, specifically the third  $\alpha$ helix (Fig. 8). Conservation of this region supports our findings that the C-terminal helix is required for interaction with TerL, and that this interaction constrains TerS sequence divergence.

## 251 Discussion

252 Gene Transfer Agents clearly share many structural and mechanistic features with bacteriophages, however, the most striking difference is that GTAs package and transfer random fragments of host 253 254 DNA without any preference for their own genome. In bacteriophages, DNA packaging is carried out by the terminase complex, which is composed of multimeric small and large terminase 255 subunits. Interestingly, the Enterobacteria phage T4 large terminase can promiscuously package 256 257 heterologous linear DNA fragments into an empty phage head in vitro when TerS is absent, reminiscent of GTA-type DNA packaging, but the presence of TerS is essential for terminase 258 activity in vivo (47). The large terminase has all the enzymatic capabilities required to package 259 DNA i.e. a nuclease domain to create free DNA ends at the beginning/end of packaging and an 260 261 ATPase domain to act as a motor to feed the DNA into the capsid (27, 31, 46). These data demonstrate that TerS is not strictly required for the process of packaging DNA into the capsid but 262 is instead crucial for regulation (28). Depending on the particular phage, TerS forms an oligomeric 263 ring consisting of 8 to 11 identical protein subunits, with the DNA binding domains arranged 264 265 around the exterior surface. The TerS ring recognizes the packaging signal in the phage genome 266 and has been proposed to wrap ~100 bp of DNA around the outside, along the circular surface formed by the DNA binding domains (48). TerS recruits TerL to make the initial DNA double 267

strand break, but inhibits further DNA cleavage to prevent damage to the phage genome. The TerS/L complex docks to the phage head via the oligomeric portal protein, which contains a narrow aperture for the DNA to be fed through. TerS stimulates TerL ATP hydrolysis and translocation of the packaging complex along the phage genome. Once the genome has been tightly packaged into the capsid, TerL cleaves the DNA again to complete the process. The terminase disassociates, the portal aperture is plugged and tail assemblies are attached.

274 Given the role that small terminases play in phage DNA specificity it is likely that a comparable protein is responsible for random DNA packaging by GTAs, however, no GTA TerS proteins have 275 so far been identified. Taken together, the molecular, genetic, proteomic and imaging data 276 277 presented here all support the hypothesis that RcGTA gp1 is the small terminase. RcGTA gp1 is essential for RcGTA gene transfer and DNA packaging (Fig. 3A-C). RcGTA gp1 is predicted to 278 279 have structural characteristics in common with phage TerS proteins, in particular a putative coiledcoil domain that is important for oligomerization in phage (Fig. 1) and a conserved C-terminal 280 large terminase interaction domain (Fig. 3D-E & Fig. 8). Analysis of the *R. capsulatus* DE442 Δg1 281 mutant also allows us to postulate a model to describe RcGTA assembly. RcGTA capsid formation 282 and incorporation of the portal aperture occurs independently of the terminase and DNA 283 packaging. Proteomic analysis of the stalled RcGTA<sup>g1</sup> particles did not detect substantial presence 284 of the large terminase protein, which suggests that either gp1 recruits TerL to the DNA first and 285 286 the terminase hetero-complex then recruits the preformed capsids, or that the interaction between TerL and the portal is labile in the absence of TerS. Once the terminase-portal-capsid complex is 287 assembled, headful DNA packaging can begin. In the absence of DNA packaging, efficient 288 289 maturation of the RcGTA heads is impaired and RcGTA production stalls before the tail 290 appendage is attached (Fig. 5).

A crucial difference between the RcGTA small terminase and its phage counterparts is the 291 apparent lack of an N-terminal DNA-binding domain (Fig. 1). Previous work showed that deletion 292 of the N-terminal region of bacteriophage SF6 and SPP1 TerS proteins led to a significant 293 reduction in DNA binding affinity in vitro (49), but some binding was still retained. In addition, 294 both T4 and P22 TerS proteins have N- and C-Terminal DNA binding activities, with non-specific 295 296 DNA binding dependent upon a nine residue region in the P22 C-terminus, R143-K151 (48, 50). 297 Here, we show that the RcGTA TerS protein can bind non-specifically to DNA at micromolar 298 concentrations (Fig. 7). Absence of the specific DNA binding domain but retention of non-specific 299 DNA binding could provide an explanation for random DNA packaging by GTAs. It is possible that the RcGTA TerS protein is also able to bind specific DNA sequences, however, this could not 300 be tested because we have no evidence to suggest that this occurs *in vivo* and no GTA binding sites 301 are currently known. 302

In summary, RcGTA gp1 is the first GTA small terminase to be described to date. We 303 hypothesize that GTA small terminases possess all of the regulatory abilities of phage small 304 305 terminases but lack of an N-terminal DNA-binding domain abolishes DNA sequence specificity. Loss of the specific DNA binding region could allow non-specific binding of random DNA 306 sequences, which is the defining characteristic of GTA-type TerS proteins. The greatest barrier to 307 308 novel GTA identification and an understanding of their true prevalence in the environment, is the lack of an effective identification method. Based on the data gained from RcGTA, we were able 309 to predict the small terminases from other known GTAs using gene size, neighbourhood and 310 protein secondary structure prediction analyses. Confirmation and in-depth characterization of 311 these proteins could allow us to pinpoint the defining characteristics of GTA-type terminases with 312 313 a view to enhanced discovery of novel GTAs in existing genome datasets. Furthermore, we also

anticipate that the smaller size and simpler organization of GTAs, compared to phages, will

provide the opportunity to develop a superior model system for structural and mechanistic studies.

### 316 Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains. Two wild-type *Rhodobacter* strains were used – rifampicin resistant SB1003
(ATCC BAA-309) and rifampicin sensitive B10 (51). The RcGTA overproducer strain DE442 is
of uncertain provenance but has been used in a number of RcGTA publications (44, 52). The *E. coli* S17-1 strain, which contains chromosomally integrated *tra* genes, was used as a donor for all
conjugations. NEB 10-beta Competent *E. coli* (New England Biolabs, NEB) were used for
standard cloning and plasmid maintenance; T7 Express Competent *E. coli* (NEB) were used for
overexpression of proteins for purification.

324 **Cloning.** All cloning reactions were carried out with either the In-Fusion Cloning Kit (CloneTech) or NEBuilder (NEB) to produce the constructs listed in Table 2. All oligonucleotides were obtained 325 326 from IDT (Table 3) and designed with an optimal annealing temperature of  $60^{\circ}$ C when used with 327 Q5 DNA Polymerase (NEB). In summary, destination plasmids were linearized using a single 328 restriction enzyme (pCM66T (BamHI), pEHisTEV (NcoI), pKT25 (BamHI), pUT18C (BamHI)), 329 or linearized by PCR (pETFPP\_2 using primers CleF and CleR). Inserts were amplified using primers with 15 bp 5' overhangs that have complementary sequence to the DNA with which it is 330 331 to be recombined.

**Transformation**. Plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* by standard heat shock transformation (53), and into *Rhodobacter* by conjugation. For conjugation, 1 ml aliquots of an *E. coli* S17-1 donor containing the plasmid of interest and the *Rhodobacter* recipient were centrifuged at 5,000 x g for 1 min, washed with 1 ml SM buffer, centrifuged again and resuspended in 100  $\mu$ l SM buffer. 10  $\mu$ l of concentrated donor and recipient cells were mixed and spotted onto YPS agar or spotted individually as negative controls. Plates were incubated o/n at 30°C. Spots were scraped, suspended in 100  $\mu$ l YPS broth and plated on YPS + 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> rifampicin (counter-selection against *E. coli*) + 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin (plasmid selection). Plates were incubated o/n at 30°C then restreaked onto fresh agar to obtain single colonies.

Gene Knock-Outs. Knock-outs were created by RcGTA transfer. pCM66T plasmid constructs were created with a gentamicin resistance cassette flanked by 500-1000 bp of DNA from either side of the target gene. Assembly was achieved by a one-step, four component NEBuilder (NEB) reaction and transformation into NEB 10-beta cells. Deletion constructs were introduced into the RcGTA hyperproducer strain by conjugation and a standard GTA bio-assay was carried out to replace the intact chromosomal gene with the deleted version.

347 Rhodobacter Gene Transfer Assays. In Rhodobacter, the assays were carried out essentially as defined by Leung and Beatty (2013) (54). RcGTA donor cultures were grown anaerobically with 348 349 illumination in YPS for ~48 h and recipient cultures were grown aerobically in RCV for ~24 h. 350 For overexpression experiments, donor cultures were first grown aerobically to stationary phase 351 then anaerobically for 24 h. Cells were cleared from donor cultures by centrifugation and the 352 supernatant filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter. Recipient cells were concentrated 3-fold by 353 centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 5 min and resuspension in 1/3 volume G-Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM NaCl, 0.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> BSA). Reactions were carried out 354 355 in polystyrene culture tubes (Starlab) containing 400 µl G-Buffer, 100 µl recipient cells and 100 µl filter donor supernatant, then incubated at 30°C for 1 h. 900 µl YPS was added to each tube and 356 incubated for a further 3 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 x g and plated on YPS 357 + 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> rifampicin (for standard GTA assays) or 3  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> gentamicin (for gene knock-outs). 358 359 **DNA Purification.** To isolate total intracellular DNA, 1 ml samples of relevant bacterial cultures were taken for each nucleic acid purification replicate. Generally, sampling occurred during 360

stationary phase but for overexpression experiments samples were taken 6 h and 24 h after

361

transition to anaerobic growth. Total DNA was purified according to the Purification of Nucleic 362 Acids by Extraction with Phenol:Chloroform protocol (53). To isolate extracellular DNA 363 364 contained in RcGTA virions, R. capsulatus DE442 cultures (23 ml) were grown anaerobically with illumination in YPS for ~48 h at 30°C. Cells were cleared from the cultures by centrifugation at 365 15,000 x g for 10 min and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter. RcGTAs 366 367 were precipitated by addition of PEG8000 to a final concentration of 10% (w/v) and then incubated at 4°C for 1 h with continuous rolling. Precipitated RcGTAs were pelleted by centrifugation at 368 369 10,000 x g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 500 µl G-Buffer. Bacterial DNA and RNA 370 was removed by overnight incubation with Basemuncher nuclease (Expedeon) in the presence of 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> at 30°C. Nuclease digestion was inhibited by addition of 50 mM EDTA. DNA was 371 extracted with Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1, pH 8.0) as previously described 372 (53). 373

**Bacterial-two-hybrid (B2H) assays.** The procedure and the resources were as described in (41). 374 375 Plasmids encoding T18 (pUT18C and derivatives) and the compatible plasmids encoding T25 (pKT25 and derivatives) were introduced pairwise into competent BTH101 by co-transformation. 376 Selection was using LB agar containing 50 µg/ml kanamycin, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1 mM IPTG 377 378 and 80 µg/ml X-Gal, and plates were incubated at 30°C for 24-48 h. The phenotype of BTH101 379 (cya-) can be complemented if the two domains of adenylate cyclase (T18 and T25) are brought 380 into close proximity, and this can be achieved by fusing interacting protein partners to each domain. The readout for complementation of the cya- phenotype (indicating a positive interaction 381 382 between the two fusion proteins) is the induction of lac (blue colonies on IPTG, XGal), whereas no induction (white colonies) indicates no fusion protein interaction. 383

Assay of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. Colonies obtained from the B2H plasmids introduced into 384 BH101 were spotted onto selective agar. The confluent spots were used to inoculate 200 µl aliquots 385 of LB supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin, 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 1 mM IPTG in a 96-well 386 plate. Plates were covered and incubated for 16 h at  $30^{\circ}$ C with agitation. Absorbance (OD<sub>600</sub>) 387 readings were taken using a plate reader. In a second 96-well plate, 80 µl aliquots of 388 389 permeabilization solution (100 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.06% (w/v) CTAB, 0.04% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 0.0054% (v/v) TCEP) were prepared. 20 µl aliquots from each 390 well of the cultured bacteria were added to the corresponding wells of the plate containing the 391 392 permeabilization solution and the mixtures incubated at room temperature for 15 min. 25 µl of the permeabilized samples were then added to 150 µl of substrate solution (60 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM 393 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mg/ml ONPG and 0.0027% (v/v) TCEP) that had been placed in a third 96-well plate. 394 Absorbance (OD<sub>420</sub>) readings were taken in the plate reader at 10 minute intervals over 60 min at 395 30°C. The maximum 2-point slope was calculated ( $\Delta OD_{420}/min/ml$ ). 396

397 Affinity purification of RcGTA particles. Purification of RcGTA particles was carried out as previously described with minor modifications (15, 45). Plasmids pCMF142 or pCMF173 (Table 398 2) were conjugated into the RcGTA overproducer strain R. capsulatus DE442 and an isogenic 399 400 RcGTA g1 deletion strain. pCMF142 and pCMF173 use the RcGTA promoter to express the 401 RcGTA major capsid protein or gp1, respectively, with a hexa-histidine purification tag 402 incorporated at the C-terminus. 100 ml cultures of DE442 and DE442  $\Delta g1$  were grown in YPS 403 medium to stationary phase at 30°C, anaerobically with constant illumination. The cultures were cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 10 min followed by syringe filtration through a 0.45 404 µm pore filter. Tris – HCl (pH 8) was added to a final concentration of 10 mM. Each filtrate was 405 mixed with 3 ml Amintra Ni-Agarose beads (Expedeon) pre-equilibrated with G\* buffer (10 mM 406

Tris-HCl (pH 8), 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 mM NaCl), then incubated at room temperature
for 1 h with agitation. Beads were applied to 25 ml gravity flow columns (Thermo-Fisher) and
washed with 200 ml G\* buffer supplemented with 40 mM imidazole. RcGTA particles were eluted
using 5 ml G\* buffer supplemented with 400 mM imidazole. Eluted RcGTAs were concentrated
and imidazole depleted to <1 mM by iterative dilution and ultrafiltration using a 100 kDa Spin-X</li>
UF20 device (Corning).

Electron Microscopy. Affinity purified RcGTAs were directly applied to 200 mesh copper grids
with a formvar/carbon support film and allowed to adsorb for four minutes. The grids were washed
with three drops of deionised water and then negatively stained with uranyl acetate solution (55).
Samples were analyzed on a Tecnai 12 BioTWIN G2 transmission electron microscope operating
at 120kV, and images were captured using the Ceta camera (Thermo-Fisher).

Protein Purification. Protein overexpression was carried out in the NEB Express *E. coli* strain (NEB) containing the relevant T7 expression plasmid (Table 2). Expression from the T7 promoter was induced at mid-exponential growth phase with 0.2 mM IPTG at 20°C overnight. His6-tagged (56) and MBP-tagged (40) proteins were purified as described previously. All chromatography steps were carried out on an AKTA Prime instrument (GE Healthcare). Purified proteins were concentrated in a Spin-X UF Centrifugal Concentrator (Corning). Samples were stored at -80°C in binding buffer plus 50% glycerol.

Electrophoretic motility shift assays (EMSA). DNA substrates were prepared by PCR
amplification with oligonucleotides indicated in Table 3 and cleaned with a Monarch DNA cleanup kit (NEB). 10 µl EMSA mixtures contained 100 ng of DNA, binding buffer based on reference
(57) (25 mM HEPES, 50 mM K-glutamate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05% Triton X-100, 4%
Glycerol, 1 µg poly dI:dC; pH 8.0) and purified protein at stated concentrations. Binding assays

were carried out at room temperature for 30 min. Samples were run on a 0.8% agarose gel in 0.5X
TBE at 80 V for 2 h at room temperature. Gels were stained with Sybr Safe (Invitrogen) and
imaged on a GelDoc transilluminator (BioRad).

Sample Preparation for Mass Spectrometry. For MALDI-MS:MS protein identification, purified RcGTA samples were run on a TEO-Tricine 4-12% SDS Mini Gel (Expedeon) at 150 V for 45 minutes. Gels were stained with InstantBlue protein stain (Expedeon) for a 1 h before destaining with ultrapure water for 1 h. Protein bands of interest were excised. For shotgun LC-MS:MS, samples were run into a 7 cm NuPAGE Novex 10% Bis-Tris Gel (Life Technologies) at 200 V for 6 mins. Gels were stained with SafeBLUE protein stain (NBS biologicals) for 1 h before destaining with ultrapure water for 1 h.

440 In-gel tryptic digestion was performed after reduction with DTE and Scarbamidomethylation with iodoacetamide. Gel pieces were washed two times with 50% (v:v) 441 aqueous acetonitrile containing 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, then once with acetonitrile and 442 443 dried in a vacuum concentrator for 20 min. Sequencing-grade, modified porcine trypsin (Promega) was dissolved in the 50 mM acetic acid supplied by the manufacturer, then diluted 5-fold by adding 444 445 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate to give a final trypsin concentration of  $0.02 \,\mu g/\mu l$ . Gel pieces 446 were rehydrated by adding 10 µl of trypsin solution, and after 5 min enough 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate solution was added to cover the gel pieces. Digests were incubated overnight at 37°C. 447 MALDI-MS:MS. A 1 µl aliquot of each peptide mixture was applied to a ground steel MALDI 448 449 target plate, followed immediately by an equal volume of a freshly-prepared 5 mg/mL solution of 4-hydroxy- $\alpha$ -cyano-cinnamic acid (Sigma) in 50% aqueous (v:v) acetonitrile containing 0.1%, 450 451 trifluoroacetic acid (v:v).

Positive-ion MALDI mass spectra were obtained using a Bruker ultraflex III in reflectron
mode, equipped with a Nd:YAG smart beam laser. MS spectra were acquired over a range of 8004000 m/z. Final mass spectra were externally calibrated against an adjacent spot containing 6
peptides (des-Arg1-Bradykinin, 904.681; Angiotensin I, 1296.685; Glu1-Fibrinopeptide B,
1750.677; ACTH (1-17 clip), 2093.086; ACTH (18-39 clip), 2465.198; ACTH (7-38 clip),
3657.929.). Monoisotopic masses were obtained using a SNAP averagine algorithm (C 4.9384, N
1.3577, O 1.4773, S 0.0417, H 7.7583) and a S/N threshold of 2.

For each spot the ten strongest precursors, with a S/N greater than 30, were selected for MS/MS fragmentation. Fragmentation was performed in LIFT mode without the introduction of a collision gas. The default calibration was used for MS/MS spectra, which were baseline-subtracted and smoothed (Savitsky-Golay, width 0.15 m/z, cycles 4); monoisotopic peak detection used a SNAP averagine algorithm (C 4.9384, N 1.3577, O 1.4773, S 0.0417, H 7.7583) with a minimum S/N of 6. Bruker flexAnalysis software (version 3.3) was used to perform spectral processing and peak list generation.

**Shotgun LC-MS:MS.** Peptides were extracted by washing three times with 50% (v/v) aqueous 466 acetonitrile containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v), before being dried down in a vacuum 467 468 concentrator and reconstituting in aqueous 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v). Samples were loaded onto a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters) equipped with a nanoAcquity Symmetry C18, 5 µm 469 470 trap (180  $\mu$ m x 20 mm Waters) and a nanoAcquity HSS T3 1.8  $\mu$ m C18 capillary column (75  $\Box$ m x 250 mm, Waters). The trap wash solvent was 0.1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid and the trapping 471 flow rate was 10 µl/min. The trap was washed for 5 min before switching flow to the capillary 472 column. Separation used a gradient elution of two solvents (solvent A: aqueous 0.1% (v/v) formic 473 acid; solvent B: acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid). The capillary column flow rate 474

was 350 nl/min and the column temperature was 60°C. The gradient profile was linear 2-35% B
over 20 mins. All runs then proceeded to wash with 95% solvent B for 2.5 min. The column was
returned to initial conditions and re-equilibrated for 25 min before subsequent injections.

The nanoLC system was interfaced with a maXis HD LC-MS/MS system (Bruker 478 Daltonics) with CaptiveSpray ionisation source (Bruker Daltonics). Positive ESI-MS and MS/MS 479 480 spectra were acquired using AutoMSMS mode. Instrument control, data acquisition and processing 481 were performed using Compass 1.7 software (microTOF control, Hystar and DataAnalysis, Bruker 482 Daltonics). Instrument settings were: ion spray voltage: 1,450 V, dry gas: 3 l/min, dry gas 483 temperature 150°C, ion acquisition range: m/z 150-2,000, MS spectra rate: 2 Hz, MS/MS spectra rate: 1 Hz at 2,500 cts to 10 Hz at 250,000 cts, cycle time: 3 s, quadrupole low mass: 300 m/z, 484 collision RF: 1,400 Vpp, transfer time 120 ms. The collision energy and isolation width settings 485 486 were automatically calculated using the AutoMSMS fragmentation table, absolute threshold 200 counts, preferred charge states: 2 - 4, singly charged ions excluded. A single MS/MS spectrum 487 was acquired for each precursor and former target ions were excluded for 0.8 min unless the 488 precursor intensity increased fourfold. 489

Bioinformatics. Tandem mass spectral data were submitted to database searching against the
unrestricted NCBInr database (version 20190131, 187087713 sequences; 68237485887 residues)
using a locally-running copy of the Mascot program (Matrix Science Ltd., version 2.5.1), through
the Bruker ProteinScape interface (version 2.1). Search criteria specified: Enzyme, Trypsin; Fixed
modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications, Oxidation (M); Peptide tolerance,
150 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 0.75 Da; Instrument, MALDI-TOF-TOF. Results were filtered to
accept only peptides with an expect score of 0.05 or lower.

25

497 Helix turn helix predictions were carried out using NPS@ (37, 38) and Gym2.0 (39) using the default settings. Protein secondary structure and coiled coil predictions were made using 498 JPRED4 (34) and COILS (35), respectively. Protein 3D structures were predicted using RaptorX 499 (36). Molecular graphics/analyses were performed with the UCSF Chimera package v1.13 (58). 500 Chimera is developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the 501 University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIGMS P41-GM103311). COBALT was 502 used for protein sequence alignments and PROMALS3D for alignment of predicted protein 503 structure (59, 60). Jalview was used to visualize alignments (61). FIJI software was used image 504 505 analysis (62). Figure graphics were produced using CorelDraw 2018. Statistical analysis was carried out using Sigmaplot software version 13 (Systat Software Inc.) and, for each use, the test 506 507 parameters are indicated in the text and/or figure legends.

508 Acknowledgements: This work was wholly supported by a Wellcome Trust/Royal Society Sir Henry Dale Fellowship Grant (109363/Z/15/Z). We would like to acknowledge the contributions 509 of the University of York Technology Facility to this work. Electron microscopy images were 510 produced by the Imaging and Cytometry lab and proteomics were carried out by the York Centre 511 of Excellence in Mass Spectrometry, which was created thanks to a major capital investment 512 513 through Science City York, supported by Yorkshire Forward with funds from the Northern Way Initiative, and subsequent support from EPSRC (EP/K039660/1; EP/M028127/1). We would also 514 like to thank Prof. Fred Antson for critical reading of the manuscript. 515

- 516 Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.C.M.F; Methodology, D.S., J.X.L. and P.C.M.F.;
- 517 Investigation, D.S., J.X.L. and P.C.M.F.; Writing, P.C.M.F.; Visualization, P.C.M.F., Funding
- 518 Acquisition, P.C.M.F.; Resources, P.C.M.F.; Supervision, D.S. and P.C.M.F.
- 519 **Declaration of Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### 520 References

- Suttle CA. 2007. Marine viruses--major players in the global ecosystem. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:801–
   812.
- 523 2. Shkoporov AN, Khokhlova EV, Fitzgerald CB, Stockdale SR, Draper LA, Ross RP, Hill C. 2018.
- 524  $\Phi$ CrAss001 represents the most abundant bacteriophage family in the human gut and infects
- 525 *Bacteroides intestinalis*. Nat Commun **9**:4781.
- Breitbart M, Bonnain C, Malki K, Sawaya NA. 2018. Phage puppet masters of the marine
  microbial realm. Nature Microbiology 3:754–766.
- 4. Thierauf A, Perez G, Maloy AS. 2009. Generalized transduction. Methods Mol Biol 501:267–286.
- 5. Sato K, Campbell A. 1970. Specialized transduction of galactose by lambda phage from a deletion
  lysogen. Virology 41:474–487.
- 531 6. Chen J, Quiles-Puchalt N, Chiang YN, Bacigalupe R, Fillol-Salom A, Chee MSJ, Fitzgerald JR,

532 Penadés JR. 2018. Genome hypermobility by lateral transduction. Science **362**:207–212.

- 533 7. Lang AS, Zhaxybayeva O, Beatty JT. 2012. Gene transfer agents: phage-like elements of genetic
  534 exchange. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:472–482.
- 535 8. Hynes AP, Mercer RG, Watton DE, Buckley CB, Lang AS. 2012. DNA packaging bias and
- 536 differential expression of gene transfer agent genes within a population during production and
- release of the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* gene transfer agent, RcGTA. Mol Microbiol **85**:314–325.
- 538 9. Tomasch J, Wang H, Hall ATK, Patzelt D, Preusse M, Petersen J, Brinkmann H, Bunk B, Bhuju S,
- 539 Jarek M, Geffers R, Lang AS, Wagner-Döbler I. 2018. Packaging of *Dinoroseobacter shibae* DNA
- 540 into Gene Transfer Agent particles is not random. Genome Biol Evol **10**:359–369.
- 10. Berglund EC, Frank AC, Calteau A, Vinnere Pettersson O, Granberg F, Eriksson A-S, Näslund K,
- 542 Holmberg M, Lindroos H, Andersson SGE. 2009. Run-off replication of host-adaptability genes is

- 543 associated with gene transfer agents in the genome of mouse-infecting *Bartonella grahamii*. PLoS
  544 Genet 5:e1000546.
- 545 11. McDaniel LD, Young E, Delaney J, Ruhnau F, Ritchie KB, Paul JH. 2010. High frequency of
  546 horizontal gene transfer in the oceans. Science 330:50.
- 547 12. Solioz M, Marrs B. 1977. The gene transfer agent of *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*. Purification
  548 and characterization of its nucleic acid. Arch Biochem Biophys 181:300–307.
- 549 13. Marrs B. 1974. Genetic recombination in *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
  550 **71**:971–973.
- Lang AS, Beatty JT. 2000. Genetic analysis of a bacterial genetic exchange element: the gene
  transfer agent of *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:859–864.
- Westbye AB, Kuchinski K, Yip CK, Beatty JT. 2016. The Gene Transfer Agent RcGTA contains
  head spikes needed for binding to the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* polysaccharide cell capsule. J Mol
  Biol 428:477–491.
- 16. Hynes AP, Shakya M, Mercer RG, Grüll MP, Bown L, Davidson F, Steffen E, Matchem H, Peach
- 557 ME, Berger T, Grebe K, Zhaxybayeva O, Lang AS. 2016. Functional and evolutionary
- characterization of a gene transfer agent's multilocus "genome". Mol Biol Evol **33**:2530–2543.
- 559 17. Shakya M, Soucy SM, Zhaxybayeva O. 2017. Insights into origin and evolution of  $\alpha$ -

560 proteobacterial gene transfer agents. Virus evolution **3**:vex036.

- 18. Lang AS, Beatty JT. 2006. Importance of wide spread gene transfer agent genes in alpha-
- 562 proteobacteria. Trends in microbiology 15:54–62.
- 19. Motro Y, La T, Bellgard MI, Dunn DS, Phillips ND, Hampson DJ. 2009. Identification of genes
- associated with prophage-like gene transfer agents in the pathogenic intestinal spirochaetes
- 565 *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae, Brachyspira pilosicoli* and *Brachyspira intermedia*. Vet Microbiol
- **134**:340–345.

29

- 20. Rapp BJ, Wall JD. 1987. Genetic transfer in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
  84:9128–9130.
- 569 21. Bertani G. 1999. Transduction-like gene transfer in the methanogen *Methanococcus voltae*. J
  570 Bacteriol 181:2992–3002.
- 571 22. Eiserling F, Pushkin A, Gingery M, Bertani G. 1999. Bacteriophage-like particles associated with
  572 the gene transfer agent of *Methanococcus voltae* PS. J Gen Virol 80 (Pt 12):3305–3308.
- 573 23. Rao VB, Black LW. 2013. DNA Packaging in Bacteriophage T4 Madame Curie Bioscience
  574 Database Austin (TX): Landes Bioscience.
- 575 24. Nichols BP, Donelson JE. 1978. 178-Nucleotide sequence surrounding the cos site of
- 576 bacteriophage lambda DNA. J Virol **26**:429–434.
- 577 25. Sternberg N, Coulby J. 1990. Cleavage of the bacteriophage P1 packaging site (*pac*) is regulated by
  578 adenine methylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:8070–8074.
- 579 26. Greive SJ, Fung HKH, Chechik M, Jenkins HT, Weitzel SE, Aguiar PM, Brentnall AS, Glousieau
- 580 M, Gladyshev GV, Potts JR, Antson AA. 2016. DNA recognition for virus assembly through
- 581 multiple sequence-independent interactions with a helix-turn-helix motif. Nucleic Acids Res
  582 44:776–789.
- 583 27. Black LW. 2015. Old, new, and widely true: The bacteriophage T4 DNA packaging mechanism.
  584 Virology 479-480:650–656.
- Al-Zahrani AS, Kondabagil K, Gao S, Kelly N, Ghosh-Kumar M, Rao VB. 2009. The small
  terminase, gp16, of bacteriophage T4 is a regulator of the DNA packaging motor. J Biol Chem
  284:24490–24500.
- Leavitt JC, Gilcrease EB, Wilson K, Casjens SR. 2013. Function and horizontal transfer of the
  small terminase subunit of the tailed bacteriophage Sf6 DNA packaging nanomotor. Virology
  440:117–133.

30

- 591 30. Ponchon L, Boulanger P, Labesse G, Letellier L. 2006. The endonuclease domain of bacteriophage
- terminases belongs to the resolvase/integrase/ribonuclease H superfamily: a bioinformatics analysis
  validated by a functional study on bacteriophage T5. J Biol Chem 281:5829–5836.
- 594 31. Kanamaru S, Kondabagil K, Rossmann MG, Rao VB. 2004. The functional domains of
- bacteriophage t4 terminase. J Biol Chem **279**:40795–40801.
- Sun S, Gao S, Kondabagil K, Xiang Y, Rossmann MG, Rao VB. 2012. Structure and function of
  the small terminase component of the DNA packaging machine in T4-like bacteriophages. Proc
- 598 Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**:817–822.
- 599 33. Leung MM-Y. 2010. PhD Thesis. University of British Columbia. CtrA and GtaR : two systems
  600 that regulate the Gene Transfer Agent in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*.
- 34. Drozdetskiy A, Cole C, Procter J, Barton GJ. 2015. JPred4: a protein secondary structure prediction
  server. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W389–94.
- 35. Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J. 1991. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science
  252:1162–1164.
- Källberg M, Margaryan G, Wang S, Ma J, Xu J. 2014. RaptorX server: a resource for templatebased protein structure modeling. Methods Mol Biol 1137:17–27.
- 607 37. Combet C, Blanchet C, Geourjon C, Deléage G. 2000. NPS@: network protein sequence analysis.
  608 Trends Biochem Sci 25:147–150.
- 38. Dodd IB, Egan JB. 1990. Improved detection of helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motifs in protein
  sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 18:5019–5026.
- Sin Service Structure
  81 39. Narasimhan G, Bu C, Gao Y, Wang X, Xu N, Mathee K. 2002. Mining protein sequences for
  motifs. J Comput Biol 9:707–720.
- 40. Fogg PCM. 2019. Identification and characterization of a direct activator of a gene transfer agent.
  Nat Commun 10:595.

- Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. 1998. A bacterial two-hybrid system based on a
  reconstituted signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:5752–5756.
- Gao S, Rao VB. 2011. Specificity of interactions among the DNA-packaging machine components
  of T4-related bacteriophages. J Biol Chem 286:3944–3956.
- 43. Westbye AB, Leung MM, Florizone SM, Taylor TA, Johnson JA, Fogg PC, Beatty JT. 2013.
- Phosphate concentration and the putative sensor kinase protein CckA modulate cell lysis and
  release of the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* gene transfer agent. J Bacteriol **195**:5025–5040.
- 44. Fogg PCM, Westbye AB, Beatty JT. 2012. One for all or all for one: heterogeneous expression and
- 623 host cell lysis are key to gene transfer agent activity in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. PLoS ONE
- **624 7**:e43772.
- 625 45. Chen F, Spano A, Goodman BE, Blasier KR, Sabat A, Jeffery E, Norris A, Shabanowitz J, Hunt
  626 DF, Lebedev N. 2009. Proteomic analysis and identification of the structural and regulatory

627 proteins of the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* gene transfer agent. J Proteome Res **8**:967–973.

- 46. Kondabagil KR, Zhang Z, Rao VB. 2006. The DNA translocating ATPase of bacteriophage T4
  packaging motor. J Mol Biol 363:786–799.
- 47. Zhang Z, Kottadiel VI, Vafabakhsh R, Dai L, Chemla YR, Ha T, Rao VB. 2011. A promiscuous
  DNA packaging machine from bacteriophage T4. PLoS Biol 9:e1000592.
- Gao S, Zhang L, Rao VB. 2016. Exclusion of small terminase mediated DNA threading models for
  genome packaging in bacteriophage T4. Nucleic Acids Res 44:4425–4439.
- 49. Büttner CR, Chechik M, Ortiz-Lombardía M, Smits C, Ebong I-O, Chechik V, Jeschke G,
- 635 Dykeman E, Benini S, Robinson CV, Alonso JC, Antson AA. 2012. Structural basis for DNA
- recognition and loading into a viral packaging motor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**:811–816.
- 637 50. Nemecek D, Lander GC, Johnson JE, Casjens SR, Thomas GJ. 2008. Assembly architecture and
- DNA binding of the bacteriophage P22 terminase small subunit. J Mol Biol **383**:494–501.

- 639 51. Wall JD, Weaver PF, Gest H. 1975. Gene transfer agents, bacteriophages, and bacteriocins of
  640 *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*. Arch Microbiol 105:217–224.
- 52. Ding H, Moksa MM, Hirst M, Beatty JT. 2014. Draft genome sequences of six *Rhodobacter capsulatus Strains*, YW1, YW2, B6, Y262, R121, and DE442. Genome Announc 2.
- 53. Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J. 1982. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring
  Harbor laboratory press.
- 54. Leung M, Beatty J. 2013. *Rhodobacter capsulatus* Gene Transfer Agent transduction assay. Bioprotocol 3(4): e334. DOI: 10.21769/BioProtoc.334.
- 647
   55.
   Booth DS, Avila-Sakar A, Cheng Y. 2011. Visualizing proteins and macromolecular complexes by
- negative stain EM: from grid preparation to image acquisition. J Vis Exp. **22**(58): 3227.
- Fogg PCM, Younger E, Fernando BD, Khaleel T, Stark WM, Smith MCM. 2018. Recombination
  directionality factor gp3 binds φC31 integrase via the zinc domain, potentially affecting the
  trajectory of the coiled-coil motif. Nucleic Acids Res 46:1308–1320.
- 57. Wiethaus J, Schubert B, Pfänder Y, Narberhaus F, Masepohl B. 2008. The GntR-like regulator
  TauR activates expression of taurine utilization genes in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. J Bacteriol
  190:487–493.
- 58. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004.
  UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem
  25:1605–1612.
- 59. Papadopoulos JS, Agarwala R. 2007. COBALT: constraint-based alignment tool for multiple
  protein sequences. Bioinformatics 23:1073–1079.
- 660 60. Pei J, Kim B-H, Grishin NV. 2008. PROMALS3D: a tool for multiple protein sequence and
  661 structure alignments. Nucleic Acids Res 36:2295–2300.

- 662 61. Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--a
  663 multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics 25:1189–1191.
- 664 62. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden
- 665 C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona
- A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods **9**:676–682.
- 667 63. Liu H, Naismith JH. 2009. A simple and efficient expression and purification system using two
  668 newly constructed vectors. Protein Expr Purif 63:102–111.
- 669 64. Fogg MJ, Wilkinson AJ. 2008. Higher-throughput approaches to crystallization and crystal
- 670 structure determination. Biochem Soc Trans **36**:771–775.

#### 671 Legends

672 Figure 1. Location and structure of the RcGTA small terminase, gp1. A. Schematic of the RcGTA 673 core gene cluster. Genes are shown as arrows with R. capsulatus SB1003 gene designations below and 674 known or predicted protein functions above. Arrows are coloured according to type - DNA packaging 675 (black), head associated (red) and tail associated (cyan). B. Amino acid sequence of RcGTA gp1 with the 676 predicted secondary structure indicated. Boxes represent a-helices and lines are disordered. The 677 boundaries of helix 1 ( $\Delta$ h1) and helix 3 ( $\Delta$ h3) truncations used in this study are shown as lines beneath 678 the sequence with the new terminal amino acids annotated. C. RcGTA gp1 coiled coil prediction using 679 COILS. The three window sizes for the prediction are annotated on the graph and colour coded. **D.** 3D 680 structural prediction of RcGTA gp1 using RaptorX and visualized using UCSF Chimera. Terminal amino 681 acids are annotated. E. Crystal structure of Aeromonas phage 44RR TerS, visualized with UCSF 682 Chimera. 683 Figure 2. Conserved functional motifs of the RcGTA large terminase protein, gp2. Alignments of the 684 N-terminal ATPase domains (A) and C-terminal nuclease domains (B) of RcGTA gp2 (ADE85428), 685 phage T4 gp17 (AAD42422), phage T5 TerL (AAS77194), phage T7 gp19 (AAP33962) and phage SPP1 686 gp2 (CAA39537). Alignment were made using COBALT and visualized using Jalview. Amino acid 687 similarity is indicated using the Clustal colour scheme. Amino acid position numbers in the full-length 688 protein are shown at the beginning and end of each row. The location of the Walker A, Walker B, motif III/ATP-coupling and nuclease motifs are underlined and annotated (30). 689 690 Figure 3. The role of gp1 in RcGTA production. A. Histogram showing the results of a gene transfer assay using the following donor strains - R. capsulatus DE442 wild-type [WT], g1 deletion [ $\Delta g1$ ], g1 691 692 deletion complemented with full length  $g_1 [\Delta g_1(g_1)], g_1$  deletion complemented with  $g_1$  lacking helix 1 693  $[\Delta gI(\Delta h1)]$  and g1 deletion complemented with g1 lacking helix 3  $[\Delta gI(\Delta h3)]$ . Statistical significance is shown above the chart (ANOVA, n=3, ns=not significant, \*\*\* p<0.01). B. Agarose gel of total DNA 694

isolated from *R. capsulatus* DE442 wild-type [**WT**], g1 deletion [ $\Delta g1$ ] and a complemented g1 deletion

35
697 size comparison. The location of genomic DNA, RcGTA DNA and the 4 kb DNA ladder band are annotated. C. Agarose gel of DNA isolated from purified RcGTA particles released by DE442 wild-type 698 699 and gl deletion strains. **D.** Interaction between RcGTA gp1 and the large terminase (TerL). 10  $\mu$ l spots of 700 individual bacterial-2-hybrid assay transformations. Blue/green indicates a positive interaction and white indicates no interaction. Reactions shown are as follows: "gp1" = gp1 vs TerL, "-ve" = no insert control, 701 702 " $gp1\Delta h1$ " = gp1 helix 1 deletion vs TerL, " $gp1\Delta h3$ " = gp1 helix 3 deletion vs TerL, "Nuc" = gp1 vs TerL nuclease domain, "ATP" = gpl vs TerL ATPase domain. E. Histogram showing quantification of the 703 704 interactions shown in panel A by  $\beta$ -galactosidase assay. Statistical significance is shown above the chart (ANOVA, n=3, ns=not significant, \*\*\* p<0.01). 705

 $[\Delta gI(gI)]$ . Bioline Hyperladder 1 kb DNA ladder [M] and purified RcGTA DNA [GTA] is shown for

696

### Figure 4. Comparison of the major structural proteins in wild-type RcGTA vs a gp1 knock-out.

SDS PAGE gel of affinity purified RcGTAs produced by DE442 wild-type [WT] and *g1* deletion strains
[Ag1]. Expedeon Tri-Color marker is included for size comparison [M], with approximate molecular
weights annotated to the left of the gel. Bands predicted to contain the RcGTA portal and capsid proteins
are annotated, as well the tail fibre (GTA TF) that was confirmed by MALDI mass spectrometry.

Figure 5. Gp1 is a prerequisite for RcGTA assembly. A & B. Structural proteome of RcGTA particles. LC-MS:MS analysis of affinity purified RcGTA particles produced by DE442 wild-type [WT] and g1deletion [ $\Delta g1$ ] strains. RcGTA head proteins and tail proteins are shown separately in panels Band A and B, respectively. D-H. Transmission electron micrographs of RcGTA particles. Images in panels D-G were taken at 68,000x magnification and the scale bar in panel C represents 50 nm. The panel H image was taken at 49,000x magnification and the scale bar represents 100 nm. Black arrow heads indicate head spikes and white arrows indicate portal apertures.

# Figure 6. DNA content of affinity purified RcGTA particles. Agarose gels of DNA extracted directly from chimeric his6-tagged RcGTAs are shown. His-tags were incorporated into nascent RcGTA particles by ectopic expression of his6-tagged gp5 (A) or gp1 (B) proteins. Tagged RcGTAs were purified from *R*.

721 *capsulatus* DE442 culture supernatants using nickel agarose affinity chromatography. The genotype of the 722 producer cells is indicated directly above each gel – wild-type (**WT**) or RcGTA *g1* gene knock-out ( $\Delta g1$ ). 723 DNA marker hyperladder 1 kb is included for reference (**M**); the locations of the 4 kb reference band and 724 GTA DNA are annotated.

725 Figure 7. RcGTA gp1 in vitro DNA binding. A. Representative agarose gel (0.8% w/v) showing the

stated concentrations of gp1 protein binding to DNA in an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA).

727 The locations of unbound and shifted DNA are annotated. Substrate DNA in the assay shown is a 1.4 kbp

728 PCR amplification of an arbitrarily chosen region flanking the *rcc01398* gene from *R. capsulatus* 

(amplified using *rcc01398* F & R primers, Table 3). Bioline hyperladder 1 kb DNA marker is shown for

radiation size comparison [M]. B. Quantification of EMSAs by band intensity analysis. Data is show is the average

of two EMSAs carried out independently in time and with different DNA substrates (*rcc01397* and

*rcc01398* genes). Individual data points are plotted as well as the mean line.

733 Figure 8. GTA TerS protein alignment. COBALT multiple sequence alignment of putative GTA small

terminases from Rhodobacterales species Dinoroseobacter shibae (DsGTA), Oceanicola granulosus

735 (OgGTA), Rhodobacter capsulatus (RcGTA) and Ruegeria pomeroyi (RpGTA). Intensity of colour for

each amino acid is based on percentage identity. Predicted secondary structure is indicated below the

737 alignment with "h" indicating helical.

Host Species	Gene Name	Protein Accession	Size (kDa)	Size (aa)
Rhodobacter capsulatus	rcc001682	ADE85427	11.5	107
Oceanicola granulosus	OG2516_RS04255	EAR49554	12.9	114
Ruegeria pomeroyi	SPO2267	AAV95531	12.6	114
Parvularcula bermudensis	n/a	CP002156*	13.1	114
		(1595455-1595796)		
Oceanicaulis alexandrrii	OA2633_14800	EAP88801	10.1	92
Methanococcus voltae	Mvol_0412	ADI36072	14.6	125
Desulfovibrio desulfuricans	Ddes_0720	ACL48628	13.5	125
Bartonella grahamii	Bgr_16770	WP_041581600	11.9	107
Bartonella australis	BAnh1_10950	AGF74963	11.7	109
Dinoroseobacter shibae	n/a	CP000830*	12.9	121
		(23060702306432)		
Aeromonas phage 44RR	gene 16	NP_932507	17.3	154
Enterobacteria phage T4	gene 16	NP_049775	18.4	164
Bacillus phage SF6	gene 1	CAK29441	16.0	145
Bacillus phage SPP1	gene 1	CAA39536	20.8	184

739

740 Grey rows are well characterized phage small terminases included for comparison

\* Where no gene/protein has previously been annotated the accession number for the bacterial genome is

742 provided with the nucleotide position of the new ORF indicated in brackets.

## 743 Table 2. Plasmids used in this study.

Name	Description	Reference	
pCM66T	pCM66T was a gift from Mary Lidstrom	Addgene plasmid #	
	Broad host range vector; ColE1, OriV, IncP/traJ, Kanamycin <sup>R</sup>	74738	
pUT18C	Bacterial two hybrid vector	(41)	
pKT25	Bacterial two hybrid vector	(41)	
pEHisTEV	Expression vector; T7 promoter, His6 tag, TEV cleavage site,	(63)	
	Kanamycin <sup>R</sup>		
pETFPP_22	Expression vector; T7 promoter, His6/MBP tags, 3c cleavage site,	(64)	
	Kanamycin <sup>R</sup>		
pCMF170	RcGTA promoter fused to RcGTA g1 in pCM66T	This Study	
pJXL1	RcGTA promoter fused to RcGTA $g1\Delta h1$ in pCM66T	This Study	
pJXL2	RcGTA promoter fused to RcGTA $g1\Delta h3$ in pCM66T	This Study	
pCMF143	T25 fused to RcGTA g1 in pKT25	This Study	
pJXL3	T25 fused to RcGTA $g1\Delta h1$ in pKT25	This Study	
pJXL4	T25 fused to RcGTA $g1\Delta h3$ in pKT25	This Study	
pCMF144	T18 fused to RcGTA g2 in pUT18C	This Study	
pCMF238	T18 fused to RcGTA g2 ATPase domain in pUT18C	This Study	
pCMF239	T18 fused to RcGTA g2 nuclease domain in pUT18C	This Study	
pCMF153	His6-RcGTA g1 in pEHisTEV	This Study	
pCMF166	His6-MBP-RcGTA g1 in pETFPP_22	This Study	
pCMF142	RcGTA promoter fused to RcGTA g5-His6 in pCM66T	This Study	
pCMF173	RcGTA promoter fused to RcGTA g1-His6 in pCM66T	This Study	
pCMF172	RcGTA g1 flanking DNA interrupted with Gentamycin <sup>R</sup> in pCM66T	This Study	

## 745 Table 3. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
pGTA F* <sup>1</sup>	CGACTCTAGAGGATCGATTGTCGATCAGATCAC
pGTA R*1	GCTGACCATCGCCAGGGCCAGTTCC
<i>g1</i> (66T) R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCTCAACCTCCTGCGGCGTC
pGTA g1 Δh1 inv F	CAAGACATGAAAGGGGTTCGCCAG
pGTA <i>g1</i> Δh1 inv R	CCCTTTCATGTCTTGCGTGACCCG
pGTA g1 Δh3 R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCCTAACCGGCAACTTGTCTGC
T25-g1 F	CGACTCTAGAGGATCTGAAAGGGGGTTCGCCAG
T25-g1 R	AGGTACCCGGGGATCTCAACCTCCTGCGGCGTC
T25-g1 Δh1 F	CGACTCTAGAGGATCTGGACATGGGGTTCAAG
T25-g1 Δh3 R	AGGTACCCGGGGATCCTAACCGGCAACTTGTCTGC
T18C-g2 F	CGACTCTAGAGGATCTGGGGGGGGGCTTGGGAACAAT
T18C-g2 R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCTCAAAGCCCGCGCACCTG
T18C-g2 Nuc F	CGACTCTAGAGGATCGTATGGTTCTGCTGGAGGATGTC
T18C-g2 ATP R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCCTAGACATCCTCCAGCAGAAC
H6-g1 F* <sup>2</sup>	TTTCAGGGCGCCATGGACATGGGGTTCAAG
H6-g1 R* <sup>2</sup>	CCGATATCAGCCATGTCAACCTCCTGCGGCGTC
MBP-g1 F	TCCAGGGACCAGCAATGGACATGGGGTTCAAG
MBP-g1 R	TGAGGAGAAGGCGCGGTCAACCTCCTGCGGCGTC
<i>g1-</i> H6 R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCTCAATGGTGATGGTGATGGTGACCTCCTGCGGCGTCGCG
<i>g5-</i> H6 F	CTGGCGATGGTCAGCATGAAGACCGAGACCAAG
g5-H6 R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCTTAGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGCGAGGCGGCAAACTTCAAC
<i>g1</i> UP R	GGGAATCAGGGGATCCTGGCGAACCCCTTTCAT
g1 DOWN F	AACAATTCGTTCAAGAGACAAGTTGCCGGTGTCG
g1 DOWN R	CGGTACCCGGGGATCGTCCAAATACGCCCTTGCG
Gent F	GATCCCCTGATTCCCTTTGT
Gent R	CTTGAACGAATTGTTAGG

<i>rcc01397</i> F* <sup>3</sup>	CGACTCTAGAGGATCCCAGCGCGTAGATCGACG
<i>rcc01397</i> R* <sup>3</sup>	CGGTACCCGGGGATCGCGATTGCCAACATCGCC
<i>rcc01398</i> F* <sup>4</sup>	CGACTCTAGAGGATCCGCTTTCGCCTGCGCCTGC
<i>rcc01398</i> R* <sup>4</sup>	CGGTACCCGGGGATCCTCGGCATGGATCCAGTGC
gafA F* <sup>5</sup>	CGACTCTAGAGGATCAGGAAGCCCTTGCCATAGG
gafA R* <sup>5</sup>	CGGTACCCGGGGATCGCGAAGCTGGAGTTCAACC
<i>rcc00555</i> F* <sup>6</sup>	TAATCGCGGCCTCGAATCGTCATCGACCTGAAGGC
<i>rcc00555</i> R* <sup>6</sup>	ATTTTGAGACACAACCGAAATCAGGTTAACGATCC

<sup>746 \*</sup> Primers used to generate EMSA substrate DNA, superscript numbers 1-6 indicate primer pairs





















# 



			10	20	30	40	50	60	70
DsGT	TA 1	MIG-DT	GTGACQPG -	S F	LDAATDQVV	Y L RNCVQCA I V	RVEELARTGI	PNDTSAGEFRKL	.LKEL 61
OgGT	TA 1							G GDFRKMVSK	
RcGT	TA 1	MDMGFH	(G-GDAP <mark>P</mark> V-·	D <mark>L</mark>	LEETEELYR	EIAGEL A	LAMKGVRQGE	A KEAKAAAQA	VKDL 55
RpGT	TA 1	MTL	•   T <mark>P</mark> E - •	E R	ISRTAELLQ	SLENSIRDLRN	AAEDLQKRIF	R <mark>A</mark> GEDGDLAGY	GKQM 53
Struc	cture			h h	hhhhhhhh	h	hhhhhhhhh	n hhhhhhh	hhhh
			80	90	100	110	120	130	
DsGT	TA 62	REISG -	· · · · ·	<u> </u>		110 Ydl vaarae ig	1-1-1	100	124
DsGT OgG1			· IALREESF	RLAEQLAKEN	GGL - HAG - A	1	RRLADLRTAF	RSHPDVSGEPE	124 117
	TA 59	EF <mark>A</mark> LR ·	IALREESI	RLAEQLAKEN KY - DDWHAKR	GGL - HAG - A GGEL T <mark>G</mark> N - R	YDLVAARAEIG	RRLADLRTAR RKLDRLRDAG	RSHPDVSGEPE GGAGGVS	
OgGT	TA 59 TA 56	EF <mark>A</mark> LR · RA <mark>A</mark> FQ ·	· IALREESI · KMIEIREI · MVMEERVI	RLAEQLAKEN KY - DDWHAKR RV - EKLRRQV	GGL - HAG - A GGELTGN - R AGVGAGS - E	YDL VAARAE IG FDADDARAD IG	RRL ADL RTAF RKL DRL RDAG RRL ACL RDAA	RSHPDVSGEPE GGAGGVS AGG	117