



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/92817/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bolin, J.F., Tennakoon, K.U., Bin Abdul Majid, M. et al. (2015) Isotopic evidence of partial mycoheterotrophy in *Burmannia coelestis* (Burmanniaceae). *Plant Species Biology*. ISSN: 0913-557X

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1442-1984.12116>

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Isotopic evidence of partial mycoheterotrophy in *Burmannia coelestis* (Burmanniaceae)

Jay F. Bolin^{1,4}, Kushan U. Tennakoon², Mohamed Bin Abdul Majid², and Duncan D. Cameron³

¹ Catawba College, Department of Biology, 2300 West Innes St, Salisbury, NC, 28144 USA

² Biology Program, Faculty of Science, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Tungku Link, Gadong, BE 1410, Brunei Darussalam

³ Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, S10 2TN, UK

⁴ Author for correspondence: jfbolin@catawba.edu; Office No. 1-704-637-4450

Other Author Email Addresses:

Dr. Kushan Tennakoon (kushan.tennakoon@ubd.edu.bn)

Dr. Mohamed Bin Abdul Majid (mohamed.majid@ubd.edu.bn)

Dr. Duncan Cameron (d.cameron@sheffield.ac.uk)

1 Abstract

2 The Burmanniaceae contain several lineages of achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophic plants that
3 associate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). Here we investigate the isotopic profile of a
4 green and potentially mycoheterotrophic plant in situ, *Burmannia coelestis* and associated
5 reference plants. We generated $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotope profiles for five populations of *B.*
6 *coelestis*. *Burmannia coelestis* was significantly enriched in ^{13}C relative to surrounding C_3
7 reference plants and significantly depleted in ^{13}C relative to C_4 reference plants. No significant
8 differences were detected in ^{15}N enrichment between *B. coelestis* and reference plants. The
9 isotopic profiles measured are suggestive of partial mycoheterotrophy in *B. coelestis*. Within
10 the genus *Burmannia* transitions to full mycoheterotrophy have occurred numerous times
11 suggesting that some green *Burmannia* species are likely partially mycoheterotrophic but may
12 be undetectable using natural abundance stable isotopic methods under many conditions.

13 **Keywords:** ^{13}C ; ^{15}N ; mycorrhizae; stable isotopes

14

15 INTRODUCTION

16 It is widely recognized that plant symbioses with fungi to enhance nutrient uptake was a
17 key innovation in the diversification of plants. At least 80 genera and approximately 10 % of all
18 plant species use carbon from a mycorrhizal association at some stage of their life cycle (Leake
19 and Cameron, 2010, Leake, 1994). This relationship is most dramatic and obvious in
20 achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophic plants (fully mycoheterotrophic) that typically have a
21 ghostly appearance. However, partial mycoheterotrophy (or mixotrophy) has emerged as a

1 generally accepted precursor to chlorophyll loss (e.g. Abadie et al., 2006, Motomura et al.,
2 2010) though may also be a stable evolutionary condition.

3 Stable isotope data have been applied effectively to provide insights into carbon and
4 nitrogen sources in obligate and partial mycoheterotrophic plants (reviewed in Hynson et al.,
5 2013). Various mycoheterotrophic plant and fungal lineages intimately linked by mycorrhizal
6 symbioses can generate consistent patterns of ^{13}C and ^{15}N enrichment in the
7 mycoheterotrophic plant. Diagnostic ^{13}C and ^{15}N enrichment in mycoheterotrophic and partial
8 mycoheterotrophic plants have been demonstrated in numerous studies of plants with
9 ectomycorrhizal associates (ECM) (e.g. Gebauer and Meyer, 2003, Trudell et al., 2003, Liebel et
10 al., 2010, Tedersoo et al., 2007, Hynson et al., 2009, Zimmer et al., 2007) and saprotrophic
11 orchid-mycorrhizal associates (SAP) (e.g. Martos et al., 2009, Ogura-Tsujita et al., 2009).
12 Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AMF) fungi in symbiotic relationships with Burmanniaceae and
13 Gentianaceae (Cameron and Bolin, 2010, Courty et al., 2011, Merckx et al., 2010) have received
14 the least study and the patterns of mycoheterotroph enrichment in ^{15}N apparently do not
15 closely mimic those for ECM or SAP and some ^{13}C profiles of putative mycoheterotrophs have
16 been inconclusive relative to reference plants.

17 The Burmanniaceae are a fascinating lineage of achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophic and
18 autotrophic green species that occur primarily in tropical regions of the world and include
19 approximately 130 species and 14 genera (Merckx et al., 2006). All Burmanniaceae form AMF
20 associations and only the genus *Burmannia* includes green plants. Interestingly, molecular
21 phylogenetic data suggests at least 8 independent losses of chlorophyll in Burmanniaceae

1 (Merckx et al., 2008) and it has been posited that the shift from partial to complete
2 mycoheterotrophy may have occurred in response to low light conditions under the canopy and
3 subcanopy of tropical forests (Bidartondo et al., 2004). Stable isotopic investigations of an
4 achlorophyllous Burmanniaceae, *Dictyostega orobanchoides* (Hook.) Miers clearly indicate
5 mycoheterotrophy via enriched ^{13}C values, while the same methods applied to a putative green
6 mycoheterotroph *Burmannia capitata* Mart. were inconclusive (Merckx et al., 2010). Moreover,
7 Merckx et al. (2010) grew four green *Burmannia* species (including the focal species of this
8 paper *Burmannia coelestis* D. Don) from seed in pots to flowering with no access to
9 heterotrophic carbon sources. Merckx et al. (2010) were very clear in stating that while their
10 isotopic data did not show partial mycoheterotrophy in green *Burmannia* spp., they also did not
11 rule it out. Courty et al. (2011) reported significantly enriched ^{13}C values for AMF spores and
12 the shoots of two achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophs (*Voyria aphylla* Pers., *Voyria tenella* Guild.
13 Ex Hook.) relative to understory reference plants but no difference relative to canopy reference
14 plants. Due to conflicting or inconclusive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ patterns at other populations studied, Courty et
15 al. (2011) prudently encourages cautious interpretations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data because
16 mycoheterotrophic tissue $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ abundances seem indistinguishable from canopy leaves

17 The aim of this paper is to evaluate partial heterotrophy in Burmanniaceae using
18 *Burmannia coelestis* in situ, as a model. A weedy Asian species of wet grassy areas, *B. coelestis*
19 is distributed from eastern India, southern China, to Peninsular Malaysia, Borneo, and northern
20 Australia (Zhang and Saunders, 2000). Authoritative works differ when addressing the putative
21 mycoheterotrophic status of *B. coelestis*. The Flora of China and a monograph of the *B. coelestis*
22 complex regard the species as “semi-mycotrophic” (Wu et al., 2010, Zhang and Saunders, 2000)

1 while in Flora Malasiana and the Flora of Singapore, *B. coelestis* is considered “autotrophic”
2 (Keng et al., 1998, Jonker, 1948). Merckx et al. 2010 demonstrate that *B. coelestis* can grow
3 autotrophically under ideal conditions thus the concept of green *Burmannia* as partial
4 mycoheterotrophic organisms remains an open question. Here we address a lack of empirical
5 evidence for partial mycoheterotrophy in green Burmanniaceae (Courty et al., 2011, Merckx et
6 al., 2010) by applying stable isotopic methods to the tropical herb *B. coelestis*.

7 MATERIALS AND METHODS

8 *Study Area* — All plant materials of *B. coelestis* were collected (1 Aug. 2010 to 3 Aug
9 2010) in Brunei Darussalam. The five sampling areas (Lambak Kanan Estate: 04° 58.530' N, 114°
10 58.422' E; Labi: 04° 28.3116' N, 114° 28.133' E; Qlap: 04° 54.259' N, 114° 55.508' E; Tungku Link:
11 04° 58.445' N, 114° 52.779' E; UBD Water Tank: 04° 58.291' N, 114° 53.818' E) were an average
12 of 7.2 km ± 2.5 SE to the nearest next sampling site. All sampling areas were from similar
13 ruderal plant communities, and were composed of irregularly mowed and grassy roadside areas
14 within a few meters of native or naturalized forests. The dominant species in each sampling
15 area was a mixture of C₄ grasses *Axonopus affinis* Chase, *Ischaemum ciliare* Retz., and a
16 *Paspalum* sp. (Table 2).

17 *Isotopic methods*—For stable isotopic analyses of *B. coelestis* and its surrounding
18 reference plants, at each sampling site (n = 5), a single *B. coelestis* plant (shoot and root) was
19 collected at the area center point. Newly expanded leaves or tissues of the first five vascular
20 plant species (other than *B. coelestis*) within a 5 m radius of the sampling area center point
21 were collected for comparison to the putative partially mycoheterotrophic plant. At least one

1 C₄ grass in immediate proximity to the sampled *B. coelestis* was collected at each sampling
2 location, since C₄ grasses accounted for approximately 50-80% of the cover at each sampling
3 area. Whole plants of *B. coelestis* were separated into root and shoot tissues for isotopic
4 analyses. Root tissues were thoroughly rinsed in deionized water to remove soil debris. Then all
5 tissues were dried at 70 °C in a drying oven until no further mass reduction was observed.
6 Segregated dried tissues were pulverized to powder in a mortar and pestle and 5 mg samples
7 were weighed into tin capsules. The weighed samples were analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by a
8 continuous-flow mass spectrometry (PDZ Europa 2020 Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer and a
9 PDZ ANCA GSL preparation Unit). Data were collected as % ¹³C and % ¹⁵N and re-expressed as
10 delta (δ), relative to the isotope ratios of the sample and the standards Pee Dee Belemnite and
11 atmospheric air, respectively. See Cameron and Bolin (2010) for an expanded explanation of
12 standard $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ calculation used. For ¹³C measurements, commercial poplar leaf standards were
13 used at 10 sample intervals and similarly commercially available reference gases were used to
14 calibrate the detector for ¹⁵N. Total tissue nitrogen (N) concentrations using the sample mass
15 were also simultaneously collected from the same samples. All statistical tests for isotope and
16 chlorophyll data were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk New York
17 USA).

18

19 **RESULTS**

20 *Whole plant morphology*— The leaves and roots of *B. coelestis* are reduced relative to
21 typical autotrophic plants. The *B. coelestis* green plant body comprises a basal rosette (< 1 cm),

1 a slender stem, and foliose scales. Moreover roots are relatively short and coralloid (Fig. 1),
2 reduced photosynthetic surfaces combined with coralloid roots are often hallmarks of
3 mycoheterotrophic plants (Peterson et al., 2004).

4 Stable isotopic profiles presented as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for *B. coelestis* (root and
5 shoot) and reference plants (C_3 and C_4) are presented in Table 1 and summarized in Fig. 2. The
6 root portions of *B. coelestis* were significantly enriched in ^{13}C relative to *B. coelestis* shoot
7 portions (enriched by 6.6‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and surrounding C_3 vegetation (enriched by 9.2‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$), and
8 significantly depleted relative to surrounding C_4 vegetation (depleted by -9.5‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) (ANOVA
9 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $F_{3,24} = 82.1$, $P < 0.001$; TUKEY *post hoc* test; $\alpha = 0.05$). Shoot portions of *B. coelestis*
10 were significantly enriched in ^{13}C relative to reference C_3 vegetation (enriched by 2.6‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$),
11 and significantly depleted relative to surrounding C_4 vegetation (depleted by -16.0‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$).
12 Profiles of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ did not vary significantly among groups (*B. coelestis* root and shoot, C_3 and C_4
13 reference plants) (ANOVA for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $F_{3,24} = 0.68$, $P = 0.57$). The pattern of ^{13}C enrichment in *B.*
14 *coelestis* relative to reference plants was consistent across all five study areas presented in
15 supplementary material Fig. S1

16 DISCUSSION

17 Our isotopic study of *B. coelestis* shows significant ^{13}C enrichment relative to reference
18 C_3 plants and significant ^{13}C depletion relative to C_4 reference plants (Table 1; Fig. 2). The
19 significant difference in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ profiles in *B. coelestis* relative to reference plants suggest a
20 heterotrophic path of carbon to *B. coelestis* via AMF symbionts. Our data is congruent with two
21 studies that collected and evaluated isotopic evidence with an eye towards resolving patterns

1 of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ partitioning with AMF symbionts and achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophic
2 plants compared to reference plants in tropical systems. Courty et al. (2011) evaluated a
3 number of mycoheterotrophic plants including *Voyria aphylla* (Gentianaceae), *Apteria aphylla*
4 Small, and a *Gymnosiphon* sp. (Burmanniaceae) in the shaded understory of tropical forests on
5 La Guadalupe a Caribbean Island. Merckx et al. (2010) evaluated achlorophyllous *Dictyostega*
6 *orobanchoides*, and *Voyria aphylla* from rainforest sites in French Guiana. Both previous studies
7 demonstrated significant enrichment in ^{13}C for achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophs relative to
8 understory plants (Merckx et al. 2010) and leaves of associated rainforest tree species (Courty
9 et al. 2011), the same relationship we observed for the green *B. coelestis* and surrounding C_3
10 reference plants. Moreover, in both the Merckx et al. (2010) and Courty et al. (2011) studies no
11 significant differences were observed between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of achlorophyllous
12 mycoheterotrophs and reference plants indicating that the mycoheterotrophic plants and
13 reference plants may be using similar sources of N, congruent with the data presented here
14 (Table 1) for *B. coelestis*. Two plant species in the reference plots showed much greater
15 depletion in ^{15}N relative to *B. coelestis* fractions and other reference plants evaluated, *Calamus*
16 *blumei* ($\delta^{15}\text{N} = -19.32$) and *Melastoma malabathricum* ($\delta^{15}\text{N} = -13.96 \pm 1.68$). For, *C. blumei* the
17 depleted ^{15}N values may be an artifact of a single sample among the 5 plots or may be
18 suggestive of alternative N nitrogen sources as suggested for *M. malabathricum* an ammonia
19 assimilating plant (Watanabe et al., 1998) though the interplay of mycorrhizae and internal
20 fractionation of N may confound the use of ^{15}N a reliable indicator of N source (Emmertson et
21 al., 2001). In summary, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data for achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophic plants in
22 tropical systems (Merckx et al. 2010, Courty et al. 2011) are similar to our findings for the green

1 and putative partial mycoheterotroph (Table 1; Fig. 2), *B. coelestis* providing a first line of
2 evidence that *B. coelestis* is acting as a partial mycoheterotrophic plant.

3 Two factors contribute to complicate the conclusion of *B. coelestis* as a partial
4 mycoheterotrophic plant using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data. First, Merckx et al. (2010) also evaluated the putative
5 and green partial mycoheterotrophic plant *Burmannia capitata* from a grassland area of French
6 Guiana and found that it was statistically indistinguishable from reference plants for both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
7 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Merckx et al. (2010) also established that *B. capitata* can grow autotrophically in pot
8 culture; their isotopic and pot study results on *B. capitata* do not rule out partial
9 mycoheterotrophy. However, we suggest that for many green *Burmannia* the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signal from
10 AMF to the putative partial mycoheterotrophic plant may be difficult to detect, particularly
11 under conditions where surrounding vegetation uses primarily C_3 photosynthesis, generating
12 similar $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values as autotrophic C_3 photosynthesis in *Burmannia*. Re-cycling of respired CO_2
13 from soil rich in ^{13}C might be considered a factor to obscure the signal of heterotrophic carbon
14 in mycoheterotrophic plants, however pot studies suggest that the effect of respired soil CO_2 for
15 hemiparasitic plants is negligible (Těšitel et al., 2010).

16 A second factor that may inform the framework of enrichment in ^{13}C for AMF and
17 mycoheterotrophic plants relative to adjacent plants is the work by Nakano *et al.* (1999) on the
18 AMF *Gigaspora margarita* (Gigasporaceae; Glomeromycota) in pot culture with C_3 and C_4
19 plants. Nakano *et al.* (1999) demonstrated that *G. margarita* spores are depleted (3.5‰) in ^{13}C
20 relative to host roots and importantly that the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature of the spores mirrors the
21 photosynthetic pathway of the host (e.g. C_3 host: -31 to -37 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and C_4 host: -15 to -17 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$).
22 Under a revised nomenclature of Glomeromycota (Krüger et al., 2012), *G. margarita* is

1 differentiated from *Glomeraceae*, and placed in a separate AMF family, and to our knowledge
2 the only report of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of AMF spores of *Glomeraceae* were collected by Courty et al.
3 (2011) and they showed significant enrichment in AMF spores relative to understory tree
4 leaves, and non-significant enrichment in AMF spores relative to mycorrhizal roots of canopy
5 trees and canopy tree leaves, congruent with our results. Thus, it is evident that more species-
6 specific measurements of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ profiles of *Glomeraceae* AMF spores and other AMF lineages
7 are required to better understand these relationships. Diverse lineages of AMF have been
8 identified as mycoheterotrophic symbionts outside of the conventional *Glomeraceae* (*Glomus*
9 group A), even for *Burmanniaceae* (Merckx et al., 2012) and potential combinations of those
10 symbionts within a single mycoheterotrophic plant should be considered.

11 The presence of at least one C_4 plant within each independent *B. coelestis* sampling
12 location may have been an underlying driver for the observed enrichment in ^{13}C in *B. coelestis*.
13 The C_4 graminoid genera *Axonopus*, *Ischaemum*, and *Paspalum* that were dominant in each
14 sampling area are known to be colonized by AM fungi (Wang and Qiu, 2006, Grigera and
15 Oosterheld, 2004, Karti et al., 2011, Nakano et al., 1999). Just as Nakano et al. (1999)
16 demonstrated AMF spores mirroring the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature of host C_3 and C_4 plants, the
17 significantly enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signal of *B. coelestis* relative to C_3 vegetation may be attributed to a
18 significant fraction of the carbon transferred from the AMF symbiont to *B. coelestis* coming
19 from photosynthesis of C_4 grasses. In our view this proposed process does not weaken the
20 argument for partial mycoheterotrophy in *B. coelestis*, but rather strengthens it via hypothesized
21 indirect C_4 labeled photosynthate passing through the AMF intermediate to *B. coelestis*.

1 The isotopic evidence suggestive of partial mycoheterotrophy in *B. coelestis* is also
2 supported by observations of coralloid or star-like and stubby roots of *B. coelestis* (Fig. 1) that
3 are hallmarks of many plants using mycorrhizal symbionts (Imhof, 2010). Additionally, total % N
4 data, derived from continuous-flow mass spectrometry was significantly greater in both *B.*
5 *coelestis* shoot (1.7 ± 0.1) and root (1.5 ± 0.1) relative to C₃ (1.2 ± 0.1) and C₄ (1.1 ± 0.1 % N)
6 reference plants (data not shown in results; ANOVA, F_{3,19} 7.3, $P < 0.001$) and was consistent
7 with patterns of greater N concentrations in partial mycoheterotrophic plants relative to
8 reference plants (e.g. Cameron and Bolin, 2010).

9 A phylogeny of Burmanniaceae indicates numerous losses of chlorophyll (Merckx et al.,
10 2008). Transitions to achlorophyllous mycoheterotrophy may be common in monocots such as
11 *Burmattia* due to low prostrate form, absence of secondary growth, and a fibrous root system
12 characteristics outlined by Imhof (2010) that may predispose or support a mycoheterotrophic
13 habit. The isotopic data collected *in situ* in this study supports the hypothesis that *B. coelestis* in
14 Brunei Darussalam operates as a partial mycoheterotroph. However the broad geographic
15 range of the *B. coelestis*, its taxonomic complexity (Zhang and Saunders, 2000) and potential
16 corresponding AMF diversity may make *B. coelestis* an intriguing model for further study. We
17 plan future experimental work to quantify the portion of carbon contributions from AMF to the
18 partial mycoheterotrophic plant and any return on investment the AMF may receive.

19 **Acknowledgements**

20 This work was supported by funding provided by the Brunei Research Council (UBD Science and
21 Technology Research Grant No. 8), Universiti Brunei Darussalam. Logistic and laboratory
22 facilities provided by the Faculty of Science, Universiti Brunei Darussalam are gratefully

1 acknowledged including assistance freely provided by Wang H. Chak, Aziah Muhamad, and
2 Sabiqah A. Salam. DDC is supported by a Royal Society University research fellowship. The
3 authors thank K.J. Wurdack and the support staff at the Laboratory of Analytical Biology,
4 National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC USA.

5 **References**

- 6
7 Abadie, J.-C., Püttsepp, Ü., Gebauer, G., Faccio, A., Bonfante, P. & Selosse, M.-A. (2006) *Cephalanthera*
8 *longifolia* (Neottieae, Orchidaceae) is mixotrophic: a comparative study between green and
9 nonphotosynthetic individuals. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **84**: 1462-1477.
- 10
11 Bidartondo, M. I., Burghardt, B., Gebauer, G., Bruns, T. D. & Read, D. J. (2004) Changing partners in the
12 dark: isotopic and molecular evidence of ectomycorrhizal liaisons between forest orchids and
13 trees. *Proc R Soc B*, **271**: 1799-1806.
- 14
15 Cameron, D. D. & Bolin, J. F. (2010) Isotopic evidence of partial mycoheterotrophy in the Gentianaceae:
16 *Bartonia virginica* and *Obolaria virginica* as case studies. *American Journal of Botany*, **97**: 1272-
17 1277.
- 18
19 Courty, P.-E., Walder, F., Boller, T., Ineichen, K., Wiemken, A., Rousteau, A. & Selosse, M.-A. (2011)
20 Carbon and nitrogen metabolism in mycorrhizal networks and mycoheterotrophic plants of
21 tropical forest: a stable isotope analysis. *Plant Physiology*, **156**: 952-961.
- 22
23 Emmerton, K. S., Callaghan, T. V., Jones, H. E., Leake, J. R., Michelsen, A. & Read, D. J. (2001) Assimilation
24 and isotopic fractionation of nitrogen by mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal subarctic plants. *New*
25 *Phytologist*, **151**: 513-524.
- 26
27 Gebauer, G. & Meyer, M. (2003) ¹⁵N and ¹³C natural abundance of autotrophic and myco-
28 heterotrophic orchids provides insight into nitrogen and carbon gain from fungal association.
29 *New Phytologist*, **160**: 209-223.
- 30
31 Grigera, G. & Oesterheld, M. (2004) Mycorrhizal colonization patterns under contrasting grazing and
32 topographic conditions in the flooding Pampa (Argentina). *Journal of Range Management*, **57**:
33 601-605.
- 34
35 Hynson, N. A., Madsen, T. P., Selosse, M.-A., Adam, I. K., Ogura-Tsujita, Y., Roy, M. & Gebauer, G. (Eds.)
36 (2013) *The physiological ecology of mycoheterotrophy*, Springer, Berlin.
- 37
38 Hynson, N. A., Preiss, K., Gebauer, G. & Bruns, T. D. (2009) Isotopic evidence of full and partial myco-
39 heterotrophy in the plant tribe Pyroleae (Ericaceae). *New Phytologist*, **182**: 719-726.

- 1 Imhof, S. (2010) Are monocots particularly suited to develop mycoheterotrophy. In Seberg, Peterson,
2 Barfod & Davis (eds.) *Diversity, phylogeny, and evolution in the monocotyledons*. Aarhus
3 University Press.
4
- 5 Jonker, F. P. (1948) Burmanniaceae. In van Steenis, C. (ed.) *Flora Malesiana, Ser. 1*. P. Noordhoff,
6 Djakarta.
7
- 8 Karti, P., Abdullah, L., Nulik, J., Nurhayati, Nahak, O. & Nofyantri, S. (2011) Effect of drought and
9 inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in enhancing productivity and tolerance mechanisms
10 in grasses. *Proceedings of the 7th Asian Crop Science Association* Bogor, Indonesia.
11
- 12 Keng, H., Chin, S. C. & Tan, H. T. V. (1998) *The concise flora of Singapore: Volume II Monocotyledons*,
13 Singapore University Press, Singapore.
14
- 15 Krüger, M., Krüger, C., Walker, C., Stockinger, H. & Schüßler, A. (2012) Phylogenetic reference data for
16 systematics and phytotaxonomy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from phylum to species level.
17 *New Phytologist*, **193**: 970-984.
18
- 19 Leake, J. R. (1994) The biology of myco-heterotrophic ('saprophytic') plants. *New Phytologist*, **127**: 171-
20 216.
21
- 22 Leake, J. R. & Cameron, D. D. (2010) Physiological ecology of mycoheterotrophy. *New Phytologist*, **185**:
23 601-605.
24
- 25 Liebel, H. T., Bidartondo, M. I., Preiss, K., Segreto, R., Stöckel, M., Rodda, M. & Gebauer, G. (2010) C and
26 N stable isotope signatures reveal constraints to nutritional modes in orchids from the
27 Mediterranean and Macaronesia. *American Journal of Botany*, **97**: 903-912.
28
- 29 Martos, F., Dulormne, M., Paillet, T., Bonfante, P., Faccio, A., Fournel, J., Dubois, M.-P. & Selosse, M.-A.
30 (2009) Independent recruitment of saprotrophic fungi as mycorrhizal partners by tropical
31 achlorophyllous orchids. *New Phytologist*, **184**: 668-681.
32
- 33 Merckx, V., Chatrou, L., Lemaire, B., Sainge, M., Huysmans, S. & Smets, E. (2008) Diversification of myco-
34 heterotrophic angiosperms: Evidence from Burmanniaceae. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **8**: 178.
35
- 36 Merckx, V., Schols, P., Kamer, H. M.-v. d., Maas, P., Huysmans, S. & Smets, E. (2006) Phylogeny and
37 evolution of Burmanniaceae (Dioscoreales) based on nuclear and mitochondrial data. *American*
38 *Journal of Botany*, **93**: 1684-1698.
39
- 40 Merckx, V., Stöckel, M., Fleischmann, A., Bruns, T. D. & Gebauer, G. (2010) ^{15}N and ^{13}C natural
41 abundance of two mycoheterotrophic and a putative partially mycoheterotrophic species
42 associated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist*, **188**: 590-596.
43
- 44 Merckx, V. S. F. T., Janssens, S. B., Hynson, N. A., Specht, C. D., Bruns, T. D. & Smets, E. F. (2012)
45 Mycoheterotrophic interactions are not limited to a narrow phylogenetic range of arbuscular
46 mycorrhizal fungi. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**: 1524-1532.

- 1 Motomura, H., Selosse, M.-A., Martos, F., Kagawa, A. & Yukawa, T. (2010) Mycoheterotrophy evolved
2 from mixotrophic ancestors: evidence in Cymbidium (Orchidaceae). *Annals of Botany*, **106**: 573-
3 581.
- 4
- 5 Nakano, A., Takahashi, K. & Kimura, M. (1999) The carbon origin of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
6 estimated from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of individual spores. *Mycorrhiza*, **9**: 41-47.
- 7
- 8 Ogura-Tsujita, Y., Gebauer, G., Hashimoto, T., Umata, H. & Yukawa, T. (2009) Evidence for novel and
9 specialized mycorrhizal parasitism: the orchid *Gastrodia confusa* gains carbon from saprotrophic
10 *Mycena*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**: 761-767.
- 11
- 12 Peterson, R. L., Massicotte, H. B. & Melville, L. H. (2004) *Mycorrhizas: anatomy and cell biology*, NRC
13 Research Press.
- 14
- 15 Tedersoo, L., Pellet, P., Kõljalg, U. & Selosse, M.-A. (2007) Parallel evolutionary paths to
16 mycoheterotrophy in understory Ericaceae and Orchidaceae: ecological evidence for
17 mixotrophy in Pyroleae. *Oecologia*, **151**: 206-217.
- 18
- 19 Těšitel, J., Plavcová, L. & Cameron, D. (2010) Heterotrophic carbon gain by the root hemiparasites,
20 *Rhinanthus minor* and *Euphrasia rostkoviana* (Orobanchaceae). *Planta*, **231**: 1137-1144.
- 21
- 22 Trudell, S. A., Rygielwicz, P. T. & Edmonds, R. L. (2003) Nitrogen and carbon stable isotope abundances
23 support the myco-heterotrophic nature and host-specificity of certain achlorophyllous plants.
24 *New Phytologist*, **160**: 391-401.
- 25
- 26 Wang, B. & Qiu, Y. L. (2006) Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants.
27 *Mycorrhiza*, **16**: 299-363.
- 28
- 29 Watanabe, T., Osaki, M. & Tadano, T. (1998) Effects of nitrogen source and aluminum on growth of
30 tropical tree seedlings adapted to low pH soils. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, **44**: 655-666.
- 31
- 32 Wu, D., Zhang, D. & Saunders, R. M. K. (2010) Burmanniaceae. *Flora of China*, **23**: 121-124.
- 33
- 34 Zhang, D. & Saunders, R. M. K. (2000) Systematics of the *Burmannia coelestis* complex (Burmanniaceae).
35 *Nordic Journal of Botany*, **20**: 385-394.
- 36
- 37 Zimmer, K., Hynson, N. A., Gebauer, G., Allen, E. B., Allen, M. F. & Read, D. J. (2007) Wide geographical
38 and ecological distribution of nitrogen and carbon gains from fungi in pyroloids and
39 monotropoids (Ericaceae) and in orchids. *New Phytologist*, **175**: 166-175.

40

41

42

43

1 **Table 2.** Mean of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) isotope profiles of *Burmannia coelestis* (shoot and root) and
 2 surrounding (reference) plants. Reference plants parsed into C₃ and C₄ groups for comparison against *B.*
 3 *coelestis* root and shoot portions. Summary data analyzed with ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* TUKEY
 4 test ($\alpha = 0.05$) to compare each group (*B. coelestis* root and shoot, C₃ and C₄ reference plants) ($n =$
 5 4). Values in each column sharing the same letter are not significantly different.

Species	Physiology	Rep.	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$
<i>Blechnum orientale</i>	C ₃	1	-33.21	-1.88
<i>Buchanania arborescens</i>	C ₃	1	-33.52	1.73
<i>Calamus blumei</i>	C ₃	1	-31.42	-19.32
<i>Desmodium heterophyllum</i>	C ₃	1	-30.87	2.27
<i>Dillenia suffruticosa</i>	C ₃	2	-32.15 \pm 0.83	-0.40 \pm 1.62
<i>Lycopodiella cernua</i>	C ₃	3	-31.35 \pm 1.27	0.45 \pm 1.88
<i>Macaranga gigantea</i>	C ₃	2	-31.01 \pm 0.07	-0.85 \pm 4.35
<i>Melastoma malabathricum</i>	C ₃	2	-31.28 \pm 0.42	-13.96 \pm 1.68
<i>Timonius eskerianus</i>	C ₃	1	-32.36	5.32
<i>Torenia polygonoides</i>	C ₃	2	-33.06 \pm 0.08	1.00 \pm 1.37
<i>Scleria ciliaris</i>	C ₃	2	-31.65 \pm 1.64	-0.15
<i>Axonopus affinis</i>	C ₄	2	-12.60 \pm 0.17	2.08 \pm 2.08
<i>Eleocharis retroflexa</i>	C ₄	1	-13.39	-2.24
<i>Ischaemum ciliare</i>	C ₄	3	-12.60 \pm 0.54	0.78 \pm 0.78
<i>Papsalum</i> sp.	C ₄	3	-14.40 \pm 0.16	0.85 \pm 0.85
Summary Data	Physiology	Rep.	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$
Mean of Reference Plants	C ₃	5	-31.26 \pm 0.38 a	-0.35 \pm 1.80 a
Mean of Reference Plants	C ₄	5	-12.64 \pm 0.22 d	-1.09 \pm 0.81 a
<i>Burmannia coelestis</i> (shoot)	C ₃	5	-28.65 \pm 0.64 b	1.47 \pm 0.67 a
<i>Burmannia coelestis</i> (root)	C ₃	5	-22.10 \pm 1.99 c	-1.20 \pm 1.18 a


6 Notes: ANOVA for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $F_{3,19} = 60.4$, $P < 0.001$; ANOVA for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $F_{3,19} = 1.06$, $P = 0.39$.

7

8 **Fig. 1.** Photographs of *Burmannia coelestis* (A) flowers and stem, (B) basal rosette, (C) coralloid roots
 9 (Scale Bars A = 2 cm; B = 1 cm; C = 0.5 mm).

10 **Fig. 2.** Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Relative to PDB) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (relative to air) for *Burmannia coelestis* root and shoot
 11 portions (circle symbol) relative to C₃ (diamond symbol) and C₄ (triangle symbol) reference plants. Error
 12 bars represent \pm 1 SE ($N=5$ for *B. coelestis*; $N=1-5$ for reference plants).

13 **Supplemental Fig. S1.** Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Relative to PDB) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (relative to air) for *Burmannia coelestis* root
 14 (open circle symbol) and shoot portions (filled circle symbol) relative to C₃ (diamond symbol) and C₄
 15 (triangle symbol) reference vegetation for each study area sampled (A – E). These plots of the raw data
 16 show the same pattern of ^{13}C enrichment from C₃ plants to *B. coelestis* to C₄ plants in each study area.




1

2 **Fig. 1.** Photographs of *Burmannia coelestis* (A) flowers and stem, (B) basal rosette, (C) coralloid roots
3 (Scale Bars A = 2 cm; B = 1 cm; C = 0.5 mm).

4

5



1

2

3

4

5 **Fig. 2.** Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Relative to PDB) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (relative to air) for *Burmannia coelestis* root and shoot
 6 portions (circle symbol) relative to C_3 (diamond symbol) and C_4 (triangle symbol) reference plants. Error
 7 bars represent ± 1 SE ($N=5$ for *B. coelestis*; $N=1-5$ for reference plants).

8

9

10