



This is a repository copy of *The Kalman-Bucy Filter for Integrable Levy Processes with Infinite Second Moment* .

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/92081/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Applebaum, D. and Blackwood, S. (2015) The Kalman-Bucy Filter for Integrable Levy Processes with Infinite Second Moment. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 52 (3). pp. 636-648. ISSN 0021-9002

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021900200113348>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

The Kalman-Bucy Filter for Integrable Lévy Processes with Infinite Second Moment

David Applebaum*, Stefan Blackwood†
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Sheffield,
Sheffield S3 7RH
United Kingdom.

Abstract

We extend the Kalman-Bucy filter to the case where both the system and observation processes are driven by finite dimensional Lévy processes, but whereas the process driving the system dynamics is square-integrable, that driving the observations is not; however it remains integrable. The main result is that the components of the observation noise that have infinite variance make no contribution to the filtering equations. The key technique used is approximation by processes having bounded jumps.

Keywords: Lévy process, Riccati equation, Kalman-Bucy filter.

MSC 2010: 60G51, 93E11, 60H10, 60G35, 62M20

1 Introduction

The Kalman-Bucy filter is the continuous time version of the famous Kalman filter, which was developed in discrete time to find the “best estimate” of a linear system based on observations which are also assumed to dynamically evolve in a linear fashion. These techniques have had an enormous influence on control theory and signal processing, as well as the analysis of time series, and have found important applications within such areas as navigational and guidance systems and satellite orbit determination (see e.g. [8].)

*D.Applebaum@sheffield.ac.uk

†stefan.blackwood@sheffield.ac.uk

In this paper we focus on the continuous time Kalman-Bucy filter. This is usually presented with Brownian motion as driving noise (see e.g. [15] chapter 6, [4] section 6.2 or [19] Chapter 9), although it can be more generally developed when the noise has orthogonal increments [10], or is a fractional Brownian motion [13]. But all of these extensions require that both the signal and the observation noise have a finite second moment for all time. An exception to this is the paper [7], but that uses a very different approach to the one that we will present, and it seems that the only concrete examples that fit naturally into that framework are the α -stable Lévy processes. We also mention [1] that deals with a very similar problem to ours. We compare the approach of that paper with ours below. An extension of the discrete time Kalman filter to deal with some noise distributions having heavy tails may be found in [18].

Lévy processes are the most general class of stochastic processes with suitably regular sample paths that have stationary and independent increments. Although they have been part of the classical theory of probability and stochastic processes since the 1930s, they are currently going through a stage of intense development and broad application due to their flexibility in modeling phenomena that combine continuous random motion with discontinuous jumps of arbitrary size. There have been many interesting applications of these processes, in e.g. mathematical finance (see Chapter 5 of [2] and [9]), evolutionary biology [5] and signal processing [16, 3].

In this paper we describe how the Kalman-Bucy filter can be extended to Lévy noise in both the system and observations, with the system noise having a finite second moment while the observation noise has infinite second moment but finite mean. Our method is to truncate the size of the jumps in the observation noise, set up standard Kalman-Bucy filters for processes having finite second moments, and then take a passage to the limit. We are thus able to obtain a standard form of the Kalman-Bucy filter for a natural L^1 linear estimate of the system; note however that the solution of the Riccati equation cannot any longer be interpreted as a “mean square error”, although it is a limit of such terms. The components of the observation noise that have infinite variance make no contribution to either the Riccati equation or the Kalman-Bucy filter. In particular, if the variance of every component blows up to infinity (and this always happens in the one-dimensional case in this context) then the limiting Riccati equation linearises, the Kalman-Bucy filter is deterministic, and is the solution of a linear first-order differential equation.

In contrast to our linear filter, [1] obtain a non-linear optimal filter for the *best measurable estimate*. Having the system evolve with Gaussian noise is also a vital aspect of their set-up, indeed the authors describe this as a “significant limitation” in the closing paragraph of their paper. We do not

discuss optimality of the filter in this paper; this seems to be quite a difficult problem in our Banach space setting, where we cannot exploit orthogonality as in the standard Hilbert space framework.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we gather together all the facts that we need about Lévy processes. In section 3, we set up the standard Kalman-Bucy filter for Lévy processes having finite second moments. In section 4 we describe the limiting processes, and obtain our main results. Some numerical simulations are presented in section 5, where we make practical comparisons of our filter with those of [1] and [7]. A more detailed account of the results presented here can be found in [6]. Finally we remark that non-linear filtering with Lévy processes has also recently received some development, and we direct the interested reader to [14, 17, 6].

Notation. In this paper we will work with vectors in \mathbb{R}^d where d takes at least four different values. If $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote its Euclidean norm by $|v|$ or $|v|_d$ if we want to emphasise the dimension of the space in which v lies. If A is a $d \times m$ real valued matrix, its transpose will be denoted by A^T . For such matrices the operator norm is defined to be $\|A\|_\infty = \sup\{|Au|_d; |u|_m = 1\}$ and we frequently use the fact that if $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ then $|Au|_d \leq \|A\|_\infty |u|_m$. In the last part of the paper we will also need the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|A\|_{hs} := \text{tr}(A^T A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (where tr denotes the trace) and we recall the well known inequality $\|A_1 A_2\|_{hs} \leq \|A_1\|_\infty \|A_2\|_{hs}$. If (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability space, we use $\|\cdot\|_p$ to denote the norm in $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, so that if $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\|X\|_p = \mathbb{E}(|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. In this paper we will only be interested in the cases $p = 1, 2$ and we note that $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded into $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and that the embedding is a contraction. If P is an orthogonal projection acting in a Hilbert space, we write $P^\perp := I - P$, where I is the identity operator.

2 Preliminaries on Lévy Processes

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ be a filtration of \mathcal{F} that satisfies the “usual hypotheses” of right continuity and completeness. Let $L = (L(t), t \geq 0)$ be a Lévy process taking values in \mathbb{R}^d , that is defined on this space and adapted to the given filtration. This means that L has stationary and independent increments in the strong sense that $L(t) - L(s)$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s for all $0 \leq s < t < \infty$, that L is stochastically continuous and has càdlàg paths, and that $L(0) = 0$ (a.s.). Under these conditions, we

have the *Lévy-Itô decomposition* (see [2], section 2.4, pp.112-31)

$$L(t) = bt + W_a(t) + \int_{|y| \leq 1} y \tilde{N}(t, dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} y N(t, dy) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Here $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, W_a is a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d with $\text{Cov}(W_a^i(s), W_a^j(t)) = (s \wedge t)a_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d, s, t \geq 0$ where $a = (a_{ij})$ is a non-negative definite symmetric $d \times d$ matrix, N is a Poisson random measure defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ which is independent of W_a , and \tilde{N} is its compensator for which $\tilde{N}(dt, dy) = N(dt, dy) - dt\nu(dy)$, where ν is a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. a Borel measure for which $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|y|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(dy) < \infty$. Note that we can always write $W_a^i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^i W^j(t)$ where $W = (W^1, \dots, W^m)$ is a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^m and $\sigma = (\sigma_j^i)$ is a $d \times m$ matrix for which $\sigma\sigma^T = a$.

We say that a Lévy process L is p -integrable for $p > 0$ if $\mathbb{E}(|L(t)|^p) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$. It is well-known (see e.g. [2], section 2.5, pp.131-3) that L is p -integrable for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $\int_{|y| > 1} |y|^p \nu(dy) < \infty$. In particular we will frequently deal with integrable Lévy processes ($p = 1$) which are also centred, i.e. $\mathbb{E}(L(t)) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. In this case the Lévy-Itô decomposition can be written

$$L(t) = W_a(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y \tilde{N}(t, dy). \quad (2.2)$$

If such a process L is also square-integrable ($p = 2$) we have $\text{Cov}(L^i(s), L^j(t)) = (s \wedge t)\Theta_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d, s, t \geq 0$, where $\Theta_{ij} = a_{ij} + \psi_{ij}$ and

$$\psi_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y^i y^j \nu(dy). \quad (2.3)$$

We always assume that the matrix $\psi = (\psi_{ij})$ is non-degenerate and so has strictly positive determinant. We will also need $\lambda = \text{tr}(\Theta)$ and note that

$$\lambda = \text{tr}(a) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 \nu(dy). \quad (2.4)$$

Next we describe an approximation result that we will find useful in section 4. Let L be a centred integrable Lévy process as in (2.2) and let $(L_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be the sequence of centred square-integrable Lévy processes where each L_n has the Lévy-Itô decomposition (for $t \geq 0$)

$$L_n(t) = W_a(t) + \int_{|y| \leq n} y \tilde{N}(t, dy). \quad (2.5)$$

Proposition 2.1 *The sequence $(L_n(t), n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $L(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ in the L^1 -sense and the convergence is uniform on finite intervals.*

Proof. For all $T \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|L(t) - L_n(t)\|_1 &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_{|y| > n} y \tilde{N}(t, dy) \right| \right) \\ &\leq 2T \int_{|y| \geq n} |y| \nu(dy) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the well-known fact that if $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \nu)$ then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) \tilde{N}(t, dy) \right| \right) \leq 2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(y)| \nu(dy). \quad \square$$

Now suppose that L is integrable but not square-integrable. Then $\int_{|y| \geq 1} |y|^2 \nu(dy) = \infty$ and so $\int_{|y| \geq 1} y_i^2 \nu(dy) = \infty$ for q values of i where $1 \leq i \leq d$. Assume for simplicity that these q values are $1, 2, \dots, q$ and write $\beta_\nu(n) := \max \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq n} y_i^2 \nu(dy); 1 \leq i \leq q \right\}$. In order to develop a manageable theory, we will also make the assumption that $\left| \int_{|y| \geq 1} y_i y_j \nu(dy) \right| < \infty$ for all $i \neq j$. An example of such a set up arises when the components of L are independent, centred one-dimensional Lévy processes, q of which have infinite variance. In that case, for each $i \neq j$,

$$\int_{|y| \geq 1} y_i y_j \nu(dy) = \left(\int_{|y| \geq 1} y_i \nu(dy) \right) \left(\int_{|y| \geq 1} y_j \nu(dy) \right) = 0.$$

More generally, we say that L is of *fully infinite variance* whenever $q = d$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Lambda^{(n)} = (\Lambda_{ij}^{(n)})$ be the covariance matrix corresponding to the jump part of the process L^n , as in (2.3). In section 4, we will require the existence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^{(n)})^{-1}$. If $d = 1$ it is easy to see that this limit exists and is zero. If $d > 1$ and $q = d$, then each element of the matrix of cofactors of $\Lambda^{(n)}$ is $O(\beta_\nu(n)^\alpha)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $1 \leq \alpha \leq d - 1$, however $\det(\Lambda^{(n)})$ is $O(\beta_\nu(n)^d)$. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^{(n)})^{-1} = 0$ also in this case.

If $d > 1$ and $q < d$ then the sequence $(\Lambda^{(n)})^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ may converge to a non-zero limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For an illustrative example, let $(e_i, 1 \leq i \leq d)$ be the natural basis in \mathbb{R}^d and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let L_n be the Lévy process:

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^q J_n^{(i)}(t) e_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^d W^{(i)}(t) e_i,$$

where $t \geq 0, W^{(q+1)}, \dots, W^{(d)}$ are independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions and $J_n^{(1)}, \dots, J_n^{(q)}$ are independent one-dimensional pure

jump Lévy processes, which are also independent of all the $W^{(i)}$'s, with each $J_n^{(i)}(t) = \int_{|y_i| < n} y \tilde{N}_i(t, dy_i)$ having Lévy measure that is concentrated on the linear span of the vector e_i . In this case, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Lambda^{(n)} = \text{diag} \left(\int_{y_1^2 < n} y_1^2 \nu_1(dy), \dots, \int_{y_q^2 < n} y_q^2 \nu_q(dy), 1, \dots, 1 \right),$$

and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^{(n)})^{-1} = \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1).$$

In the sequel, we will always assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^{(n)})^{-1}$ exists, and we denote the limit by Υ_∞ .

3 The Kalman-Bucy Filter With Square-Integrable Lévy Processes

The Kalman-Bucy filter has been extensively developed in the case where the noise (at both the system and the observation level) is in the general class of processes with orthogonal increments (see e.g. [10]) and the theory we describe in this section is no more than a special case of this. However it is important for us to write down the key equations as we will need to use these in the main part of the paper where we go beyond the framework of square-integrability. We also point out that in the literature (see also e.g. [15, 4, 19]), it is typical for authors to present the theory using standard Brownian motion, or a noise process whose covariance matrix is the identity. When we use Lévy processes, the covariance matrix is typically non-trivial (see (2.3)) and it will play a key role in the approximation scheme that we develop in the next section.

We begin by formulating the general linear filtering problem within the context of Lévy processes. Let L_1 and L_2 be independent, centred, square-integrable Lévy processes defined on Ω and taking values in \mathbb{R}^l and \mathbb{R}^p (respectively). In the sequel we will use the notation b_i, ν_i, λ_i etc. when dealing with characteristics of Lévy processes $L_i (i = 1, 2)$ as described in section 2.

Consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} :

$$dY(t) = A(t)Y(t-)dt + B(t)dL_1(t), \quad (3.6)$$

Here A and B are locally bounded left continuous functions taking values in the space of $d_1 \times d_1$ and $d_1 \times l$ matrices (respectively). We assume that the initial condition $Y_0 := Y(0)$ is a \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable. Under

these conditions, the SDE (3.6) has a unique càdlàg solution (see e.g. [2], Chapter 6) $Y = (Y(t), t \geq 0)$ taking values in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} . We call Y the *system process*. Note that using the variation of constants formula we have

$$Y(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t A(u) du \right\} Y(0) + \int_0^t \exp \left\{ \int_s^t A(u) du \right\} B(s) dL_1(s), \quad (3.7)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We have that $\mathbb{E}(|Y(t)|^2) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$ (see e.g. Corollary 6.2.4 on p.373 of [2].) Now let $d_2 \leq d_1$ and let C and D be locally bounded, left continuous functions taking values in the space of $d_2 \times d_1$ and $d_2 \times p$ matrices (respectively). Then the SDE

$$dZ(t) = C(t)Y(t)dt + D(t)dL_2(t) \quad (3.8)$$

with initial condition $Z(0) = 0$ (a.s.) has a unique càdlàg solution $Z = (Z(t), t \geq 0)$ taking values in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} . We call Z the *observation process*. Just as in the case of the system, $\mathbb{E}(|Z(t)|^2) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$.

From now on we will restrict ourselves to working on a time interval $[0, T]$. We will also assume that the $d_2 \times d_2$ matrix $D(t)D(t)^T$ is invertible for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and that the mapping $t \rightarrow D(t)D(t)^T$ is bounded away from zero. We define $G(t) := (D(t)D(t)^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Let $X = (X(t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be a square-integrable stochastic process defined on Ω and taking values in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} . Let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the closure in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ of all finite linear combinations of random variables of the form

$$c_0 + c_1 X(t_1) + \dots + c_n X(t_n)$$

where $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, c_1, \dots, c_n$ are arbitrary $d_1 \times d_2$ matrices and $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$. We will use P_X to denote the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ whose range is $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Now for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, we define

$$\widehat{Y}(t) = P_Z(Y(t)),$$

then \widehat{Y} is the *best linear estimator* of Y . Note that $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{Y}(t)) = \mathbb{E}(Y(t))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\widehat{Y}(0) = \mu_0 := \mathbb{E}(Y_0)$. We define the *innovations process* $N = (N(t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ by the prescription:

$$N(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t C(s)\widehat{Y}(s-)ds,$$

then N is a process with orthogonal increments taking values in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} . We further introduce the process $R = (R(t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ taking values in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} which is the unique solution of the SDE:

$$dR(t) = G(t)dN(t),$$

with $R(0) = 0$ (a.s.). Then R is centred and has orthogonal increments with covariance $\mathbb{E}(R(s)R(t)^\mathcal{T}) = \Sigma_2(s \wedge t)$ for all $0 \leq s, t \leq T$ where for $0 \leq u \leq T$,

$$\Sigma_2(u) := \int_0^u G(r)D(r)\Lambda_2D(r)^\mathcal{T}G(r)^\mathcal{T}dr.$$

We assume that $\Sigma_2(t)^{-1}$ exists for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and that the mapping $t \rightarrow \Sigma_2(t)^{-1}$ is bounded. Note that we also have that $\mathbb{E}(R(s)^\mathcal{T}R(t)) = \lambda_2(s \wedge t)$. We then find that for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have the following representation of the estimator.

$$\widehat{Y}(t) = \mathbb{E}(Y(t)) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E}(Y(s)R(t)^\mathcal{T})\Sigma_2(s)^{-1}ds.$$

We define the *mean squared error*

$$S(t) = \mathbb{E}((Y(t) - \widehat{Y}(t))(Y(t) - \widehat{Y}(t))^\mathcal{T}),$$

for each $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then S satisfies the *Riccati equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= A(t)S(t) + S(t)A(t)^\mathcal{T} + B(t)\Lambda_1B(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad - S(t)C(t)^\mathcal{T}G(t)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2(t)^{-1}G(t)C(t)S(t)^\mathcal{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

with initial condition $S(0) = \text{Cov}(Y_0)$, and the *Kalman-Bucy filter* represents the best linear estimate \widehat{Y} as the solution of the following SDE:

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{Y}(t) &= A(t)\widehat{Y}(t)dt \\ &\quad + S(t)C(t)^\mathcal{T}G(t)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2(t)^{-1}G(t)(dZ(t) - C(t)\widehat{Y}(t)dt). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Full details of the above derivations can be found in [6], but we stress that these results are essentially known (see e.g. [10]).

4 The Kalman-Bucy Filter With Integrable (Infinite Second Moment) Lévy Processes

From now on we assume that the Lévy process L_2 is centred and integrable so that it has Lévy-Itô decomposition (2.2). We further assume that it fails to be square-integrable, i.e. $\mathbb{E}(|L_2(t)|^2) = \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and so $\int_{|y|>1} |y|^2\nu(dy) = \infty^1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the approximating Lévy processes $L_2^{(n)}$ that

¹From now on, we drop the subscript $_2$ for all characteristics and component processes associated with L_2 .

have Lévy-Itô decomposition (2.5). We now set up a sequence of observation processes $(Z_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$dZ_n(t) = C(t)Y(t-)dt + D(t)dL_2^{(n)}(t) \quad (4.11)$$

Proposition 4.1 *The sequence $(Z_n(t), n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $Z(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ in the L^1 -sense and the convergence is uniform on finite intervals.*

Proof. This is by a similar argument to that of Proposition 2.1. \square

For simplicity we now write $L^p(\Omega) := L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^{d_1}), p = 1, 2$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can form the linear spaces $\mathcal{L}(Z_n)$ as described in section 3. The orthogonal projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $\mathcal{L}(Z_n)$ is denoted P_n and for each $0 \leq t \leq T$, we write $\hat{Y}_n(t) = P_n(Y(t))$. The mean square error of $\hat{Y}_n(t)$ is denoted by $S_n(t)$.

Lemma 4.1 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\|S_n(t)\|_\infty \leq d_1 \|Y(t)\|_2^2 \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq i, j \leq d_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(t)_{ij}| &\leq \mathbb{E}(|Y(t)_i - \hat{Y}_n(t)_i| |Y(t)_j - \hat{Y}_n(t)_j|) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(|Y(t) - \hat{Y}_n(t)|_{d_1}^2) \\ &= \|P_n^\perp Y(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|Y(t)\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by a easy matrix estimate. \square

In order to proceed further, we assume that the sequence of matrices $(\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges for each $t \in [0, T]$ to a matrix we denote by $\Phi(t)$. For example, if for all $0 \leq t \leq T, D(t) = Df(t)$ where D is invertible and f is strictly positive, then $G(t) = Gf(t)^{-1}$ where $G = (DD^\mathcal{T})^{-1}$, then

$$\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t) = tGD\Lambda_2^{(n)}D^\mathcal{T}G^\mathcal{T},$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t}(G^\mathcal{T})^{-1}(D^\mathcal{T})^{-1}\Upsilon_\infty D^{-1}G^{-1}.$$

If the process Z_2 has fully infinite variance, then it is clear that $\Phi(t) = 0$, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

In fact, we will need to impose a somewhat stronger condition, namely that $(G(t)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1}G(t), n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges uniformly to $\Phi(t)$ on $(0, T]$. In

the special case just described, this condition is satisfied if f is such that $\inf_{t>0} tf(t)^2 > 0$. The search for general conditions for convergence seems to be a tricky problem in matrix analysis, and we will not pursue it further here.

To simplify the presentation of proofs of the next two theorems, we introduce the notation $M(t) := G(t)C(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Theorem 4.1 *For each $0 \leq t \leq T$, the sequence $(S_n(t), n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to a matrix $S_\infty(t)$. The mapping $t \rightarrow S_\infty(t)$ is differentiable on $(0, T]$ and S_∞ is the unique solution of the Riccati equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_\infty(t)}{dt} &= A(t)S_\infty(t) + S_\infty(t)A(t)^\mathcal{T} + B(t)\Lambda_1 B(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad - S_\infty(t)C(t)^\mathcal{T}G(t)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(t)G(t)C(t)S_\infty(t)^\mathcal{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Let $(\Xi(t); 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be the unique solution of the linear differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Xi(t)}{dt} &= A(t)\Xi(t) + \Xi(t)A(t)^\mathcal{T} + B(t)\Lambda_1 B(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad - \Xi(t)M(t)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(t)M(t)\Xi(t)^\mathcal{T}, \end{aligned}$$

with initial condition, $\Xi(0) = \text{Cov}(Y_0)$. We will show that $\Xi(t) = S_\infty(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Using (3.9), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\Xi(t) - S_n(t)) &= A(t)(\Xi(t) - S_n(t)) + (\Xi(t) - S_n(t))A(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad + S_n(t)M(t)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1}M(t)S_n(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad - \Xi(t)M(t)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(t)M(t)\Xi(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &= A(t)(\Xi(t) - S_n(t)) + (\Xi(t) - S_n(t))A(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad + (S_n(t) - \Xi(t))M(t)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1}M(t)S_n(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad + \Xi(t)M(t)^\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1} - \Phi(t))M(t)S_n(t)^\mathcal{T} \\ &\quad + \Xi(t)M(t)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(t)M(t)(S_n(t)^\mathcal{T} - \Xi(t)^\mathcal{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides, taking matrix $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norms and using (4.1) yields for all $0 < t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi(t) - S_n(t)\|_\infty &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|A(r)\|_\infty \|\Xi(r) - S_n(r)\|_\infty dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|S_n(r) - \Xi(r)\|_\infty \|C(r)\|_\infty^2 (\|S_n(r)\|_\infty \|G(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_2^{(n)}(r)^{-1}G(r)\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|\Phi(r)\|_\infty \|\Xi(r)\|_\infty) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1} - \Phi(t)\|_\infty \|M(r)\|_\infty^2 \|\Xi(r)\|_\infty \|S_n(r)\|_\infty dr. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumptions, we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \|G(t)^\top \Sigma_2^{(n)}(t)^{-1} G(t)\|_\infty^2 = K < \infty.$$

We now apply Gronwall's inequality and use (4.12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi(t) - S_n(t)\|_\infty &\leq d_1 \exp \left\{ \int_0^t [2\|A(r)\|_\infty + \|M(r)\|_\infty^2 (K\|Y(r)\|_2^2 + \|\Phi(r)\|_\infty \|\Xi(r)\|_\infty)] dr \right\} \\ &\times \int_0^t \|\Sigma_2^{(n)}(r)^{-1} - \Phi(r)\|_\infty \|M(r)\|_\infty^2 \|\Xi(r)\|_\infty \|Y(r)\|_2^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

and so by dominated convergence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Xi(t) - S_n(t)\|_\infty = 0$, and the result follows. \square

Note that when the observation process Z has fully infinite variance, then $\Phi(t)$ is identically zero for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and (4.13) linearises and takes the form:

$$\frac{dS_\infty(t)}{dt} = A(t)S_\infty(t) + S_\infty(t)A(t)^\top + B(t)\Lambda_1 B(t)^\top. \quad (4.14)$$

In particular, (4.14) always holds in the one-dimensional case.

Our next result is the desired L^1 - Lévy Kalman-Bucy filter:

Theorem 4.2 *For each $0 \leq t \leq T$, the sequence $(\widehat{Y}_n(t), n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges in $L^1(\Omega)$ to a random variable $\widehat{Y}(t)$. The process \widehat{Y} is the solution of the following SDE:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{Y}(t) &= A(t)\widehat{Y}(t)dt \\ &+ S_\infty(t)C(t)^\top G(t)^\top \Phi(t)G(t)(dZ(t) - C(t)\widehat{Y}(t)dt) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. Let $\Psi = (\Psi(t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be the unique solution (see e.g. Chapter 6 of [2]) of the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} d\Psi(t) &= A(t)\Psi(t)dt \\ &+ S_\infty(t)C(t)^\top G(t)^\top \Phi(t)G(t)(dZ(t) - C(t)\Psi(t)dt) \end{aligned}$$

with initial condition $\Psi(0) = \mu_0$ (a.s.) We will show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi(t) - \widehat{Y}_n(t)\|_1 = 0$ and the result then follows by uniqueness of limits. We use a

similar argument to that in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Using (3.10) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(t) - \widehat{Y}_n(t) &= \int_0^t A(r)(\Psi(r) - \widehat{Y}_n(r))dr \\
&+ \int_0^t S_\infty(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(r)G(r)dZ(r) \\
&- \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}G(r)dZ_n(r) \\
&- \int_0^t S_\infty(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(r)M(r)\Psi(r)dr \\
&+ \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}M(r)\widehat{Y}_n(r)dr \\
&= \int_0^t A(r)(\Psi(r) - \widehat{Y}_n(r))dr \\
&+ \int_0^t (S_\infty(r) - S_n(r))M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(r)G(r)dZ(r) \\
&+ \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}(\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1})G(r)dZ(r) \\
&+ \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}G(r)(dZ(r) - dZ_n(r)) \\
&- \int_0^t (S_\infty(r) - S_n(r))M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(r)M(r)\Psi(r)dr \\
&- \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}(\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1})M(r)\Psi(r)dr \\
&- \int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}M(r)(\Psi(r) - \widehat{Y}_n(r))dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^1 -norm and using the fact that $Z(t) - Z_n(t) = \int_0^t \int_{|y|>n} D(r)y\tilde{N}(dr, dy)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi(t) - \widehat{Y}_n(t)\|_1 &\leq \int_0^t (\|A(r)\|_\infty + \|S_\infty(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}M(r)\|_\infty)\|\Psi(r) - \widehat{Y}_n(r)\|_1 dr \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_0^t (S_\infty(r) - S_n(r))M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Phi(r)G(r)dZ(r)\right|\right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_0^t S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}(\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1})G(r)dZ(r)\right|\right) \\
&+ 2 \int_{|y|>n} |y|\nu(dy) \int_0^t \|S_n(r)M(r)^\mathcal{T}\Sigma_n(r)^{-1}G(r)\|_\infty dr.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty \|M(r)^\top \Phi(r) M(r) \Psi(r)\|_\infty dr \\
& + \int_0^t \|\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1}\|_\infty \|S_n(r) M(r)^\top M(r) \Psi(r)\|_\infty dr
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

To proceed further we need some additional estimates. Using (3.8) and writing $J(t) := M(t)^\top \Phi(t) G(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) dZ(r) \right| \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) C(r) Y(r) dr \right| \right) \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) D(r) dW_a(r) \right| \right) \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) D(r) \tilde{N}(dy, dr) \right| \right) \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty \|J(r)\|_\infty \|C(r)\|_\infty \|Y(r)\|_1 dr \\
& + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) D(r) dW_a(r) \right|^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y| \nu(dy) \int_0^t \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty \|J(r)\|_\infty \|C(r)\|_\infty dr,
\end{aligned}$$

and by Itô's isometry,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) D(r) dW_a(r) \right|^2 \right) \\
& = \int_0^t \|(S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)) J(r) D(r) a^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dr \\
& \leq \text{tr}(a) \int_0^t \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty^2 \|J(r)\|_\infty^2 \|D(r)\|_\infty^2 dr.
\end{aligned}$$

The other term that involves \mathbb{E} is dealt with in the same manner. We incorporate these estimates into (4.16) and also make use of (4.1) to conclude that there exist bounded measurable positive functions $t \rightarrow H_j(t)$ defined on $[0, T]$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$ so that

$$\|\Psi(t) - \widehat{Y}_n(t)\|_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^t H_1(r) \|\Psi(r) - \widehat{Y}_n(r)\|_1 dr + \int_0^t H_2(r) \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty dr \\
&+ \int_0^t H_3(r) \|\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1}\|_\infty dr + \left(\int_0^t H_4(r) \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \left(\int_0^t H_5(r) \|\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1}\|_\infty dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{|y|>n} |y| \nu(dy) \int_0^t H_6(r) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Gronwall's lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi(t) - \widehat{Y}_n(t)\|_1 &\leq \exp\left(\int_0^t H_1(r) dr\right) \left[\int_0^t H_2(r) \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty dr \right. \\
&+ \int_0^t H_3(r) \|\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1}\|_\infty dr \\
&+ \left. \left(\int_0^t H_4(r) \|S_n(r) - S_\infty(r)\|_\infty dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&+ \left. \left(\int_0^t H_5(r) \|\Phi(r) - \Sigma_n(r)^{-1}\|_\infty dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_{|y|>n} |y| \nu(dy) \int_0^t H_6(r) dr \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by using dominated convergence (which is justified by using (4.1)) and the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|y|>n} |y| \nu(dy) = 0$. \square

When the observation noise has fully infinite variance (4.15) collapses to a linear first order differential equation:

$$\frac{d\widehat{Y}(t)}{dt} = A(t)\widehat{Y}(t). \tag{4.17}$$

5 Numerical Results

In this section we look at three different methods for filtering an infinite variance observation process in a linear framework. We work in the one-dimensional case using (4.17) and compare our results with those of [1] and [7].

The example we will look at will be that of infinite variance α -stable noisy observations of a mean reverting Brownian motion, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t) &= Y_0 - \int_0^t Y(t) dt + X_1(t) \\
dZ(t) &= Y(t) dt + dX_2(t)
\end{aligned}$$


where $(X_1(t), t \geq 0)$ is a standard Brownian motion, Y_0 is a Gaussian random variable and $(X_2(t), t \geq 0)$ is a symmetric α -stable process with dispersion parameter 1 and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, so that $\mathbb{E}(e^{iuX_2(t)}) = e^{-t|u|^\alpha}$ for each $u \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$. We set the signal to be 0 at time 0 and assume the observations occur at a fixed rate with interarrival time 0.01 and expiry $T = 10$. Finally we take 100,000 Monte-Carlo simulations to estimate the mean square error of the filter from the system, after which a median value of these were taken, the results are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: A Comparison of Filters

α	No Filter Error	LBM Filter Error	AF Filter Error
1.1	0.6601	0.7819	0.7445
1.5	0.6593	0.6819	0.7507
1.9	0.6603	0.6446	0.7508

Here “No filter” refers to the filter of this paper and LBM and AF are those developed in [7] and [1], respectively.

The following figure provides a graphical representation of one instance of the above calculations. It shows that the filter of Le Breton and Musiela is quite prone to large jumps in the observations, causing a higher error in this instance. The filter of Ahn and Feldman remains relatively close to 0, but having no filter provides the lowest error. Whilst this may seem counter-intuitive it should be noted that when $\alpha = 1.1$ the noise in the observation process is very wild, and so the observation process bears almost no resemblance to the system process.



Acknowledgements. We thank John Biggins and Dan Crisan for very helpful comments.

References

- [1] H.Ahn, R.E.Feldman, Optimal filtering of a Gaussian signal in the presence of Lévy noise, *Siam J. Appl. Math.* **60**, 359-69 (1999)
- [2] D.Applebaum, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus* (second edition), Cambridge University Press (2009)
- [3] D.Applebaum, Extending stochastic resonance for neuron models to general Levy noise, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* **20** 1993-6 (2009)
- [4] A.Bain, D.Crisan, *Fundamentals of Stochastic Filtering*, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability **60**, Springer (2009)
- [5] F.Bartumeus, Lévy processes in animal movement: an evolutionary hypothesis, *Fractals* **15**, 1-12 (2007)
- [6] S.Blackwood, *Stochastic Filtering with Lévy Processes*, University of Sheffield PhD thesis (2014)
- [7] A. Le Breton, M. Musiela, A generalisation of the Kalman filter to models with infinite variance, *Stoch. Proc. App.* **47**, 75-94 (1993)
- [8] B.Cipra, Engineers look to Kalman filtering for guidance, *SIAM News* **26**, No.5 (1993).

- [9] R.Cont, P.Tankov, *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*, Chapman and Hall/CRC (2004)
- [10] M.H.A.Davis, *Linear Estimation and Stochastic Control*, Chapman and Hall Mathematical Series, Chapman and Hall, London (1977)
- [11] P.R.Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book* (second edition), Springer-Verlag New York Inc. (1982)
- [12] R.E.Kalman, R.S.Bucy, New results in linear filtering and prediction theory, *Trans. ASME Ser. D. J. Basic Engrg.* **83**, 95108 (1961)
- [13] M.L.Kleptsyna, A.Le Breton, Extension of the Kalman-Bucy filter to elementary linear systems with fractional Brownian noises, *Statist. Infer. Stoch. Proc.* **5** 249-71 (2002)
- [14] T.Meyer-Brandis, F.Proske, Explicit solution of a non-linear filtering problem for Lévy processes with application to finance, *Appl. Math. Optim.* **50**, 119-34 (2004)
- [15] B.Øksendal, *Stochastic Differential Equations* (sixth edition), Springer-Verlag (2003).
- [16] A.Patel, B.Kosko, Stochastic resonance in continuous and spiking neuron models with Levy noise, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, **19** 1993-2008, (2008)
- [17] S.Popa, S.S.Sritharan, Nonlinear filtering of Itô-Lévy stochastic differential equations with continuous observations, *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **3**, 313-30 (2009)
- [18] D.Sornette, K.Ide, The Kalman-Lévy filter, *Physica D* **151**, 142-74 (2001)
- [19] J.Xiong, *An Introduction to Stochastic Filtering Theory*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **18**, Oxford University Press (2008)