



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Dietary intake of 20 polyphenol subclasses in a cohort of UK women*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/93793/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Yahya, HM, Day, A, Lawton, C orcid.org/0000-0003-2341-0793 et al. (4 more authors)
(2016) Dietary intake of 20 polyphenol subclasses in a cohort of UK women. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 55 (5). pp. 1839-1847. ISSN 1436-6207

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-015-1001-3>

© 2015, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *European Journal of Nutrition*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00394-015-1001-3>.

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Title**

2 **Dietary intake of 20 polyphenol subclasses in a cohort of UK women**

3 **Authors**

4 Hanis Mastura Yahya^{1,2}, Andrea Day¹, Clare Lawton³, Kyriaki Myrissa³, Fiona Croden³, Louise Dye³, Gary
5 Williamson¹

6 ¹School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Leeds,
7 LS2 9JT, United Kingdom.

8 ²School of Healthcare Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Raja Muda
9 Abdul Aziz, 50300, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

10 ³School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom.

11

12 **Short running title**

13 Polyphenol intake of UK women

14

15 Correspondence: Andrea Day, School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Mathematics and Physical
16 Sciences, Woodhouse Lane, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire LS2 9JT, United Kingdom. Tel: +44
17 (0)113 3432965. E-mail: A.J.Day@leeds.ac.uk

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Background Establishing and linking the proposed health benefits of dietary polyphenols to their consumption
21 requires measurement of polyphenol intake in appropriate samples and an understanding of factors that
22 influence their intake in the general population.

23 Methods This study examined polyphenol intake estimated from 3 day and 7 day food diaries in a sample of 246
24 UK women aged 18-50 years. Estimation of the intake of 20 polyphenol subclasses commonly present in foods
25 consumed by the sample studied was done using Phenol-Explorer® and USDA polyphenol databases. Women
26 were potential participants in the Leeds Women’s Wellbeing Study (LWW) (N= 143), a dietary intervention
27 study aimed at overweight women (mean age: 37.2 ± 9.4 years; mean BMI: 30.8 ± 3.1 kg/m²) and the Diet and
28 Health Study (DH) (N = 103) which aimed to examine the relationship between polyphenol intake and cognitive
29 function (mean age: 25.0 ± 9.0 years; mean BMI: 24.5 ± 4.6 kg/m²).

30 Results The estimated total intake of polyphenol subclasses was significantly difference between the two
31 samples (p<0.01) with consumption of 1292 ± 844 and 808 ± 680 mg/day for the LWW and DH groups
32 respectively. Flavanols and hydroxycinnamic acids were the most important contributors to the polyphenols
33 consumed by both groups, owing to tea and coffee consumption. Other major polyphenol food sources included
34 fruits, vegetables and processed foods.

35 Conclusion Older women consumed more polyphenol-containing foods and beverages, which was due to the
36 higher coffee and tea consumption amongst the LWW participants.

37

38 **Keywords** Polyphenols. flavonoids. phenolic acids. food diary. Phenol-Explorer

39

40 Introduction

41 Dietary assessment is an important technique for estimating food intake. ~~The accurate and appropriate analysis~~
42 ~~of food intake diaries relies on the availability of comprehensive databases which provide details of the nutrient~~
43 ~~content of foods.~~ This process first requires a reliable collection of food intake data, followed by accurate and
44 appropriate analysis of food intake using available comprehensive databases which provide details of the
45 nutrient content of foods. Two polyphenol databases that are widely used in the estimation of polyphenol intake
46 are the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) [1] and the Phenol-Explorer® [2] databases.

47 Several studies have estimated polyphenol intake and their association with health benefits in various
48 parts of the world. For example, a recent study identified an association between daily flavonoid and stilbene
49 intake and lipid profiles amongst Chinese adults [3]. The emphasis in this study was on fruit, vegetables and
50 nuts which are commonly consumed by the Chinese population. A study of Iranian adults reported a lower
51 prevalence of metabolic syndrome in participants with higher dietary intake of selected polyphenols estimated
52 using Phenol-Explorer® [4]. ~~Another study performed in Mexico specifically measured the contribution of~~
53 ~~beverages to the intake of polyphenols in obese women using 24 hour food recall [5].~~ Another study from Spain
54 which also used Phenol-Explorer® found a reduction in cardiovascular disease risk amongst participants with
55 greater intake of dietary polyphenols [5]. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition
56 (EPIC) study estimated intake of particular flavonoids (flavonols, flavanones and flavones), anthocyanins,
57 phytoestrogens, lignans and phenolic acids in ten European countries using 24 hour dietary recall methods [6-
58 10]. Within the EPIC study, the UK “health conscious” cohort, which includes fish eaters, vegans and lacto-ovo
59 vegetarians, consumed higher amounts of flavanones [6], anthocyanins [7], and phytoestrogens [8], but lower
60 total phenolic acids [10] as compared to the general population. However, no comparison could be made for
61 total flavonoids because only total flavonoid intake data of the general population from EPIC participating
62 countries were presented [11]. In the EPIC study, tea and fruit were the major flavonoid contributors for the UK
63 sample [11] but non-flavonoid phenolics were not considered, nor was the impact of body weight and age on
64 polyphenol source or consumption.

65 In this study, we took advantage of two existing samples, potential participants in the Leeds Women’s
66 Wellbeing Study (LWW) and the Diet and Health Study (DH), since both studies required potential participants
67 to complete 3 or 7 day food diaries, but polyphenol intake was not emphasized and therefore these data provide
68 incidental assessment of the polyphenol intake of UK women. The different study aims – LWW was a dietary
69 intervention study targeted women who wanted to make dietary changes to improve their health and wellbeing

70 and maintain a healthy body weight and DH examined the relationship between habitual polyphenol intake and
71 cognitive function targeted young, healthy women – attracted different samples of women. Together these
72 studies allowed us to estimate the effect of age and BMI on the intake of a wide range of polyphenols in the UK
73 population.

74

75 **Materials and methods**

76

77 Participants and study design

78

79 This investigation employed a cross sectional design where habitual polyphenol intake was assessed using food
80 diaries. The diaries were collected from two different studies, namely the Leeds Women’s Wellbeing Study
81 (LWW) (NHS ethics reference number: 10/H1305/6) and the Diet and Health Study (DH) (Ref No: 12-0020).

82 The LWW data were collected between 20/04/2010 and 10/08/2011 while DH study was collected between
83 01/06/2012 and 30/06/2013. Both studies were conducted in the Human Appetite Research Unit (HARU) at the
84 Institute of Psychological Sciences, University of Leeds. LWW study was intended to facilitate weight loss
85 through two approaches; healthy eating advice alone or healthy eating with extra advice to increase fibre intake
86 to a minimum of 25 g/day amongst overweight and obese women. Data taken from the LWW study were socio-
87 demographic information and 7 day food diaries collected during the screening phase of the study. The inclusion
88 criteria for the DH study were; women aged 18 to 50 years, not pregnant, non-smoker, normal body mass index
89 (BMI) and above (≥ 18.5 kg/m²) and English as their first language.

90

91 Dietary assessment

92

93 Food intake was assessed using a self-completed food diary. 7-Day food diaries were collected from LWW
94 participants during the screening phase prior to entering a weight loss intervention trial. For the DH study, a 3-
95 day food diary in which all food consumed for 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day was given to participants during
96 their first visit and was returned on their second visit at least one week later, so that the diary was completed
97 between visit one and visit two. Participants were encouraged to record their food intake using household
98 measures and to include the food packaging within the diary where possible. The participants were informed
99 how to fill in the food diary and were shown examples of good dietary recording from example diaries. Food

100 intake data from the food diaries were analysed using WinDiets®. This software comprised of two food
101 databases; namely UK Food Tables 2008 and USA Food Tables 2008. The data were inputted in gram (g) of
102 foods consumed by the participants. To facilitate the approximation of portion size, the latest food portion
103 guideline book for selected UK foods was used in the study [12]. Basal metabolic rate (BMR) was calculated
104 using Schofield equations [13]. The BMR value was used to verify accuracy of dietary recording of the
105 participants and was divided by energy intake (EI/BMR) to identify incidences of underreporting.
106 Underreporting is assumed when the EI/BMR is less than <1.14, normal is in the range 1.14 to 2.4 and over
107 reporting is >2.4 [14].

108

109 Estimation of polyphenol intake

110

111 Foods which did not contain any polyphenols such as meat-based products were omitted from the estimation of
112 polyphenols. Ingredients of processed foods such as canned foods and pre-packaged meals were checked for
113 polyphenol-containing ingredients. Foods that contained more than 1 mg per serving of any polyphenol were
114 identified using the Phenol-Explorer® database [2] when possible, and in combination with the USDA database
115 [1] on selected flavonoids to enable examination of the polyphenol content of as many foods as possible. Only
116 ingredients with a polyphenol content of ≥ 1 mg per serving were included in the calculation of polyphenol
117 intake. Data for polyphenol content obtained from Phenol-Explorer® was selected from mean content obtained
118 from methods involving chromatography. Missing data from fruit, such as citrus fruits and sultanas, were
119 estimated based on tangerine and raisin data from USDA and Phenol-Explorer® respectively. For other food
120 groups which are mainly comprised of processed foods, the estimation was made according to the percentages
121 of ingredients in the food products. Data for thearubigins from the USDA database was added to the existing
122 data in Phenol-Explorer® because this compound is a major contributor to the flavanol content of tea [15]. Data
123 for proanthocyanidins obtained from Phenol-Explorer® in the form of dimers and trimers were added together
124 and presented in the flavanols group. 20 polyphenol subclasses were selected for the estimation on the basis that
125 these compounds are commonly present in foods consumed by the sample studied. The cut off used for foods to
126 be included in the polyphenol estimation was based on a previous study which referred to foods that contributed
127 less than 1 mg/day as minor contributors to polyphenol intake [16]. Thus, foods that contained less than 1 mg of
128 polyphenols as consumed in a usual portion were excluded from the analysis. Polyphenol intake was presented
129 based on average intake per day.

130 Statistical analysis

131

132 Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, version 19). All data
133 were examined for outliers using boxplots and the normality assumptions were checked for each inferential
134 analysis. There was no significant deviation from normality and sample size was sufficient to retain all data with
135 no outliers excluded from the analysis. Data from continuous variables are presented as mean \pm standard
136 deviation. Percentages are used for categorical variables. Polyphenol intake data from LWW and DH samples
137 were combined to provide a better estimation and representativity of the polyphenol intake amongst UK women.
138 The results are presented in two ways; namely, a comparison between study groups (LWW vs DH) to identify
139 differences between 3 days and 7 days food recording and between beverage consumption groups. Chi-squared
140 tests were used to identify the association between two categorical variables. Independent t-tests and analysis of
141 variance (ANOVA) models were used to test differences in polyphenol intakes between study group and
142 beverage consumption group. In order to examine the influence of age and BMI, these continuous variables
143 were included in an ANCOVA with study group as a between-subjects factor and polyphenol intake as the
144 dependent variable. Data which were not normally distributed were analysed using non-parametric tests of
145 differences between groups; namely Mann-Whitney U-test for two groups and Kruskal-Wallis test for more
146 than two groups. In all analyses, p values of <0.05 or <0.01 were considered statistically significant.

147

148 Results

149 Table 1 presents the characteristics of participants according to the two study samples (LWW and DH). There
150 was a significant difference in age and BMI between the two study groups [$t(244) = 10.294$; $p < 0.01$ and $t(244)$
151 $= 12.107$; $p < 0.01$ respectively] ($p < 0.01$). LWW participants were older and heavier than DH participants since
152 the former were recruited specifically because they were overweight and intended to participate in a weight loss
153 intervention. Furthermore, higher BMI is associated with increasing age [17]. More of the DH participants
154 performed regular exercise ($\chi^2 = 4.58$; $df = 1$; $p < 0.05$) ($p < 0.05$) and were students ($\chi^2 = 62.42$; $df = 3$; $p < 0.01$)
155 ($p < 0.01$) than the LWW participants. and was measured based on participants' self-assessment. The regularity
156 of performing exercise was solely based on participants' self-assessment according to one dichotomous
157 questionnaire item at recruitment, therefore, there was potential for participants to over-report this behaviour.

158 There was no significant difference between the two study samples in the frequency of participants in
159 the EI/BMR categories ($\chi^2 = 1.22$; $df = 1$; ns). None of the participants in both samples were categorized as over

160 ~~reporters~~. Under reporters were more frequent amongst DH participants (45.1%) as compared to LWW
161 participants (38.5%) and none over reported energy intake. However, the majority of participants from both
162 study samples were categorized as normal reporters (61.5% for LWW and 54.9% for DH). Overall, 58.8% of
163 the whole sample did not show evidence of under or over reporting.

164 Table 2 represents the polyphenol food sources and the polyphenols contained in each food, commonly
165 consumed by the participants. In this study, coffee and tea were the major beverages consumed. Various types
166 of tea including black, green, camomile, and fruit tea were consumed by the participants. Onion, potato and
167 tomato were the most important vegetables contributing to polyphenol intake. Commonly consumed fruits were
168 bananas and apples, while processed foods, such as milk chocolate, baked beans, hummus, ready to cook sauces
169 and soups, were the most important sources of polyphenol intake.

170 A comparison between the LWW and the DH studies was made for specific polyphenol intake (Table
171 3) based on the average intake per day derived from the 7 day and 3 day diaries respectively. Overall, the intake
172 of polyphenols for the LWW group was higher than DH except for dihydrochalcones and lignans. These
173 differences might be due to the higher coffee and tea consumption and the greater diversity of food sources
174 consumed by the LWW participants. The daily intake of all major polyphenol groups was significantly different
175 between the two studies ($p < 0.01$), whereby LWW participants' intakes were higher. Moreover, the daily intake
176 of polyphenol subclasses also showed a significant difference between the two studies [$U = 4724$; $Z = -4.80$,
177 $p < 0.01$] ($p < 0.01$) with mean intakes of 1292 ± 844 and 808 ± 680 mg/day for LWW and DH participants
178 respectively. This finding can be explained by higher energy (kcal) intake of LWW participants in addition to
179 positive association found between energy (kcal) intake and polyphenol subclasses intake ($r = 0.237$, $p < 0.0001$).
180 Moreover, when age and BMI were included as covariates in an ANCOVA to compare polyphenol intake
181 between LWW and DH participants, both age ($F_{1, 242} = 117.18$, $p < 0.001$) and BMI ($F_{1, 242} = 4.203$, $p < 0.05$)
182 were significant covariates and were positively related to total polyphenol intake per day but the difference in
183 polyphenol intake between the LWW and DH samples was no longer significant suggesting that differences can
184 be accounted for by age and BMI.

185

186 In order to identify the contribution of polyphenol sources other than coffee and tea, a comparison was
187 made between intake of total polyphenol subclasses (Total dataset) and intake excluding coffee and tea (NoCorT
188 dataset) (Table 4). The average intakes of polyphenol subclasses were 1089 ± 814 and 213 ± 129 mg/day for
189 Total and NoCorT datasets respectively. Clearly some polyphenols are only present in coffee or tea, or in fruit

190 and vegetables, but others are present in more than one group. The relative percentages of NoCorT to Total
191 datasets were calculated to identify the contribution of coffee and tea polyphenols to polyphenol intake. A value
192 of 100% indicates that all polyphenols are derived from fruit and vegetable sources, whereas a value of 0%
193 indicates that beverages provide all the polyphenols in the category. The alkylmethoxyphenol and flavanol
194 content of the diets came almost entirely from coffee and tea intake. Hydroxybenzoic acids and
195 hydroxycinnamic acids were also mainly derived from the beverage sources. Overall, the intake of polyphenols
196 after the addition of total flavonoids, total phenolic acids and total all other polyphenols was ~5-fold greater for
197 the Total dataset as compared to the dataset excluding coffee and tea (NoCorT). The major polyphenol food
198 sources for participants who did not consume coffee and tea mainly came from vegetables (e.g. onions, potatoes,
199 broccoli, beans), fruits (e.g: strawberries, blueberries, apples), wholemeal bread, chocolate and chocolate drink.
200 These foods were frequently consumed by the participants, however, no quantification was made to determine
201 the percentage of contribution of the foods to the intake of polyphenol subclasses.

202

203 Discussion

204 This study focused on the habitual polyphenol intake of women in the UK. Women have an important role in
205 food selection and consumption within the family [18]. In addition, women reportedly perceive themselves to be
206 more conscious about food, more likely to read nutritional labels, practise healthy eating and be more
207 knowledgeable about health and nutrition as compared to men [19]. The higher number of under reporters in the
208 DH study may be related to age and practising certain dietary restrictions for weight maintenance. A previous
209 study has suggested that young women tend to perceive themselves as overweight, thus efforts to lose weight
210 are becoming more common [20]. However, this might also reflect underreporting of actual intake rather than
211 lower intake per se. Underestimation of 37 % was previously reported in a study that used food recording as tool
212 for measurement of total energy intake when compared to the doubly labelled water method [21]. In an effort to
213 minimise underreporting, participants were advised to be honest about their intake, especially with respect to the
214 intake of foods which might be perceived as “unhealthy” such as confectionery or snacks.

215 A comparison of polyphenol subclasses intake was made between under and normal reporters, and no
216 significant difference was found [$U=6361$; $Z=-1.59$, ns]. This finding can partly be explained by the
217 perception that coffee and tea drinking are not considered unhealthy habits therefore, participants are more
218 likely to have reported their consumption honestly. Furthermore, as tea and coffee dominate as sources of total
219 polyphenol subclasses intake but contribute few, if any, calories there would be little impact on energy intake.

220 Furthermore, flavonoids and phenolic acids which are widely present in fruit and vegetables would be less likely
221 to be under reported by the participants because these foods are considered healthy. Moreover, participants from
222 the DH study were informed that the objectives of the study were to examine the effects of polyphenols and the
223 major sources of polyphenols were briefly explained in the participant information sheet which should
224 encourage rather than discourage reporting of these foods. Knowing the purpose of a study can encourage
225 socially desirable responses. DH participants were expected to over report their polyphenol intake as compared
226 to LWW participants. However, the opposite finding was demonstrated in this study. In relation to food intake,
227 this is often reflected by over reporting of foods perceived to be healthy and underreporting of foods perceived
228 to be unhealthy. Previous research has reported that participants believed that the consumption of foods
229 perceived to be 'good' in larger quantities would promote less weight gain [22]. To overcome this problem,
230 surreptitious recording of food intake or disguising the purpose of the study is recommended so that emphasis is
231 drawn away from the particular food groups under study.

232 In this study, it was apparent that there were more participants from both groups who consumed both
233 coffee and tea (39 %) or consumed tea only (32.5 %) than just coffee (11.8 %) or neither tea nor coffee (16.7
234 %). The average volume of coffee and tea consumed was 160 ± 239 and 328 ± 377 ml/day respectively. Higher
235 daily tea consumption (814 ± 450 ml/day) was reported from a longitudinal study amongst men in South Wales
236 [23]. The men were older than the current sample and were mainly working class in an industrial town, where
237 tea would be a routine part of their daily lives. Thus they represent a very different group to the average UK
238 population and to the samples considered in our study. From our data, consumers of both coffee and tea were
239 shown to drink more tea than coffee in terms of volume consumed daily. A similar finding was reported in a
240 study amongst Scottish adults, whereby high tea consumers were likely to drink less coffee [24].

241 The determination of major polyphenol food sources can be made by assessing the amount of
242 polyphenols present in food and the quantity of food consumed [25]. In addition, the determination of
243 polyphenol food sources relies on two aspects. Firstly, whether the foods have a high polyphenol content, so
244 even if a small amount is consumed the contribution to polyphenol intake is significant. Secondly, some foods
245 are consumed in large quantities however, because of their low polyphenol content, their contribution to ~~total~~
246 intake of individual subclasses is not significant. An example of the first situation is spinach and onions which
247 have a high polyphenol content, while the second is pineapple and cabbage which have a low polyphenol
248 content. Conversely, coffee and tea fulfil both aspects whereby these beverages are consumed in high amounts
249 and have a high polyphenol content and this is why they dominate the ~~€Total polyphenol intake~~ dataset.

250 The total polyphenol intake as reported from other studies ranges from 800 to 1200 mg/day [5, 16, 26,
251 27]. The value of total polyphenols estimated in this study by summing 20 polyphenols is within a reasonable
252 range when compared to the other studies. The main polyphenol food sources for the studies with total
253 polyphenol intake above 1 g per day are beverages such as coffee, tea and fruit juices as reported by study from
254 France and Poland [16, 27]. The other polyphenol food sources include fruit, vegetables, legumes and cereal
255 products. The disparity between all these studies in the estimation of total polyphenols can partly be explained
256 by the different number of polyphenol subclasses included in the estimation of polyphenol intake. The different
257 databases used to estimate polyphenol intake also can contribute to the differences in total polyphenol
258 estimation between countries.

259 In terms of food intake, data from the food diaries demonstrates that the major polyphenol food
260 sources consumed by the studied samples, such as tea, coffee, potatoes and apples are similar to those reported
261 from previous studies [16, 27]. An Australian study also identified black and green tea as major flavonoid food
262 sources along with wine, apples and oranges [28]. A recent study has estimated the total flavonoid intake
263 amongst the non-Mediterranean countries in Europe including Germany, the Netherlands, UK, Sweden and
264 Norway [11]. This study reported two major contributors to flavonoid intake of the non-Mediterranean countries
265 namely tea and fruits, with the UK population showing the highest intake of total flavonoids (average of 549
266 mg/d in men and 502 mg/d in women). Tea was also the major contributor to flavonoid intake in our study. An
267 implication of this is the possibility that health promotion to increase the serving size of fruit and vegetables as a
268 good source of polyphenol foods can also emphasize the point that these two food sources are also significant
269 contributors to polyphenol intake.

270 In addition, the inclusion of thearubigins in the estimation of flavanols was demonstrated to be an
271 important approach for a better estimation of polyphenol content in tea. The importance of this compound was
272 reported by the EPIC study which focused on thearubigin intake in several European countries [29]. This study
273 has reported that the UK general population were the highest tea consumers, with 48 % of total flavonoids being
274 contributed by thearubigins.

275 The current study was limited by its small sample size with a large age range (18 – 50 years). In
276 addition, being health-conscious might be a possible motivating factor for the participants to volunteer for these
277 two studies, and might influence the foods consumed (or reported) by the participants during the dietary
278 recording. Thus, the representativeness of this sample to the general female population of the UK may be

279 somewhat limited. Finally, there is a substantial lack of available information on the polyphenol content of
280 processed foods. Food recording can possibly cause some alterations in the habitual food intake of the
281 participants. However, to deal with this possibility, participants were encouraged to bring all food packaging
282 along with them in case they had difficulties in explaining the food portion size. The estimation of certain foods
283 was made based on the percentage of polyphenol-containing ingredients in the food products.

284 The average ~~total~~ polyphenol intake of the whole sample, estimated from 20 polyphenol subclasses
285 present in commonly consumed foods, exceeded 1 g per day. The intake of polyphenol subclasses was higher
286 amongst LWW participants, whilst DH participants had 37.5% lower polyphenol subclasses intake than the
287 LWW participants, despite being aware of the polyphenol focus of the study. In addition, 56% of LWW
288 participants consumed more than 1 g polyphenols/day compared to DH (36 %). These effects can be explained
289 by the significant differences in age and BMI between the two study samples which account for the difference in
290 polyphenol intake. The major polyphenol food sources of the women studied in this study were tea and coffee,
291 thus women who did not consume tea or coffee had much lower average polyphenol intake.

292 Future studies should be longitudinal in design, and include samples which vary in socio-economic
293 status, age and BMI. In addition, the effect of food processing on the polyphenol content of foods should be
294 taken into consideration in subsequent research in order to better estimate polyphenol intake.

295
296
297
298
299
300

301 **Acknowledgments**

302 The authors thank all the women who participated in this study.

303 **Financial support**

304 This work was supported by funding from the Ministry of Education Malaysia and Universiti Kebangsaan
305 Malaysia. The Leeds Women's Wellbeing Study was funded by Kellogg's Sales and Marketing UK.

306 **Conflict of interest**

307 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

308

309

310

311

312

313 **References**

- 314 1. USDA (2011) United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Database for the Flavonoid Content of
315 Selected Foods Release 3.0
- 316 2. Neveu V, Perez-Jimenez J, Vos F et al (2010) Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on
317 polyphenol contents in foods. Database. doi: 1093/database/bap024
- 318 3. Li G, Zhu Y, Zhang Y et al (2013) Estimated daily flavonoid and stilbene intake from fruits,
319 vegetables, and nuts and associations with lipid profiles in Chinese adults. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 113: 786-
320 94
- 321 4. Sohrab G, Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Hejazi J et al (2013) Dietary polyphenols and metabolic syndrome
322 among Iranian adults. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 64: 1–7
- 323 5. Tresserra-Rimbau, A., et al. (2013) Dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in a Spanish
324 population at high cardiovascular risk: The PREDIMED study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 23: 953-9
- 325 6. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2011) Estimated dietary intakes of flavonols,
326 flavanones and flavones in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) 24
327 hour dietary recall cohort. *Br J Nutr* 106: 1915-25
- 328 7. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2011) Estimation of the intake of anthocyanidins and
329 their food sources in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study.
330 *Br J Nutr* 106: 1090-9
- 331 8. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2012) Dietary intakes and food sources of
332 phytoestrogens in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) 24-hour
333 dietary recall cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 66: 932-941
- 334 9. Zamora-Ros R., et al (2013) Dietary flavonoid and lignan intake and breast cancer risk according to
335 menopause and hormone receptor status in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and
336 Nutrition (EPIC) Study. *Breast Cancer Res Tr* 139: 163-176
- 337 10. Zamora-Ros R., et al (2013) Dietary intakes and food sources of phenolic acids in the European
338 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Br J Nutr* 110: 1500-11
- 339 11. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze, V, Lujan-Barroso, L et al. (2013) Differences in dietary intakes, food sources
340 and determinants of total flavonoids between Mediterranean and non-Mediterranean countries
341 participating in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Br J*
342 *Nutr* 109: 1498-1507
- 343 12. Cheyette C, Balolia Y (2010) *Carbs & Cals & Protein & Fat: A visual guide to carbohydrate, protein,*
344 *fat & calorie counting for healthy eating and weight loss.* Chello Publishing Limited, London, United
345 Kingdom
- 346 13. Schofield WN (1985) Predicting basal metabolic rate, new standards and review of previous work.
347 *Hum Nutr Clin Nutr* 39: 5-41
- 348 14. Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA et al (1991) Critical evaluation of energy intake data using
349 fundamental principles of energy physiology: 1. Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-
350 recording. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 45: 569–581
- 351 15. Kuhnert N, (2010) Unraveling the structure of the black tea thearubigins. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 501:
352 37-51

- 353 16. Perez-Jimenez J, Fezeu L, Touvier M et al (2011) Dietary intake of 337 polyphenols in French adults.
354 Am J Clin Nutr 93: 1220-8
- 355 17. Dummer TJ, Kirk SF, Penney TL et al (2012) Targeting policy for obesity prevention: identifying the
356 critical age for weight gain in women. J Obes. doi:10.1155/2012/934895
- 357 18. Johnson CM, Sharkey JR, Dean WR et al (2011) It's who I am and what we eat. Mothers' food-related
358 identities in family food choice. Appetite 57: 220-228
- 359 19. Oakes ME, Slotterback, CS (2001) Gender differences in perceptions of the healthiness of foods.
360 Psychol Health 16: 57-65
- 361 20. Wardle J, Haase AM, Steptoe A (2006) Body image and weight control in young adults: international
362 comparisons in university students from 22 countries. Int J Obes 30: 644-51
- 363 21. Mahabir S, Baer DJ, Giffen C et al (2006) Calorie intake misreporting by diet record and food
364 frequency questionnaire compared to doubly labelled water among postmenopausal women. Eur J Clin
365 Nutr 60: 561-565
- 366 22. Oakes ME (2005) Stereotypical thinking about foods and perceived capacity to promote weight gain.
367 Appetite 44: 317-324
- 368 23. Hertog MG, Sweetnam PM, Fehily AM et al (1997) Antioxidant flavonols and ischemic heart disease
369 in a Welsh population of men: the Caerphilly Study. Am J Clin Nutr 65: 1489-94
- 370 24. Woodward M, Tunstall-Pedoe H (1999) Coffee and tea consumption in the Scottish Heart Health Study
371 follow up: conflicting relations with coronary risk factors, coronary disease, and all-cause mortality. J
372 Epidemiol Commun H 53: 481-487
- 373 25. Cieřlik E, Gręda A, Adamus W (2006) Contents of polyphenols in fruit and vegetables. Food Chem 94:
374 135-142
- 375 26. Ovaskainen M.L. et al. (2008) Dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in Finnish adults.
376 J Nutr 138: 562-6
- 377 27. Zujko ME, Witkowska AM, Waskiewicz A et al. (2012) Estimation of dietary intake and patterns of
378 polyphenol consumption in Polish adult population. Adv Med Sci 57: 375-84
- 379 27. Somerset SM, Johannot L (2008) Dietary flavonoid sources in Australian adults. Nutr Cancer 60: 442-9
- 380 29. Zamora-Ros R. et al (2013) Impact of thearubigins on the estimation of total dietary flavonoids in the
381 European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. Eur J Clin Nutr 67: 779-
382 782