

This is a repository copy of Financial Reporting and Cultural History: a contribution.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/99389/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Maltby, J.A. (2017) Financial Reporting and Cultural History: a contribution. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 30 (2). pp. 472-474. ISSN 0951-3574

https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-04-2016-2519

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal



Financial Reporting and Cultural History: a contribution

Journal:	Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal
Manuscript ID	AAAJ-04-2016-2519
Manuscript Type:	Poetry, Prose, Literature and Insights
Keywords:	Financial reporting, social responsibility



1	
1	
2	
3	
4	Financial Reporting and Cultural History: a contribution
5	Finalicial Reporting and Cultural History. a contribution
6	
7	
8	Josephine Maltby
9	. ,
10	
11	
	Sheffield University Management School
12	
13	
14	j.maltby@sheffield.ac.uk
15	Jinanoy@Shenicia.ac.ak
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
39	
40	
41 42	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51 52	
53	
54	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

10n the 4th of July, 1806 We set sail from the sweet cove of Cork We were sailing away with a cargo of bricks For the Grand City Hall in New York 2 'Twas a wonderful craft, she was rigged fore and aft And oh, how the wild wind drove her She stood several blasts, she had twenty seven masts And they called her The Irish Rover 3 We had one million bags of the best Sligo rags We had two million barrels of stone We had three million bales of old nanny-goats' tails We had four million barrels of bones 4 We had five million hogs and six million dogs Seven million barrels of porter We had eight million sides of old blind horses hides In the hold of the Irish Rover 5 There was awl Mickey Coote who played hard on his flute When the ladies lined up for a set He was tootin' with skill for each sparkling guadrille

Though the dancers were fluther'd and bet

2	
2 3	6 With his smart witty talk, he was cock of the walk
4	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
5	And he rolled the dames under and over
6	
7 8	They all knew at a glance when he took up his stance
9	
10	That he sailed in <i>The Irish Rover</i>
11	
12	
13	7 There was Barney McGee from the banks of the Lee
14 15	
16	There was Hogan from County Tyrone
17	There was habren McCurk who was seared stiff of work
18	There was Johnny McGurk who was scared stiff of work
19	And a man from Westmeath called Malone
20	And a main nom westmeath called Malone
21 22	
23	8 There was Slugger O'Toole who was drunk as a rule
24	
25	And Fighting Bill Treacy from Dover
26	
27 28	And your man, Mick MacCann from the banks of the Bann
29	
30	Was the skipper of the Irish Rover
31	
32	
33	9 For a sailor it's always a bother in life
34 35	
36	It's so lonesome by night and by day
37	Til be lounch for the chore and this chorming young where
38	'Til he launch for the shore and this charming young whore
39	Who will malt all his troubles away
40	Who will melt all his troubles away
41 42	
43	10 All the noise and the rout, swilling poitín and stout
44	le fai die helee and die fead, en hing pelan and elear
45	For him soon the torment's over
46	
47 48	Of the love of a maid he's never afraid
40 49	
50	An old sot from the <i>Irish Rover</i>
51	
52	
53	

11We had sailed seven years when the measles broke out And the ship lost its way in the fog And that whale of a crew was reduced down to two Just myself and the Captain's old dog

12 Then the ship struck a rock, oh Lord, what a shock The bulkhead was turned right over Turned nine times around and the poor old dog was drowned I'm the last of *The Irish Rover*

The Irish Rover (IR) has enjoyed long popularity as a piece of traditional music, covered by numerous musicians. More than 20 recordings since 1960 are identified in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Irish_Rover#Cultural_impact. There has been, despite this high profile, little exploration of the song's significance in Irish history. In particular, its unique status as a piece of financial reporting has attracted no attention. This paper is intended to open up an understanding of the song's contribution and stimulate a wider exploration of the links between culture and financial reporting.

There are a number of different texts available for Irish Rover (IR) and the version above is based on a very full one, as performed by The Dubliners and the Pogues at a high-profile concert in 1987, to be found on

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=au30c9ZMIPg

This includes the controversial verses 9 and 10 that are normally omitted. It also employs some readings which may be challenged. These editing decisions will be discussed below.

IR is normally received as the narrative of an Irish commercial voyage in the early 19thc. The ship and the crew are described in some detail, and the song ends with the failure of the trip, a train of events comparable with e.g. *Greenland Whale Fisheries*¹ and *The Good Ship Calabar*². What is distinctive in its form and content are the choice of detail, and the attention paid to the governance, and to the material and personal resources used in the shipping project. *IR* is, I will argue, a very early financial report. The term 'report' is chosen advisedly. The song is not a financial statement - there are no monetary values invoked – but it is the account of an economic project.

IR begins with a date –'4th of July, 1806'- and with a clear mission statement-'(transporting) a cargo of bricks/For the Grand City Hall in New York'. 1 July might have been expected as a start date (with a 30 June year-end) but sea conditions even at that time of year may have delayed departure, or pressure on harbour resources in Cork may also be implicated. The redesign of City Hall in New York was a project begun in 1802 but delayed by spending cuts and arguments between the developer and the city council, as well as an outbreak of yellow fever among the site staff (<u>http://www.nyc.gov/html/dcas/html/about/man_cityhall.shtml</u>) The building was completed only in 1811. Hence the 1806 voyage was potentially a timely delivery of materials.

The song immediately identifies the non-current asset, the ship IR itself. Its language is more emotionally coloured than that of a modern financial report ('a wonderful

¹ He capsized the boat and we lost five men

And we did not catch the whale, brave boys, we did not catch the whale (trad)

² No more I'll be a sail-i-ar or sail the raging main

And the next time I go to Portadown, I'll go by the bloody train (trad)

craft') but gives enough detail to identify the ship and suggest that it had already been sailed on a challenging route like the Atlantic crossing. (This may be a hint at the outcome of the trip).

Verses 3 and 4 are a very detailed statement of inventory. The text may be corrupted here: the quantities of cargo given generally seem very high, and there may be some confusion between 'million' and the Gaelic míle which = thousand. But the disclosure of the use of recycled materials 'old nanny-goats' tails... blind horses' hides' is striking for the period and will be explored below³.

Verses 5 to 8 are more challenging. Where 2-4 are plainly a statement of financial position, this part of the song can be read in a number of ways. Obviously, it can be seen as a listing of directors, beginning with Mickey Coote, the senior director (hence described as 'awl' or old), who would now be termed the chairman, and finishing with the CEO or 'captain'. The long and at first sight irrelevant description of Coote's talents as a musician make sense as a personal portrait, designed to show him as credible and attractive leader. And like the recycling activities in 3-4, they have social relevance: from the top down, the IR has a commitment to national culture in the form of Irish dance. The other directors are covered much more briefly, though clearly the non-executives ('Johnny McGurk who was scared stiff of work... Slugger O'Toole who was drunk as a rule') are identified.

But there is another element to these verses. They are about inclusiveness. The directors are all from different places: the counties of Cork ('the banks of the Lee'), Tyrone and West Meath are identified. The 'banks of the Bann' may refer either to

³ It is possible that the puzzling 'Sligo rags' are also recycled material – a reuse of the cloths traditionally hung at holy wells as a form of prayer. See http://www.holywellsligo.com/

Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal

the Wexford river of that name or the Ulster river that flows through Down and Armagh. This vagueness may be deliberate. The IR finds a role for men from all over the island of Ireland, and for Treacy, an Englishman. And it contains a variety of personalities – the lively though elderly musician, Coote, but also the problem drinker O'Toole, the aggressive Treacy, and the emotionally damaged McGurk have their roles to play. This is a very positive statement about human relations management.

But a third interpretation is possible, and more challenging. Coote's relationship with women is highlighted. The vocabulary used in describing the sessions where he presides– dancers who are 'fluthered and bet', i.e. drunk and tired, the 'under and over' positions, and particularly the references to 'cock' and 'flute' –suggest a strong sexual undercurrent. This can be linked with verses 9 and 10 which have, as noted above, often been removed for performance purposes. It is clear that they are not acceptable for a 21st-century reader or audience. Explicitly they admit that the senior team are using alcohol and paid-for sex to relax, and treat this as a normal part of masculine behaviour. It may be that the report is implicitly recognising the tension between these two versions of gender relations; but the material available here does not allow a detailed exploration of the issue.

Finally, in 11 and 12, IR makes a statement of the outcome of the project. Immediately, for the modern reader, the long reporting period- seven years-is surprising. But prior to the 1844 Companies Act there was no legislative requirement for <u>annual</u> reports; and indeed an account 12 months into the 7-year voyage would not have been informative for the investor or other stakeholders. The disclosure made is again, lacking in monetary data, but identifies a number of factors affecting performance – illness on board, navigational failure, senior staff wastage and finally

a massive accident – that meant that the voyage was no longer viable. The ship had ceased, literally, to be a going concern.

This has been a brief study, fittingly, of a brief report. It has identified issues that deserve more attention- gender relations, the policy of inclusivity that may have foundered on the regional and national tensions in the team. And the economics of the failure need to be explored. Was the ship carrying too much miscellaneous stock for what was essentially a mission to deliver bricks?

But above all, what has IR to tell us about the birth and development of modern financial reporting? In its inexplicable methods of valuation, its preference for narrative over quantifiable, auditable disclosure, its random deployment of references to environmental and social issues, its depiction of a management team that alleges personal pressures as an excuse for long-term failure, IR, I argue, was a financial report setting standards for the generations to come.