



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Fruit and vegetables liking among European elderly according to food preferences, attitudes towards food and dependency*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/94244/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mingioni, M, Mehinagic, E, Laguna Cruañes, L et al. (11 more authors) (2016) Fruit and vegetables liking among European elderly according to food preferences, attitudes towards food and dependency. *Food Quality and Preference*, 50. pp. 27-37. ISSN 0950-3293

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.01.003>

© 2016. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Fruit and vegetables liking among European elderly according to food preferences, attitudes towards food and dependency

M. Mingioni¹, E. Mehinagic¹, L. Laguna⁶, A. Sarkar⁶, T. Pirttijärvi⁵, V. Vanwymelbeke⁷, G. Artigas², J. Chen³, H. Kautola⁵, E. Järvenpää⁴, T. Mäenpää⁵, R. Tahvonen⁴, I. Grabska-Kobylecka⁸, I. Maitre¹

¹ LUNAM Université, SFR 4207 QUASAV, Groupe ESA, UPSP GRAPPE, 55 rue Rabelais BP 30748, F-49007 Angers Cedex 01, France

² Emporhotel, AIE, C/Hospital, 27, 2on, 1a, 17230 Palamós, Spain

³ School of Food Science and Bioengineering, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018, China

⁴ MTT, Agrifood Research Finland, FI-31600 Jokioinen, Finland

⁵ Häme University of Applied Sciences, Visamäentie 35A, 13100 Hämeenlinna, Finland

⁶ School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, the UK

⁷ CHU, Service de Médecine Interne Gériatrie Champmaillot CHU Dijon – Unité de Recherche Bat Rez de Chaussée, 2 rue Jules Violle, 21000 Dijon, France

⁸ Experimental and Clinical Physiology Department, 6/8 Mazowiecka str. 92-216 Łódź. Medical University of Łódź, Poland

Corresponding author: i.maitre@groupe-esa.com

Keywords

Cross-cultural, eating style, food dependent, dislike, selectivity, Health and Taste Attitude Scales

Abstract

As the population ages and dependency for food-related activities increases, it becomes crucial to better understand food expectations of elderly consumers. Fruit and vegetables (F&V) are generally appreciated by elderly people. However, few studies have investigated elderly peoples' F&V liking, taking into account their dependency and countries' specificities. The present study aims to identify the liking of F&V, eating styles and food selectivity depending on the country of residence and levels of dependency. A European survey was conducted with 420 elderly people delegating meal-related activities, living at home or in nursing homes. Based on general food preferences, three eating styles were identified. Results showed that F&V liking is a segmenting variable. Elderly people from the style 1 (n=145) do not really appreciate fruits nor desserts. On the contrary, elderly people from style 2 (n=121) are really fruit lovers. Finally, elderly people from style 3 (n=126) liked desserts, fruits, and even more vegetables. Results showed that elderly people were not selective towards F&V, even if there were some exceptions as exotic fruits (disliked by 19%) and fennel (disliked by 33%). Fruit and vegetables selectivity was significantly different between countries ($p < 0.001$), but not between men and women, or between categories ($p > 0.05$ in both cases). Selectivity for F&V was very variable and could reach 32 vegetables among 42 and 28 fruits among 34. The most selective participants were from Finland and the least ones, from the UK. These results can be used to design and/or adapt F&V-based products according to elderly consumers' liking, taking into account their country of origin and their dependency.

1 Introduction

2 In Europe, population is aging: between 2010 and 2060, the part of the population over 65
3 years will grow from 16 to 29%, and also for elderly people aged over 80 years old, rising
4 from 4 to 11% in the same time period (Eurostat, 2011; WHO, 2012). Beyond sociological,
5 psychological and physiological factors, elderly appetite and food intakes also vary with their
6 attitudes toward foods and preferences. Edfors and Westergren (2012) emphasized that:
7 *“Meeting the need for optimal nutritional status for older people living at home requires*
8 *knowledge of individual preferences and habits, from both their earlier and current lives”*.
9 That is why proposing innovative food solutions has to be more personalized, taking into
10 account elderly people’s liking. It is relatively easy to tailor food offers according to personal
11 preferences for autonomous elderly people. However, this is more difficult to achieve when
12 elderly people become more dependent and delegate food related activities to caregivers
13 (purchasing, meal preparation, etc.), and very difficult in a collective context (more
14 dependent elderly people, living in nursing homes). Maitre et al. (2014) studied elderly
15 people with different levels of dependency (living at home with either no help, help for non-
16 food-related activities (cleaning, etc.), help for food-related activities (purchasing, cooking,
17 etc.) or living in nursing homes). They highlighted that more dependent elderly people (living
18 in nursing homes) were more selective and more at risk of malnutrition than autonomous
19 ones (living at home without help). In a collective context, selective elderly people are harder
20 to satisfy. Thus, a question can be raised: How could we characterise likes and dislikes of
21 dependent elderly people? No previous comparative study about food liking and preferences
22 has been carried out at the European level with different categories of dependency. No
23 specific questionnaire has been developed to measure selectivity for a specific type of food.
24 Aging is also associated with insufficient intake of proteins (Hébel, 2012; Rousset, Patureau
25 Mirand, Brandolini, Martin, & Boirie, 2003) and micronutrients (Elmadfa et al., 2009); which
26 can lead to sarcopenia (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010), frailty and dependency (Cederholm et al.,

27 2014; Raynaud-Simon & Lesourd, 2000). Some other studies deal with dependency,
28 highlighting the importance of food in this population group and the fact that the elderly are
29 more at-risk of malnutrition (D'Antoni, Sucher, & Coulston, 1996; Gollub & Weddle, 2004).

30 To fight against this phenomenon, enriched and nutritionally-dense foods were
31 conventionally developed for elderly people from functional and nutritional points of view. The
32 sensory quality of these products and the pleasure to consume them were not considered as
33 a priority in their development. The European project OPTIFEL (optimised foods for elderly
34 people) aims at tackling malnutrition by developing protein enriched products which are
35 nutritionally relevant for elderly people and well appreciated (and so, consumed with
36 pleasure). Studies concluded that maintaining pleasure while eating is positively associated
37 with a higher food intake or a better nutritional status (Lesourd, Raynaud-Simon, & Mathey,
38 2001; Maitre, 2014). Thus, it is important to choose appreciated products and to take into
39 account elderly people's liking. On the one hand, fruit and vegetables were chosen because
40 they are sources of vitamins, minerals and fibre (EUFIC, 2012). They are also a solution to
41 maintain a good level of hydration. A higher consumption of fruit and vegetables is correlated
42 to a lower risk of stroke (see He, Nowson, & MacGregor, 2006 for complete review). Fruit
43 and vegetables are also well appreciated (Baker & Wardle, 2003) and well consumed by the
44 elderly (Billson, Pryer, & Nichols, 1999; Juan & Lino, 2007; Monceau, Blanche-Barbat, &
45 Echampe, 2002). However, the consumptions still remain insufficient for elderly people all
46 over Europe (Elmadfa et al., 2009; Ruokatieto, 2015) and below the portion of 400g per day,
47 recommended by the WHO (WHO, 2007). Moreover, bibliographic data do not indicate which
48 fruit and vegetables are appreciated by elderly people. A better knowledge of fruit and
49 vegetables' liking will allow adapting the offers to elderly people's appreciations.

50 On the other hand, fruit and vegetables represent an important part of the diet. The texture of
51 processed fruits and vegetables can be adapted to chewing and swallowing problems of
52 dependent elderly people. Thus, fruit and vegetables can be a good vector for protein and
53 nutrient enrichments. A better knowledge of elderly people's fruits and vegetables liking

54 would reach two final objectives: 1) Better matching of fruit and vegetables with elderly
55 people's liking in order to increase fruit and vegetables consumption and 2) Help to propose
56 appreciated fruit-based and vegetable-based products, enriched in proteins and nutrients, in
57 order to reduce protein and nutrients deficiencies.

58 This study contributes to identify fruit and vegetables liking in dependent elderly people.
59 Despite studies on consumption frequency, to our knowledge, no previous study has
60 investigated elderly people's liking for specific fruits and vegetables, including questions like:
61 which fruit and vegetables are appreciated? How to cook/prepare vegetables for elderly
62 people?

63 The aim of the present paper is to study attitudes towards food, eating habits, and fruit and
64 vegetables likes and dislikes of elderly people with different levels of dependency (living at
65 home and delegating food purchasing, living at home and delegating meal preparation and
66 living in nursing homes, where everything is delegated). Then, this paper provides
67 recommendations about which fruit and vegetables are appreciated in Europe. Therefore
68 asking, can consensual products be found, according to country and dependency
69 differences? Are there more appreciated cooking methods for vegetables?

70 **2 Material and methods**

71 **2.1 Ethics**

72 All participants were volunteers and gave their written agreement by signing a consent form
73 to participate to the survey. Ethic approval was obtained from the Faculty Ethics Committee
74 at the University of Leeds (MEEC 13-019) for the UK. All the experimental procedures used
75 for the UK participants followed the rules and guidance set by the University of Leeds, the
76 UK.

77 **2.2 Participants**

78 In 2009, Elmadfa et al. divided Europe in four regions (North, Central East, West and South)
79 and showed that fruit and vegetables are more available in the South region. Thus, culture or
80 availability across Europe may affect fruit and vegetables liking. So, in our study, data was
81 collected from five countries to represent each region: Finland for North, Poland for Central
82 East, France and UK for West and Spain for South.

83 Participants were recruited following three inclusion criteria: the age, the level of food
84 dependency and the cognitive status. Regarding the age, elderly people between 65 and 98
85 years old were recruited (see Figure 1). Three categories of food dependency, inspired from
86 Sulmont-Rossé et al. (2015) were defined. The first category involved participants living at
87 their home and needing help for food purchasing. In the second category, participants lived
88 at home and needed help for meal preparation. Data from the Aupalesens survey showed
89 that a category including delegating meal preparation and meals-on-wheels was
90 homogenous enough to be significantly different from people needing help but not for food-
91 related tasks and from people living nursing homes. Thus people with meals-on-wheels were
92 also included in this category. The third category included elderly people living in nursing
93 homes, where food related activities (purchasing and preparation) were completely
94 delegated to the nursing homes staff. This category was considered as the most food
95 dependent one. People eating mixed-food were not included. Finally, three questions from
96 the Mini Mental State Examination test (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975) were used as
97 inclusion criterion (“What is the year?”, “What is the season?” and “What is the month?”).
98 Participants were required to have, at least, 2 out of 3 correct answers. A total of 420 Elderly
99 people were recruited and interviewed.

100 **2.2.1 Mini Mental State Examination**

101 Cognitive status of participants was assessed using the Mini Mental State Examination
102 (MMSE) questionnaire (Folstein et al., 1975). This questionnaire gathers 30 items, split in 6
103 dimensions: orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, language and motor
104 skills. Participants with scores strictly lower than 21 were excluded from final data analysis

105 (Laureati, Pagliarini, Calcinoni, & Bidoglio, 2006). This assures that participants were
106 cognitively able to answer questionnaires.

107 **2.2.2 Health and Taste Attitude Scales**

108 Attitudes towards foods were measured according to the Health and Taste Attitude Scales
109 (HTAS) questionnaire adapted from Roininen, Lähteenmäki, & Tuorila (1999). The 7-point
110 scale (from “disagree strongly” to “agree strongly”) originally developed on non-elderly
111 people, was adapted for elderly people and reduced to a 4-point scale: “disagree”, “rather
112 disagree”, “rather agree” and “agree”. Three dimensions were selected from the
113 questionnaire: health interest (8 items), natural product (6 items) and pleasure (6 items). As
114 proposed by Roininen et al. (1999), negative statements were reversed and recoded for the
115 final score calculation. The final score is the mean between each item score within
116 dimensions. It varies from 1 (not interested in) to 4 (interested in) for each dimension.

117 **2.2.3 Food Neophobia Scale**

118 Food neophobia is “a reluctance to eat and/or avoidance of novel food” (Pliner & Hobden,
119 1992). It was measured using the Food Neophobia Scale (FNS) questionnaire (Pliner &
120 Hobden, 1992). The original 7-point scale (from “disagree strongly” to “agree strongly”) was
121 adapted for elderly people and reduced to 4 points: “disagree”, “rather disagree”, “rather
122 agree” and “agree”. As proposed by Pliner & Hobden (1992), negative statements were
123 reversed and recoded for final score calculation. The final score varies from 10 (not
124 neophobic) to 40 (very neophobic).

125 **2.3 Questionnaires about foods, fruit and vegetables**

126 **2.3.1 Eating styles**

127 Global food preferences and habits were evaluated using a questionnaire based on the
128 AUPALESENS survey (Maitre et al., 2012), however adapted to be more fruit and vegetables
129 specific. The questionnaire was composed of 21 items: eight frequency items (frequency of

130 drinking alcohol during meals, frequency of salt addition, etc.), nine liking items (liking levels
131 of desserts, meat, fruits, etc.), two preference items (preference for fish / meat, preference
132 for cooking with oil/cooking with butter) and two quantity items (consumed quantity of bread
133 and soup). Participants were asked to rate their level of agreement between two opposite
134 items according to a 5-point Osgood's semantic differential scale. For instance: "I am used to
135 eating very little soup" (1) – "I am used to eating a lot of soup" (5).

136 **2.3.2 Selectivity towards fruit and vegetables**

137 Maitre et al. (2014) proposed a rapid questionnaire to measure food selectivity in the elderly
138 and showed a link with malnutrition risk. This heuristic list included both raw and cooked food
139 (71 items) where interviewed elderly people had to tick items they dislike. In our survey, the
140 questionnaire was adapted to be fruit and vegetables specific. A new 76-item list was created
141 and included familiar fruits and berries (raw or processed), vegetables (raw or processed),
142 starchy foods (such as potatoes), mushrooms and culinary herbs (such as sorrel and
143 parsley). The complete list is presented in appendices A. Despite the number of items, the
144 selectivity questionnaire was very rapid to administer (2-3 minutes). The experimenter
145 individualizing listed items orally and the participant indicated disliked or unknown products. In
146 this case, the experimenter ticked the corresponding item on the questionnaire. In definitive,
147 it is very rapid and not cognitively demanding for elderly participants. Special attention was
148 paid to distinguish between "I do not like the product" and "I cannot eat the product anymore
149 (because of teeth, intestinal, special diet, etc. issues). Finally, the selectivity score is the
150 number of disliked items multiplied by 100 and divided by the number of known items. The
151 higher the score was, the more selective the participant was.

152 **2.3.3 Liking of different cooking methods for vegetables**

153 Vegetables can be prepared and eaten in different forms: raw, boiled, processed into soup,
154 etc. Thus, we investigated the appreciation of different vegetable cooking methods.
155 Participants had to rate their appreciation on a 5-point scale from 1 (totally dislike) to 5 (like a

156 lot), where 3 meant “indifferent”. Eight different cooking methods for vegetables were
157 explored: steam cooked, fried, raw, gratin, baked, braised, plain boiled and in processed
158 soup.

159 **2.4 Data analysis**

160 ANOVA and LSD post-hoc analysis were computed with Statgraphics Centurion XVI (version
161 16.1.03) and R software (version 3.1.2). Sample sizes varied between analyses due to
162 invalid or missing data. Non-significant interactions were removed from the ANOVA models.
163 To categorise subjects according to their eating styles, a cluster analysis was performed on
164 the eating style questionnaire (21 items about global food preferences and habits), using a
165 hierarchical ascending classification (Euclidean distances, Ward criterion), consolidated by
166 K-means algorithm with R software. Then, descriptions of styles were made using the
167 “catdes” procedure in R (package *FactoMineR*). In this procedure, mean scores (for each
168 style) were compared to general mean scores (all styles together) by a t-test. All questions
169 were used for clustering, except the one about deli products due to missing data from the
170 UK. Participants with missing data (n=13) were also removed from clustering. Finally, Khi^2
171 and Khi^2 per cell were used to compare frequencies, using R software.

172 **3 Results**

173 **3.1 Participants**

174 To assess cognitive status, participants were selected using the Mini Mental State
175 Examination test. Elderly people with a MMSE score equal or higher than 21 were included
176 and others (n=15) were excluded from the data analysis. Final dataset includes 405 elderly
177 people, composed of 314 females and 91 males. Mean age is 82 ± 7 years old. Table 1
178 describes the sample characteristics, detailed by country and categories of food
179 dependency. As shown in Figure 1, ages were homogeneously dispersed in the 3 categories.

180 *Table 1 about here*

181 *Figure 1 about here*

182 **3.2 Eating styles**

183 Using hierarchical cluster analysis (eating style questionnaire: 21 items about global food
184 preferences and habits), three eating styles were identified. Table 2 shows scores of each
185 item used for hierarchical cluster analysis while descriptive variables for the three eating
186 styles are summarized in Table 3.

187 **3.2.1 Style 1 (n=145)**

188 In this cluster, elderly people did not really appreciate fruit and vegetables in any form such
189 as soups, vegetable purées as well as pieces of fruit or vegetables in purées. They rarely
190 seasoned their meal with spices or herbs and could easily have meals without a dessert.
191 Participants consumed wine and beer more frequently during meals than in the two other
192 clusters. In general, they liked ready-to-eat dishes, deli products and cooking with butter.
193 Cluster 1 included more men than other clusters (30%), had a higher proportion of French
194 (34%) and English (33%) participants but without any specific representation of one of the
195 three categories. They were in general also less interested by health and natural aspects of
196 foods (lower HTAS scores).

197 **3.2.2 Style 2 (n=121)**

198 People from cluster 2 enjoyed eating fresh fruits (including berries). They also liked eating
199 fruit and vegetables in different processed forms such as fruit purees, vegetable soups and
200 preferred soups with pieces. They preferred cooking with oil than with butter. They regularly
201 seasoned their meals with salt, spices and herbs. It is worth recognizing that they declared
202 very rare wine or beer consumption during meal. They did not appreciate ready-to-eat
203 dishes, deli products, as compared to participants from the two other styles. They rarely

204 added sugar to their yoghurts, could easily have meals without a dessert and did not
205 consider themselves that much as red meat lovers.

206 Participants clustered in this eating style were mainly Finnish (26%) and Polish (38%) elderly
207 people. Regarding dependency categories, there were more participants from category 1
208 (help for food purchasing, 50%) and less from category 3 (living in nursing homes, 14%).
209 They were more interested by health and natural aspects in foods (the highest HTAS
210 scores).

211 **3.2.3 Style 3 (n=126)**

212 In this cluster, elderly people were found to be very fond of desserts and clearly preferred
213 cooking with oil cooking to cooking with butter. They enjoyed fruits, soups, vegetables and
214 vegetable purees a lot. They also considered themselves as meat lovers. However, they did
215 not really appreciate ready-to-eat dishes, as in eating style 2. They used sauces less
216 frequently and seasoned rarely their meals (with salt, spices and/or herbs), as compared to
217 the participants from other two styles. They declared to eat more soup than the other
218 participants.

219 Majority of the participants identified in this eating style were Spanish (47%). They were
220 mainly from category 3 (living in nursing homes, 39%).

221 *Table 2 about here*

222 *Table 3 about here*

223 Due to major differences in general food likings and habits (people from style 2 have a more
224 fruit-oriented liking while people from style 3 have a fruit and even more vegetable-oriented
225 liking).

226 **3.3 Selectivity towards fruit and vegetables**

227 Results showed that many factors influence the selectivity score: food neophobia, attitudes
228 towards foods (natural aspect) and country (see Table 4 for F and p values). Post hoc
229 analyses showed that elderly people were more selective when they were more neophobic
230 and more interested in natural aspects of foods. No overall dependency category effect was
231 found ($F_{(2, 392)}=1.168, p > 0.05$) According to post-hoc analysis, Finnish participants appeared
232 to be the most selective.

233 *Table 4 about here*

234 **3.4 Liked and disliked fruit and vegetables**

235 The questionnaire related to the fruit and vegetables selectivity gave quantitative information
236 on selectivity levels of elderly people and also qualitative information on which fruit and
237 vegetables were liked or disliked. Results showed that, in general, fruit and vegetables
238 proposed in the list were well known. However, some items were unknown by more than
239 20% of the participants (chestnut, quince jelly, pomelo, chicory, artichoke, chickpea, spinach
240 in salad, sweet potato and endive). Pomelo and endives appeared to be the most unknown
241 fruit and vegetable (unknown by respectively 35% and 32% of participants).

242 **3.4.1 Liked and disliked fruits**

243 Fruits (34 items) were mainly appreciated by at least 90% of the elderly (Figure 2).
244 Independently from country specificities, less than 5% of the participants declared disliking
245 peach, plum, apple, pear, strawberry, orange, clementine, raspberry, mandarin, banana,
246 apricot, nectarine, cherry and pineapple. So those fruits were well accepted by a majority of
247 elderly people. On the contrary, some others fruits were less appreciated by more than 10%
248 of the participants; which included quince jelly, chestnut, exotic fruit juice, pomelo, mango
249 and kiwi. Per country results showed wide variations. For example, 41% and 29% of
250 participants declared disliking chestnut in Finland and Poland, respectively. However, in
251 Spain, France and United Kingdom, only 3%, 7% and 7% of the elderly people declared
252 disliking chestnut, respectively. Results detailed per country and dependency category are

253 showed in Table 5. Interesting differences can be noticed between the three categories.
254 Chestnut was less rejected in nursing homes (8% of the participants), compared to people
255 from category 1 and 2 (14% and 13% for respectively). On the contrary, kiwi, mango and
256 watermelon were more frequently rejected in nursing homes than in the two other categories.
257 Exotic fruit juice appeared to be relatively accepted by people from category 1 and 3 (11%
258 and 12% of participants declared disliking it), but less by elderly people from category 2 (22%
259 of participants declared disliking it).

260 *Figure 2 about here*

261 *Table 5 about here*

262 **3.4.2 Liked and disliked vegetables**

263 Regarding vegetables (42 items), there were more people disliking vegetables than people
264 disliking fruits (Figure 3). Only 5% or less of the interviewed elderly people declared disliking
265 potatoes, parsley, green peas, raw lettuce, and green cabbage. So it can be concluded that
266 these vegetables were well accepted by the majority of interviewed elderly people. The most
267 disliked vegetables, for at least 20% of the population, were chicory, turnip, sweet corn,
268 lentils, artichoke, aubergine fennel and spinach in salad. As for fruits, there was an important
269 variability within each country. For example, 46%, 47% and 55% of participants declared
270 disliking artichoke, in the UK, Poland and Finland, respectively. However, in France and
271 Spain, only 2% and 3% of the elderly people declared disliking artichoke. Results detailed
272 per country and dependency category are shown in Table 6. Interesting differences can be
273 noticed between the three categories. Leeks were less rejected by people from category 1
274 (6%) than by people from category 2 (18%) and 3 (14%). The same effect can be observed
275 for turnip (category 1: 12%, category 2: 25%, category 3: 24%). However, asparagus,
276 artichoke and aubergine were less rejected in category 3 (8%, 12% and 13% respectively)
277 than in category 1 (17%, 26% and 28% respectively) and category 2 (16%, 25% and 28%
278 respectively).

279 *Figure 3 about here*

280 *Table 6 about here*

281 **3.5 Liking of different vegetables cooking methods**

282 Vegetable liking was significantly influenced by country ($F_{(4, 3240)}=64.74$, $p<0.001$), cooking
283 methods ($F_{(7, 3240)}=17.99$, $p<0.001$) category ($F_{(2, 3240)}=13.38$, $p<0.001$), country x cooking
284 methods ($F_{(28, 3240)}=7.77$, $p<0.001$) and category x cooking methods ($F_{(14, 3240)}=1.82$, $p<0.05$).
285 Mean liking scores were generally high in all countries (about 4/5 or higher), but about 3.6/5
286 in the UK. Regarding cooking methods, braised, plain boiled and vegetable soups were the
287 most appreciated (mean liking scores higher than 4.1/5).

288 Those cooking methods could be considered as consensual for most of the elderly people in
289 all interviewed countries (Figure 4). Despite statistical significant differences, it is worth
290 noting that in all three categories all the cooking methods were well appreciated (mean liking
291 notes higher than 4/5 in general and higher than 3.8/5 for each cooking method – Figure 5).

292 *Figure 4 about here*

293 *Figure 5 about here*

294 All cooking methods appeared to be quite appreciated either in all countries or in all
295 categories (with exceptions for UK where liking is more moderated).

296 **4 Discussion**

297 The present study provides data on liking of culinary-dependent elderly people, in five
298 different European countries. Results show both: consensus between the elderly about a
299 good level of fruit and vegetables liking and no rejection of any cooking method, and at the
300 same time, a high variability in food styles. Previous studies have shown that fruit and
301 vegetables were globally appreciated by elderly people and also more consumed as

302 compared to younger adults (Baker & Wardle, 2003; Juan & Lino, 2007; Monceau et al.,
303 2002). However, those studies did not show the variability existing in the elderly population.
304 We identified three eating styles with specific food preferences. Most of the participants from
305 style 2 were fruit oriented, and most participants from style 3 were vegetable oriented. On the
306 contrary, most of the participants from style 1 were rather indifferent to fruit and vegetables
307 (except for vegetable purees). Eating styles are in accordance with attitudes towards foods.
308 People from eating style 2 were more interested by health and natural aspects in foods
309 (higher HTAS scores). These attitudes towards foods are congruent with their declared liking,
310 preferences and clearly highlight health awareness. Influence of attitudes towards foods on
311 fruit and vegetables liking was not investigated in great detail. Though, our results did not
312 highlight any link between neophobia and eating styles. In a recent study with 292 Finnish
313 twins (21-25 years old), Törnwall et al. (2014) showed that preferences for fruit and
314 vegetables were not influenced by HTAS (health interest) but by food neophobia: less
315 neophobic participants had a higher preference for fruit and vegetables. All these results are
316 arguing for the complementarity of the methods.

317 The fact to be selective in food choices, also known as “pickiness” or “fussiness”, was
318 documented mainly in children and very rarely with the elderly. As food selectivity is a
319 relatively new research theme, very few studies have been done for elderly people and
320 validated questionnaires have to be developed (Dovey, Staples, Gibson, & Halford, 2008). In
321 this study, a rapid questionnaire was adapted to evaluate selectivity towards fruit and
322 vegetables. It consisted in a specific list of different fruits and vegetables. Using this easy
323 questionnaire and conducting a rapid interview enabled to deliver quantitative as well as
324 qualitative results. Results showed that the participants more selective towards fruit and
325 vegetables were more food neophobic, more interested in natural aspects of foods and
326 Finnish. Selectivity and food neophobia appeared to be linked; which is in agreement with
327 the studies reviewed by Dovey et al. (2008). It also supports previous findings that elderly
328 people preferred “traditional” preparations as first courses and liked less “unfamiliar” dishes

329 (Laureati et al., 2006). Finnish participants appeared to be the most selective. In Northern
330 Europe, fruit and vegetables are less available than in Southern Europe (Elmadfa et al.,
331 2009). Thus, we can assume that geographical position can induce differences in terms of
332 quantity, variety and/or quality. So, the first hypothesis is that a higher selectivity in Finland
333 could be due to a larger number of unknown products in the marketplace. However, to tackle
334 this effect, participants had the possibility to indicate if they knew the item or not. If the item
335 was unknown, it was excluded from the selectivity score calculation. The second hypothesis
336 is that, due to the increasing demand, some fruit and vegetables (such as exotic ones) may
337 have recently appeared on the market shelves (from the elderly's point of view). Thus, they
338 are relatively new and not really attractive for elderly people. This is linked to food neophobia
339 and in accordance with our results, showing that the most selective elderly were also the
340 most neophobic, irrespectively of the country specificities. Causes of selectivity in elderly
341 people according to dependency need further investigation.

342 Combining eating styles and selectivity information provided knowledge on which fruit and
343 vegetables were liked or disliked by participants. Knowing elderly people's expectations and
344 preferences can help maintain pleasure while eating. Previous studies have shown that
345 maintaining pleasure while eating is positively associated with a better nutritional status or a
346 higher food intake (Lesourd et al., 2001; Maitre, 2014). However, it is worth noting that the
347 questionnaire was about the disliked fruit and vegetables and not a questionnaire about what
348 can be or is actually consumed. To get complete information about the elderly needs,
349 nutritional and function needs have to be explored in addition to sensory expectations.

350 **5 Conclusions**

351 This study adapted methods to characterise the needs and expectations of elderly people
352 when becoming more dependent and to measure their liking and selectivity levels regarding
353 fruit and vegetables. Results showed that the eating styles and the selectivity questionnaires
354 constitute two discriminant tools, while the cooking methods questionnaire is less. They can

355 help to make a “profile” of dependent elderly people regarding fruit and vegetables liking and
356 consumption in order to better match food offers and their liking. Regarding fresh or
357 processed fruit and vegetables, they were globally well appreciated by elderly people. We
358 highlighted fruit and vegetables that were consensual in all five European countries and all
359 categories of dependency; and less appreciated ones, by at least 20% of the interviewed
360 elderly (exotic fruit juice, pomelo, mango, kiwi, chicory, turnip, sweet corn, lentils, artichoke,
361 aubergine fennel and spinach in salad). Regarding vegetables, all cooking methods were
362 relatively well appreciated. All these results can serve as a support for designing new
363 products. In context of malnutrition, our results could help food industries to choose the
364 relevant fruit/vegetable matrix for nutrient and protein fortified foods (soups for example).
365 This is important for developing more personalized fruit-based and vegetable-based products
366 for elderly consumers in order to design new food products tailored to their expectations and
367 enabling adequate maintenance of nutritional intakes, appetite and pleasure while eating.

368 **6 Appendices**

369 **Appendices A: Questionnaire to measure fruit and vegetables selectivity in elderly people**

Food	I dislike	I do not know
Leeks		
Lentils		
Cooked carrots		
Grated Raw carrots		
Green beans		
Potatoes		
Courgettes		
Sweet pepper		
Artichoke		
Eggplant		
Endive		
Broccoli		
Celeriac		
Cultivated mushroom		
Green cabbage		
Cauliflower		
Cooked spinach		
Spinach in salad		
Fennel		
Dried beans		
Raw lettuce		
Sweetcorn		
Turnip		
Onion		
Sorrel		
Green peas		
Pumpkin		

Radish
Raw tomato (in salads)
Cooked tomato
Cooked red beetroot
Cucumbers
Avocado
Melon
Asparagus
Fruit compotes
Fruit pies
Cake with fruits
Apricot
Pineapple
Banana
Black currant
Cherry
Clementine
Strawberry
Raspberry
Gooseberry (redcurrant)
Kiwi
Mango
Blackberry
Blueberry
Hazelnut
Nut
Orange
Peach
Peer
Pomelo
Apple
Plum
Orange juice
Apple juice
Exotic fruit juice
Almond
Broad bean
Brussels sprouts
Chestnut
Chickpea
Chicory
Garlic
Mandarin
Wild mushrooms
Nectarine
Parsley
Quince jelly
Sweet potato
Watermelon

370

371 **7 Acknowledgement**

372 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's
373 Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration
374 under grant agreement n° 311754 (for OPTIFEL). This project also received funding from
375 Région Pays de la Loire. Authors would also like to thanks all the partners and interviewers

376 involved in this study for their implication and field work. Finally, special thanks are
377 addressed to Mr Phillip John Bentley (The University of Leeds) for his help in English revision
378 and to all the participants for their time and valuable answers.

379

380 **8 References**

- 381 Baker, A. H., & Wardle, J. (2003). Sex differences in fruit and vegetable intake in older
382 adults. *Appetite*, *40*(3), 269–275.
- 383 Billson, H., Pryer, J. A., & Nichols, R. (1999). Variation in fruit and vegetable consumption
384 among adults in Britain. An analysis from the dietary and nutritional survey of British
385 adults. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *53*(12), 946–952
- 386 Cederholm, T., Nouvenne, A., Ticinesi, A., Maggio, M., Lauretani, F., Ceda, G. P., ... Meschi,
387 T. (2014). The role of malnutrition in older persons with mobility limitations. *Current*
388 *Pharmaceutical Design*, *20*(19), 3173–3177
- 389 Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Baeyens, J. P., Bauer, J. M., Boirie, Y., Cederholm, T., Landi, F., ...
390 Zamboni, M. (2010). Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis:
391 Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age and*
392 *Ageing*, *39*(4), 412–423.
- 393 D'Antoni, G. B., Sucher, K. P., & Coulston, A. M. (1996). Dietary Intake of At-Risk, Free-
394 Living, Elderly Subjects Receiving Meals-on-Wheels and a Dietary Supplement. *Journal*
395 *of the American Dietetic Association*, *96*(9), A79
- 396 Dovey, T. M., Staples, P. A., Gibson, E. L., & Halford, J. C. G. (2008). Food neophobia and
397 “picky/fussy” eating in children: A review. *Appetite*, *50*(2–3), 181–193
- 398 Edfors, E., & Westergren, A. (2012). Home-Living Elderly People’s Views on Food and
399 Meals. *Journal of Aging Research*, *2012*, 1–9
- 400 Elmadfa, I., Meyer, A., Nowak, V., Hasenegger, V., Putz, P., Verstraeten, R., ... Margetts, B.
401 (2009). *European Nutrition and Health Report 2009. European Nutrition and Health*
402 *Report 2009* (Vol. 62). Basel: KARGER
- 403 EUFIC. (2012). Fruit and vegetable consumption in Europe – Do Europeans get enough?
404 Retrieved December 8, 2015, from [http://www.eufic.org/article/en/page/RARCHIVE/
405 expid/Fruit-vegetable-consumption-Europe/](http://www.eufic.org/article/en/page/RARCHIVE/expid/Fruit-vegetable-consumption-Europe/)
- 406 Eurostat. (2011). Structure et Vieillesse de la population. Retrieved March 26, 2014,
407 from http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Population_projections
- 408 Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). Mini-mental state: A practical
409 method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric*
410 *Research*, *12*(3), 189–198

- 411 Gollub, E. A., & Weddle, D. O. (2004). Improvements in nutritional intake and quality of life
412 among frail homebound older adults receiving home-delivered breakfast and lunch.
413 *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 104(8), 1227–1235
- 414 He, F. J., Nowson, C. A., & MacGregor, G. A. (2006). Fruit and vegetable consumption and
415 stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *The Lancet*, 367(9507), 320–326
- 416 Hébel, P. (2012). Evolution de la consommation de viande en France Les nouvelles données
417 de l'enquête CCAF 2010, 1–4
- 418 Juan, W. Y., & Lino, M. (2007). *Fruit and Vegetable Consumption by Older Americans*.
419 *Nutrition Insight* (Vol. 34), 64–65
- 420 Laureati, M., Pagliarini, E., Calcinoni, O., & Bidoglio, M. (2006). Sensory acceptability of
421 traditional food preparations by elderly people. *Food Quality and Preference*, 17(1-2),
422 43–52
- 423 Lesourd, B., Raynaud-Simon, A., & Mathey, M. F. (2001). Comment favoriser la prise
424 alimentaire des sujets âgés. In *Nutrition Clinique et Métabolisme* (Vol. 15, pp. 177–188)
- 425 Maitre, I. (2014). *Perceptions sensorielles et préférences alimentaires des seniors -*
426 *Contribution au maintien du statut nutritionnel et à l'appréciation des produits*.
- 427 Maitre, I., Amand, M., Cariou, V., Van Wymelbeke, V., Sulmont-Rossé, C., & Vigneau, E.
428 (2012). Tell me what you are eating, I will tell you who you are: the different eater styles
429 among the elderly population. 5. *European Conference on Sensory Consumer Science*
430 *of Foods and Beverages. A Sense of Inspiration*. Bern, Switzerland
- 431 Maitre, I., Van Wymelbeke, V., Amand, M., Vigneau, E., Issanchou, S., & Sulmont-Rossé, C.
432 (2014). Food pickiness in the elderly: Relationship with dependency and malnutrition.
433 *Food Quality and Preference*, 32, 145–151
- 434 Monceau, C., Blanche-Barbat, E., & Echampe, J. (2002). La consommation alimentaire
435 depuis quarante ans : De plus en plus de produits élaborés. *Insee premières* (846), 1–4
- 436 Pliner, P., & Hobden, K. (1992). Development of a scale to measure the trait of food
437 neophobia in humans. *Appetite*, 19(2), 105–120
- 438 Raynaud-Simon, A., & Lesourd, B. (2000). Malnutrition in the elderly. Clinical consequences.
439 *La Presse médicale*, 29(39), 2183–2190
- 440 Roininen, K., Lähteenmäki, L., & Tuorila, H. (1999). Quantification of Consumer Attitudes to
441 Health and Hedonic Characteristics of Foods. *Appetite*, 33(1), 71–88
- 442 Rousset, S., Patureau Mirand, P., Brandolini, M., Martin, J.-F., & Boirie, Y. (2003). Daily
443 protein intakes and eating patterns in young and elderly French. *British Journal of*
444 *Nutrition*, 90(06), 1107–1115
- 445 Ruokatieto. (2015). *FORKFUL OF FACTS - Finnish Food Industry Statistics*. Retrieved
446 December 10, 2015 from [http://www.ruokatieto.fi/sites/default/files/Ruokafakta/
447 Tietohaarukan_taulukot/tietohaarukka2015_englanti.pdf](http://www.ruokatieto.fi/sites/default/files/Ruokafakta/Tietohaarukan_taulukot/tietohaarukka2015_englanti.pdf)
- 448 Sulmont-Rossé, C., Maître, I., Amand, M., Symoneaux, R., Van Wymelbeke, V., Caumon, E.,
449 ... Issanchou, S. (2015). Evidence for different patterns of chemosensory alterations in

450 the elderly population: impact of age versus dependency. *Chemical Senses*, 40(3), 153–
451 164

452 Törnwall, O., Silventoinen, K., Hiekkalinna, T., Perola, M., Tuorila, H., & Kaprio, J. (2014).
453 Identifying flavor preference subgroups. Genetic basis and related eating behavior traits.
454 *Appetite*, 75, 1–10

455 WHO. (2007). *European Action plan for food and nutrition policy 2007-2012*. Retrieved
456 December 13, 2015, from [http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0017/
457 74402/E91153.pdf](http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0017/74402/E91153.pdf)

458 WHO. (2012). 10 facts on ageing and the life course. Retrieved October 28, 2015, from
459 <http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/ageing/en/index.html>

460

461