

The Involuntary Musical Imagery Scale (IMIS)

Georgia A. Floridou (corresponding author)
Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths, University of London
New Cross Road, New Cross
London SE14 6NW
Telephone: +44-77-6135-9106
e-mail: g.floridou@gold.ac.uk

Victoria J. Williamson
Department Of Music, University Of Sheffield, United Kingdom
Department of Music, Lucerne University Of Applied Sciences And Arts, Switzerland
School of Advanced Study, University of London, United Kingdom

Lauren Stewart
Goldsmiths, University of London
Music in the Brain, CFIN, Aarhus University, Denmark

Daniel Müllensiefen
Goldsmiths, University of London

Georgia Floridou is currently a PhD student in the Music, Mind and Brain group at Goldsmiths, University of London exploring involuntary musical imagery. She obtained her undergraduate degree from the Psychology Department of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (AUTH), Greece and her master from the Music, Mind and Brain course at Goldsmiths. Her research interests lie in involuntary musical imagery, involuntary memory, mind wandering, creativity and the role of individual differences in these phenomena.

Victoria Williamson is a Vice Chancellor's Fellow for the Arts and Humanities (Music) at the University of Sheffield, UK. At the time of writing she also held posts as Visiting Professor of Performance Science at the Hochschule Luzern - Musik, Switzerland and Visiting Fellow at the School of Advanced Study at the University of London. Her research interests focus on music memory, music for health and wellbeing (medical humanities), and music performance.

Lauren Stewart is Professor in Psychology at Goldsmiths, University of London and co-director of the Music, Mind and Brain research group. She conducts research on music perception and cognition, including musical disorders, plasticity and clinical applications of music.

Daniel Müllensiefen obtained his PhD in Systematic Musicology from the University of Hamburg. Since then he has been working as a Research Fellow in the computing department at Goldsmiths, University of London. He is now a senior lecturer and co-director of the MSc in Music, Mind and Brain at Goldsmiths psychology department.

Author Note: GAF was funded by a scholarship from the Greek State Scholarships Foundation. VJW was funded by a Vice Chancellors Fellowship from the University of Sheffield and by a Leverhulme Project Grant awarded to LS (RPG – 297), which also supported the latter.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Professor Andrea Halpern and Kelly Jakubowski for their contribution to the item writing of the IMIS (version 1). We

would also like to thank the reviewers for their useful comments and suggestions and the participants who completed the surveys.

Abstract

This report comprises three studies that delineate the development and validation of the Involuntary Musical Imagery Scale (IMIS) based on data from 2315 individuals. This new self-report inventory measures individual differences in involuntary musical imagery ('INMI', commonly referred to as "earworms"). The first study involved exploratory factor analysis, leading to the identification of a four-factor scale structure. The four factors are conceived as 'Negative Valence', 'Movement', 'Personal Reflections' and 'Help'. The second study confirmed this factor structure on an independent sample and derived indices of internal validity and test-retest reliability. The third study reports on IMIS correlates with existing measures of thinking style, imagery abilities and music-related behaviors. Results showed that the IMIS measures a unique construct compared to existing self-report inventories. Furthermore, significant correlations were found with a combination of self-reported musical behaviors on the one hand and tendencies to engage in task-unrelated thoughts on the other. Overall, these findings provide evidence that IMIS constitutes a reliable scale that captures individual differences in INMI and that its first application reveals previously uncaptured associations between INMI and certain cognitive and behavioral traits.

Keywords: involuntary musical imagery, individual differences, scale development, task-unrelated thoughts

Spontaneous cognitions, in the form of memories, concurrent processing and future planning, comprise a large part of everyday mental activity (Killingsworth & Gilbert, 2010; Kvavilashvili & Mandler, 2004). One prolific type of spontaneous, involuntary cognition is ‘involuntary musical imagery’ (INMI, or “earworms”). The term INMI describes the experience whereby a short section of music comes into the mind, spontaneously, without effort, and then repeats without conscious control (Liikkanen, 2012; Müllensiefen et al., 2014a; Williamson et al., 2012).

Estimates suggest that over 90% of people experience INMI at least once per week (Liikkanen, 2012). The majority of such experiences is evaluated as pleasant (Beaman & Williams, 2010; Halpern & Bartlett, 2011) although around a third of episodes are classified as annoying or disturbing (Hemming, 2009; Williamson, Liikkanen, Jakubowski, & Stewart, 2014). Many individuals develop active strategies to ameliorate unwanted INMI experiences (Williamson et al., 2014).

Studies exploring INMI have noted a number of triggers for the experience, including situational context and psychological states (moods/emotions) (Liikkanen, 2012; Williamson et al., 2012) and features of the music (Williamson & Müllensiefen, 2012). In addition, demographic studies related to INMI experiences have isolated pre-dispositional traits, including gender (Liikkanen, 2012, though see Beaman & Williams, 2010; Hyman et al., 2013), age (Liikkanen, 2012) and levels of musicality (Beaman & Williams, 2010; Floridou, Williamson & Müllensiefen, 2012; Liikkanen, 2012; Müllensiefen et al., 2014a).

Despite the growth of INMI research in recent years, few studies have focused on individual differences beyond demographic and lifestyle factors. The small number of studies that have been conducted outside these basic areas focus primarily on

personality factors, including the Big Five (Floridou et al., 2012), schizotypy, openness to experience and thought suppression (Beaman & Williams, 2013), transliminality (Wammes & Barušs, 2009) and non-clinical obsessive-compulsive trait (Müllensiefen et al., 2014a).

One of the abovementioned studies, in particular, is notable since it involved the development of a Musical Imagery Questionnaire (MIQ) (Wammes & Barušs, 2009). This scale, based on the assessment of 67 individuals, was used to measure INMI presence and its relationship to transliminality, susceptibility to and awareness of self-generated thoughts (Thalbourne & Delin, 1994). The authors identified six dimensions in the MIQ, namely Unconscious, Persistent, Entertainment, Completeness, Musicianship, and Distraction, and reported an association between transliminality and three of these factors (Unconscious, Persistent and Distraction). This questionnaire was valuable in aiding the identification of relationships between aspects of INMI experiences and individual differences, however the inclusion of items pertaining to non-spontaneous musical imagery and other musical behaviors in the scale means that it cannot be considered as a pure measure of the INMI experience.

Müllensiefen et al. (2014a) developed a questionnaire with an exclusive focus on the INMI experience, in order to investigate associations with personality. This questionnaire was completed by 1787 individuals and factor analysis yielded a single factor, 'INMI disturbance'. This factor included items relating to the subjective valence of INMI experiences and the degree to which INMI episodes were considered disturbing. This study demonstrated an interesting correlation between scores on 'INMI disturbance' and high levels of (sub-clinical) Obsessive Compulsive Trait, but the presence of only a single factor in the scale suggests that the original questionnaire

items were insufficiently broad to capture multiple aspects of the INMI experience. The present study was conducted with the aim of developing and validating an improved questionnaire, which would capture multiple aspects of the INMI experience. The existence of such a scale would allow the study of associations between distinct aspects of the INMI experience and a) involuntary but non-musical cognitions such as task-unrelated thoughts, b) voluntary auditory imagery and c) musical background and behaviors.

Overview of the Paper

The present paper is divided into three studies. The first study covers the development of the questionnaire items as well as an exploratory factor analysis to identify the scale factor structure. The second study validates the factor structure using confirmatory factor analysis on data from an independent sample. Finally, the third study comprises a series of correlations between the new Involuntary Musical Imagery Scale (IMIS) and a range of existing inventories that assess thinking styles, auditory imagery abilities, musical and nonmusical everyday behaviors.

Study 1: IMIS Development

Method

We first established a working definition of INMI: the experience of a short section of music that comes into the mind without effort (it is involuntary, i.e. it comes without

any intention to retrieve or remember the music) and then repeats (immediately repeating at least once, on a loop, i.e. without consciously trying to replay the musical image). This definition is congruent with other working definitions of INMI (Liikkanen, 2012; Müllensiefen et al., 2014a; Williamson et al., 2012). The content of the short section of music could include lyrics and a full orchestration, or it could just be a single melody or a rhythm. This detailed definition was subsequently used to describe INMI to participants. Questionnaire item writing was informed by an extensive review of the INMI literature and existing scales/questionnaires. In addition, we drew on thousands of reports from individuals contained within our pre-existing database of INMI reports (see Müllensiefen et al., 2014a; Williamson et al., 2012; Williamson et al., 2014).

From the above studies and reports, a list of items was compiled covering reported aspects of the INMI experience. This list of 68 items (see Appendix, Table 1) formed the basis of the first version of the IMIS. A preliminary thematic analysis grouped these 68 items into 9 dimensions: Intensity, Disturbance, Trigger, Match, Vividness, Valence, Content, Reaction and Length. For INMI Length a distinction was made between an INMI section (the section of a musical phrase that is experienced) and an INMI episode (the period of time for which a musical phrase is experienced).

A 5-point frequency scale (ranging from Always to Never) was used for all 68 items, with an additional category of Not Applicable (N/A) in order to identify and exclude items that were not considered relevant by participants. A screening item was positioned at the beginning of the questionnaire to identify individuals who never experience INMI and therefore would not be able to complete the rest of the questionnaire (“I experience earworms”: Always-Never).

Participants

A total of 360 individuals (231 female; 3 people chose not to disclose their gender) ranging in age from 19 to 89 years ($M = 47.61$, $SD = 14.81$) took part in Study 1. These individuals had completed an online survey concerning INMI between 2010 and 2012 (<http://www.earwormery.com>) and had indicated their willingness to be contacted for future studies.

Material and Procedure

Participants were asked to fill out the new 68 item online questionnaire implemented in Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). The questionnaire took about 10 minutes to complete.

Results and Discussion

An initial screening of the questionnaire indicated that 8 items had more than 5% of responses in the «Not applicable » option; these items were therefore excluded from the analysis. Four additional items were excluded because they were found to have a strongly skewed distribution. The remaining 56 items were carried forward for further analysis.

The initial exploratory factor analysis (EFA) based on the hypothesized 9 dimensions resulted in a shared variance of .32, while the scree plot of the factors' eigenvalues and a parallel analysis (Horn, 1965) suggested the existence of 6 factors.

This result indicated the need for further analyses in order to identify a coherent factor structure.

As a first step we removed 24 items that showed only weak relationships with all other questionnaire items, as indicated by high uniqueness scores ($> .50$) and/or low communality scores ($< .50$). We also excluded 13 items with low values on the anti-image matrix ($< .70$). This resulted in a total of 19 items remaining. A subsequent factor analysis on these 19 items using an oblique factor rotation yielded a four factor model as suggested by the scree plot, parallel analysis and the VSS criterion (Very Simple Structure; Revelle & Rocklin; 1979). The VSS criterion compares the fit of a number of factor solutions with the “simplified” loading matrix, that is, a matrix where only the c greatest loadings per item are retained and where c is taken as a measure of factor complexity. Four additional items were excluded from this solution because of their low loadings ($< .5$) and the model was then refit with the 15 remaining items. This final four-factor model explained .67 of the variance, with all factors having eigenvalues of > 1 .

The next step in the analysis was to define appropriate labels for the four factors. Items that loaded on the first factor indicated a negative (vs. positive) evaluation of the INMI experience and for this reason the factor was labeled ‘Negative Valence’. The second factor was related to the movement expressed while experiencing INMI and was labeled ‘Movement’. The third IMIS factor concerned personal responses to INMI and was labeled ‘Personal Reflections’. Finally, the fourth factor concerned the perceived utility of the INMI experience and was labeled ‘Help’. Details of the four factors, their items and loadings, are presented in Table 1.

Insert Table 1 about here

The factor model represents a compact scale covering four different dimensions related to INMI experiences, which are not covered by any of the existing scales. Three items from the initial questionnaire relating to INMI Frequency and Length (section, episode) did not load on any of the factors. However, because of their individual importance when characterizing the INMI experience they were retained as individual items in the subsequent questionnaire.

Study 2: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Test-Retest Reliability

The purpose of Study 2 was to confirm the four factor scale structure suggested by the EFA (Study 1), through a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) based on a different sample of participants. In addition, we aimed to establish the test-retest reliability of the IMIS scale (version 2) on a subset of participants from the same sample.

Method

Participants

A total of 2,671 individuals took part. Participants were recruited from our online databases derived from studies of INMI (<http://www.earwormery.com>) or amusia (www.delosis.com/listening/home.html; only individuals who did not score in the amusic range), or were 1st year undergraduate psychology students who took part for credits in a “pen and paper questionnaire” session of a course. We excluded individuals who had never experienced INMI ($n=29$) or reported severe hearing impairments ($n=356$). The final total of 2,286 participants (1370 female; 15 did not disclose their gender) ranged in age from 17 to 81 ($M = 42.6$, $SD = 13.5$). Of these participants, 2,141 came from the online survey and 145 from the pen and paper version. A subset of the participants who completed the online survey were invited to take the questionnaire for a second time, following an intervening period of two months. In total, 649 participants (373 female), ranging in age from 19-74 ($M = 45.71$, $SD = 12.22$) took the questionnaire on both occasions.

Procedure

Participants received an email invitation to complete the IMIS (version 2) online or completed a pen and paper version for the Confirmatory Factor Analysis purposes. In both cases participants filled in additional questionnaires (reported in Study 3). Completion of all the questionnaires took approximately 10-15 minutes.

Participants for the test-retest analysis received an email invitation approximately two months after the initial completion of IMIS (version 2). In addition to the IMIS they completed two new questionnaires (reported in Study 3), which took around 10 minutes.

Results and Discussion

Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Internal Reliability: Four different factor models were assessed, each using the four factors identified in the EFA (Study 1) but differing in the specification of the inter-factor correlations. The first model examined was the one previously identified in the EFA, which allows inter-factor correlations between all four factors. The second model did not allow any factor inter-correlations, while the third model included a general factor subsuming the inter-factor correlations into one factor. The fourth model contained a general factor with loadings from all items while the items were also loading on one of the four factors. The first model that allowed for inter-factor correlations – as originally identified by the EFA – had the best confirmatory fit to the data ($\chi^2 = 819.99$, $df = 80$) as can be seen from Table 2.

Insert Table 2 about here

The internal reliability of the subscales associated with each factor was assessed using three different measures (Cronbach's α , MacDonald's omega total, and Guttman's lambda6). All the subscales showed good or very good estimates of internal reliability, as given in Table 3.

Insert Table 3 about here

Table 3 also gives the test-retest correlations of the four factors, which were found to be moderate to high (.65 to .79) and significant at the $p < .05$ level or higher.

Overall, the confirmatory factor analysis and the observed reliability coefficients indicated that the 15-item IMIS questionnaire possessed the required level of reliability and internal validity, justifying its use as a measurement instrument to assess individual differences in INMI experiences as part of Study 3.

Study 3: Correlates of the IMIS

In Studies 1 and 2 we developed a scale (IMIS¹) with four factors related to the INMI experience, and confirmed its structure across samples and over time. Study 3 assessed correlations between the four IMIS factors, as well as items covering INMI Frequency and Length, with already validated instruments that capture potentially related thinking styles (spontaneous, task unrelated, intrusive and repetitive), music-related behaviors, and voluntary auditory imagery abilities. Thus the overall aim of this study was to identify how the IMIS may be related to similar constructs.

¹ The IMIS materials, including the scale and the scoring sheet can be found online at: <http://www.gold.ac.uk/music-mind-brain/imis/>

Method

Participants

The same participants took part in Study 2 and the current study. It is noted that while all participants completed the IMIS, the collection of data was carried out across different samples and at different points in time, meaning not all participants completed all of the other questionnaires. Table 4 summarizes sample sizes for each of the additional questionnaires.

Materials

Questionnaires were selected that measure individual differences in a) potentially related thinking styles, b) voluntary auditory imagery abilities, and c) general musical behaviors.

For thinking styles and everyday behaviors we selected: 1) the ‘Mind Wandering’, ‘Daydreaming Frequency’ and ‘Auditory Images in Daydreams’ subscales of the Imaginal Processes Inventory (IPI; Singer & Antrobus, 1970); 2) the ‘Intensity’, ‘Emotions’ and ‘Effectiveness’ subscales of the Cognitive Intrusion Questionnaire (CIQ; Freeston, Ladouceur, Gagnon, & Thibodeau, 1992) and 3) the Obsessive Compulsive Inventory – Revised questionnaire (OCI-R; Foa et al., 2002).

Two scales were selected in order to measure voluntary auditory imagery: 1) The Bucknell Auditory Imagery Scale (BAIS) and 2) the Clarity of Auditory Imagery Scale (CAIS; Willander & Baraldi, 2010).

Finally, for musical behaviors we selected: 1) The five subscales of the Goldsmiths Musical Sophistication Index (Gold-MSI; Müllensiefen, Gingras, Musil,

& Stewart, 2014b), measuring ‘Musical Training’, ‘Active Engagement’, ‘Perceptual Abilities’, ‘Singing Abilities’, and ‘Emotions’; 2) The ‘Reactive Musical Behavior’ subscale of the Music Experience Questionnaire (MEQ; Werner, Swope & Heide, 2006).

Procedure

All participants followed the same procedure as detailed in Study 2.

Results and Discussion

Given the gender bias of the sample (1,370 female, 901 male) a *t*-test was computed comparing the average INMI frequency ratings for females and males for significance. Although a significant difference was found suggesting INMI is more frequent in females ($t(2285)=-2.23, p=.026$), the effect size was very small ($d=0.04$).

Table 4 summarizes the correlations of the four IMIS factors and INMI characteristics with all the questionnaires used.

Insert Table 4 about here

‘Negative Valence’ (IMIS) was associated with INMI Length Episode ($r(2139) = .25, p < .05$). This relationship confirms previous findings that INMI disturbance is associated with the length of the episode (Müllensiefen et al., 2014a) and confirms that INMI disturbance is not related to the length of the musical section that is experienced ($r(2139) = .002, p =$). The correlation between INMI Frequency and all IMIS factors (except ‘Negative Valence’) indicates that individuals who experience more frequent INMI tend to move more with their INMI, find their INMI experiences to be related to their personal concerns and find INMI experiences to be useful. The absence of a significant correlation between INMI Frequency and ‘Negative Valence’ (IMIS) fails to bear out the commonly held view that prolific earworms are typically experienced as annoying, confirming the analogous findings of Liikkanen (2012) and Müllensiefen et al. (2014a).

IMIS, INMI Characteristics, Thinking Styles and Everyday Behaviors

All the IMIS factors, as well as INMI Frequency and INMI Length Episode, were significantly correlated with almost all the IPI subscales. In general, IMIS factors correlated with CIQ subscales except for ‘Effectiveness’ (in strategies to expunge the thought) and ‘Movement’ and ‘Help’ of the IMIS. OCI-R scores revealed significant relationships between two IMIS factors, ‘Negative Valence’ and ‘Personal Reflections’ and all OCI-R subscales, as well as total OCI-R score. This finding is in line with the relationship between high OC trait individuals and INMI disturbance as reported in Müllensiefen et al. (2014a).

IMIS, INMI characteristics and Auditory Imagery Abilities

No significant relationships were found between IMIS and the BAIS except for 'Movement' (IMIS) and 'Vividness' (BAIS: $r(143) = .25, p = ns$). CAIS showed significant but very low correlations (from $r(2139) = -.05, p < .05$ to $r(2139) = .07, p < .01$) with all the IMIS factors. These findings imply that there may be fundamental differences between voluntary and involuntary auditory imagery qualities.

IMIS, INMI characteristics and musical behaviors

'Active Engagement' (Gold-MSI) correlated with all IMIS factors, however 'Musical Training' (Gold-MSI) showed only low correlations with a subset of IMIS factors. This finding concurs with a similar finding reported in Müllensiefen et al. (2014a) and further contradicts anecdotal evidence that musicians experience more INMI compared to non-musicians. The only significant correlation ($r(2139) = .18, p < .01$) between the IMIS and 'Musical Training' (Gold-MSI) concerns 'Movement', which could be related to the fact that musicians have learned to respond through movement to music and, by extension, to INMI. In contrast, 'Musical Training' is not strongly associated with the other IMIS factors, which are more personal and subjective.

It is also important to note that although INMI Frequency is associated with all Gold-MSI subscales, it shows the lowest correlation ($r(2139) = .13, p < .01$) with 'Musical Training' and the highest ($r(647) = .26, p < .01$) with 'Singing Abilities' and 'Emotions'. This is in agreement with the report of Müllensiefen et al., (2014a) who

found that 'Singing' is the only musical behavior to be related positively to the frequency of INMI experiences.

The factor 'Emotions' (Gold-MSI) is related positively to all IMIS factors and in particular to 'Help' ($r(647)=.34, p<.01$). This suggests a possible overlap in the roles of both real and spontaneously imagined music in regulating mood and emotions. Congruence between mood/emotion states and INMI was reported by Williamson et al. (2012) in their study of INMI situational antecedents but this is the first finding to suggest that INMI may regulate these states as well as trigger them. The possible role of INMI as a form of regulation may extend to physical movement as well as psychological states. In the present study there were significant correlations between 'Reactive Musical Behavior' (MEQ) and all the IMIS factors, with the highest coming from 'Movement' ($r(2139)=.39, p<.01$). This relationship indicates similarities between physical responses to hearing real music and the experience of INMI.

IMIS concurrent validity

Findings that contribute to the concurrent validity of the IMIS come from the association of: 1) the 'Reactive Musical Behavior' subscale (MEQ) with the factor 'Movement' (IMIS: $r(2139)=.39, p<.01$); 2) all the IMIS factors (except 'Negative Valence') with the IPI subscales 'Daydreaming Frequency' and 'Auditory Images in Daydreams'; and 3) CIQ subscales 'Intensity' and 'Emotion' with 'Personal Reflections' (IMIS).

General Discussion

We report on the development of IMIS, a new self-report scale, which measures individual differences within the INMI experience. The four IMIS factors represent a range of behaviors, emotions, reactions and evaluations related to INMI. The factors cover, confirm and quantify aspects of INMI that have been explored before in isolation, bringing them together in a single measurement instrument for the first time. The development of the IMIS factors has also permitted new insights into INMI, which are highlighted below.

‘Negative Valence’ (IMIS) measures the subjective evaluation of the INMI experience. The reported evaluation of INMI has proven diverse in the literature, ranging from pleasant and neutral (Beaman & Williams, 2010; Halpern & Bartlett, 2011) to annoying and disturbing (Hemming, 2009; Williamson et al., 2014). This situation is likely to be a consequence of the different ways in which people have been asked about their subjective responses to INMI in the various studies. Therefore, this IMIS factor provides the potential for a more consistent picture regarding subjective responses to INMI in the future.

‘Movement’ (IMIS) reveals a new aspect of INMI related to embodied responses, one that has not yet been reported in the INMI literature but existed only as an implication in previous studies. Beaman and Williams (2010) found that the chorus is the part of a song most often experienced as INMI, which usually contains a catchy “vocal hook” (Kachulis, 2005). Furthermore, Müllensiefen et al., (2014a) reported an association between ‘Singing’ and the length of INMI episodes. These findings contribute to the understanding of the embodied responses in the INMI experience. In addition, similar findings relating musical imagery to the sensation or instigation of movement come from research on music listening (Werner, Swope &

Heide, 2006) where a factor measuring a similar behavior has been reported ('Reactive Musical Behavior': MEQ). This result suggests potential for overlap in embodied responses to hearing real music and experiencing spontaneous INMI, a link that could be explored with both behavioral and neuroimaging studies.

'Personal Reflections' (IMIS) represents a personal quality associated with INMI. The personal quality can be related to the INMI trigger or its content, but it is important to note that 'Personal Reflections' constitutes a separate component of the INMI experience that is not directly related to its subjective evaluation (valence), as indicated by the results of the factor analysis. This finding is in accordance with studies on task-unrelated thought (Klinger & Cox, 1987; Klinger, 2008; Andrews-Hanna, 2012; Smallwood, Fitzgerald, Miles & Phillips, 2009), which found that a large amount of spontaneous thoughts centers on personal concerns and unresolved matters.

The last IMIS factor, 'Help', comprises the beneficial and constructive aspects of INMI experiences. Throughout the literature INMI has been described as (un)pleasant, annoying and disruptive (Beaman & Williams, 2010; Halpern & Bartlett, 2011; Hemming, 2009; Williamson et al., 2014) but never as helpful. The finding that INMI can actually be perceived as a supportive experience on some occasions but disruptive on others concurs with background music studies, which have shown that music listening can have beneficial effects (Cassidy & MacDonald, 2007, Kang & Williamson, 2013; Schellenberg, Nakata, Hunter, & Tamoto, 2007) but also disruptive consequences (Avila, Furnham, & McClelland, 2012; Schellenberg, 2012) on task performance depending on the type of music (Jäncke, Brüger, Brummer, Scherrers, & Alahmadi, 2014; Judde & Rickard, 2010; Rickard, Wong & Velik, 2012), personality (Furnham & Allass, 1999) or the level of neurophysiological

arousal related to music (Furnham & Strbac, 2002; Jones, West & Estell, 2006). This result is also in accordance with studies on task-unrelated thought that demonstrated beneficial (Baird, Smallwood, & Schooler, 2010; Franklin, Mrazek, et al., 2013) but also disruptive effects of the experience (Cheyne Solman, Carriere & Smilek 2009; Mrazek, Smallwood & Schooler, 2012; Smallwood et al., 2004). The factor ‘Help’ (IMIS) could therefore potentially reflect similarities in the attributes of unfocused music listening, INMI and task-unrelated thought.

We observed strong associations between INMI and task-unrelated thought. For example, all the IMIS factors and INMI frequency were associated with measures of mind wandering (IPI), daydreaming (IPI) and musical behaviors (Gold-MSI, MEQ). This result concurs with previous findings that captured analogous relationships with self-report measures of musical and general activities (Hyman et al., 2013; Liikkanen, 2012; Müllensiefen et al., 2014a; Williamson et al., 2012), thereby linking task-unrelated thought and music behaviors with the INMI experience.

In summary, IMIS is a novel, reliable and validated instrument that allows for systematic measurement of multiple, distinct aspects of the INMI experience. We envisage that the scale will be of use to researchers who aim to understand the origins of the INMI experience, as well as the commonalities and differences between INMI and other forms of spontaneous cognition, in terms of phenomenology and possible function.

References

- Andrews-Hanna, J.R. (2012). The brain's default network and its adaptive role in internal mentation. *The Neuroscientist*, 18(3): 251-70.
- Avila, C., Furnham, A., & McClelland, A. (2012). The influence of distracting familiar vocal music on cognitive performance of introverts and extraverts. *Psychology of Music*, 40(1), 84–93.
- Baird, B., Smallwood, J., & Schooler, J. W. (2010). I can shake that feeling: Positive mind- wandering prevents the deterioration of mood. In Poster presented at: Toward a science of consciousness, Tucson, AZ.
- Beaman, C. P., & Williams, T. I. (2013). Individual differences in mental control predict involuntary musical imagery. *Musicae Scientiae*, 17 (4), 398-409 doi: [10.1177/1029864913492530](https://doi.org/10.1177/1029864913492530)
- Beaman, C. P., & Williams, T. I. (2010). Earworms (stuck song syndrome): towards a natural history of intrusive thoughts. *British Journal of Psychology*, 101(4), 637-653.

- Cassidy, G., & MacDonald, R. (2007). The effect of background music and background noise on the task performance of introverts and extraverts. *Psychology of Music, 35*, 517–537.
- Cheyne, J. A., Solman, G. J. F., Carriere, J. S. A., & Smilek, D. (2009). Anatomy of an error: A bidirectional state model of task engagement/disengagement and attention-related errors. *Cognition, 111*, 98–113.
- Floridou, G. A., Williamson, V. J., & Müllensiefen, D. (2012, July). Contracting earworms: The roles of personality and musicality. In E. Cambouropoulos, C. Tsougras, P. Mavromatis, & K. Pasiadis (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition and the 8th Triennial Conference of the European Society for the Cognitive Sciences Of Music*, Thessaloniki, Greece, 516–518.
- Foa, E. B., Huppert, J. D., Leiberg, S., Langner, R., Kichic, R., Hajcak, G., & Salkovskis, P. M. (2002). The obsessive-compulsive inventory: Development and validation of a short version. *Psychological Assessment, 14*, 485–496.
- Franklin, M. S., Mrazek, M. D., Anderson, C. L., Smallwood, J., Kingstone, A., & Schooler, J. (2013). The silver lining of a mind in the clouds: Interesting musings are associated with positive mood while mind-wandering. *Frontiers in Perception Science, 4*, 583. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00583>.

- Freeston, M. H., Ladouceur, R., Thibodeau, N., & Gagnon, F. (1992). Cognitive intrusions in a non-clinical population. II. Associations with depressive, anxious and compulsive symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 30, 263-271.
- Furnham, A., & Allass, K. (1999). The Influence of Musical Distraction of Varying Complexity on the Cognitive Performance of Extraverts and Introverts. *European Journal of Personality*, 13, 27–38.
- Furnham, A., & Strbac, L. (2002). Music is as distracting as noise: The differential distraction of background music and noise on the cognitive test performance of introverts and extraverts. *Ergonomics*, 45, 203–217.
- Halpern, A. R., & Bartlett, J. C. (2011). The persistence of musical memories: A descriptive study of earworms. *Music Perception*, 28, 425–443.
- Hemming, J. (2009). Zur Phänomenologie des 'Ohrwurms' in W. Auhagen, C. Bullerjahn & H. Höge (Eds.) *Musikpsychologie - Musikalisches Gedächtnis und musikalisches Lernen. Jahrbuch 20* (pp. 184-207). Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe.
- Horn, J. (1965). A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 30(2), 179–185.

- Hyman, I. E. Jr., Burland, N. K., Duskin, H. M., Cook, M. C., & Roy, C. M. (2013). Going Gaga: Investigating, creating, and manipulating the song stuck in my head. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 27* (2): 204–215. doi: 10.1002/acp.2897.
- Jäncke, L., Brüger, E., Brummer, M., Scherrers, S., & Alahmadi. (2014). Verbal learning in the context of background music: no influence of vocals and instrumentals on verbal learning. *Behavioral and Brain Functions, 10*:10, doi:10.1186/1744-9081-10-10.
- Jones, M. H., West, S. D., & Estell, D. B. (2006). The Mozart effect: Arousal, preference, and spatial performance. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 1*, 26-32.
- Judde, S., & Rickard, N. (2010). The effect of post-learning presentation of music on long-term word-list retention. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, 94*, 13-20.
- Kachulis, J. (2005). Lesson one: Writing a chorus. *Songwriting workshop: Hit song forms*. Boston, MA: Berklee College of Music. Retrieved from http://www.berkleeshares.com/song-writing__arranging/writing_chorus_
- Kang, H. J., & Williamson, V. J. (2013). Background music can aid second language learning. *Psychology of Music, 42*, 728-747. doi: 10.1177/0305735613485152.

Killingsworth, M.A. & Gilbert, D.T. (2010) A wandering mind is an unhappy mind.

Science, 330, 932.

Klinger, E. (2008). “Daydreaming and fantasizing: thought flow and motivation,” in

K. D. Markman, W. M. P. Klein, and J. A. Suhr (Eds.), *Handbook of*

Imagination and Mental Simulation (pp. 225-239), , New York, NY:

Psychology Press.

Klinger, E., & Cox, W. M. (1987). Dimensions of thought flow in everyday life.

Imagination Cognition and Personality, 7, 105–128. doi: 10.2190/7K24-

G343- MTQW-115V

Kvavilashvili, L., & Mandler, G. (2004). Out of one’s mind: A study of involuntary

semantic memories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 48, 47–94.

Liikkanen, L. A. (2012). Musical activities predispose to involuntary musical

imagery. *Psychology of Music*, 40, 236–256.

Mrazek, M. D., Smallwood, J., & Schooler, J. W. (2012). Mindfulness & mind-

wandering: Finding convergence through opposing constructs. *Emotion*,

12(13), 442–448.

- Müllensiefen, D., Fry, J., Jones, R., Jilka, S. R., Stewart, L., & Williamson, V. J. (2014a). Individual differences predict patterns in spontaneous involuntary musical imagery. *Music Perception, 31*(4), 323–338, ISSN 0730-7829, doi:10.1525/MP.2014.31.4.323.
- Müllensiefen, D., Gingras, B., Musil, J., & Stewart, L. (2014b). The Musicality of Non-Musicians: An Index for Assessing Musical Sophistication in the General Population. *PLoS ONE, 9*(2): e89642. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089642.
- Revelle, W., & Rocklin, T. (1979). Very simple structure: An alternative procedure for estimating the optimal number of interpretable factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 14*, 403–414.
- Rickard, N., Wong, W. W., & Velik, L. (2012) Relaxing music counters heightened consolidation of emotional memory. *Neurobiology of Learning & Memory, 97*, 220-228.
- Schellenberg, E. G. (2012). Cognitive performance after music listening: A review of the Mozart effect. In R. A. R. MacDonald, G. Kreutz, & L. Mitchell (Eds.), *Music, health and wellbeing* (pp. 324–338). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Schellenberg, E. G., Nakata, T., Hunter, P. G., & Tamoto, S. (2007). Exposure to music and cognitive performance: Tests of children and adults. *Psychology of Music, 35*, 5-19.

Singer, J., & Antrobus, J. (1970). *Imaginal Process Inventory*. Center for Research in Cognition and Affect. C.U.N.Y. copyright 1966, revised 1970.

Smallwood, J., Davies, J. B., Heim, D., Finnigan, F., Sudberry, M., & O'Connor, R. (2004). Subjective experience and the attentional lapse: Task engagement and disengagement during sustained attention. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 13(4), 657–690. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2004.06.003>.

Smallwood, J., Fitzgerald, A., Miles, L. K., & Phillips, L. H. (2009). Shifting moods, wandering minds: negative moods lead the mind to wander. *Emotion*, 9(2), 271–276. 2009-04472-013 [pii].

Thalbourne, M.A., & Delin, P.S. (1994). 'A Common Thread Underlying Belief in the Paranormal, Creative Personality, Mystical Experience and Psychopathology'. *Journal of Parapsychology*, 58, 3–38.

Wammes, M., & Barušs, I. (2009). Characteristics of spontaneous musical imagery. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 16(1), 37–61.

Werner, P. D., Swope, A. J., & Heide, F. J. (2006). The music experience questionnaire: Development and correlates. *The Journal of Psychology*, 140, 329-345.

Willander, J., & Baraldi, S. (2010). Development of a new Clarity of Auditory Imagery Scale. *Behavioral Research Methods*, *42*(3), 785-90. doi: 10.3758/BRM.42.3.785.

Williamson, V. J., & Müllensiefen, D. (2012). Earworms from three angles: Situational antecedents, personality predisposition and the quest for a musical formula. In E. Cambouropoulos, C. Tsougras, P. Mavromatis, & K. Pastiadis (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition and the 8th Triennial Conference of the European Society for the Cognitive Sciences Of Music*, Thessaloniki, Greece, 1124-1132.

Williamson, V. J., Jilka, S. R., Fry, J., Finkel, S., Mullensiefen, D., & Stewart, L. (2011). How do “earworms” start? Classifying the everyday circumstances of involuntary musical imagery. *Psychology of Music*, *40*, 259–284.

Williamson, V. J., Liikkanen, L. A., Jakubowski, K., & Stewart, L. (2014) Sticky Tunes: How Do People React to Involuntary Musical Imagery? *PLoS ONE*, *9*(1): e86170. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086170

Appendix

Insert Appendix, Table 1 about here