



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/99619/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Tokgöz, DDG, Ozerkan, NG, Kowita, OS et al. (2016) Strength and durability of composite concretes using municipal wastes. *Materials Journal*, 113 (5). pp. 669-678. ISSN: 0889-325X

<https://doi.org/10.14359/51689111>

© 2016, American Concrete Institute. This is an author produced version of a paper accepted for publication in *Materials Journal*. Uploaded with permission from the publisher.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

2
3 **STRENGTH AND DURABILITY OF COMPOSITE CONCRETES WITH MUNICIPAL WASTES**

4 **D. Deniz Genc Tokgoz**^a, **N. Gozde Ozerkan**^a, **O. Samir Kowita**^a, **S. Joseph Antony**^b

5 ^a Center for Advanced Materials, Qatar University, P.O. Box 2713, Doha, QATAR

6 ^bSchool of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

7
8 **Biography:**

9 **D. Deniz Genc Tokgoz** is a Post-Doc Researcher at Qatar University, Doha, Qatar. She received
10 her MSc and PhD in environmental engineering from Middle East Technical University, Ankara,
11 Turkey. Her research interests include solid waste management, recycling and waste
12 utilization, cement based composites, durability of concrete, and self-consolidating concrete.

13 **N. Gozde Ozerkan** is an Assistant Professor in the Center for Advanced Materials in Qatar
14 University. She received her BSc in Civil Engineering from the Cukurova University, Turkey, in
15 2002. She received her MSc in January 2006 and Ph.D. in October 2009 from Middle East
16 Technical University, Turkey, both in Civil Engineering. She has extensive experience in
17 mechanics of construction materials, nondestructive evaluation techniques, material science,
18 image processing, construction materials testing procedures, life cycle assessment and
19 recycling.

20 **O. Samir Kowita** is a Research Engineer at the Center for Advanced Materials at Qatar
21 University, Doha, Qatar. He received his BSc in civil engineering from Qatar University, Doha,
22 Qatar and has been continuing his MSc in the same department. His research interests include
23 structural mechanics, construction technology and material science.

24 **S. Joseph Antony** is the corresponding author and an Associate Professor at the University of
25 Leeds, United Kingdom. He serves in a number of professional bodies and advisory

1 committees in his field of research including mechanics of materials, nanomechanics,
2 micromechanics, particulate engineering and multi-scale approaches in inter-disciplinary
3 applications. His biography is included in the Edition of Marquis Who's Who in the World and
4 the Directory of International Biography Centre, Cambridge.

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 The influence of different types of polyethylene (PE) substitutions as partial aggregate
7 replacement of micro-steel fiber reinforced self-consolidating concrete (SCC) incorporating
8 incinerator fly ash was investigated. The study focuses on the workability and hardened
9 properties including mechanical, permeability properties, sulfate resistance and
10 microstructure. Regardless of the polyethylene type, PE substitutions slightly decreased the
11 compressive and flexural strength of SSC initially, however, the difference was compensated
12 at later ages. SEM analysis of the interfacial transition zone showed that there was chemical
13 interaction between PE and the matrix. Although PE substitutions increased the permeable
14 porosity and sorptivity, it significantly improved the sulfate resistance of SCC. The influence of
15 PE shape and size on workability and strength was found to be more important than its type.
16 When considering the disposal of PE wastes and saving embodied energy, consuming recycled
17 PE as partial aggregate replacement was more advantageous over virgin PE aggregate
18 replaced concrete.

19

20 **Keywords:** chloride ion permeability; durability; fiber reinforced composites; municipal fly
21 ash; self-consolidating concrete (SCC); sulfate attack; water-cementitious materials ratio;
22 transport properties; waste management.

23 **INTRODUCTION**

1 In the last decades, sustainable development in the construction industry has been gaining
2 increasing attention. Sustainable development can combine economic growth and
3 environmental protection by conserving natural resources and saving embodied energy.
4 Recycling of waste materials has been accepted as one of the most beneficial option to achieve
5 sustainable development for construction industry¹. Depending on the availability and price,
6 several industrial wastes can be used as parts of the binder, i.e. cement and the filler (natural
7 aggregate). For example, industrial by-products such as fly ash, municipal fly ash, ground
8 granulated blast furnace slag and silica fumes have been used in construction industry as
9 cement replacement or supplementary cementitious materials¹. Recycled concrete² which is
10 produced from demolishing concrete structures and recycled polymers³ obtained from waste
11 polymers are the most common wastes used as natural aggregate substitutes in the building
12 industry^{4,5}.

13
14 Construction industry has been using recycled polymeric wastes as aggregate and fiber,
15 because of its economic and ecological advantages^{3,6-8}. Different types of polymeric wastes
16 such as polypropylene (PP)^{9,10}, polyethylene (PE)¹¹⁻¹³, and polyethylene terephthalate (PET)¹⁴⁻
17 ¹⁸ have been used as filler in concrete. However, most of these studies were conducted for
18 conventional concrete and polymeric wastes were utilized as fine aggregate replacement.
19 Therefore, little information is presently known regarding the use of polymers as coarse
20 aggregate in the formulation of new concretes, especially self-consolidating concrete (SCC).

21
22 Qatar has been one of the largest producer and consumer of polymers in the Gulf region^{19,20}.
23 Effective disposal of polymeric wastes are constrained by its non-biodegradable nature and
24 emission of dangerous gases when combusted. Therefore landfilling and incineration are not

1 good alternatives for polymeric waste disposal. To solve the polymeric waste disposal
2 problem, recycling has been supported by the Qatar government but still recycling is limited
3 with small and private recycling plants¹⁹.

4 Due to the rapid growth in the construction facilities, significant amounts of natural aggregate
5 and cement are consumed in Qatar²¹. The quality and quantity of locally mined aggregates in
6 Qatar is limited, therefore there is a shortage of raw material, especially for natural aggregate
7 in the construction industry of Qatar²². In spite of scarcity of the natural resources, Qatar
8 National Standards for Construction and Buildings (QCS) limits the amount of imported
9 aggregates used in the construction facilities^{23,24}. Therefore to sustain this requirement,
10 creating new resources for aggregate and cement i.e. production of secondary raw materials
11 is vital for construction industry of Qatar. Furthermore, cement production is known as one
12 of the reasons of globally increasing CO₂²⁵ and so consumption of less cement could result less
13 CO₂ emissions. To develop a sustainable construction industry in Qatar, natural aggregate and
14 cement consumption should be reduced by replacing them with waste materials when it is
15 applicable.

16

17 Self-consolidating concrete has several advantages with respect to conventional vibrated
18 concrete, including, e.g., high workability, low segregation, no need for compaction, reduction
19 in manpower and equipment²⁶. In order to obtain these advantages, SCC needs more cement
20 which in turn increases its cost and its impact on the environment due to CO₂ emission. Several
21 studies in the literature showed that limestone powder, natural pozzolans, ground granulated
22 blast furnace slag, silica fume and coal fly ash can be used in SCC to reduce the cement
23 amount²⁷⁻³². On the other hand, there are only few studies regarding the consumption of
24 incinerator ashes in SCC in the literature. For example, Collepari et al.³³ applied some pre-

1 treatment methods for ground bottom ash (GBA) collected from Municipal Solid Wastes
2 Incinerators (MSWI), used it in SCC and obtained good performance in terms of the mechanical
3 and durability properties. Municipal fly ashes usually do not meet the required criteria of
4 ASTM standards³⁴, they at least fail one or more criteria of the standards. Many studies in the
5 literature showed that although municipal fly ash do not meet all the required criteria of ASTM
6 standards, they can be utilized either in cement production or in conventional cement based
7 materials for the benefits of environment and economy³⁵⁻⁴⁶.

8

9 The main aim of this study is to determine the influence of incorporating different type of
10 polyethylene in virgin and recycled form (QMW-derived) as 10% by weight of coarse aggregate
11 replacement on the properties of micro-steel fiber reinforced SCC. And for the first time, the
12 combination of the two by-products, silica fume and locally produced MSWI fly ash is utilized
13 together to reduce the amount of cement and fine filler used to obtain SCC. The workability,
14 mechanical and durability properties of cement-silica fume-MSWI fly ash SCC with respect to
15 the type of PE are investigated. In addition, microstructures of hardened SCC mixtures are
16 studied to examine the interaction between the PE and the matrix.

17

RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

18 On the one hand, the pressure from the growing scarcity of natural resources required for
19 designing concretes, and on the other hand, the growing amount of domestic wastes collected
20 by the municipal corporations of cities in the world presents a problem as well as an
21 opportunity for engineers. Efforts to re-use useful domestic wastes in construction activities
22 are gathering momentum worldwide. The current work focuses on understanding the
23 mechanical and durability characteristics of steel fiber reinforced SCC using waste materials
24 from Qatar municipal wastes (QMW).

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

Material Properties

The cement used in all mixture was locally produced ordinary Portland cement (OPC) CEM I 42.5R which corresponds to ASTM Type I cement. Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator fly ash was collected from Qatar's Domestic Solid Waste Management Centre's (DSWMC) flue gas treatment system. DSWMC is a refuse-derived incineration facility in which municipal waste are pre-sorted to remove glass, plastics, ferrous and non-ferrous metals prior to incineration. After this pre-treatment, municipal waste is directly incinerated at a minimum temperature of 850°C (1123°K). Approximately 1500 tones of municipal waste is incinerated daily, of which 16% and 4% ended up as bottom ash and fly ash, respectively. For neutralization of acidic gases, lime is added in air pollution control units. Prior to the bag house filters, powdered active carbon is introduced into the flue gas stream⁴⁷.

The particle size distribution of OPC and MSWI fly ash were determined by laser diffraction technique and given in **Fig. 1**. Together with MSWI, silica fume (GMS85) was used in all mixtures. The particle size distribution of silica fume was not determined as it is already known that GMS85 silica fume is finer than both OPC and MSWI fly ash (99% of silica fume was reported $\leq 45 \mu\text{m}$ (1771 $\mu\text{in.}$) by the manufacturer). The chemical and physical properties of the cement, MSWI fly ash and silica fume are given in **Table 1**. The chemical compositions of silica fume was obtained from the manufacturer and those of cement and MSWI fly ash were measured using a wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer, ZSX PrimusII, manufactured by Rigaku Corporation. The percentage of loss on ignition (LOI) at 750°C (1023°K) and specific gravity was determined according to ASTM C311 Standard Test Methods for Sampling and Testing Fly Ash or Natural Pozzolans for Use in Portland-Cement Concrete⁴⁸.

1 The insoluble residue and fineness was measured according to ASTM C114⁴⁹ and ASTM C204⁵⁰,
2 respectively.

3 As seen in **Table 1**, very low silica content of local MSWI fly ash may limit its consumption as
4 pozzolanic material in concrete, while its high lime content may contribute to the
5 cementitious properties⁴⁰. Strength activity index (SAI) of MSWI fly ash with Portland cement
6 was determined as 64%, which is less than the minimum requirement for pozzolanic material
7 according to ASTM C618 Standard specification for coal fly ash and raw or calcined natural
8 pozzolan for use in concrete⁵¹. Therefore, in this study the main role of MSWI fly ash was to
9 increase the fineness and cohesiveness of SCC, while silica fume was used as pozzolan.

10

11 The microstructure of the MSWI fly ash, PE aggregates and hardened SCC mixtures was
12 investigated by scanning electron microscope (SEM), Quanta FEI 200 equipped with an Energy
13 Dispersive X-ray (EDX) system for chemical analysis. The SEM image of MSWI fly ash (**Fig. 2**)
14 indicated that unlike coal fly ash MSWI fly ash showed large fraction of non-spherical particles
15 with heterogeneous particle size and morphology i.e. elongated, angular, very porous
16 particles, and clusters of sintered particles.

17

18 Crushed limestone with a maximum size of 9.5 mm (0.374 in.) was used as coarse aggregate
19 and river sand with a maximum size of 2.38 mm (0.0937 in.) was used as fine aggregate. The
20 specific gravities of coarse and fine aggregates were 3.10 and 2.73, and water absorptions of
21 0.6% and 2.2%, respectively. A multi-carboxylate ether based superplasticizer (SP) with a
22 specific gravity of 1.11 was used in all mixtures in order to obtain the self-consolidating
23 concrete fluidity properties. Moreover, DRAMIX OL 6/16 straight cylindrical micro-steel fiber
24 with a length of 6.00 mm (0.236 in.) and diameter of 0.16 mm (0.0063 in.) was used in all

1 mixtures in order to compare the fiber reinforced property of the polyethylene fiber
2 incorporated mixtures, the results of the comparison has been presented in another
3 publication of the authors⁵².

4

5 As plastic waste material, virgin high density polyethylene (v-HDPE) samples collected from
6 Qatar Chemical Company (QCHEM) and virgin low density polyethylene (v-LDPE) samples
7 collected from Qatar Petrochemical Company (QAPCO) (**Fig. 3**) were used in the mixtures in
8 order to compare their effect on the workability, durability and mechanical properties of SCC.
9 Both v-HDPE and v-LDPE was in the form of spherical granules with an average diameter of
10 3.0 mm (0.118 in.). Recycled polyethylene granules were collected from one of the plastic
11 recycling companies in Qatar. In this plant, firstly HDPE and LDPE municipal plastic wastes
12 were separated and then they were processed. Separated HDPE and LDPE wastes were
13 washed and crushed into scraps. Prior to extrusion, these crushed scraps were dried and then
14 fed into the extruder and extracted as plastic wires. Finally, these plastic wires were cut into
15 cylindrical granules by cutter (**Fig. 3**). The average diameter and length were 4.0 mm (0.157
16 in.) and 3.5 mm (0.138 in.) for r-HDPE, and 3.0 mm (0.118 in.) of both for r-LDPE, respectively.
17 The properties of polyethylene used in this research are given in **Table 2**, while the shape and
18 size of polyethylene aggregates along with their SEM images are presented in **Fig. 3**.

19 **Mixture proportions**

20 Within the scope of experimental program, five concrete mixtures have been prepared and
21 summarized in **Table 3**. The control mixture included OPC, MSWI FA, silica fume as
22 cementitious materials and steel fiber. In the remaining mixtures, 10% by weight of coarse
23 aggregate was replaced with virgin and recycled polyethylene granules. The partial PE
24 substitution in this research kept 10% by weight as higher PE substitution rates were reported

1 to decrease the mechanical strength of manufactured concrete drastically¹¹⁻¹³ while lower
2 rates may not be feasible in terms of economy. For all mixtures, the amount of OPC, MSWI FA,
3 silica fume, steel fiber and superplasticizer (SP) content were kept constant to reduce the
4 number of cases to be studied in this study. As seen in **Table 3**, the only variable was the type
5 of polyethylene aggregate substituted in the mixes 2-5.

6
7 SCC mixtures were prepared using an electrically driven concrete mixer. The preparation
8 procedure was the same for all mixtures: firstly all aggregates (sand, coarse and PE), cement,
9 MSWI FA, silica fume and steel fiber were mixed in a dry state. Then three quarters of mixing
10 water mixed with the superplasticizer (SP) was added in the mixer and the mixture was mixed
11 for 2 min period. The remaining water was added gradually into the mixture to provide
12 uniformity in the mixture and mixed for a period of 2 more min. After completing the mixing
13 procedure, fresh concrete tests including V-funnel, slump flow time and diameter and setting
14 time tests were performed on the mixtures. From each concrete mixture, twelve $\varnothing 100 \times 200$
15 mm ($\varnothing 4 \times 8$ in.) cylinder specimens were cast for determination of compressive strength and
16 permeability properties including water absorption, sorptivity and rapid chloride permeability
17 tests, and six 160x40x40 mm (6.30x1.57x1.57 in.) beam specimens were cast for the
18 determination of flexural strength, and nine 280x25x25 mm (11x1x1 in.) bar specimens were
19 cast for sulfate exposure determinations in accordance with the related ASTM standards. Note
20 that all specimens were cast in one layer without compaction as all mixtures were accepted
21 as SCC. After 24 h, the specimens were demoulded and stored in water tank till the age of
22 testing.

23 **Tests on fresh concrete**

1 Slump flow time (T_{50}), slump flow diameter (D) and V-funnel flow time was measured to assess
2 the workability properties of SCC according to the European Federation of National
3 Associations Representing Producers and Applicators of Specialist Building Products for
4 Concrete (EFNARC)^{53,54}. Slump flow diameter was used as an indication of the flowability of
5 concrete when there was no blockage. Slump flow time was used to assess the viscosity and
6 stability of SCC mixtures by measuring the time required for the mixtures to reach a 50 cm (20
7 in.) spread circle. Lower slump flow time can be used as an indication of greater fluidity and
8 smaller workability loss. V-funnel flow test was used to determine the time required for a
9 defined volume of SCC to flow through restricted spacing without blockage. As stated in the
10 literature, good flowable and stable SCC mixtures would consume shorter time to flow out in
11 V-funnel test^{55,56}.

12 **Tests on hardened concrete**

13 Tests performed on hardened concrete can be grouped into two as tests to evaluate
14 mechanical and durability properties of SCC.

15 ***Mechanical properties***

16 In order to determine mechanical properties, the compressive strength of SCC specimens was
17 determined at 7, 28 and 90 days in accordance with ASTM C39⁵⁷ the flexural strength of SCC
18 specimens was determined at 7 and 28 days in accordance with ASTM C293⁵⁸. For mechanical
19 tests, three specimens from each mixture was tested and average of these were calculated.

20 ***Durability properties***

21 ***Permeability properties***

22 To determine the permeability properties, 28 days age of $\emptyset 100 \times 200$ mm ($\emptyset 4 \times 8$ in.) cylinder
23 specimens were cut into $\emptyset 100 \times 50$ mm ($\emptyset 4 \times 2$ in.) disc specimens and the absorption, sorptivity
24 and rapid chloride permeability tests (RCPT) were performed on mentioned specimens. Water
25 absorption which is defined as the amount of water absorbed under specified conditions was
26 determined in accordance with ASTM C642⁵⁹. As water absorption can only take place in pores

1 which were emptied during drying and filled with water during the immersion period, water
2 absorption indicates the degree of permeable porosity of a material.
3 The sorptivity was determined in accordance with ASTM C1585⁶⁰. In this test, the rate of
4 absorption of water by unsaturated SCC specimens were measured by the increase in the mass
5 of a disc specimen at given intervals of time (1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 180, 240, 300 and 360 min)
6 when only one surface of the specimen was exposed to water, with the depth of water
7 between 3 to 5 mm (0.12 to 0.20 in.).

8
9 The RCPT test was performed to determine the chloride permeability of 28 days SCC
10 specimens in accordance with ASTM C1202⁶¹. At the end of this test, the total charge passed,
11 in coulombs, is determined. Higher coulombs value indicates lower resistance to chloride ion
12 penetration, while lower coulombs value indicates higher resistance.

13 ***Sulfate resistance***

14 Sulfate resistance tests were performed on nine 280x25x25 mm (11x1x1 in.) bar specimens
15 per mix. To determine the sulfate resistance, the bars were immersed into sulfate solution in
16 accordance with ASTM C1012⁶² and the length changes were measured at 1 week, 2 weeks, 3
17 weeks, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 13 weeks, 15 weeks, 4 months and 6 months.

18 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

19 **Fresh concrete properties**

20 The slump flow diameters of all mixtures were in the range of 595-670 mm (23.4-26.4 in.),
21 slump flow times (T_{50}) were less than 5 s, and the V-funnel flow time were in the range of 5.47-
22 7.11 (**Table 4**). Except the slump flow value, all the other fresh state properties met the
23 EFNARC requirements^{53,54}. The slump flow values of PE incorporated mixtures were less than
24 the minimum requirement of the EFNARC which is 650 mm (25.60 in.). However, the minimum
25 slump flow value is 550 mm (21.65 in.) for the American Concrete Institute (ACI)⁶³ and 500

1 mm (19.69 in.) for the Japan Society for Civil Engineers (JSCE)⁶⁴. Moreover, since all the mixtures in this study filled the molds by their own weight without any vibration, and neither segregation nor considerable bleeding was visually observed in any of the mixtures during mixing, testing and casting, they were accepted as SCC. As seen from **Table 4**, addition of PE aggregates (Mix2-Mix5) slightly reduced the slump flow diameter and increased V-funnel flow time compared to the reference (Mix1). The lowering of workability was also reported in many studies incorporating several types of plastic aggregates^{15,17,65,66} when larger replacement levels were used as in the current study (10% by weight). The reason behind this can be explained by the non/low-absorption characteristics of plastics which results in more free water, thus increases the porosity and eventually reduces the workability in fresh concrete^{15,67}.

12
13 Apart from the non-absorptive characteristics of PE, fresh properties of SCC was also influenced by the particle size of PE granules used in this study. Large and uniform size of PE granules (v-LDPE and v-HDPE: 3.0 mm (0.118 in.) and r-LDPE: 3.0 mm (0.118 in.) and r-HDPE: 4.0 mm (0.157 in.)) changed the granulometry of the aggregates, and resulted more empty spaces and voids in the fresh concrete and reduced the velocity of the flow of fresh SCC.

18
19 The same reason was also valid for V-funnel flow time in which flow of concrete was slightly blocked by large and uniform size of PE aggregates and hence increased the V-funnel time compared to the reference mixture (Mix1). While preparing the mixtures, the water content was adjusted to keep the same workability conditions in each SCC mixture. As seen in **Table 3**, the ratio of water-to-cementitious material (w/cm) was 0.49 for all mixtures, except Mix3. The

1 w/cm ratio of Mix3 was 0.46 for similar workability characteristics. This could be explained by
2 the smooth surface texture and spherical shape of v-HDPE⁶⁸(Fig. 3).

3

4 The type of PE aggregate had no significant influence on workability of SCC, so it can be
5 concluded that addition of virgin/recycled LDPE and HDPE has no significant level of negative
6 effect on the fresh properties of SCC when they were used as partial aggregate replacement
7 from workability points of view.

8 **Hardened concrete properties**

9 ***Mechanical properties***

10 The compressive strength and flexural strength test results were given in **Table 5**. As it was
11 expected, PE aggregate substitution reduced the compressive strength, except Mix3,
12 especially at earlier ages (7 and 28 days), but the difference was compensated at 90 days. For
13 example, v-LDPE incorporated SCC mixture (Mix2) resulted in 37% strength reduction
14 compared to the reference mixture (Mix1) at 7 and 28 days. While at 90 days, the strength of
15 Mix2 was only slightly lower (20% in average) than the reference mixture. For all mixtures, PE
16 incorporation resulted in slight strength reduction ($\leq 20\%$) at 90 days age.

17

18 The reason for observing strength reduction in PE substituted mixtures could be the poor
19 mechanical bonding between the cement matrix and surface of PE, which was also reported
20 in other studies^{11,17,65,69}. Considering the larger size of PE aggregate used, the impact of low
21 surface energy of PE could be more pronounced in this study since low surface energy
22 materials are very difficult to bond. Furthermore, hydrophobic nature of PE could also restrict
23 the hydration of cement and hence contribute to strength reduction especially at earlier
24 ages^{12,65}.

25

1 The compressive strength of all SCC mixtures was increased with age as shown in **Fig. 4-a**. SCC
2 mixture containing v-HDPE (Mix3) showed slight increase in compressive strength (11% at 7
3 days and 14% at 28 days) compared to the reference mixture. The possible reason of this
4 behaviour can be explained by the lower w/cm ratio of Mix3 which was 0.46. Smoother
5 surface of v-HDPE seen from SEM image (**Fig. 3**) was the reason for a decrease in its w/cm
6 ratio while keeping the same workability measures as the remaining mixtures.

7
8 Moreover, the results of flexural strength tests at 7 and 28 days were presented in Table 5. All
9 SCC mixtures gained strength with age (**Fig. 4-b**). Like in compressive strength, reduction in
10 flexural strength was also observed in SCC mixtures containing PE aggregates compared to the
11 reference. The explanation reported for the decrease in compressive strength could be
12 extended for the reductions observed in flexural strength. The reduction is more pronounced
13 for SCC mixtures containing virgin PE aggregates than recycled ones. The results showed that
14 incorporation of v-LDPE and v-HDPE decreased the flexural strength considerably (>30%) at 7
15 days, while at 28 days, flexural strength was only slightly lower (<20%) than the reference.
16 Comparable flexural strength values were measured in SCC mixtures containing recycled PE
17 aggregates. This was probably due to the cylindrical shape of recycled PE with length of 3.0
18 mm (0.118 in.) for r-LDPE and 3.5 mm (0.138 in.) for r-HDPE. A recent study by Yang et al.⁶⁷
19 regarding the use of recycled PET particles as sand replacement in SCC also reported that
20 cylindrical shape can provide a bridging action and improve the toughness of concrete.
21 Hannawi et al.⁶⁹ exhibited that for elongated plastic aggregates, flexural strength is improved
22 with increasing plastic content. When considering the larger and cylindrical shape of recycled
23 PE aggregates, we can conclude that recycled PE used in this study more likely acted as fiber
24 reinforcement hence improved flexural strength compared to virgin PE aggregates. The length

1 difference between r-LDPE and r-HDPE was 0.5 mm (0.02 in.). Longer size of r-HDPE may also
2 be responsible for higher flexural strength of r-HDPE compared to r-LDPE. The influence of
3 shape and size of plastic aggregates on mechanical properties of cement based composites
4 have also been reported by some studies⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰.

5 ***Durability properties***

6 ***Permeability properties***

7 The water absorption, sorptivity and chloride ion permeability tests performed at 28 days
8 were reported for all SCC mixtures (**Table 5**). Water absorption values for all SCC mixtures
9 were in the range of 5.7-8.5% and indicated low water absorption characteristic (less than
10 10%) which is in agreement with other SCC studies containing mineral admixtures^{71,72}. As seen
11 in **Table 5**, the water absorption capacity, namely permeable pore volume and the sorptivity
12 values of all SCC mixtures with PE were higher than the reference mixture, except Mix3.
13 Increase in total porosity with polymer addition was also reported by several studies^{3,17,65,73,74}.
14 The poor chemical bonding between PE and the cement matrix may lead to formation of micro
15 cavities in the interfacial transition zone which in turn is responsible for increase in porosity.
16 Excess gas trapped in the blend⁷⁵ due to uniform and large size of PE aggregates and
17 hydrophobicity of PE could have also contributed to the porosity increase in mixtures
18 containing PE. Contradictory to the above explanations, Mix3 showed lower permeable pore
19 volume than the reference. The reason for having lower permeable pore volume in Mix3 was
20 probably related with its lowest w/cm ratio as 0.46 among the all mixtures. The significant
21 influence of w/cm ratio in SCC mixtures were also reported in the literature⁷⁶. The reverse
22 relation between strength and total permeable pore volume was clearly seen in **Fig. 5**, which
23 also supports our previous statement. As well, for plastics with non/low-absorption
24 characteristics, high plastic contents are resulted in more free water which surrounds and
25 accumulates around the plastic aggregates and increases the voids and pores hence increases

1 the water absorption ^{15,67,77}. In Mix3, w/cm ratio was lowest and this may be the reason of its
2 lowest water absorption property among others.

3 As far as the sorptivity index was concerned, the reference mixture had the lowest sorptivity
4 with a sorptivity index of 131×10^{-4} mm/min^{1/2} (5.16×10^{-4} in./min^{1/2}). As seen from **Table 5**,
5 incorporation of PE, increased the sorptivity of the SCC mixtures (Mix2-5) about three times
6 higher than the reference. This indicated that incorporation of PE as aggregate replacement
7 has significant effect on the water sorptivity of SCC. The mechanism of increasing sorptivity
8 can be explained by larger, permeable and connected pores in the PE substituted mixtures.
9 However, further research should be conducted to establish the microstructure and the
10 porosity structure of SCC mixtures incorporating PE substitutes.

11

12 The total charge passed from each SCC mixtures during RCPT test was presented in **Table 5**.
13 Total charge passed was below 1000 Coulombs for all SCC mixtures, therefore all SCC mixtures
14 were rated as “very low” according to limits suggested by ASTM C1012⁶¹. The main factors
15 determining the resistance of SCC to chloride ion ingress are reported as binder type, binder
16 content and admixtures⁷⁸⁻⁸⁴. In the current study, as seen in **Table 3**, all these variables (i.e.
17 the amount of OPC, MSWI FA, silica fume and superplasticizer (SP)) were kept equal at each
18 SCC mixture. Moreover, as stated by Teruzzi et al.⁸⁵ the interfacial zone between fibers/PE
19 granules and cement paste may not represent a weak zone for ingress of detrimental agents.
20 Considering all of these we can conclude that, regardless of the type of PE, there was no
21 significant influence of partial PE aggregate substitution on the chloride permeability of SCC.

22 ***Sulfate resistance***

23 The results of the length measurement of sulfate exposed bars at specified periods were
24 illustrated in **Fig. 6**. Significant length change was measured for the reference mixture which

1 did not have any PE aggregate. Regardless of the type of PE aggregate, all mixtures
2 incorporating PE showed slight expansion under sulfate exposure conditions. The visual
3 examination of all SCC mixtures showed slight visible deterioration at the corners and edges,
4 but there were no clear difference between them. This emphasized that even with high
5 sorptivity index, mixtures containing PE were more stable and durable under sulfate exposure
6 conditions. Further research is needed to establish the influence of external sulfate exposure
7 on the microstructure and pore networking of PE incorporated SCC.

8 ***Microstructural properties***

9 The interfacial transition zone (ITZ) of the manufactured SCC mixtures and the cement paste
10 in the ITZ were investigated by SEM-EDX and selected SEM images are presented in **Fig.7-I** and
11 **Fig. 7-II**, respectively. As seen from the figure, the bonding between natural aggregate (N.A)
12 and the cement matrix (**Fig. 7(a-I)**) was stronger than the bonding between PE aggregate and
13 the cement matrix. The voids between PE aggregate and the cement matrix can be clearly
14 seen in **Fig. 7(b,d,e-I)**. The composition of calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and calcium
15 hydroxide (CH) could not be determined by SEM-EDX because of intermixing with other
16 phases (i.e. the elemental ratio of Ca, Si, Al were not found to differentiate the C-S-H and CH).
17 Therefore, the morphology was used to distinguish C-S-H and CH. The ITZ between natural
18 aggregate and the cement matrix was characterised by the presence of large and dense C-S-H
19 and CH crystals (**Fig. 7(a-II)**). While smaller CH crystals intermixed with C-S-H, unhydrated
20 cement and MSWI FA was observed in ITZ of PE incorporated mixtures. (**Fig. 7(b-e,II)**). Among
21 the SEM of PE incorporated SCC mixtures, C-S-H gel was only clearly seen in Mix3 (**Fig. 7(c-II)**).
22 This dense and compact structure of C-S-H gel observed in Mix3 agreed with its low water
23 absorption capacity and its higher strength, and hence confirmed our previous statemet and
24 highlighted the influence of w/cm ratio on the strength of SCC. Moreover, some hydation

1 products were observed on the surface of the PE aggregates (**Fig.7-I**). This indicated that there
2 is a chemical interaction between PE aggregate and the cement matrix.

3 **CONCLUSIONS**

4 An experimental study is carried out to investigate the effects of incorporating different type
5 of PE aggregates as partial aggregate replacement in SCC mixtures reinforced with micro-steel
6 fiber. Workability properties of SCC mixtures in the fresh state and, mechanical and durability
7 properties in the hardened state were discussed and the following conclusions were drawn
8 from the present study:

- 9
10 • The uniform and large size of PE aggregates only slightly reduced the workability
11 properties of SCC. The smooth surface texture and spherical shape of v-HDPE reduced
12 the water requirement in Mix3 while satisfying the required workability parameters.
13
- 14 • Incorporation of PE aggregate as partial aggregate replacement resulted in slight
15 reduction in compressive and flexural strength. The low bonding strength between the
16 PE surface and the cement matrix was the main reason for strength reduction
17 especially at earlier ages. The larger and uniform size of PE aggregate had also
18 contributed this strength reduction, as well as restricted hydration of cement due to
19 hydrophobic nature of PE especially at earlier ages.
20
- 21 • SCC mixtures incorporating recycled PE showed comparable flexural strength with the
22 reference at 28 days. The cylindrical shape of recycled PE provided a bridging action
23 and improved the toughness of SCC compared to virgin PE aggregates. This indicated
24 the importance of PE's shape and size on resulting mechanical properties.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- When evaluating the durability of SCC by its permeability properties as measured by absorption, sorptivity and rapid chloride permeability tests, partial substitution of natural aggregate with PE aggregates seemed to be beneficial and did not adversely affect the durability of SCC.
- In terms of the sulfate resistance, SCC mixtures were significantly durable under sulfate exposure when natural aggregate was partially replaced with PE.
- The microstructural analysis revealed a stronger adherence between the natural aggregate and the cement matrix, whereas voids in the ITZ confirmed the weak bonding between PE aggregates and the matrix.
- Observation of hydration products on the surface of PE aggregates indicated the presence of chemical interaction of PE with the cement matrix.
- However, further research on the microstructure and the porosity structure of SCC mixtures is desired to support the findings in this study.

From the above findings, it can be concluded that natural aggregate can be partially replaced with PE in SCC. There were no significant differences in fresh and hardened properties of SCC when different PE types used. Utilization of recycled PE can be more beneficial in terms of sustainability, conserving energy and reducing solid waste problem. Studies are currently underway to sense the distribution of stresses on the SCC composite beams under mechanical

1 loading and the results will be reported in future. Further studies are required to improve the
2 strength of PE incorporated SCC mixtures and for different sizes of the composite beams.

3 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

4 This publication was made possible by NPRP grant # 6-1010-2-413 from the Qatar National
5 Research Fund (a member of Qatar Foundation). The statements made herein are solely the
6 responsibility of the authors. The authors are grateful to the Keppel Sehgers and Doha Plastic
7 Company for providing access to their site, sharing their knowledge and providing waste
8 materials. The authors would like to thank Qatar Chemical Company (QCHEM) and Qatar
9 Petrochemical Company (QAPCO) for providing virgin polymer samples.

10 **REFERENCES**

- 11 1. Meyer C, "The greening of the concrete industry," *Cement and Concrete Composites*,
12 V. 31, No. 8. 2009, pp. 601-605.
- 13 2. Achtemichuk S, Hubbard J, Sluce R, Shehata MH, "The utilization of recycled concrete
14 aggregate to produce controlled low-strength materials without using Portland cement,"
15 *Cement and Concrete Composites*, V. 31, No. 8. 2009, pp. 564-569.
- 16 3. Siddique R, Khatib J, Kaur I, "Use of recycled plastic in concrete: A review," *Waste*
17 *Management*, V. 28, No. 10. 2008, pp. 1835-1852.
- 18 4. de Brito J, Saikia N, "Chapter 2: Industrial Waste Aggregates," *Book Chapter 2:*
19 *Industrial Waste Aggregates*, 54, Editor, ed. eds., City, 2013, pp. 23-80.
- 20 5. de Gutiérrez RM, Díaz LN, Delvasto S, "Effect of pozzolans on the performance of fiber-
21 reinforced mortars," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, V. 27, No. 5. 2005, pp. 593-598.
- 22 6. Chung DDL, "Use of polymers for cement-based structural materials," *Journal of*
23 *Materials Science*, V. 39, No. 9. 2004, pp. 2973-2978.

- 1 7. Miller M, "Polymers in Cementitious Materials." Shrewsbury, GBR: Smithers Rapra;
2 2005.
- 3 8. Siddique R, "Waste materials and by-products in concrete." 2008.
- 4 9. Mesbah HA, Buyle-Bodin F, "Efficiency of polypropylene and metallic fibres on control
5 of shrinkage and cracking of recycled aggregate mortars," *Construction and Building Materials*,
6 V. 13, No. 8. 1999, pp. 439-447.
- 7 10. Bagherzadeh R, Sadeghi AH, Latifi M, "Utilizing polypropylene fibers to improve
8 physical and mechanical properties of concrete," *Textile Research Journal*, V. 82, No. 1. 2012,
9 pp. 88-96.
- 10 11. Naik TR, Singh SS, Huber CO, Brodersen BS, "Use of post-consumer waste plastics in
11 cement-based composites," *Cement and Concrete Research*, V. 26, No. 10. 1996, pp. 1489-
12 1492.
- 13 12. Rivas-Vázquez LP, Suárez-Orduña R, Valera-Zaragoza M, Máas-Díaz ADLL, Ramírez-
14 Vargas E, "Preparation and mechanical properties of polyethylene-Portland cement
15 composites," *Book Preparation and mechanical properties of polyethylene-Portland cement*
16 *composites*, 1242, Editor, ed.^eds., City, 2010, pp. 179-184.
- 17 13. Jiménez FJ, Téllez L, Valenzuela MA, Lee SW, Balmori H, "Effect of waste polyethylene
18 addition on the properties of white portland cement," *Journal of Ceramic Processing Research*,
19 V. 11, No. 2. 2010, pp. 281-285.
- 20 14. Akçaözoğlu S, Atiş CD, Akçaözoğlu K, "An investigation on the use of shredded waste
21 PET bottles as aggregate in lightweight concrete," *Waste Management*, V. 30, No. 2. 2010, pp.
22 285-290.

- 1 15. Albano C, Camacho N, Hernández M, Matheus A, Gutiérrez A, "Influence of content
2 and particle size of waste pet bottles on concrete behavior at different w/c ratios," Waste
3 Management, V. 29, No. 10. 2009, pp. 2707-2716.
- 4 16. Choi YW, Moon DJ, Chung JS, Cho SK, "Effects of waste PET bottles aggregate on the
5 properties of concrete," Cement and Concrete Research, V. 35, No. 4. 2005, pp. 776-781.
- 6 17. Frigione M, "Recycling of PET bottles as fine aggregate in concrete," Waste
7 Management, V. 30, No. 6. 2010, pp. 1101-1106.
- 8 18. Safi B, Saidi M, Aboutaleb D, Maallem M, "The use of plastic waste as fine aggregate in
9 the self-compacting mortars: Effect on physical and mechanical properties," Construction and
10 Building Materials, V. 43. 2013, pp. 436-442.
- 11 19. Al-Maaded M, Madi NK, Kahraman R, Hodzic A, Ozerkan NG, "An Overview of Solid
12 Waste Management and Plastic Recycling in Qatar," Journal of Polymers and the Environment,
13 V. 20, No. 1. 2012, pp. 186-194.
- 14 20. GPCA, "GCC Plastic Industry Indicators," Gulf Petrochemicals and Chemicals
15 Association. 2015.
- 16 21. Imbabi MS, Carrigan C, McKenna S, "Trends and developments in green cement and
17 concrete technology," International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment, V. 1, No. 2.
18 2012, pp. 194-216.
- 19 22. Al-Ansary M, Iyengar SR, "Physiochemical characterization of coarse aggregates in
20 Qatar for construction industry," International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment, V. 2,
21 No. 1. 2013, pp. 27-40.
- 22 23. QCS, "The Qatar National Construction Standards," Qatar General Organization for
23 Standards and Metrology, Qatar. 2007.

- 1 24. Al-Ansary M, Pöppelreiter MC, Al-Jabry A, Iyengar SR, "Geological and physiochemical
2 characterisation of construction sands in Qatar," International Journal of Sustainable Built
3 Environment, V. 1, No. 1. 2012, pp. 64-84.
- 4 25. Worrell E, Price L, Martin N, Hendriks C, Meida LO, "Carbon dioxide emissions from the
5 global cement industry," Annual Review of Energy and the Environment, V. 26. 2001, pp. 303-
6 329.
- 7 26. Okamura H, Ouchi M, "Self-Compacting Concrete," Journal of Advanced Concrete
8 Technology, V. 1, No. 1. 2003, pp. 5-15.
- 9 27. Uysal M, Yilmaz K, "Effect of mineral admixtures on properties of self-compacting
10 concrete," Cement and Concrete Composites, V. 33, No. 7. 2011, pp. 771-776.
- 11 28. Vejmelková E, Keppert M, Grzeszczyk S, Skaliński B, Černý R, "Properties of self-
12 compacting concrete mixtures containing metakaolin and blast furnace slag," Construction
13 and Building Materials, V. 25, No. 3. 2011, pp. 1325-1331.
- 14 29. Şahmaran M, Christianto HA, Yaman IO, "The effect of chemical admixtures and
15 mineral additives on the properties of self-compacting mortars," Cement and Concrete
16 Composites, V. 28, No. 5. 2006, pp. 432-440.
- 17 30. Uysal M, Sumer M, "Performance of self-compacting concrete containing different
18 mineral admixtures," Construction and Building Materials, V. 25, No. 11. 2011, pp. 4112-4120.
- 19 31. Corinaldesi V, Moriconi G, "The role of industrial by-products in self-compacting
20 concrete," Construction and Building Materials, V. 25, No. 8. 2011, pp. 3181-3186.
- 21 32. Gesoğlu M, Güneyisi E, Özbay E, "Properties of self-compacting concretes made with
22 binary, ternary, and quaternary cementitious blends of fly ash, blast furnace slag, and silica
23 fume," Construction and Building Materials, V. 23, No. 5. 2009, pp. 1847-1854.

- 1 33. Collepardi S, Collepardi M, Iannis G, Quadrio Curzio A, "SCC with ground bottom ash
2 from municipal solid wastes incinerators," Book SCC with ground bottom ash from municipal
3 solid wastes incinerators, Editor, ed. eds., City, 2012, pp. 453-464.
- 4 34. Hamernik JD, Frantz GC, "Physical and chemical properties of municipal solid waste fly
5 ash," ACI Materials Journal, V. 88, No. 3. 1991, pp. 294-301.
- 6 35. Aubert JE, Husson B, Vaquier A, "Use of municipal solid waste incineration fly ash in
7 concrete," Cement and Concrete Research, V. 34, No. 6. 2004, pp. 957-963.
- 8 36. del Valle-Zermeño R, Formosa J, Chimenos JM, Martínez M, Fernández AI, "Aggregate
9 material formulated with MSWI bottom ash and APC fly ash for use as secondary building
10 material," Waste Management, V. 33, No. 3. 2013, pp. 621-627.
- 11 37. Ferreira C, Ribeiro A, Ottosen L, "Possible applications for municipal solid waste fly
12 ash," Journal of Hazardous Materials, V. 96, No. 2-3. 2003, pp. 201-216.
- 13 38. Gao X, Wang W, Ye T, Wang F, Lan Y, "Utilization of washed MSWI fly ash as partial
14 cement substitute with the addition of dithiocarbamic chelate," Journal of Environmental
15 Management, V. 88, No. 2. 2008, pp. 293-299.
- 16 39. Ginés O, Chimenos JM, Vizcarro A, Formosa J, Rosell JR, "Combined use of MSWI
17 bottom ash and fly ash as aggregate in concrete formulation: Environmental and mechanical
18 considerations," Journal of Hazardous Materials, V. 169, No. 1-3. 2009, pp. 643-650.
- 19 40. Goh CC, Show KY, Cheong HK, "Municipal solid waste fly ash as a blended cement
20 material," Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, V. 15, No. 6. 2003, pp. 513-523.
- 21 41. Guo X, Shi H, Hu W, Wu K, "Durability and microstructure of CSA cement-based
22 materials from MSWI fly ash," Cement and Concrete Composites, V. 46. 2014, pp. 26-31.

- 1 42. Lam CHK, Barford JP, McKay G, "Utilization of municipal solid waste incineration ash in
2 Portland cement clinker," *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, V. 13, No. 4. 2011,
3 pp. 607-615.
- 4 43. Lam CHK, Ip AWM, Barford JP, McKay G, "Use of incineration MSW ash: A review,"
5 *Sustainability*, V. 2, No. 7. 2010, pp. 1943-1968.
- 6 44. Pan JR, Huang C, Kuo JJ, Lin SH, "Recycling MSWI bottom and fly ash as raw materials
7 for Portland cement," *Waste Management*, V. 28, No. 7. 2008, pp. 1113-1118.
- 8 45. Tang P, Florea MVA, Spiesz P, Brouwers HJH, "Characteristics and application potential
9 of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) bottom ashes from two waste-to-energy plants,"
10 *Construction and Building Materials*, V. 83. 2015, pp. 77-94.
- 11 46. Tyrer M, "Municipal solid waste incinerator (MSWI) concrete," *Eco-Efficient Concrete*,
12 2013, pp. 273-310.
- 13 47. DSWMC personal communication.
- 14 48. ASTM C311/C311M-13, "Standard Test Methods for Sampling and Testing Fly Ash or
15 Natural Pozzolans for Use in Portland-Cement Concrete," ASTM International, West
16 Conshohocken, PA. 2013.
- 17 49. ASTM C114-15, "Standard Test Methods for Chemical Analysis of Hydraulic Cement,"
18 ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2015.
- 19 50. ASTM C204-11e1, "Standard Test Methods for Fineness of Hydraulic Cement by Air-
20 Permeability Apparatus," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2011.
- 21 51. ASTM C618-12a, "Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural
22 Pozzolan for Use in Concrete," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2012.

- 1 52. Ozerkan NG, Tokgoz DDG, Kowita OS, Antony SJ, "Assessments of the Microstructural
2 and Mechanical Properties of Hybrid Fibrous Self-Consolidating Concretes using Ingredients
3 of Plastic Wastes," Nature Environment and Pollution Technology. (in press) 2016.
- 4 53. EFNARC, "The European Guidelines for Self-consolidating Concrete," European
5 Federation of National Associations Representing producers and applicators of specialist
6 building products for Concrete (EFNARC), Farnham, Surrey, United Kingdom
7 2005.
- 8 54. EFNARC, "Specification and Guidelines for Self-consolidating Concrete," European
9 Federation of National Associations Representing producers and applicators of specialist
10 building products for Concrete (EFNARC), Farnham, Surrey, United Kingdom. 2002.
- 11 55. Khayat KH, "Workability, testing, and performance of self-consolidating concrete," ACI
12 Materials Journal, V. 96, No. 3. 1999, pp. 346-353.
- 13 56. Sahmaran M, Yurtseven A, Ozgur Yaman I, "Workability of hybrid fiber reinforced self-
14 compacting concrete," Building and Environment, V. 40, No. 12. 2005, pp. 1672-1677.
- 15 57. ASTM C39/C39M-14a, "Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical
16 Concrete Specimens," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2014.
- 17 58. ASTM C293/C293M-10, "Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using
18 Simple Beam With Center-Point Loading)," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
19 2010.
- 20 59. ASTM C642-13, "Standard Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened
21 Concrete," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2013.
- 22 60. ASTM C1585-13, "Standard Test Method for Measurement of Rate of Absorption of
23 Water by Hydraulic-Cement Concretes," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2013.

- 1 61. ASTM C1202-12, "Standard Test Method for Electrical Indication of Concrete's Ability
2 to Resist Chloride Ion Penetration," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2012.
- 3 62. ASTM C1012/C1012M-13, "Standard Test Method for Length Change of Hydraulic-
4 Cement Mortars Exposed to a Sulfate Solution," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
5 2013.
- 6 63. ACI, "Self-consolidating Concrete ACI 237R-07.," Farmington Hills, Michigan, United
7 States of America. 2007.
- 8 64. JSCE, "Japanese Society of Civil Engineers: Standard Specifications for Concrete
9 Structure-material and Construction. In: JSCE Guidelines for Concrete No.16," Tokyo, Japan.
10 2007.
- 11 65. Ismail ZZ, Al-Hashmi EA, "Use of waste plastic in concrete mixture as aggregate
12 replacement," Waste Management, V. 28, No. 11. 2008, pp. 2041-2047.
- 13 66. Batayneh M, Marie I, Asi I, "Use of selected waste materials in concrete mixes," Waste
14 Management, V. 27, No. 12. 2007, pp. 1870-1876.
- 15 67. Yang S, Yue X, Liu X, Tong Y, "Properties of self-compacting lightweight concrete
16 containing recycled plastic particles," Construction and Building Materials, V. 84. 2015, pp.
17 444-453.
- 18 68. Saikia N, de Brito J, "Mechanical properties and abrasion behaviour of concrete
19 containing shredded PET bottle waste as a partial substitution of natural aggregate,"
20 Construction and Building Materials, V. 52, No. 0. 2014, pp. 236-244.
- 21 69. Hannawi K, Kamali-Bernard S, Prince W, "Physical and mechanical properties of
22 mortars containing PET and PC waste aggregates," Waste Management, V. 30, No. 11. 2010,
23 pp. 2312-2320.

- 1 70. Marzouk OY, Dheilily RM, Queneudec M, "Valorization of post-consumer waste plastic
2 in cementitious concrete composites," *Waste Management*, V. 27, No. 2. 2007, pp. 310-318.
- 3 71. Şahmaran M, Yaman IO, Tokyay M, "Transport and mechanical properties of self
4 consolidating concrete with high volume fly ash," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, V. 31,
5 No. 2. 2009, pp. 99-106.
- 6 72. Siddique R, "Compressive strength, water absorption, sorptivity, abrasion resistance
7 and permeability of self-compacting concrete containing coal bottom ash," *Construction and
8 Building Materials*, V. 47. 2013, pp. 1444-1450.
- 9 73. Saikia N, De Brito J, "Waste polyethylene terephthalate as an aggregate in concrete,"
10 *Materials Research*, V. 16, No. 2. 2013, pp. 341-350.
- 11 74. Iucolano F, Liguori B, Caputo D, Colangelo F, Cioffi R, "Recycled plastic aggregate in
12 mortars composition: Effect on physical and mechanical properties," *Materials & Design*, V.
13 52, No. 0. 2013, pp. 916-922.
- 14 75. Corinaldesi V, Mazzoli A, Moriconi G, "Mechanical behaviour and thermal conductivity
15 of mortars containing waste rubber particles," *Materials and Design*, V. 32, No. 3. 2011, pp.
16 1646-1650.
- 17 76. Felekoğlu B, Türkel S, Baradan B, "Effect of water/cement ratio on the fresh and
18 hardened properties of self-compacting concrete," *Building and Environment*, V. 42, No. 4.
19 2007, pp. 1795-1802.
- 20 77. Saikia N, de Brito J, "Use of plastic waste as aggregate in cement mortar and concrete
21 preparation: A review," *Construction and Building Materials*, V. 34, No. 0. 2012, pp. 385-401.
- 22 78. Leung HY, Kim J, Nadeem A, Jaganathan J, Anwar MP, "Sorptivity of self-compacting
23 concrete containing fly ash and silica fume," *Construction and Building Materials*, V. 113. 2016,
24 pp. 369-375.

- 1 79. Sonebi M, Nanukuttan S, "Transport properties of self-consolidating concrete," ACI
2 Materials Journal, V. 106, No. 2. 2009, pp. 161-166.
- 3 80. Wongkeo W, Thongsanitgarn P, Ngamjarrojana A, Chaipanich A, "Compressive
4 strength and chloride resistance of self-compacting concrete containing high level fly ash and
5 silica fume," Materials and Design, V. 64. 2014, pp. 261-269.
- 6 81. Zhu W, Bartos PJM, "Permeation properties of self-compacting concrete," Cement and
7 Concrete Research, V. 33, No. 6. 2003, pp. 921-926.
- 8 82. Güneyisi E, Gesoğlu M, "A study on durability properties of high-performance
9 concretes incorporating high replacement levels of slag," Materials and Structures/Materiaux
10 et Constructions, V. 41, No. 3. 2008, pp. 479-493.
- 11 83. Güneyisi E, Gesoglu M, Özbay E, "Permeation properties of self-consolidating
12 concretes with mineral admixtures," ACI Materials Journal, V. 108, No. 2. 2011, pp. 150-158.
- 13 84. Uysal M, Yilmaz K, Ipek M, "The effect of mineral admixtures on mechanical properties,
14 chloride ion permeability and impermeability of self-compacting concrete," Construction and
15 Building Materials, V. 27, No. 1. 2012, pp. 263-270.
- 16 85. Teruzzi T, Cadoni E, Frigeri G, Cangiano S, Plizzari GA, "Durability aspects of steel fibre
17 reinforced concrete," 6th RILEM Symposium on Fibre-Reinforced Concretes. 2004, pp. 625–
18 634.

19

20

TABLES AND FIGURES

21 **List of Tables:**

22 **Table 1 – Chemical composition and physical properties of the Portland cement, MSWI fly**
23 **ash and silica fume**

24 **Table 2 – Properties of polyethylene aggregates**

25 **Table 3 – Mixture proportions of SCC**

26 **Table 4 – Fresh properties of the SCC mixtures**

27 **Table 5 – Hardened properties of the SCC mixtures**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

List of Figures:

- Fig. 1** – Particle size distribution of OPC and MSWI fly ash.
- Fig. 2** – SEM image of MSWI fly ash.
- Fig. 3** – Particle shape and size of PE aggregates used in this study and their surface texture (a) virgin LDPE, (b) virgin HDPE, (c) recycled LDPE and (d) recycled HDPE.
- Fig. 4** – Strength development with curing age (a) compressive strength and (b) flexural strength.
- Fig. 5** – Relationship between compressive strength and permeable porosity.
- Fig. 6** – Length change under sulfate exposure.
- Fig. 7** – SEM images of ITZ of SCC mixtures at magnification x2,000 (a-I) Mix1, (b-I) Mix2, (c-I) Mix3, (d-1) Mix4, (e-I) Mix5 and the cement paste in the ITZ at magnification x20,000 (a-II) Mix1, (b-II) Mix2, (c-II) Mix3, (d-II) Mix4, and (e-II) Mix5.

Table 1– Chemical composition and physical properties of the Portland cement, MSWI fly ash and silica fume

Chemical Composition	OPC	MSWI FA	Silica Fume
CaO (%)	64.95	45.0	1.05
SiO ₂ (%)	21.92	1.89	89.5
Al ₂ O ₃ (%)	4.32	0.784	0.32
Fe ₂ O ₃ (%)	3.78	0.601	0.38
MgO (%)	2.16	0.552	0.1
SO ₃ (%)	2.08	8.67	0.1
Alkalies (Na ₂ O+ 0.658 K ₂ O) (%)	0.68	18.3	-
Loss on Ignition (%)	1.00	1.9	2.3

Insoluble Residue (%)	0.68	1.06	1.0
Physical Properties			
Specific Gravity	3.09	2.25	2.01
Blaine Fineness (cm ² /g)	3527	-	-

1 – = not measured items

2 Notes: 1 cm²/g = 4.39 in.²/oz.

3

4 **Table 2 – Properties of polyethylene aggregates**

	Polyethylene Type			
	v-LDPE	v-HDPE	r-LDPE	r-HDPE
Density (g/cm ³)	0.917	0.926	0.899	0.919
Load at Maximum Load (N)	27.45	33.12	33.20	74.34
Tensile Strength (MPa)	12	12.6	12.5	25.22
Elastic Modulus (MPa)	206	414	293	672
% Total Elongation at Fracture	382	136	348	152

5 Notes: 1 g/cm³ = 0.578 oz/in.³; 1 N = 0.2248 lb; 1 MPa = 145 psi.

6

7 **Table 3 – Mixture proportions of SCC**

Mix ID	Mix Design Label	W/CM ^a	Ingredient (kg/m ³)								
			Water	OPC	Silica Fume	MSWI FA	Aggregate		SP	Fiber	
					Fine	Coarse	PE	Steel			
1	Control	0.49	196	320	40	40	1012.1	831.2	-	12	16.6
2	v-LDPE	0.49	196	320	40	40	874.8	718.4	71.8	12	14.4
3	v-HDPE	0.46	184	320	40	40	876.4	719.7	72	12	14.4

4	r-LDPE	0.49	196	320	40	40	871.8	716	71.6	12	14.3
5	r-HDPE	0.49	196	320	40	40	874.8	718.4	71.8	12	14.4

1 ^a CM: cementitious material (OPC+ Silica Fume+ MSWI FA)

2 Notes: 1 kg/m³ = 0.06243 lb/ft.³

3

4 **Table 4 – Fresh properties of the SCC mixtures**

Mix ID	Slump Flow		V-funnel flow time (s)
	D (mm)	T50 (s)	
1	670	2.39	5.47
2	595	2	7
3	595	2.26	7.01
4	615	3.14	7.11
5	615	2.36	6

5

Notes: 1 mm = 0.039 in.


6

7 **Table 5 – Hardened properties of the SCC mixtures**

Mix ID	Compressive strength			Flexural		Permeability Properties		
	(MPa)			strength (MPa)		Water	Sorptivity	RCPT
	7 days	28 days	90 days	7 days	28 days	absorption	index	(Coulombs)
						(%)	(mm/min ^{1/2})	
	7 days	28 days	90 days	7 days	28 days	28 days	28 days	28 days
1	17.5	24.8	32	8.5	9.4	6.8	0.0131	463
2	11.1	15.7	25.7	5.8	8.1	8.5	0.0323	460
3	19.4	28.2	31.3	5.5	7.4	5.7	0.0356	382

4	15.4	21.9	31.6	7.3	8.2	8.2	0.04545	470
5	15.5	22.9	26.7	7.5	10.2	7.8	0.03395	373


1 Notes: 1 MPa = 145 psi.; 1 mm/min^{1/2} = 0.039 in. /min^{1/2}.



2

3 Fig. 1 – Particle size distribution of OPC and MSWI fly ash. (Note: 1 mm = 0.039 in.)


4



5

1 Fig. 2 – SEM image of MSWI fly ash.

2




3

4 Fig. 3 – Particle shape and size of PE aggregates used in this study and their surface texture (a)


5 virgin LDPE, (b) virgin HDPE, (c) recycled LDPE and (d) recycled HDPE.

6




7


1 Fig. 4 – Strength development with curing age (a) compressive strength and (b) flexural
 2 strength. (Note: 1 MPa = 145 psi.)



3
 4 Fig. 5 – Relationship between compressive strength and permeable porosity. (Note: 1 MPa =
 5 145 psi.)



7
 8 Fig. 6 – Length change under sulfate exposure.



- 1 *Fig. 7 – SEM images of ITZ of SCC mixtures at magnification x2,000 (a-I) Mix1, (b-I) Mix2, (c-I) Mix3, (d-1) Mix4, (e-I) Mix5 and the cement paste in the ITZ at magnification x20,000 (a-II) Mix1, (b-II) Mix2, (c-II) Mix3, (d-II) Mix4, and (e-II) Mix5. (Note: N.A is natural aggregate).*