UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Assessing the feasibility of carbon payments and Payments for Ecosystem Services to reduce livestock grazing pressure on saltmarshes.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/137203/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Muenzel, Dominic and Martino, Simone orcid.org/0000-0002-4394-6475 (2018) Assessing the feasibility of carbon payments and Payments for Ecosystem Services to reduce livestock grazing pressure on saltmarshes. Journal of Environmental Management. 225. pp. 46-61. ISSN 0301-4797

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.060

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1 Assessing the feasibility of carbon payments and Payments for Ecosystem Services to

2 reduce livestock grazing pressure on saltmarshes

3

4 Dominic Muenzel¹, Simone Martino^{1,2#}

- ⁵ ¹ Scottish Association for Marine Science, Laurence Mee Centre for Society & Sea, Oban,
- 6 PA37 1QA, Scotland, UK
- ² University of York, Environment Department, 290 Wentworth Way, Heslington, York
 YO10 5NG

9 [#]Corresponding author

10 Contacts: dominic.muenzel@gmail.com; simone.martino@sams.ac.uk; sim.marty@libero.it

11

12 Abstract

13 Saltmarshes provide important services including flood control, climate regulation, and 14 provisioning services when grazed by livestock for agriculture and conservation purposes. 15 Grazing diminishes aboveground carbon, creating a trade-off between these two services. 16 Furthermore, saltmarshes are threatened by overgrazing. To provide saltmarsh protection and 17 ensure the continuing delivery of ecosystem services, there is a need to incentivise land 18 managers to stock environmentally sensible densities. We therefore investigated the possibility 19 of agri-environmental schemes and Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES) to compensate for 20 lost livestock revenue under reduced grazing regimes and provide carbon sequestration and 21 other benefits. This is the first study to consider the benefits arising from a potential carbon 22 market to saltmarshes, although similar schemes exist for peatland and woodland. We 23 calculated the net economic benefit (costs of livestock production are removed from revenue) 24 to farmers obtained from a hectare of grazed saltmarsh under low (0.3 Livestock Units per 25 hectare per year), moderate (0.6), high (1.0) and very high (2.0) stocking densities accounting for livestock revenue, carbon benefits, and agri-environmental subsidies. We repeated the 26

27 procedure considering additional benefits transferred from the literature in terms of 28 provisioning, regulating and cultural ecosystem services provided by protected saltmarshes. 29 The net benefits were assessed for a range of market carbon prices and social costs of carbon, 30 e.g. the opportunity cost of carbon for society. Applying the model to Scottish saltmarshes we 31 find that the current range of market prices could prompt transitions from high to moderate 32 regimes in areas where livestock value is low, however break-even prices for transitions showed 33 high spatial variability due to spatial variability in livestock values. In some areas of the West 34 Highlands, the break-even carbon price is negative, indicating that the current agri-35 environmental schemes are able to more than compensate for the lost revenue accruing to 36 farmers by a reduced grazing density. However, in other areas, such as the Outer Hebrides, the 37 break-even carbon price is positive. Private PES schemes or increased public subsidies should 38 then be provided to generate net benefits. It is reasonable to infer that a pure carbon market may 39 have limited scope in incentivising consumers to buy carbon services, especially in areas with 40 limited local number of buyers and corporates of small size. Under this circumstance, a 41 premium carbon market offering bundled ecosystem services may help reduce grazing pressure 42 across a larger number of Scottish saltmarshes, thereby providing globally important climate 43 regulation services and at the same time protecting sensitive habitats.

44 Keywords

45 Saltmarsh, grazing management, carbon sequestration, Payments for Ecosystem Services,

46 private and social costs of carbon

47 Highlights

- Reduced grazing may generate additional ecosystem services in saltmarshes
- Current agri-environmental schemes can compensate forgone low income
- Carbon payments could reduce grazing pressure where opportunity costs are higher
- High spatial variability in carbon price is required to compensate reduced stocking
- Bundling carbon with other ecosystem services might facilitate reduced grazing

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Saltmarshes are coastal habitats dominated by terrestrial plants that experience regular 55 tidal inundation. They provide a wide range of ecosystem services including flood defence and 56 wave attenuation (Pethick, 2002); water filtration and pollutant retention (Barbier et al., 2011); 57 nutrient cycling (Burden et al., 2013); reservoirs for rare and specialist species (Jones et al., 58 2011); nursery for fish (Laffaille et al., 2000); habitat for grazing livestock (Olsen et al., 2011); 59 and blue carbon sequestration (Beaumont et al., 2014). Despite their importance, considerable human activity has involved land reclamation for agriculture and urban development (Burden 60 61 et al., 2013). As a result, saltmarsh cover in the UK has declined by 15% since 1945 (Beaumont 62 et al., 2014) and quality has deteriorated, thereby compromising the capacity of saltmarshes to 63 adapt to future sea level rise and climate change (Jones et al., 2011).

64 In recent years the UK has recognised the importance of protecting saltmarshes and 65 taken steps towards their restoration, including landward retreat of coastal barriers and flooding 66 of reclaimed land (Garbutt et al., 2006). There is general agreement that the value of ecosystem 67 services thus restored far outweighs the cost of restoration, but unless these services are 68 marketed there is a lack of finance for such restoration projects (Environment Bank, 2015). At 69 the same time, there has been growing interest in creating novel markets to allow private 70 investment in ecosystem service provision (Reed et al., 2013). One such option of finance is a 71 scheme of Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES) (Kinzig et al., 2011; Natural Capital 72 Committee, 2015).

PES schemes currently existing in the UK fall under the category of carbon sequestration under the Woodland Carbon Code for newly planted woodland (Forestry Commission, 2017) and carbon sequestration with bundled co-benefits under the Peatland Code for restored peatland (IUCN, 2015; Reed et al., 2013). These Codes provide the verification and accreditation rules replacing voluntary standards such as the Verified Carbon Standard that are often characterised by high transaction costs (Bonn et al., 2014). Different forms of markets for

79 peatlands are reported by Bonn et al. (2014) who classify different schemes according to the 80 services paid, whether they are publically or privately funded, and whether they are 81 international or regional in scope. For example, the UK Peatland Code is a market based on 82 corporate social responsibility (Bonn et al., 2014), covering restoration costs of peatland due to 83 business potential investment. Other transactions are based on public money arising from agri-84 environmental schemes on carbon emission reduction (Reed et al., 2014) justified on the basis 85 of paying for the fullest possible range of ecosystem service benefits, although they are poorly 86 quantified (Reed et al., 2014). The above mentioned PES applied to peatlands fall in the 87 category of voluntary transactions (private for carbon markets, and government mediated for 88 agri-environmental schemes) between providers and users of resource-use proxies rather than 89 ecosystem services (which are difficult to measure) and have the properties to show 90 conditionality on specific agreed rules amongst parties (Wunder, 2015).

91 There is no equivalent Code for saltmarshes, although a comparable small-scale scheme 92 is underway in the Deben estuary where funds for services are designed to meet costs of 93 restoring degraded saltmarsh (Environment Bank, 2015). Notably, the current UK action plan 94 for saltmarsh protection does not take into account the value of carbon sequestration services 95 but only the compensation of lost habitat and flood reduction benefits (Natural Capital 96 Committee, 2015). The creation of new saltmarsh for flooding regulation is considered more 97 valuable than managing sustainable agricultural practices of existing saltmarshes. However, 98 considering the valuable service of climate regulation that saltmarshes provide in the face of 99 current climate change threats, there is a potential to establish a PES market to help finance 100 saltmarsh protection and ensure continuing services.

101 Compared with other vegetated habitats, saltmarshes have a great carbon capture and 102 storage capacity as a result of high primary productivity and tidal trapping of organic matter 103 (Chmura et al., 2003). Saltmarshes in Scotland sequester an estimated 2.35-8.04 t CO_2 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ 104 and have a total carbon stock of 569.7 t ha⁻¹ (Beaumont et al., 2014). Unlike freshwater wetlands 105 which are often significant sources of methane, methane emissions from saltmarsh are 106 negligible (Bridgham et al., 2006). Regular inundation promotes anoxic soils that delay 107 decomposition, such that the carbon captured in saltmarsh soils is a long term sink (Yu and 108 Chmura, 2010) well suited for targeting of a carbon emissions reduction market scheme.

109 In addition to the carbon sequestration service they provide, saltmarshes in the UK are 110 widely grazed for both agricultural purposes and as a conservation tool to enhance floral and 111 faunal biodiversity (Bouchard et al., 2003). Grazing significantly influences vegetation 112 structure and community composition (Yates et al., 2000), thus influencing the services provided. For example, biodiversity is generally maximized under a light grazing regime 113 114 replicating a natural system of native duck and geese grazing which hosts a wide range of plant, 115 invertebrate and bird species (Adnitt et al., 2007). Light grazing promotes reverse succession 116 and greater species diversity; whereas, ungrazed and intensively grazed regimes tend to produce 117 monocultures (Fleischner, 1994).

118 The relationship between carbon sequestration and grazing on the other hand is quite 119 complex, resulting from an interaction of stocking density, grazer type, saltmarsh zone, 120 seasonality, and other abiotic parameters. Results from the few existing studies have been 121 contradictory, perhaps exposing geographic differences or the overriding importance of abiotic 122 factors. Grazed saltmarsh in Canada (Yu and Chmura, 2010) and the Netherlands (Elschot et 123 al., 2015) had higher soil carbon contents than ungrazed sites while grazed saltmarsh in 124 Denmark had lower soil organic matter content than ungrazed sites (Morris and Jensen, 1998). 125 A large-scale study in England and Wales found grazing to reduce aboveground vegetation, but 126 to provide relatively small effects on belowground carbon stores (Kingham, 2013). In addition, 127 Kingham (2013) found that effects of grazing on above and belowground carbon stores were 128 generally quite small compared to effects of abiotic factors. This is likely because changes in 129 aboveground carbon stores take time to translate to changes in belowground carbon stores, and mechanisms such as plant compensatory growth do not occur instantly in response to a stressor(Holland et al., 1996).

132 In this study we investigate the use of livestock grazing as a management tool for 133 saltmarsh climate regulation services, a concept familiar to terrestrial systems (Bhogal et al., 134 2011; Ma et al., 2006), and the extent to which the monetary valuation of this service can be 135 translated into a financial tool (PES). In contrast to traditional PES schemes implemented in 136 woodland and peatland ecosystems which rely on habitat restoration and creation, the study 137 scenario only involves changing land use. A PES scheme for carbon benefits would operate by 138 compensating farmers for the revenue lost due to reducing stocking density. Providing an 139 economic incentive to farmers who manage saltmarshes to reduce grazing pressure would not 140 only help combat climate change, but ensure that the managers themselves have a vested 141 interest in the protection of the saltmarsh, thus limiting the need for extensive regulation. 142 Nonetheless, a relevant effort in monitoring would be required to show that a saltmarsh 143 managed under a PES project is providing additional benefits compared to the baseline, and 144 fast, reliable and economic tools (such as simple spreadsheet) to do it must be proposed. 145 Grazing management is already widely used as a conservation tool (Bouchard et al., 2003) and 146 is easily adapted to address saltmarsh carbon sequestration, as light levels of grazing have the 147 dual benefit of enhancing biodiversity and minimising the loss of aboveground carbon (Adnitt 148 et al., 2007; Yu and Chmura, 2010).

The aim of this study is to assess the economic conditions or private economic benefits for saltmarsh agriculturists under a range of grazing regimes promoted by a PES scheme for above ground carbon emissions reduction and agri-environmental incentives subsidising reduced grazing pressure. Moreover, social benefits of saltmarshes protected by the SSSI scheme (Sites of Special Scientific Interest that best represent UK natural heritage in terms of flora, fauna, geology and geomorphology) are assessed through benefit transfer approach. There are several reasons to consider a voluntary carbon PES for saltmarshes. For one, restoring and maintaining climate regulation services of saltmarshes can contribute to Scotland meeting carbon emission reduction targets laid out in the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009. At the same time, saltmarsh protection will help meet targets under the Habitats Directive (EC 1992). This is the first study to investigate the use of grazing management in saltmarshes for the purpose of climate regulation services. While the scope is limited to Scotland, as data and values are specific to this country, the methodology are applicable to a wider context.

162 The paper is structured in two parts: the first (methodology and results) illustrates the 163 economic conditions under which the current voluntary carbon market prices may compensate 164 the opportunity cost of reducing grazing and the social-economic benefits (quantified in 165 monetary terms) arising from a bundle of ecosystem services (using a benefit transfer approach). 166 The second part (discussions and conclusions) describes technical conditions under which a 167 PES scheme can be applied to saltmarshes.

168 2. Materials and Methods

169 **2.1 Study location**

170 We use Scotland as a case study to explore the feasibility of a carbon market and to 171 investigate geographic differences in associated opportunity costs. A recent survey of Scottish 172 saltmarshes found that 39 of 249 saltmarsh sites were being overgrazed (Figure 1), and 166 173 failed condition targets to achieve Favourable Condition under the Habitats Directive (Haynes, 174 2016). One-hundred and twenty-three sites are currently designated as neither SSSI nor Special 175 Areas of Conservation (SAC, from Habitat Directive 92/43/EEC), and it was in these sites that 176 overgrazing was the biggest threat. Non-designated saltmarshes are located mainly on the west 177 coast and offshore islands where enforcement of proper grazing management can be difficult 178 (Haynes, 2016), and it is in these areas where innovative, non-traditional financing mechanisms 179 can be explored to verify additionality to protection.

180

FIGURE 1

181 **2.2 General methodological approach**

182 To quantify the private economic benefit (money that accrues to farmers) obtained from 183 a hectare of grazed saltmarsh under different grazing regimes, different livestock stocking 184 densities ranging from high intensity agricultural use to minimal grazing enhancing biodiversity 185 and carbon sequestration services are used. The set of benefits chosen account for livestock 186 grazing revenue, carbon benefits, and ad-hoc agri-environmental subsidies for reducing 187 livestock pressures. To make the results applicable to the real world, a range of market carbon 188 prices are used to perform a sensitivity analysis of how benefits change according to different 189 prices. The services with their relative methodology of valuation are described in the following 190 section. Moreover, social economic benefits from reduced carbon emission are proposed by 191 using social costs of carbon instead of carbon market prices. Subsequently, other benefits 192 quantified as willingness to pay for provisioning, regulating and cultural services ecosystem 193 services provided by SSSI protected saltmarshes are considered. The latter are benefits transferred by previous research carried out in the UK (Christie and Rayment, 2012) following 194 195 a procedure described in section 2.3.4. Finally, section 2.3.5 describes how to calculate the net 196 present value of the total services and the break-even carbon price required to compensate for 197 the loss in agricultural revenue, and whether there are geographic differences across Scotland 198 associated with the opportunity costs of livestock.

199 **2.3 Methods for calculation of benefits from saltmarshes**

200 2.3.1 Livestock benefits

We use livestock prices to calculate profits and opportunity costs associated with changing stocking density. Prices are taken from the June 2016 census of the Scottish agriculture report (RESAS, 2016) provided by the Agricultural Census Analysis Team. The census gives some data on a national level and some data on agricultural parish level. Parishes are geographical units based on Civil Parishes abolished in 1975 which continue to be used for statistical purposes and for the payment of farming grants and subsidies ("Agricultural Parishes,"
207 2017).

208 Information provided at national level includes standard output (SO), e.g. the average 209 monetary value (farm-gate worth) in GBP (£) of livestock converted in Table S1 to SO per 210 livestock unit (SO LU⁻¹) by dividing by LU of the livestock type (Chapman, 2007). LU is a 211 reference unit based on feed requirements, where 1 LU is equivalent to an adult dairy cow 212 ("Glossary: Livestock unit (LSU)," 2013), and allows easier analysis of stocking density than 213 if animals are considered by head. However, the absence of information on the real composition 214 (number of units) of the herds at national scale does not allow the computation of the weighted 215 average SO per livestock. To provide the net benefit per head of livestock (e.g. taking the full 216 cost of production into consideration), information from Table B4 of RESAS (2016), reporting 217 income, costs, profits and number of heads of livestock at farm level, is used¹. Finally, the net 218 benefit per LU is obtained dividing the net benefit by 0.67, the arithmetic mean of the LU of 219 six types of cattle assuming an equal proportion of each type thereby giving the average LU of 220 cattle per hectare, and by 0.12 in the case of sheep, the arithmetic mean of the LU of two types 221 sheep (Table S1).

To provide valuation per parish, we requested livestock units for the parishes of interest to RESAS. The proposed SO LU^{-1} per parish is a combination of cattle and sheep reflecting their proportion in that parish. Therefore, parishes containing a higher proportion of costly livestock have a higher SO LU^{-1} . Although parish SO LU^{-1} should be in the same range as national SO LU^{-1} (Table S1), preliminary analysis revealed for several parishes unrealistically high values. This could be due to errors during census compilation or because unlisted

¹ Looking at Less Favoured Areas (LFA) cattle farming, the average cost per cattle in Scotland is £569, while outcome or standard outputs is £475. Taking into account common agricultural policy subsidies (pillar 1 and 2), the outcome raise to £ 683. Under this circumstance, the net benefit becomes £ 114 per cattle. Subsidies are equal to £208 per cattle. Looking at the LFA sheep farming, average cost per sheep is £125, and revenue is £ 69 per sheep. Including CAP subsidies, revenues raises up to £150 per sheep. The net benefit of a sheep (considering subsidies) is £25. Subsidies are in this case equal to £ 81 per sheep.

agricultural outputs are being counted. We therefore decided to exclude parishes from analysis where calculated total SO differed by more than 50% from reported total SO, resulting in an analysis of 104 rather than 174 parishes. As with sheep only and cattle only scenarios we subtract the cost of inputs, calculated based on the proportion of each livestock type, for each to obtain net benefits from livestock.

233 Two sets of livestock prices are then used to calculate benefits from livestock: (i) the 234 net national average SO LU⁻¹, split into exclusive cattle or sheep (Table S1) that do not provide 235 any geographic information; and (ii) the SO LU⁻¹ per parish, which account for the relative 236 abundance of livestock in each parish that does expose geographic differences (Table S3). The 237 different grazing regimes compared in this research are essentially a range of stocking densities 238 expressed in terms of LU per hectare (ha). A guideline of average annual stocking rates for 239 semi-natural habitats suggests grazing in saltmarsh in the range of 0.25-0.50 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ 240 (Chapman, 2007). In accordance with scales employed by Kingham (2013), we assess carbon benefits for four levels of grazing: low (0.3 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), moderate (0.6 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), high (1.0 241 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), and very high (2.0 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). 242

243 2.3.2 Carbon benefits

244 To calculate carbon benefits, the quantification of how changing grazing pressure 245 affects saltmarsh carbon stocks is needed. Kingham (2013) found that aboveground plant biomass decreased by 2.1 t ha⁻¹ LU⁻¹ across high, mid, low and pioneer saltmarsh in north Wales 246 247 and north-west England. Plant biomass is converted to carbon given that plants almost always 248 contain between 45-50% carbon (Magnussen and Reed, 2004). Multiplying by an average of 249 47.5%, this gives a reduction of aboveground carbon content due to grazing of 0.998 t C ha⁻¹ 250 LU⁻¹. We use data from England and Wales (not having more specific data from Scotland) to 251 estimate these effects due to a lack of equivalent studies in Scotland, even though we expect 252 spatial variability.

Additionally, an important component of the carbon budget to consider is the greenhouse gas emissions of livestock itself. Cattle and sheep emit significant amounts of methane, a greenhouse gas 25 times more potent than carbon dioxide, through enteric fermentation (Table S2; Brown et al., 2016). The carbon benefits thus consider two carbon sources: (i) decreased aboveground carbon due to grazing of saltmarsh vegetation, and (ii) carbon equivalent methane emissions of livestock. The carbon flux is then multiplied by market price or social cost of carbon to obtain carbon benefits.

260 Market prices of carbon in the EU Emissions Trading System have been in the range of £3-£25 t⁻¹ C since 2007 and below £10 for the past five years (Marcu et al., 2016). Rather than 261 testing a single market price, we perform a sensitivity analysis using four prices ($\pounds 1 t^{-1} C, \pounds 2 t^{-1} C$ 262 1 C, £5 t 1 C, and £10 t 1 C) each at four discount rates (3%, 5%, 10%, and 15%). While market 263 264 prices are paid to ecosystem service providers in a carbon emissions trading scheme, an 265 alternative way of valuing carbon benefits is to consider the social cost of carbon (SCC). SCC 266 refers to the economic damages incurred by emitting one tonne of carbon and is a cost taken on 267 by society. If caps ensured efficient carbon removal levels, then market price and SCC would 268 be the same (Nordhaus, 2017). A range of social costs at respective discount rates indicative of 269 the current literature are considered (£8.04 t⁻¹ C at 5%, £23.38 t⁻¹ C at 3%, £37.26 t⁻¹ C at 2.5%, and £65.76 t⁻¹ C at 3%) (Nordhaus, 2017; Tol, 2009; van den Bergh and Botzen, 2014). 270

271

2.3.3 Agri-environmental subsidy

The UK Agri-Environment Climate Scheme compensates farmers providing environmental public goods under Pillar II of the EU Common Agricultural Policy (Reed et al., 2014). In particular, the Wetland Management Option rewards farmers who manage saltmarshes to benefit faunal and floral biodiversity with £90.03 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ ("Wetland Management," 2017). Although the scheme does not currently consider the carbon sequestration service of saltmarshes, reducing grazing pressure to benefit biodiversityincidentally ensures that more aboveground carbon is maintained (Kingham, 2013).

279 A requirement for farmers managing a site is to have an approved grazing regime 280 individualised for each site depending on site-specific conditions. Owing to this, there is no set 281 guideline LU ha⁻¹ density below which a site qualifies. Stocking densities can also vary 282 seasonally, for example to minimise conflict with wading birds during breeding season 283 (Bouchard et al., 2003). We make the simplifying assumption that, of our four regimes, low and 284 moderate grazing qualify for the scheme. Several studies have shown that low and moderate grazing increases biodiversity (Bouchard et al., 2003; Fleischner, 1994; Marty, 2005; Norris et 285 286 al., 1998), suggesting the assumption is reasonable.

287 **2.3.4 Valuation of other saltmarsh ecosystem services**

288 Saltmarshes provide additional services on top of the provisioning service of livestock 289 grazing and the regulating service of carbon sequestration. Using a series of choice experiments, 290 Christie and Rayment (2012) determined that the public (sample from England and Wales) is willing to pay £450 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ to secure services currently delivered by SSSI conservation 291 activities in coastal grazing marsh in England and Wales. These services include nature's gifts², 292 293 research and education, climate regulation, water regulation, sense of experience, charismatic 294 species, and non-charismatic species. Excluding climate regulation (to avoid double counting) 295 and water regulation (the degree of which depends on the size of the site) this corresponds to 296 £375.13 ha⁻¹ to secure the remaining services. This value is corrected for Scotland applying a 297 willingness to pay transfer with adjustment for income elasticity as given in Pearce et al. (2006): $WTP_{Scotland} = WTP_{England+Wales}(Y_{Scotland}/Y_{England+Wales})^{e}$ 298 (1)

² In Christie and Rayment (2012) nature's gifts (mainly natural/spontaneous products of land) are comprehending several provisioning services described also by other ecosystem services approaches (MEA, 2005; TEEB, 2010; CICES, 2018), but do not include livestock grazing, while more recently the literature (see Diaz et al., 2018) see them as synonym of ecosystem services.

where *WTP* is the willingness to pay; *Y* is the gross annual pay for employees in England and Wales (£23,426) and Scotland (£22,918) (Aiton, 2016); and *e* is the income elasticity estimated at 1.3 for British households (Layard et al., 2008). This corresponds to a WTP of £364.58 ha⁻¹ in Scotland.

303 While this value applies to services delivered by SSSI sites, only 49% of Scottish 304 saltmarshes are under such designation. However, in both designated and non-designated sites, 305 grazing is a common tool used to ensure the conservation services of saltmarshes, and where 306 conservation is prioritized, light grazing is preferred as it gives greatest structural and biological 307 diversity (Adnitt et al., 2007; Chatters, 2004). We therefore assume that sites managed under 308 the more stringent low regime warrant the same delivery of services as SSSI designated sites. 309 It is important to remember that moderate grazing still increases biodiversity compared to high 310 and ungrazed systems, which is why we include it in qualifying for subsidy, but it is not the 311 preferred option when conservation is the main goal.

312 **2.3.5** Calculating benefit and break-even carbon prices

313 We determine the benefit from land use of a hectare of saltmarsh as:

314
$$NB(t) = B_L(t) - B_C(t) + B_S(t) + B_V(t)$$
 (2)

where $B_L(t)$ denotes revenue from livestock minus depreciation cost; $B_C(t)$ is the carbon benefit lost due to plant biomass reduction and livestock emissions; $B_S(t)$ is the subsidy gained from agri-environmental schemes; and $B_V(t)$ is the valuation of other saltmarsh services. We do not mix measures of private and public benefits in the analysis: we only include $B_V(t)$ when assessing the social benefits of carbon reduction through the social costs of carbon, as WTP is an economic measure of public preferences for social benefits provided by saltmarsh services rather than a payment at the current market price.

We then calculate the benefits over a period of 30 years by discounting them into net present value with the formula:

324 NPV =
$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{NB(t)}{(1+i)^t}$$
 (3)

where *t* is time and *i* are the discount rates chosen to reflect a range of social preferences to high rate of return achievable in agriculture (3%, 5%, 10%, and 15% for market prices, 2.5%, 3%, and 5% for social costs, see section 2.4). We employ a timeframe of 30 years rather than a longer one, as a scheme involving grazing management does not need to rebuild carbon in soil, as happens for drastic changes in planting systems, but rather affects changes in aboveground carbon which are faster (Palmer and Silber, 2012).

In addition to the net benefit, we calculate the break-even carbon price required to compensate the foregone revenue from livestock and subsidy at different stocking densities. The break-even carbon price will differ depending on the specific change in regime, such that we end up with six prices for six permutations of regime changes: moderate to low, high to low, very high to low, high to moderate, very high to moderate and very high to high.

Lastly, we compare how changes in benefits and break-even carbon prices differ across Scottish parishes. The break-even price relates to the livestock value (SO LU⁻¹) we calculated per parish (reflecting the real composition of the herd), as the price of carbon to offset loss would need to be higher the more revenue can be gained from livestock. To visualise which of the 174 parishes containing saltmarsh (Figure 1) have higher costs, we map changes in net benefits and break-even carbon prices per parish using ArcGIS 10.4.

342 3. Results

343 **3.1 Carbon benefits**

Carbon benefits over 30 years for various market carbon prices and discount rate for 1 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ are shown in Table 1. These represent the monetary value of (i) carbon emission avoided and (ii) the methane equivalent carbon emission avoided by livestock if grazing intensity is reduced by 1 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. It is possible to estimate relative values as arising from changes in grazing regimes from the difference in benefits when transitioning from one regime to another. For example, the carbon benefits lost under a very high regime (2 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) are the benefits in Table 1 multiplied by two, as the grazing intensity is twice as high. Thus, a transition from a very high to high grazing regime will result in carbon benefits equivalent to the values presented in Table 1. Insofar as these values represent the amount of money generated if a carbon market provided payments for reduced emissions due to reduction from very high to high grazing regime, these carbon benefits are quite low.

355

TABLE 1

Within each discount rate bracket, there is a linear relationship between carbon benefits and carbon price. Thus, the benefits lost for a carbon price of $\pm 10 \text{ t}^{-1} \text{ C}$ are ten times higher than the benefits lost for a carbon price of $\pm 1 \text{ t}^{-1} \text{ C}$. As we would expect, the greater the carbon price, the greater the benefits lost. Cattle grazing incurred slightly higher carbon loss as 1 LU of cattle emits 51% more methane than 1 LU of sheep (Table S2). Notably, the benefits lost for cattle are only around 13% higher than sheep, since carbon lost due to reduction in vegetation is greater than the carbon emitted by livestock (see Section 2.4).

The carbon benefits lost using social costs of carbon (Table 2) were higher than when using market prices, which we expect given that the social costs we used were greater. Once again, the carbon benefits lost were higher when saltmarshes were grazed exclusively by cattle rather than sheep, as the former emit greater amounts of methane.

367

TABLE 2

368 **3.2 Change in net benefits when altering grazing regimes**

Table 3 illustrates how reductions in grazing regimes result in either loss or gain of net economic benefit from a hectare of saltmarsh over a period of 30 years. For a majority of cases where sheep and cattle grazing intensity is reduced from a higher regime to a lower regime, land managers can expect to lose money under the current range of market carbon prices (Table 3). This is because the value of carbon benefits lost at higher stocking density is much lower than the revenue gained from livestock. The greatest losses are incurred under a transition from very high to low regimes, as this is when the greatest change in stocking density occurs at a reduction of 1.7 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The reduction in net benefit is lower with higher carbon prices, as these equate to higher carbon benefits to offset revenue loss.

378

TABLE 3

379 However, in cases where the transition in sheep and cattle grazing is from high to 380 moderate, land managers could actually gain net benefit (Table 3). According to these results, 381 there is an economic incentive to reduce to a moderate grazing regime if grazing is currently on 382 a high regime. The reason for this is that under our current study assumptions such a change allows a saltmarsh site to qualify for an additional £90.03 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from the Wetland 383 384 Management subsidy. The income from subsidy and carbon benefits is sufficient to offset the 385 loss in revenue arising from a reduction in grazing of 0.4 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, the difference between 386 high and moderate regimes.

387 On the other hand, when we use social costs of carbon to assess changes in net benefits 388 of different grazing regimes, there are more instances where it is economically feasible to 389 reduce grazing pressure (Table 4). Without additional bundled ecosystem service co-benefits, 390 decreasing grazing pressure is profitable under a high to moderate transition as before. When we include other benefits (valued at £364.58 ha⁻¹) to take into account the broad range of 391 392 ecosystem services provided by protected SSSI sites, benefits increase under all transitions 393 except very high to high and very high to moderate, although not under very high to moderate 394 for cattle at highest social costs of carbon.

395

TABLE 4

396 **3.3 Livestock opportunity costs**

397 Examining the transition from high to moderate stocking density further, as this is the 398 most favourable transition having the lowest opportunity cost and greatest increase in net

benefit (Tables 3 and 4), the loss in livestock revenue that is incurred each year is given in Table
5. The figures represent the amount of money farmers would lose for reducing stocking density
by 0.4 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Conversely, this is also the amount of compensation needed to incentivise
a reduction in grazing pressure, whether provided through ecosystem service benefits or
subsidiary aid.

404

TABLE 5

405 **3.4 Break-even carbon prices**

406 Break-even carbon prices were overall higher for sheep than for cattle (Table 6), as the 407 former are more valuable when considered per livestock unit. Negative break-even price 408 occurred under a transition from high to moderate sheep and cattle stocking densities, as sites then qualified for the additional £90.03 ha⁻¹ compensation scheme which drove down the 409 410 required carbon benefit to offset loss of agricultural revenue. The fact that these values are 411 negative indicates that land managers are better off under the less intense regimes. In other 412 cases, the positive break-even prices indicate the market price that carbon would have to be at 413 to incentivise managers to reduce stocking density. The range of positive carbon prices in Table 414 6 are higher than the range of market prices we explored, but comparable to the range of social 415 costs of carbon indicative of the literature. Therefore, if carbon removal levels were efficient 416 and offset occurred at the full social cost, these would be sufficient to prompt a decrease in 417 grazing pressure. The highest break-even prices occurred under a transition from moderate to 418 low and very high to high stocking densities (Table 6). The prices of these two transitions are 419 identical because both involve halving of stocking density and no addition or removal of 420 subsidy.

421

TABLE 6

422 **3.5 Geographic differences in opportunity costs**

The calculated livestock value (standard output) per parish, under a composition of livestock in each parish as given in the agricultural census and accounting for cost for 104 parishes containing saltmarsh ranged from £112.68 LU⁻¹ in Stenness to £1,527.70 LU⁻¹ in Monkton & Prestwick³ (Table S3). The big range between these values is determined mainly by the different compositions of herds. In some parishes, mainly in the lowlands, the presence of a high number of highly valuable resources causes the SO to be very high.

Transitioning from high to low stocking density at a carbon price of £1 t⁻¹ C and 5% discount rate resulted in gain of net benefit in 4 out of 104 parishes (Figure 2), and 7 out of 104 at a higher price of £10 t⁻¹ C (Figure S1). Thus, the range of market carbon prices we explore could potentially prompt reductions in select parishes with low value livestock.

433

FIGURE 2

Transitioning from high to moderate regimes instead, the number of parishes with benefit gains increases. At carbon price of $\pounds 1 \ t^{-1} \ C$ and 5% discount rate with real herd compositions, 42 out of 104 parishes showed gains in net benefit (Figure 3). Considering the upper limit of market carbon prices of $\pounds 10 \ t^{-1} \ C$, 46 of the 104 parishes show gains in net benefit (Figure S2).

439

FIGURE 3

At the same time, we consider the required price of market carbon to induce reductions in stocking of parish herds from high to low (Figure 4) and high to moderate (Figure 5) transitions, the same scenarios considered in Figures 2 and 3. For high to low transitions, breakeven price ranged from \pounds -10.97 t⁻¹ C to \pounds 963.53 t⁻¹ C across parishes. For high to moderate transitions, which were also the most favourable transitions with lowest break-even price, price ranged from \pounds -77.40 t⁻¹ C to \pounds 897.10 t⁻¹ C. As before, while negative carbon prices make little

³ Differences in values are given by the different composition of livestock across the regions

sense in a market context, they indicate that moderate regimes are automatically preferable
when the gain from subsidy is greater than the incremental loss from fewer livestock in parishes
where value of livestock is low.

449

FIGURE 4

450

FIGURE 5

451 Geographic comparison of parishes shows that opportunity cost of reducing stocking 452 density and required break-even carbon price were highest in the Solway Firth, Moray Firth 453 and Firth of Clyde regions. Lowest values occurred on parts of the west coast and offshore 454 islands, including the Inner Hebrides and small sections of the Shetland Islands and Orkney. 455 Incidentally, these parishes with lower break-even carbon prices overlap with sites identified 456 by the 2016 national saltmarsh survey as being threatened from overgrazing and lacking 457 designated protection (Haynes, 2016). Although saltmarshes are also present on the east coast 458 (Figure 1), Figures 2-5 do not show parishes due to lack of realistic data on livestock values.

459 **4. Discussion**

This section reviews results for the proposition of a carbon market (5.1) and contextualises them into a potential regional PES scheme (5.2). Limits of this study are finally introduced to show the validity of our results (5.3).

463 4.1 Viability of findings for a pure carbon market in mitigating saltmarsh grazing 464 pressure

We set out to investigate whether a pure carbon market could prompt a reduction of grazing pressure on Scottish saltmarshes by calculating net benefits of land use and break-even carbon prices to compensate revenue loss. The break-even prices were directly related to opportunity costs, such that prices were lower for cattle grazing regimes than for more valuable sheep grazing regimes, and had both negative and positive values. Negative break-even prices were calculated under certain scenarios, particularly the most favourable high to moderate 471 regime change, due to gains from subsidies being greater than the relatively small reduction in 472 stocking density. This illustrates the role that agri-environment subsidies have in promoting less 473 intense grazing management practice, and that there is no need for further compensation 474 schemes when livestock value is low.

The greatest break-even prices of $\pounds 143.46 t^{-1} C$ and $\pounds 102.40 t^{-1} C$ for sheep and cattle respectively (Table 6) occur when there is no effect from public subsidy, such as in moderate to low and very high to high transitions. In such a case, the carbon price needs to compensate wholly for the value of livestock lost due to reduced stocking density. With these carbon prices in the range of social costs of carbon estimated by the literature, a carbon market in such a scenario would only be possible if market prices of carbon reflected true costs of carbon.

481 The results demonstrate the important role of subsidies and of a reduction of voluntary 482 schemes, either due to exclusion from the Common Agricultural Policy because of Brexit or 483 because grazing intensity is not reduced sufficiently. Under these circumstances, it would be 484 more difficult for a pure carbon market to prompt a decrease in grazing pressure. On the other 485 hand, if policy were rectified to recognize the importance of saltmarsh climate regulation 486 services, either by establishing new agri-environmental schemes that farmers can apply for or 487 by increasing the amount of money provided under the Wetland Management option, this would 488 further drive down the required break-even carbon price. In this case, an increase in subsidiary 489 aid could make further transitions in stocking density feasible.

In our analysis of livestock values based on real parish compositions (Figures 4-5), the lowest break-even carbon price was around £-77 t⁻¹ C. However, parishes displayed a huge variability in break-even prices, such that even in the most favourable transition from high to moderate some parishes had break-even prices around £960 t⁻¹ C. These results illustrate that a subset of parishes could well have pure carbon markets with price of carbon in international market ranges while still managing to incentivize reductions in grazing pressure, while in other parishes this is largely unfeasible due to high value of livestock. There is some promise in that

497 lower break-even prices are found on the west coast and offshore islands (Figure 2), areas that 498 are identified by Haynes (2016) as containing saltmarsh sites which lack designation and are 499 threatened by overgrazing. These parishes then, having lower opportunity costs, will more 500 easily elicit decreases in grazing intensity through the introduction of a PES scheme selling 501 carbon emission reduction and other services. In the majority of parishes however, it appears 502 rather unfeasible that a pure carbon market can provide carbon benefits at a high enough value 503 to incentivise saltmarsh agriculturists to decrease grazing intensity. Moreover, the number of 504 potential carbon credits that can be exchanged in this local market would be very limited and 505 insufficient to compensate corporates emissions.

506 **4.2 Towards a voluntary carbon PES scheme**

507 There is a growing recognition that coastal agricultural landscapes provide important services 508 other than food provision. This is not limited to the UK, but has been studied extensively 509 worldwide (Power, 2010; Wylie et al., 2016). Moreover, although common agro-industrial 510 practices are degrading ecological conditions and ecosystem services in the pursuit of food 511 provision (Foley et al., 2005), society's demand and need for supporting and cultural services, 512 aesthetic value and cultural identity are increasing (Reed et al., 2014). The benefit transfer 513 analysis employed in this study indicates that adding to livestock revenue and carbon 514 sequestration the benefits valued by Christie and Raymant (2012) significantly drives up the 515 net benefit society can get from saltmarshes. At a WTP of £364.58 ha⁻¹ (see section 2.3.4), the 516 collective value of these services can compensate the negative benefits generated by a reduction 517 of grazing as shown in the Figure 3.

518 Notably, perceptions on the importance of saltmarsh ecosystem services are highly influenced 519 by people's perspective and level of education, and whether services are directly experienced 520 and affect local livelihoods (Soy-massoni et al., 2016). This requires a specific analysis of the 521 demand of the services more appreciated by stakeholders under different management regimes, 522 as occurred for peatlands (Bonn et al, 2014). The valuation of services provided by a saltmarsh 523 site is therefore highly influenced by local perceptions and conditions. When we considered the 524 benefit transfer of services provided by SSSI sites, we simplified this by adapting the perceived 525 social benefit from English and Welsh saltmarshes from Christie and Rayment (2012). To have 526 a more accurate picture, however, the next step would be to assess social perception and 527 willingness to pay for services in our study sites from different potential buyers, focusing 528 possibly on broad domains with similar typology of farming rather than attempting to assess 529 values for each parish individually.

To outline some possibilities, saltmarsh sites with high numbers of grazing geese may attract visitors such as bird-watchers or hunters who may be charged an entrance or hunting fee (Macmillan et al., 2004). Water or aquaculture companies benefitting from water filtration services may contribute to PES to avoid the need of artificial water filters (Locatelli et al., 2014). Communities protected from storms and waves may diverge funds otherwise needed to build hard sea defences (King and Lestert, 1995). As these examples indicate, the beneficiaries and the particular services that are valued will vary in different places and geographical contexts.

537 Once beneficiaries and the main services demanded are identified, it is possible to figure 538 out a specific market for saltmarshes services. Here we focus on voluntary carbon market. 539 Compared to the compliance carbon markets developed under the Kyoto protocol, voluntary 540 markets have more opportunities to apply to local land use projects and higher flexibility to 541 bundle benefits. Moreover, schemes under the UN framework such as Joint Implementation, 542 Clean Development Mechanisms and Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation 543 work directly with and through national government processes and usually require a threshold 544 of thousands of carbon credits, difficult to reach for coastal project (Wylie et al., 2016). 545 Conversely, international voluntary standards for blue carbon projects are usually based on 546 tailored methodologies (usually validated by Plan Vivo and Verified Carbon Standard -VCS) 547 and prove to be easier to implement due to the higher flexibility and lower costs of the required 548 carbon accounting, verification and certification. VCS has so far provided general standards for 549 land-based climate change mitigation projects. Moreover, VCS VM0024 provides GHGs 550 assessment for coastal wetland creation, while the VM 0033 (2015) for tidal wetland restoration. 551 Recently, adaptations to VCS standard have been proposed by Reed et al. (2013) to verify 552 changes in GHGs fluxes resulting from peatland restoration. However, verification and 553 accreditation remain financially feasible only for large-scale projects and big investors (Wylie 554 et al., 2016). To overcome these difficulties, regional voluntary agreements are emerging; they 555 may be national or more localised markets targeting local/national investors under new or 556 adapted existing standards to tailor specifically to local restoration schemes (Kosoy and Guigon, 557 2012). Examples for the UK are the peatland and woodland restoration projects (Forestry 558 Commission, 2017; IUCN, 2015). An advantage of these markets is that the standards proposed 559 are often based on VCS guidance, but use their own empirical or modelled measurement to 560 verify carbon emission reduction: they are rigorous for local investors, but more cost effective 561 than the VCS standard. Finally, they often target more services, not having the possibility to 562 reach a critical threshold for only one (for example high volume of carbon credits sold).

563 We propose in order to promote a reduction in grazing pressure a combination of private 564 transactions and subsidiary aid to compensate for the loss in agricultural revenue. This can be 565 seen as a renovated agro-environmental scheme as proposed by the Welsh Assembly based on 566 spatially targeted approach to land management (Reed et al., 2014) and voluntary payments 567 from corporates as proposed under the Peatland Code. Payments would include a public 568 subsidy for the reduction of grazing (to moderate or low pressure), and then, according to the 569 cost opportunity of grazing, a variable price for carbon voluntarily paid by companies to 570 compensate the forgone income. Differently from conventional agro-environmental schemes 571 there is the introduction here of outcome-based (carbon regulation) payments that would also 572 be able to provide additional benefits as those quantified for the SSSI protected saltmarshes.

573 Bundling carbon sequestration with other services requires identifying whether low 574 levels of grazing conflict with other supporting, regulating, and cultural services. As already 575 mentioned, there is good evidence that low levels of saltmarsh grazing benefits biodiversity 576 (Adnitt et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2011). There is some evidence that nutrient cycling in coastal 577 grasslands is maximised under ungrazed regimes (Ford et al., 2012) and that grazing slows 578 down microbial turnover (Olsen et al., 2011), although classic theory dictates that intensive 579 grazing promotes faster nutrient cycling than light or ungrazed regimes (Bardgett et al., 1998). 580 Flood control is diminished with high levels of grazing as large herbivores such as cattle cause 581 soil compaction and decrease soil infiltration rates (Carroll et al., 2004). This suggests that 582 perhaps low levels of small herbivore grazing such as sheep may have smaller or negligible 583 negative effects. Grazing effects on cultural services depend somewhat on the cultural aspect 584 being valued. For example, the cultural service of environmental appreciation of forb and 585 flower abundance have been found to be maximised under extensively grazed regimes (Ford, 586 2012), but extensive grazing is known to decrease abundance of wading birds (Chapman, 2007). 587 There is the need, therefore, before valuing bundled ecosystem services, for further research to 588 identify the services that trade-off and those that complement when aiming for a lessening of 589 grazing intensity.

590 Other than considering co-benefits, several other aspects must be addressed to achieve 591 a well-functioning PES scheme. Incentives that encourage production on one service may have 592 adverse effects on others (for example a reduction in biodiversity- Kinzing et al., 2011; Chan 593 et al., 2017). For instance, trade-offs caused by intensity of grazing between biodiversity (Ford 594 et al., 2012; Sharps et al., 2016), fruition of the saltmarshes (van Zenten et al., 2016) and 595 appreciation of the landscape and tourist attraction (Ryan 2011) are documented. Incentives 596 might drive also the displacement of activities (leakage) damaging environmental service 597 provision to areas outside the geographical zone of PES intervention (Engel et al., 2008); and finally incentives might induce the argument that polluters must be paid for conservationreducing intrinsic or altruistic motivations (Chan et al., 2017).

600 Success of PES initiatives is then reliant upon filling the gap between management 601 aspects and scientific knowledge of the ecosystem services (Kinzig et al., 2011) and the need 602 to establish standards, develop tools, metrics and methods to reduce transaction costs (Naeem 603 et al., 2015). Here we want to address some of these issues, focusing attention on, (i) 604 additionality, (ii) how to ensure permanence by reducing external stressors, and (iii) monitoring. 605 Under (i) it is necessary to show that the project would not occur without private investments. 606 This would be applicable in those areas where there is not yet established legal protection (for 607 example the Outer Hebrides); where protection under the EU Habitat Directive is in force, but 608 significant grazing pressure and physical degraded soil is evident (Reed et al., 2014); or, in case 609 of legal test fulfilled, where companies are able to significantly support public subsidies. The 610 contribution from private sponsors can be decided in the rules of the market and must not 611 necessarily be a high amount (for example in the case of the Peatland Code this is set at 15% 612 of project cost-Reed et al., 2013). According to the results reported in Figures 4 and 5, many 613 saltmarshes in the Highlands and Outer Hebrides could be supported towards a transition to a 614 low grazing regime with a carbon price within 100 t⁻¹C. Although this price is far from being a private market price, but close to the social cost of carbon, this is equivalent to $\pounds 40$ ha⁻¹ for 615 616 each ton of carbon emission reduced, an amount which small companies can invest to raise 617 social responsibility. For those areas characterised by higher opportunity costs (see Figures 4 and 5), where the break-even carbon price is set at \pounds 500-1,000 t⁻¹C, this can be translated in a 618 support of £ 200-400 ha⁻¹, an amount that can be exchanged by involving bigger companies, 619 620 trusts, NGOs and more support from public bodies.

621 PES must account for risks to permanence (point ii), and in particular natural risks 622 caused by climate change and different patterns of erosion that might reduce the area dedicate 623 to grazing. A series of strategies must be adopted to minimise these risks, from the evaluation 624 of exposure and vulnerability of saltmarshes to external stressors, to their mitigations provided 625 by a larger scale of participants; from the formulation of landscape-scale PES schemes to the 626 promotion of financial instruments such as insurance or PES credit buffers to replace or make 627 alternative payments for a failed project (Friess et al., 2015). Other forms of risks are internal 628 to the project management such as lack of sufficient funds to meet the opportunity costs of 629 reduced grazing or reversal to higher intensive use in habitats outside the area of intervention. 630 This is one of the seven concerns raised by Chan et al (2017) upon a PES scheme, where any 631 new markets or system of incentives creates new externalities. However, there is building 632 evidence that the latter can be addressed by promoting the roles of farmers as stewards of the 633 countryside, and rewarding patterns of behaviour (Newtown, 2011) rather than environmental 634 outcomes. This could facilitate the attestation of long-term commitments to reduced grazing 635 effort that subsides paying the full economic costs cannot address, contrarily to what is assumed 636 by mainstream economics (Goldman-Benner et al., 2012; Wunder, 2013; Ferrraro and Kiss, 637 2002). An alternative externality to a PES applied to saltmarsh grazing is the relocation of 638 grazing from natural pasture to stable production, removing the benefits of methane emissions 639 reduction from reducing ruminant. The additionality of this service, at least internally to the 640 country, can be guaranteed under a national cap system (quotas) that reduces the total number 641 of herds. However, it seems impossible to guarantee additionality if the reduction of grazing in 642 the UK is compensated by an equivalent increase in another country.

Finally, to guarantee cost-effective monitoring of GHGs emissions reduction (point iii), it is essential to develop a protocol that facilitates indirect valuation of GHGs to reduce the costs of direct measurements or process-based computational models (Bonn et al., 2014), expected to be relevant in those contexts (such as saltmarsh habitats) where carbon emissions show high variability over space and time. Proxy variables can be specifically developed for GHGs emissions and tailored to the regional context as proposed for the valuation of carbon emissions change of aquatic restored habitats⁴. For our specific case, it is possible to propose
an empirical model fitting data from UK studies on management of saltmarshes,
biogeochemical parameters (water table level, soil moisture, soil temperature, etc.), and
ecological gradients (species composition).

The above three points align the saltmarsh PES scheme to the recent PES description suggested by Wunder (2015) as a voluntary agreement between service users and providers showing the property to generate outputs conditional on agreed rules; to provide monitoring by simple tools (reckoner) at reasonable transaction costs; and to look to effects *offsite* the market intervention taking into account and tackling environmental externalities.

658 A final consideration is about the importance of valuation to establish a PES. Although 659 valuation is not always needed to create a market (Vatn, 2010), a potential cause of PES failure 660 could be due to the difficulties associated with the agreement of prices of the services to be 661 exchanged. A sound valuation of ecosystem services, under deliberated approach (Spash, 2008; 662 Kenter et al., 2017) or reverse auction (Stoneham et al., 2003), could facilitate agreement 663 between the parties, reducing transaction costs, and providing fair prices (usually lower than 664 those paid in a fixed-price system) that reflect cost of management in a context of intrinsic 665 motivation (Chan et al., 2017). Valuation is not relevant also when trading is not the mechanism 666 chosen to carry out a transaction of ecosystem services (Wunder, 2015). This is true for the 667 Peatland Code (Reed et al., 2013) and the PES scheme proposed in this paper, where private 668 financial transactions are supposed to be made by corporates for enhancing social responsibility 669 rather than trading carbon credits for offsetting CO2 emissions. Moreover, in the case of 670 saltmarshes, where the ecosystem provides a wide range of services that can vary across space 671 and time, it is often difficult and time- and resource-consuming to provide accurate valuations

⁴ Examples of rapid reckoners for GHG emissions are under development for coastal wetland in the US. https://www.mass.gov/blue-carbon-calculator

672 and to disentangle one service from another (Environment Bank, 2015). Because of this 673 difficulty, the Deben Estuary PES scheme has opted for the cost of saltmarsh restoration rather 674 than valuation of saltmarsh services (Environment Bank, 2015). The Peatland Code allows also 675 companies to sponsor emissions reductions with prices reflecting costs of restoring degraded 676 peatland, the costs differing depending on how degraded the habitat is (IUCN, 2015).

677 In the case of the peatland scheme, companies can receive deferred indirect economic 678 benefits by improving corporate social responsibility rather than direct financial benefits. In our 679 case study, where we explore changes in land use in the form of grazing management rather 680 than saltmarsh restoration through managed realignment or other practices, there are no 681 equivalent costs of restoration. However, if it can be established that the summative value of 682 services provided is higher for lightly grazed than for more intensively grazed saltmarsh, the 683 price of the payment could reflect the opportunity cost of reducing grazing. The values in 684 Figures 4 and 5 give the amount of money required to compensate a transition from high to low and high to moderate stocking density, respectively. As long as a combination of subsidies and 685 686 PES provide that amount, it does not necessarily matter what proportion of the amount is from 687 the value of carbon sequestration versus from other services. In a way, this is comparable to 688 prices reflecting restoration costs in peatland projects.

689

4.3 Assumptions and limitations

690 It is important to highlight that the results given here are highly dependent on a series 691 of assumptions introduced to simplify complex real-world grazing practices into a format that 692 could apply more generally to typical saltmarshes. The reason why a transition from high to 693 moderate and low stocking density was feasible is because we assumed sites stocked at a density 694 < 0.6 LU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ can obtain financial support from the Wetland Management agri-environment 695 option. It may be argued that such an assumption is precarious, especially since grazer type, 696 local conditions and seasonal variation determine whether a particular grazing intensity is 697 harmful for biodiversity at a particular site. We could not obtain the average stocking density 698 of sites currently managed under this option due to an absence of data. However, even with this 699 assumption the underlying logic for the trends we observe and discuss holds true. Essentially, 700 if a decrease in grazing pressure is sufficient to allow farmers to claim subsidies, this drives 701 down the required carbon price to a value that might guarantee private voluntary payments able 702 to compensate forgone income and guarantee a bundle of benefits. The specific value of this 703 carbon price will depend on local conditions (opportunity cost in each parish) and on the degree 704 to which grazing intensity is reduced.

Net benefits from reduced grazing in the model are influenced by reduction in methane emissions from ruminants and results hold (e.g. can be considered additional) assuming that relocation of the reduced herds from the field to stable is avoided.

708 We assume the full ecosystem services of SSSI protected sites are provided by sites 709 grazed under low grazing regimes, even if these sites are not currently under SSSI designation. 710 We did this to obtain an approximation of the social benefit that saltmarsh sites provide, but 711 future work will have to investigate how the value of services differs between protected and 712 non-protected sites. We also assume that the value of livestock grazed on saltmarsh is 713 equivalent to national average livestock values. Moreover, it is important to keep in mind that 714 the enforcement of any stocking density on a saltmarsh site can be more effective in either 715 privately owned land or saltmarsh with clear fenced designations. PES is not intended as a silver 716 bullet that can address any environmental problem. Where it is missing the authority to manage 717 ecosystems, a prior condition to the success of PES is to enforce property rights (Engel et al., 718 2008). Sites where any agriculturist can freely graze their livestock cannot receive PES unless 719 there is a record of total grazing pressure over the year.

There are some limitations to the grazing effect on carbon sequestration we adapted from a study of English and Welsh saltmarshes (Kingham, 2013). The reduction in aboveground vegetation due to grazing (for further detail see section 2.2) was a single effect without a 723 confidence interval or probability distribution, preventing us from using a statistical approach. 724 Saltmarshes in the UK are classified into four bio-geographical regions with distinct vegetation 725 communities: western, south-eastern, western Scottish and eastern Scottish (Adam, 1990). The 726 effect of grazing on Scottish saltmarsh may therefore differ slightly from the effects observed 727 in the English and Welsh region. As there is currently no study which has explored this for 728 Scotland specifically, there is a need for further research in this regard. Such a study must 729 consider effects on both aboveground and belowground stores, considering soil carbon in 730 coastal ecosystems is a very important component of blue carbon accounting (Pendleton et al., 731 2012). The subsequent model of grazing effects should then be validated with on the ground 732 collection of primary data. Further socio-economic research, for example via use of 733 questionnaires, could be used to validate the assumptions proposed by the model in the regions 734 that show promise in incorporating alternative financing schemes.

735

736 **5. Conclusions**

This study has proposed for the first time the possibility to introduce a PES scheme to support the provision of ecosystem services provided by saltmarshes under reduced intensive agricultural use. In particular, it has focuses on three major aspects: 1) the quantification of the impact that carbon price have on addressing the change in grazing regime; 2) the impact of the provision of other public services on the possibility to reduce livestock grazing ; and 3) considerations to be addressed to implement a sub-national scale PES for saltmarshes.

This study, then, other than describing the economics behind the possibility to reduce grazing pressure in saltmarshes, contributes to the growing body of work investigating the creation of new markets to enable private investments in ecosystem services provision. Across the globe, various management tools using an ecosystem services framework are being used for the purposes of conservation and sustainable resource use, including marine spatial planning, ecosystem-based management, and integrated coastal zone management (Granek et al., 2009; 749 Post and Lundin, 1996). As a part of this toolset, Payments for Ecosystem Services provide an 750 incentive-based mechanism promoting nature conservation (Lau, 2013). Blue carbon initiatives 751 are becoming common under the Kyoto Protocol Clean Development Mechanism, whereby 752 climate mitigation projects are carried out in developing countries (Palmer and Silber, 2012). 753 Blue carbon restoration projects of mangrove, seagrass and saltmarsh habitats in countries such 754 as Kenya, Vietnam, Madagascar and India help alleviate poverty, finance conservation, and 755 ensure the continuing provision of climate mitigation services (Hejnowicz et al., 2015; Lau, 756 2013; Wylie et al., 2016). While our study focused on saltmarsh grazing in Scotland, the 757 management of saltmarsh grazers for carbon sequestration purposes could be investigated in 758 other countries using the same approach, both developing and developed, for blue carbon 759 initiatives.

760 While there is currently a Peatland Code and Woodland Code for carbon payments 761 towards habitat restoration in the UK, our study provides some initial information that may help 762 contribute to the establishment of a Saltmarsh Code. To date, PES schemes have largely ignored 763 saltmarshes, despite their suitability given the variety of ecosystem services they provide. We 764 investigated one aspect of a potential saltmarsh PES scheme, involving managing livestock 765 stocking density and the provision of carbon benefits in exchange for lessening grazing pressure. 766 Where only the change in climate regulation service is considered, the price of carbon required 767 to compensate a decrease in grazing is higher than can be expected in voluntary carbon markets. 768 However, it is possible that regional markets specifically tailored for saltmarshes could 769 establish much higher prices (this must be explored studying the demand for saltmarshes 770 services) or that common voluntary carbon prices can be driven down by the addition of agri-771 environment subsidies and by bundling payments of other ecosystem services. Finally, 772 converting break-even price of a ton of carbon to costs per hectare when grazing is reduced 773 from 1 to 0.6 LU ha⁻¹ shows to be a not so high cost, especially in those areas characterised by 774 a limited opportunity cost such as Western Scotland, and some internal uplands areas of the

Highlands and the Hebrides. Notwithstanding the possibility to address some interventions inthese rural areas, the area affected and consequently the herd number is limited.

777 Under the scenario of grazing reduction from 1 to 0.6 LU ha⁻¹ we expect to have a 778 reduction of 9,260 heads of which 51% cattle (4,759 units) and 49% sheep (4,501 units). These 779 reduced cattle units correspond only to 1.3% of the total production in the 174 parishes where 780 saltmarshes are present, and to 0.26% of the entire Scottish production. Moreover, the reduction 781 in sheep is 0.35% of the production in the 174 parishes where saltmarshes are present and 782 0.067% of the global Scottish production. Although it is not aim of this research to quantify the 783 economic impact on the agricultural sector, considering the very small reduction in livestock 784 units, it is unlikely that these figures will have a relevant impact on trade balance, negative 785 effect on food security and distortion on prices. Conversely, the rewards by public subsidies 786 and private incentives can help sustain income and reduce pressure on a sensitive habitat 787 favouring the production of environmental benefits and contributing to provide a new role to 788 farmers as local stewards of nature rather than mere producers of market commodities.

789 In its early stages, the PES saltmarsh market is unlikely to function as a formal carbon 790 offsetting tool. Instead, it allows third parties to demonstrate corporate and public social 791 responsibility and to benefit from services which could lessen costs of, for example, water 792 filtration or sea defences. As saltmarsh carbon projects become more common, they may more 793 easily gain verification and accreditation, to name one example with the Verified Carbon 794 Standard (VCS, 2017), allowing the transfer of carbon emission reduction credits in an 795 emissions trading scheme. The Woodland Code started in a similar fashion and now allows 796 projects to generate tradable carbon, while the Peatland Code is on its way to this stage (IUCN, 797 2015). In this way, although a pure carbon market appears unfeasible, a premium carbon market 798 offering bundled ecosystem services may help reduce grazing pressure on Scottish saltmarshes, 799 thereby providing globally important climate regulation services and at the same time protecting 800 sensitive habitats.

801

802 **7. Acknowledgements**

803 The study proposed is described in Dominic Muenzel's MSc thesis submitted as a partial

804 fulfilment for the degree of MSc Ecosystem-Based Management of Marine Systems,

- 805 University of St Andrews (August 2017).
- 806 The authors thank Paul Gavin and the Agricultural Census Analysis Team for providing the

807 census data from the 2016 Scottish agricultural report and 4 anonymous reviewers whose

808 comments have strengthened the content and the quality of the paper.

810 Table 1 Carbon benefits lost under a range of market prices | Value of carbon emission 811 avoided from 2015-2045 from 1 ha of saltmarsh when grazing is reduced by 1 LU ha⁻¹ (high 812 grazing regime) using a range of market carbon prices. Benefits for low, moderate, and very 813 high regimes are calculated by multiplying values reported in Table 1 by respective stocking 814 densities.

815

Discount rate	Carbon price	Carbon benefits of	ts of Carbon benefits of		
(%)	$(\pounds t^{-1} C)$	sheep grazing (£)	cattle grazing (£)		
3	1	29.91	33.93		
	2	59.83	67.86		
	5	149.56	169.66		
	10	299.13	339.31		
5	1	23.77	26.97		
	2	47.55	53.93		
	5	118.87	134.84		
	10	237.74	269.67		
10	1	15.14	17.17		
	2	30.28	34.35		
	5	75.70	85.87		
	10	151.40	171.74		
15	1	10.99	12.46		
	2	21.97	24.92		
	5	54.93	62.31		
	10	109.86	124.62		

816

817

Table 2 Carbon benefits lost under a range of social costs of carbon | Value of carbon
emission avoided from 2015-2045 from 1 ha of saltmarsh when grazing is reduced by 1 LU
ha⁻¹ (high grazing regime) using a range of social costs of carbon. Benefits for low, moderate,
and very high regimes are calculated by multiplying values reported in Table 2 by respective
stocking densities. Carbon prices and discount rate are from Nordhaus (2017); Tol (2009);
van den Bergh and Botzen (2014).

824

Discount rate (%)	Carbon price $(\pounds t^{-1} C)$	Carbon benefits of sheep grazing (£)	Carbon benefits of cattle grazing (£)
5	8.04	191	217
3	23.38	699	793
2.5	37.26	1,187	1,346
3	65.76	1,967	2,231

826

827 Table 3 Opportunity costs of changing grazing regimes with market carbon prices

828 Change in net benefits (in GBP) over 30 years caused by a change in sheep and cattle grazing

829 regimes. Positive values in bold indicate gains in net benefit.

Sheep		1						
			Change in grazing regime					
Discount Carbon price		moderate	high	very high	high	very high	very high	
rate (%)	$(\pounds t^{-1} C)$	to	to	to	to	to	to	
	· · ·	low	low	low	moderate	moderate	high	
3	1	-1,279	-1,129	-5,390	150	-4,112	-4,262	
	2	-1,270	-1,108	-5,340	162	-4,070	-4,232	
	5	-1,243	-1,045	-5,187	198	-3,944	-4,142	
	10	-1,198	-940	-4,933	258	-3,735	-3,993	
5	1	-1,016	-897	-4,284	119	-3,268	-3,387	
	2	-1,009	-880	-4,244	129	-3,235	-3,363	
	5	-988	-830	-4,122	157	-3,135	-3,292	
	10	-952	-747	-3,920	205	-2,968	-3,173	
10	1	-647	-571	-2,728	76	-2,081	-2,157	
	2	-643	-561	-2,703	82	-2,060	-2,142	
	5	-629	-529	-2,625	100	-1,996	-2,097	
	10	-606	-476	-2,497	130	-1,890	-2,021	
15	1	-470	-414	-1,980	55	-1,510	-1,565	
	2	-466	-407	-1,961	59	-1,495	-1,554	
	5	-456	-384	-1,905	73	-1,449	-1,52	
	10	-440	-345	-1,812	95	-1,372	-1,460	
Cattle								
3	1	-1,032	-554	-3,994	478	-2,962	-3,441	
	2	-1,022	-530	-3,937	492	-2,915	-3,407	
	5	-991	-459	-3,764	533	-2,772	-3,305	
	10	-941	-340	-3,475	601	-2,535	-3,135	
5	1	-820	-440	-3,174	380	-2,354	-2,734	
	2	-812	-421	-3,129	391	-2,316	-2,707	
	5	-788	-365	-2,991	423	-2,203	-2,627	
	10	-748	-270	-2,762	477	-2,014	-2,492	
10	1	-522	-280	-2,022	242	-1,499	-1,741	
----	----	------	------	--------	-----	--------	--------	
	2	-517	-268	-1,992	249	-1,475	-1,724	
	5	-502	-232	-1,905	270	-1,403	-1,673	
	10	-476	-172	-1,759	304	-1,283	-1,587	
15	1	-379	-203	-1,467	176	-1,088	-1,264	
	2	-375	-195	-1,446	181	-1,070	-1,251	
	5	-364	-168	-1,382	196	-1,018	-1,214	
	10	-345	-125	-1,276	221	-931	-1,151	

Table 4 Change in net social benefit of grazing regimes | Change in net benefits (in GBP)

- 834 over 30 years when sheep and cattle grazing regimes are changed using social costs of carbon.
- 835 For each livestock type, scenarios without additional service valuation and with SSSI valuation
- 836 from Christie and Rayment (2012) are presented.

		Change in grazing regime					
Discount	Carbon price	moderate	high	very high	high	very high	very high
rate (%)	$(\pounds t^{-1} C)^{T}$	to	to	to	to	to	to
		low	low	low	moderate	moderate	high
Sheep							
without SSSI valuation		0.00	-	4.000	107	2.024	2 2 2 2
5	8.04	-966	-780	-4,000	186	-3,034	-3,220
3	23.38	-1,078	-660	-4,252	418	-3,175	-3,592
2.5	37.26	-1,015	-393	-3,775	622	-2,761	-3,382
3	65.76	-697	227	-2,097	925	-1,400	-2,325
with SSSI valuation							
5	8.04	5,003	5,189	1,969	186	-3,034	-3,220
3	23.38	6,433	6,851	3,258	418	-3,175	-3,592
2.5	37.26	6,981	7,602	4,220	622	-2,761	-3,382
3	65.76	6,813	7,738	5,413	925	-1,400	-2,325
Cattle		•					
without S.	SSI valuation						
5	8.04	-763	-307	-2,852	456	-2,088	-2,545
3	23.38	-804	-22	-2,703	782	-1,899	-2,681
2.5	37.26	-706	327	-2,025	1,033	-1,319	-2,353
3	65.76	-373	984	-259	1,357	114	-1,243
with SSSI valuation							
5	8.04	5,206	5,662	3,117	456	-2,088	-2,545
3	23.38	6,706	7,488	4,807	782	-1,899	-2,681
2.5	37.26	7,290	8,323	5,970	1,033	-1,319	-2,353
3	65.76	7,138	8,495	7,252	1,357	114	-1,243

Table 5 Opportunity cost of livestock | The value lost every year in agricultural revenue

Discount rate	£ lost per year			
(%)	Sheep	Cattle		
3	57.22	46.33		
5	45.48	36.82		
5 10	28.96	23.45		
15	21.02	17.01		

842 under a transition from high to moderate stocking density.

Table 6 Break-even carbon prices to offset opportunity costs | Required prices of carbon
(£ t⁻¹ C) to offset the opportunity cost of having reduced livestock. At these carbon prices
farmers would not lose any money changing from one grazing regime to the next. At any
higher prices farmers would gain money by reducing grazing pressure.

		Change in grazing regime						
		moderate	high	very high	high	very high	very high	
		to	to	to	to	to	to	
		low	low	low	moderate	moderate	high	
	Sheep	143.46	54.90	107.00	-11.53	99.18	143.46	
	Cattle	102.40	24.31	70.24	-34.26	63.35	102.40	
ŀ								
5								
6								
7								
3								
)								
)								

Figure 1. Scottish saltmarsh distribution | Map of 249 saltmarsh sites and 174 parishes
containing saltmarsh across Scotland. West coast sites are smaller but more numerous than east
coast sites. Sites marked red indicate overgrazed saltmarshes (Haynes, 2016).





- Figure 2. Change in benefits from high to low regime transitions | Map indicating the
 change in net benefit over 30 years arising from a transition from high to low grazing regime
 with a carbon price of £1 per t C at 5% discount rate.
- 872



© SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

874 Figure 3. Change in benefits from high to moderate regime transitions | Map indicating

- the change in net benefit over 30 years arising from a transition from high to low grazing regime
- 876 with a carbon price of $\pounds 1$ per t C at 5% discount rate.



[©] SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

Figure 4. Break-even carbon prices for high to low regime transitions | Map indicating the required price of carbon to compensate for loss in agricultural revenue for a transition from

high to low grazing regimes.



© SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

Figure 5. Break-even carbon prices for high to moderate regime transitions | Map
indicating the required price of carbon to compensate for loss in agricultural revenue for a
transition from high to moderate grazing regimes.



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

Figure S1. Change in benefits from high to low regime transitions | Map indicating the
change in net benefit over 30 years arising from a transition from high to low grazing regime
with a carbon price of £10 per t C at 5% discount rate.



© SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

Figure S2. Change in benefits from high to moderate regime transitions | Map indicating
the change in net benefit over 30 years arising from a transition from high to moderate grazing
regime with a carbon price of £10 per t C at 5% discount rate.



© SNH, SEPA, and Scottish Government, licensed under the Open Government License

940 8. References

- 941 Adam, P., 1990. Variation in saltmarsh vegetation, in: Saltmarsh Ecology. Cambridge
- 942 University Press, Cambridge, pp. 146–206.
- 943 Adnitt, C., Brew, D., Cottle, R., Hardwick, M., John, S., Leggett, D., McNulty, S., Meakins,
- 944 N., Staniland, R., 2007. Saltmarsh management manual. Environment Agency, Bristol.
- 945 Agricultural Parishes [WWW Document], 2017. Scottish Gov. Spat. Data Infrastruct. URL
- 946 https://data.gov.uk/dataset/agricultural-parishes (accessed 6.28.17).
- Aiton, A., 2016. Earnings in Scotland 2016. Edinburgh.
- 948 Barbier, E., Hacker, S., Kennedy, C., Koch, E., Stier, A., Silliman, B., 2011. The value of
- 949 estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. *Ecol. Monogr.* 81, 169–193.
- 950 Bardgett, R.D., Wardle, D.A., Yeates, G.W., 1998. Linking above-ground and below-ground
- 951 interactions: How plant responses to foliar herbivory influence soil organisms. Soil Biol.
- 952 Biochem. 30, 1867–1878.
- 953 Beaumont, N.J., Jones, L., Garbutt, A., Hansom, J.D., Toberman, M., 2014. The value of
- earbon sequestration and storage in coastal habitats. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 137, 32–40.
- 955 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.11.022
- 956 Bhogal, A., Nicholson, F., Young, I., Sturrock, C., Whitmore, A., Chambers, B., 2011. Effects
- 957 of recent and accumulated livestock manure. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 174–181. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 958 2389.2010.01319.x
- 959 Bonn, A., Reed, M.S., Evans, C.D., Joosten, H., Bain, C., Farmer, J., Emmer, I, Couwenberg,
- 960 J., Moxey, A., Artz, R., Tanneberger, F., von Unger, M., Smuth M., Birnie, D. 2014.
- 961 Investing in nature: developing ecosystem services markets for peatlands restoration. *Ecosyst*962 Serv 9, 54-65.

- 963 Bouchard, V., Tessier, M., Digaire, F., Vivier, J., 2003. Sheep grazing as management tool in
- Western European saltmarshes. C. R. Biol. 326, S148–S157. doi:10.1016/S16310691(03)00052-0
- 966 Bridgham, S.D., Megonigal, J.P., Keller, J.K., Bliss, N.B., Trettin, C., 2006. The carbon
- balance of North American wetlands. *Wetlands* 26, 889–916.
- 968 Brown, P., Broomfield, M., Buys, G., Cardenas, L., Kilroy, E., MacCarthy, J., Murrells, T.,
- 969 Pang, Y., Passant, N., Ramirez, G., Thistlewaite, G., Webb, N., 2016. UK Greenhouse Gas
- 970 Inventory 1990 to 2014: Annual Report for submission under the Framework Convention on
- 971 Climate Change. Ricardo Energy & Environment, Didcot.
- 972 Burden, A., Garbutt, R.A., Evans, C.D., Jones, D.L., Cooper, D.M., 2013. Carbon
- 973 sequestration and biogeochemical cycling in a saltmarsh subject to coastal managed
- 974 realignment. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 120, 12–20.
- 975 Carroll, Z.L., Bird, S., Emmett, B., Reynolds, B., Sinclair, F., 2004. Can tree shelterbelts on
- agricultural land reduce flood risk? Soil Use Manag. 20, 357–359. doi:10.1079/SUM2004266
- 977 Chan, Kai M.A., Anderson, E., Chapman, M., Jespersen K., Olmsted, p., 2017. Payments for
- 978 Ecosystem Services: Rife with problems and potential for transformation towards
- 979 sustainability. *Ecol Econ* 140, 110-122.
- 980 Chapman, P., 2007. Conservation grazing of semi-natural habitats. Scottish Agricultural
- 981 College, Edinburgh.
- 982 Chatters, C., 2004. Grazing domestic animals on British saltmarshes. Br. Wildl. 392–400.
- 983 Chmura, G.L., Anisfeld, S.C., Cahoon, D.R., Lynch, J.C., 2003. Global carbon sequestration
- 984 in tidal, saline wetland soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 17, 2201–2212.
- 985 doi:10.1029/2002GB001917
- 986 Christie, M., Rayment, M., 2012. An economic assessment of the ecosystem service benefits
- 987 derived from the SSSI biodiversity conservation policy in England and Wales. *Ecosyst. Serv.*
- 988 1, 70-84. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.07.004

- 989 Elschot, K., Elschot, K., Bakker, J.P., Temmerman, S., Koppel, J. Van De, 2015. Ecosystem
- 990 engineering by large grazers enhances carbon stocks in a tidal salt marsh. *Mar. Ecol. Prog.*
- 991 Ser. 537, 9–21. doi:10.3354/meps11447
- Engel, S., Pagiola, S., Wunder, S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in
 theory and practice: an overview of the issues. *Ecol Econ* 65, 663-674.
- Environment Bank, 2015. Investing in natural capital saltmarsh restoration on the DebenEstuary.
- 996 Ferrrao, P.J., Kiss, A. 2002. Direct payment to conserve biodiversity. Science 298 (5599),
- 997 1718-1719.
- 998 Fleischner, T., 1994. Ecological costs of livestock grazing in western North America.
- 999 Conserv. Biol. 8, 629–644.
- 1000 Foley, J.A., Defries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S.,
- 1001 Coe, M.T., Daily, G.C., Gibbs, H.K., Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A.,
- 1002 Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J.A., Prentice, I.C., Ramankutty, N., Snyder, P.K., 2005.
- 1003 Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309(5734), 570–575.
- 1004 Ford, H., 2012. Biodiversity, ecosystem function and ecosystem service provison in saltmarsh
- and sand dune grasslands. PhD thesis, Prifysgol Bangor University. Available at
- 1006 http://e.bangor.ac.uk/5195/
- 1007 Ford, H., Garbutt, A., Jones, D.L., Jones, L., 2012. Impacts of grazing abandonment on
- 1008 ecosystem service provision : Coastal grassland as a model system. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.
- 1009 162, 108–115. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2012.09.003
- 1010 Forestry Commission, 2017. Woodland Carbon Code Statistics [WWW Document]. URL
- 1011 https://www.forestry.gov.uk/forestry/infd-93yjte (accessed 6.7.17).
- 1012 Friess, D., Phelps, J., GHarmendia, E., Gomez-Baggethun E., 2015. Payment for Ecosystem
- 1013 Services (PES) in the face of external biophysical stressors. Global Environemntal Change
- 1014 30, 31-42.

- 1015 Garbutt, R.A., Reading, C., Wolters, M., Gray, A.J., Rothery, P., 2006. Monitoring the
- 1016 development of intertidal habitats on former agricultural land after the managed realignment
- 1017 of coastal defences at Tollesbury, Essex, UK. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 53, 155–164.
- 1018 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.09.015
- 1019 Glossary: Livestock unit (LSU) [WWW Document], 2013 Eurostat Stat. Explain. URL
- 1020 http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Glossary:Livestock_unit_(LSU)
- 1021 (accessed 6.28.17).
- 1022 Granek, E.F., Polasky, S., Kappel, C. V, Reed, D.J., Stoms, D.M., Koch, E.W., Kennedy, C.J.,
- 1023 Cramer, L.A., Hacker, S.D., Barbier, E.B., Aswani, S., Ruckelshaus, M., Perillo, G.M.E.,
- 1024 Silliman, B.R., Muthiga, N., Bael, D., Wolanski, E., 2009. Ecosystem services as a common
- 1025 language for coastal ecosystem-based management. *Conserv. Biol.* 24, 207–216.
- 1026 doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01355.x
- 1027 Haynes, T.A., 2016. Scottish saltmarsh survey national report. Scottish Natural Heritage
- 1028 Commissioned report No. 786.
- 1029 Hejnowicz, A.P., Kennedy, H., Rudd, M.A., Huxham, M.R., 2015. Harnessing the climate
- 1030 mitigation, conservation and poverty alleviation potential of seagrasses : prospects for
- 1031 developing blue carbon initiatives and payment for ecosystem service programmes. *Front.*
- 1032 Mar. Sci. 2, 1–22. doi:10.3389/fmars.2015.00032
- 1033 Holland, J.N., Cheng, W., Crossley, D.A., 1996. Herbivore-induced changes in plant carbon
- allocation : Assessment of below-ground C fluxes using Carbon-14. *Oecologia* 107, 87–94.
- 1035 IUCN, 2015. The Peatland Code [WWW Document]. URL http://www.iucn-uk-
- 1036 peatlandprogramme.org/peatland-code (accessed 6.5.17).
- 1037 Jensen, A., 1985. The effect of cattle and sheep grazing on salt-marsh vegetation at
- 1038 Skallingen, Denmark. *Vegetatio1* 60, 37–48.
- 1039 Jobbágy, E., Jackson, R., 2000. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation
- 1040 to climate and vegetation. *Ecol. Appl.* 10, 423–436.

- 1041 Jones, L., Angus, S., Cooper, A., Doody, P., Everard, M., Garbutt, A., Hansom, J., Nicholls,
- 1042 R., Pye, K., Ravenscroft, N., Rees, S., Rhind, P., Whitehouse, A., 2011. Coastal Margins, in:
- 1043 The UK National Ecosystem Assess- Ment Technical Report. pp. 411–458.
- 1044 Kenter, J.O., 2017. Deliberative monetary valuation. In: Spash, C.L. (Ed) Routledge
- 1045 Handbook of Ecological Economics: Nature and Society. Routledge, Abingdon
- King, S.E., Lestert, J.N., 1995. The value of salt marsh as a sea defence. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 30,
 1047 180–189.
- 1048 Kingham, R., 2013. The broad-scale impacts of livestock grazing on saltmarsh carbon stocks.
- 1049 Bangor University. PhD thesis, Prifysgol Bangor University. Available at
- 1050 http://e.bangor.ac.uk/4923/
- 1051 Kinzig, A.P., Perrings, C., Chapin III, F.S., Polasky, S., Smith, V.K., Tilman, D., Turner II,
- 1052 B.L., 2011. Paying for ecosystem services- promise and peril. *Science* 334, 603-604.
- 1053 Kossoy, A., Guigon, P., 2012. State and trends of the carbon market. World Bank
- 1054 Environment Programme. Washington, DC.
- 1055 Laffaille, P., Feunteun, E., Lefeuvre, J.-C., 2000. Composition of fish communities in a
- 1056 European macrotidal salt marsh (the Mont Saint-Michel Bay , France). Estuar. Coast. Shelf
- 1057 Sci. 51, 429–438. doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0675
- 1058 Lau, W.W.Y., 2013. Beyond carbon : Conceptualizing payments for ecosystem services in
- 1059 blue forests on carbon and other marine and coastal ecosystem services. Ocean Coast. Manag.
- 1060 83, 5–14. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.03.011
- 1061 Layard, R., Nickell, S., Mayraz, G., 2008. The marginal utility of income. J. Public Econ. 92,
- 1062 1846–1857. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2008.01.007
- 1063 Locatelli, T., Binet, T., Kairo, J.G., King, L., Madden, S., Patenaude, G., Upton, C., Huxham,
- 1064 M., 2014. Turning the tide : How blue carbon and Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES)
- 1065 might help save mangrove forests 981–995. doi:10.1007/s13280-014-0530-y

- 1066 Ma, X., Wang, S., Jiang, G., Nyren, P., 2006. Short-term effects of sheep excrement on
- 1067 carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane fluxes in typical grassland of Inner Mongolia. New
- 1068 Zeal. J. Agric. Res. 49, 285–297. doi:10.1080/00288233.2006.9513719
- 1069 Macmillan, D., Hanley, N., Daw, M., 2004. Costs and benefits of wild goose conservation in
- 1070 Scotland. Biol. Conserv. 119, 475–485. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2004.01.008
- 1071 Magnussen, S., Reed, S., 2004. Modeling for estimation and monitoring. Food Agric. Organ.
- 1072 Marcu, A., Elkerbout, M., Stoefs, W., 2016. 2016 State of the EU ETS Report.
- 1073 Marty, J.T., 2005. Effects of cattle grazing on diversity in ephemeral wetlands. Conserv. Biol.
- 1074 19, 1626–1632. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00198.x
- 1075 MEA Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Ecosystem and Human Wellbeing:
- 1076 Synthesis. Island Press.
- 1077 Morris, J.T., Jensen, A., 1998. The carbon balance of grazed and non-grazed Spartina anglica
- 1078 saltmarshes at Skallingen, Denmark. J. Ecol. 86, 229–242.
- 1079 Mueller, P., Granse, D., Nolte, S., Do, H., Weingartner, M., Hoth, S., Jensen, K., 2017. Top-
- 1080 down control of carbon sequestration : grazing affects microbial structure and function in salt
- 1081 marsh soils. Ecol. Appl. 27, 1435–1450. doi:10.1002/eap.1534
- 1082 Naeem, S., Ingram, J.C., Varga, A., Agardy, T., Barten, P., Bennett, G., et al. 2015. Get the
- science right when paying for nature's services. *Science* 347 (6227), 1206-1207.
- 1084 Natural Capital Committee, 2015. The State of Natural Capital. Protecting and Improving
- 1085 Natural Capital for Prosperity and Wellbeing. Available at
- 1086 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/516725/ncc-
- 1087 state-natural-capital-third-report.pdf.
- 1088 Newton, A.C., 2011. Implications of Goodhart's la for monitoring global biodiversity loss.
- 1089 *Conserv Lett* 4(4), 264-268.
- 1090 Nordhaus, W., 2017. Estimates of the social cost of carbon : Concepts and results from the
- 1091 DICE-2013R model and alternative approaches. J. Assoc. Environ. Resour. Econ. 1, 273–312.

- 1092 Norris, K., Brindley, E., Cook, T., Babbs, S., Brown, C.F., Yaxley, R., 1998. Is the density of
- 1093 redshank Tringa totanus nesting on saltmarshes in Great Britain declining due to changes in
- 1094 grazing management? J. Appl. Ecol. 35, 621–634.
- 1095 Olsen, Y.S., Dausse, A., Garbutt, A., Ford, H., Thomas, D.N., Jones, D.L., 2011. Cattle
- 1096 grazing drives nitrogen and carbon cycling in a temperate salt marsh. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43,
- 1097 531–541. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.018
- 1098 Palmer, C., Silber, T., 2012. Trade-offs between carbon sequestration and rural incomes in the
- 1099 N'hambita Community Carbon Project, Mozambique. Land use policy 29, 83–93.
- 1100 doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.05.007
- 1101 Pearce, D., Atkinson, G., Mourato, S., 2006. Cost-Benefit Analysis and the Environment:
- 1102 Recent Developments. OECD Publications, Paris.
- 1103 Pendleton, L., Donato, D.C., Murray, B.C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W.A., Megonigal, P.,
- 1104 Pidgeon, E., Herr, D., Gordon, D., Baldera, A., 2012. Estimating global "Blue Carbon"
- 1105 emissions from conversion and degradation of vegetated coastal ecosystems. PLoS One 7, 1-
- 1106 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043542
- 1107 Pethick, J., 2002. Estuarine and tidal wetland restoration in the United Kingdom : Policy
- 1108 versus practice. *Restor. Ecol.* 10, 431–437.
- 1109 Post, J.C., Lundin, C.G., 1996. Guidelines for Integrated Coastal Zone Management.
- 1110 Washington, DC.
- 1111 Power, A.G., 2010. Ecosystem services and agriculture : tradeoffs and synergies. *Phil. Trans.*
- 1112 R. Soc. B 365, 2959–2971. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0143
- 1113 Reed, M., Bonn, A., Evans, C., Joosten, H., Bain, B., Farmer, J., Emmer, I., Couwenberg, J.,
- 1114 Moxey, A., Artz, R., F, T., von Unger, M., Smyth, M., Birnie, R., Inman, I., Smith, S., Quick,
- 1115 T., Cowap, C., Prior, S., Lindsay, R., 2013. Peatland Code Research Project Final Report.
- 1116 London.

- 1117 Reed, M.S., Moxey, A., Prager, K., Hanley, N., Skates, J., Bonn, A., Evans, C.D., Glenk, K.,
- 1118 Thomson, K., 2014. Improving the link between payments and the provision of ecosystem
- 1119 services in agri-environment schemes. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 9, 44–53.
- 1120 RESAS, 2016. Economic Report on Scottish Agriculture. Edinburgh.
- 1121 Ryan, J. 2011. Anthoethnography: emerging research into the culture of flora, aesthetic
- 1122 experience of plants, and the wildflower tourism of the future. New Scholar, 1, 28–40.
- 1123 Sharps E., Garbutt, A., Hiddink J.G., Smart J., Skov M.W. 2016. Light grazing of saltmarshes
- 1124 increases the availability of nest sites for common redshank Tringa totanus but reduces their
- 1125 quality. Agr Ecosyst Environ 221, 71-78.
- 1126 Smith, S., Rowcroft, P., Everard, M., Couldrick, L., Reed, M., Rogers, H., Quick, T., Eves,
- 1127 C., White, C., 2013. Payments for Ecosystem Services : A Best Practice Guide. London.
- 1128 Soy-massoni, E., Langemeyer, J., Varga, D., Sáez, M., Pintó, J., 2016. The importance of
- 1129 ecosystem services in coastal agricultural landscapes : Case study from the Costa Brava,
- 1130 Catalonia. Ecosyst. Serv. 17, 43–52. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.11.004
- 1131 Spash, C.L., 2008. Deliberative monetary valuation and the evidence for a new value theory.
- 1132 Land Econ 84, 469-488.
- 1133 TEEB, 2010. The economics of ecosystem services and biodiversity. Mainstreaming the
- 1134 economics of nature: a synthesis of the approach, conclusion and recommendations.
- 1135 Tol, R.S.J., 2009. The Economic Effects of Climate Change. J. Econ. Perspect. 23, 29–51.
- 1136 van den Bergh, J., Botzen, W., 2014. A lower bound to the social cost of CO2 emissions. Nat.
- 1137 Clim. Chang. 4, 253–258. doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2135
- 1138 Vatn, A. (2010). An institutional analysis of payments for environmental services. Ecol Econ
- 1139 69(6), 1245-1252. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.11.018
- 1140 VCS, 2017. How to develop a VCS project.

- 1141 VCS, 2015. VM0033 Methodology for tidal wetland and seagrass restoration.
- 1142 http://database.v-c-s.org/methodologies/methodology-tidal-wetland-and-seagrass-restoration-

1143 v10

- 1144 Wetland Management [WWW Document], 2017. Rural Payments Services.
- 1145 https://www.ruralpayments.org/publicsite/futures/topics/all-schemes/agri-environment-
- 1146 climate-scheme/management-options-and-capital-items/wetland-management/#33871
- 1147 Wunder, S., 2005. Payments for environmental services : some nuts and bolts. CIFOR Occas.
- 1148 Pap. no. 42 1–24.
- 1149 Wunder, S. (2013). When payments for environmental services will work for conservation.
- 1150 Conserv. Lett 6(4): 230-237.
- 1151 Wunder, S. (2015). Revisiting the concept of payments for environmental services. Ecol Econ
- 1152 117, 234-243. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.08.016
- 1153 Wylie, L., Sutton-grier, A.E., Moore, A., 2016. Keys to successful blue carbon projects :
- 1154 Lessons learned from global case studies. Mar. Policy 65, 76–84.
- 1155 doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2015.12.020
- 1156 Yates, C.J., Norton, D.A., Hobbs, R.J., 2000. Grazing effects on plant cover, soil and
- 1157 microclimate in fragmented woodlands in south-western Australia: implications for
- 1158 restoration. Austral Ecol. 25, 36–47.
- 1159 Yu, O.T., Chmura, G.L., 2010. Soil carbon may be maintained under grazing in a St
- 1160 Lawrence Estuary tidal marsh. *Environ. Conserv.* 36, 312–320.
- 1161 doi:10.1017/S0376892910000184
- 1162 van Zanten, B.T., Verburg, P.H., Scholte, S.S.K. & Tieskens, K.F. 2016. Using choice
- 1163 modeling to map aesthetic values at a landscape scale: lessons from a Dutch case study. *Ecol*
- 1164 *Econ* 130, 221–231
- 1165